Considerations for no-FEC with 3 m cable assembly

Contributors

- Adee Ran, Intel
- Chris DiMinico, MC Communications/Panduit
- Nathan Tracy, TE
- Megha Shanbhag, TE
- Rich Mellitz, Intel
- Vittal Balasubramanian, Dell
- Kapil Shrikhande, Dell
- Joel Goergen, Cisco
- Mike Dudek, Qlogic
- Tom Palkert, Molex
- Upen Reddy Kareti, Cisco
- Vineet Salunke, Cisco

Recap prior activities

- Project has two cable assembly PHY objectives, for 5 m and 3 m reaches.
- Progress towards the objectives:
 - Baseline proposal for RS, PCS, and FEC layers for 25G Ethernet (Baden), adopted Jan 2015, implemented in D0.1
 - Three FEC modes, BASE-R FEC used for 3 m reach.
 - Baseline Proposal for 25GBASE-CR PMD (DiMinico), adopted Jan 2015, implemented in D0.1
 - Two cable assembly types, CA-L and CA-S.
 - 2 Port types for 25GBASE-CR (Dudek), adopted March 2015, implemented in D1.0
 - Added CR-S PHY with no-FEC and BASE-R FEC modes (mandatory) but no RS-FEC.
 - Added CA-N for no-FEC.
- "3 m no FEC" discussion in May 2015 meeting
 - 7 related comments (86, 87, 78, 89, 93, 119, 120)
 - 3 presentations: brown 3by 04_0515, roth 3by 01a_0515, mellitz_3by_01_0515
 - No consensus to make any change that would enable CA-S (3 m) to operate with no FEC.
- "BTI list for 3 meter no FEC cable" consensus-building meeting hosted by Kapil Shrikhande
 - Seeking agreement on next steps

Considerations for consensus building

- Question A Is there consensus that we need 3 m to work with no-FEC (is 3 m with BASE-R FEC not "good enough")?
- Question B Can we achieve no-FEC with 3 m cable assemblies with no change to the specifications?
 - Improved cables current loss based on 26 AWG; What gauge is acceptable? Difference per Form Factor (CR, CR4, breakout)?
 - Engineered link choose better-than-minimum devices
- Question C If we decide to change the specifications then how?

BTI list (Output of Kapil's meeting)

- Options to achieve 3 m no FEC
 - Option 1 change CA-S COM threshold from 3dB to a smaller value, e.g. 2, 2.2, 2.5dB etc.
 - Rx has to tighten up (RX interference tolerance kept in-line with cable assembly spec)
 - Why? → Evidence that systems actually work
 - Option 2 apply statistical analysis for compliance
 - Baseline: TX/RX specs unchanged per current 802.3by draft.
 - Include statistical analysis in COM calculation to bound probability of link failure assuming some component variability
 - Questions: What is "acceptable" probability of link failure? How would component compliance work?
 - Why? → Assumption: in practice, not all components in the link will be worst-case together
 - Option 3 re-open TX/RX/CA specs, build new consensus on how to meet 3 m with no FEC.
 - Why? → 25G with no FEC is a new standard, not bound to use 802.3bj definitions.

BACKUP

Original summary of consensus-building meeting

Summary slide of consensus-building meeting

BTI list for 3m no FEC in 802.3by

- Comments from May rejected due to lack of consensus. Next steps?
- Editorial framework (Matt Brown's presentation from May)
- Technical framework / options to achieve 3m no FEC
 - Option 1 change COM threshold from 3dB to a smaller value, e.g. 2, 2.2, 2.5dB etc.
 - Can we use existing specs for Tx, Rx, Cable, Hosts etc. in the current 802.3by draft?
 - Will either Rx or Tx spec. have to change?
 - Rx interference tolerance test does change, i.e. Rx has to tighten up
 - Option 2 leave COM = 3dB but apply statistical analysis for compliance
 - Assumption: not all components in the link will be operating at the "worst" case spec. point
 - Tx/Rx specs unchanged per current 802.3by draft is the basis.
 - Statistical analysis to bound probability of link failure assuming component level variability
 - What is "acceptable" probability of link failure?
 - How does component compliance work?
 - Option 3 change the Tx, Rx, or any other spec. to meet 3m no FEC.
 - Why? 25GE is a new spec.
- Additional considerations to achieve 3m no FEC.
 - Cables performance; Tx, and Rx specifications
 - What cable gauge is acceptable? Difference opinion based on Form Factor likely.
- Are we convinced that we need a 3m no FEC cable: 3m cable with BASE-R FEC not "good enough"