P # 292 C/ 00 SC 0 L C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 17 # 307 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status D copyright\_year variable should be 2016 in all clause files Missing summaries of other ongoing projects SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change copyright\_year variable to 2016 in all clause files Please implement comment #i-55 from P802.3bp D3.0 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp\_D30\_approved.pdf) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC FM P 1 L 2 C/ FM # 405 SC 126.4.6.1 C/ 126 P 138 L 38 # 397 Grow. R0obert RMG Consulting Yseboodt. Lennart **Philips** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 There is an approved amendment with others to come. (Only based on ballot stage In Figure 126-26 there are arrows going to a label called "I". P802..3bz will be Amendment 8 or 9.) Amendments also are listed here. The drawing of this label is assymetric. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rather than attempting to track approval order, I'd recommend simply a comma followed Make label drawing symmetric. by <approved amendments to be added during publication preparation> Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 1 SC FM C/ FM L 32 # 406 C/ 126 SC 126.5.3.4 P 149 L 10 # 355 Grow, R0obert RMG Consulting Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Messed up copyright information. It appears that the FM variable copyright year was not "The masks are shown graphically in Figure 126-36" - clearly, these are shown graphically updated to 2016. on a figure ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix whatever is required to get correct copyright year wherever it appears. Change to "These masks are shown in Figure 126-36" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. (duplicate comment)

SC 126.1.3.1 C/ 126 P 149 L 9 # 357 C/ 126 SC 126.7 P 157 L 51 # 364 **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek ΕZ Comment Type T EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Status D Reference to Figure 126-6 would be very helpful here, since that is where the transmit "effective data rate of 625 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously" - likely, per pair, direction is shown otherwise the aggregate of 2.5Gbps is not achieved SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "In the transmit direction" to "In the transmit direction (see Figure 126-6)" - make Change "effective data rate of 625 Mb/s in each direction simultaneously" to "effective data sure link is live rate of 625 Mb/s per pair, in each direction simultaneously" Same change in line 52 for 1250 Mb/s data rate In line 26, Change "In the receive direction" to "In the receive direction (see Figure 126-7)" - make sure link is live Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.7.2 P 158 L 39 # 270 C/ 126 SC 126.6 P 152 L 33 # 393 Flatman, Alan Independent Cadence Design Syst Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Notes a) and b) are identical. grammar SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only Note a) change "makes" to "make" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.7.2 P 158 L 41 # 275 SC 126.6.1.2 C/ 126 P 154 L 21 # 204 Malicoat, David HPF McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D F7 EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status D Notes a) and b) are identical. change U25 to match 802.3bq SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consolidate 'a' and 'b' to a single noe for Table 126-18 change "Reserved, transmit as 0" Proposed Response Response Status W to "25GBASE-T ability PROPOSED ACCEPT. (1 = support of 25GBASE-T and 0 = no support)" add "Defined in 45.2.7.10.4b" under description Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Additionally, U12 and U11 base text need alignment to 802.3bq D3.1. Since Clause 126 is new, there is no need to show edit, text for U12 and U11 should read MultiGBASE-T,

Accept comment proposed remedy.

without strikeout text or underline markings.

Pa **158** Li **41**  Page 2 of 11 3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM

Cl 126         SC 126.7.2         P 158           Thompson, Geoff         GraCaSI S.A.	<i>L</i> 41	# 278	Cl 126 SC 126.7.2.4.1 P 160 L 25 # 202  McClellan, Brett Marvell
Comment Type E Comment Status D  Two footnotes have same content.		EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ  This paragraph describes MDNEXT loss, but should discuss NEXT loss.
SuggestedRemedy  Consolidate into single footnote.			SuggestedRemedy  Replace this paragraph with the following text:
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			"In order to limit the crosstalk at the near end of a link segment, the differential pair-to-pair near-end crosstalk (NEXT) loss between a duplex channel and the other three duplex channels is specified to meet the bit error rate specified in 126.5.4.1. The NEXT loss
Cl 126 SC 126.7.2 P 159 Flatman, Alan Independent  Comment Type E Comment Status D  Notes a) and b) are identical.  SuggestedRemedy  Use only Note a)	L 11	# 272 EZ	between any two 2.5GBASE-T duplex channels of a link segment shall meet the values determined using Equation (126–13). The NEXT loss between any two 5GBASE-T duplex channels of a link segment shall meet the values determined using Equation (126–14). The factor of 2 in Equation (126–13) and Equation (126–14) corresponds to the number of connectors at the near-end of the duplex channels."  Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			CI 126 SC 126.7.3.1 P165 L8 # 203  McClellan, Brett Marvell
Cl 126 SC 126.7.2 P 159 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.	L 13	# 279	Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> EZ font size is wrong
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Two footnotes have same content.		EZ	SuggestedRemedy fix font size
SuggestedRemedy  Consolidate into single footnote.			Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  Editor to reformat equation as necessary and provide consistent font size.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Cl 126 SC 126.8.2.2 P169 L 28 # [284 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.
CI 126 SC 126.7.2 P 159 Malicoat, David HPE	L 13	# 274	Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to WG ballot)" hasn't been.
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Notes a) and b) are identical.		EZ	SuggestedRemedy  Remove editor's note.
SuggestedRemedy  Consolidate 'a' and 'b' to a single noe for Table 126-1	9		Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **169** Li **28**  Page 3 of 11 3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM

C/ 126 SC 126.12	P 172	L1	# 371		C/ 28C SC 28C.11	P 188	L 15	# 345	
Hajduczenia, Marek  Comment Type E	Bright House  Comment Status D			EZ	Hajduczenia, Marek  Comment Type E	Bright House Ne  Comment Status D			ΕZ
Seems like tables for F SuggestedRemedy Please bring initial tabl Proposed Response	PICS were moved from page les to under 126.12  Response Status W	172 to 173 for	some reason.		missing serial comma a SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	ufter "Clause 126 (2.5G/5GBAS)  Response Status W	E-T)" in line 1	5	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	г.				PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ <b>126</b> SC <b>126.12.1</b> Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> <b>173</b> Ciena	L 1	# 304		C/ 28C SC 28C.11 Hajduczenia, Marek	P188 Bright House Ne	L <b>19</b> twork	# 346	
Comment Type <b>E</b> 126.12.1 through 126.	Comment Status <b>D</b> 12.1.2 should be on the same	e page as the 1	26.12 heading	EZ	Comment Type <b>E</b> Extra space not shown	Comment Status <b>D</b> in strike-through in "55.6.1, and	113.6.1"		EZ
SuggestedRemedy Fix the pagination.					SuggestedRemedy Show one of spaces in	strike-through either before or a	ıfter "and"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status <b>W</b>				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			
C/ <b>126</b> SC <b>126.12.1</b> Anslow, Pete	.2 P 173 Ciena	L <b>20</b>	# 305		CI 113A SC 113A Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>191</b> Bright House Ne	L 1 twork	# 347	
Comment Type E "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> " should be "IEEE Std 802.3b	z-201x"		EZ		Comment Status <b>D</b> ince it has no content. All comment he Annex is currently included	nents on Anno	ex 113A should be	EZ
,	2.3-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3I	oz-201x" in two	places		SuggestedRemedy  Per comment				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			
C/ 28B SC 28B.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>187</b> Bright House	L 14 Network	# 344		C/ FM SC FM Grow, R0obert	P2 RMG Consulting	L 1	# 407	
Comment Type E Editor's note in line 14 SuggestedRemedy Remove, editorial instr				EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status <b>D</b> nar. Starts with a sentence frag	ement (no ve	erb, not full stop).	E
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				Delete "This amendme Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nt" following the sentence fragm Response Status <b>W</b> (duplicate comment)	ent.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line Page 4 of 11
3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM

P 2 C/ FM SC FM L 1 # 306 C/ 1 SC 1.4.131a P 21 L 40 # 308 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** EΖ Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Status D Unnecessary "This amendment" Category 8 definition does not exist in 802.3bx standard and it is an addition to existing standard. Editorial instruction seems to imply it is already in the base standard SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "This amendment" Change editorial instruction in line 40 to read: "Change definition for Category 8 balanced Proposed Response Response Status W cabling, as added by P802.3XXXX-201X, as shown: - update project reference + year for PROPOSED ACCEPT. the specific amendment that added this definition in the first place. Likely, P802.3bg is the source of this text "This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 This amendment defines Ethernet Media" (delete second occurence) Proposed Response Response Status W SC P 2 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ FM / 46 # 386 Change editing instruction to read: Lusted. Kent Intel "Change definition for Category 8 balanced cabling, (as inserted by IEEE 802.3bg-201x) as Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 shown:" Update copyright date to 2016 C/ 1 SC 1.4.131a P 21 / 42 # 211 SuggestedRemedy Shariff, Masood CommScope Update copyright date to 2016 Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Proposed Response Response Status W 1.4.131aCategory 8 balanced cabling: PROPOSED ACCEPT. Need a space after 131a C/ 1 SC 1.4.131a P 21 L 40 # 293 SuggestedRemedy Anslow. Pete Ciena 1.4.131a Category 8 balanced cabling: EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Editing instruction should say where this definition can be found. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy P 22 C/ 1 SC 1.4.277b L 1 # 309 Change "Change definition for Category 8 balanced cabling, as shown:" to "Change Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** 1.4.131a as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bg-201x, as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Just for symmetry - definition includes statement: "for both 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T", PROPOSED ACCEPT. it might be better to emphasize the fact that Clause 126 specifies both 2.5G and 5G BASE-Т SuggestedRemedy Change "2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T" to "for both 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **22** Li **1**  Page 5 of 11 3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM

C/ 1 SC 1.4.277b P 22 L 1 # 387 C/ 00 SC 0 P 3 L 0 # 288 Lusted. Kent Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. Intel Comment Type Ε EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Status D correct nomenclature: there are many instances of "2.5/5GBASE-T" as well as Since Clause 126 and Clause 113 have references to the specific BASE-T PHYs with the clause, it would be useful to add a "(10GBASE-T)" after Clause 55. "2.5G/5GBASE-T". 2.5G/5GBASE-T is preferred SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add a "(10GBASE-T)" after Clause 55. replace all instances of "2.5/5G/BASE-T" with 2.5G/5GBASE-T. This appears in the header, ToC, section headings, state diagrams, as well as throughout the text. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 4 SC 4.4.2 P 23 15 # 311 Cl 45 P 32 SC 45.2.1.4 1 23 # 402 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 ER Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Two editorial issues: Odd structure for Ed Inst (1) no subheading 4.4 is shown (and should be) "Change Reserved row and (2) changes to table 4-2 are not shown in underline (and should be) Insert rows below it in Table 45-6 to include speeds of 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s as shown SuggestedRemedy (unchanged rows not (1) Insert missing subheading 4.4 with title name shown):." (2) show changes to Table 4-2 in underline SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Remove line feed & period after colon. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W CI 4 SC 4.4.2 P 23 L 54 PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 391 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Syst CI 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P 32 L 24 # 315 Comment Status D Comment Type Ε EΖ Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** No page number Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ SuggestedRemedy Editorial note broken into two lines Add page numbers on pages 23 and 24 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change the note to read: "Change Reserved row and insert rows below the Reserved row PROPOSED ACCEPT. in Table 45-6 to include speeds of 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" Mark rows 1.4.14 and 1.4.13 with underline (this is inserted text versus text already in Similar issue in 45.2.3.7 (text broken into two lines) + missing underline for register 3.8.12 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P 32 L 43 # 316 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10.a P 34 L 27 # 317 Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D No editorial note for 45.2.1.10.a Incorrect editorial note - these are subclauses. Also, no reference where they are expected to be inserted at SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please insert editorial note before 45.2.1.10.a. or extend editorial note on page 34, line 15 Change "Insert two new clauses following 45.2.1.4 as follows:" to "Insert two following to include reference to a new subclause being added subclauses before 45.2.1.4.1 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Insert editing instruction to "Insert new subclause, 45.2.1.10.a before 45.2.1.10.1 as follows: " prior to header for 45.2.1.10.a (P34 L27) Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 33 / 11 # 372 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14q P 34 L 34 # 318 Chacon, Geoffrey Hewlett Packard Enter Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Missing -T from 2.5GBASE-T PMA These are subclauses, not clauses SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace 2.5GBASE-PMA for 2.5GBASE-T PMA Change "clauses" to "subclauses" on page 34, line 34 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 33 L 13 # 294 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.78 P 37 L 34 # 319 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 "2.5GBASE-PMA" should be "2.5GBASE-T PMA" Two editorial issues: SuggestedRemedy (1) missing "." after "e.g." Change "2.5GBASE-PMA" to "2.5GBASE-T PMA" (2) missing space between numeral and unit in "1.25ns for 10GBASE-T" Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. (1) Make sure there is "," after "e.g." in text that is being added or modified (minor change) (2) Make sure that units and numerals are separated with a non-breakable space There are multiple instances for each fix Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6 Chacon, Geoffrey	P <b>40</b> Hewlett Packa	L 13 ard Enter	# 373		Cl <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.3.9a.a</b> P <b>41</b> L <b>21</b> # 298 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type <b>E</b> Change 2.5GBASE-R P	Comment Status <b>D</b> PCS for 2.5GBASE-T PCS			EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editing instruction should be more specific.
SuggestedRemedy Change 2.5GBASE-R P Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PCS for 2.5GBASE-T PCS Response Status W				SuggestedRemedy  Change "Insert 2 new clauses after 45.2.3.9a and before 45.2.3.9a.1, both inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x, as shown:" to "Insert 45.2.3.9a.a and 45.2.3.9a.b before 45.2.3.9a.1, as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x, as follows:"  Proposed Response  Response Status W
CI 45 SC 45.2.3.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>40</b> Bright House I	L 14 Network	# 322		PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (difference is parentheses around ref to 802.3bq)
	Comment Status D CS type" - I do not believe yo	ou're adding 2.	5GBASE-R type	EZ	Change "Insert 2 new clauses after 45.2.3.9a and before 45.2.3.9a.1, both inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x, as shown:" to "Insert 45.2.3.9a.a and 45.2.3.9a.b before 45.2.3.9a.1 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x) as follows:"
SuggestedRemedy Change "Select 2.5GBA Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ASE-R PCS type" to "Select 2 Response Status W	2.5GBASE-T F	PCS type"		CI 45 SC 45.2.3.13.4 P 41 L 52 # 326  Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  Odd green markup in "10GBASE-T, and"
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Editing instruction should	Ciena Comment Status D	L 38	# 296	EZ	SuggestedRemedy take a look at PDF and remove green underline  Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert new claudes 45.2.3.7.1b after 45.2.3.	use after 45.2.3.7.1 as follow .7.1 as follows:"	s:" to "Insert 4	5.2.3.7.1a and		Cl <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.3.13.5</b> P <b>42</b> L <b>3</b> # 327  Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> EZ  It seems that 45.2.3.13.5 is also modified by .3bq, but the note does not account for it
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9a Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> <b>41</b> Ciena	<i>L</i> 1	# 297		SuggestedRemedy  Modify the note to indicate that this text is modified as previously modified by .3bq
Comment Type E Incorrect editing instruct	Comment Status <b>D</b> tion. 45.2.3.9a is being inser	ted by P802.3	bq	EZ	Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to state "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x"
SuggestedRemedy Delete "Insert 3 new cla	uses and Table 45-125a afte	er 45.2.3.9.11	as shown:		Change caning include to state as mounted by IEEE sta 602.004 201x
Proposed Response	Response Status W				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **42** 

Page 8 of 11

Li 3

3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 P 42 L 49 # 328 C/ 46 SC 46.1 P 53 L7 # 334 **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D It is "subclause" and not "Clause" One more broken editorial note SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy please pull it together into a single text block. No need to separate "Insert" from the rest of Change all instances of the word "Clause" to "Subclause/subclause" (as needed) when referencing second and lower heading numbers - there are multiple instances in the draft the text Same on page 44, line 3 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 57 Cl 78 SC 78.2 L 34 # 300 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14b.a P 50 L 38 # 332 Anslow. Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E 1.2.6 states: "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as Text in 45.2.7.14b.a and 45.2.7.14b.b seems to be larger by 2 points than in other exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." subclauses SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In Table 78-2 remove the trailing zeros from "12.80" and "6.40" Please apply proper style (T,Text) in para in 45.2.7.14b.a and 45.2.7.14b.b Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 59 C/ 125 SC 125.1.2 L 24 # 336 P 51 Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.2 / 14 # 333 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Text in lines 24 -31 does not use proper formatting Unnecessary "," in Subclause column entries for \*2.5T and \*5T entries SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please apply proper lettered list stype Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 125.1.4 P 61 # 301 C/ 125 L 23 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ In Table 125–2, "46" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy In Table 125-2, make "46" a cross-reference Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 23 3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ <b>125</b> SC <b>125.2.2</b> Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> <b>62</b> Ciena	L <b>4</b>	# 302		Cl <b>126</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>126.1.2</b> a, Marek	<i>P</i> <b>66</b> Bright House <b>1</b>	L <b>26</b> Network	# 351
Comment Type E "gray-coded" should b SuggestedRemedy Change "gray-coded" Proposed Response	•			EZ	Comment T	ype <b>E</b> XGMII IS OPT s and right, who	Comment Status <b>D</b> IONAL" seems too close to ca		<i>EZ</i> Jure - consider moving it
PROPOSED ACCEPT	•				Proposed R	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status <b>W</b>		
C/ 125 SC 125.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek  Comment Type E	P 62 Bright House Comment Status D	L <b>9</b> Network	# [341	EZ	Cl 126 Trowbridge,	SC <b>126.1.2</b> Steve	P 66 Alcatel-Lucent	L 5	# 416
SuggestedRemedy	no visible separation between style to both paragraphs in lin Response Status W	·			side of PHYSIO attache SuggestedF	sloppy things i "HIGHER LAYE CAL box in the l s to on either si Remedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> In the drawing of Figure 126.1.  ERS" are different widths. The SO stack and the MEDIUM syde, and overlaps the MEDIUM lige the elements of this figure	dotted line at mymbol doesn't land.	the bottom of the
C/ 126 SC 126.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 65 Bright House	L <b>21</b> Network	# 349		Proposed R		Response Status W	to line up.	
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> nissing space between numer  or 5 Gb/s", make sure non-bre		used	EZ	Cl 126 Trowbridge,	SC 126.1.3 Steve	P 69 Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D the arrowheads on the left har		# [417 EZ
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				SuggestedF	Remedy	the left or the PMA line to the		·
Cl 126 SC 126.1 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> <b>65</b> Ciena	L <b>9</b>	# 303		Proposed R	esponse OSED ACCEPT	Response Status <b>W</b>		
Comment Type E There should be a nor SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> n-breaking space (Ctrl space)	between a num	ber and its unit.	EZ					
,	space in 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s (	two instances e	each)						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Pa **69** 

Page 10 of 11

SC 126.1.3.3 C/ 126 P 71 L 26 # 360 Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Avoid the use of "will" - change "that will be mapped into a single 64B/65B block" to "that is then mapped into a single 64B/65B block" SuggestedRemedy Make sure there are no unnecessary instances of "will" outside of FM. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See BQ ALIGN comments P 83 # 418 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2 L 10 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Several sloppy things in the drawing of Figure 126-5. The arrowheads for scr\_status and PMA\_UNITDATA.request overlap the dashed boxes next to them with which they are unrelated. The gap in the vertical line at the left for PCS is too wide - consider making PCS vertical text and even it out in the gap. SuggestedRemedy Tidy up the figure Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.8 P 89 L 6 # 419 Alcatel-Lucent Trowbridge, Steve Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Several sloppy things in Figure 126-8 should be cleaned up. The words "Bit Position:" has the colon on the wrong side of the line for the box it is in. The character designations for the control block formats (e.g., C0C1C2C3/C4C5C6C7) aren't centered in the boxes and some run up against the line on the right.

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy Tidy up the figure Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 00 SC 0 P all L 99 # 289 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D change copyright to 2016 SuggestedRemedy change copyright date in footer to "2016' Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa all Li 99

Page 11 of 11 3/5/2016 6:11:39 PM