



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P20 L14 # 289  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 If the next revision editor is to 'insert the following definitions in alphabetic order:' we should at least provide a good hint at what that is.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Reorder and renumber 1.4.314a through 1.4.327a to reflect the editing instruction  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.278 P20 L16 # 290  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This definition is overly detailed and thus incorrect. The phrase "There is one-to-one correspondence between the grants issued to an ONU and upstream bursts transmitted by that ONU, i.e., a grant issued to an ONU results in a single upstream burst transmitted by that ONU." is incorrect. There may not be a 1-1 correspondence if different GATES have the same start time. We could just as easily say that multiple grants issued to an ONU may result in a single upstream burst transmitted by that ONU.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike "There is one-to-one correspondence between the grants issued to an ONU and upstream bursts transmitted by that ONU, i.e., a grant issued to an ONU results in a single upstream burst transmitted by that ONU."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.313 P20 L25 # 291  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The addition of "function of the Reconciliation Sublayer" changes the meaning of the original sentence.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike "function of the Reconciliation Sublayer" and return to original sentence which reads "A numeric identifier assigned to a P2MP association between an OLT and ONU established through the Point-to-Point Emulation sublayer."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.324a P21 L14 # 292  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This phrase is correct but incomplete and can be simplified "This term collectively refers to 25/10G-EPON, 25/25G-EPON, 50/10G-EPON, 50/25G-EPON, and 50/50G-EPON architectures."  
 It also refers to 25G-EPON and 50G-EPON.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "This term collectively refers to all 25G-EPON 50G-EPON architectures."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.325a P21 L17 # 293  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Duplicate but different definition of Envelope  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike this one and change 1.4.316a from:  
 "an upstream transmission that corresponds to an envelope allocation received from the OLT. ..." to read:  
 "an upstream transmission that corresponds to one or more envelope allocations received from the OLT. ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.326a P21 L21 # 294  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 I question the need for this definition of Envelope Descriptor which is then only used one other time (pg 135 line 10) in the definition of ChIndex, which is also never used in the draft. Note a separate comment to remove ChIndex exists.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike the definition.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 1 SC 1.5 P21 L34 # 295  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Missing abbreviations (much as I dislike abbreviations we should at least be consistent)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add in alpha order: "ECH envelope continuation header"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 31A SC 31A P23 L33 # 185  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Tables 31A-10/15 are missing content  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use the content per hajduczenia\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf.  
 NOTE 1: operand definitions are aligned with target primitive structure after updates proposed in multiple separate comments (comments are tagged MH\_PRIMITIVES for cross referencing). Right now, we have major misalignment between primitive definitions, message structure, and operands.  
 NOTE 2: operand list for DISCOVERY\_GATE MPCPDU is currently predicated on resolution of comment tagged MH\_DISCOVERY and aligned with the proposed list of operands there  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56 P26 L3 # 184  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Clause 56 requires many more changes to accommodate for the new Nx25G-EPON in the EFM architecture.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add all the changes as shown in hajduczenia\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf. The existing set of changes to Table 56-1 already present in draft D1.1 to be moved into subclause 56.1.3  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56 P27 L31 # 297  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Footnote also applies to Nx25 EPON.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change footnote from:  
 "For 10/1G-EPON Physical Layer signaling systems ..." to:  
 "For 10/1G-EPON and Nx25G-EPON Physical Layer signaling systems ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56 P27 L31 # 296  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The table footnote appears to be applicable to all asymmetric PON signaling systems.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change from:  
 "aFor 10/1G-EPON Physical Layer signaling systems ..." to  
 "aFor asymmetric EPON Physical Layer signaling systems ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56 P27 L31 # 255  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 10G/1G is out of scope. Footnote should be fixed.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change "10/1G-EPON" to EPON or Nx25G EPON.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.1 P28 L6 # 298  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

I find an active link to the current clause somewhat odd (here elsewhere) not to mention frustrating if accidentally clicked on.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the following instance of "Clause 141" with "this clause" (or "This clause" as appropriate).

Pg/Line 28/6, 28/20, 28/40, 29/1, 31/3, 34/50, 35/3, 35/25, 35/44

Replace "Clause 141 PMD" with "Nx25G-EPON PMD" at 32/ 33, 35/30, 35/32 (table 141-4 title), 44/50

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1 P29 L47 # 302  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text in sections 141.1 and 141.2 are somewhat disjointed and mostly incorrect now.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with text shown in remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf (ms word version available upon request).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L30 # 299  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We no longer have PR PHYS

SuggestedRemedy

Change 5 instances of PR-S to PQ-S and 5 instances of PR-A to PQ-A

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L34 # 257  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Period is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

add period after "over a single SMF"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L36 # 300  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Does "and 10 Gb/s and above" include 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s also (which would then overlap with symmetric power budgets)?

If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from:

"and 10 Gb/s and above" to

"and a lesser rate (typically 10 Gb/s or 25 Gb/s)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L36 # 258  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Period is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

add period after "over a single SMF"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L42 # 256  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Remove the coma.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 PR-S20: symmetric-rate, medium power budget.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.3 P28 L48 # 400  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Editorial note and an action item to provide a consistent way to reference power budgets  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Do the following:  
 1) Adopt the naming convention as shown in kramer\_3ca\_4\_0718.pdf  
 2) Replace Table 141-1 with Tables 141-1 through 141-5 from kramer\_3ca\_3\_0718.pdf  
 3) Replace Tables 141-2 and 141-3 with Tables 141-6 and 141-7 from kramer\_3ca\_3\_0718.pdf.  
 4) Change 141.2.1 title to "Supported Combinations of OLT and ONU PMDs"  
 5) Change 141.2.1.1 title to "PHY Links supporting medium power budget"  
 6) Change 141.2.1.2 title to "PHY Links supporting high power budget"  
 7) Add definition of GPON to subclause 1.4  
 8) Add reference to G.983 to subclause 1.3  
 9) Scrub the entire clause 141 and replace the "power budget" with "PHY link" where appropriate. Use proper PHY link type designation.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.1.4 P29 L41 # 301  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "symmetric-rate... and asymmetric-rate ... PMD sublayer" constitute more than one (layers should be plural)  
 If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.2 P29 L46 # 401  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Need to show a list of all supported PMDs.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In section 141.2, after an introductory text that shows how PMD names are constructed, add the table shown in kramer\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf listing all supported PMD types. Later clauses use these types to show which PMDs can be paired together.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.2 P31 L8 # 303  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 A PMD transmitting at 25 Gb/s in both US and DS as allowed by this sentence is not asymmetric.  
 If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change:  
 "and receiving at 25 GBd (or more) burst mode:" to  
 "and receiving at a lesser rate (typically 10 GBd or 25 GBd) burst mode:"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.2 P31 L12 # 304  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 {TBD} replacement  
 If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change:  
 "... transmitting at {TBD} GBd continuous mode and receiving at {TBD} GBd burst mode:" to  
 "... operating at 25 GBd or 50 GBd, transmitting using continuous mode and receiving using burst mode."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 141 SC 141.2 P31 L18 # 305

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We do not define 10/1GBASE-PRX-U.

If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "... 10/1GBASE-PRX-U), transmitting at 1.25 GBd burst mode and receiving at 10.3125 GBd continuous mode:" to "... PQ-A-U), transmitting at 10 GBd or 25 GBd burst mode and receiving at a higher rate (typically 25 GBd or 50 GBd) continuous mode:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.2 P31 L21 # 306

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We do not define 10GBASE-PR-U

If remain\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf is accepted this comment can be withdrawn.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "... 10GBASE-PR-U), transmitting at 10.3125 GBd burst mode and receiving at 10.3125 GBd continuous mode:" to "... {PQ-S-U}), operating at 25 GBd or 50 GBd, transmitting using burst mode and receiving using continuous mode:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1 P32 L33 # 307

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

An interface does not a service make "The following specifies the services provided by Clause 141 PMDs."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "The following paragraphs specify the service interfaces to Nx25G-EPON PMDs."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.2 P33 L1 # 308

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

We have renamed these interfaces to PMD\_UNITDATA[i].request, PMD\_UNITDATA[i].indication, PMD\_SIGNAL[i].request, and PMD\_SIGNAL[i].indication. This should be reflected in the subclause titles in 141.3.1.2-141.3.1.5

SuggestedRemedy

Retitle sections to PMD\_UNITDATA[i].request, PMD\_UNITDATA[i].indication, PMD\_SIGNAL[i].request, and PMD\_SIGNAL[i].indication

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.2 P33 L2 # 309

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Clause 142 PMA" does nothing to orient the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "Nx25G-EPON PMA" (7x)

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.2 P33 L7 # 310

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Given the Nx25G-EPON includes 25/10G-EPON this statement is incorrect "The Clause 142 PMA continuously sends the appropriate stream of bits to the PMD for transmission on the medium, at a nominal signaling speed of 25.78125 GBd in the case of Nx25G-EPON OLT and ONU PMDs. The Clause 142 PMA continuously sends the appropriate stream of bits to the PMD for transmission on the medium, at a nominal signaling speed of 10.3125 GBd in the case of 25/10G-EPON and 50/10G-EPON ONU PMDs." Perhaps it would be better to simply take about what the interface does and skip the informative part about it's rate.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: ""The Nx25G-EPON PMA continuously sends a stream of bits to the PMD for transmission on the medium."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.5 P33 L39 # 311  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Signal name "SIGNAL\_-  
 DETECT" breaks line (several times)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 disable hyphenation on all signal names (Esc n s in frame).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.4 P35 L50 # 200  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 No need to build one sentence paragraphs  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Merge sentences in line 50 and 52 into a single paragraph.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.2 P34 L1 # 199  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Figure 141-2 was updated to use [i] indication where per-wavelength test points are  
 defined. The text was not updated accordingly, though  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove the editorial note from Figure 141-2 (updates were already made)  
 Replace references to TP1, TP4, TP5, and TP8 with versions with [i] added  
 Change "points for use by implementers." to "points for use by implementers, defined on  
 per channel basis."  
 Similarly, in 141.7, mark TP1 and TP5 instances with [i] indexes  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.4 P36 L10 # 313  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 141-6 would be more useful if it included information about which wavelength goes  
 with which PMD coexistence case (G or X).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add a column labeled "Coexistence Class" with the following row entries:  
 row 1 (UW0) "G"  
 row 2 (UW1) "X"  
 row 3 (UW2) "G or X"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.3.2 P34 L1 # 312  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 TP1, TP4, TP5, and TP8 do not exist.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to TP1[i], TP4[i], TP5[i], and TP8[i] throughout this section and in 141.7,  
 141.7.14.1, 141.7.14.2 and in Figure 141-3  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.4.1 P38 L37 # 188  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Stranded TBD  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove {TBD} in line 38, page 38  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.5 P36 L27 # 315  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This sentence, which is structured as found in CI 75.4, seems to be pointing to an odd place "A {XXX} compliant transceiver operates over the media types listed in Table 141-15 according to the specifications described in 141.10." The sentence in 75.4 points to 75.9 "Characteristics of the fiber optic cabling" while here we point to 141.10 (PICS). Also need to replace the {XXX}.

Same issues exit in 141.6 pg 38 line 49.

SuggestedRemedy

Change ref from "141.10" to "141.9"  
Change "{XXX}" to "PQ"  
in both locations

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L23 # 314  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

if we're talk of both 25G-EPON and 50G-EPON we should use Nx25G-EPON. Same issue in 141.6 pg 38 line 45

SuggestedRemedy

Change from  
"25G-EPON and 50G-EPON OLT PMDs" to  
"Nx25G-EPON OLT PMDs"  
in both locations

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L33 # 192  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

All transmit tables for ONU and OLT need to include Ton and Toff values of 128 ns as decided in May 2018

SuggestedRemedy

Add values of 128 ns to Ton/Toff rows where they are already present. Where not present, add row with these values into respective tables. Tables affected: 141-7, 141-8, 141-11, and 141-12.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L40 # 261  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 141-7, OLT PR20 Transmit Characteristics, requires populating.

SuggestedRemedy

Accept the values in the table shown in johnson\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf, slide x, to populate Table 141-7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L41 # 316  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In Table 141-7 what is a 25GBASE-PR20-D, 50GBASE-PR20-D, and 50/25GBASE-PR20-D?  
Similar issue exists in Table 141-13 pg 43 line 19.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 141-7 change from  
"25GBASE-PR20-D,  
"25GBASE-PQ11G-D2 25GBASE-PQ11X-D2" and from  
" 50GBASE-PR20-D 50/25GBASE-PR20-D" to  
"50GBASE-PQ22G-D2 50GBASE-PQ22X-D2 50/25GBASE-PQ21G-D2 50/25GBASE-PQ21X-D2"

Make the same change in Table 141-13 replacing "D" with "U"

Proposed Response Response Status O



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L47 # 259  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Remove the coma.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove the coma in "Signaling rate (range)"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L48 # 260  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Remove the coma.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove the coma in "Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR) (min)"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L52 # 384  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 In Table 141-7, per harstead\_3ca\_1\_0718 correct the value of Average launch power, each channel (min).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace 2.5 dBm with 2 dBm. (In a separate comment, it will be proposed to move this value from the table and be put into a footnote).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L52 # 386  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Table 141-7 shows Average launch power, each channel (min). This is dangerous. There will be confusion with the legacy method of specification if this is included in the table-- that the value would be enough for min ER and max TDP.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete Average launch power, each channel (min) from the table. Add, in a footnote, the Average launch power, each channel (min) based on legacy specification methods. Specifically "Average launch power, each channel (min) = 2 dBm at Extinction ratio (min) and Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP). This will give module vendors a reference point to legacy specification methods, without causing any confusion.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P36 L53 # 271  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average launch power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant TX. A TX that meets the requirements of minimum OMA minus TDP and minimum OMA is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER. This line should be removed from Table 141-7.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average launch power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-7.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L9 # 265  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 APD RX sensitivity depends on ER due to avalanche multiplication noise in the one and zero rails. The currently accepted baseline APD receiver sensitivities assume that all TX have worst-case ER. By allowing TX with higher than minimum ER to launch slightly lower OMA, significant laser power savings is possible.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Create two separate spec lines for minimum OMA minus TDP, one for ER ≥ 9dB with min. value of 2.0dBm, and one for ER < 9dB with min. value of 2.1dBm, as shown in johnson\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf, slide 9.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L10 # 272  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Launch power in OMA minus TDP (min) giving the informative minimum average launch power for the specific worst case of minimum ER and maximum TDP.

SuggestedRemedy

Add footnote to "Launch power in OMA minus TDP, each channel (min) in Table 141-7 which reads, "For reference, this implies that the minimum average launch power per channel at minimum extinction ratio and maximum TDP is 2 dBm. This value is informative only."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L29 # 201  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 141-8 title does not match new PMD names.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "OLT PR30" to "OLT PQ11, PQ21, and PQ22". Similar change to Table 141-10

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L37 # 385  
Harstead, Ed Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Table 141-8 shows Average launch power, each channel (min). This corresponds to our new method of specification--2.8 dBm at reference ER and TDP. This is dangerous. There will be confusion with the legacy method of specification if this is included in the table-- that 2.8 dBm would be enough for min ER and max TDP.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Average launch power, each channel (min) from the table. Add, in a footnote, the Average launch power, each channel (min) based on legacy specification methods. Specifically "Average launch power, each channel (min) = 4.8 dBm at Extinction ratio (min) and Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP). This will give module vendors a reference point to legacy specification methods, without causing any confusion.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L43 # 242  
Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Remove the coma.

SuggestedRemedy

remove the coma in "Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR) (min)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L45 # 189  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

What does it mean to have "-" in Table 141-8, Total average launch power column for PQ11 column? The given channel is not active? Does not transmit?

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "-" with "NA" if the column is not applicable, in this case more likely TBD should be used to provide specific value to be used. For page 38, line 12 (difference in launch power ...), use "NA" since it is a single channel PMD. Similar changes in Table 141-12

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.1 P37 L48 # 273  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average launch power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant TX. A TX that meets the requirements of minimum OMA minus TDP and minimum OMA is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER. This line should be removed from Table 141-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the line for "Average launch power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-8.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P38 L14 # 266  
 Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

APD RX sensitivity depends on ER due to avalanche multiplication noise in the one and zero rails. The currently accepted baseline APD receiver sensitivities assume that all TX have worst-case ER. By allowing TX with higher than minimum ER to launch slightly lower OMA, significant laser power savings is possible.

SuggestedRemedy

Create two separate spec lines for minimum OMA minus TDP, one for ER ≥ 9dB with min. value of 4.8dBm, and one for ER < 9dB with min. value of 4.9dBm, as shown in johnson\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf, slide 8.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P38 L14 # 274  
 Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Launch power in OMA minus TDP (min) giving the informative minimum average launch power for the specific worst case of minimum ER and maximum TDP.

SuggestedRemedy

Add footnote to "Launch power in OMA minus TDP, each channel (min) in Table 141-8 which reads, "For reference, this implies that the minimum average launch power per channel at minimum extinction ratio and maximum TDP is 4.8 dBm. This value is informative only."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P39 L6 # 243  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

There is an unnecessary empty column in "Channel wavelength ranges".

SuggestedRemedy

combine both columns.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P39 L36 # 244  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

BER is better than or equal to 10<sup>-12</sup>.

SuggestedRemedy

The BER of 10<sup>-12</sup> is achieved by the utilization of FEC as described in 142.2.2.9.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.5.1 P42 L1 # 190  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

No entry for 25/10 and 50/10 PMDs

SuggestedRemedy

Insert an editorial note indicating these PMDs are missing

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P38 L39 # 245  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The section title and content are separated.

SuggestedRemedy

move the table 141-10—OLT PR20 Receive Characteristics after the section title of 141.5.2. Receiver optical specifications.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P39 L1 # 262  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 141-9, OLT PR20 Receive Characteristics, requires populating.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Accept the values in the table shown in johnson\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf, slide x, to populate Table 141-9.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P39 L14 # 279  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-9.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-9.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P39 L30 # 280  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max) giving the informative maximum unstressed average power receiver sensitivity for the specific worst case of minimum ER.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add to the footnote to "Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max)" in Table 141-9, "For reference, this implies that the maximum average power unstressed receiver sensitivity measured with an ideal transmitter signal at minimum extinction ratio is -22 dBm. This value is informative only."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P40 L20 # 281  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-10.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-10.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P40 L26 # 282  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max) giving the informative maximum unstressed average power receiver sensitivity for the specific worst case of minimum ER.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add to the footnote to "Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max)" in Table 141-9, "For reference, this implies that the maximum average power unstressed receiver sensitivity measured with an ideal transmitter signal at minimum extinction ratio is -25 dBm. This value is informative only."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.5.2 P42 L1 # 187  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 141-12 title does not match new PMD names.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "ONU PR30" to "ONU PQ11, PQ21, and PQ22". Similar change to Table 141-14  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.6 P39 L2 # 317  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In Table 141-9 what are "25GBASE-PR20-D 50/25GBASE-PR20-D 50GBASE-PR20-D 25/10GBASE-PR20-D 50/10GBASE-PR20-D"?

SuggestedRemedy

Change from "25GBASE-PR20-D 50/25GBASE-PR20-D" to "25GBASE-PQ11G-U2 25GBASE-PQ11X-U2 50/25GBASE-PQ21G-U2 50/25GBASE-PQ21X-U2" and from "50GBASE-PR20-D" to "50GBASE-PQ22G-D2 50GBASE-PQ22X-D2" and from "25/10GBASE-PR20-D 50/10GBASE-PR20-D" to "25/10GBASE-PQ11G-D2 25/10GBASE-PQ11X-D2 50/10GBASE-PQ21G-D2 50/10GBASE-PQ21X-D2"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6 P39 L35 # 318  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Footnote "a" does not need any TBDs, we have objectives covering BER. Same issue exists in Table 141-13 pg 43 line 45

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "12" and "XX.X" to proper xref (142.2.2.4 in D1.1) in both tables

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6. P41 L41 # 246  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Motion#5 in Pittsburgh meeting:  
 - Change Ton and Toff maximum values from 512 ns to 128 ns.

SuggestedRemedy

Turn-on time (max) is 128 ns.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6. P41 L43 # 247  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Motion#5 in Pittsburgh meeting:  
 - Change Ton and Toff maximum values from 512 ns to 128 ns.

SuggestedRemedy

Turn-off time (max) is 128 ns.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6. P43 L46 # 248  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

BER is better than or equal to 10<sup>-12</sup>.

SuggestedRemedy

The BER of 10<sup>-12</sup> is achieved by the utilization of FEC as described in 142.2.2.9.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P40 L12 # 269  
 Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The second upstream wavelength range for 50GBASE-PQ22X-D3 in Table 141-10 is incorrect. This was propagated from a typo in johnson\_3ca\_4\_0518, so this should be considered an editorial change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second wavelength range from 1340 to 1344nm to 1318 to 1322nm.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P41 L1 # 263  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 141-11, ONU PR20 Transmit Characteristics, requires populating.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Accept the values in the table shown in johnson\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf, slide x, to populate Table 141-11.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P41 L14 # 275  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average launch power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant TX. A TX that meets the requirements of minimum OMA minus TDP and minimum OMA is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER. This line should be removed from Table 141-11.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average launch power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-11.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P41 L27 # 267  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 APD RX sensitivity depends on ER due to avalanche multiplication noise in the one and zero rails. The currently accepted baseline APD receiver sensitivities assume that all TX have worst-case ER. By allowing TX with higher than minimum ER to launch slightly lower OMA, significant laser power savings is possible.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Create two separate spec lines for minimum OMA minus TDP, one for ER ≥ 4.5dB with min. value of 0.5dBm, and one for ER < 4.5dB with min. value of 0.8dBm, as shown in johnson\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf, slide 7.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P41 L27 # 276  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Launch power in OMA minus TDP (min) giving the informative minimum average launch power for the specific worst case of minimum ER and maximum TDP.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add footnote to "Launch power in OMA minus TDP, each channel (min) in Table 141-11 which reads, "For reference, this implies that the minimum average launch power per channel at minimum extinction ratio and maximum TDP is 4 dBm. This value is informative only."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P42 L21 # 277  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average launch power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant TX. A TX that meets the requirements of minimum OMA minus TDP and minimum OMA is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER. This line should be removed from Table 141-12.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average launch power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P42 L30 # 278  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Launch power in OMA minus TDP (min) giving the informative minimum average launch power for the specific worst case of minimum ER and maximum TDP.

SuggestedRemedy

Add footnote to "Launch power in OMA minus TDP, each channel (min) in Table 141-12 which reads, "For reference, this implies that the minimum average launch power per channel at minimum extinction ratio and maximum TDP is 6 dBm. This value is informative only."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P42 L30 # 268  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

APD RX sensitivity depends on ER due to avalanche multiplication noise in the one and zero rails. The currently accepted baseline APD receiver sensitivities assume that all TX have worst-case ER. By allowing TX with higher than minimum ER to launch slightly lower OMA, significant laser power savings is possible.

SuggestedRemedy

Create two separate spec lines for minimum OMA minus TDP, one for ER ≥ 6dB with min. value of 4.0dBm, and one for ER < 6dB with min. value of 4.2dBm, as shown in johnson\_3ca\_1\_0718.pdf, slide 6.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.1 P44 L12 # 270  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The second upstream wavelength range for 50GBASE-PQ22X-D3 in Table 141-12 is incorrect. This was propagated from a typo in johnson\_3ca\_4\_0518, so this should be considered an editorial change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second wavelength range from 1340 to 1344nm to 1318 to 1322nm.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P43 L18 # 264  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 141-13, OLT PR20 Receive Characteristics, requires populating.

SuggestedRemedy

Accept the values in the table shown in johnson\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf, slide x, to populate Table 141-13.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P43 L30 # 283  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-13.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-13.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P43 L35 # 284  
Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max) giving the informative maximum unstressed average power receiver sensitivity for the specific worst case of minimum ER.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the footnote to "Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max)" in Table 141-13, "For reference, this implies that the maximum average power unstressed receiver sensitivity measured with an ideal transmitter signal at minimum extinction ratio is -23.5 dBm. This value is informative only."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P43 L50 # 253  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 PR30 and PR20 should have same BER specification.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Measured with conformance test signal at TP3 (see 141.7.11) for BER = 10<sup>-2</sup>.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.1 P45 L3 # 319  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 The use of the parenthetical "(channel)" here is superfluous (and makes for good comment bait)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P44 L19 # 285  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The inclusion of an informative spec on minimum average receive power doesn't serve any purpose to specify a compliant RX. An RX that meets the requirements of maximum receiver sensitivity (OMA) and maximum stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA) is compliant, even for very low values of AVP associated with very high ER signals. This line should be removed from Table 141-14.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the line for "Average receive power, each channel (min)" in Table 141-14.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.9 P46 L2 # 249  
 Lee, HH ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 missing 25 Gb/s PHYs.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 For 10 Gb/s PHYs and 25 Gb/s PHYs  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.6.2 P44 L24 # 286  
 Johnson, John Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 As a reference value for comparison with legacy power specification methods, a footnote should be added to the line for Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max) giving the informative maximum unstressed average power receiver sensitivity for the specific worst case of minimum ER.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add to the footnote to "Receiver sensitivity (OMA), each channel (max)" in Table 141-14, "For reference, this implies that the maximum average power unstressed receiver sensitivity measured with an ideal transmitter signal at minimum extinction ratio is -25.7 dBm. This value is informative only."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L21 # 191  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Laser on/off timing measurement was defined for 10G-EPON in 75.7.14 via reference to 60.9.13.1 with updates to 10G-EPON specific values for particular parameters. The measurement procedure described in 60.9.13.1 is heavily referencing individual 1G-EPON reference tables and specific line code.  
 To avoid interpretation issues and because of multi-lane operation of Nx25G-EPON, rather than taking the approach used in 10G-EPON, it is suggested that content in 141.7.13 be filled in based off the description included in 60.9.13.1, with all necessary updates to make this text applicable to Nx25G-EPON.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace content in 141.7.13 with content from hajduczenia\_3ca\_7\_0718.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status O



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L22 # 320  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Laser Ton Toff definitions non-existent.

SuggestedRemedy

For 10G-EPON & 1G-EPON Ton & Toff are defined in 60.9.13.1.1. Copy that text to a new section, 141.7.13.1, making changes so it reads:

Ton is denoted as the time beginning from the falling edge of the Tx\_Enable line to the ONU PMD and ending at the time that the optical signal at TP2 of the ONU PMD is within 15% of its steady state parameters (average launched power, wavelength, RMS spectral width, transmitter and dispersion penalty, optical return loss tolerance, jitter, RIN{TBD}, extinction ratio and eye mask opening) as defined in Table 141-11 or Table 141-12 as appropriate. Ton is presented in Figure{TBD}. The data transmitted may be any valid 256B/257B symbols.

Toff is denoted as the time beginning from the rising edge of the Tx\_Enable line to the ONU PMD and ending at the time that the optical signal at TP2 of the ONU PMD reaches the specified average launch power of off transmitter as defined in Table 141-11 or Table 141-12 as appropriate. Toff is presented in Figure{TBD}. The data transmitted may be any valid 256B/257B symbols.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L26 # 321  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Motion #5 from the Pittsburgh meeting changed Ton and Toff to 128 ns. Ton & Toff are defined in Tables 141-11 and 141-12 although they is never referred to as "Ton" or Toff until here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from  
 "a) Ton is defined in 141.TBD, and its value is less than 512 ns (defined in T able 141-TBD)." to  
 "a) Ton is defined in Table 141-11 and Table 141-12, and its value is less than 128 ns. and change from  
 "e) Toff is defined in 141.TBD, and its value is less than 512 ns (defined in T able 141-TBD)." to  
 "e) Toff is defined in Table 141-11 and Table 141-12, and its value is less than 128ns."

observe subscribing

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L27 # 222  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Wrong value for Ton.

SuggestedRemedy

Per May 2018 Motion 5, Ton value is less than 128 ns

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L27 # 250  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Motion#5 in Pittsburgh meeting:  
 - Change Ton and Toff maximum values from 512 ns to 128 ns.

SuggestedRemedy

change 521 ns to 128 ns.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L32 # 251  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Motion#5 in Pittsburgh meeting:  
 - Change Ton and Toff maximum values from 512 ns to 128 ns.

SuggestedRemedy

change 521 ns to 128 ns.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L32 # 241  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Max values for Ton, Toff, Tcdr and Treceiver\_settling are indicated, but upstream bandwidth efficiency will be improved if vendors do more than just meet the max values, and significantly reduce them. Encouragement should be provided with an explanation of why.

SuggestedRemedy

Add informative note: "Vendors are encouraged to not only meet the maximum specified values for Ton, Toff, Tcdr and Treceiver\_settling, but to minimize these values as far as possible without adding significant cost. This will improve upstream bandwidth efficiency".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.13 P46 L32 # 223  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Wrong value for Toff.

SuggestedRemedy

Per May 2018 Motion 5, Toff value is less than 128 ns

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.14.2 P47 L3 # 322  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There are several issues with this figure.  
 1) the method of introducing the variable link loss is unspecified and should be done via an optical attenuator to eliminate excessive delays due to fiber, which are not accounted for in the description.  
 2) there are no limits placed on the fiber length, which could adversely affect the measurement given the description which assumes that TP6 and TP7 are relatively close.  
 3) there is a stray double sided arrow at ~line 19.

SuggestedRemedy

1) change "Variable link loss" to "variable optical attenuator"  
 2) Add a note "All fiber segments are patch cords between 1 and 5 meters in length"  
 3) strike the stray arrowed line.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.7.14.2 P47 L33 # 323  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The figure is the test setup for measuring the parameter, not something else "Figure 141-3 illustrates the test setup for the OLT PMD receiver (upstream) Treceiver\_settling time"

SuggestedRemedy

Change:  
 "Figure 141-3 illustrates the test setup for the OLT PMD receiver (upstream) Treceiver\_settling time" to  
 "Figure 141-3 illustrates the test setup for measuring the OLT PMD receiver (upstream) Treceiver\_settling time"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 141 SC 141.9.3. P50 L32 # 252  
 Lee, HH ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

ITU-T G.671. am1 is superseded to ITU-T G.671 (2/12).

SuggestedRemedy

change ITU-T G.671. am1 to ITU-T G.671 (02/12).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.1 P54 L8 # 193  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"used with {NG-EPON type} point-to-multipoint (P2MP) networks" - we need proper replacement after PMD naming discussion in May 2018

SuggestedRemedy

Change "used with {NG-EPON type} point-to-multipoint (P2MP) networks" to "used with Nx25G-EPON point-to-multipoint (P2MP) networks"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 142 SC 142.1 P54 L8 # 324  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Replace {NG-EPON type}  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change to: Nx25G-EPON  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2 P54 L11 # 325  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dual Rate PCS  
 Rather than create two PCS clauses for Nx25G-EPON, one for 25G and another, nearly identical at 10G we should structure this clause to handle both rates.  
 Numerous comments submitted will be towards that end, all begin with the tag "Dual Rate PCS" for easy identification.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add the following text to the end of this section:  
 "In this clause the term xGMII is used to refer to both the 25GMII and the XGMII interfaces."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.1 P54 L31 # 326  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dual Rate PCS  
 Change :  
 "This subclause defines the PCS {NG-EPON type} supporting burst mode operation over the P2MP medium. The {NG-EPON type, symmetric} PCS is specified to support {NG-EPON types}, where both the receive and transmit paths operate at multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate. The {NG-EPON type, asymmetric} PCS supports {NG-EPON types}, in which OLT transmit path and ONU receive path operate at 25.78125 Gb/s, while the ONU transmit path and the OLT receive path operate at 10.3125 Gb/s rate. Figure XXX and Figure XXX show the relationship between the PCS sublayer and the ISO/IEC OSI reference model.  
 The PCS functional block diagram is shown in 0."

SuggestedRemedy  
 To :  
 "This subclause defines the Nx25G-EPON PCS supporting continuous or burst mode operation over the P2MP medium. For symmetric versions of Nx25G-EPON the PCS is specified to support receive and transmit paths both operating at the same number and type of xGMII. For asymmetric versions of Nx25G-EPON the PCS supports channel rate asymmetry, channel number asymmetry or a combination of both channel rate and channel number asymmetry. The PCS supports xGMII rates of 25.78125 Gb/s and 10.3125 Gb/s. Figure 142-1 shows the relationship between the PCS sublayer and the ISO/IEC OSI reference model.  
 The PCS functional block diagram is shown in Figure 142-2."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.1 P54 L32 # 194  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Text of overview needs an update

**SuggestedRemedy**

Use the following text:

This subclause defines the Nx25G-EPON PCS supporting burst mode operation over the P2MP medium. The Nx25G-EPON PCS is specified to support PQ-type PMDs, where

- both the receive and transmit paths operate at the multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate (25/25G-EPON, 50/25G-EPON, and 50/50G-EPON), or
- the receive path operates at the multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate and the transmit path operates at 10.3125 Gb/s (25/10G-EPON and 50/10G-EPON ONU), or
- the transmit path operates at the multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate and the receive path operates at 10.3125 Gb/s (25/10G-EPON and 50/10G-EPON OLT).

This subclause also specifies a FEC mechanism to increase the optical link budget or the fiber distance. Figure 142-1 show the relationship between the PCS sublayer and the ISO/IEC OSI reference model. The PCS functional block diagram is shown in 142-2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2 P54 L52 # 195  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Text of PCS transmit function needs an update

**SuggestedRemedy**

Use the following text:

This subclause defines the transmit direction of the Nx25G-EPON PCS. In the OLT, the PCS transmit function operates in a continuous mode at the multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate. In the ONU, the PCS transmit function operates in burst mode at the multiples of 25.78125 Gb/s rate (25/25G-EPON, 50/25G-EPON, and 50/50G-EPON) or at 10.3125 Gb/s rate (25/10G-EPON and 50/10G-EPON). The PCS transmit function includes a mandatory LDPC FEC encoder. The functional block diagram for the PCS transmit function is shown in 141-2. The PCS transmit function consists of the following functional blocks:

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2 P54 L53 # 327  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

remove {NG-EPON type}

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change from :

"This subclause defines the transmit direction of the PCS for {NG-EPON type}." to:

"This subclause defines the transmit direction of the Nx25G-EPON PCS.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2 P55 L1 # 328  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Dual Rate PCS

Remove {NG-EPON type, symmetric) and {NG-EPON type, asymmetric}

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change from:

"This subclause defines the transmit direction of the Nx25G-EPON PCS. In the OLT, the PCS transmit function operates at a 25.78125 Gb/s rate, as specified herein ({NG-EPON type, symmetric}), or at a {TBD} Gb/s rate, as specified in {TBD} ({NG-EPON type, asymmetric}). For all {NG-EPON type}, the ONU PCS operates in a burst mode in the transmit direction. The PCS includes a mandatory LDPC FEC encoder. The functional block diagram for the PCS transmit function is shown in 0. The transmit function consists of the following functional blocks." to:

"This subclause defines the transmit direction of the Nx25G-EPON PCS. In the OLT, the PCS transmit function operates at a 25.78125 Gb/s rate in a continuous mode. In the ONU, the PCS transmit function operates in a burst mode at a rate of either 25.78125 Gb/s or 10.3125 Gb/s rate depending on the type of PMD. The PCS includes an LDPC FEC encoder which is mandatory for operation at the 25.78125 Gb/s rate."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P56 L6 # 329  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Fix this (there is not Tx/Enc block, Data Det block or scrambler):  
— Transmit/Encode block (see 142.2.2.1),  
— Data Detect block (ONU only, see 142.2.2.7),  
— 64B/66B to 256B/257B Transcoder (see 142.2.2.1.3),  
— Scrambler (see 142.2.2.8),  
— FEC Encoder (see 142.2.2.9), and  
— Gear Box (see 142.2.2.10)."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:  
"The transmit function consists of the following functional blocks. A PCS Input block (see 142.2.2.1) which includes the 64B/66B encoder, 64B/66B to 256B/257B Transcoder functions. The PCS Input block also feeds data to the FEC Encoder function. A PCS Framing block (see 142.2.2.2) which identifies and adds framing information to the data stream. A PCS Transmit block (see 142.2.2.3) which multiplexes the FEC Parity into the data stream. Lastly the PCS includes a Gearbox block (see 142.2.2.10) which converts the data stream to the format expected by the PMA sublayer."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P85 L1 # 390  
Powell, Bill Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Title of CL 143.2.2 still includes 100 Gb/s

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of CL 143.2.2 to:  
"25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s operation over P2MP media"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1 P56 L51 # 330  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Dual Rate PCS  
Corrected wording for the para beginning "The Transmit/Encode functional block, which no longer exists.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace paragraph with:  
The PCS Input functional block accepts two consecutive 36-bit transfers from the 25GMII (or XGMII in the case of a 10 Gb/s) interface and converts them into a single 72-bit tx\_raw vector. The Input block discards all RATE\_ADJ\_EQs to allow for insertion of FEC parity block by the Output process (See 142.x.x). IBI\_EQs not required to complete a 256B/257B block at the end of a transmission are also discarded at the Input block. All other 72-bit vectors are encoded into a single 64B/66B block. Four 64B/66B blocks are accumulated and transcoded into a single scrambled 256B/257B block and copied to the FEC Encoder. A single bit indicating the accompanying 256B/257B vector has been scrambled is appended to the vector which is then stored in the INPUT\_FIFO.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.1 P57 L5 # 331  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

What is a 25BGASE?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "25BGASE-PR" to "Nx25G-EPON"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.1 P57 L7 # 196  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status X

HEX representation: a-f symbols are written now in lower caps or upper caps, with no consistency

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to use all upper caps in hex numbers, less :0x" designator indicating hex value Base standard seems to be inconsistent in thus respect today

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L13 # 198  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Multiple references to "25GBASE-PR PCS"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change globally to "Nx25G-EPON PCS" to designate PCS defined in Clause 142  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L14 # 202  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Information out of context "The 10GBASE-R PCS encodes each of the other control characters into a 7-bit C code."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike this sentence, we do not reuse anything from 10GBASE-R  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L13 # 197  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Multiple references to "25GBASE-PR"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change globally to "25GBASE-PQ" since PQ is the proper designator for new 256/257 bit coding, less all occurrences of "25GBASE-PR PCS"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L22 # 333  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 142-1 need several updates.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "Parity Placeholder" and "/P/" with "Rate Adjust" and "/RA/" on row 3 resp.  
 Add new row 4 to read: "InterBurst Idle", "/IBI/", "0x09", "0x09"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L14 # 332  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dual Rate PCS  
 Why is this statement pertinent? "The 10GBASE-R PCS encodes each of the other control characters into a 7-bit C code."  
 The two para in this section which both ref to Table 142-1 can be combined and applied to both 25GMII and 10GMII.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the section to read:  
 "The control characters and their mappings to Nx25G-EPON control codes are specified in Table 142–1. The representations of the control characters are the control codes. Control characters are transferred over the xGMII as an 8-bit value. The Nx25G-EPON PCS encodes the start and terminate control characters implicitly using the block type field. The Nx25G-EPON PCS does not encode the ordered set control codes. All control code values that do not appear in the table shall not be transmitted and are treated as an error if received."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.1.2 P57 L22 # 334  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dual Rate PCS  
 The table should apply to both 25G and 10G MIIs  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change headers from "25GMII ..." to "xGMII ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.1.3 P57 L36 # 335

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The transcoder no longer passed anything to the scrambler block which no longer exists.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the section to read:

"The 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder converts four consecutive 64B/66B blocks the into one scrambled 256B/257B block as described in 91.5.2.5."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.2 P57 L1 # 408

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

No definition of upstream burst structure

SuggestedRemedy

Use hajduczenia\_3ca\_3\_0718.pdf for text and drawing of the upstream burst structure

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.2 P57 L40 # 336

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Text to replace 142.2.2.2

SuggestedRemedy

142.2.2.2 PCS Framer

The PCS Framer process monitors data from the INPUT\_FIFO and transfers it to the TX\_FIFO, inserting inter-burst idle (IBI), start of burst synchronization pattern (SP), parity placeholders (PAR\_PLACEHLDR), and end of burst delimiter (EBD) as appropriate. While the INPUT\_FIFO is empty the PCS Framer process appends IBI to the TX\_FIFO. When the INPUT\_FIFO first becomes not empty, indicating the beginning of a burst, the SP is appended to the TX\_FIFO. Once the complete SP is appended to the TX\_FIFO the input process begins transferring data from the INPUT\_FIFO to the TX\_FIFO. When sufficient data for a full FEC information codeword has been transferred to the TX\_FIFO, or the end of the burst is detected as indicated by and empty INPUT\_FIFO, the PCS Framer process appends sufficient PAR\_PLACEHLDR blocks to the TX\_FIFO to allow insertion of the FEC parity codeword into the data stream by the PCS Transmit process. Additional FEC codewords are allowed for until the end of the transmission is indicated by an empty INPUT\_FIFO, at which point the PCS Framer appends the EDB to the TX\_FIFO followed by IBI.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.2 P57 L40 # 203

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Empty sections

SuggestedRemedy

Add {TBD} statements to the following sections: 142.2.2.2, 142.2.2.3, 142.2.2.4, 142.2.2.5

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.2 P57 L42 # 337  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Text to replace 142.2.2.3

SuggestedRemedy

The PCS Transmit process transfers data from the TX\_FIFO or FEC Encoder to the Gearbox functional block. On each transition of the CLK\_OUT to true the Transmit process retrieves one 258-bit block of data from the TX-FIFO. If the retrieved 258-bit block is SP[0] and Transmitting is false, indicating the beginning of a transmission, the PMA\_SIGNAL.request is set to true indicating that the laser should be turned on, and the lower 257-bits of the 258-bit block are sent to the Gearbox. If the retrieved 258-bit block is EDB and Transmitting is true, indicating the end of a transmission, the PMA\_SIGNAL.request is set to false indicating that the laser should be turned off, and the lower 257-bits of the 258-bit block are sent to the Gearbox. If the retrieved 258-bit block is PAR\_PLACEHLDR, indicating a FEC parity codeword should be inserted in the data stream, 257-bits of the parity are retrieved from the FEC engine and sent to the Gearbox. In all other cases, i.e., normal transmission data, the lower 257-bits of the 258-bit block retrieved from the TX\_FIFO are sent to the Gearbox.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.4 P57 L44 # 338  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remove 142.2.2.4 FEC Encoding and 142.2.2.5 Gearbox as these are duplicates of 142.2.2.9 & 142.2.2.10

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6 P57 L48 # 339  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Change "142.2.2.6 PCS Transmit" to "142.2.2.6 PCS Transmission path" to avoid confusion with 142.2.2.3

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6.1 P48 L4 # 410  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new constant into 142.2.2.6.1 Constants in alphabetical order:

"CD  
 TYPE: 10-bit integer  
 Value: 0x3CA  
 The CD is used for code word alignment synchronization."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6.1 P58 L35 # 340  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There are numerous references to "Transmit Process" in the draft, some refer to MPRS others to PCS. We should be specific.

SuggestedRemedy

"PCS Input Process" at: 58 line 35 is correct. Use "MPRS Input Process" everywhere else.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6.1 P58 L37 # 341  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remove the Editors note

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.2 P58 L45 # 411  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert new variable into 142.2.2.6.2 Variables in alphabetical order:  
 "PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER[]  
 TYPE: array of 2570 bits.  
 The PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER holds the 2560-bit calculated parity value along with the 10-bit CD value (see 142.2.2.9.1). The total size of 2570 bits aligns represents the same size as ten 257-bit line encoding blocks."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.2 P58 L49 # 342  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dual Rate PCS  
 Change "25GMII clock" to "xGMII clock"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.2 P60 L18 # 343  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 There are numerous references to "Input Process" in the draft, some refer to MPRS others to PCS. We should be specific.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use "PCS Input Process" at: pg/line, 60/18 and "MPRS Input Process" everywhere else (as is done at pg 102 line 4).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.3 P60 L31 # 412  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert new function into 142.2.2.6.3 Functions in alphabetical order:  
 "FecParity()  
 The first call to this function returns a vector containing the first 257 bits from the PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER, i.e. PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER<256:0>. Each subsequent call increments the indexes by 257 and returning a vector with the next 257 bits in the buffer. On the 10th call the last 257 bits are returned, i.e. PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER<2569:2312>, and the function resets to return PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER<256:0> on the next call. This emulates a circular buffer of size 10 by 257-bits."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.3 P60 L33 # 344  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 What is "FIFO F"?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Append(v), Fill(v), GetHead(), and IsEmpty() to F.Append(v), F.Fill(v), F.GetHead(), and F.IsEmpty().  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.6.4 P61 L20 # 345  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Reorder the PCS transmission path state diagrams into their logical order (Input, Framer, Transmit). Update references.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6.4 P61 L48 # 413  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "FecParity<256:0>" to "FecParity()".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.9 P64 L1 # 204  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 FEC encoding for the transmit function is already covered in 142.2.2.4  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Move content from 142.2.2.9 to 142.2.2.4 and updated cross references accordingly.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.6.4 P62 L10 # 346  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Figure 142–3 Exit conditions out of NEXT\_VECTOR confusing.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Unrotate and place below NEXT\_VECTOR not in a joint line with other defined exits (UCT, else).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.9 P64 L3 # 205  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Overview text needs an update  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "The {NG-EPON type} PCS shall encode the transmitted data stream using {TBD} FEC." to "The Nx25G-EPON PCS shall encode the transmitted data stream using 3072 x 17664 LDPC FEC, defined in 142.2.2.9.1."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.7 P62 L43 # 347  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Strike 142.2.2.7 Data detector {TBD}, 142.2.2.7.1 Burst Mode operation (ONU only) {TBD}, and 142.2.2.8 Scrambler See 49.2.6.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 142 SC 142.2.2.9.1 P64 L34 # 414  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Modify the figure to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting. Remove the 1) the circle underneath "K-bit information" on the left side of the figure, 2) remove the shorter arrow between that circle and the "Zero Padding" box and extend the remaining arrow to the same box, 3) remove the down and right arrow and label "K-bit information", and 4) "N-bit FEC codeword" to "Parity Staging Buffer". Up to the Editor to continue using the mux symbol or to replace with a buffer representation.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.9.1 P64 L46 # 415  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Modify to refelect PCS state diagrams adopted at the last meeting.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new text paragraph after Figure 142-6:

"The LDPC encoder as shown in Figure 142-6 places the M-bit FEC parity bits into the PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER for use by the PCS Transmit process (142.2.2.6) and the FecParity() function. The buffer is comprised of the 2560 bits of calculated parity along with the 10-bit CD (codeword delimiter) constant. This results in the parity bits assigned to PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER<2559:0> and the 10-bit CD value to PARITY\_STAGING\_BUFFER<2569:2560>. The transmission order starts with bit 0 and ends with bit 2569."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.2.10 P74 L25 # 348  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

142.2.2.10 Gearbox text to replace "{TBD}"

Note in this proposed change I've suggested a 16-bit interface between the PCS and PMA. This could also be a 1-bit interface as defined in 105.4.1 Inter-sublayer service interface. We would need to allow for both the 25.78125 and the 10.312 rates as 105.4 only allows for 25G rate. Also we might need to adopt the cl 105 nomenclature of IS\_UNITDATA.request, IS\_UNITDATA.indication, and IS\_SIGNAL.indication. I could not find a definition of an IS\_SIGNAL.request as we use in PON.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with:

"The gearbox adapts between the 257-bit width of the PCS blocks and the 16-bit width of the PMA interface. It receives the 257-bit blocks. When the transmit channel is operating in normal mode, the gearbox sends 16 bits of transmit data at a time via the PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive. The primitive is fully packed with bits. The bits shall be packed into the tx\_data-group in sequence with the lowest numbered bit of the block going into the lowest numbered bit of the part of tx\_data-group<15:0> bits containing bits from that block (see {equivalent to Figure 49-5}). The internal data-path width between the PCS and PMA is an implementation choice. Depending on the path width, the gearbox functionality may not be necessary."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3 P74 L38 # 349  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We no longer require a separate descrambler

SuggestedRemedy

strike "— Descrambler (see 142.2.3.5),"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.2.4 P76 L23 # 406  
Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Motion 10 at the last meeting accepted FEC delimiter match with the Hamming distance of 0 (i.e., an exact match). This allows the SD to be simplified and not use Compare function and Match variable. Also, by convention, constants should be shown in all caps. FecDecodeFail and FecDecodeSuccess are two dependent variables -- we can just use a single boolean instead of these two. Typo in FEC\_CW\_SIZE constant.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the synchronization state diagram in figure 142-14 with the state diagram shown in kramer\_3ca\_8\_0718.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.2.4 P76 L23 # 350  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

I believe the figure is 142-14

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Figure 76-20" to "Figure 142-14" and remove red highlight.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.2.4 P76 L50 # 351  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Correctly name Figure 142-14  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "Synchronizer state diagram"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.7 P77 L18 # 354  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 142.2.3.7 Receive/Decode no longer exists  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "142.2.3.7 64B/66B Decoder"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.5 P77 L9 # 352  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Remove 142.2.3.5 Descrambler  
 See 49.2.10.  
 This is done in the transcoder now.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.3 P78 L20 # 355  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 This is the Nc25G-EPON PMA  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace {NG-EPON type} with Nx25G-EPON  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.2.3.6 P77 L14 # 353  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 142.2.3.6 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder description is in error.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change section text to read:  
 The 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder converts one scrambled 256B/257B block received from the PCS Deframer functional block into four consecutive 64B/66B blocks as described in 91.5.3.5 and returns the result to the Output functional block.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 142 SC 142.3 P78 L21 # 206  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Title needs an update  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "Nx25G-EPON PMA"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143 P82 L3 # 356  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Resolution to Editors note and comment 52 against D1.0.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See remain\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf. Some figures in this clause are provided in source file remain\_3ca\_3\_0718.fm and pdf version of that source.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 143 SC 143.1 P82 L17 # 234  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "up to four PHYs requiring up to four 25 Gigabit Media Independent Interfaces".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2 P82 L48 # 395  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Point "b)" still includes "four" describing 25GMII PHYs  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change point "b)" to read:  
 "The MPRS converts between the MAC serial data stream and the parallel data paths of up to N=2 25GMIIIs servicing separate PHYs."  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.1 P82 L18 # 394  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Current text includes "up to four PHYs requiring up to four 25 Gb/s..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change text to read:  
 "...inter face with up to N=2 PHYs requiring up to N=2 25 Gigabit Media Independent Interfaces (25GMIIIs)"  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2 P84 L54 # 357  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**  
 item "e)" gets lost.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert a line feed or new line to ensure this text starts on the next page. Might increase the size of the fig so no text can occupy the same page.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2 P82 L8 # 235  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "of up to four 25GMIIIs".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.2 P85 L1 # 358  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 "25 Gb/s, 50 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s operation over P2MP media". Will "this clause" also address 10G?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add "10 Gb/s," to this list (2x). In the para below the clause title add "For additional details on operation at 10 Gb/s see 143.5.xxx."  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 143 SC 143.2.2.1 P85 L13 # 236  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "all four MPRS channels".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.2.1 P85 L15 # 396  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Lines 15-16 contain a sentence that should be dropped that mentions four channels and 100 Gb/s.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the sentence reading:  
 "An implementation containing all four channels supports 25 Gb/s, 5 0 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s MAC data rates."  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.2.1 P85 L21 # 391  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Several rows of table 143-1 still include 100 Gb/s  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 DC1 Row - Drop "and 100 Gb/s" from Col.3  
 DC2/DC3 Rows - Drop "at 100 Gb/s" from Col.3  
 UC1 Row - Drop "and 100 Gb/s" from Col.3  
 UC2/UC3 Rows - Drop "at 100 Gb/s" from Col.3  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.3.1 P86 L7 # 359  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 While true(ish) the following statement is incomplete. "Within the PCS, each EQ is converted into a single 66-bit block, according to the 64B/66B encoding rules (see 142.2.2.9)." Why doe the MPRS need to describe the details of the PCS?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike the sentence.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.3.1 P86 L13 # 216  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**  
 Replace "25XGMII transfer" in Figure 143-2 with "25GMII"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "25XGMII" to "25GMII"  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 143 SC 143.2.3.3 P86 L42 # 217  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**  
 Replace "see Figure 143-5" with "see Figure 143-3"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "143-5" to "143-3"  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4 P88 L36 # 237  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "all four MPRS channels".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4.1 P89 L29 # 393  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Figure 143-7 still includes 4 US channels (UC0-3)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Re-draw figure with only UC0 & UC1 lines (drop UC2 & UC3 lines)  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4 P88 L46 # 238  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "all four MPRS channels".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.2 25 P85 L1 # 225  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Title includes "100 Gb/s"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "100 Gb/s"  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4 P88 L46 # 229  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence includes "100 Gb/s" and mentions "four MPRS channels."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "100 Gb/s" and "four MPRS channels."  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.2 25 P85 L3 # 226  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence includes "100 Gb/s"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "100 Gb/s"  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.4.1 P89 L1 # 392  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Figure 143-6 still includes 4 US channels (UC0-3)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Drop UC2 & UC3 lines from figure and change summation of instantaneous data rate at top of figure accordingly.  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 143 SC 143.2.2 25 P85 L16 # 227  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence includes "100 Gb/s" and mentions "four channels."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove "100 Gb/s" and "four channels."  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 143 SC 143.2.2 25 P85 L20 # 228  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Table 143-1 has several mentions of "100 Gb/s"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove all "100 Gb/s"  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3 P97 L41 # 360  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 in Fig 143-12 TX\_FIFO is now ENV\_TX  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.1 P92 L54 # 239  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "of up to four 25GMIs".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "four" with up to N=2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.2 P98 L16 # 361  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**  
 Assuming "3.1" refers to subclause 3.1 it should be in forest green.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.1.1 P93 L # 240  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "four PLS service interfaces"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove text  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.3 P98 L18 # 362  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 Missing definitions for IEI\_EQ and IBI\_EQ  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add entry for IBI\_EQ and ref. definition Cl 142.  
 Add following for IEI\_EQ in 143.4.3.3:  
 IEI\_EQ  
 TYPE: 72-bit vector  
 Value: 0xF 10 10 10 10  
 The IEI\_EQ constant indicates that the MPRS is between bursts and there is no envelope being transmitted by the sublayer.  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 143 SC 143.4.1.1 P93 L45 # 397  
 Powell, Bill Nokia  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Sentence reads "four PLS service interfaces"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove "four"  
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.3 P98 L33 # 363  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This definition is incorrect: "The value of an EQ which represents idle space between transmissions."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "between" to "within"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P98 L48 # 366  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Ch should be a 1-bit integer  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.3 P98 L35 # 364  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 PARITY\_PLACEHLDR should be removed here and in 143.4.4.2 pg 104 line 47.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P98 L52 # 367  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 CwdLeft no longer exists in the SD. It was replaced with EnvLeft  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove CwdLeft definition  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P98 L45 # 365  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 TxActive is not defined  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add the following definition:  
 TxActive[ch]  
 TYPE: Boolean  
 Variable TxActive indicates the transmission status of MPRS channel ch. When TxActive is true, the MPRS channel ch outputs MAC data or Inter Envelope Idle. When TxActive is false, the channel ch only outputs Inter Burst Idle.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P99 L6 # 368  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This definition of EnvLeft implies that there is a fixed max time between US Grants (2.56 ns \* 2^22) "if negative this variable represents the number of EQ periods since the end of the last envelope on the channel".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the definition to be non-rollover  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P99 L18 # 369  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 GRANT\_MARGIN is not longer used in these SD's  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove definition.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.6.1 P102 L8 # 372  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 a envelope s/b an envelope  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P99 L33 # 370  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 There are numerous references to "Output Process" in the draft, we are likely to adopt an output process for the PCS also. We should be specific.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use "MPRS Output Process" everywhere in D1.1 (as is done on pg 108 line 35).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.4 P104 L33 # 373  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 There are numerous references to "Receive Process" in the draft, we are likely to adopt a receive process for the PCS also. We should be specific.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use "MPRS Receive Process" everywhere in D1.1.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.4 P99 L51 # 230  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Sentence reads, "For 100 Gb/s devices N = 4..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove that text; we only talk about N=1 or 2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.4.1 P104 L40 # 218  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Replace "See 143.4.4.1." with "See 143.4.3.1."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "143.4.4.1" to "143.4.3.1"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.3.5 P100 L39 # 371  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Comparing EnvLeft to GRANT\_MARGIN is no longer valid  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change " == GRANT\_MARGIN" to " <= 0"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 143 SC 143.4.4.2 P104 L45 # 219  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Replace "See 143.4.4.2." with "See 143.4.3.3."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "143.4.4.2" to "143.4.3.3" on line 45, 48  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.2 P105 L12 # 374  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 RX\_FIFO in Figure 143–15 and RX-FIFO at pg 106 line 10 s/b ENV\_RX.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P105 L28 # 220  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Replace "See 143.4.4.3." with "See 143.4.3.4."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "143.4.4.3" to "143.4.3.4" on line 28, 31, and 34  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P105 L38 # 375  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This phrase is incorrect "and runs at half the frequency of TX\_CLK"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike the phrase  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P106 L13 # 231  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Sentence reads, "For 100 Gb/s devices N = 4..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove that text; we only talk about N=1 or 2.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P106 L20 # 376  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We should be positionally specific in this definition: "The RxEQ variable represents the most recent EQ received from a 25GMII interface."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change;  
 "EQ received from" to:  
 "EQ received by the MPRS from"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.4 P106 L31 # 209  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 It's a typo : "eq,64:71">  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "eq,64:71"> to "eq<64:71">  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 143 SC 143.4.4.4 P106 L51 # 210  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 It's a typo : "octet\_index < 8,"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "octet\_index < 8," to "octet\_index < 8;"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

Cl 143 SC 143.4.4.5.2 P109 L17 # 377  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Figure 143-17 needs updating, no PARITY\_PLACEHLDR.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace with RATE\_ADJ\_EQ  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 144 SC 144 P113 L1 # 407  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Architecture overview for Clause 144 is missing  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Adopt text per hajduczenia\_3ca\_8\_0718.pdf, containing new text of introduction, architecture for ONU and OLT, parser and multiplexer state diagrams.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 144 SC 144 P113 L1 # 403  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Clause 144 should include additional material, such as a sublcuase for Channel Control Protocol.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Adopt the outline for Clause 144 as shown in kramer\_3ca\_5\_0718.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 144 SC 144 P113 L1 # 232  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Title includes "100G EPON"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace with Nx25G EPON  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 144 SC 144 P113 L1 # 224  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Title includes "100G EPON"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace with Nx25G EPON  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 144 SC 144.3.3 P116 L6 # 179  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 We are very inconsistent in the way we specify Opcode for Discovery GATE and the way we reference to it in text  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change all instances of "DISCOVERY GATE" to "DISCOVERY\_GATE" (observe case)  
 Change all instances of "DISCOVERY" when referring to the message Opcode (e.g., Figure 144-3) to "DISCOVERY\_GATE"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 144 SC 144.3.3 P117 L6 # 399  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Figure 144-2 is missing information or shows incorrect information being passed between the OLT and the ONUs  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 DISCOVERY\_GATE is missing Min and Max RSSI fields.  
 REGISTER shows target Laser ON/OFF (should not be there).  
 REGISTER and DISCOVERY\_GATE show a single SyncTime field. Should be SP1 Count, SP2 Count, [SP3 Count]  
 REGISTER\_ACK should echo the same SP Count values.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.1 P119 L27 # 378  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Per definition 1 EQ is 64 data bits and 8 control bits, therefore any number of EQs cannot equate to some number of ns.  
 The same issue exists at line 35, and at Pg 119 line 27 and line 35.  
 Note that in previous EPON clauses this confusion was avoided by using a defined term time\_quantum (different and distinct from TQ) to refer to the 16 ns required for a TQ to be transmitted at a given rate.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "in the units of 1 EQ" to "in the units of 1 EQ divided by 25 Gb/s"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.1 P119 L17 # 181  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Discovery Processing service interfaces do not sink and process DISCOVERY\_GATE MPCPDU.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove "OpcodeRx = DISCOVERY" from Figure 144-5  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.1 P119 L28 # 379  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Ton and Toff are not specified in CI 75 and it is now 128 ns.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 pg 119 line 28 change "Table 75-8 and Table 75-9" to "Table 141-11 and Table 141-12".  
 pg 119 line 31 change "VA LUE: 0xC8 (512 ns, default value)" to "VA LUE: 0x32 (128 ns, default value)"  
 pg 119 line 36 change "Table 75-8 and Table 75-9" to "Table 141-11 and Table 141-12".  
 pg 119 line 39 change "VA LUE: 0xC8 (512 ns, default value)" to "VA LUE: 0x32 (128 ns, default value)"  
 Pg 120 line 20 change "75.7.14" to "Table 75-8 and Table 75-9"  
 Pg 120 line 26 change "75.7.14" to "Table 75-8 and Table 75-9"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.1 P119 L22 # 182  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 No service interface definition for DISCOVERY\_GATE MPCPDU MH\_DISCOVERY  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Implement changes shown in hajduczenia\_3ca\_6\_0718.pdf - only changes are shown, i.e., new Figure 144-6, new Figure 144-11 with associated text, and new primitive definition (MCC:MACI(DISCOVERY\_GATE ...) in 144.3.3.5 + changes to Figure 144-3, Figure 144-6, and existing primitive in 144.3.3.5  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.2 P120 L28 # 380  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 An oddly self-deprecating definition of local time.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:  
 This variable holds the value of the local timer used to control MPCP operation. This variable is advanced by a timer at 390.625 MHz, and is equivalent to one EQ at 25 Gb/s. At the OLT the counter shall track the transmit clock, while at the ONU the counter shall track the receive clock. For accuracy of receive clock, see {TBD 65.3.1.2}. It is reloaded with the received timestamp value (from the OLT) by the {TBD Control Parser (see Figure 64–11)}. Changing the value of this variable while running using Layer Management is highly undesirable and is unspecified.  
 TYPE: 32 bit unsigned

Update the reference in 144.3.6.2 to point to this definition.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.2 P121 L1 # 233  
 Harstead, Ed Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The behavior of a "100G-EPON ONU" is described..

SuggestedRemedy

Delete description, or modify for 25G and 50G ONUs.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.5 P121 L36 # 180  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Message definitions are not sorted correctly

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following sort order:  
 MAC:MADI  
 MAC:MADR  
 MCC:MACI  
 MCC:MACR  
 Within each group, sort alphanumerically by the next character after "("

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.5 P123 L8 # 381  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "PendingGrant" seem to be "Pending Envelopes"

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "PendingGrants" to "PendingEnvelopes"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.6 P125 L16 # 402  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Per action item from May 2018 comment #104, we are to remove bit-level parsing and message field extraction from the state diagrams.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace state diagrams in figures 144-6 and 144-7 with a single state diagram shown in kramer\_3ca\_2\_0718.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.6 P125 L30 # 174  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

#### MH\_PRIMITIVES

Per discussion at the May 2018 meeting, showing data packing with bit-level information (see SEND DISCOVERY WINDOW state in Figure 144-6 as an example) is unnecessary - we already have bit-level definitions of message format, showing where individual fields are packed and in what order. Such detailed definitions can be compressed without loss of information, resulting in more compact state diagrams and removing unnecessary data replication

#### Suggested Remedy

The following changes need to be done:

Figure 144-6, state SEND DISCOVERY WINDOW, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <= (DISCOVERY_GATE|ChMap|StartTime|GrantLength|DiscoveryInfo)
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-7, state SIGNAL, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
(Flags|PendingGrants|DiscoveryInfo|LaserOnTime|LaserOffTime|Status) <= DataRx
MCC:MACI(REGISTER_REQ, Status, Flags, PendingGrants, RTT, LaserOnTime,
LaserOffTime, DiscoveryInfo)
```

Figure 144-8, state REGISTER, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <=
(REGISTER|PLID|MLID|Status|SyncTime|PendingGrants|LaserOnTime|LaserOffTime)
MCI:MA_DATA.request(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-10, state REGISTER\_REQUEST, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <=
(REGISTER_REQ|Status|PendingGrants|DiscoveryInfo|LaserOnTimeCapability|LaserOffTimeCapability)
MCI:MA_DATA.request(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
InsideDiscoveryWindow <= false
```

Figure 144-10, state REGISTER\_PENDING, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
(PLID|MLID|SyncTime|LaserOnTime|LaserOffTime) <= DataRx
Status <= accepted
if (LaserOnTimeCapability > LaserOnTime)
  LaserOnTime <= LaserOnTimeCapability
if (LaserOffTimeCapability > LaserOffTime)
  LaserOffTime <= LaserOffTimeCapability
MCC:MACI(REGISTER, SA, PLID, MLID, Status)
```

Figure 144-10, state REGISTER\_ACK, change content to read as follows and resize as

needed:

```
Registered <= True
DataTx <= (REGISTER_ACK|Ack|PLID|MLID|SyncTime)
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-10, state LOCAL\_DEREGISTER, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <= (REGISTER_REQ|Status <= deregister)
MCI:MA_DATA.request(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
MCC:MACI(REGISTER_REQ, Status <= deregister)
```

Figure 144-12, state RECEIVE\_REPORT, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
(NumNonEmptyQ|ReportTime|LLID[7]|QueueLength[7]) <= DataRx
MCC:MACI(REPORT, RTT, ReportCount, ReportList)
[start mpcp_timer, mpcp_timeout]
```

Figure 144-13, state PERIODIC\_TRANSMISSION, change content to read as follows and resize as needed (NOTE: ReportList parameters was removed, per comment tagged as MH\_REPORT1):

```
DataTx <= (REPORT|NumNonEmptyQ <= 0)
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-13, state SEND\_REPORT, change content to read as follows and resize as needed (NOTE 1: ReportList parameters was removed, per comment tagged as MH\_REPORT1; NOTE 2: ReportTime parameter was removed per comment tagged as MH\_REPORT2)

```
DataTx <= (REPORT|NumNonEmptyQ|LLID[7]|QueueLength[7])
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-15, state PERIODIC\_TRANSMISSION, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <= (GATE|ChMap <= 0)
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-15, state SEND\_GATE, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
DataTx <= (GATE|ChMap|StartTime|LLID[7]|Length[7]|Fragment[7]|ForceReport[7])
MCI:MADR(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl)
```

Figure 144-16, state CHECK\_START\_TIME, change content to read as follows and resize as needed:

```
(ChMap|StartTime|LLID[7]|Length[7]|Fragment[7]|ForceReport[7]) <= DataRx
```

Proposed Response

Response Status **O**

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 144 SC 144.3.3.6 P127 L20 # 175  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In D1.0, the field "Pending Grants" was changed to "Pending Envelopes" - this change needs to be propagated through the draft now

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "PendingGrants" with "PendingEnvelopes" in the following locations: Figure 144-3 (2x), Figure 144-4 (1x), Figure 144-5 (1x), 144.3.3.2 (including change of "pending grants that" to "pending envelopes that"), 144.3.3.5 (change primitive parameter name to PendingEnvelopes and definition, where present), Figure 144-7 (2x), Figure 144-8 (2x), Figure 144-10 (1x).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.4 P130 L19 # 186  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

MH\_PRIMITIVES  
 MH\_REPORT2  
 The Report Time field was dropped from REPORT MPCPDU in D1.0. It is still present in primitives and operands

SuggestedRemedy

- Remove ReportTime in the following locations:
  - Figure 144-11 (2 instances)
  - 144.3.4.5, definition of MCC:MACR(DA, REPORT, NumNonEmptyQ, ReportTime, LLID[7], QueueLength[7]) primitive and ReportTime parameter on page 132, line 1
  - 144.3.4.5, definition of MCC:MACI(REPORT, RTT, NumNonEmptyQ, ReportTime, LLID[7], QueueLength[7]) primitive and ReportTime parameter on page 132, line 18
  - Figure 144-12, one instance
  - Figure 144-13, two instances

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.4.6 P132 L43 # 176  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

REPORT associated primitives show the use of "ReportList" parameter, that is not defined anywhere

SuggestedRemedy

MH\_REPORT1  
 Remove "ReportList" parameter in Figure 144-12 (page 132, line 43) and Figure 144-13 (page 133, line 23)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5 P134 L7 # 207  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Grant Length #n field, see 144.3.7.1" uses not the correct field name - it is Envelope Length as of D1.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "Grant Length" with "Envelope Length"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5 P134 L15 # 208  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"pending envelopes" uses wrong capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Pending Envelopes" + fix reference to read 144.3.7.3

Proposed Response Response Status O



Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 144 SC 144.3.5 P134 L28 # 177  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In D1.0, the GATE MPCPDU definition was modified to use an compound field Envelope Allocation and defining subfields instead of defining them individually. This simplified message structure, but requires alignment of individual primitives

SuggestedRemedy

Implement changes shown in hajduczenia\_3ca\_5\_0718.pdf - all changes are tracked for visibility (both figures and text alike) - only sections / portions changed are shown

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.2 P135 L8 # 382  
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

ChIndex is never used in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the definition

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.2 P135 L15 # 178  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

in D1.1, the GATE MPCPDU Channel Assignment field uses only bits 0-1 to encode 2 channels. ChMap definition still uses bits 0-3

SuggestedRemedy

Change "value of bits 0 through 3 of the" to "value of the" to remove repetition of the range Change size from 4-bit to 8-bit to match the size of the Channel Assignment field in the GATE MPCPDU - no issue with keeping the variable larger even though most bits will be set to zero anyway

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P136 L10 # 183  
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In state diagrams that are being added, we consistently use state names where individual compound words are combined using "\_". In older state diagrams, we use names with compound words using " " (space) to combine them together. The use of space is confusing, especially when names of states are referenced anywhere

SuggestedRemedy

Align with the new state name methodology, i.e., use "\_" as combiner for state names where more than one word exists. In here, change "WAIT FOR GATE" to "WAIT\_FOR\_GATE". Scrub the whole draft

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P136 L18 # 211  
Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

wrong the number of bits for ChMap

SuggestedRemedy

Change "DataTx[48:50]" to "DataTx[48:51]" in SEND GATE box of Fig. 144-15

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P136 L19 # 212  
Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

mismatching StarTime with DataTx

SuggestedRemedy

Change "DataTx[46:87]" to "DataTx[56:87]" in SEND GATE box of Fig. 144-15

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P137 L1 # 404  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Per action item from May 2018 comment #104, we are to remove bit-level parsing and message field extraction from the state diagrams.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace the state diagram in figure 144-16 with the state diagram shown in kramer\_3ca\_6\_0718.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P137 L12 # 213  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 wrong the number of bits for ChMap  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "DataRx[48:50]" to "DataRx[48:51]" in CHECK\_START\_TIME box of Fig. 144-16  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P137 L13 # 214  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 mismatching StarTime with DataRx  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "DataRx[46:87]" to "DataRx[56:87]" in CHECK\_START\_TIME box of Fig. 144-16  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.5.5 P137 L19 # 215  
 Doo, Kyeonghwan ETRI  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 It needs to be considered that Localtime is periodically turned over  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "StartTime - LocalTime" to "|StartTime - LocalTime|" in Fig. 144-16  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.7 P141 L2 # 383  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Duane did investigate byte order per instructions given in the meeting and it is in agreement with comment 114 against D1.0 (SuggestedRemedy copied here for the convenience of the group.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In all MPCPDU message figures add the following note: "Octets within the frame are transmitted from top to bottom. Bits within a field or word are transmitted left to right with the leftmost bit within a field being the lsb." The arrow/note to the right of the octet numbering can then be removed.  
 Note that the label "Octets" should be kept and placed above the rightmost column of numbers.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 144 SC 144.3.7.2 P144 L3 # 405  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 It would be more convenient to show REPORT MPCPDU format with an array of 7 LLID reports, as was done for Envelope Allocations in GATE MPCPDU.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use the same structure for REPORT MPCPDU format (Figure 144-21) as was used for GATE MPCPDU format (Figure 144-20). The new structure is shown in kramer\_3ca\_7\_0718.pdf.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received      pecifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Networks 2r

---

**Cl 144**    **SC 144.3.7.6**                      **P150**            **L25**            # **398**

Kramer, Glen                                      Broadcom

**Comment Type**    **TR**            **Comment Status**    **X**

Wrong padding size in DISCOVERY GATE MPCPDU

*SuggestedRemedy*

Padding length should be 24 octets, not 26.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

---

**Cl 144**    **SC 144.3.7.7**                      **P150**            **L30**            # **409**

Hajduczenia, Marek                              Charter Communicatio

**Comment Type**    **TR**            **Comment Status**    **X**

New SYNC\_PATTERN MPCPDU is needed to address the need for dynamic configuration of Sync Pattern zones (value and/or duration)

*SuggestedRemedy*

See hajduczenia\_3ca\_4\_0718.pdf for motivation and hajduczenia\_3ca\_94\_0718.pdf for all changes in Clause 144 needed to accommodate the new mechanism, including new MPCPDU, changes to existing MPCPDUs, state diagrams, and associated text. All changes to the original D1.1 MPCP Clause are marked in red, including strike-throughs where appropriate.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

---

**Cl Title**    **SC Title**                      **P1**            **L17**            # **254**

Lee, HH    ETRI

**Comment Type**    **TR**            **Comment Status**    **X**

100G is out of scope.

*SuggestedRemedy*

delete 100 Gb/s in the title.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**