

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1 P106 L1 # 652  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D postdeadline  
 Draft 1.4 added the scrambler initialization function ResetScrambler(), but it only showed it for the receiving side. The same function should be applied to the transmitting side  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 1) Insert text "ResetScrambler()" to state RESET\_XBUF in Fig 142-12.  
 2) Move definition of ResetScrambler() function from 142.3.5.3 to 142.2.5.3  
 3) Replace the body of ResetScrambler() function definition in 142.3.5.3 with a reference to 142.2.5.3  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.3 P170 L16 # 653  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D postdeadline  
 Generally, when the NMS provisions an ULID into an ONU, that provisioning will come with a set of corresponding rules that tells the ONU how to handle traffic on that ULID (what UNI for forward to, what filtering to apply, etc.). If an ONU is required to accept traffic on BCAST\_ULID by default, but there are no rules provisioned for BCAST\_ULID, the ONU won't know what to do.  
 So, having predefined BCAST\_ULID is not helpful. A broadcast ULID can simply be terated as a special case of multicast ULID and be provisioned when needed (together with its specific rules).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove BCAST\_ULID row from Table 144-1.  
 Remove Broadcast ULID bullet item on line 28.  
 Update PICS accordingly.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3.6 P207 L20 # 654  
 Kramer, Glen Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D postdeadline  
 Comment #625 made it clear that we had an architectural issue with the channel Control protocol. On one side, we wanted CCP to specify timer-based automatic retransmission. On the other side, we need CCP to support multicast and broadcast operation, where such automatic retransmission is not possible. Comment #625 had to resort to an implementation escape clause, which is not good for interoperability.  
 Another problem is that the retransmission of frames due to loss/corruption is not in scope for 802.3 (apart from CSMA/CD-MAC-specific mechanisms).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 The proposal is to remove the cc\_timer and associated states from CC\_REQUEST Processing SD in the OLT. In effect, the decision to retransmit or not, how soon, and how many times will be deferred to CCP Client. The client can also decide whether CC\_REQUEST goes on unicast or multicast MLID and how to handle missed response(s) in multicast such case.  
 New OLT state diagram is shown in kramer\_3ca\_12\_0319.pdf  
 These changes are also reflected in the updated block diagrams in kramer\_3ca\_8a\_0319.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.