C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 3	# <u>i-36</u>	C/ 1	SC 1.4.64ca	P 18	L 8	# i-15
Grow, Robert	RMG Consulti	ng		Anslow, Pet	er	Ciena Cor	poration	
Comment Type G	Comment Status D			Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status D		
This boxed paragraph draft name.	is included in the published sta	andard therefor	e, it should not use the	http://ww	vw.ieee802.or	ng inserted subclauses can g/3/WG_tools/editorial/requ	uirements/words.htm	
SuggestedRemedy Change IEEE P802.3c	c-20xx to IEEE Std 802.3cc-20	Dxx.		LR shou	Id be 1.4.64e	the newly inserted 25GBA	SE-ER SHOULD DE	1.4.0401 and 25GBASE-
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedF				
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,				per 1.4.64ca to per 1.4.64ea to			
C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert	P 13 RMG Consulti	L 25 ng	# i-37	Proposed R PROPC	esponse SED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
Comment Type G	Comment Status D			C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 20	L 16	# li-16
	been published and should be	in the list.		Anslow, Pet		Ciena Cor	poration	
	15/Cor 1-2017 fies which lane of the media du tity (PHY) is used as the times			1101			w for 1 1 0 1 0 x wi	ith:
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedF	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT	Р		# ji-20	modifie	by IEEE Std	on change: "(as modified by 802.3bq-2016 and IEEE St 1 0 1 0 1 to "1 1 0 1 0 1 = 2	td 802.3bv-2017)"	, , ,
Perry, Lisa		-	120	"1 1 0 [˘] 1		underlined, "25GBASE-LR		
Comment Type G	Comment Status D			Remove	e the rows for ?	10100 and 11010 x		
This draft meets all ed	itorial requirements.			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy				PROPC	SED ACCEPT			
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.6**

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8	P 20	L 52	# i-21	C/ 108	SC 108.5.3.2	P 26	L 17	# i-24
BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Hawaiian Elec	tric Com			i, DEMETRIO JR		Electric Com	
Comment Type TR C "PMD Transmit Disable" fur ER" respectively. If "DISABI is it not needed? SuggestedRemedy				25GBA "Mand require	entence applies to ASE-ER PHY" are atory". However, "2 ement as indicated	Comment Status D '25GBASE-R RS-FEC' covered. That seemed 25GBASE-CR and 25G in "Table 105-2" but we tion as shown for Table	to coordinate with "T BASE-KR" have the ere not included in th	same mandatory
				Suggestea	Remedy			
PROPOSED REJECT.	esponse Status W			sublay				5GBASE-R RS-FEC BBASE-SR, 25GBASE-
The normal state is for the t	ransmitter to be enabled.	When it is not	disabled, it is enabled.	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Р 22 Hawaiian Elec	L 6	# i-22	PROP	OSED REJECT.			
,	Comment Status D orting EEE" appeared in a	alignment with t	he "EEE" column	Clause withou	e 108.5.3.2 realtes	-2 indicates that RS-FE to the option of having his option is not allowe	the RS-FEC perform	error detection
SuggestedRemedy				CI 108 BLICANEC	SC 108.7.3 , DEMETRIO JR	P 27 Hawaijan	L 10 Electric Com	# i-25
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W			Comment		Comment Status D		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Add th	51 -	subclause" references of	on "*LR and *ER" rov	ws in the 'Table' of
C/ 105 SC 105.5 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	P 25 Hawaiian Elec	<i>L</i> 28 tric Com	# i-23	Suggestea		ompleteness.		
	use, the sublayer c' is not necessary shoul	d "Table 105-3"	is correctly aligned	The ta	OSED REJECT. ble in 108.7.3, to w	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy		-			also leave the Sub this convention.	clause reference blank.	For consistency, [^] L	R and *ER should
Delete Note c as: "cShould requirements of the relevan clause prevails."			le and the delay					
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W							
PROPOSED REJECT.								
"Note c" already exists in es an identical note. Also, "Not being added.								
TYPE: TR/technical required El COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatc SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclau	hed A/accepted R/rejec				U/unsatisfied Z/w		108 © 108.7.3	Page 2 of 8 2017/05/19 6:10:4

	P 32 Hawaiian Electi	L 23 ric Com	# i-26	C/ 114 SC 114.6 Dawe, Piers J G		9 34 Ilanox Techr	L 7 pologie	# i-35
Subclause "114.12.4.1" uggestedRemedy	Comment Status D should be labeled "(OPTIONAl as per "Item CF11" if such. ID reset function (optional)" Response Status W		e with the "Table" in	Comment Type T The 25GBASE-ER operate over a wid limits on max OMA	Comment Statu extinction ratio limit sh e temperature range. , max average power a affected by this chang	ould be rela The APD rec Ind min IL, the	xed to allow lo	w cost transmitters tha cted from overload by the highest power in 0
Follows convention esta 88.12.4.1).	ablished in prior standards (see	e Clause 88.5.	6 and CF12 in Clause	DISCUSS 	.1 F	[,] 34	L7	# [i-34
/ 114 SC 114.6.1	P 33	L 47	# i-2	Dawe, Piers J G		lanox Techr		
aubach, Mark	Broadcom Limit	ted		Comment Type TR	Comment Statu	is X	-	
omment Type E Lowercase "M" and "A" uggestedRemedy As per comment.	Comment Status D in lines 47 and 49.			operate over a wid because the laser better receiver refle	extinction ratio limit sh e temperature range. has to run faster. This cotance and TDP than n max OMA and max	The limit sho can be done 10GBASE-L	ould be lower the here because R. The receiv	han 10GBASE-LR e 25GBASE-LR has /er is protected from
	Response Status W shed by prior standards is to ca se Table 87-7 or Table 88-7 in			SuggestedRemedy Change 3.5 dB to 3 Proposed Response	s not affected by this cl dB <i>Response Statu</i>	J		
/ 114 SC 114.6.1	P 33	L 50	# <u>i-3</u>	DISCUSS				
aubach, Mark	Broadcom Limit	ted		C/ 114 SC 114.6		°34	L 9	# i-27
omment Type E	Comment Status D			BUCANEG, DEMETRI	D JR Hav	vaiian Elect	ric Com	
"TDP" is used here before following line to use "TE	pre being defined in next table DP" only.	row entry. De	ine it here, modified		<i>Comment Statu</i> DMA(max)" value in "T		coordinate wit	h Subclause "114.7.7
				b)" in Page 38, Lin	37?			
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
uggestedRemedy As per comment.								
	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Statu			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 114	Page 3 of 8
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 114.6.1	2017/05/19 6:10:43
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

<i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.1 Anslow, Peter	P 34 Ciena Corporat	L 15 tion	# i-17	C/ 114 Lewis, Jon	SC 114.6.3	<i>P</i> 36 Dell	L 13	# i-19
Same issue on page 35,	Comment Status D er should be a multiply sign (line 36	(Ctrl-q 0) not ar	ı x.	Comment T The text SuggestedF	t "40a" is not in l	Comment Status D line with other text in the ta	ble line.	
SuggestedRemedy In "5x10-5" change the x Make the same change of	character to a multiply sign (on page 35, line 36.	(Ctrl-q 0).		Proposed R	esponse	in that table cell. <i>Response Status</i> W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPO C/ 114	SED ACCEPT. SC 114.6.3	P 36	L 15	# <u>i-28</u>
C/ 114 SC 114.6.2	P 35	L 42	# i-1	· · ·	DEMETRIO JR	Hawaiian El Comment Status D	ectric Com	
Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Add a period at end of se	Broadcom Limi Comment Status D entence for footnote "d".	ited		should r	ue of "Channel I match the same	nsertion Loss (max)" for "2 item in Page 40, Line 7 of 8 dB" in "Table 114-11".	5GBASE-ER (30) "Table 114-11". "	km)" in "Table 114-8" Table 114-8" showed
SuggestedRemedy As per comment.				SuggestedF	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed R		-		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				,	SED REJECT.	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 36 Cisco Systems	L 9 , Inc.	# i-38	PROPO The mat addition	ximum channel al insertion loss	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab	1-8. The sum is 18	8 dB (channel loss of
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 SC 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al	P 36 Cisco Systems Comment Status X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n	e link power budgets", egligible if just the	PROPO The mat addition	ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3	, insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7	8 dB (channel loss of
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 SC 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al	P 36 Cisco Systems Comment Status X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p cluded. If any 35 dB RL or 26	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n	e link power budgets", egligible if just the	PROPC The ma: addition 15 dB + Cl 114 Laubach, Ma Comment T	vised REJECT. ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3 ark ype T	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab P 37 Broadcom L <i>Comment Status</i> D	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7 imited	8 dB (channel loss of or example. # [i-14
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al PMD reflectance's are in penalty becomes signific See the presentation fror king_03cc_01_0517 (waa	P 36 Cisco Systems Comment Status X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p cluded. If any 35 dB RL or 26	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n 6 dB RL connec 8cc AdHoc mee	e link power budgets", egligible if just the ctors are included, MPI eting 10 May 2017,	PROPC The ma addition 15 dB + C/ 114 Laubach, Ma Comment Ty "-" is no find a va "114.6.1	ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3 ark ype T t a cross referer alue of 30dB in f	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab P 37 Broadcom L	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7 imited or "Side mode sup	8 dB (channel loss of or example. # <u>i-14</u> opression ratio". I did
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al PMD reflectance's are im penalty becomes signific See the presentation fror king_03cc_01_0517 (was comment.	<i>P</i> 36 Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p cluded. If any 35 dB RL or 26 ant. n Jonathon King to the 802.3	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n 6 dB RL connec 8cc AdHoc mee	e link power budgets", egligible if just the ctors are included, MPI eting 10 May 2017,	PROPC The ma addition 15 dB + C/ 114 Laubach, Ma Comment T "-" is no find a va "114.6.1 SuggestedF	ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3 ark ype T t a cross referer alue of 30dB in 7 "? Remedy	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab P 37 Broadcom L <i>Comment Status</i> D nce to a related subclase for	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7 imited or "Side mode sup	8 dB (channel loss of or example. # <u>i-14</u> opression ratio". I did
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al PMD reflectance's are im- penalty becomes signific See the presentation from king_03cc_01_0517 (was comment. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> If connector reflections a	<i>P</i> 36 Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p cluded. If any 35 dB RL or 26 ant. n Jonathon King to the 802.3	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n 6 dB RL connec Bcc AdHoc mee 7may.pdf) for t in 114.6.3, add	e link power budgets", legligible if just the ctors are included, MPI eting 10 May 2017, he data behind this an allocation for MPI	PROPC The mai addition 15 dB + C/ 114 Laubach, Ma Comment Ty "-" is no find a va "114.6.1 SuggestedF As per co Proposed R	AND REJECT. ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3 ark ype T t a cross referent alue of 30dB in f "? Remedy comment. esponse	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab P 37 Broadcom L <i>Comment Status</i> D nce to a related subclase for	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7 imited or "Side mode sup	8 dB (channel loss of or example. # <u>i-14</u> opression ratio". I did
PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 114 <i>SC</i> 114.6.3 Jones, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> TR Looking at "Table 114-8- the link budget doesn't al PMD reflectance's are im- penalty becomes signific See the presentation from king_03cc_01_0517 (was comment. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> If connector reflections a	<i>P</i> 36 Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> X -25GBASE-LR and 25GBAS llocate penalty for MPI MPI p cluded. If any 35 dB RL or 26 ant. n Jonathon King to the 802.3 s 25GBASE-LR-ER-MPI-201 re to be accounted for, then	, Inc. E-ER illustrativ enalty is only n 6 dB RL connec Bcc AdHoc mee 7may.pdf) for t in 114.6.3, add	e link power budgets", legligible if just the ctors are included, MPI eting 10 May 2017, he data behind this an allocation for MPI	PROPC The mai addition 15 dB + C/ 114 Laubach, Ma Comment Ty "-" is no find a va "114.6.1 SuggestedF As per co Proposed R	ximum channel al insertion loss additional inser SC 114.7.3 ark ype T t a cross referer alue of 30dB in 7 "? Remedy comment.	insertion loss value for 30 l allowed given in Table 114 tion loss of 3 dB). See Tab <i>P</i> 37 Broadcom L <i>Comment Status</i> D nee to a related subclase for 114.6.1 in Table 114-6. Cha	4-8. The sum is 18 le 88-14 as a pric <i>L</i> 7 imited or "Side mode sup	8 dB (channel loss of or example. # <u>i-14</u> opression ratio". I did

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 114
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 114.7.3
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Page 4 of 8 2017/05/19 6:10:43

C/ 114 SC 114.7.3	P 37	L 11	# i-4	C/ 114 SC 114.7.3	P 37	L 34	# [i-7
aubach, Mark	Broadcom Limite	d		Laubach, Mark	Broadcom	1 Limited	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
OMA was defined on pa line 21). Same line 13	age 33. Just "OMA" here (will b for "TDP".	e consistent w	ith not spelling out on	Be consistent with lin SuggestedRemedy	e 28, change "Transmitter a	and dispersion pena	alty (TDP)" to "TDP".
uggestedRemedy				As per comment.			
As per comment.				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJEC	г.		
The names in the table	follow the convention established	d by prior star	ndards (see Table 87-	Title follows convention	on established by prior star	ndards (see Clause	88.8.5).
11 or Table 88-11 of 80				C/ 114 SC 114.7.5		L 48	# i-33
7 114 SC 114.7.3	P 37	L 26	# i-5	BUCANEG, DEMETRIO	IR Hawaiian Comment Status D	Electric Com	
aubach, Mark	Broadcom Limite	d		Comment Type TR	nan -138 dB/Hz" must be th	o correct value for	
Remove "(OMA)" from	Comment Status D title, already has been defined.				age 34, Line 9. If so, please to item "b)" in Subclause "1		
As per comment.				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Title follows convention	established by prior standards	(see Clause 8	8.8.4).	PROPOSED REJEC			
C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 .aubach, Mark	P 37 Broadcom Limite	L 33	# [i-6	transmitter for measu	dB/Hz corresponds to the ring TDP. The RIN20OMA of an actual transmitter.		
<i>comment Type</i> E Remove "(TDP)" from t	<i>Comment Status</i> D itle, already has been defined.			C/ 114 SC 114.7.6 Anslow, Peter	P 38 Ciena Cor	L 31 poration	# i-18
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
As per comment.				The note should have	e paragraph tag "Note" (whi	ch will reduce the fo	ont size)
roposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Apply paragraph tag	'Note" to the note.		
Title follows convention	established by prior standards	(see Clause 8	8.8.5).	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		

Page 5 of 8 2017/05/19 6:10:43

C/ 114

SC 114.7.6

C/ 114 SC 114.7.10 _aubach, Mark	P 39 Broadcom Lin	L 9 nited	# i-8	C/ 114 SC 114.12.3 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	P Haw	42 <i>L</i> 6 aiian Electric Com	# i-32
Comment Type E Com Add period to end of line for "a	nment Status D)".			Comment Type GR In the "Table" of Subcla this "Table".	Comment Status use "114.12.3", the	-	be defined as notation of
SuggestedRemedy As per comment.				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	onse Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status	w	
C/ 114 SC 114.7.10 P 39 L 22 # i-9 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Image: Second Limited <td>This is covered in Subc</td> <td>, , ,</td> <td></td> <td>ause 21 for detailed clase 21.6 states, "Each</td>				This is covered in Subc	, , ,		ause 21 for detailed clase 21.6 states, "Each
Comment Type TR Com " <ital>f</ital> " needs to be defi	nment Status D ned for use in Table 1	14-10 What do	pes "f" represent?				by an asterisk in the Item
SuggestedRemedy Define "f", Add a table footnote	?			C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.4 Laubach, Mark	-	43 L 6 dcom Limited	# [i-10
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED REJECT.	onse Status W			Comment Type TR Value/Comment needs	Comment Status text for CF1 and CF	-	
"f" represents frequency, which also do not define it, since it is				SuggestedRemedy As per comment.			
95.8.8, which is referred to in S	Subclause 114.7.10.			Proposed Response	Response Status	w	
C/ 114 SC 114.9	P 39	L 39	# i-29	PROPOSED REJECT.			
UCANEG, DEMETRIO JR	Hawaiian Elec	ctric Com		Follows example of esta	ablished standards	where this field is left h	lank for CE1 and CE2
Comment Type ER Com	ment Status D			See Subclause 88.12.4			
Add and define "INS" marking "114.12.3" Page 42, Line 11.	of cable to align with t	he "*INS" row o	of "Table" in Subclause				
SuggestedRemedy							
Proposed Response Resp	onse Status W						
PROPOSED REJECT.							
No remedy suggested. Comme standards (see Subclauses 88							

C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.1

Cl 114 SC 114.12.4.1 P 43 L 32 # i-30 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Hawaiiian Elect	C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.2 P 44 L 6 # i-31 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com
Comment Type G Comment Status D In "Status" column of "Table" in Subclause "114.12.4.1, Item CF11", what is "MD:O"? If "O" stands for "optional", then it partly aligns with other provisions in this document depending on the meaning of "MD".	Comment Type G Comment Status D In "Status" column of "Table" in Subclause "114.12.4.2, Item CM1", what is "MD:M"? If "O" stands for "manadatory", then it partly aligns with other provisions in this document depending on the meaning of "MD".
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
No change is requested. In answer to the question, per Subclause 114.12.3, "MD" indicates "MDIO capability". "O" indicates "optional". "CF11" specifies that PMD reset shall be as described in Subcalse 114.5.5 if MDIO capability exists and if "PMD_reset" is asserted.	No change is requested. In answer to the question, per Subclause 114.12.3, "MD" indicates MDIO capability. "CM1" relates to the management register set, and "MD:M" indicates that the register set is mandatory if there is MDIO capability.
Asserted. Cl 114 SC 114.12.4.2 P 44 L 6 # [i-11] Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited B	Cl 114 SC 114.12.4.5 P 45 L 7 # i-13 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Value/Comment needs text for CM1. SuggestedRemedy As per comment.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D I did not find a normative reference for "TIA/EIA-455-127-A" in IEEE Std. 802.3-2015 Clause 1.3. I also did not find it added by any of the ammendments listed in the front matter on pages 12-13. Please add the normative reference as an insert for Clause 1.3. (Note: I found the same problem with 802.by-2016. Should have been caught there.) SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W
Follows example of established standards where this field is left blank for CM1. See Subclause 88.12.4.2 or Subclause 95.12.4.2 as examples.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.5 Page 7 of 8 2017/05/19 6:10:44

C/ 114 Laubach, M	SC 114.12 . /lark		5 L 12 dcom Limited	2 7	# i-12
Comment T Value/0	51	Comment Status ds text for COM4, COM	-	, and COM9.	
Suggested As per	<i>Remedy</i> comment.				
Proposed F PROP	,	Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE.	W		
COM7, perforn 25GBA	, and COM8 s ned for each o NSE-LR and 25	le of 100GBASE-LR4, j becifies "Each lane" to f the four lanes compri- 5GBASE-ER only have lank (see Subclause 1	indicate that the n sing the PMD (see a single lane, and	neasurement s e Subclause 88 d the conventio	hould be 3.12.4.5). n in this case

For COM9, the Subclause 114.7.10 references Subclause 95.8.8. Subclause 95.8.8 applies to a four lane PMD, and contains specifications regarding agressor lanes, which do not apply to a single lane PMD. The Value/Comment field should remain blank, but the new item below should be inserted in the list in 114.7.10.

"g) The conditions for receiver aggressor lanes do not apply."

C/ 114 SC 114.12.4.5