IEEE P802.3cc D3.1 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Р CI 0 SC 0 L # r01-11 Perry, Lisa Comment Type Comment Status D This draft meets all editorial requirements. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 1 SC 1 P 1 / 1 # r01-8 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type G Comment Status D Note: Details of the tabulated comments seemed to get lost during the downloading of Excel Spreadsheet but could be emailed if needed. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. This is not a comment against P802.3cc D3.1. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 10 # r01-1 BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com Comment Type ER Comment Status D Quote: Written as "30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType" and might have been a minor typo for that letter "a". SuggestedRemedy Rewrite as: "30.5.1.1.2a aMAUType" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

"aMAUType" is specified to be name of the attribute of a managed object. "a" is not part of

the clause number.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P21 L9 # [r01-2

BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

For consistency as was written in "Tables 45-9 and 45-10", row 1 in "Table 45-12" should be corrected as shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite row 1 under column "PMA/PMD" as "25GBASE-LR, and 25GBASE-ER"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The format for the entries in Tables 45-9, 45-10, and 45-12 are consistent with convention (see tables in 802.3-2015).

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

For completeness, include the "Subclause" references for "25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER" respectively in table.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "Subclause" references for "*LR & *ER" in the table.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Leaving the reference blank is consistent with what was done for *KR, *CR, and *SR in Clause 108.7.3, which was introduced by P802.3by.

IEEE P802.3cc D3.1 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ 114 SC 114.1 P 40

L 7

r01-7

C/ 114 SC 114.6 P 33

L 4

r01-9

BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR

Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type TR

Comment Status D

In comparison, "Table 114-8, 25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 15 dB" is different from "Table 114-11, 25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 18 dB". If needs to match in value, suggest taking the higher magnitude where "Table 114-8" is revised as shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Coordinate consistent value of the "25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 18 dB" between "Tables 114-11 and 114-8".

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Tables 114-8 and 114-11 should be consistent with Tables 87-9 and 87-14, which set the precedent for illustrative link power budget and fiber optical cabling characteristics. respectively, when there is a specification for minimum channel loss. The following changes to Tables 114-8 and 114-11 will make them consistent with Tables 87-9 and 87-

- 1. Delete "(max)" from the channel insertion loss parameter cell in Table 114-8.
- 2. Delete the entire row for channel insertion loss (min) in Table 114-8.
- 3. Combine the cells for channel insertion loss (max) in Table 114-11 for 25GBASE-ER at 30km and 40km.

C/ 114

SC 114.6

TR

P 33

L 4

r01-10

Lewis. David Comment Type Lumentum

Comment Status D We need to include an allowance for MPI penalty in the link budget for 25GBASE-ER. According to

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cc/public/adhoc/170614/king 01 25gsmf 061417.pdf, the penalty needs to be 0.7 dB for lecacy cable plants used for 10GBASE-LR.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 114-6: change Transmitter reflectance (max) from -12 to -26 dB. Table 114-8: change Channel insertion loss (max) from 15 and 18 to 15 - value in Table vvv and 18 value in Table vvv, change maximum discrete reflectance from -26 to "see Table vvv". Add a new Table vyy with combinations of -26 and -35 dB connectors and corresponding entries to be subtracted from Channel insertion loss (max) for MPI penalty. These changes will be detailed in a presentation at the Berlin task force meeting.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

(Pending presentation and discussion)

Lewis. David

Lumentum

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status D

We need to include an allowance for MPI penalty in the link budget for 25GBASE-LR. According to

http://www.jeee802.org/3/cc/public/adhoc/170614/king 01 25gsmf 061417.pdf, the penalty needs to be 0.7 dB for lecacy cable plants used for 10GBASE-LR.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 114-6: change Transmitter reflectance (max) from -12 to -26 dB. Table 114-7: change Receiver sensitivity (OMA) (max) from -11.3 to -12 dBm and change Stressed receiver sentivity (OMA) (max) from -8.8 to -9.5 dBm. Table 114-8; change Power budget (for maximum TDP) from 9 to 9.7 dB, change maximum discrete reflectance from -26 to "see table xxx", and change Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP) from 2.7 to 3.4 dB. Add a new table xxx with combinations of -26 and -35 dB connectors that are supported based on an MPI penalty of 0.7 dB. These changes will be detailed in a presentation at the Berlin task force meeting.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

(Pending presentation and discussion)

C/ 114 SC 114.6.1 P 33

L 40

r01-4

BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR

Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

In "Table 114-6", "25GBASE-ER" has "Center Wavelength (Range)" of "1295 to 1310 nm". In comparison, "Table 114-7, 25GBASE-ER" has a different "Center Wavelength (Range)" of "1295 to 1325 nm". If needs to match in values, suggest taking the higher range where "Table 114-6" is revised as shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise as: "Table 114-6, 25GBASE-ER, Center Wavelength (Range) = 1295 to 1310 1325 nm".

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comments r01-4 and r01-5 are the same. The center wavelength range in Table 114-6 was made narrower to limit dispersion penalties for the 25GBASE-ER transmitter. The center wavelength range in Table 114-7, which specifies the 25GBASE-ER receiver, was matched to the range of 25GBASE-LR to allow interoperation between 25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER.

IEEE P802.3cc D3.1 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single-Mode Fiber 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ 114 SC 114.6.2

P **35**

L 13

r01-5

BUCANEG, DEMETRIO JR

Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In "Table 114-6", "25GBASE-ER" has "Center Wavelength (Range)" of "1295 to 1310 nm". In comparison, "Table 114-7, 25GBASE-ER" has a different "Center Wavelength (Range)" of "1295 to 1325 nm". If needs to match in values, suggest taking the higher range where "Table 114-6" is revised as shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Coordinate consistent values of the "25GBASE-ER, Center Wavelength (Range) = 1295 to 1325 nm" between "Tables 114-7 and 114-6".

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comments r01-4 and r01-5 are the same. The center wavelength range in Table 114-6 was made narrower to limit dispersion penalties for the 25GBASE-ER transmitter. The center wavelength range in Table 114-7, which specifies the 25GBASE-ER receiver, was matched to the range of 25GBASE-LR to allow interoperation between 25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER.

C/ 114 SC 114.6.3

P 36 L 15

r01-6

BUCANEG. DEMETRIO JR

Hawaiian Electric Com

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

In comparison, "Table 114-8, 25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 15 dB" is different from "Table 114-11, 25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 18 dB". If needs to match in value, suggest taking the higher magnitude where "Table 114-8" is revised as shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise as: "Table 114-8, 25GBASE-ER, 30 km, Channel Insertion Loss (Max) = 15 18 dB"

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Channel insertion loss (max) in Table 114-11 is correct at 18 dB. "Channel insertion loss (max)" will be changed to "Channel insertion loss" in Table 114-8, which will remove the confusion.