IEEE P802.3cc D3.2 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single Mode Fiber 2nd Sponsor recirculation ballot comment

C/ 114 SC 114	P 36	L 15	# r02-1		C/ 0	SC O	Р	L	# r02-3
Anslow, Peter	Ciena C	orporation			Perry, Lisa				
Comment Type TF Changes were ma Unfortunately, this The normative red in footnote c to Ta budgets" rather th optic cabling. The requirements 35 dB to 6 howev PAM4 PMDs (whi P802.3bs and P80 Table 114-12 (for "insertion loss cor	R Comment Status A ade between D3.1 and D3.2 of s has introduced several issu quirements for discrete reflec able 114-8 "25GBASE-LR and nan in the section of the draft s in footnote c to Table 114-8 ver small the reflectances are. ich are more sensitive to MPI 02.3cd projects allow any nur "25GBASE-ER) characterises ntribution". This is not correct to limits the number of discret	due to comments es: tances in the char d 25GBASE-ER il that specifies the limit the number of This is not pract than NRZ) being mber of discrete refle t.	nnel for 25GBASE-LI lustrative link power characteristics of the of discrete reflectanc ical. The specificatio developed by the efflectances <= -55 di ectances as having a	e fiber es <= - ons for B. n	Comment T	ft meets emedy	all editorial requirements.		
SuggestedRemedy									
Make the change http://www.ieee8(s proposed on pages 3 to 6 o 02.org/3/cc/public/17_09/ansle	of: ow_3cc_01_0917	.pdf						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								
C/ 105 SC 105 . Rannow, R K	5.7 P 25 Silverdra	L	# <u>r02-2</u>						
Comment Type T The supplier of a	Comment Status R	-							
SuggestedRemedy The supplier of a demonstrate com	protocol implementation that pliance by	claims to conform	to any part shall						
Response REJECT.	Response Status C	:							
	out of scope because it does nsatisfied negative comment.		ges made between I	D3.1					
	ches Clause 105.7 from P802 ame wording as earlier similar			use					

Comment ID r02-3