IEEE P802.3cc D3.2 25 Gb/s Ethernet Over Single Mode Fiber 2nd Sponsor recirculation ballot comment

C/ 114	SC 114	P 36	L 15	# r02-1	C/ 0	SC O	Р		L	# r02-3
nslow, Pe	ter	Ciena Corpora	ation		Perry, Lisa					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Changes were made between D3.1 and D3.2 due to comments r01-9 and r01-10. Unfortunately, this has introduced several issues: The normative requirements for discrete reflectances in the channel for 25GBASE-LR are in footnote c to Table 114-8 "25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER illustrative link power budgets" rather than in the section of the draft that specifies the characteristics of the fiber						Remedy	Comment Status itorial requirements.	D		
optic ca The rec 35 dB t PAM4 I P802.3 Table 1 "insertio Table 1	abling. quirements in fo to 6 however si PMDs (which a bs and P802.3 114-12 (for 250 on loss contrib	potnote c to Table 114-8 limit the mall the reflectances are. This are more sensitive to MPI than l cd projects allow any number of BASE-ER) characterises the d ution". This is not correct. hits the number of discrete refle	ne number of di is not practical. NRZ) being dev of discrete reflecta	screte reflectances <= - The specifications for reloped by the ctances <= -55 dB. nces as having an	Proposed F PROP(Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w		
Suggested	Remedy									
Make th	he changes pro	pposed on pages 3 to 6 of: g/3/cc/public/17_09/anslow_3c	c_01_0917.pdf							
Proposed F PROPC	•	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.								
Make c	hanges propor	sed in anslow_3cc_01_0917 w	ith editorial lice	nse.						
C/ 105 Rannow, R	SC 105.7 K	P 25 Silverdraft	L	# r02-2						
Comment T The su	51	Comment Status D tocol implementation that is								
Suggestedl	Remedy									
	pplier of a prote strate compliar	ocol implementation that claims	s to conform to	any part shall						
Proposed F PROPC	Response OSED REJEC1	Response Status W								
		Clause 105.7 from P802.3by. ses (e.g. Clause 80.7).	Clause 105.7 u	ses the same wording						

Comment ID r02-3