X 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 1 # 1 .rumugham, Vinu Amazon	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 13 # 4 Arumugham, Vinu Amazon
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Separate interference tolerance (noise stress) and jitter tolerance (jitter stress) tests result in understressing the receiver.	Table 136-13 describes a Test 1 and Test2. Table 136-15 also describes Test 1 and Te 2. Reading 136.9.4.2.3 (c) is a bit confusing at first.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Combine 136.9.4.2.2, 136.9.4.2.3 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This is	Use a different name in one of the tables? Test A/B?
the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Random Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stress test.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 137 SC 137.9.3 P 238 L 38 # 5 Arumugham, Vinu Amazon
2/ 135G SC 135G.3.2 P 359 L 14 # 2	Comment Type T Comment Status X
rumugham, Vinu Amazon	Separate interference tolerance (noise stress) and jitter tolerance (jitter stress) tests re
Comment Type T Comment Status X	in understressing the receiver.
Wander (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures	SuggestedRemedy Combine 120D 3.2.1, 120D 3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the	SuggestedRemedy Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause.
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper streatest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status 0
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 137 SC 137.9 P 238 L 1 # 6
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O EV 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 26 # 3	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 137 SC 137.9 P 238 L 1 # Arumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 26 # 3 trumugham, Vinu Amazon	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 137 SC 137.9 P 238 L 1 # 6 Arumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X No channel characteristic/reference impedance requirements. SuggestedRemedy Add a sub-clause stating: The nominal differential characteristic impedance of the characteristic
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O EV 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 26 # 3	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 137 SC 137.9 P 238 L 1 # 6 Arumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X No channel characteristic/reference impedance requirements. SuggestedRemedy
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 26 # 3 rumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 136-13 has a DER value of 1E-4. 136.1 specifies BER of 2.4E-4. 136.9.4.2.3 calculates Q for 5E-5. SuggestedRemedy	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stretest. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 137 SC 137.9 P 238 L 1 # 6 Arumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X No channel characteristic/reference impedance requirements. SuggestedRemedy Add a sub-clause stating: The nominal differential characteristic impedance of the characteristic impedance of the characteristic interference impedance shall be 100 Ω. The common mode
and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures. SuggestedRemedy For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P 216 L 26 # 3 rumugham, Vinu Amazon Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 136-13 has a DER value of 1E-4. 136.1 specifies BER of 2.4E-4. 136.9.4.2.3 calculates Q for 5E-5.	Combine 120D.3.2.1, 120D.3.2.2 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Rand Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stre- test. Add pointer in this clause to the new combined 120D sub-clause.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOCl 137SC 137.9P 238L 1#Arumugham, VinuAmazonComment TypeTComment StatusXNo channel characteristic/reference impedance requirements.SuggestedRemedyAdd a sub-clause stating: The nominal differential characteristic impedance of the char is 100 Ω . The differential reference impedance shall be 100 Ω . The common mode reference impedance shall be 25 Ω .

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

/ 138 SC 138.8 P 261 L 1 # 7	C/ 140 SC 140.7 P 305 L 6 # 9
rumugham, Vinu Amazon	Arumugham, Vinu Amazon
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Wander (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures.	Wander (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures.
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
For the module optical output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMA specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output.	For the module optical output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PM. specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output.
roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
/ 139 SC 139.7 P 282 L 30 # 8	C/FM SC FM P1 L 31 # 10
umugham, Vinu Amazon	Anslow, Pete Ciena
omment Type T Comment Status X Wander (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures.	Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bu-2016 and IEEE Std 802.3bv-201x are missing from the list of amendments
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
For the module optical output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMA specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the	Add IEEE Std 802.3bu-2016 and IEEE Std 802.3bv-201x to the list of amendments Change "IEEE Std 802.3butm-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3bu™-2016" on page 13Proposed ResponseResponse Status O
module output. oposed Response Response Status O	C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 42 L 36 # 11
	C/ 030 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 42 L 36 # 11 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena </td
	Comment Type E Comment Status X The text as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-2016 ends: "(see 65.2, Clause 74, Clause 91, and Clause 108).". This includes a closing ")". Consequently the ")" in this draft should no be shown in underline font as it is not being inserted.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Remove the underline from ")"

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1 P 45 L 50 # 12	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.116h.1 P 62 L 35 # 14
nslow, Pete Ciena	Anslow, Pete Ciena
comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The name of the registers should not include "registers". Also, there are three registers, each one ending "lane x". Follow the example on line 29 of this page.	Clause 45 level five headings that define a particular bit should match the entry for that bit in the "Name" column of the table giving the assignment of bits in the register.
Suggested Remedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control registers, lanes 0 through 3" to "BASE-F PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3". On page 46, change the other three sets of register names to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP status, lane 0 through lane 3"	Make equivalent changes for the other bits in this register and all of the bits in 45.2.1.116i through 45.2.1.116k
"BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP status, fane 0 through fane 3" "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD control, fane 0 through fane 3"	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.116l P 64 L 51 # 15 Anslow, Pete Ciena C
C/ 045 SC 45 P 62 L 23 # 13	Comment Type E Comment Status X
nslow, Pete Ciena	Clause 45 is consistent in having a footnote of "aRO = Read only" when all of the bits of a
	register are "RO"
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status X	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W"	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W"	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an
omment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" uggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45-	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an
comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" uggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45-90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O
<i>omment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45- 90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16
<i>omment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45- 90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" SuggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45- 90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of 45.2.1.118a is not consistent with three separately named registers.
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" SuggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45- 90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of 45.2.1.118a is not consistent with three separately named registers. Table 45-90ak only shows the assignment of bits for the first of the three registers. SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers (Register 1.1120 through 1.1123)".
omment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" uggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45-90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of 45.2.1.118a is not consistent with three separately named registers. Table 45-90ak only shows the assignment of bits for the first of the three registers. SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers (Register 1.1120 through 1.1123)". On line 14, change the start of the sentence to: "The BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers reflect" On line 19, change the sentence to: "The assignment of bits in the BASE-R PAM4 PMD
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several tables in Clause 45 of this draft have entries for "RW" in the "R/W" column. To be consistent with the rest of Clause 45 and also the footnotes to the tables, these should be "R/W" SuggestedRemedy Change "RW" to "R/W" throughout the Clause. This affects Tables 45-90ad, 45-90ae, 45-90af, 45-90ag, 4590-ai, 45-90aj, 45-90ak, 45- 90am.	register are "RO" SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote to "aRO = Read only" for Tables 45-90ah, 45-90al, 45-90an Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 L 11 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of 45.2.1.118a is not consistent with three separately named registers. Table 45-90ak only shows the assignment of bits for the first of the three registers. SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers (Register 1.1120 through 1.1123)". On line 14, change the start of the sentence to: "The BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LP control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers reflect"

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Anslow, Pete Ciena	L 14	# 17	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 66 Ciena	L 53	# 20
Comment Type E Comment Status X "16-bit" should not split across two lines.			,	ent Status X in this register are		er, when training is
SuggestedRemedy us a non-breaking hyphen (Esc - h)			SuggestedRemedy		be enanged.	
Proposed Response Response Status O			Change to "When training is not or read only; however, when training			
	/ 00	" 12	Proposed Response Respon	se Status O		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Inslow, Pete Ciena	L 22	# 18	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.119a	P 67	L 3	# 21
Comment Type E Comment Status X			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
The Table in 45.2.1.118a is after Table 45-90a as ins This means that it should be Table 45-90b	erted by P802.3	3bv in 45.2.1.117a.	Comment Type E Comme	ent Status X		
uggestedRemedy			The title of 45.2.1.119a is not cor Table 45-90al only shows the ass			
Renumber Tables 45-90ak through 45-90an to be Ta	bles 45-90b thre	ough 45-90e	SuggestedRemedy	-		-
repead Beenenee Deserves Status						
Proposed Response Response Status O			Change the title to: "BASE-R PAI (Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the	". sentence to: "The		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66	L 26	# [19	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regi On line 11, change the sentence	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Anslow, Pete Ciena		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD signment of bits in the r lane 0.
Ø 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 nslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120."		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions"	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD ssignment of bits in th r lane 0.
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Inslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120.7 CuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions" Proposed Response Respon	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM use Status O	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for 4 PMD training LF	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD signment of bits in the r lane 0. P status, lane 0
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Inslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120.7 CuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions"	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD ssignment of bits in th r lane 0.
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Innslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120.75:41" SuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions"Proposed ResponseResponC/ 045SC 45.2.1.119aAnslow, Pete	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM use Status O	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for 4 PMD training LF	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD signment of bits in th r lane 0. P status, lane 0
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Innslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120.75:41" SuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions"Proposed ResponseResponC/ 045SC 45.2.1.119aAnslow, Pete	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM use Status O P67 Ciena ent Status X 45-90al, "Coeffici e changed so that	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for 4 PMD training LF <i>L</i> 43 ent at limit and ec	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD signment of bits in the r lane 0. P status, lane 0 # 22
Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120. SuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	(Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions"Proposed ResponseResponC/ 045SC 45.2.1.119aAnslow, PeteComment TypeEComment TypeEComment Toble 45.2.1.119aIn the row for 1.1220.2:0 in Table onto the next line. This should be	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM use Status O P67 Ciena ent Status X 45-90al, "Coeffici e changed so that	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for 4 PMD training LF <i>L</i> 43 ent at limit and ec	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD ssignment of bits in th r lane 0. P status, lane 0 # 22
Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.118a P 66 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120.15:41" should be "1.1120." SuggestedRemedy Change "1.1120.15:41" to "1.1120.15"		# [<u>19</u>	 (Register 1.1220 through 1.1223) On line 6, change the start of the status, lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the sentence training LP status, lane 0 register registers for lane 1 through lane 3 Change the title of Table 45-90al register bit definitions" Proposed Response Respon Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.119a Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Comment In the row for 1.1220.2:0 in Table onto the next line. This should be than appearing in the bit columns 	". sentence to: "The sters reflect" to: "The assignme is shown in Table 3 is equivalent to t to "BASE-R PAM see Status O <u>P 67</u> Ciena ent Status X 45-90al, "Coeffici e changed so that sent"	BASE-R PAM4 F ent of bits in the B 45–90al. The as he assignment for 4 PMD training LF <i>L</i> 43 ent at limit and ec	PMD training LP ASE-R PAM4 PMD ssignment of bits in the r lane 0. P status, lane 0 # 22

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.120a P 68 L 3 # 23	C/ 069 SC 69.1.2 P78 L 39 # 25
Anslow, Pete Ciena	Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The title of 45.2.1.120a is not consistent with three separately named registers. Table 45-90am only shows the assignment of bits for the first of the three registers.	The inserted figure number in the P802.3cb draft has been changed from "Figure 69-2a" "Figure 69-3"
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change the title to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD control, lane 0 through lane 3	Change "Figure 69-2a" to "Figure 69-3" here and on page 79, line 1
registers (Register 1.1320 through 1.1323)". On line 6, change the start of the sentence to: "The BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD control, lane 0 through lane 3 registers reflect" On line 10, change the sentence to: "The assignment of bits in the BASE-R PAM4 PMD	Proposed Response Response Status O
training LD control, lane 0 register is shown in Table 45–90am. The assignment of bits in	C/ 078 SC 78.1.4 P 90 L 17 # 26
the registers for lane 1 through lane 3 is equivalent to the assignment for lane 0. Change the title of Table 45-90am to "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD control, lane 0	Anslow, Pete Ciena
register bit definitions"	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Proposed Response Response Status O	For some inserted rows in Table 78-1 (e.g. 50GBASE-KRb), the entry in the "PHY or interface type" column ends with a dot at the same vertical position as the underline.
	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.121a P 69 L 3 # 24	Remove the dots
Anslow, Pete Ciena	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E Comment Status X	
The title of 45.2.1.121a is not consistent with three separately named registers.	
Table 45-90an only shows the assignment of bits for the first of the three registers.	C/ 091 SC 91.7.4.1 P 108 L 16 # 27 Anslow, Pete Ciena
SuggestedRemedy	
Change the title to: "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD status, lane 0 through lane 3 registers (Register 1.1420 through 1.1423)".	Comment Type T Comment Status X
On line 6, change the start of the sentence to: "The BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD status, lane 0 through lane 3 registers reflect" On line 11, change the sentence to: "The assignment of bits in the BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD status, lane 0 register is shown in Table 45–90an. The assignment of bits in	PICS item TF11 has been modified to include 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-SR2, or 100GBASE-DR in the Feature column. However, the Status column contains "KP4:M" and "KP4" is "Used to form complete 100GBASE-KP4 PHY" which excludes the newly added PHY types.
	SuggestedRemedy
the registers for lane 1 through lane 3 is equivalent to the assignment for lane 0.	
Change the title of Table 45-90an to "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD status, lane 0 register bit definitions"	In 91.7.3, change "*KP4": Feature entry to "100GBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-SR2,
Change the title of Table 45-90an to "BASE-R PAM4 PMD training LD status, lane 0	

C/ 131 SC 131.5 P 124 L 24 #	
Anslow, Pete Ciena	Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type T Comment Status X The principle used to calculate the UI equivalents in previous Skew tables (sue 80-6) was to find the exact UI value and then round to the nearest integer. If the for SP1 in Table 131-5, the result is 770.31 UI, which rounds to 770 UI (not 77 shown in the table).	is is done 0" The first variable precoder_up_tx_enable_i is correct as it controls precoding for the
SuggestedRemedy In Table 131-5 , change the Maximum Skew for 50GBASE-R FEC lane (UI) to	second variable should be precoder_down_rx_enable_i as this controls removing precoding from the signal received from the layer above. Similar issues with the variables associated with the interface below the PMA.
770 for SP1 1142 for SP2	SuggestedRemedy
1434 for SP3 3559 for SP4 4781 for "At FEC receive"	On line 30, change "precoder_up_rx_enable_i " to "precoder_down_rx_enable_i " On line 32, change "precoder_down_rx_enable_i " to "precoder_up_rx_enable_i " On line 36, change "precoder_down_rx_enable_i " to "precoder_up_rx_enable_i "
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 131 SC 131.5 P 125 L 9 # [Anslow, Pete Ciena	29 Cl 135 SC 135.5.7.2 P 172 L 33 # <u>31</u> Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The principle used to calculate the UI equivalents in previous Skew Variation t as Table 80-7) was to find the exact UI value and then round to the nearest int is done for SP0 in Table 131-6, the result is 5.16 UI, which rounds to 5 UI (not shown in the table). SuggestedRemedy	bles (such ger. If this aways set to 0 in a PMA that does not have a physical instantiation of its service interface
In Table 131-6 , change the Maximum Skew Variation (UI) to:	Suggested Remedy
5 for SP0 5 for SP1 90 for SP4	Add a new sentence at the end of 135.5.7.2: "In a PMA that is adjacent to any other PMD, precoder_down_tx_enable_i and precoder_up_rx_enable_i are always set to 0."
106 for "At FEC receive" 10 for "At PCS receive" Also, add the missing curly equals in front of the 10 for "At PCS receive"	Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 135 SC 135.5.7. Anslow, Pete	2 <i>P</i> 172 Ciena	L 36	# 32	C/ 136 SC 136 Anslow, Pete	.7 P [.] Cien	191 <i>L</i> 41 a	# 34
this clause covers "Pl	Comment Status X nes 35 and 36 includes 200GE MA sublayer, type 50GBASE-F	and 100GBASE	,	Comment Type E The "PMA/PMD r "MDIO status var	5 5		23 are incorrect as are the
SuggestedRemedy	G PHY types here is inappropri R4, or 200GBASE-KR4 PMD" <i>Response Status</i> 0		fore "100GBASE-KR2"	change "PMD" to In the "MDIO stat remove the numb numbers. (20 inst	register name" column for "BASE-R PAM4 PMD" ar us variable" column for b ers from the end as the v ances)	nd add a comma before its from registers 1.122	e "lane" (20 instances) 20 through 1.1223,
C/ 120 SC 120.5.7 . Anslow, Pete	2 P 113 Ciena	L 27	# 33	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
precoder_down_rx_er controls precoding for precoder_down_rx_er	Comment Status X led and disabled using variable nable_i" The first variable pred the signal sent towards the Pl nable_i is not correct as it cont	oder_down_tx_e MD. However, rols removing pre	enable_i is correct as it ecoding from the	Cl 136 SC 136 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E In items PF8, PF9 references	Cien	×	# 35 2.1.7.5" should be cross-
precoder_up_rx_enab from the PMD layer b Same issue with the t SuggestedRemedy	hree further instances of the van discourse of the van discourse the van discourse the van discourse of the van di	precoding from t ariables below.	he signal received	SuggestedRemedy Make them cross Proposed Response	-references Response Status	0	

Anslow, Pete	.4.1 P 245 Ciena	L 48	# 37	C/ 138 SC 138.1.1 Anslow, Pete	P 252 Ciena	L 1	# 40
Comment Type E	Comment Status X and PF10, "45.2.1.2.3", "45.2.1.7	7.4", and "45.2.1.	.7.5" should be cross-	Comment Type E	Comment Status X ause 119" on line 4 should be o	cross-references	3
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Make them cross-ref	ferences			Make them cross-refe	erences		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 031B SC 31B.3.7 Anslow, Pete	7 P 316 Ciena	L 17	# 38	<i>Cl</i> 138 <i>SC</i> 138.5 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 254 Ciena	L 41	# 41
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
+ 50 + 9 + 4 = 117 pa		, 0		SuggestedRemedy	ASE-SR4 PMD performs" to		
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change ":		i pause_quanta	512/8=11/515/	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response			-SR, 100GBASE-SR2
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy	394" to "117"	r pause_quanta	512/8=11/515/	and 200GBASE-SR4	PMDs perform"	L 44	# [42
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change " On line 26, change " Proposed Response	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" Response Status O	L 41	# 39	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	PMDs perform" Response Status O	L 44	
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change " On line 26, change " Proposed Response Cl 093A SC 93A.1.4	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" Response Status O			and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	PMDs perform" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 254 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 44	
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change " On line 26, change " Proposed Response C/ 093A SC 93A.1.4 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2 <i>P</i> 318 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 41	# 39	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "PMD block diagram4	PMDs perform" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 254 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X " has a spurious "4" at the end	L 44	
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change " On line 26, change " Proposed Response Cl 093A SC 93A.1.4 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2 <i>P</i> 318 Ciena	L 41	# 39	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "PMD block diagram4 SuggestedRemedy	PMDs perform" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 254 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X " has a spurious "4" at the end	L 44	
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change ": On line 26, change ": Proposed Response C/ 093A SC 93A.1.4 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Equation 93A-21 app twice.	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2 <i>P</i> 318 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 41	# 39	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "PMD block diagram4 SuggestedRemedy Change to "PMD bloc	PMDs perform" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 254 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X " has a spurious "4" at the end k diagram"	L 44	
8 = 7488 SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change ": On line 26, change ": Proposed Response Cl 093A SC 93A.1.4 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Equation 93A-21 app twice. SuggestedRemedy	394" to "117" 25216" to "7488" <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2 <i>P</i> 318 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 41	# <u>39</u> n number appears	and 200GBASE-SR4 Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "PMD block diagram4 SuggestedRemedy Change to "PMD bloc	PMDs perform" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 254 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X " has a spurious "4" at the end k diagram"	L 44	

Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 254 Ciena	L 46	# 43	C/ 138 SC 138.5.4 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 256 Ciena	L 26	# 46
Comment Type T C The first paragraph of 138.5 200GBASE-SR4 consists o lanes, and 50GBASE-SR4 specific to 200GBASE-SR4	of four lanes per direction onsists of just one lane p	, 100GBASE-SR	2 consists of two	Comment Type E C "On all four lanes" is only a SuggestedRemedy Change to "on all lanes"	Comment Status X ppropriate for 200GBAS	E-SR4	
SuggestedRemedy Change the paragraph to: " 138–2. The block diagrams	for 100GBASE-SR2 and	d 50GBASE-SR a	are equivalent to	· · ·	esponse Status O		
Figure 138-2 but for two lan Proposed Response Re	nes and one lane per dire	ection, respective	ly."	C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 259 Ciena	L 13	# 47
C/ 138 SC 138.5.2 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E C	P 256 Ciena Comment Status X	L7	# 44	Comment Type E C "(OMA)" should be "(OMAo SuggestedRemedy Change "(OMA)" to "(OMAo	,		both max and min row:
In: "The higher optical powe the lowest shall correspond P802.3bs draft is consisten	l to tx_symbol = zero." we	e have "higher" a		Proposed Response R	esponse Status O		
the lowest shall correspond P802.3bs draft is consisten SuggestedRemedy Change "higher" to "highest Also in Clause 139, page 2 Also in Clause 140, page 3	I to tx_symbol = zero." we t in using "highest" and " t" on page 256 lines 7 an 78 line 33	e have "higher" a lowest" here.	nd "lowest". The	Cl 138 SC 138.8.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E C The references in Table 13	P 261 Ciena Comment Status X	L 18	# 48
the lowest shall correspond P802.3bs draft is consisten SuggestedRemedy Change "higher" to "highest Also in Clause 139, page 2 Also in Clause 140, page 3 Proposed Response Re C/ 138 SC 138.11.4.1 Anslow, Pete	I to tx_symbol = zero." we t in using "highest" and " t" on page 256 lines 7 an 78 line 33 01 line 33 esponse Status O <i>P</i> 270 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X one" is not correct. Bbs and in Clauses 139 a	e have "higher" a lowest" here. Id 15, page 270 l <i>L</i> 52 Ind 140.	nd "lowest". The ine 52, page 271 line 8. # 45	C/ 138 SC 138.8.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E C The references in Table 13 SuggestedRemedy Change "120.5.11.2.4" to " Change "120.5.11.2.3" to " Change "120.5.11.2.5" to "	P 261 Ciena Comment Status X 8-11 to Clause 120 for te 120.5.11.2.2" 120.5.11.2.1"		

	P 282	L 47	# 49	C/ 138 SC 138.8.5	P 262	L 33	# 52
C/ 139 SC 139.7.1 Anslow, Pete	Ciena	L 47	# 49	Anslow, Pete	Ciena	2 33	π 32
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
The references in Ta updated.	ble 139-9 and Table 140-9 to 0	Clause 120 for te	st patterns need to be	This says "The polariz 4 has a "polarization re	ation controller and test fiber otator"	shown in Figure	121-4" but Figure 121-
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In both Table 139-9 a				Change "polarization of	controller" to "polarization rota	ator"	
Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2.	4" to "120.5.11.2.2" 3" to "120.5.11.2.1"			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 138 SC 138.8.5.4	-	L 44	# 53
				Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
C/ 138 SC 138.8.1	.1 <i>P</i> 262	<i>L</i> 1	# 50	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Anslow, Pete	Ciena	21	# 30		ns in the P802.3bs draft and be useful to be added here.	in 139.7.5.4 have	e had a note added for
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy			
	t otherwise specified, the maxi	mum amplitude ((OMA or VMA) for a	Add "NOTE—This refe	erence equalizer is part of the	TDECQ test and	d does not imply any
particular situation is	used, and for counter-propaga	ting lanes, the m		particular receiver equ	alizer implementation."		
is used." "OMA" should be "OM There are no specific		0 /	ninimum transition time	particular receiver equ Proposed Response	alizer implementation." <i>Response Status</i> O		
is used." "OMA" should be "OM There are no specific	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V	0 /	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response	Response Status 0 P 265	L 6	# [54
is used." "OMA" should be "OI There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V	MA" is appropria	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response	Response Status O	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "OI There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma	MA" is appropria	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response	Response Status 0 P 265	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma	MA" is appropria	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V n transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma used."	MA" is appropria	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is Proposed Response	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V n transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma used." <i>Response Status</i> O	MA" is appropria ximum amplitude	hinimum transition time te. e (OMAouter) for a	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V n transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma used." <i>Response Status</i> O	MA" is appropria	ninimum transition time	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is Proposed Response	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V n transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the manused." <i>Response Status</i> O	MA" is appropria ximum amplitude	hinimum transition time te. e (OMAouter) for a	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a SuggestedRemedy Make it a cross-referen	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is Proposed Response C/ 138 SC 138.8.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type T Line 28 says "and eq	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "V n transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the ma used." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 262 Ciena	MA" is appropria ximum amplitude <i>L</i> 28 alizer specified ir	hinimum transition time tte. e (OMAouter) for a # 51 # 51	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a SuggestedRemedy Make it a cross-referen	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is Proposed Response C/ 138 SC 138.8.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type T Line 28 says "and eq	MAouter" eations in Clause 138 where "V in transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the main used." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 262 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X yualized with the reference equi	MA" is appropria ximum amplitude <i>L</i> 28 alizer specified ir	hinimum transition time tte. e (OMAouter) for a # 51 # 51	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a SuggestedRemedy Make it a cross-referen	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	
is used." "OMA" should be "ON There are no specific There is no minimum SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Where n particular situation is Proposed Response C/ 138 SC 138.8.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type T Line 28 says "and eq an exception that say SuggestedRemedy	MAouter" eations in Clause 138 where "V in transition time requirement. not otherwise specified, the main used." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 262 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X yualized with the reference equi	MA" is appropria ximum amplitude <i>L</i> 28 alizer specified ir vecified in "138.8.	hinimum transition time tte. e (OMAouter) for a # 51 # 51	Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.10 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "138.10.3" should be a SuggestedRemedy Make it a cross-referen	Response Status O P 265 Ciena Comment Status X a cross-reference	L 6	

C/ 138 SC 138.11.2.2 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 269 Ciena	L 36	# 55	C/ 139 SC 139.1 Anslow, Pete	P 274 Ciena	L 45	# 58
Comment Type E Com "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" should b	ment Status X	d-201x"		Comment Type E "139.2" should be "1	Comment Status X 31.2"		
SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3-201x"	to "IEEE Std 802.3c	d-201x" on line :	36 and line 44	SuggestedRemedy Change the cross-re	ference from "139.2" to "131.2"		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
7/ 134 SC 134.7.2.2 nslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 157 Ciena	L 11	# 56	C/ 139 SC 139.2 Anslow, Pete	P 276 Ciena	L 22	# 59
Comment Type E Com "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" should b SuggestedRemedy	ment Status X be "IEEE Std 802.3cd	d-201x"		means that "rx_bit" s	Comment Status X defined by 131.3 which refers t should be "rx_symbol"	o 116.3.3.1 thro	ugh 116.3.3.3. This
Change "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" Proposed Response Respo	to "IEEE Std 802.3c	:d-201x"		SuggestedRemedy Change "rx_bit" to "r Make the same char	x_symbol" nge in 140.2 (page 299, line 22))	
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 138 SC 138.11.4.6 Inslow, Pete	P 273 Ciena	L 13	# 57	C/ 138 SC 138.2	P 252	L 52	# 60
	ment Status X			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Item OC4 is specific to SR2 Item OC5 is specific to SR4 Item OC6 is specific to SR Items OC8 and OC11 are spec	ific to SR2 and SR4				Comment Status X defined by 131.3 which refers t should be "rx_symbol"	o 116.3.3.1 thro	ugh 116.3.3.3. This
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In 138.11.3, change "SR" to "*S In the OC4 Status cell change ' In the OC5 Status cell change ' In the OC6 Status cell change ' In the OC8 Status cell change ' In the OC11 Status cell change Add "N/A []" to the Support cel	'M" to "SR2:M" 'M" to "SR4:M" 'M" to "SR:M" 'M" to "(SR2 or SR4) : "INS:M" to "INS*(SF	:M" R2 or SR4):M"	ange "SR4" to "*SR4"	Change "rx_bit" to "r Proposed Response	x_symbol [®] Response Status O		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O						

C/ 134 SC 134.2 Anslow, Pete	P 143 Ciena	L 41	# 61	C/ 139 SC 139.6.3 Anslow, Pete	P 282 Ciena	L 24	# 64
means that "rx_bit" sh SuggestedRemedy	_ /	:o 116.3.3.1 throu	gh 116.3.3.3. This	wavelength for this PI	Comment Status X b says "fiber attenuation of 0.4 MD is 1304.5 nm. m is 0.423 for G.552 fibre, so		
Change "rx_bit" to "rx_ Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy Change "at 1295 nm"	to "at 1304.5 nm"		
C/ 139 SC 139.3.1	P 276	L 32	# 62	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	Ciena Comment Status X			C/ 138 SC 138.1 Anslow, Pete	P 249 Ciena	L 8	# 65
"PMD2" should be "PN	/IDs"				Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Change "PMD2" to "Pl Proposed Response				The single mode clau these two PMD types	ses have a sentence such as: are modulated using a 4-level ad sentence of the introduction	l pulse amplitude	modulation (PAM4)
Toposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
				Add a new second se	ntence "The optical signals ge	paratad by these	
C/ 139 SC 139.5.1	P 277	L 45	# 63		evel pulse amplitude modulati		
	<i>Р</i> 277 Ciena	L 45	# 63		evel pulse amplitude modulati Response Status O		
Anslow, Pete	Ciena Comment Status X	L 45	# 63	modulated using a 4-l Proposed Response	Response Status O	ion (PAM4) forma	at. "
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Missing "." after "Figur SuggestedRemedy	Ciena Comment Status X	L 45	# 63	modulated using a 4-I			
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Missing "." after "Figur SuggestedRemedy Add "."	Ciena Comment Status X	L 45	# <u>63</u>	modulated using a 4-l Proposed Response 	Response Status O P 297 Ciena Comment Status X	ion (PAM4) forma	at. "
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Missing "." after "Figur SuggestedRemedy	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X re 139-2"	L 45	# <u>63</u>	modulated using a 4-I Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	Response Status O P 297 Ciena Comment Status X	ion (PAM4) forma	at. "

C/ 140 SC 140.11.4. Anslow, Pete	6 P 314 Ciena	L 42	# 67	C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 Anslow, Pete	P 110 Ciena	L 27	# 70
Comment Type E OC1 Value/Comment is requirements are in Tal	Comment Status X s "Meets requirements specit ble 140-11	ied in Table 124	–11" but the		Comment Status X nst P802.3bs D3.0 proposes to e correlation (200GBASE optica		of Table 116-3 to be
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 124-11'				SuggestedRemedy If comment i-164 aga change in the P802.3	inst P802.3bs D3.0 changes th	ne title of Table 1	16-3, reflect this
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 131 SC 131.1.2 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 117 Ciena	L 18	# 68	C/ 137 SC 137.10 Zambell, Andrew	P 239 Amphenol	L 47	# 71
Comment Type E "The MDI as specified i uses a 1-lane data path SuggestedRemedy Change "use" to "uses"		' should be "The	MDI as specified in	values in both are ex delete table 137-5 ar	Comment Status X les 136-15 (COM for cables) ar actly the same. Instead of refer d instead refer to table 136-15 137 so no other tables need to	ing to table 137- on page 221-222	5 in line 47, can we
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"COM is computed u	use 92 of IEEE 802.3bj on p19 sing the procedure in 93A.1 wit paths defined in 92.10.7.1 and §	h the Test 1 and	Test 2 values in Table
C/ 131 SC 131.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	P 124 Ciena Comment Status X	L 4	# 69	SuggestedRemedy Change "The Chann- with the values in Tal	el Operating Margin (COM) is co ole 137 5"	omputed using t	he procedure in 93A.1
"PMA below to the RS- SuggestedRemedy Delete "to"	FEC" should be "PMA below	the RS-FEC"		values in Table 136		l using the proce	dure in 93A.1 with the
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 136 SC 136.10 P 219 L 6 # 72 Zambell, Andrew Amphenol	C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 5 # 74 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi
Comment Type T Comment Status X The spec states "The channel insertion loss, return loss, COM, and the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit board parameters are provided informatively in 136A.1 through 136A.4."	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Clause 136 does not use low swing, it is confusing to use low swing with 1200 mV driver. If any thing it should be called high swing! SuggestedRemedy
Transmitter and receiver differential printed circuit board trace loss is 136A.4. Channel insertion loss is 136A.5 Channel return loss is 136A.6 Channel Operating Margin (COM) is 136A.7.	remove low swing Proposed Response Response Status O
uggestedRemedy Change "136A.1 through 136A.4" to "136A.4 through 136A.7"	C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 6 # 75 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi Quantum LLC
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text is ambigous and uncessary long "AC-coupling within the plug connector, as
/ 137 SC 137.10 P 240 L 1 # 73 ambell, Andrew Amphenol	defined in 136.12, allows for interoperability between components operating from differen supply voltages"
<i>comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> X The units in the units column for some of the parameters of tables 136-15 and 137-5 are not exactly the same.	SuggestedRemedy AC-coupling incorporated into the receive plug connector, as defined in 136.12. No extra explanation needed.
The two pre-curors and one post-cursor have one "dash" (-) in table 136-15 and three "dashes" in table 137-5.	Proposed Response Response Status O
The second zero (fz2) and second pole (fp2) in table 136-15 have a "dash" but in table 137- 5 has units of GHz.	C/ 135E SC 135E.1 P 344 L 30 # 76 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi Quantum LLC # 76 100
b_max has one "dash" in table 136-15 and two "dashes" in table 137-5.	One discuss SFP28 and QSFP28, I don't see the third conector
The DFE parameter (Nb) has a "dash" in table 136-15 but units of UI in table 137-5. (IEEE 802.3bj uses UI for this parameter but IEEE 802.3by uses the "dash").	SuggestedRemedy either change three connector to two or add the third connector
If my other comment about deleting table 137-5 is approved, I will withdraw this comment.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	
Make the units in table 136-15 and table 137-5 the same.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 136 SC 136.11 Ghiasi, Ali	P 209 Ghiasi Quantu	L 18	# 77	C/ 136 SC 136.1 P 209 L 4 # 8 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC	0
Comment Type TR Type "asso0ciated" SuggestedRemedy associated	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X Clause 136 specification references clause 92 mated board where MDFEXT=4. MDNEXT=1.8 mV are very high, the standard has not demonstrated a connecto high amount of crosstalk can support max channel insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Need proof/demonstration that worst case crosstalk as defined in CL92 supports channel loss.	s max
C/ 136A SC 136A.4 Ghiasi, Ali	<i>P</i> 363 Ghiasi Quantu	<i>L</i> 41 m LLC	# 78	Proposed Response Response Status O	
10.07 dB but in clause 13 SuggestedRemedy Increase the loss from 10 end to end loss from 28.5	0.07 to 10.2 dB in the text a			C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.1.3 P 197 L 33 # 8 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text mentions four PRBS generartor but does not say what type of the PRB SuggestedRemedy Add PRBS 13 generator Proposed Response Response Status O	
module specification with	<i>P</i> 368 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> X as defined in CL 92 with PS 10 dB does not work, see 3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/20Fe	XT 5.13 mV is s	3	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2.3 P 217 L 7 # 8 Krishnasamy, Kumaran Broadcom Ltd Comment Type ER Comment Status X Where it says "Tr is measured using the method in 86A.5.3.3,", it would be ap refer to section "120E.3.1.5 Transition time" rather than section 86A.5.3.3.	

C/ 136 SC 136.12 P 224 L 28 # 83	C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.3.5 P 201 L 24 # 86
Palkert, Thomas Molex	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Referenced MDIs do not include recently available high density form factors	"Even parity ensures the resulting pattern is DC balanced". Which pattern? It's the DME
	encoded control channel (made up of the status and control fields) which it's ensuring is DC balanced.
Add QSFP-DD as a referenced MDI. Change '(multi-lane MDI)' to '(four-lane MDI)' in line 38. Add new subsection 136.12.1 with text from presentation. Add new section	SuggestedRemedy
136.11.7.2.5 with text from presentation. (Use same crosstalk paths)	Change "resulting pattern is" to "transmitted control and status fields (136.8.11.1.2) are"
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.7.2 P 206 L 21 # 84	C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.6 P 203 L 28 # 87
lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The algorightm for setting the ic_sts is in 136.8.11.4, the current reference is to the definition of ic_sts field in the Status message. That definition does point you to 136.8.11.4 as well.	The definition for a request is solely based on the control field changing. We added a parity bit in D1.2, and don't preculde designs from ignoring frames with invalid parity (you'r allowed to ignore it if you want). So I think the timing now needs to account for the parity
	bit being validly set as well.
SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. troposed Response Response Status O Fit 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 85	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity transmission.
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O CH 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Promment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity transmission.
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. roposed Response Response Status O 1 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited omment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so maybe we should add it for 50G as well.	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity transmission.
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so maybe we should add it for 50G as well. uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity transmission. Proposed Response Response Status
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 Iavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so maybe we should add it for 50G as well.	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parity transmission. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.7.1 P 205 L 12 # 88
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O To 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Romment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so maybe we should add it for 50G as well. UggestedRemedy Add just the monitor by copying the last two paragraphs of 119.2.5.3 to the end of 134.5.3.3, changing PCS lanes to FEC lanes, add the appropriate MDIO registers for a degrade function outside of a PCS and the MDIO mappings to Table 134-1 and 134-2. No signalling of the status to be added, just the monitor. So it'd be an optional feature with status only available at one end of the link.	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parit transmission. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.7.1 P 205 L 12 # 88 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status X remote_rx_rdy is a direct mirror of the status bit received in the training frames. In clause 72 this variable is only updated to TRUE when 3 consecutive training frames with the status bit are received.
Change the reference to be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1 less level of indirection. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 149 L 49 # 85 Elavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status X 200/400G has added an optional feature to it's RS-FEC, degrade monitor. It's optional so maybe we should add it for 50G as well. SuggestedRemedy Add just the monitor by copying the last two paragraphs of 119.2.5.3 to the end of 134.5.3.3, changing PCS lanes to FEC lanes, add the appropriate MDIO registers for a degrade function outside of a PCS and the MDIO mappings to Table 134-1 and 134-2. No signalling of the status to be added, just the monitor. So it'd be an optional feature with status only available at one end of the link.	SuggestedRemedy Change "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the precedingtraining frame." to "A new request is defined to be a received training frame whose control field differs from the control field of the preceding training frame and the received parity bit is properly set." Since the acknowledgement already states "status field encoding" I think that covers parit transmission. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.7.1 P 205 L 12 # 88 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status X remote_rx_rdy is a direct mirror of the status bit received in the training frames. In clause 72 this variable is only updated to TRUE when 3 consecutive training frames with the

C/ 045 SC 45 Slavick, Jeff	P 0 Broadcom Lii	L 0 mited	# 89	<i>Cl</i> 137 <i>SC</i> 137.9.3.1 Mellitz, Richard	P 238 Samtec	L 48	# 92
Comment Type T BASE-R PMD contro list of supported claus SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X I and status registers need to ses.	have Clause 136	5 and 137 added to the	Comment Type TR Com The differential return loss is le changed to meet the 30 dB IL chosen based on those recom	objective per kareti_3	3cd_01_0916. A r	eturn loss should be
	137 to introduction paragraphs Response Status O	s of 45.1.2.80 ar	nd 45.2.1.81	SuggestedRemedy Change equation 137-1 to RL_d(f) >= { 15.05 - f, 0.05 <= f <= { 9.5 - 0.075f, 6 < f <= 19	,		
C/ 045 SC 45 Slavick, Jeff	P 0 Broadcom Li	L 0 mited	# 90	A Presentation will be made av This essentially shifts the claus signaling	, vailable if needed.	n by 3 dB to acco	mmodate PAM4
	Comment Status X variables need to be added to t and receiver_status bit definitior		re, start-up protocol	5 5	oonse Status O		
Add "and local_traine Add "and local_tf_loc	.7.1 to 45.2.1.81.4, 45.2.1.81. ed in 136.8.11.7.1" to 45.2.1.8 k in 136.8.11.7.1" to 45.2.1.81	1.1		Cl 137 SC 137.1 Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR Com A single value for Zc, Rd, and	P 240 Samtec ment Status X	L 10	# [<u>93</u>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			package should strive to use p			
C/ 045 SC 45 Slavick, Jeff	P 0 Broadcom Lit	L 0 mited	# 91	SuggestedRemedy To better match the return loss Change Zc to 85 ohms which i		h 120D.	
PRBS sequence to u	Comment Status X ent to 45.2.1.122 for Clause 13 ise for training frames and the while we have a 13b seed for	PRBS seed. Cu		For the 30 mm package chang C_d to 0.25 e-4 nf Rd to 55 ohms Av,Afe to 0.42 V	je		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			Ane to 0.64 V For the 12 mm package chang C_d to 0.18 e-4 nf Rd to 45 ohms	je		
				Av,Afe to 0.38 V Ane to 0.58 V Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status O		

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2 P Dudek, Mike Cavi	238 <i>L</i> 24 um	# 94	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike	2.3 <i>P</i> 217 Cavium	L 8	# 97
Comment Type T Comment Status The editor's note is correctly identifying a p				Comment Status X measure risetime using the p od method which doesn't nee		
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
Add exception 5). The value of SNDR (mi and delete the editor's note. Proposed Response Response Status	, .	TC10 PICS to match.	Replace "Tr is measur	red using the method in 86A. width is 33 GHz instead of 12		
Response Status	0		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 136B SC 136B.1.1.6 P Dudek, Mike Cavi	368 <i>L</i> 17 um	# 95	C/ 136C SC 136C.1	P 371	L 30	# 98
Comment Type E Comment Status	×		Dudek, Mike	Cavium		
			· ·			
It would be helpful to include the form factor	ors (SFP29 and QSFF	P) in the table titles.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
,	,		51	Comment Status X led in table 136C-1 and there	efore shouldn't be	included in this
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of	8 mated test fixture in	tegrated near-end	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS	tegrated near-end	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarized	ed in table 136C-1 and there	actors, cable ass	embly form factors and ations of host form
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters"	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS	tegrated near-end	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarized	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C-1." to "The	actors, cable ass	embly form factors and ations of host form
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS	tegrated near-end	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C–1." to "The embly form factors are summ	actors, cable ass	embly form factors and ations of host form
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS 0 371 <i>L</i> 22	tegrated near-end SFP mated test fixture	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C–1." to "The embly form factors are summ	actors, cable ass	embly form factors and ations of host form
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136C SC 136C.1 P Dudek, Mike Caving	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS 0 371 <i>L</i> 22 um	tegrated near-end SFP mated test fixture	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse Proposed Response	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C–1." to "The embly form factors are summ <i>Response Status</i> O	actors, cable ass possible combin narized in Table 1	embly form factors and lations of host form 36C–1."
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status C/ 136C SC 136C.1 P Dudek, Mike Cavity	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS 0 371 <i>L</i> 22 um 5 X the parameters specifi gnificantly different, ins	# <u>96</u> Feed in 136.11 and those sertion loss is different	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.8.7 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The sub-section is labor	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C-1." to "The embly form factors are summ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 237 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X elled lane by lane transmit di	actors, cable ass e possible combin harized in Table 1 <i>L</i> 37	embly form factors and hations of host form 36C–1." # 99
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status C/ 136C SC 136C.1 P Dudek, Mike Cavit Comment Type T Comment Status There are significant differences between the specified for 100GBASE-CR4. (COM is signed) It is not helpful to reference clause 9	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS 0 371 <i>L</i> 22 um 5 X the parameters specifi gnificantly different, ins	# <u>96</u> Feed in 136.11 and those sertion loss is different	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.8.7 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The sub-section is labe diable and conflicts with	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C-1." to "The embly form factors are summ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 237 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X elled lane by lane transmit di	actors, cable ass e possible combin harized in Table 1 <i>L</i> 37	embly form factors and ations of host form 36C–1." # 99
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP2 crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of integrated crosstalk noise parameters" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136C SC 136C.1 P Dudek, Mike Cavit Comment Type T Comment Status There are significant differences between 1 specified for 100GBASE-CR4. (COM is significant specified for 100GBASE-CR4. (COM is speci	8 mated test fixture in of table 136B-2 to "QS 0 371 <i>L</i> 22 um s X the parameters specifi prificantly different, ins 2 and just say the free the 100GBASE-CR4	# 96 FP mated test fixture # 96	Lengths are not includ sentence. SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible lengths are summarize factors and cable asse Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.8.7 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The sub-section is labor	ed in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa ed in Table 136C-1." to "The embly form factors are summ <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 237 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X elled lane by lane transmit di th 137.8.6	actors, cable ass e possible combin harized in Table 1 <i>L</i> 37	embly form factors and ations of host form 36C–1." # 99

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2 Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> 238 Cavium	L 22	# 100	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike	2.3 <i>P</i> 217 Cavium	L 20	# 103
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	e calculation of SNRisi is also	an exception to	Table 120D-1.		Presently the method to me alize reflections in the test sy		
SuggestedRemedy				equalizer can be expe	cted to equalize. This calibr		
• •	nd the value of Nb is taken fro	om table 137-5"		the Receiver.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
					vith the exception that Np=15 ue. SNDR is measured at the		
C/ 137 SC 137.9.3	P 238	L 33	# 101	in 120D.3.1.6, with the			e using the procedure
Dudek, Mike	Cavium				tches the value calculated b)^-(SNRtx))^2 - sqrt(10^-(SN		NDR is measured
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				20D.3.1.6 and SNRisi is mea		
There are not RS-FEC	symbol error ratio values in T	Tables 120D-6 a	nd 120D-7 They are	with the exception that	Nb is found in table 136-15		
	y Symbol Chor land values in 1						
called PCS FEC Symb				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
called PCS FEC Symb				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to sa	pol error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r	atio is replaced	by RS-FEC Symbol	Proposed Response		L 24	# 104
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl	atio is replaced	by RS-FEC Symbol	. , 		L 24	# [104
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu	pol error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r	atio is replaced	by RS-FEC Symbol	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike	2.3 P 217 Cavium	L 24	# 104
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl	atio is replaced	by RS-FEC Symbol	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR	2.3 P 217 Cavium Comment Status X		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to sa error ratio and the valu Proposed Response	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r les in Table 120D–6 and Tabl <i>Response Status</i> 0	atio is replaced	by RS-FEC Symbol	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to se error ratio and the valu Proposed Response Cl 135G SC 135G.5.3	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r les in Table 120D–6 and Tabl <i>Response Status</i> 0	atio is replaced e 120D–7 are al	by RS-FEC Symbol II 10-3."	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The equation for Add i	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu Proposed Response C/ 135G SC 135G.5.3 Dudek, Mike	ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl Response Status O	atio is replaced e 120D–7 are al	by RS-FEC Symbol II 10-3."	Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The equation for Add i this is obviously wrong	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu Proposed Response C/ 135G SC 135G.5.3 Dudek, Mike	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 361 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X	atio is replaced e 120D–7 are al	by RS-FEC Symbol II 10-3."	Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The equation for Add i this is obviously wrong SuggestedRemedy	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu Proposed Response Cl 135G SC 135G.5.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The number of AC-cou	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 361 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X	atio is replaced e 120D–7 are al	by RS-FEC Symbol II 10-3."	Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The equation for Add i this is obviously wrong SuggestedRemedy Fix the equation.	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation as Add could be zero.		
called PCS FEC Symb SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to si error ratio and the valu Proposed Response Cl 135G SC 135G.5.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The number of AC-cou SuggestedRemedy	ool error ratio there. ay. "PCS FEC Symbol error r ues in Table 120D–6 and Tabl <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 361 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X	ratio is replaced e 120D–7 are al	by RS-FEC Symbol II 10-3."	Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The equation for Add i this is obviously wrong SuggestedRemedy Fix the equation.	2.3 P 217 Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> X s wrong. Using this equation as Add could be zero.		

C/ 137 SC 137.10 Dudek, Mike	P 239 Cavium	L 48	# 105	C/ 136 SC 136.11 Tracy, Nathan	P 219 TE Connectiv	L 12	# 106
Comment Type TR Work has been present http://grouper.ieee.or f that shows that the performance for expent the channels analyze SuggestedRemedy Either change the reconstruction tolerance COM calibring nominal values of 100 Or. Add tests using r nominal values. If this	Comment Status X anted in g/groups/802/3/cd/public/adho existing values for Rd and Zc cted transmitters that would pa d the hole in the specification is guired channel COM to 3.6dB w ation at 3.0dB (and consider of	do not provide t ass the Transmi s up to approx 0 while leaving the changing the val d Zc to cover +/ e the channel re	he worst case itter specifications. For 0.6dB in COM e receiver interference lues of Rd and Zc to the -10% variation from the iturn loss to be	Comment Type T Proposing to add a n Change from: " Since 50GBASE-CR 110.11.1) and multi-l combinations of the types are described i	Comment Status X ew additional MDI to help enab has two specified MDI connec ane (QSFP28, specified in 92.1 connectors at each end. The po n Annex 136C. 100GBASE-CR 1 92.12). 200GBASE-CR4 uses	ble new equipme tors, single-lane 12), there are thr pssible 50GBAS &2 uses two lane	(SFP28, specified in ree possible E-CR cable assembly as of the multi-lane
Proposed Response	Response Status O			110.11.1 or microQS or microQSFP, spec connectors at each e in Annex 136C. 1000 92,12) or microQSFF lane QSFP28 (specil	has three specified MDI conne FP, specified in 136.12.1) and fied in 136.12.1), there are thre nd. The possible 50GBASE-CI BASE-CR2 uses two lanes of (specified in 136.12.1). 200Gl ied in 92.12) or microQSFP (sp that has multi-lanes but can al	multi-lane (QSF ee possible coml R cable assemb the multi-lane Q BASE-CR4 uses becified in 136.1	P28, specified in 92.12 binations of the ly types are described ISFP28 (specified in s four lanes of the multi- 2.1). Note that

C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.2.1 P 223 L 44 # 107 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity TE Connectivity <td< th=""><th>C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.2.2 P 224 L 1 # 108 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity TE Connectivity</th></td<>	C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.2.2 P 224 L 1 # 108 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity TE Connectivity
Comment Type T Comment Status X Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Change from: 136.11.7.2.1 SFP28 to SFP28 The SFP28 to SFP28 channel structure includes the signal path, one near-end crosstalk path and no alien far end crosstalk. The signal and near-end crosstalk paths are used in calculation of COM. The signal path is calculated using Equation (136-8). The near-end crosstalk path is calculated using Equation (136-9).	Comment Type T Comment Status X Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Change From: 136.11.7.2.2 QSFP28 to SFP28 The QSFP28 to SFP28 channel structure includes the signal path, three alien far-end and one near-end crosstalk path. These five paths are used in calculation of COM. Crosstalk from transmitters on other SFP28 connectors is assumed to be insignificant. The signal path is calculated using Equation (136-8). The near-end crosstalk path is calculated using Equation (136-9), with k equal to 1. The three alien far-end crosstalk paths are calculated using Equation (136-10), with k values from 1 to 3.
Change To: 136.11.7.2.1 SFP28 to SFP28 or single-lane microQSFP to single-lane microQSFP The SFP28 to SFP28 or single-lane microQSFP to single-lane microQSFP channel structure includes the signal path, one near-end crosstalk path and no alien far end crosstalk. The signal and near-end crosstalk paths are used in calculation of COM. The signal path is calculated using Equation (136-8). The near-end crosstalk path is calculated using Equation (136-9). Proposed Response Response Status 0	SuggestedRemedy Change To: 136.11.7.2.2 QSFP28 (or microQSFP) to SFP28 (or microQSFP) The QSFP28 (or microQSFP) to SFP28 (or microQSFP) channel structure includes the signal path, three alien far-end and one near-end crosstalk path. These five paths are used in calculation of COM. Crosstalk from transmitters on other SFP28 (or microQSFP) connectors is assumed to be insignificant. The signal path is calculated using Equation (136-8). The near-end crosstalk path is calculated using Equation (136-9), with k equal to 1. The three alien far-end crosstalk paths are calculated using Equation (136-10), with k values from 1 to 3.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

	36.11.7.2.3	P 224	L 13	# 109	C/ 136	SC 136.11.7	.2.4	P 224	L 24	# 110
Tracy, Nathan		TE Connectiv	ity		Tracy, Nat	nan		TE Connectiv	uty	
Comment Type	T Comme	nt Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment	Status X		
Change From: 136.11.7.2.3 S The SFP28 to 6 four near-end c The signal path The near-end c to 4.	FP28 to QSFP28 QSFP28 channel st crosstalk paths. The is calculated using crosstalk paths are of far-end crosstalk p	ructure includes t se eight paths are g Equation (136-8) calculated using E	he signal path, tl e used in calcula). Equation (136-9),	with k values from 1	Chang 136.1 The Q to QSI chann Suggested Chang 136.1	P28 el, and COM is <i>Remedy</i> e To: .7.2.4 QSFP28	to QSFP28 28 channel sti calculated in th (or microQSF	ructure includes ne same way, a P) to QSFP28 (s the same paths s defined in 136 or microQSFP)	
SuggestedRemedy					same	baths defined fo	or the SFP28 (o	or microQSFP)	to QSFP28 (or r	structure includes the nicroQSFP) channel,
Change To:	ED29 (or mioroOSE						d in the same v	way, as defined	in 136.11.7.2.3.	
The SFP28 (or signal path, thr used in calcula The signal path The near-end c to 4.	ee alien far-end and tion of COM. n is calculated using crosstalk paths are of far-end crosstalk p	FP28 (or microQS d four near-end cr g Equation (136-8) calculated using E	SFP) channel str osstalk paths. Tl). Equation (136-9),	ucture includes the nese eight paths are with k values from 1 n (136-10), with k	Proposed	Response	Response -	Status O		
Proposed Respons	e Respons	e Status O								

C/ 136 SC 136.12 P 224 L 30 **TE Connectivity**





Comment Type т Comment Status X

Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Change From:

136.12 MDI specifications

This subclause defines the 50GBASE-CR, the 100GBASE-CR2, and the 200GBASE-CR4 Media Dependent Interface (MDIs). The MDI couples the PMD (specified in 136.8 and 136.9) to the cable assembly (specified in 136.11).

For 50GBASE-CR, the mechanical interface between the PMD and the cable assembly may be either a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 110.11.1 (singlelane MDI) or a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 92.12.1.1 (multi-lane MDI). The plug connector is used on the cable assembly and the receptacle is used on the PMD. For the multi-lane MDI, each of the paired transmit and receive lanes (SL0, DL0), (SL1, DL1), (SL2, DL2) or (SL3, DL3) may be used for the transmit and receive connections (SL and DL).

For 100GBASE-CR2 or 200GBASE-CR4, the mechanical interface between the PMD and the cable assembly is a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 92.12.1.1 (multi-lane MDI). The plug connector is used on the cable assembly and the receptacle is used on the PMD. For 100GBASE-CR2 multilane MDI, the paired transmit and receive lanes for one PHY shall be (SL0, DL0) and (SL1, DL1), and if a second PHY uses the same MDI connector it uses (SL2, DL2) and (SL3, DL3).

For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2 and 200GBASE-CR4 plug connectors, the receive lanes are AC-coupled; the AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors. It should be noted that there may be various methods for AC-coupling in actual implementations. The low-frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC-coupling shall be less than 50 kHz. It is recommended that the value of the coupling capacitors be 100 nF. The capacitor limits the inrush charge and baseline wander

SuggestedRemedy

Change To:

136.12 MDI specifications

This subclause defines the 50GBASE-CR, the 100GBASE-CR2, and the 200GBASE-CR4 Media Dependent Interface (MDIs). The MDI couples the PMD (specified in 136.8 and 136.9) to the cable assembly (specified in 136.11).

For 50GBASE-CR, the mechanical interface between the PMD and the cable assembly may be either of three options: a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 110.11.1 (single-lane MDI) or a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 92.12.1.1 (multi-lane MDI) or a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 136.12.1 (single-lane or multi-lane MDI). The plug connector is used on the cable assembly and the receptacle is used on the PMD. For the multi-lane MDI, each of the paired transmit and receive lanes (SL0, DL0), (SL1, DL1), (SL2, DL2) or (SL3, DL3) may be used for the transmit and receive connections (SL and DL). In cases where the connector meeting the requirements of 136.12.1 (multi-lane MDI) is used for a single-lane 50GBASE-CR cable,

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

the paired transmit and receive lanes for one PHY shall be (SL0, DL0).

For 100GBASE-CR2 or 200GBASE-CR4, the mechanical interface between the PMD and the cable

assembly is a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 92.12.1.1 (multi-lane MDI) or 136.12.1 (multi-lane). The plug connector is used on the cable assembly and the receptacle is used on the PMD. For 100GBASE-CR2 multilane MDI, the paired transmit and receive lanes for one PHY shall be (SL0, DL0) and (SL1, DL1), and if a second PHY uses the same MDI connector it uses (SL2, DL2) and (SL3, DL3).

For 50GBASE-CR. 100GBASE-CR2 and 200GBASE-CR4 plug connectors, the receive lanes are

AC-coupled; the AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors. It should be noted that there may be

various methods for AC-coupling in actual implementations. The low-frequency 3 dB cutoff of the

AC-coupling shall be less than 50 kHz. It is recommended that the value of the coupling capacitors be

100 nF. The capacitor limits the inrush charge and baseline wander.

136.12.1 Style-1 50GBASE-CR. 100GBASE-CR2. 200GBASE-CR4 MDI connector The Style-1 MDI connector can support all three cable types described by this clause. The connector for each end of the cable assembly shall be the microQSFP connector plug with the mechanical mating interface defined in the microQSFP MSA Specification and illustrated in Figure 136-11. The MDI connector shall be the microQSFP receptacle with the mechanical mating interface defined by the microQSFP MSA Specification and illustrated in Figure 136-12. These connectors have contact assignments that are listed in Table 136-16, and electrical performance consistent with the signal quality and electrical requirements of 136.9 and 136.10. This MDI can be applied in 1-lane, 2-lane and 4-lane applications due to its port density.

The Style-1 MDI connector of the 50GBASE-CR, the 100GBASE-CR2, and the 200GBASE-CR4 PMD comprises 38 signal connections. The Style-1 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignments shall be as defined in Table 136-16. Note that the source lanes (SL), signals SLi, and SLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the transmitters differential signal pairs and the destination lanes (DL) signals, DLi, and DLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the receivers differential signal pairs for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3).

See supplemental file sent with comment file for 2 Figures and one Table that accompany this new material.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comment ID 111

Page 23 of 46 2017-02-24 9:06:29 AM

C/ 136C SC 136C.1 P 371 L 16 # 112	C/ 136C SC 136C.2.3 P 372 L 14 # 114				
Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity	Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity				
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X				
Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Change From: "	Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Need to add a new paragraph to describe the new MDI.				
 Hosts have two specified MDI connectors, single-lane (SFP28, specified in 110.11.1) and	SuggestedRemedy				
multi-lane (QSFP28, specified in 92.12)"	Insert new Paragraph: 136C.2.3 microQSFP host form factor				
SuggestedRemedy	A microQSFP MDI has four available lanes and can be used in either single-lane				
Change To:	applications or multi-lane applications.				
Hosts have three specified MDI connectors, single-lane (SFP28, specified in 110.11.1), multi-lane (QSFP28, specified in 92.12) and multi-lane (microQSFP, specified in 136.12.1)	A host may use the microQSFP receptacle specified in 136.12.1 as the MDI for one or two 100GBASE-CR2 PHYs or one 200GBASE-CR4 PHY. This is referred to as a microQSFP host form factor.				
roposed Response Response Status O	A microQSFP form factor host can also form up to four 50 Gb/s links to either another microQSFP form factor host, using a microQSFP to microQSFP form factor cable assembly (see 136C.3.x), or to a QSFP28 form factor host using a microQSFP to QSFP28				
# 136C SC 136C.1 P 371 L 43 # 113	form factor cable assembly (see 136C.3.x) or to four separate microQSFP form factor hosts using a microQSFP to 4×microQSFP form factor cable assembly (see 136C.3.x) or to four separate SFP28 form factor hosts using a microQSFP to 4xSFP28 form factor cable				
racy, Nathan TE Connectivity					
omment Type T Comment Status X	assembly (see 136C.3.x).				
Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Need to add additional items to Table 136C-1 so it includes all cable types resulting from the new MDI.	Proposed Response Response Status O				
uggestedRemedy	C/ 136C SC 136C.3 P 374 L 30 # 115				
Additional material to be added (see also supplemental file sent with comment file for table	Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity				
format and content): Cable Assembly Form FactorHost First EndHosts Second End	Comment Type T Comment Status X				
SFP28 to microQSFP (single-lane) SFP28microQSFP microQSFP (single-lane) to microQSFP (single-lane)microQSFPmicroQSFP	Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Need to insert a new paragraph to describe microQSFP to SFP28 Cables				
QSFP28 to microQSFPQSFP28microQSFP microQSFP to microQSFPmicroQSFP	SuggestedRemedy				
microQSFP to 4xmicroQSFPmicroQSFP4x microQSFP	Add new Paragraph:				
microQSFP to 4xSFP28microQSFP 4x SFP28 Proposed Response Response Status O	136C.3.x SFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly form factor The SFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly has one SFP28 plug, specified in 110.11.1, and one microQSFP plug, specified in 136.12.1. It may be used to connect one SFP28 form factor host to one microQSFP form factor host (see 136C.2.1 and 136C.2.3) with a single 50 Gb/s link. The cable assembly is illustrated in Figure 136C-x. The electrical characteristics of a cable assembly for this form factor are specified in 136.11, using the definitions in 136.11.7.2.1.				
	Need SFP to microQSFP cable image (TE will supply)				

C/ 136C SC 136C.3	P 374	L 31	# 116	C/ 136C	SC 136C.3	PS	374	L 33	# 118
racy, Nathan	TE Connectivity			Tracy, Nath	an	TE C	onnectiv	ity	
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status	X		
	al MDI to enable new equipment aph to describe QSFP28 to micro					nal MDI to enable new aph to describe micro			cables.
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
The QSFP28 to micro and one microQSFP p form factor host to one to four 50 Gb/s links.	nicroQSFP cable assembly form QSFP cable assembly has one C olug, specified in 136.12.1. It may e microQSFP form factor host (se The cable assembly is illustrated ble assembly for this form factor .2.4.	SFP28 plug, be used to c e 136C.2.2 a in Figure 136	onnect one QSFP28 and 136C.2.3) with up C-x. The electrical	136C.3. 4×micro and fou connect hosts (s is illustr	QSFP cable r microQSFP t a microQSFI see 136C.2.3) ated in Figure	to 4xmicroQSFP cab assembly has a micro plugs as specified in ² form factor host (see with one 50 Gb/s link 136C-x. The electrica ied in 136.11, using th	QSFP pl 136.12.1 e 136C.2 to each i al charact	ug as specified i on the other end .3) to up to four microQSFP host teristics of a cab	n 136.12.1 on one en I. It may be used to microQSFP form fact t. The cable assembly le assembly for this
See supplemental file	for image to go with this paragra	ph		See ima	age in suppler	nental file			
roposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	0		
/ 136C SC 136C.3	P 374	L 32	# 117	C/ 140	SC 140.6.1	P:	303	L 25	# 119
acy, Nathan	TE Connectivity			traverso, ma	att	cisco)		
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype T	Comment Status	Х		
Adding a new addition Need to add a paragra	al MDI to enable new equipment aph to describe microQSFP to 4x	designs. SFP28 cables	S.			magenta text. Furthe ause 124, 400GBASE		able 140-6 has p	parameters which are
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
The microQSFP to 4x	to 4xSFP28 cable assembly form SFP28 cable assembly has a mic	croQSFP plug		"travers	o_022217_3c	resentation based on cd_adhoc-v3" with spe Clause 124, 400GBAS	cific char	nges to update th	
may be used to conne form factor hosts (see assembly is illustrated	and four SFP28 plugs as specifie ect a microQSFP form factor host 136C.2.1) with one 50 Gb/s link in Figure 136C-x. The electrical specified in 136.11, using the de	Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status	0				
136.11.7.2.3.									
136.11.7.2.3.	nental file provided with comment	file							

C/ 140 SC 140.6.2	P 304	L 9	# 120	C/ 140 SC 140.7		L 46	# 123
raverso, matt	cisco			traverso, matt	cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Table 140-7 has paramet SuggestedRemedy	ters which are not consiste	nt with Clause 12	24, 400GBASE-DR4.		thodology presented in the ad ho 3cd_adhoc-v3" creates a new ex		ent for the TDECQ
	entation based on ad hoc p			SuggestedRemedy			
"traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus	dhoc-v3" with specific char	nges to update th	ne parameters to be		presentation based on ad hoc p	resentation	
	Response Status O			"traverso_022217_3 "- The optical return	3cd_adhoc-v3" which will propos loss shall correspond to Table dsmith the bullet appropriately.	e to add a new b	
C/ 140 SC 140.6.3	<i>P</i> 304 cisco	L 44	# 121	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			C/ 140 SC 140.9	P 309	L 14	# 124
Table 140-8 has paramet	ers which are not consister	nt with Clause 12	24, 400GBASE-DR4.	traverso, matt	cisco		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
l intend to submit a prese	entation based on ad hoc p				ins magenta text for the return lo	DSS.	
l intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a	dhoc-v3" with specific char		ne parameters to be			DSS.	
"traverso_022217_3cd_ac consistent with with Claus	dhoc-v3" with specific char		ne parameters to be	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a		resentation	ne parameter to be 27
I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4.		the parameters to be	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3	ins magenta text for the return lo	resentation	ne parameter to be 27
l intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305	nges to update th		Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB.	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p 3cd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O	resentation	ne parameter to be 27
l intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response C/ 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-10 contains mate	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore,	nges to update th	# 122	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB. Proposed Response	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p 3cd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O	resentation nges to update th	·
l intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response C/ 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt Comment Type T	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore,	nges to update th	# 122	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB. Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.10	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p 3cd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O 0.2.2 <i>P</i> 310	resentation nges to update th	·
l intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-10 contains ma not consistent with Claus SuggestedRemedy	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore, e 124, 400GBASE-DR4.	nges to update th <i>L</i> 35 Table 140-6 has	# 122	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_S dB. Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.10 traverso, matt Comment Type T	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p Bcd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O 0.2.2 <i>P</i> 310 cisco	resentation nges to update th	# 125
I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-10 contains ma not consistent with Clause SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a prese	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore, e 124, 400GBASE-DR4.	nges to update th <i>L</i> 35 Table 140-6 has	# 122	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB. Proposed Response C/ 140 SC 140.10 traverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-13 conta	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p Bcd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O 0.2.2 <i>P</i> 310 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X	resentation nges to update th <i>L</i> 15 he reflectance m	# 125
I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Clause Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1 raverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-10 contains ma not consistent with Clause SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 <i>cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore, e 124, 400GBASE-DR4. entation based on ad hoc p dhoc-v3" with specific char	nges to update th <i>L</i> 35 Table 140-6 has presentation nges to update th	# 122 parameters which are	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB. Proposed Response C/ 140 SC 140.10 traverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-13 conta	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p Bcd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O 0.2.2 <i>P</i> 310 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X ins magenta text. Additionally, t	resentation nges to update th <i>L</i> 15 he reflectance m	# 125
I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Claus Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.7.1 traverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-10 contains ma not consistent with Clause SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a prese "traverso_022217_3cd_a consistent with with Clause	dhoc-v3" with specific char se 124, 400GBASE-DR4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 305 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X agenta text. Furthermore, e 124, 400GBASE-DR4.	nges to update th <i>L</i> 35 Table 140-6 has presentation nges to update th	# 122 parameters which are	Table 140-11 conta SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a "traverso_022217_3 dB. Proposed Response Cl 140 SC 140.10 traverso, matt Comment Type T Table 140-13 conta in the ad hoc prese SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a	ins magenta text for the return lo presentation based on ad hoc p Bcd_adhoc-v3" with specific char <i>Response Status</i> O 0.2.2 <i>P</i> 310 cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X ins magenta text. Additionally, t	resentation nges to update th L 15 he reflectance m idhoc-v3" propos resentation	# 125 ethodology presented es a new table format.

3". loss shall be less e number of discrete umber of discrete rete reflectances b ertion loss." <i>L</i> 36	# reflectances between below -55 dB may be # 127	Since MMF has multi frequencies, any dou neglgible. When discussed in th correct and didn't new SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC, chang Proposed Response CI 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> X ectance is in magenta and ma ple propagation modes, and th ole reflections will add incoher the 802.3cd ad hoc meeting, the d to be magenta TBC. e magenta text to black <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 279 Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> X red data 17 dB is the minimum	he sources VCSE ently and any MF e concensus was	PI would still be
3". loss shall be less e number of discrete umber of discrete rete reflectances b ertion loss." <i>L</i> 36	than or equal to the rete reflectances e reflectances between below -55 dB may be # 127	The max discrete refl Since MMF has multi frequencies, any dou neglgible. When discussed in th correct and didn't new SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC, chang Proposed Response Cl 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	ectance is in magenta and ma ple propagation modes, and the ple reflections will add incoher the 802.3cd ad hoc meeting, the ed to be magenta TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. P 279 Finisar Comment Status X	he sources VCSE ently and any MF e concensus was	PI would still be
3". loss shall be less e number of discrete umber of discrete rete reflectances b ertion loss." <i>L</i> 36	than or equal to the rete reflectances e reflectances between below -55 dB may be # 127	Since MMF has multi frequencies, any dou neglgible. When discussed in th correct and didn't new SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC, chang Proposed Response CI 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	ple propagation modes, and the ole reflections will add incoher the 802.3cd ad hoc meeting, the d to be magenta TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC.	he sources VCSE ently and any MF e concensus was	PI would still be
e number of discrete umber of discrete ete reflectances b ertion loss." <i>L</i> 36 jitter are in mager	# <u>127</u> # nta.	frequencies, any dou neglgible. When discussed in th correct and didn't new SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC, chang Proposed Response Cl 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	ble reflections will add incoher the 802.3cd ad hoc meeting, the ad to be magenta TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC. TBC.	ently and any MF e concensus was	PI would still be
L 36 jitter are in mager	nta.	Proposed Response Cl 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	Response Status O P 279 Finisar Comment Status X	-	# 129
jitter are in mager	nta.	Cl 139 SC 139.5.4 King, Jonathan Comment Type TR Based on the measu	P 279 Finisar Comment Status X	-	# [<u>129</u>
jitter are in mager	nta.	King, Jonathan <i>Comment Type</i> TR Based on the measu	Finisar Comment Status X	-	# 129
		King, Jonathan <i>Comment Type</i> TR Based on the measu	Finisar Comment Status X	-	# 129
		Comment Type TR Based on the measu	Comment Status X	m outination quai	
		Based on the measu		m outination avai	
	and didn't need to be in	on a lane by lane bas	er lane basis. A Tx OFF spec is Bm for 50GBASE-FR allows	= -20dBm canno	ot be achieved reliably
			ough margin between the min verage launch power and min		
		SuggestedRemedy			
		dBm. In Table 139-4, chan In Table 139-7 chang		(min) spec from	-9 dBm to -7.6 dBm.
		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
			In Table 139-6 chang	In Table 139-6 change the Average launch power (In Table 139-7 change the Average received power (min) spec from In Table 139-6 change the Average launch power (min) spec from - <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O

C/ 140 SC 140.5.4	P 302	L 6	# 130	C/ 138 SC 138.8.8 P 263 L 18 # 132
King, Jonathan	Finisar			King, Jonathan Finisar
	Comment Status X d data, 17 dB is the minimur r lane basis. A Tx OFF spec			Comment Type TR Comment Status X The reference receiver bandwidth of 19.34 GHz is in magenta and marked TBC. 19.34 GHz is the same value used for the reference receiver for 25G NRZ clauses, it of
multi-lane implementati SuggestedRemedy		/s Tx 'off' spec to	o be met reliably for	a significant practical advantage in that existing test gear has this reference receiver bandwdith, even though there is a small (3%) difference between 19.34 GHz and a traditional 0.75 x symbol rate reference bandwidth. Since both TDECQ and SECQ assume the same reference receiver bandwdith of 19.34 GHz, and both include reference equalizers in the measurement, the link budget is self consistent.
In Table 140-4, change In Table 140-6, change dBm.	-20 dBm to -15 dBm the Average launch power of	of OFF transmitt	er from -20 dBm to -15	SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC, make text black
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
	P 262 Finisar	L 5	# 131	C/ 138 SC 138.11.4.1 P 270 L 52 # 133 King, Jonathan Finisar
<i>Comment Type</i> TR The 31 UI delay betwee	Comment Status X en PRBS31Q patterns is in n			Comment Type TR Comment Status X The PICS F5 and F8 for optical modulation level mapping are not appropriate for PAM ⁴
When discussed in the	ther projects where lanes be 802.3cd ad hoc meeting, the nake PRBS31Q patterns effe	e concensus wa	s that 31 UI was more	SuggestedRemedy Change "Higher optical power is a one" to "Highest optical power is a three" in F5 and F8
				Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Remove TBC and chan	ge text to black			

C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.1	P 215	L 44	# 134	C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2		P 216	L 18	# 135
King, Jona	than	Finisar			King, Jona	athan		Finisar		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X		
statist Howev in 136	cs to meet the FL ver, there is no ref .1.	ference in 136.9.4.1 that con	nnects the Rx BE	R to the FLR specified	link th should error s	ne FEC symbol en d also be sufficen	ror rate to the tly random , so en break the F	BER specified o that FEC frar FLR requireme	in 136.1. The FE nes aren't overw nt. For example,	helmed with bursty for sparse, stochastic
		sured BER could meet the The spec appears to have a		1, but could fail the	Suggestee	dRemedy				
	IRemedy	····				6.1, add a sub seo rements.	ction 136.1.1 "	Bit error ratio"	which contains a	II the BER and FLR
	.1, add a sub sect	tion 136.1.1 "Bit error ratio"	which contains a	II the BER and FLR	In Tab	ole 136-13, the all e appropriate PIC		mbol error ratio	should refer to	136.1.1.
"Wher		is connected to a compliant le, as defined by 92.8.3.1 an			Proposed	Response	Response S	Status O		
setting	, is 1 200 mV, usi	ing a compliant cable assem PMD receiver shall operat	hbly with the mini	imum insertion loss	C/ 136 King, Jona	SC 136.9.4.2 athan		P 216 Finisar	L 26	# 136
То					Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X		
		is connected to a compliant								ver than the allowed specified in 136.1.

"When a PMD receiver is connected to a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage, as defined by 92.8.3.1 and measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting, is 1 200 mV, using a compliant cable assembly with the minimum insertion loss specified in 136.11.2, the PMD receiver shall operate at the BERas specified in 136.1.1" Fix the appropriate PIC

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

SuggestedRemedy

In 136.1, add a sub section 136.1.1 "Bit error ratio" which contains all the BER and FLR requirements. In Table 136-13, the allowed DER_0 should refer to 136.1.1. Fix the appropriate PIC

Also there's no text to link the DER_0 to the BER specified in 136.1. The DER_0 should

also have sufficently random errors, so that FEC frames aren't overwhelmed with bursty

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

error statistics which then break the FLR requirement.

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4 King, Jonathan	I.2.3 <i>P</i> 217 Finisar	L 31	# 137	C/ 140 SC 140.6.1 King, Jonathan	<i>P</i> 303 Finisar	L 45	# 140
Gray coded PAM4 si SuggestedRemedy The Q4 value should change the NOTE to	Comment Status X nsistent with the BER specified ignals. I be 3.414 for Gray coded PAM4 say 'Q4 = 3.414 is consistent v PAM4', with editorial licence . Response Status 0	4 signaling with a	a target BER of 2.4e-4;	in practice. A RIN_OM more than two orders SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X nuch tighter than required for I IA of -132dB/Hz still allows lir of magnitude below the requir e the max RIN_OMA to -132d Response Status O	nks to close and ed BER.	
C/ 139 SC 139.6.1	P 280	L 47	# 138	C/ 139 SC 139.6.1 King, Jonathan	P 280 Finisar	L 48	# 141
(ing, Jonathan	Finisar			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
3.5 dB would be mor but potentially allows SuggestedRemedy	ecludes the use of directly module The DML friendly, at the cost of a solver power and lower cost DM ge the ER min to 3.5 dB. Response Status O	small change in	MPI penalty (0.12 dB),	measure in practice. / BER floors more than SuggestedRemedy	nuch tighter than required for I A RIN_OMA of -138dB/Hz still two orders of magnitude belov e the max RIN_OMA to -138d <i>Response Status</i> O	l allows links to c w the required B	lose and maintains
C/ 140 SC 140.6.1	D 202	1.42	# 400	C/ 136 SC 136.8.1	P 192	L 40	# 142
(ing, Jonathan	l P 303 Finisar	L 43	# 139	Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Labs.	of Ameri	
Comment Type TR The ER specified pre 3.5 dB would cost of	Comment Status X ecludes the use of directly modu a very small change in MPI per nd lower cost DML based single	nalty (0.03 dB), b	out potentially allows	specifies Mated test f	Comment Status X fixture specified in 136B.1.1 of xtures. It seems that a relevan and includes a reference to the	nt reference may	be 136B.1 which
SuggestedRemedy	-			SuggestedRemedy			
In Table 140-6 chang	ge the ER min to 3.5 dB.			00 ,	to 136B.1.1 on line 40 with a	reference to 136	B.1.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change the reference Proposed Response	to 136B.1.1 on line 43 with a <i>Response Status</i> O	reference to 136	B.1.

C/ 136 SC 136.8.1	P 192	L 53	# 143	C/ 137	SC 137.1	P 239	L 48	# 145
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Labs. c	of Ameri		Hidaka, Yas	uo	Fujitsu Labs.	of Ameri	
Comment Type T Co	omment Status X			Comment T	/pe TR C	Comment Status X		
It is written as the cable asso test fixtures. It seems that a fixtures and includes a refere 92.11.2. SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 136 Proposed Response Re	relevant reference may ence to the cable assem	be 136B.1 which bly test fixture sp	specifies Test pcified in 110B.1.2 and	impedar Namely not take COM. Ir Zc. There is Option A Test cha	ce) have interaction the worst-case value account of this inter addition, 0.6dB of a heuristics to show A: annel with all combined	(termination resistance) n between channel and ues of Rd and Zc depend raction. As a result, the COM must be squeezed rten simulation time for contact nations of max and min	Tx, and between of ds on channel. Th current spec is op to allocate for the option A. values of Rd and a	channel and Rx. the current COM does otimistic by 0.6dB of the variation of Rd and
C/ 136 SC 136.9.3.1.1 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T Co	P 213 Fujitsu Labs. c omment Status X	L 39 of Ameri	# 144	Option E Test cha Use diffe	3. annel with typical va erent COM criteria b	x ITT with typical values lues of Rd and Zc in Tx between channel and Rx	and Rx. ITT.	
In equation (136-1), the term SuggestedRemedy Change "r(m) + i - M * i" to "		part of the index	c of r(m).			x ITT with typical values scenarios to squeeze ma		
Change "r(m) + j - M * i" to "r(m + j - M * i)". Proposed Response Response Status O				Scenario 1: To keep the Tx and channel requirements same, and tighten Rx by 0.6dB: Option A: change COM criteria to 2.4dB for channel, 2.4dB for Rx ITT. Option B: change COM criteria to 3.0dB for channel, 2.4dB for Rx ITT.				
				Option A	the Tx requirement A: chagne COM crite	s same, and tighten cha eria to 2.7dB for channel eria to 3.3dB for channel	, 2.7dB for Rx ITT	- · · ·
				Option A someho Option B	en Tx, channel, Rx e A: change COM crite w equivalent to 0.2c	eria to 3.2dB for channel	, 2.8dB for Rx, an	0 1 9
				SuggestedR	emedy			
				l recom	mend either option	A + scenario 2 or option	B + scenario 2.	
				Test cha Calibrat	e test channel for R	nations of max and min y x ITT with typical values dB for channel, and 2.7c	of Rd and Zc.	Zc in Tx and Rx.
				Option E	3 + Scenario 2:			
TYPE: TR/technical required EF COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatch SORT ORDER: Comment ID					Z/withdrawn	Comme	ent ID 145	Page 31 of 46 2017-02-24 9:06:29

Calibrate test channel	cal values of Rd and Zc in Tx a for Rx ITT with typical values to 3.3dB for channel, and 2.7d	of Rd and Zc.		C/ 136 SC 136.6.1 Dawe, Piers	P 189 Mellanox	L 19	# 148
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Comment Status X Variation at SP3 (transmitter M ent to those at SP2 (PMD input		
C/ 136 SC 136.9.3 Dawe, Piers	P 212 Mellanox	L 18	# 146	SP2 to SP5. SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				Skew Variation limits for 50G	BASE-CR and 5	OGBASE-KR.
J4 (all but 1e-4 of the e late, so 3.75e-5 per UI	edges, or 1e-4*0.75 of the nur or 1.875e-5 per bit) is overkill	I for the spec BE	R of 2.4e-4, and J3		skew values that would apply d not be required - almost all N		
of 1e-5 (PCS FEC Syn	good match to the spec BER nbol error ratio 1e-4) for 120D less performance left on the t	. Getting this rig	ht makes the spec	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change J4 to J3. In E Proposed Response	q 136-6 change Q4=3.8906 to Response Status O	o Q3=3.2905, Q(Q3) = 5 x10^-4	C/ 136 SC 136.8.7 Dawe, Piers	P 195 Mellanox	L 1	# 149
C/ 131 SC 131.5 Dawe. Piers	P 124 Mellanox	L 22	# [147	Comment Type E PMD lane-by-lane tra should it be required SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X nsmit disable function is option in this clause?	nal in 92, 93 and	94. Also 138. Why
Comment Type TR All 50G PMDs are seri (receiver MDI) and SP	Comment Status X al. So the Skew and Skew Va 5 (PMD output) can't be differ			Make it optional here usual sentence "If the MDIO, an alternative	and in 137. Delete "If MDIO is optional PMD_transmit_disab method may be provided to in	ole_i function is r	not implemented in
	one lane from SP2 to SP5.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SR, 50GBASE-FR and If appropriate, list the s	Skew Variation limits for 50Gl 50GBASE-LR. skew values that would apply i uired - almost all NICs would	if there were an	2-lane 50G PMD. But	Cl 136 SC 136.8.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	Mellanox Comment Status X	<i>L</i> 10	# [150
Proposed Response	Response Status O			If Transmission order in reverse order.	is left-to-right then top-to-bott	om, the cells are	labelled or transmitted
					ect, add words saying the cells f not, modify the diagram.	are transmitted	in reverse order, and
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.3.5 P 201 L 24 # 151 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 48 # 154 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status X Making a field DC balanced won't ensure what goes on the line after PAM4 and Gray	Comment Type E Comment Status X 120D.3.1.2.1
coding is DC balanced. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy 120D.3.1.2
Delete "Even parity ensures that the resulting pattern is DC balanced."? Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.5 P 202 L 12 # 152	C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 48 # 155
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than	Comment Type T Comment Status X Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 l been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy Say what language this is, with reference. Pascal and Matlab are understandable high-	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 I been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 I been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a.
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy Say what language this is, with reference. Pascal and Matlab are understandable high-level languages used in the base doc. Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 5 # 153	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 I been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a. SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.95 magenta for now, check the measurement procedure in practice.
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Say what language this is, with reference. Pascal and Matlab are understandable high-level languages used in the base doc. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 5 # 153 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status X I think the point is that the MDI (meaning either host i/o, or a mated connector) is NOT AC	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95.0.97 Ibeen proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a.SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.95 magenta for now, check the measurement procedure in practice.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOCl 136SC 136.9.3.1.4P 214L 53# 156
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Say what language this is, with reference. Pascal and Matlab are understandable highlevel languages used in the base doc. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 5 # 153 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status X I think the point is that the MDI (meaning either host i/o, or a mated connector) is NOT AC coupled because the cable is. Status It house the cable is.	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 I been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a. SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.95 magenta for now, check the measurement procedure in practice. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.9.3.1.4 P 214 L 53 # 156 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type ER Comment Status X Should not re-specify things that are already specified in a table. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status X Unspecified pseudo-code is not proper, although much easier to guess what it means than a state diagram. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Say what language this is, with reference. Pascal and Matlab are understandable high-level languages used in the base doc. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.9.1 P 211 L 5 # 153 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status X I think the point is that the MDI (meaning either host i/o, or a mated connector) is NOT AC	Choosing a value for RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs and P802.3cd we have 0.95. 0.97 I been proposed but this would require a very linear measurement procedure as well as a very linear transmitter under test. This clause is measuring at TP2, so the measurement may not work as well as 120D's measurement at TP0a. SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.95 magenta for now, check the measurement procedure in practice. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.9.3.1.4 P 214 L 53 # 156 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type ER Comment Status X Should not re-specify things that are already specified in a table.

2/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2		L 4	# 157	C/ 136 SC 136.11		L 10	# 160
awe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
uggestedRemedy	n the right order, equations 13 ore 136-5 and 136-6, renumbe		136-6 aren't.	120D-8, which in tur	e model capacitances are more n are more optimistic than for C bles but harder to make hosts. hese numbers.	A-25G-N (Table	110-11). This makes
roposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
	-			Change to the 120D headline.	numbers, also in 137. Reduce	the maximum ca	able loss and the 3 m
C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.3 Dawe, Piers	3.1 P 218 Mellanox	L 34	# 158	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
omment Type E Sinusoidal	Comment Status X			C/ 136 SC 136.11	I.7 P 222	L 14	# 161
				Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
uggestedRemedy sinusoidal				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
roposed Response	Response Status O				e spectral density is 5.2e-8 for 1 .6e-8 for C2C 200GAUI and 1.6 rd?		
		1 50	// [/=0	SuggestedRemedy			
/ 136 SC 136.11.2 awe, Piers	P 220 Mellanox	L 53	# 159	If appropriate, chang and the 3 m headlin	ge to the 120D number, also in e.	137. Reduce the	maximum cable loss
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	the technical feasibility of this at to do with the simpler KR s		established; the COM		·		
uggestedRemedy				C/ 136 SC 136.11	I.7 P 221	L 41	# 162
	make changes to make this n			Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
Reduce the maximum cable to cable a 7' rack	cable loss and the 3 m headl	ine. That's OK,	you don't need a 3 m	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
roposed Response	Response Status O				ole and zero frequencies are the at fz and fz2 are not zero freque		lter is a no-op. But
				SuggestedRemedy			
				Either rename "Con frequencies at unity	tinuous time filter, zero frequend DC gain". Or better, eliminate coordinated with P802.3bs and	them; 93A.1.4.3	can use fp1 and fp2
				93, 110, 111.		-	

C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.1.2 P 223 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	L 1	# 163	C/ 137 SC 137.9.3 P 232 L 35 # 167 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status X near-end and alien far-end crosstalk			Comment Type TR Comment Status X We don't yet know how to write a spec for 30 dB channels that isn't bleeding edge for ICs
SuggestedRemedy			and/or channels. This isn't Ethernet "broad market" today, it's a specialist niche. SuggestedRemedy
far-end and alien far-end crosstalk Proposed Response Response Status O			Keep working on it in Task Force review or reduce the 30 dB objective. Reduce the high loss RITT loss. It might be OK to leave the channel recommended insertion loss limit if the COM spec protects the Tx and Rx.
C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.1.2 P 223 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	L 6	# 164	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X For 200GBASE-CR4, zp should be the same as for t	the victim.		C/ 138 SC 138 P 249 L 1 # 168 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
SuggestedRemedy 151 mm for 200GBASE-CR4			Comment Type TR Comment Status X This -SRn draft is a good baseline but we have seen surprisingly little activity to develop it
Proposed Response Response Status O			no indication that these numbers actually work with technical and economic feasibility. SuggestedRemedy While in Task Force review, show some evidence: eves, receiver waterfall plots, TDECQ
Cl 136 SC 136.11.7.2.4 P 224 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	L 26	# 165	measurements and so on. Adjust the draft as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X			
For 200GBASE-CR4, the FEXT isn't alien. SuggestedRemedy			C/ 138 SC 138.1 P 249 L 40 # 169 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Modify text. Proposed Response Response Status O			Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 95-1 has an important footnote that should apply here.
			SuggestedRemedy
C/ 136 SC 136.12 P 224 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	L 37	# 166	Add footnote to RS-FEC: The option to bypass the Clause 91 RS-FEC correction function is not supported. Also for Table 138-2, and maybe 139-1. If such an option exists for the 200G PCS, add similar footnote to Table 138-3.
Comment Type T Comment Status X 50GBASE-CR has only 1 lane so it can't have a mult	ti-lane MDI.		Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy multi-link MDI? multi-PMD MDI?			

C/ 138 SC 138.1 Dawe, Piers	P 249 Mellanox	L 28	# 170	C/ 138 SC 138.7.4	I P 259 Mellanox	L 19	# 173
Comment Type T I believe the LAUI-2 v SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X won't work below the FEC. s to just above the FEC. Also f Response Status O	or the CAUIs in	Table 138-2.	Comment Type TR Compare 100GBASI although the max av needs all the help it	Comment Status X E-SR4 which has an extinction r erage power is higher but the m can get. The max photocurrent average and OMA specs, not ex	hax OMA isn't. A in 0, 1, average	A (any) PAM4 PMD
C/ 138 SC 138.2 Dawe, Piers	P 252 Mellanox	L 52	# 171	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E Font size SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Cl 138 SC 138.7. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR	2 P 259 Mellanox Comment Status X	L 47	# 174
not meet the BER de	provide sufficient light for a SIG fined in 138.1.1.	NAL_DETECT	= OK indication and still	The unstressed sensus use to the reader, we	sitivity is a hypothetical reference e did not include it in 10GBASE n a link that's more about equali	-LRM, 40GBASI	E–SR4 or
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	potnote b. Delete 138.8.7.		,
C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P 259 Mellanox	L 17	# 172	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR 4 dB TDECQ represe SMF clauses.	Comment Status X ents a terrible eye before equali	sation. It's a m	uch higher limit than the	Cl 138 SC 138.7.2 Dawe, Piers	2 P 260 Mellanox	L 17	# 175
	agenta. This needs more study uld be reduced. Also in Table			Comment Type T Note f is not correct: SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X it depends on the form factor.	Compare 136.1	1.7.2.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			PMD format.	s to 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBAS	SE-SR4 and 500	GBASE-SR in multi-
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2 Dawe, Piers	2.3 <i>P</i> 217 Mellanox	L 17	# 176	C/ 138 SC 138.5.1 Dawe, Piers	P 254 Mellanox	L 46	# 179
measurements, presu Thomson low-pass res them without a low-pa So it looks like the SN SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X at the SNDR matches the cal mably including SNDR, are m sponse with 33 GHz 3 dB bar ss response. TXSNR seems DR should be smaller than th nat the SNDR is 1? 2? dB sm	ade with a fourth dwidth. It would to go into 93A–3 e TXSNR, not the	-order Bessel- be impractical to do 6 without any filtering. 9 same.	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X m is shown in Figure 138–2. m for 100GBASE-SR4 is sho Response Status O	wn in Figure 138	3–2.
roposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 138 SC 138.5.2 Dawe, Piers	P 256 Mellanox	L 4	# 180
C/ 093A SC 93A.1.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Eq 93A–37 can't be rig SuggestedRemedy	P 688 Mellanox Comment Status X ght: can't integrate with respe	L ct to y, to y.	# 177	Comment Type E PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3 SuggestedRemedy PMD:IS_UNITDATA_n Proposed Response	Comment Status X request -1.request Several changes Response Status O	. Define n if not	already done.
Correct Eq 93A–37 Proposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 138 SC 138.8.2 Dawe, Piers	P 262 Mellanox	L 11	# 181
/ 138 SC 138.5.1	P 254 Mellanox	L 44	# 178		Comment Status X 155-127-A in e.g. 802.3ba bec ewer TIA spec. But now 1.3		
				SuggestedRemedy			
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E diagram4	Comment Status X			Unless TIA/EIA-455-12 that IEC 61280-1-3:20	27-A still has something we va 10 lacks, delete "TIA/EIA-455		ort wavelength, use
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E diagram4 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Unless TIA/EIA-455-12			ort wavelength, use

Cl 139 SC 139.7.2 Dawe, Piers	P 283 Mellanox	L 25	# 182	C/ 138 SC 138.8. Dawe, Piers	8 P 263 Mellanox	L 18	# 185
	Comment Status X 55-127-A in e.g. 802.3ba bec wer TIA spec. But now 1.3 r			Comment Type T 19.34 GHz TBC ma SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X genta		
	7-A still has something we va A/EIA-455-127-A or", here a		e that IEC 61280-1-	19.34 GHz black Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 138 SC 138.8.5	P 262	L 39	# 183	Cl 138 SC 138.8. Dawe, Piers	8 P 263 Mellanox	L 18	# 186
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR TDEC in 95.8.5.2 has to that could be added by	Comment Status X erms M1, M2 to account for r the optical channel	mode partition no	bise and modal noise	overkill for 100GBAS	is required to be met for each SE-SR2 and 200GBASE-SR4; to tween the lanes, just as it does the two bits (LSB, MSB) in PAN	the FEC can cope s when the lanes	e if the errors are not
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Use those terms here. Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.8.8 Dawe, Piers	Response Status O P 263 Mellanox	L7	# [184	138.8.8.1, add: For 100GBASE-SR PMD service interfa receive lanes when or the FEC function lane BER can be as	required to be met for each lan 2, and 200GBASE-SR4 the rele ce. The interface BER is the av stressed: see 95.8.1.1 for back in the PCS can measure the la sumed to be one tenth of the la	evant BER is the i erage of the two ground. If presen ne symbol error r ine symbol error r	nterface BER at the or four BER of the t, the RS-FEC sublaye atio at its input. The ratio. If each lane is
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			stressed in turn, the stressed: see 95.8.1	PMD interface BER is the aver .1.	age of the BERs	of all the lanes when
	n't work if done with SSPRQ tions in frequency response,			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Fix the SSPRQ pattern	and/or use a neutral pattern	such as PRBS1	3Q for SRS calibration.	Cl 138 SC 138.8. Dawe, Piers	8.1 P 263 Mellanox	L 34	# 187
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Comment Status X the as 121.8.9.4 but missing the number of points leads to far to		
				SuggestedRemedy			
				Replace the table w	ith a copy of Table 120E-7, or r	efer to it.	

C/ 138 SC 138.10. Dawe, Piers	2.2.2 <i>P</i> 266 Mellanox	L 48	# 188	Cl 139 SC 139.1.1 Dawe, Piers	P 275 Mellanox	L 35	# 191
Comment Type T Reflectance less thar	Comment Status X	ould it differ for P	AM4?	Comment Type TR This is the BER para	Comment Status X graph for a 200G PMD. Compare	136.1.	
SuggestedRemedy If not, -20 dB TBC ma Proposed Response	agenta > -20 dB black. Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Use the BER paragra 2.4e-4 BER. Proposed Response	aph for a 50G PMD. See another Response Status 0	comment pro	posing increase the
C/ 138 SC 138.10.	Mellanox	L 30	# 189	C/ 139 SC 139.3.1 Dawe, Piers	P 276 Mellanox	L 32	# 192
	Comment Status X he reader or the implementer. uld be exactly the same as for		assignments for	Comment Type E PMD2	Comment Status X		
	oh and figure with "The optical I -SR4 (see 95.11.3.1). <i>Response Status</i> 0	ane assignments	for 200GBASE-SR4	SuggestedRemedy PMD Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 138 SC 138.10 . Dawe, Piers	3.3 P 268 Mellanox	L 3	# 190	C/ 139 SC 139.6.3 Dawe, Piers	B P 282 Mellanox	L 23	# 193
Comment Type T	Comment Status X eems to be a slightly updated v	ersion of 95.11.3	2. They should be	Comment Type E Make the table footn SuggestedRemedy			
	95.11.3.2 and replace text and GBASE-SR2 and 200GBASE-S			Make the table full w Proposed Response	idth; widen the Parameter column. Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

Dawe, Piers	P 306 Mellanox	L 15	# 194	Cl 135 SC 135.5.10.2 Dawe, Piers	.4 P 174 Mellanox	L 38	# 197
implementer. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X defined the same as before - c st sentence with "OMAouter is			PRBS13Q or possibly re SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ment has been changed to a moved (see other comment rnary) test pattern will be un	ts)	
140.7.6 Extinction rat Proposed Response	io > 139.7.6. Response Status O			MDIO registers can be re Proposed Response	emoved or reallocated to lar Response Status O	ne-specific SSPR	2Q.
Cl 135 SC 135.5.1 Dawe, Piers	0.2.3 P 174 Mellanox	L 34	# 195	<i>Cl</i> 136 <i>SC</i> 136.9.3 Dawe, Piers	P 211 Mellanox	L 34	# [198
to be multiplexed up test a 100 Gb/s/lane SuggestedRemedy Change "A PMA may	Tx side only, as is clear from (i.e. one would not generate SS PMD Tx, but one could genera optionally include" to "A Tx dir MD may optionally include"	SPRQ in a PMA wit te it in the 100 Gb/s	h 50 Gb/s lanes to s/lane PMA).	definitions and figure as SuggestedRemedy	orecated and we should not in 94.3.12.3 are in 93.8.1.3 o 94.3.12.3 (five here, one i or 83E.3.1.2. Response Status O	and 83E.3.1.2.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

	200 C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 P 262 L 28 # 203
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Requiring an extinction ratio of 4.5 dB restricts the range of transmitter techn pushing up the cost of this PMD, and 200GBASE-DR4 if it is aligned. Yet it to benefit the link or the receiver significantly (they are protected by the TDECC MPI penalty is a weak function of extinction ratio for PAM4 - very few 100th c difference). For an example of a modern direct-mod PMD spec and what a r receive, 100GBASE-SR4 has a 2 dB limit. A transmitter optimized for PAM4 have a lower extinction ratio than one for NRZ, to reduce distortion. SuggestedRemedy Reduce the extinction ratio limit from 4.5 dB to 3 dB. Proposed Response Response Status 0	Comment TypeTComment StatusXnologies, does not a spec, and of dB receiver can H is likely toThe reference 121.8.5 says all lanes should use the same test pattern, SSPRQ. Generating SSPRQ dynamically is quite complicated, generating 8+8 copies of it with offsets is more complicated, generating 16 copies from memory needs 16 instances arrangement of splitters and cables This seems to be an issue whether using two product PMAs or test equipment. As we may have multi-lane PRBS13Q or PRBS31 scrambled idle for other purposes, would it be OK to use them instead?SuggestedRemedy Allow alternative patterns such as PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q or scrambled idle on the aggressor lanes as done elsewhere e.g. 120E. May affect 135.5.10.2, 135.5.10.2.3, Table 135-3 and 139.7.5.
	Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 139 SC 139.6.1 P 280 L 48 # Dawe, Piers Mellanox	201 C/ 138 SC 138.8.1.1 P 262 L 5 # 204
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
The purpose of the RIN spec has changed from something to ensure a good something to ensure a good TDECQ measurement - yet 50GBASE-SR does spec anyway. The limit should be adjusted for the intended purpose, or if the gone away, be deleted. SuggestedRemedy When the way TDECQ handles measured noise and noise enhancement is of RIN limits in 139 and 140 according to what is necessary for successful TDE measurement	There is no need for 31 UI offset between lanes. Only 1 UI offset is enough to give excellent decorrelation, better than 100-200 UI, and there is a spur at about 450 UI. 120.5.11.2.3 asks for 31 UI but that's at a PMA and some of that is consumed by lan lane skew before and through the PMD. The paths through the PMD are not likely to by more than 10 mm or about 2 UI. Adding a justification so that implementers can't evade the spirit of the spec.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change "There shall be at least 31 UI delay between the test pattern on one lane and pattern on any other lane." to "There shall be at least 4 UI delay between the test pattern on one lane and the pattern on any other lane, so that the lanes are not correlated w the PMD."
	Also revise 140.7.5 "delay requirement of at least 31 UI is redundant."
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X Tables 138-11, 139-9, 140-9, 121-9, 122-14 124-9, Test patterns, repeat eac	ch other.
SuggestedRemedy	
It would be better to show the table just once, e.g. in Clause 121 because the one. But because the patterns are not PMD-specific anyway, it would be bet 116.1.5.	

C/ 138	SC 138.8.5		P 262	L 39	# 205
Dawe, Pie	ers		Mellanox		
-		-			

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

It may be possible to make a bad transmitter (e.g. with a noisy or distorted signal), use emphasis to get it to pass the TDECQ test, yet leave a realistic, compliant receiver with an unreasonable challenge. With the higher TDECQ limit in this clause it may be more of an issue here.

SuggestedRemedy

Define TDECQrms = 10*log10(C_dc*A_RMS/(s*3*Qt*R)) where A_RMS is the standard deviation of the measured signal after the 19.34 GHz filter response and s is the standard deviation of a fast clean signal with OMA=0.5 and without emphasis, observed through the 19.34 GHz filter response (from memory I believe s is about 0.82). Require that TDECQrms shall not exceed the limit for TDECQ. If we think it's justified, we could allow a slightly higher limit for TDECQrms.

Similarly for 139 and 140.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 139 SC 139.7.7	P 286	L 11	# 206
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In this draft (following 52.9.6), square wave is proposed for measuring the signal strength in a RIN measurement procedure. Clause 52 is 10GBASE-S/L/E, an NRZ clause. We should not use square wave here because it isn't PAM4; e.g. any transmitter linearity control circuits may fail because two of the expected PAM4 levels are missing. There is no need to use a special unnatural pattern for this. Using a mixed-frequency pattern is much more convenient and gives a slightly more relevant RIN, closer to SNR, anyway.

SuggestedRemedy

If a RIN spec is needed, define it based on PRBS13Q. Modify tables 139-9 and 10. Also for 100GBASE-DR, 140.7.7. Remove square wave (quaternary) test pattern from the draft.

Proposed Response Resp

Response Status O

C/ 139	SC 139.7.7	P 286	L 15	# 207
Dawe, Pier	S	Mellanox		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

With a 19.34 GHz front end and an equalizer capable of noise shaping in the reference receiver, and product receivers that must be equalizing too, the -3 dB limit of 26.6 GHz seems wrong. It is likely that real receivers will roll off steeply between the Nyquist frequency and the signalling frequency.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "approximately equal to the signaling rate (i.e., 26.6 GHz)" to "approximately 19.34 GHz".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 139	SC	139.7.7	P 286	L 17	# 208
Dawe, Pie	rs		Mellanox		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status X		
Please	e add th	ne warning	in 52.9.6.		

SuggestedRemedy

Add "This procedure describes a component test that may not be appropriate for a system level test depending on the implementation.". Also in 140.7.7.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 139	SC 139.7.9.2	P 287	L 42	# 209
Dawe, Piers	;	Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Calibrating the signal for stressed receiver testing with this draft's SSPRQ then testing the receiver with PRBS31Q or scrambled idle won't work because the apparent penalty will be very different with the two patterns, creating a hole in the spec. This affects 140.7.9 also.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first seed in Table 120-2 to one for which a minimally compliant transmitter with 0.4 dB baseline wander penalty (before and after FEC) with a random payload measures as minimally compliant (i.e. also 0.4 dB penalty) with SSPRQ. It may be necessary to adjust another seed to get appropriate transition density characteristics.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 140	SC 140	.6.1	P 303	L 31	# 210	C/ 140
Dawe, Pier	6		Mellanox			Dawe, Pier
Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Con	nment Status X			Comment
with a o 0.93 ar from th	lispersion d +0.8 ps e main mo	minimum be /nm. The uni ode. So if a s	tween 1300 and 1324 it interval is 18.8 ps a side mode is not supp	4 nm. The disper and the side mode pressed, it won't c	nd 1317.5 nm on fibre sion must be between - e might be 1.5 nm away ause a problem to the	With a receive seems betwee
TDECC		ment. There	s or 0.037 UI of jitter: s is no need for this ve			<i>Suggested</i> Chang GHz".
Suggested Delete		spec or use	a more conventional	wavelength spec	<u>).</u>	Proposed I
Proposed F	Response	Resp	oonse Status O			
						C/ 140
C/ 140	SC 140	6.1	P 303	L 43	# 211	Dawe, Pier
Dawe, Pier			Mellanox	2 40	" 211	Comment
	ng an exti	nction ratio o	nment Status X f 5 dB restricts the ra 100GBASE-DR4 if it it		r technologies, pushing does not benefit the	If the ji MHz fo scale v and 88
link or t a weak on tech	he receive function on nology, a	er significantly of extinction r transmitter o	y (they are protected atio for PAM4 - very f ptimized for PAM4 m	by the TDECQ s ew 100th of dB d	pec, and MPI penalty is ifference). Depending	Suggested Add ar
	,	educe distort	ion.			Proposed I
Suggested	,	ction ratio lim	nit from 5 dB to e.g. 3	dB		
Reduce						

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

P 307 SC 140.7.7 L 6 # 212 rs Mellanox

Туре Т Comment Status X

38.68 GHz front end and an equalizer capable of noise shaping in the reference er, and product receivers that must be equalizing too, the -3 dB limit of 53.2 GHz wrong, as well as expensive. It is likely that real receivers will roll off steeply en the Nyquist frequency and the signalling frequency.

dRemedv

ge "approximately equal to the signaling rate (i.e., 53.2 GHz)" to "approximately 38.68

Response Response Status **O**

C/ 140 SC 140	.7.9 P 307	L 25	# 213
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		

Type TR Comment Status X

itter corner frequency for 26.5625 GBd (NRZ and PAM4) is 4 MHz, shouldn't it be 8 or 53 GBd PAM4? Or at least, the low frequency (sloping) part of the mask should with signalling rate, i.e. align if expressed in time vs. frequency. Compare 87.8.11.4 8.8.10: 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd, 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd.

dRemedv

nother exception with a table like Table 138-13 but with the frequencies doubled.

Response Response Status 0

C/ 093A	SC 93A.1.6	P 319	L 16	# 214
Dawe, Piers	5	Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

COM is taking far too long now because there are 5 dimensions to sweep instead of 3. It turns out that a good COM result can be found with either c(-2) or c(1) at zero: usually COM chooses this itself but it saves the industry time and cost if it's an explicit rule rule . This change reduces the sweep to 4 dimensions.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a restriction that either c(-2) or c(1) is zero.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 135F SC 135F.3.2. Dawe, Piers	1 P 353 Mellanox	L 28	# 215	C/ 136 SC 136.1 Dawe, Piers	P 185 Mellanox	L 50	# 218
Comment Type T Why does this have a p not find anything about SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X precoder request when it is b precoding in 802.3bs?	ased on 120D in	802.3bs and I could	identical cable and l	Comment Status X GBASE-CR can be worse than C performance, this can make te-4 BER number for 50G.		
Reconcile				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Increase the 2.4e-4 also 50GBASE-FR a	BER for 50GBASE-CR, 50GBA nd 50GBASE-LR.	SE-KR and 50G	BASE-SR. Probably
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 136B SC 136B.1.1. Dawe, Piers	6 P 368 Mellanox	L 31	# 216	C/ 136 SC 136.1	D 405	/ 50	# 040
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers	P 185 Mellanox	L 50	# 219
Mated compliance boar	rd crosstalk specs need tight	ening for PAM4.			Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type TR	ken together with p 186 line 12	create a require	ment for a receiver to
Tighten at least to be e RMS, MDFEXT <3.6 m	quivalent to the OIF limits: IC V RMS.	N<3.9 mV RMS	, MDNEXT <1.35 mV	give the right BER (F	ER) with any compliant transmitted in the result of the re	itter and channel	, which usurps the
Proposed Response	Response Status O				pecific stressed sensitivity or R ugh a compliant cable assemb		ut of a compliant PHY
				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P 259 Mellanox	L 25	# 217		d to detect bits" to "are expecte is expected to be lower", for ea		
Comment Type E TDEC	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy TDECQ							

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 131 SC 131.5 P 124 L 23 # 220 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Cl 131 SC 131.5 P 125 L 10 # 221 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 131-5 following Table 116-7 which follows Table 80-6 (but there is no requirement that they should be the same) has 80 ns for optical skew, and 100 ns for electrical (PCB), PMD and PMA skew. This is the same in ns as 802.3ba, but a total of 38,250 bits for 200G instead of 18,562.5, or twice as many bits to buffer. While this may not be as expensive as just a few bits in an optical module, some of this is an avoidable cost. The first thing to note is that all 50G PMDs are serial. Also, the Skew limits need updating according to the principles used there (see http://ieee802.org/3/ba/public/may08/anslow_01_0508.pdf). The unit interval here is 38 (or 19) ps not 97 ps, and the number of lanes is 4 not 10.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X All 50G PMDs are serial so most of this skew variation can't exist. Where it does exist and matter is where a 2:1 PMA might exist, e.g. above the PMD on the Tx side or above a possible future 2-lane 50G PMD on the Rx side but below another PMA, e.g. in a module. The 1/2-lane module PMA is a completely different design to a host SerDes, and naturally, Tx and Rx sides are different designs. These relatively small FIFOs (just a few UI) are very expensive per UI in e.g. power, and consume some power even if never used. The Skew Variation limits need updating according to the principles in http://ieee802.org/3/ba/public/may08/anslow_01_0508.pdf as explained in http://ieee802.org/3/cd/public/Jan17/wertheim_3cd_01_0117.pdf The unit interval here is 38 (or 19) ps not 97 ps.
SuggestedRemedy Change SP1 from 29 ns, ~771 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI. Change SP2 from 43 ns, ~1143 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI. Change SP3 from 54 ns, ~1435 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI. Change SP4 from 134 ns, ~3560 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI. Change SP5 from 145 ns, ~3852 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI. Change SP6 from 160 ns, ~4250 UI to 32 ns, ~850 UI. Change "At FEC receive" from 180 ns, ~4782 UI to 52 ns, ~1,381 UI. Make the equivalent changes in the following clauses. If appropriate, list the skew values that would apply if there were a 2-lane 50G PMD. But they should not be required - almost all NICs would never see such a PMD even if it existed. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change SP1 from 0.2 ns, ~6 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP2 from 0.4 ns, ~11 UI, to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP3 from 0.6 ns, ~16 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP4 from 3.4 ns, ~90 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP5 from 3.6 ns, ~96 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP5 from 3.6 ns, ~90 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP5 from 3.6 ns, ~90 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP5 from 3.6 ns, ~96 UI to 0.11 ns, ~3 UI. Change SP6 from 3.8 ns, ~101 UI, N/A to 0.22 ns, ~6 UI. Change "At FEC receive" from 4 ns, ~107 UI to 0.42 ns, 11 UI. Make the equivalent changes in the following clauses. It doesn't matter much if the SP4,5,6 and "At PCS receive" limits are changed or not. If appropriate, list the Skew Variations that would apply if there were a 2-lane 50G PMD. But those numbers should not be required - almost all NICs would never see such a PMD even if it existed.

C/ 136	SC 136.9.3	P 2	11	L 47	# 222
Ran, Adee	•	Intel			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Х		
Sever	al values in clau	se 136 are either TBD	or mar	ked in magenta.	
		was presented in g/3/cd/public/adhoc/ar	chive/ra	n_02082017_3c	d_adhoc.pdf.
Suggested	lRemedy				
Repla	ce TBDs and ma	agenta items with num	nerical v	alues in black.	
An up	dated proposal v	vill be presented.			

C/ 137 SC 137.10	P 240	L 46	# 223	C/ 136 SC 136	P 184	L	# 226
Ran, Adee	Intel			Greg McSorley	Amphenol Co	orp.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Several values in clau	se 137 are either TBD or mar	ked in magenta.			ternate interconnect solutions t eded thermal performance that		
A proposal for values	was presented in			SuggestedRemedy			approxime.
	g/3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/ra	an_02082017_3c	d_adhoc.pdf.		connector being developed in th	ne OSFP-MSA. T	his connector syster
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBDs and ma	agenta items with numerical v	alues in black			he requirements being specifie		
·	-			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
An updated proposal							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.	2.3 <i>P</i> 217	L 20	# 224				
Ran, Adee	Intel	20	π 224				
Comment Type E	Comment Status X						
The list of exceptions	to the calibration process is c	urrently empty ex	cept for a "IBD".				
	to the calibration process is c		cept for a "IBD".				
If there are no excepti	to the calibration process is c ons there is no need for this li		ccept for a "TBD".				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy	ons there is no need for this li		ccept for a "IBD".				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow			ccept for a "TBD".				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow	ons there is no need for this li		ccept for a "TBD".				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. Response Status O		# 225	1			
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. Response Status O P 240 Intel	ist.		1			
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response CI 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. Response Status O P 240 Intel Comment Status X	ist.	# 225	l			
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response CI 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. Response Status O P 240 Intel	ist. <i>L</i> 10 with the return lo	# 225	I			
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T much more relaxed).	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 240 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X el parameters are not aligned Table 120D–1 (which points to	<i>L</i> 10 with the return lo: 93.8.1.4, where	# 225 ss specifications, the package model is	l			
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T much more relaxed).	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 240 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X el parameters are not aligned f able 120D–1 (which points to as submitted to 802.3bs and a	<i>L</i> 10 with the return lo: 93.8.1.4, where	# 225 ss specifications, the package model is				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response CI 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T much more relaxed). A similar comment wa specification will be su	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 240 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X el parameters are not aligned f able 120D–1 (which points to as submitted to 802.3bs and a	<i>L</i> 10 with the return lo: 93.8.1.4, where	# 225 ss specifications, the package model is				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T much more relaxed). A similar comment wa specification will be su	ons there is no need for this living exceptions" and the list. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 240 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X el parameters are not aligned f able 120D–1 (which points to as submitted to 802.3bs and a	<i>L</i> 10 with the return loc 93.8.1.4, where presentation for	# 225 ss specifications, the package model is updated RL				
If there are no excepti SuggestedRemedy Delete "with the follow Proposed Response Cl 137 SC 137.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Device package mode which are based on T much more relaxed). A similar comment wa specification will be su SuggestedRemedy	ons there is no need for this li ring exceptions" and the list. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 240 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X el parameters are not aligned "able 120D–1 (which points to as submitted to 802.3bs and a ubmitted. ckage model in annex 93A or	<i>L</i> 10 with the return loc 93.8.1.4, where presentation for	# 225 ss specifications, the package model is updated RL				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID