C/ 136         SC 136.9.4.2         P216         L1         # 1           Arumugham, Vinu         Amazon	C/         135G         SC         135G.3.2         P 359         L 14         #         2           Arumugham, Vinu         Amazon
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         R         rx spec           Separate interference tolerance (noise stress) and jitter tolerance (jitter stress) tests result in understressing the receiver.         rx spec	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         R         wander           Wander (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces and can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures.         wander
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Combine 136.9.4.2.2, 136.9.4.2.3 and apply both stress conditions simultaneously. This is the way it has been done in 83E, 120E and other specifications. Sinusoidal Jitter, Random Jitter and Bounded Uncorrelated Jitter must be applied simultaneously for a proper stress test.         Response       Response Status	For the module output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a signal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMD specification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module transfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the module output. Since this sub-clause refers to 120E.3.2, the change will have to be implemented there.
REJECT.	Response Response Status C
Jitter and interference tolerance test different aspects of the receiver.	REJECT.
There is precedence for having separate interference tolerance and jitter tolerance tests, e.g. clauses 92, 93, 94, 110, and 111.	As the commenter points out, the 50GAUI-1 and 100GAUI-2 C2M are specified by reference to specifications for 400GAUI-8 and 200GAUI-4 C2M in P802.3bs Annex 120E.
There are also electrical PMDs with no specified jitter tolerance test - e.g. clauses 70, 71, 72, 84, and 85.	Any considerations for jitter and wander should be addressed in Annex 120E through a comment against P802.3bs.
The understress suggested in the comment has not resulted in reported interoperability issues in several generations of compliant and widely deployed Ethernet products from multiple vendors.	Cl         136         SC         136.9.4.2         P 216         L 26         # 3           Arumugham, Vinu         Amazon         Amazon
The interference tolerance test is calibrated using COM which takes into account the jitter in the transmitter. Adding more jitter would result in reducing the additive noise, which would create understressed signal for a receiver with a larger CDR bandwidth.	Comment TypeTComment StatusRBER, <nsr>Table 136-13 has a DER value of 1E-4. 136.1 specifies BER of 2.4E-4. 136.9.4.2.3 calculates Q for 5E-5.SuggestedRemedy</nsr>
	A note should be added to clarify the relationship or fix the apparent inconsistency.
	Response Response Status C REJECT.
	The suggested remedy does not include specific details of a change to the draft.

C/ 136 Arumughan	SC <b>136.9.4.2</b> n, Vinu	P <b>216</b> Amazon	L13	# 4	C/ <b>137</b> Arumugh
Comment 7	51	Comment Status R		rx spec	Commer
		a Test 1 and Test2. Table 13	36-15 also desc	ribes Test 1 and Test 2.	No c
Suggested	0 ()	is a bit confusing at first.			Suggeste
00	,	one of the tables? Test A/B?			Add
					100 ( impe
Response		Response Status C			Respons
REJEC	т.				
These f	tables and test r	ames are consistent with pre	vious clauses S	See tables 92-8/93-6	ACC
		ilar tables in clauses 110 and			It is a
C/ 137	SC 137.9.3	P <b>238</b>	L 38	# 5	Add
Arumughan	n, Vinu	Amazon			C/ 138
Comment 7	<i>Гур</i> е <b>Т</b>	Comment Status R		rx spec, OOS <3bs>	Arumugh
		olerance (noise stress) and jit	ter tolerance (jit	ter stress) tests result in	-
underst	tressing the rece	eiver.			Commen
Suggested	Remedy				Wan and o
Combir		20D.3.2.2 and apply both stre			Suggeste
		ne in 83E, 120E and other spe			00
the way		parrolated litter must be appli	od cimultanoour	ly for a proper stress	Eor t
the way Jitter ar	nd Bounded Und	correlated Jitter must be appli- clause to the new combined			
the way Jitter ar test. Ac	nd Bounded Und	clause to the new combined			signa
the way Jitter ar test. Ac <i>Response</i>	nd Bounded Unc Id pointer in this				signa spec trans
the way Jitter ar	nd Bounded Unc Id pointer in this	clause to the new combined			For ti signa spec trans modu <i>Respons</i>

/ <b>137</b> SC	37.9	P <b>238</b>	L1	# 6
umugham, Vinu		Amazon		
omment Type	т	Comment Status A		<3bs>

channel characteristic/reference impedance requirements.

#### tedRemedy

a sub-clause stating: The nominal differential characteristic impedance of the channel is O. The differential reference impedance shall be 100 O. The common mode reference edance shall be 25 O.

Response Status C se

CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

assumed that the commenter is referring to 137.10 Channel Characteristics.

the relevant reference impedance with editorial license.

C/ 138 SC	138.8	P <b>261</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 7
Arumugham, Vin	u	Amazon		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		wander

nder (jitter frequency components under 10MHz) can be transferred across interfaces can accumulate. If this is not accounted, it increases risk of failures.

#### tedRemedy

the module optical output test signal generation, the module should be excited with a nal modulated with maximum sinusoidal jitter amplitude specified by the applicable PMA cification. The SJ frequency should be the lowest specified frequency. If the module sfers wander, this test condition ensures that the transferred wander is observed at the dule output.

se

Response Status C

REJECT.

A detailed justification for the need of the changes as well as a complete proposal, showing the changes that need to be made to the draft, is invited.

C/ 139	SC 139.7	P <b>282</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 8	C/ FM	SC	FM	P <b>1</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 10	
Arumughar	m, Vinu	Amazon			Anslow, P	ete		Ciena			
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status R		wander	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A			bucket
		ncy components under 10MHz)			IEEE	Std 802	2.3bu-2016 a	and IEEE Std 802.3bv-201x	are missing from	n the list of amen	dments
Suggested For the signal i	<i>IRemedy</i> e module optica modulated with	If this is not accounted, it increa al output test signal generation, naximum sinusoidal jitter amp frequency should be the lowes	the module sho blitude specified	uld be excited with a by the applicable PMA		EEE Sto ge "IEE	d 802.3bu-2	016 and IEEE Std 802.3bv-2 butm-201x" to "IEEE Std 80 <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			
transfe		test condition ensures that the			ACCE	EPT.		-			
Response		Response Status C			C/ <b>030</b>	SC	30.5.1.1.15	P <b>42</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 11	
REJEC	CT.				Anslow, P	ete		Ciena			
		n for the need of the changes as d to be made to the draft, is inv P305		blete proposal, showing	Claus	ext as n e 108).	". This inclue	Comment Status A EEE Std 802.3by-2016 ends des a closing ")". Conseque is it is not being inserted.			
Arumughar		Amazon	20	# 9	Suggeste	dReme	dv	J. J			
0	,	Comment Status R			00		underline fro	om ")"			
	er (jitter frequer	ncy components under 10MHz) If this is not accounted, it increa			Response ACCE			Response Status C			
Suggested	IRemedy										
		al output test signal generation,			C/ 045		45.2.1	P <b>45</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 12	
		maximum sinusoidal jitter amp frequency should be the lowes			Anslow, P			Ciena			
transfe	ers wander, this	test condition ensures that the	transferred war	nder is observed at the	Comment		E	Comment Status A			bucket
module Response	e output.	Response Status C			Also,	there a	re three regi	s should not include "registe sters, each one ending "lane ne 29 of this page.			
REJEC	CT.				Suggeste	dReme	dy				
		n for the need of the changes as d to be made to the draft, is inv		lete proposal, showing	PAM On pa "BAS "BAS	I PMD t age 46, E-R PA E-R PA	training LP c change the .M4 PMD tra .M4 PMD tra	PMD training LP control reg ontrol, lane 0 through lane 3 other three sets of register r ining LP status, lane 0 throu ining LD control, lane 0 throu ining LD status, lane 0 throu	3". names to: Igh lane 3" ugh lane 3"	hrough 3" to "BAS	SE-R
					Response	<b>;</b>		Response Status C			
					ACCE	EPT.					

									_		
C/ 045 SC	C <b>45</b>		P <b>62</b>	L23	# 13	C/ 045	SC 45.2.1.1	18a	P <b>66</b>	L11	# 16
Anslow, Pete			Ciena			Anslow, Pete			Ciena		
Comment Type	Е	Comment	Status A		bucket	Comment Ty	pe E	Comment	Status A		buc
				for "RW" in the the footnotes to	"R/W" column. the tables, these should	Table 45	-90ak only sh			separately named or the first of the th	
SuggestedRem	edv					SuggestedRe	,				
Change "R\	W" to "R/W	" throughout th -90ad, 45-90ae		00ag, 4590-ai, 45	-90aj, 45-90ak, 45-	(Register On line 1 control, la	1.1120 throu 4, change the ane 0 through	ugh 1.1123)". e start of the se h lane 3 register	ntence to: "The rs reflect"	e BASE-R PAM4	-
Response ACCEPT.		Response	Status C			training L registers	P control, lar for lane 1 thr	ne 0 register is s rough lane 3 is e	shown in Table equivalent to th	e 45-90ak. The a ne assignment for	
C/ 045 SC	C 45.2.1.1	16h.1	P <b>62</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 14	Change t bit definit		ble 45-90ak to "	'BASE-R PAM	4 PMD training L	P control, lane 0 regist
Anslow, Pete			Ciena			Response		Response	Status C		
Comment Type	Е	Comment	Status A		bucket	ACCEPT					
51											
Clause 45 lotting the "Name"	evel five he column of	eadings that de	fine a particula	r bit should matcl nt of bits in the re	h the entry for that bit in	C/ 045 Anslow, Pete	SC 45.2.1.1	18a	P <b>66</b> Ciena	L 14	# [17
Clause 45 le the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv	evel five he column of <i>edy</i> title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 fron tter precoder er	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precodenable (1.600.3)"	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab "	h the entry for that bit in	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	be E hould not spl	18a <i>Comment</i> lit across two lin	Ciena Status A	L14	# [ <u>17</u> buc
Clause 45 le the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dov	evel five he column of <i>edy</i> title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 fron ter precoder er ges for the othe	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precodenable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab "	h the entry for that bit in egister. le lane 3 (1.600.3)" to	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe	be E hould not spl emedy	Comment	Ciena Status A	L14	
Clause 45 k the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dov Make equiv through 45.	evel five he column of <i>edy</i> title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 fron tter precoder er	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precodenable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab "	h the entry for that bit in egister. le lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe	be E hould not spl emedy breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin	Ciena <i>Status</i> <b>A</b> nes.	L14	
Clause 45 I the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. Cl 045 St	evel five he column of <i>edy</i> title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i>	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precode hable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re- Status <b>C</b> P64	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab "	h the entry for that bit in egister. le lane 3 (1.600.3)" to	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT	be E hould not spl emedy breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) Response s	Ciena Status A nes. Status C		buc
Clause 45 k the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. Cl 045 So Anslow, Pete	evel five he column of <i>edy</i> a title of 45. wn transmit alent chan 2.1.116k C <b>45.2.1.1</b>	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precode hable (1.600.3) er bits in this re- Status <b>C</b> P64 Ciena	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab " gister and all of ti	th the entry for that bit in egister. ele lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # 15	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045	be E hould not spl emedy breaking hyp SC <b>45.2.1.1</b>	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) Response s	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66	L14 L22	
Clause 45 k the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. C/ 045 So Anslow, Pete Comment Type	evel five he column of edy title of 45. wn transmit valent chan 2.1.116k C 45.2.1.1	2.1.116h.1 from the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I <i>Comment</i>	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precode hable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re- <i>Status</i> <b>C</b> <b>P64</b> Ciena <i>Status</i> <b>A</b>	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab gister and all of th <i>L</i> <b>51</b>	h the entry for that bit in egister. ele lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # [15 bucket	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045 Anslow, Pete	be E hould not spl emedy breaking hyp SC <b>45.2.1.1</b>	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) Response s 18a	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66 Ciena		# 18
Clause 45 In the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. C/ 045 So Anslow, Pete Comment Type Clause 45 is	evel five he column of edy a title of 45. wn transmit alent chan 2.1.116k C 45.2.1.1 E s consister	2.1.116h.1 from the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I <i>Comment</i>	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precode hable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re- <i>Status</i> <b>C</b> <b>P64</b> Ciena <i>Status</i> <b>A</b>	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab gister and all of th <i>L</i> <b>51</b>	th the entry for that bit in egister. ele lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # 15	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty,	be E hould not spl breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) <i>Response</i> 18a Comment	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66 Ciena Status A	L 22	# [ <u>18</u> buc
Clause 45 In the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. C/ 045 So Anslow, Pete Comment Type Clause 45 is register are	evel five he column of edy e title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan 2.1.116k C 45.2.1.1 E s consister "RO"	2.1.116h.1 from the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I <i>Comment</i>	fine a particular g the assignment n "PMA precode hable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re- <i>Status</i> <b>C</b> <b>P64</b> Ciena <i>Status</i> <b>A</b>	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab gister and all of th <i>L</i> <b>51</b>	h the entry for that bit in egister. ele lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # [15 bucket	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, The Tabl	be E hould not spl breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) <i>Response</i> 18a Comment	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66 Ciena Status A nle 45-90a as ir	L 22	# 18
Clause 45 In the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. C/ 045 SC Anslow, Pete Comment Type Clause 45 is register are SuggestedRem	evel five he column of edy e title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan 2.1.116k C 45.2.1.1 E s consister "RO" edy	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I <i>Comment</i> t in having a fo	fine a particular g the assignment of the assignment of the assignment of the assignment of the assignment of the assignment Status C P64 Ciena Status A potnote of "aRO	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab gister and all of th <i>L</i> <b>51</b>	h the entry for that bit in egister. Ile lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # 15 bucket hen all of the bits of a	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, The Tabl	be E hould not spl emedy breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) <i>Response</i> 3 <b>18a</b> <i>Comment</i> 18a is after Tab	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66 Ciena Status A nle 45-90a as ir	L 22	# [ <u>18</u> buc
Clause 45 In the "Name" SuggestedRem Change the "Lane 3 dow Make equiv through 45. Response ACCEPT. C/ 045 St Anslow, Pete Comment Type Clause 45 is register are SuggestedRem	evel five he column of edy e title of 45. wn transmit ralent chan 2.1.116k C 45.2.1.1 E s consister "RO" edy	eadings that de the table giving 2.1.116h.1 from ter precoder er ges for the othe <i>Response</i> 16I <i>Comment</i> t in having a fo	fine a particular g the assignment in "PMA precode hable (1.600.3)" er bits in this re- Status C P64 Ciena Status A potnote of "aRC	nt of bits in the re er down Tx enab gister and all of th <i>L</i> 51	h the entry for that bit in egister. Ile lane 3 (1.600.3)" to he bits in 45.2.1.116i # 15 bucket hen all of the bits of a	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, "16-bit" s SuggestedRe us a non- Response ACCEPT C/ 045 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty, The Tabl means th SuggestedRe	be E hould not spl medy breaking hyp	Comment lit across two lin ohen (Esc - h) <i>Response</i> 3 <b>18a</b> <i>Comment</i> 18a is after Tab be Table 45-90b	Ciena Status A nes. Status C P66 Ciena Status A le 45-90a as ir	L 22	# 18 buc # 18 buc 3bv in 45.2.1.117a. Th

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a	P66	L <b>26</b>	# 19	C/ 045	SC 45.2.1.1	19a P67	L <b>43</b>	# 22
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
Comment Type E In Table 45-90ak, "1.1120. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A 15:41" should be "1.1120	.15"	bucket	onto the r	/ for 1.1220.	Comment Status A 2:0 in Table 45-90al, "Coefficie is should be changed so that ' olumns		
Change "1.1120.15:41" to	"1.1120.15"			SuggestedRe	medy			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C					vith "Coefficient" ge in Table 45-90an		
Change "1.1120.15:41" to	"1.1120.15:14"			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.118a Anslow, Pete	P <b>66</b> Ciena	L <b>53</b>	# 20	Either imp wrap	plement sug	gested remedy or widen "Desc	cription" column s	so that "limit" does not
Comment Type E The sentence "Normally the disabled the registers become			<i>bucket</i> er, when training is	<i>Cl</i> <b>045</b> Anslow, Pete	SC <b>45.2.1.1</b>	20a P68 Ciena	L <b>3</b>	# 23
SuggestedRemedy Change to "When training read only; however, when					of 45.2.1.120	Comment Status A a is not consistent with three s hows the assignment of bits for		
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			SuggestedRe	medy			
	007	L <b>3</b>	# [d	(Register	1.1320 thro	ASE-R PAM4 PMD training Ll ugh 1.1323)". start of the sentence to: "The		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.119a Anslow, Pete	P <b>67</b> Ciena	23	# 21	lane 0 thr	ough lane 3	registers reflect" e sentence to: "The assignment		-
Comment Type E The title of 45.2.1.119a is in Table 45-90al only shows				training L registers Change t	D control, la for lane 1 th	ne 0 register is shown in Table rough lane 3 is equivalent to th ble 45-90am to "BASE-R PAM	e 45-90am. The ne assignment fo	assignment of bits in the r lane 0.
SuggestedRemedy Change the title to: "BASE (Register 1.1220 through 1 On line 6, change the start lane 0 through lane 3 regis On line 11, change the ser training LP status, lane 0 r registers for lane 1 through Change the title of Table 4 bit definitions"	.1223)". of the sentence to: "The sters reflect" ntence to: "The assignme egister is shown in Table n lane 3 is equivalent to th	BASE-R PAM4 F nt of bits in the B 45-90al. The as ne assignment for	PMD training LP status, ASE-R PAM4 PMD signment of bits in the r lane 0.	Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
ACCEPT	Response Status C							

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 045	SC 4	5.2.1.121a	P69	L <b>3</b>	# 24		C/ 091	SC	91.7.4.1	P108	L16	# 27	
Anslow, Pete	Э		Ciena				Anslow, Po	ete		Ciena			
Comment Ty	/pe	Е	Comment Status	١		bucket	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A			bucket
	5-90an	only show	not consistent with the sthe assignment of b				100GI contai	BASE-S	SR2, or 100 4:M" and "k	en modified to include 100G IGBASE-DR in the Feature KP4" is "Used to form compl ed PHY types.	column. Howeve	er, the Status colu	mn
			E-R PAM4 PMD train	ing LD status, lane 0	through lane 3 re	gisters	Suggested		,	surrin types.			
On line ( lane 0 th On line training registers	6, char nrough 11, cha LD sta s for la the titl	lane 3 regi ange the se tus, lane 0 ne 1 throug	1.1423)". rt of the sentence to: isters reflect" entence to: "The assig register is shown in T gh lane 3 is equivalen 45-90an to "BASE-R	gnment of bits in the Fable 45-90an. The t to the assignment f	BASE-R PAM4 PM assignment of bits for lane 0.	MD s in the	In 91.7 Featur 100GE Value, 100GE	7.3, cha re entry 3ASE-E /Comm 3ASE-k hange	ange "*KP4 v to "100GB DR" ent entry to KR2, 100GI	": ASE-KP4, 100GBASE-CR2 "Used to form complete 10 BASE-SR2, or 100GBASE-I RF4, RF12 to include the a	OGBASE-KP4, 1 DR PHY"	00GBASE-CR2,	
Response			Response Status C	;			Response			Response Status C			
ACCEP	Т.						ACCE	PT.					
C/ 069	SC 6	9.1.2	P <b>78</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 25		C/ 131	SC	131.5	P <b>124</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 28	
Anslow, Pete	Э		Ciena				Anslow, Po	ete		Ciena			
Comment Ty	/pe	E	Comment Status A	۱.		bucket	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A			bucket
The inse "Figure of SuggestedR	69-3"	-	er in the P802.3cb dra	aft has been change	d from "Figure 69-	2a" to	6) was	s to find n Table	the exact	lculate the UI equivalents in UI value and then round to t result is 770.31 UI, which re	the nearest integ	er. If this is done	for
Change	"Figur	e 69-2a" to	"Figure 69-3" here a	nd on page 79, line 1	1		Suggested	,	-hv				
Response ACCEP			Response Status C				In Tab 770 fo 1142 f	ole 131- or SP1 for SP2	5 , change	the Maximum Skew for 500	GBASE-R FEC la	ane (UI) to:	
CI 078 Anslow, Pete	SC 7 9	'8.1.4	2 <b>90</b> Ciena	L17	# 26		3559 f	or SP3 or SP4 or "At F		9"			
	ie inse		Comment Status A n Table 78-1 (e.g. 500 ds with a dot at the sa	- GBASE-KRb), the en		bucket	Response ACCE			Response Status C			
SuggestedR Remove													
Response ACCEP	Т.		Response Status C	;									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 131	SC 131.5	P125	L <b>9</b>	# 29	C/ 13		135.5.7.2	P172	L <b>30</b>	# 30
Anslow, Pe		Ciena			Anslov	,	_	Ciena		
omment T		Comment Status A				ent Type		omment Status A		precoder up/down, <cc></cc>
Table 8 done fo the tabl Suggestedl	30-7) was to fir or SP0 in Table le). <i>Remedy</i> e 131-6 , chan	calculate the UI equivalents in ad the exact UI value and then a 131-6, the result is 5.16 UI, v ge the Maximum Skew Variat	round to the nea which rounds to 5	rest integer. If this i	s 0. wn in sig co se fro Si	." The firs mal sent t ntrols rem cond varia m the sig milar issue	st variable preco- bowards the MAC oving precoding able should be pri- hal received from as with the variable	der_up_tx_enable_i is of . . However, precoder_u from the signal receive	correct as it cont up_rx_enable_i i ed from the layer le_i as this cont	s not correct as it below this PMA. The rols removing precoding
5 for SI						stedReme				
10 for "	At FEC recei	e"			O	n line 32, d	hange "precode	r_up_rx_enable_i " to "  r_down_rx_enable_i " t r_down_rx_enable_i " t	o "precoder_up_	_rx_enable_i "
-	dd the missing	curly equals in front of the 10	for "At PCS rece	ive"	Respo	nse	Re	sponse Status C		
Response	T	Response Status C			AC	CEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
						erface is t wn interfa t might be the trans d down to here DN_N d UP_NR le). wever, th Table 138 hange: ne <i> up AA precoo 602.<i> eccoder_up t ne <i> Rx</i></i></i>	he interface that ce is the interface FEC, PMA, or I mitter and receiv wards the PMD) JRZ and DN_PA Z and UP_PAM4 e naming is conf -2 transmitter prece er control up Tx o_tx_enable_ <i> output precoder</i>	PMD). 135.5.7.2 states er on each lane (0 and "This is consistent with M4 are for the "service are for the "PMA servi- using and thus should h oder enable enable	(the PMA servic PMD (the "inst" "The precoder is 1) and interface h the terminolog interface below ce interface" (at	e interface) and the service interface, where s enabled independently (up towards the MAC y in P802.3bs 120.7.3 the PMA" (PMD side)
					1.0	602. <i></i>	er control Rx ou _out_enable_ <i></i>			
						nange:				
					La Pi 1.0	ne <i> up /A precoc 603.<i> ecoder_up</i></i>	receiver precode er control up Rx p_rx_enable_ <i></i>			
COMMENT		red ER/editorial required GR dispatched A/accepted R/reje t ID			orial G/general		ndrawn	Comm	ent ID 30	Page 7 of 57 2017-03-14 9:15:

Lane <i> Tx input precoder enable PMA precoder control Tx input 1.603.<i> precoder\_tx\_in\_enable\_<i>

#### Change:

Lane <i> down transmitter precoder enable PMA precoder control down Tx 1.600.<i> precoder\_down\_tx\_enable\_<i> To: Lane <i> Tx output precoder enable PMA precoder control Tx output 1.600.<i> precoder\_tx\_out\_enable\_<i>

Change Lane <i> down receiver precoder enable PMA precoder control down Rx 1.601.<i> precoder\_down\_rx\_enable\_<i> To: Lane <i> Rx input precoder enable PMA precoder control Rx input 1.601.<i> precoder rx in enable <i>

In 135F... Change: request\_precoder\_up\_flag To: request\_precoder\_tx\_in\_flag

Change: request\_precoder\_down\_flag To: request\_precoder\_rx\_in\_flag

Change: request\_precoder\_up\_i To request\_precoder\_tx\_in\_i

Change: request\_precoder\_down\_i To: request\_precoder\_rx\_in\_i

In 135.5.7.2... Change: The precoder is enabled independently for the transmitter and receiver on each lane (0 and 1) and interface (up towards the MAC and down towards the PMD). To: The precoder is enabled independently for the input and output in each direction (Tx toward the PMD and Rx toward the MAC) and on each lane (0 and 1). In 120.5.7.2... Change: The precoder is enabled independently for the transmitter and receiver on each lane (0, 1, 2, and 3). To: The precoder is enabled independently for the input and output in each direction (Tx toward the PMD and Rx toward the MAC) and on each lane (0, 1, 2, and 3). See also comment #33. C/ 135 SC 135.5.7.2 P172 L33 # 31 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A precoder up/down, <cc> т This says "The variables precoder\_down\_tx\_enable\_i and precoder\_down\_rx\_enable\_i are always set to 0 in a PMA that does not have a physical instantiation of its service interface towards the PMD and is not adjacent to a PMD." The draft then goes on to list some PHY types where the PMA adjacent to the PMD may enable precoding. However the draft does not say what happens when the PMA is adjacent to the PMD for 50GBASE-SR. 50GBASE-FR. 50GBASE-LR. 100GBASE-SR2, and 100GBASE-DR SuggestedRemedy Add a new sentence at the end of 135.5.7.2: "In a PMA that is adjacent to any other PMD, precoder down tx enable i and precoder up rx enable i are always set to 0." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The suggested additional sentence is helpful. Comment #30 has changed the variable names.

Add a new sentence at the end of 135.5.7.2 "In a PMA that is adjacent to any other PMD, precoder\_tx\_out\_enable\_i and precoder\_rx\_in\_enable\_i are always set to 0."

Make equivalent changes throughout the draft as appropriate.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 31

Page 8 of 57 2017-03-14 9:15:25 PM

Anslow, Pete Ciena	C/         136         SC         136.7         P 191         L 41         # 34           Anslow. Pete         Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The list of PMDs on lines 35 and 36 includes 200GBASE-CR4 and 200GBASE-KR4, but this clause covers "PMA sublayer, type 50GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P" so including	Comment Type E Comment Status A buc The "PMA/PMD register name" for registers 1.1220 through 1.1223 are incorrect as are the "MDIO status variable" names.
requirements for 200G PHY types here is inappropriate. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-CR4, or 200GBASE-KR4 PMD" and add "or " before "100GBASE-KR2" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy In the "PMA/PMD register name" column for bits from registers 1.1220 through 1.1223, change "PMD" to "BASE-R PAM4 PMD" and add a comma before "lane" (20 instances) In the "MDIO status variable" column for bits from registers 1.1220 through 1.1223, remove the numbers from the end as the variables in Clause 45 do not have these numbers. (20 instances)
C/ 120 SC 120.5.7.2 P113 L 27 # 33 Anslow, Pete Ciena	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A         precoder up/down, <cc>           In "Precoding is enabled and disabled using variables precoder_down_tx_enable_i and precoder_down_rx_enable_i"         The first variable precoder_down_tx_enable_i and precoder_down_tx_enable_i is correct as it controls precoding for the signal sent towards the PMD. However, precoder_down_rx_enable_i is not correct as it controls removing precoding from the signal</cc>	C/ 136       SC 136.14.4.1       P 228       L 52       # 35         Anslow, Pete       Ciena       Ciena       Diamondary Status       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       A       bucc         In items PF8, PF9, and PF10, "45.2.1.2.3", "45.2.1.7.4", and "45.2.1.7.5" should be cross-references       Comment Type       Comment Status       Commen
received from the layer above this PMA. The second variable should be precoder_up_rx_enable_i as this controls removing precoding from the signal received from the PMD layer below. Same issue with the three further instances of the variables below.	SuggestedRemedy Make them cross-references
precoder_up_rx_enable_i as this controls removing precoding from the signal received from the PMD layer below.	SuggestedRemedy
precoder_up_rx_enable_i as this controls removing precoding from the signal received from the PMD layer below. Same issue with the three further instances of the variables below. SuggestedRemedy On lines 27, 30, 33, and 36, change "precoder_down_rx_enable_i " to "precoder_up_rx_enable_i "	SuggestedRemedy Make them cross-references Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 137 SC 137.12.4.1 Anslow, Pete	P <b>245</b> Ciena	L <b>48</b>	# 37	Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138.1</b> Anslow, Pete	I.1 P252 Ciena	L1	# 40
Comment Type <b>E</b> In items PF8, PF9, and references	Comment Status A PF10, "45.2.1.2.3", "45.2.1.7	.4", and "45.2.1.7	<i>bucket</i> 7.5" should be cross-		Comment Status A Clause 119" on line 4 should be ci 6.4" page 253, line18	ross-references	Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Make them cross-refere	nces			SuggestedRemedy Make them cross-r	eferences		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 031B SC 31B.3.7 Anslow, Pete	<b>P316</b> Ciena	L17	# 38	C/ 138 SC 138.5 Anslow, Pete	5 <i>P</i> <b>254</b> Ciena	L <b>41</b>	# 41
From Table 131-4, this i 50 + 9 + 4 = 117 pause_	in the PHY where they add the source of the	E-CR). This giv	res a value of 32 + 22 +	clause. SuggestedRemedy Change "The 100G and 200GBASE-SF	BASE-SR4 PMD performs" to ' R4 PMDs perform ."	"The 50GBASE-:	SR, 100GBASE-SR2,
SuggestedRemedy On line 17, change "394 On line 26, change "252				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ <b>138</b> SC <b>138.5</b> Anslow, Pete	5.1 P254 Ciena	L <b>44</b>	# 42
SuggestedRemedy	P318 Ciena Comment Status A rs to be truncated at the top a on and remove the second v	·		Comment Type E "PMD block diagram SuggestedRemedy Change to "PMD bl Response ACCEPT.	Comment Status A m4" has a spurious "4" at the end lock diagram" Response Status C		Bucket
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		שמעסון וועוווטסו				

				# 43	C/ <b>138</b>	SC 138.5.4	4 P <b>256</b>	L26	# 46	
Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena			Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Bu	icke
		138.5.1 is: "The PMD block dia			"On al	I four lanes" is	only appropriate for 200GBAS	E-SR4		
and 500		sts of four lanes per direction, sists of just one lane per direc			<i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>dRemedy</i> ge to "on all lar	nes"			
SuggestedF	Remedy				Response		Response Status C			
138-2. 1	The block diagra	to: "The PMD block diagram f ams for 100GBASE-SR2 and s	50GBASE-SR a		ACCE					
	ut for two lanes	and one lane per direction, re	spectively."		C/ 138	SC 138.7.	1 P <b>259</b>	L13	# 47	
Response	_	Response Status C			Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			
ACCEP	Т.				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Bu	cket
C/ 138	SC 138.5.2	P <b>256</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 44	"(OMA	A)" should be "	(OMAouter)" on both max and r	nin rows		
Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena			Suggested	dRemedy				
Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status A		Bucket	Chang	ge "(OMA)" to '	"(OMAouter)", where "outer" is	subscripted, on b	both max and min rows	s
		power level in each signal sha			Response		Response Status C			
		cond to tx_symbol = zero." we stent in using "highest" and "lo		nd "lowest". The	ACCE	PT.				
SuggestedF	Remedy				C/ 138	SC 138.8.	1 P <b>261</b>	L18	# 48	
Also in (	"higher" to "hig Clause 139, pa Clause 140, pa		15, page 270 lii	ne 52, page 271 line 8.	Anslow, Pe <i>Comment</i>		Ciena Comment Status A		Bu	icket
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			The re	eferences in Ta	able 138-11 to Clause 120 for te	est patterns need	I to be updated.	
ACCEP	т				Suggested	dRemedy				
, looel	••						.4" to "120.5.11.2.2"			
C/ 138	SC 138.11.4.		L <b>52</b>	# 45			.3" to "120.5.11.2.1" .5" to "120.5.11.2.3"			
Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena			Response	,	Response Status <b>C</b>			
Comment T		Comment Status A s a one" is not correct.			ACCE					
SuggestedF	Remedy									
		02.3bs and in Clauses 139 an tical power corresponds to tx_		here and in item F8						
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEP	Т.									

C/ 139 SC 139.7.1	P <b>282</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 49	C/ 138	SC 138.8	.5	P <b>262</b>	L 33	# 52
nslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pet	e		Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment T	уре <b>т</b>	C	comment Status A		Bucket
The references in Ta updated.	ble 139-9 and Table 140-9 to C	lause 120 for te	st patterns need to be		ys "The pola polarization		controller and test fiber s	hown in Figure	121-4" but Figure 121-4
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
In both Table 139-9 a				Change	e "polarizatio	n control	ler" to "polarization rotat	or"	
Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2. Change "120.5.11.2.	4" to "120.5.11.2.2" 3" to "120.5.11.2.1"			Response ACCEP	ΥТ.	Re	esponse Status C		
Response	Response Status C			C/ 138	SC 138.8	.5.1	P <b>262</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 53
ACCEPT.				Anslow, Pet	e		Ciena		
				Comment T	уре Т	C	comment Status A		
C/ <b>138</b> SC <b>138.8.1</b> Anslow, Pete	.1 P262 Ciena	L1	# 50				he P802.3bs draft and ir eful to be added here.	n 139.7.5.4 have	had a note added for
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	SuggestedF	Remedy				
particular situation is	t otherwise specified, the maxir used, and for counter-propaga						equalizer is part of the T implementation."	DECQ test and o	does not imply any
	MAouter" cations in Clause 138 where "VI n transition time requirement.	MA" is appropria	e.	Response ACCEP	Ϋ́Τ.	Re	esponse Status <b>C</b>		
SuggestedRemedy	•			C/ <b>138</b>	SC 138.1	0	P <b>265</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 54
,	ot otherwise specified, the max	imum amplitude	(OMAouter) for a	Anslow, Pet	е		Ciena		
particular situation is		·		Comment T	ype E	C	comment Status A		Bucket
Response	Response Status C			"138.10	.3" should b	e a cross	s-reference		
ACCEPT.				SuggestedF					
C/ 138 SC 138.8.5	P <b>262</b>	L 28	# 51	Make it	a cross-refe				
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Response		R	esponse Status C		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	ACCEP	ΥТ.				
	ualized with the reference equation is specified with the reference equalizer is specified as the reference equal as the								
SuggestedRemedy									
On line 28, change "s	specified in 121.8.5" to "specifie	ed in 138.8.5.1"							
Response	Response Status C								
, 									

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 138 SC 138.11.2.2 Anslow. Pete	P <b>269</b> Ciena	L <b>36</b>	# 55	C/ <b>139</b> SC <b>139.1</b> Anslow, Pete	P <b>274</b> Ciena	L <b>45</b>	# 58
Comment Type E	Comment Status A hould be "IEEE Std 802.3cd	-201x"	Bucket	Comment Type E "139.2" should be "13	Comment Status A		Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3	-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cd	1-201x" on line 3	6 and line 44	SuggestedRemedy Change the cross-refe	erence from "139.2" to "131.2"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
<i>Cl</i> <b>134</b> <i>SC</i> <b>134.7.2.2</b> Anslow, Pete	P <b>157</b> Ciena	L11	# 56	C/ <b>139</b> SC <b>139.2</b> Anslow, Pete	P <b>276</b> Ciena	L 22	# 59
Comment Type E "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" s SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A hould be "IEEE Std 802.3cd	-201x"	bucket	Comment Type <b>T</b> The parameters are c means that "rx_bit" sh	Comment Status A lefined by 131.3 which refers to nould be "rx_symbol"	116.3.3.1 throu	<i>Bucket</i> gh 116.3.3.3. This
,	-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cd	1-201x"		SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			Change "rx_bit" to "rx Make the same chang	_symbol" ge in 140.2 (page 299, line 22)		
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C		
C/ 138 SC 138.11.4.6	P <b>273</b>	L13	# 57	ACCEPT.			
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			C/ 138 SC 138.2	P <b>252</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 60
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Item OC4 is specific to S Item OC5 is specific to S Item OC6 is specific to S Items OC8 and OC11 an	R4			Comment Type <b>T</b> The parameters are c means that "rx_bit" sh	Comment Status A lefined by 131.3 which refers to nould be "rx_symbol"	116.3.3.1 throu	<i>Bucket</i> gh 116.3.3.3. This
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In 138.11.3, change "SR	" to "*SR", change "SR2" to	"*SR2", and cha	nge "SR4" to "*SR4"	Change "rx_bit" to "rx	_symbol"		
In the OC11 Status cell of	nange "M" to "SR4:M"	2 or SR4):M"		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

-							
C/ 134 SC 134.2	P143	L <b>41</b>	# 61	C/ 139 SC 139.6.3	P <b>282</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 64
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Buck
The parameters are defir means that "rx_bit" shoul	ned by 131.3 which refers to ld be "rx_symbol"	o 116.3.3.1 throug	gh 116.3.3.3. This	wavelength for this PI	b says "fiber attenuation of 0.4 MD is 1304.5 nm. m is 0.423 for G.552 fibre, so		
SuggestedRemedy					11115 0.423 101 G.332 1101e, SU		
Change "rx_bit" to "rx_sy	/mbol"			SuggestedRemedy Change "at 1295 nm"	to "at 1201 5 pm"		
Response	Response Status C			5			
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ <b>139</b> SC <b>139.3.1</b> Anslow, Pete	P <b>276</b> Ciena	L <b>32</b>	# 62	C/ 138 SC 138.1	P <b>249</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 65
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket	Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
"PMD2" should be "PMD			Buokot	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucke
SuggestedRemedy Change "PMD2" to "PME	)s"			two PMD types are m	ses have a sentence such as: odulated using a 4-level pulse ce of the introduction to make	amplitude modul	ation (PAM4) format. "
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
ACCEPT.					ntence "The optical signals ge evel pulse amplitude modulati		
C/ 139 SC 139.5.1	P <b>277</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 63	Response	Response Status C		
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			ACCEPT.			
Comment Type E Missing "." after "Figure 7	Comment Status A 139-2"		Bucket	C/ 140 SC 140.1	P297	L <b>30</b>	# 66
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Add "."				Comment Type E Space missing in "CA	Comment Status A		Bucke
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Add the space			
				Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
				ACCEPT.			
				ACCEPT.			

nment Status A s requirements specified -11 ble 140-11" boonse Status C P117 Ciena	d in Table 124-1	Bucket 1" but the # 68	Comment i-164 against P802.3bs D3.0 proposes to change the title of Table 116-3 to be "PHY type and clause correlation (200GBASE optical)" SuggestedRemedy If comment i-164 against P802.3bs D3.0 changes the title of Table 116-3, reflect this chan in the P802.3cd draft. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ponse Status <b>C</b> P117 Ciena	L18	# [68	If comment i-164 against P802.3bs D3.0 changes the title of Table 116-3, reflect this chan in the P802.3cd draft. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Ciena	L18	# 68	
nmont Status A			Update based upon upon final status of P802.3bs D3.0 Comment i-164.
	ould be "The ME	bucket DI as specified in . uses	Zambell, Andrew       Amphenol         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       R       C         When comparing tables 136-15 (COM for cables) and 137-5 (COM for backplanes) the values in both are exactly the same. Instead of refering to table 137-5 in line 47, can we delete table 137-5 and instead refer to table 136-15 on page 221-222? There are no tables after 137-5 in Clause 137 so no other tables need to change.       This was done in Clause 92 of IEEE 802.3bj on p192,
P <b>124</b> Ciena	L <b>4</b>	# 69	"COM is computed using the procedure in 93A.1 with the Test 1 and Test 2 values in Tabl 93 8 and the signal paths defined in 92.10.7.1 and 92.10.7.2."
nment Status <b>A</b> hould be "PMA below th	e RS-FEC"	bucket	SuggestedRemedy Change "The Channel Operating Margin (COM) is computed using the procedure in 93A.1 with the values in Table 137 5" to "The Channel Operating Margin (COM) is computed using the procedure in 93A.1 with the values in Table 136 15"
oonse Status C			Response Response Status C REJECT. No consensus to make the suggested change at this time.
a n h	oonse Status <b>C</b> P <b>124</b> Ciena nment Status <b>A</b> nould be "PMA below th	a 1-lane data path." should be "The ME bonse Status C P124 L4 Ciena mment Status A hould be "PMA below the RS-FEC"	a 1-lane data path." should be "The MDI as specified in . uses bonse Status C P124 L4 # 69 Ciena mment Status A bucket hould be "PMA below the RS-FEC"

Cl 136 S Zambell, Andre	SC <b>136.10</b> ew	P <b>219</b> Amphenol	L <b>6</b>	# 72		C/ <b>137</b> Zambell, Ai	SC 137.10 ndrew	P <b>240</b> Amphenol	L1	# 73
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		bı	ucket	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
receiver di	ifferential con	hannel insertion loss, return lo trolled impedance printed circ provided informatively in 136A	cuit				hits in the units of the same.	column for some of the parame	eters of tables	136-15 and 137-5 are not
Transmitte Channel ir Channel re	er and receive nsertion loss i eturn loss is 1	er differential printed circuit bo	-			"dashe The se	es" in table 137-	d one post-cursor have one "d 5. and second pole (fp2) in table		
SuggestedRer		,								
	-	h 136A.4" to "136A.4 through	136A.7"			b_max	has one "dash'	' in table 136-15 and two "dash	nes" in table 13	7-5.
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C						Nb) has a "dash" in table 136-1 s parameter but IEEE 802.3by		
AUGEI I.						If my o	ther comment a	bout deleting table 137-5 is ap	proved, I will w	vithdraw this comment.
						Suggested		0		
							-	e 136-15 and table 137-5 the sa	ame.	
						Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
							PT IN PRINCIP	,		
						1. Use 2. Use 3. Use	one dash for co			
						C/ 136	SC 136.9.1	P <b>211</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 74
						Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu		
						Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		MDI
						Clause	e 136 does not ι	se low swing, it is confusing to called high swing!	o use low swing	g with 1200 mV driver. If
						Suggested	Remedy			
							e low swing			
						Response		Response Status C		
						ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	•		
						Resolv	e using the resp	oonse to comment 153.		

C/ 136 SC 136.9.1 P211 L6 # 75	C/ 135E SC 135E.1 P344 L18 # 77
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC
Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         A         MDI           The text is ambigous and uncessary long         "AC-coupling within the plug connector, as defined         MDI	Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Type "asso0ciated"
in 136.12, allows for interoperability between components operating from different supply voltages"	SuggestedRemedy associated
SuggestedRemedy	
AC-coupling incorporated into the receive plug connector, as defined in 136.12. No extra explanation needed.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	[Editor changed clause from 136, subclause from 136.11, page from 209, and Type from TR to ER]
Resolve using the response to comment 153.	C/ 136A SC 136A.4 P363 L41 # 78
C/ 135E SC 135E.1 P344 L30 # 76	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
Comment Type ER Comment Status R One discuss SFP28 and QSFP28, I don't see the third conector	The maximum insertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or from TP3 to TP5 is defined in clause to be 10.07 dB but in clause 135G is 10.2 dB
	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy either change three connector to two or add the third connector	Increase the loss from 10.07 to 10.2 dB in the text and on figure 136A-1 and andjust the end to end loss from 28.9 dB to 29.2 dB
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
REJECT.	REJECT.
The commenter has clarified that his concern is that the referenced Annex does not specify an MDI implementation.	There is no consensus to make the suggested change.
Note that the the AUI does not have an MDI.	Note: The request to increase the loss from 10.07 to 10.2 dB and end to end loss from 28.9 dB to 29.2 dB was addressed in comment #126 to D1.0 and comment #117 to D1.1.
Historically, the C2M annexes including 83E, 120C, 120E, do not specify a connector form factor. The annex specifies the required electrical characteristics. Any form factor that meets	

these electrical specifications is applicable.

Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>368</b> Ghiasi Quantu	<i>L</i> <b>29</b> ۱៣ LLC	# 79	<i>Cl</i> <b>136</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 136.8.11.	1.3	P <b>197</b> Ghiasi Quantur	<i>L</i> <b>33</b> n LLC	# 81
	mment Status A		TF xtalk	Comment	51		Status R		trainii
The amount of crosstalk as de module specification with 10 c		SXT 5.13 mV is s	so high that even chip-	The te	ext mentions four	PRBS genera	artor but does not	say what type	of the PRBS genrator
http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/p		eb_17/ghiasi_01	_022017_elect.pdf	Suggeste	-				
SuggestedRemedy				Add F	RBS 13 generato	or			
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss	t worst case crosstalk	as defined in CL	_92 supports max	Response REJE		Response	Status C		
Response Res	sponse Status <b>C</b>			Tho d	raft is correct as a	writton Thou	naualified term "E	DBC" is consid	stent with 92.7.12 which
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					fied four different				
See comment #216.					PRBS13 here m one specific poly		nfusion with the F	PRBS13Q test p	pattern, which is built
C/ 136 SC 136.1	P <b>209</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 80						
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um LLC		C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2	3	P <b>217</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 82
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status R		test fixtures, <nsr></nsr>	Krishnasa	my, Kumaran		Broadcom Ltd		
Clause 136 specification refer MDNEXT=1.8 mV are very high high amount of crosstalk can s	gh, the standard has no	ot demonstrated			51	easured using			<i>rx sp</i> o vould be appropriate to 5.3.3.
SuggestedRemedy									
				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Need proof/demonstration that	at worst case crosstalk	as defined in CL	_92 supports max	••	dRemedy y above sentence	e to "Tr is mea	sured using the r	method in 120E	.3.1.5,".
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss.		as defined in CL	_92 supports max	••	y above sentence	e to "Tr is mea <i>Response</i>	•	nethod in 120E	.3.1.5,".
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss.	at worst case crosstalk a	as defined in CL	_92 supports max	Modif Response	y above sentence	Response	•	nethod in 120E	.3.1.5,".
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. Response Res	sponse Status C			Modif Response ACCE	y above sentence	Response	•	nethod in 120E	.3.1.5,".
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT.	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE	y above sentence PT IN PRINCIPL omment 97. SC 136.12	Response	•	nethod in 120E	# <u>83</u>
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136	y above sentence EPT IN PRINCIPL comment 97. SC 136.12 homas	Response E.	Status <b>C</b>		
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136 Palkert, T Comment	y above sentence EPT IN PRINCIPL comment 97. SC 136.12 homas	Response E.	Status C P224 Molex Status A	L <b>28</b>	# 8 <u>3</u> New M
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136 Palkert, T Comment	y above sentence PT IN PRINCIPL omment 97. SC 136.12 homas <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> enced MDIs do n	Response E.	Status C P224 Molex Status A	L <b>28</b>	# 8 <u>3</u> New M
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136 Palkert, T Comment Refer Suggestee Add C Add n	y above sentence PT IN PRINCIPL omment 97. SC 136.12 homas Type T enced MDIs do no dRemedy QSFP-DD as a ref	Response E. Comment ot include reco Gerenced MDI. 36.12.1 with te	Status C P224 Molex Status A ently available high Change '(multi-la ext from presentation	L 28 gh density form ane MDI)' to '(fr	# 8 <u>3</u> New M
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136 Palkert, T Comment Refer Suggestee Add C Add n	y above sentence EPT IN PRINCIPL comment 97. SC 136.12 homas Type T enced MDIs do no dRemedy QSFP-DD as a ref lew subsection 13 om presentation.	Response E. Comment ot include reco Gerenced MDI. 36.12.1 with te	Status C P224 Molex Status A ently available hig Change '(multi-la ext from presentations osstalk paths)	L 28 gh density form ane MDI)' to '(fr	# 8 <u>3</u> New M. factors our-lane MDI)' in line 38
Need proof/demonstration tha channel loss. <i>Response Res</i> REJECT. The suggested remedy does r	sponse Status <b>C</b>	ails of a change	to the draft.	Modif Response ACCE See c C/ 136 Palkert, T Comment Refer Suggester Add C Add n text fr Response	y above sentence EPT IN PRINCIPL comment 97. SC 136.12 homas Type T enced MDIs do no dRemedy QSFP-DD as a ref lew subsection 13 om presentation.	Response E. Comment ot include rece Gerenced MDI. 36.12.1 with te (Use same cr Response	Status C P224 Molex Status A ently available hig Change '(multi-la ext from presentations osstalk paths)	L 28 gh density form ane MDI)' to '(fr	# 8 <u>3</u> New M. factors our-lane MDI)' in line 38

C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136.8.11.7.</b> Slavick, Jeff	2 P206 Broadcom Lim	L21 hited	# 84		C/ <b>136</b> Slavick, Jeff	SC 136.8.1	1.3.5	P <b>201</b> Broadcom Lii	L <b>24</b> mited	# 86	
	Comment Status A g the ic_sts is in 136.8.11.4, s message. That definition				encoded	arity ensures	the resulting pa		anced". Which pa d control fields) wh	attern? It's the D	
SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to	be 136.8.11.4 so you have 1	1 less level of ind	lirection.		balance SuggestedR						
Response	Response Status C				Change	resulting par	ttern is" to "trar	nsmitted control	and status fields	(136.8.11.1.2) are	e"
ACCEPT.					Response ACCEP <sup>-</sup>		,	Status C			
CI 134 SC 134.5.3.3 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T 200/400G has added an maybe we should add it f	P149 Broadcom Lim Comment Status R optional feature to it's RS-FE or 50G as well.		# <u>85</u> nitor. It's optiona	al so	http://ww DC bala	nced after PA	rg/3/cd/public/		cd_01a_0716.pdf tly DC balanced v		
SuggestedRemedy					C/ 136	SC 136.8.1	1.6	P <b>203</b>	L 28	# 87	
changing PCS lanes to F function outside of a PCS	opying the last two paragrap EC lanes, add the appropria and the MDIO mappings to just the monitor. So it'd be le link. Response Status C	ate MDIO register o Table 134-1 and	rs for a degrade d 134-2. No sigr	alling	bit in D1 allowed	, nition for a re .2, and don't to ignore it if lidly set as w	quest is solely preculde desig you want). So	ns from ignoring	ontrol field changi g frames with inva ng now needs to a	ng. We added a Ilid parity (you're	
The proposed remedy is the FEC degrade feature There is no consensus to	monitor the RS-FEC perform not consistent with 100G (not added for 200G/400G in 80 implement the suggested ro	ot supported), no )2.3bs  (no signal emedy. However	lling). r, there is interes	t in	from the to "A new the cont	control field w request is o ol field of the e acknowledge	of the precedin defined to be a e preceding trai	gtraining frame received trainin ning frame and	training frame wh " g frame whose co the received parit ield encoding" I th	ontrol field differs by bit is properly s	from set."
more discussion in this c	apability for 100G and 50G.	Detailed present	ations are invited	d.	Response		Response	Status C			
					In 136.8 Change: This field To:		ored on receipt	:			

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.7.1 P205	L <b>12</b> #	88	C/ 045	SC <b>45</b>		P <b>0</b>	LO	# 90
Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited			Slavick, Jeff		Bi	roadcom Lin	nited	
Comment Type T Comment Status A		training	Comment Typ	be T	Comment Sta	atus A		Buc
remote_rx_rdy is a direct mirror of the status bit received in 72 this variable is only updated to TRUE when 3 consecu- bit are received.	in the training frames utive training frames v	s. In clause with the status	status, fra	ame_lock an	ariables need to be d receiver_status b			e, start-up protocol
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRe		7.1 to 45.2.1.81.4,	45 0 4 04 0		
Change remote_rx_rdy and remote_tf_lock to be set to TF frames are received with the appropriate field set.	RUE once 3 consecu	utive training	Add "and	local_traine	d in 136.8.11.7.1" t in 136.8.11.7.1" t	o 45.2.1.81.	1	
Response Response Status C			Response		Response Sta	tus C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			ACCEPT					
Change definitions to the following:			C/ 045	SC 45		P <b>0</b>	LO	# 91
remote_rx_ready			Slavick, Jeff		Bi	roadcom Lin	nited	
Boolean variable derived from the "receiver ready" bit of the	the status field of reco	eived training	Comment Tvr	ne T	Comment Sta	atus 🗛		
Boolean variable derived from the "receiver ready" bit of the frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to	TRUE until no fewer	r than three	Comment Typ		Comment Sta		137 to enable o	control over which PRR
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the '	TRUE until no fewer	r than three	Need to a sequence	dd equivaler to use for tr	nt to 45.2.1.122 for	Clause 136 the PRBS s		control over which PRB gister only suppots a
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a	r than three asserted.	Need to a sequence	dd equivale to use for tr , while we ha	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and	Clause 136 the PRBS s		
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be s	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no	r than three asserted. of received fewer than	Need to a sequence 11b seed	ndd equivalen to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i>	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and	Clause 136 the PRBS s		
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be three consecutive training frames have been received with	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no	r than three asserted. of received fewer than	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comn	ndd equivalen to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i>	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13.		
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be s	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no	r than three asserted. of received fewer than	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response	dd equivaler to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i> nent	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i>	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13.		
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the 'remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be so three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted.	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame	r than three asserted. of received fewer than	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response	ndd equivalen to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i>	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i>	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13.		
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be set three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted. Cl 045 SC 45 P0	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset	dd equivaler to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i> nent IN PRINCIP	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus C wo extra see	eed. Current re	gister only suppots a orial licence bring
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be s three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted. C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12	add equivalen to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i> nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1- 2 into 802.30	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and we a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw cd and update Tabl	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be a three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted.  C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status A	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L0 #	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 bucket	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12	add equivalen to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i> nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1- 2 into 802.30	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be s three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted. C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L0 #	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 bucket	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12 seed value	add equivaler to use for tr , while we ha <i>medy</i> nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1. 2 into 802.30 es will be dif	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tu to and update Tabli ferent with lanes o	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l perating at 5	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a 50G in the text o	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar f 45.2.1.122.
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be set three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted. C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status A BASE-R PMD control and status registers need to have C list of supported clauses.	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field o set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L0 #	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 bucket	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12 seed valu Also upda	add equivalen to use for tr , while we have medy nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1. 2 into 802.3 es will be dif ate seed entr	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tu to and update Tabli ferent with lanes o	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l perating at 5	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a 50G in the text o	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be set three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted.  C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status A BASE-R PMD control and status registers need to have C list of supported clauses.  SuggestedRemedy	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field of set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L <b>0</b> # Clause 136 and 137 a	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 <i>bucket</i> added to the	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12 seed valu Also upda	add equivalen to use for tr , while we have medy nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1. 2 into 802.3 es will be dif ate seed entr	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw cd and update Tabl ferent with lanes o ies in "Table 136-5	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l perating at 5	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a 50G in the text o	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar f 45.2.1.122.
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the ' remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bi training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be s three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted. <i>CI</i> 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited <i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A BASE-R PMD control and status registers need to have C list of supported clauses. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add Clause 136 and 137 to introduction paragraphs of 45	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field of set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L <b>0</b> # Clause 136 and 137 a	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 <i>bucket</i> added to the	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12 seed valu Also upda	add equivalen to use for tr , while we have medy nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1. 2 into 802.3 es will be dif ate seed entr	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw cd and update Tabl ferent with lanes o ies in "Table 136-5	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l perating at 5	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a 50G in the text o	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar f 45.2.1.122.
frames. The value of remote_rx_ready shall not be set to consecutive training frames have been received with the remote_tf_lock Boolean variable derived from the "receiver frame lock" bit training frames. The value of remote_tf_lock shall not be set three consecutive training frames have been received with asserted.  C/ 045 SC 45 P0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Comment Type T Comment Status A BASE-R PMD control and status registers need to have C list of supported clauses. SuggestedRemedy	TRUE until no fewer "receiver ready" bit a bit of the status field of set to TRUE until no th the "receiver frame L <b>0</b> # Clause 136 and 137 a	r than three asserted. of received fewer than e lock" bit 89 <i>bucket</i> added to the	Need to a sequence 11b seed SuggestedRe Per comm Response ACCEPT Use reset 45.2.1.12 seed valu Also upda	add equivalen to use for tr , while we have medy nent IN PRINCIP rved bits 1.1. 2 into 802.3 es will be dif ate seed entr	nt to 45.2.1.122 for aining frames and ave a 13b seed for <i>Response Sta</i> LE. 450.15:14 for the tw cd and update Tabl ferent with lanes o ies in "Table 136-5	Clause 136 the PRBS s PRBS13. tus <b>C</b> wo extra see le 45-91 for l perating at 5	eed. Current re ed bits. With edit bits 14 and 15 a 50G in the text o	gister only suppots a orial licence bring nd mention that the lar f 45.2.1.122.

C/ 137 SC 137.9.3.1 //ellitz, Richard	P <b>238</b> Samtec	L <b>48</b>	# 92	C/ <b>137</b> Mellitz, Ricł	SC <b>137.1</b> hard	P <b>240</b> Samtec	L10	# 93
	omment Status A		Return loss	Comment 1		Comment Status R		Return los
The differential return loss is changed to meet the 30 dB I chosen based on those reco	left over from Clause 9 L objective per kareti_3	cd_01_0916. A re	kage parameters have	A single packag	e value for Zc, I ge should strive	Rd, and Cd for two different le to use parameters tied to tran		es not represent a
uggestedRemedy		and long package		Suggestedl	-	tone land Parts and a stat		
Change equation 137-1 to RL_d(f) >=						turn loss limit proposed: which is more line in line with	ו 120D.	
$\{15.05 - f, 0.05 \le f \le $ $\{9.5 - 0.075f, 6 < f \le 19$ A Presentation will be made This essentially shifts the classignaling	} available if needed.	n by 3 dB to accon	nmodate PAM4	C_d to Rd to s Av,Afe	e 30 mm packag o 0.25 e-4 nf 55 ohms e to 0.42 V o 0.64 V	e change		
esponse Re	sponse Status <b>C</b>			For the	12 mm packag	a changa		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					0.18 e-4 nf	e change		
Implement option E in mellitz	3cd 01a 0317				45 ohms			
				, -	e to 0.38 V 0.58 V			
Change equation (137-1) to exception to 137.9.2 stating				Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
exception to 137.9.2 stating		in loss is specified	u in equation (137-1).	REJEC	ст.			
Change figure 137-3 accord	ng to the updated equa	tion.		See the	e response to co	omment 92.		
				C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P <b>238</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 94
				Dudek, Mik	e	Cavium		
				Comment 7 The ed		Comment Status <b>A</b> rectly identifying a problem.		tx spec
				Suggestedl	Remedy			
					-	value of SNDR (min) is 32.5	dB Chamge TC	10 PICS to match.
				and del	lete the editor's	note.		
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.		
					ception: value of SNDR	t (min) is 32.5dB		

C/         136B         SC         136B.1.1.6         P 368         L 17         # 95           Dudek, Mike         Cavium	C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136.9.4.2.</b> Dudek, Mike	3 P217 Cavium	L <b>8</b>	# 97
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		rx spec
It would be helpful to include the form factors (SFP29 and QSFP) in the table titles.	It is not appropriate to m	neasure risetime using the n I method which doesn't nee		.3 which is for an NRZ
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
Change the title of Table 136B-1 to "SFP28 mated test fixture integrated near-end crosstalk noise parameters" and the title of table 136B-2 to "QSFP mated test fixture integrated crosstalk noise parameters"	Replace "Tr is measure	d using the method in 86A.5 idth is 33 GHz instead of 12		
Response Response Status C				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Change the title of Table 136B-1 from: "Mated test fixture integrated near-end crosstalk noise parameters" to: "SFP28 mated test fixture integrated near-end crosstalk noise parameters" Change the title of table 136B-2 from: "Mated test fixture integrated crosstalk noise parameters" to:	bandwidth is 33 GHz ins off (i.e., coefficients set TO Tr is measured using th	e method in 86A.5.3.3, with stead of 12 GHz. Tr is meas to the preset 1 values, see e method in 120E.3.1.5 with eset 1 values, see 136.9.3.	sured with the trar 136.9.3.1.3). In the transmit equ	ismit equalizer turned
"QSFP28 mated test fixture integrated crosstalk noise parameters"	C/ 136C SC 136C.1	P <b>371</b>	L 30	# 98
Change "QSFP" P368 L29 to "QSFP28"	Dudek, Mike	Cavium	230	# <u>90</u>
136C       SC 136C.1       P371       L22       # 96         udek, Mike       Cavium       Cavium         omment Type       T       Comment Status       A         There are significant differences between the parameters specified in 136.11 and those specified for 100GBASE-CR4. (COM is significantly different, insertion loss is different etc.) It is not helpful to reference clause 92 and just say the frequency is a little different.	SuggestedRemedy Change "The possible of lengths are summarized factors and cable assen Response	d in table 136C-1 and there combinations of host form fa l in Table 136C-1." to "The p ably form factors are summa <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	ctors, cable asse	mbly form factors and tions of host form
uggestedRemedy	ACCEPT.			
Delete "These specifications are based on the 100GBASE-CR4 cable assembly specifications (see 92.10) with referenced parameters specified at 13.28 GHz to account for	<i>Cl</i> <b>137</b> <i>SC</i> <b>137.8.7</b> Dudek, Mike	P <b>237</b> Cavium	L <b>37</b>	# 99
the increase in signaling rate." esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	Comment Type <b>T</b> The sub-section is label diable and conflicts with SuggestedRemedy Change "global" to "lane		sable for the text :	<i>bucket</i> says global transmit
	0 0			
	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2	P <b>238</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 100	C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2		L <b>20</b>	# 103
Dudek, Mike	Cavium			Dudek, Mik		Cavium		
SuggestedRemedy Add to exception 4) "an Proposed Response REJECT. This comment was WIT C/ 137 SC 137.9.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D calculation of SNRisi is also and the value of Nb is taken from <i>Response Status</i> Z THDRAWN by the commenter <i>P238</i> Cavium <i>Comment Status</i> A symbol error ratio values in T ol error ratio there	n table 137-5" <i>L</i> <b>33</b>	able 120D-1. # [ <u>101</u> /x	which we experience the second state of the second s	s a TBD here. vill equalize refl ected to equalize Remedy mend to say "V red SNRTX val 1.6, with the fo " to "SNDR ma 10*log( sqrt((1) hod of 120D.3. on that Nb is fo PT IN PRINCIPI		ich no reasonabl efore seriously ov Tx test reference the equation. Risi)^2)) where S using the methoc	e receiver equalizer can ver-stress the Receiver. DR matches the re using the procedure in SNDR is measured using t of 120D.3.1.7 with the
SuggestedRemedy Change the bullet to sa	y. "PCS FEC Symbol error ra Table 120D-6 and Table 120D		y RS-FEC Symbol e	length (		e exception that the linear fit n place of the TBD.	n 120D.3.1.3 is p	performed with a pulse
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	-							
200GBASE-CR4 uses	the 200GBASE-R PCS with n	o separate RS-F	EC sublayer.					
Change the bullet to sa "PCS FEC Symbol erro	ny: or ratio values in Table 120D-6 IGBASE-CR2, RS-FEC symbo	and Table 120	D-7 are all 10^-3. For					
Implement suggested r	emedy with editorial license.							
C/ <b>135G</b> SC <b>135G.5.3</b> Dudek, Mike	P <b>361</b> Cavium	L <b>6</b>	# 102					
Comment Type <b>T</b> The number of AC-cou	Comment Status <b>A</b> pled lanes is wrong.		bu	cket				
SuggestedRemedy Change to 2 indepenter	nt lanes for 50GAUI-1 and 4 fo	or 100GAUI-2.						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         136         SC         136.9.4.2.3         P217         L24         # 104           Dudek, Mike         Cavium	C/         137         SC         137.10         P 239         L 48         # 105           Dudek, Mike         Cavium
Comment Type     TR     Comment Status     R     rx spec       The equation for Add is wrong.     Using this equation ADD can never be smaller than J4/2 this is obviously wrong as Add could be zero.     SuggestedRemedy       Fix the equation.     Fix the equation.	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       R       COM, <nsr>         Work has been presented in http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/hidaka_020117_3cd_adhoc.pdf       that shows that the existing values for Rd and Zc do not provide the worst case         performance for expected transmitters that would pass the Transmitter specifications. For the channels analyzed the hole in the specification is up to approx 0.6dB in COM</nsr>
Response       Response Status       C         REJECT.       (The equations are consistent with equations 120D-9 and 120D-10. If a change is needed, it should be applied in the 802.3bs draft too)         Equation 136-5 is one of the solutions to a quadratic equation in A_DD (resulting from J_RMS^2=A_DD^2+sigma_RJ^2).	SuggestedRemedy Either change the required channel COM to 3.6dB while leaving the receiver interference tolerance COM calibration at 3.0dB (and consider changing the values of Rd and Zc to the nominal values of 100 Ohm and 50 Ohm) Or. Add tests using multiple different sets of Rd and Zc to cover +/-10% variation from the nominal values. If this change is made then change the channel return loss to be informative by replacing "shall meet" to "are recommended to meet" on page 239 line 53
Since J4 is positive, this solution is always positive so A_DD cannot become zero. The other solution is obtained by changing the "+" in the numerator to "-". This solution can be zero or negative.	Response     Response Status     C       REJECT.     See comment 145.
The latter solution always creates a smaller absolute value for A_DD and a larger sigma_RJ than the former.	

According to the commenter's observations, the difference in COM between the two solutions is sufficiently small that it is not necessary to document both solutions.

C/ 136 SC 136.11	P219	L12	# 106	C/ 136	SC 136.11	701	P <b>223</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 107
Tracy, Nathan	TE Connectivit		# 100	Tracy, Nat		. / . 2. 1	TE Connectiv		# [107
Comment Type T Cc Proposing to add a new addit Change from: " Since 50GBASE-CR has two 110.11.1) and multi-lane (QSI combinations of the connecto types are described in Annex QSFP28 (specified in 92.12). (specified in 92.12).  SuggestedRemedy Change to: " Since 50GBASE-CR has three 110.11.1 or microQSFP, spec or microQSFP, specified in 12 connectors at each end. The Annex 136C. 100GBASE-CR or microQSFP (specified in 12 QSFP28 (specified in 92.12) of a MDI that has multi-lanes bu	ere specified MDI connecto and a more specified in 92.12 brs at each end. The post 136C. 100GBASE-CR2 200GBASE-CR4 uses for a specified MDI connecto cified in 136.12.1) and m 36.12.1), there are three possible 50GBASE-CR4 2 uses two lanes of the 36.12.1). 200GBASE-CF or microQSFP (specified	tors, single-lane sour lanes of the possible assembly tors, single-lane our lanes of the possible comb cable assembly multi-lane QSF 4 uses four lane d in 136.12.1).	(SFP28, specified in ee possible E-CR cable assembly s of the multi-lane e multi-lane QSFP28 e (SFP28, specified in P28, specified in 92.12 inations of the y types are described in P28 (specified in 92,12) nes of the multi-lane Note that microQSFP is	Comment Adding Chang 136.11 The Si path a crosst: The si The ne Suggested Chang 136.11 The Si include signal The si The ne Response ACCE	Type <b>T</b> g a new additio je from: 1.7.2.1 SFP28 FP28 to SFP28 to SFp28 to Sfp28 gnal path is ca ear-end crossta <i>IRemedy</i> je To: 1.7.2.1 SFP28 FP28 to SFP28 FP28 to SFP28 es the signal path is ca	nal MDI to e to SFP28 3 channel str end and near-en lculated usin alk path is ca to SFP28 or 3 or single-la ath, one nea crosstalk pat lculated usin alk path is ca <i>Respor</i> PLE.	nent Status <b>A</b> nable new equipm ucture includes the d crosstalk paths a g Equation (136-8 loulated using Equ single-lane micro ne microQSFP to r-end crosstalk pat hs are used in calk g Equation (136-8 loulated using Equ nse Status <b>C</b>	ent designs. e signal path, on are used in calcu uation (136-9). QSFP to single-la single-lane micro h and no alien fo culation of COM ).	ane microQSFP oQSFP channel structure ar end crosstalk. The
" Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Straw poll #3 shows strong co form factors in P802.3cd. Incorporate the text etc. provi following changes: - delete table 136C-1 - reduce cable form factors to eight - add PHY signal mapping for CR2) - instead of defining the new I - merge Tables 136-18/19/20	ided in palkert_3cd_04a_ o four: one plug to one pl r full populated connecto MDIs in Clause 136, defi	_0317 with edit ug, one to two, ors (e.g., QSFP	orial license with the one to four, and one to -DD with 4x 100GBASE-						

C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136</b> Tracy, Nathan	5.11.7.2.2 P224 L1 TE Connectivity	# 108	C/ <b>136</b> SC 13 Tracy, Nathan	36.11.7.2.3	P <b>224</b> TE Connectiv	L <b>13</b> ity	# 109	
Change From: 136.11.7.2.2 QS The QSFP28 to one near-end cro from transmitters The signal path i The near-end cro	ditional MDI to enable new equipment designs.	ation of COM. Crosstalk nsignificant. ), with k equal to 1.	Adding a new a Change From: 136.11.7.2.3 SF The SFP28 to C four near-end c The signal path The near-end c 4.	dditional MDI to en P28 to QSFP28 QSFP28 channel s rosstalk paths. The is calculated usin rosstalk paths are	ese eight paths are g Equation (136-8) calculated using E	ne signal path, th e used in calculat quation (136-9),	New MDI ree alien far-end and ion of COM. with k values from 1 to (136-10), with k values	
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy					
The QSFP28 (or signal path, three in calculation of ( connectors is as The signal path i The near-end cro	FP28 (or microQSFP) to SFP28 (or microQSFP microQSFP) to SFP28 (or microQSFP) channe e alien far-end and one near-end crosstalk path. COM. Crosstalk from transmitters on other SFP sumed to be insignificant. so calculated using Equation (136-8). ssstalk path is calculated using Equation (136-9) ar-end crosstalk paths are calculated using Equa	I structure includes the These five paths are used 28 (or microQSFP) ), with k equal to 1.	Change To: 136.11.7.2.3 SFP28 (or microQSFP) to QSFP28 (or microQSFP) The SFP28 (or microQSFP) to QSFP28 (or microQSFP) channel structure includes the signal path, three alien far-end and four near-end crosstalk paths. These eight paths are used in calculation of COM. The signal path is calculated using Equation (136-8). The near-end crosstalk paths are calculated using Equation (136-9), with k values from 1 4. The three alien far-end crosstalk paths are calculated using Equation (136-10), with k value from 1 to 3.					
Response	Response Status C		Response	Respon	se Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.		ACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.				
Resolve using th	e response to comment #106.		Resolve using the	he response to co	mment #106.			

C/ <b>136</b> Tracy, Nat	SC <b>136.11.7.2.4</b>	P <b>224</b> TE Connectiv	۲ <b>24</b>	# 110		C/ 136 Tracy, Natl	SC 13	6.12	P <b>224</b> TE Connectiv	L <b>30</b>	# 111
Comment Adding Chang	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> Co g a new additional MDI ge From:	<i>mment Status</i> <b>A</b> to enable new equipm		٢	New MDI	<i>Comment</i> Adding Chang	<i>Type</i> a new a e From:		Comment Status <b>A</b> IDI to enable new equipm	,	New MDI
The Q to QSI	.7.2.4 QSFP28 to QSF SFP28 to QSFP28 cha FP28 el, and COM is calculat	nnel structure includes			FP28	This su Media	ubclause Depende	nt Interfac	; e 50GBASE-CR, the 1000 ce (MDIs). The MDI couple ably (specified in 136.11).		
The Q same	e To: 1.7.2.4 QSFP28 (or mic SFP28 (or microQSFP) paths defined for the Si s calculated in the sam	to QSFP28 (or micro FP28 (or microQSFP) e way, as defined in 1	QSFP) channel s to QSFP28 (or n			be eith or a ma plug co the mu	er a mate ated pair onnector i Ilti-lane M	d pair of o of connec s used on DI, each o	echanical interface betwee connectors meeting the re- tors meeting the requirem the cable assembly and t of the paired transmit and may be used for the trans	quirements of 1 ents of 92.12.1. he receptacle is receive lanes (S	10.11.1 (single-lane MDÍ) 1 (multi-lane MDI). The used on the PMD. For SL0, DL0), (SL1, DL1),
	Rea PT IN PRINCIPLE. /e using the response t	sponse Status <b>C</b> o comment #106.				the cal (multi-l used o for one	ole assem ane MDI) n the PM PHY sha	bly is a m . The plug D. For 100 all be (SL0	00GBASE-CR4, the mech nated pair of connectors m g connector is used on the 0GBASE-CR2 multilane M 0, DL0) and (SL1, DL1), ar L2) and (SL3, DL3).	eeting the requi cable assembly DI, the paired tr	rements of 92.12.1.1 and the receptacle is ansmit and receive lanes
						lanes a noted t freque the val	are AC-co that there ncy 3 dB	upled; the may be v cutoff of th coupling of	BASE-CR2 and 200GBAS AC-coupling shall be with arious methods for AC-co he AC-coupling shall be le capacitors be 100 nF. The	hin the plug conrupting in actual is sthan 50 kHz.	nectors. It should be implementations. The low- It is recommended that
						Suggested	Remedy				
						This su Media	MDI spe ubclause Depende	nt Interfac	e 50GBASE-CR, the 1000 ce (MDIs). The MDI couple ably (specified in 136.11).	,	
						be eith (single lane M or muli used o (SL0, I connec 136.12	er of thre -lane MD DI) or a r ti-lane ME n the PM DL0), (SL ctions (SL 2.1 (multi-	e options: I) or a manated pair DI). The pl D. For the 1, DL1), (\$ and DL). ane MDI)	echanical interface betwee a mated pair of connector ted pair of connectors meet of connectors meeting the ug connector is used on the multi-lane MDI, each of the SL2, DL2) or (SL3, DL3) m In cases where the conn- is used for a single-lane 5 PHY shall be (SL0, DL0).	is meeting the re- eting the require e requirements of the cable assemble the paired transminated transmination that be used for the the tor meeting the	equirements of 110.11.1 ments of 92.12.1.1 (multi- of 136.12.1 (single-lane oly and the receptacle is nit and receive lanes the transmit and receive e requirements of

Comment ID 111

Page 27 of 57 2017-03-14 9:15:25 PM For 100GBASE-CR2 or 200GBASE-CR4, the mechanical interface between the PMD and the cable

assembly is a mated pair of connectors meeting the requirements of 92.12.1.1 (multi-lane MDI) or 136.12.1 (multi-lane). The plug connector is used on the cable assembly and the receptacle is used on the PMD. For 100GBASE-CR2 multilane MDI, the paired transmit and receive lanes for one PHY shall be (SL0, DL0) and (SL1, DL1), and if a second PHY uses the same MDI connector it uses (SL2, DL2) and (SL3, DL3).

For 50GBASE-CR. 100GBASE-CR2 and 200GBASE-CR4 plug connectors, the receive lanes are

AC-coupled; the AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors. It should be noted that there may be

various methods for AC-coupling in actual implementations. The low-frequency 3 dB cutoff of the

AC-coupling shall be less than 50 kHz. It is recommended that the value of the coupling capacitors be

100 nF. The capacitor limits the inrush charge and baseline wander.

136.12.1 Style-1 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, 200GBASE-CR4 MDI connector The Style-1 MDI connector can support all three cable types described by this clause. The connector for each end of the cable assembly shall be the microQSFP connector plug with the mechanical mating interface defined in the microQSFP MSA Specification and illustrated in Figure 136-11. The MDI connector shall be the microQSFP receptacle with the mechanical mating interface defined by the microQSFP MSA Specification and illustrated in Figure 136-12. These connectors have contact assignments that are listed in Table 136-16, and electrical performance consistent with the signal quality and electrical requirements of 136.9 and 136.10. This MDI can be applied in 1-lane, 2-lane and 4-lane applications due to its port density.

The Style-1 MDI connector of the 50GBASE-CR, the 100GBASE-CR2, and the 200GBASE-CR4 PMD comprises 38 signal connections. The Style-1 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignments shall be as defined in Table 136-16. Note that the source lanes (SL), signals SLi, and SLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the transmitters differential signal pairs and the destination lanes (DL) signals, DLi, and DLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the receivers differential signal pairs for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3).

See supplemental file sent with comment file for 2 Figures and one Table that accompany this new material.

#### Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #106.

C/ 136C SC	136C.1	P <b>371</b>	L16	# 112
Tracy, Nathan		TE Connectiv	ity	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		new MDI

Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Change From:

Hosts have two specified MDI connectors, single-lane (SFP28, specified in 110.11.1) and multi-lane (QSFP28, specified in 92.12). ...."

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change To:

Hosts have three specified MDI connectors, single-lane (SFP28, specified in 110,11,1), multilane (QSFP28, specified in 92.12) and multi-lane (microQSFP, specified in 136.12.1). ...."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #106.

C/ 136C		P <b>371</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 113
Tracy, Nathar	1	TE Connectivity		
Comment Tvr	e T	Comment Status A		new MDI

comment Type T	Comment Status A	new MDI
----------------	------------------	---------

Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs.

Need to add additional items to Table 136C-1 so it includes all cable types resulting from the new MDI.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Additional material to be added (see also supplemental file sent with comment file for table format and content): Cable Assembly Form FactorHost First EndHosts Second End

SFP28 to microQSFP (single-lane) SFP28microQSFP

microQSFP (single-lane) to microQSFP (single-lane)microQSFPmicroQSFP

QSFP28 to microQSFPQSFP28microQSFP

microQSFP to microQSFPmicroQSFP microQSFP to 4xmicroQSFPmicroQSFP4x microQSFP

microQSFP to 4xSFP28microQSFP 4x SFP28

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #106.

C/         136C         SC         136C.2.3         P 372         L 14         #         114           Fracy, Nathan         TE Connectivity         TE Connectivity <td>C/ 136C         SC 136C.3         P374         L 30         # 115           Tracy, Nathan         TE Connectivity</td>	C/ 136C         SC 136C.3         P374         L 30         # 115           Tracy, Nathan         TE Connectivity
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> new M Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Need to add a new paragraph to describe the new MDI.	DI Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> new MD Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs. Need to insert a new paragraph to describe microQSFP to SFP28 Cables
<ul> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Insert new Paragraph: 136C.2.3 microQSFP host form factor</li> <li>A microQSFP MDI has four available lanes and can be used in either single-lane applications or multi-lane applications.</li> <li>A host may use the microQSFP receptacle specified in 136.12.1 as the MDI for one or two 100GBASE-CR2 PHYs or one 200GBASE-CR4 PHY. This is referred to as a microQSFP host form factor.</li> <li>A microQSFP form factor host can also form up to four 50 Gb/s links to either another microQSFP form factor host, using a microQSFP to microQSFP form factor cable assembly (see 136C.3.x), or to a QSFP28 form factor host using a microQSFP form factor hosts using a microQSFP to 4×microQSFP form factor cable assembly (see 136C.3.x) or to four separate SFP28 form factor hosts using a microQSFP to 4×SFP28 form factor cable assembly (see</li> </ul>	SuggestedRemedy         Add new Paragraph:         136C.3.x SFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly form factor         The SFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly has one SFP28 plug, specified in 110.11.1, and one microQSFP plug, specified in 136.12.1. It may be used to connect one SFP28 form factor host to one microQSFP form factor host (see 136C.2.1 and 136C.2.3) with a single 50 Gb/s link. The cable assembly is illustrated in Figure 136C-x. The electrical characteristics of a cable assembly for this form factor are specified in 136.11, using the definitions in 136.11.7.2.1.         Need SFP to microQSFP cable image (TE will supply)         Response       Response
136C.3.x). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/         136C         SC         136C.3         P374         L 31         # 116           Tracy, Nathan         TE Connectivity         TE Connectivity         TE Connectivity         TE Connectivity
Resolve using the response to comment #106.	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A         new MD           Adding a new additional MDI to enable new equipment designs.         Need to add a paragraph to describe QSFP28 to microQSFP cables         Need to microQSFP cables
	SuggestedRemedy Add new Paragraph 136C.3.x QSFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly form factor The QSFP28 to microQSFP cable assembly has one QSFP28 plug, specified in 92.12.1.1, and one microQSFP plug, specified in 136.12.1. It may be used to connect one QSFP28 form factor host to one microQSFP form factor host (see 136C.2.2 and 136C.2.3) with up to four 50 Gb/s links. The cable assembly is illustrated in Figure 136C-x. The electrical characteristics of a cable assembly for this form factor are specified in 136.11, using the definitions in 136.11.7.2.4.
	See supplemental file for image to go with this paragraph
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

	P374	L <b>32</b>	# 117	C/ 140	SC 140.6.		P303	L <b>25</b>	# 119
Tracy, Nathan	TE Connectivity	L <b>3Z</b>	# 117	traverso, m			cisco	L <b>Z</b> 3	# 119
	nt Status A		new MDI	Comment		Comm	ent Status A		
Adding a new additional MDI to ena Need to add a paragraph to describ	ble new equipment de			Table 1	40-6 contain	s magenta tex		able 140-6 has pa	arameters which are
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Add new paragraph: 136C.3.x microQSFP to 4×SFP28 c The microQSFP to 4×SFP28 cable	assembly has a micro	QSFP plug as		"travers	so_022217_3	cd_adhoc-v3"	ased on ad hoc province of the specific chan 00GBASE-DR4 ar	ges to update the	e parameters to be magenta text.
136.12.1 on one end, and four SFP2 be used to connect a microQSFP for				Response		Respon	se Status C		
factor hosts (see 136C.2.1) with one	e 50 Gb/s link to each	SFP28 host. T	he cable assembly	ACCE	PT IN PRINC	PLE.			
is illustrated in Figure 136C-x. The of factor are specified in 136.11, using See image in supplemental file prov	the definitions in 136	.11.7.2.2 and 1		http://w the tab	ww.ieee802. le shown in s	org/3/cd/public ide 12 is adde	ides 8 to 13 of c/Mar17/traverso_3 ed to Subclause 14 ferred to in this pre	0.9.	, with the exception tha
	e Status C				ditorial license			Schlation black.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 140	SC 140.6.	<b>,</b>	P304	L <b>9</b>	# 120
				traverso, m		2	cisco	Lg	# 120
Resolve using the response to com	ment #106.					0			
C/ 136C SC 136C.3	P374	L <b>33</b>	# 118	Comment Table 1			ent Status A	t with Clause 12	4, 400GBASE-DR4.
Tracy, Nathan	TE Connectivity								+, 4000DA3E-DI(4.
Comment Type <b>T</b> Commer	nt Status A		new MDI	Suggested	-	vrocontation b	ased on ad hoc pr	ocontation	
Adding a new additional MDI to ena Need to add a paragraph to describ	ble new equipment de			"travers	so_022217_3	cd_adhoc-v3"			e parameters to be
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Respon	se Status C		
Add new Paragraph:				ACCE	PT IN PRINC	PLE.			
136C.3.x microQSFP to 4xmicroQS 4xmicroQSFP cable assembly has and four microQSFP plugs as speci connect a microQSFP form factor h hosts (see 136C.2.3) with one 50 G illustrated in Figure 136C-x. The ele factor are specified in 136.11, using	a microQSFP plug as fied in 136.12.1 on the ost (see 136C.2.3) to b/s link to each micro actrical characteristics	specified in 13 e other end. It r up to four micr QSFP host. Th of a cable asso	6.12.1 on one end, nay be used to oQSFP form factor e cable assembly is embly for this form	See co	mment #119				
See image in supplemental file									
Response Response	e Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Resolve using the response to com	ment #106.								
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editori	al required GR/gener	al required T/t	echnical Eleditorial Glas	aneral			Comm	ent ID <b>120</b>	Page 30 of 57

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 30 of 57 2017-03-14 9:15:25 PM

C/ 140 SC 140.6.3	P <b>304</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 121	C/ 140 SC 140.7.5	P <b>306</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 123
raverso, matt	cisco			traverso, matt	cisco		
Comment Type T Commen	nt Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
Table 140-8 has parameters which	are not consistent	with Clause 124,	400GBASE-DR4.		nodology presented in the ad h		
SuggestedRemedy				"traverso_022217_30 methods.	cd_adhoc-v3" creates a new e	xception requireme	ent for the TDECQ
I intend to submit a presentation ba				SuggestedRemedy			
"traverso_022217_3cd_adhoc-v3" v consistent with with Clause 124, 40		es to update the	parameters to be		resentation based on ad hoc p	presentation	
	e Status C			"traverso_022217_3	cd_adhoc-v3" which will propo	se to add a new bu	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					s shall correspond to Table 14 Ismith the bullet appropriately.		d that the editor be
				Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
See comment #119.				ACCEPT IN PRINCI	•		
X 140 SC 140.7.1	P <b>305</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 122				
averso, matt	cisco			See comment #119			
Comment Type <b>T</b> Commer	nt Status A			C/ 140 SC 140.9	P309	L14	# 124
Table 140-10 contains magenta tex		able 140-6 has pa	arameters which are	traverso, matt	cisco		
not consistent with Clause 124, 400	)GBASE-DR4.			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
uggestedRemedy				Table 140-11 contair	is magenta text for the return l	OSS.	
l intend to submit a presentation ba "traverso_022217_3cd_adhoc-v3" v			narameters to be	SuggestedRemedy			
consistent with with Clause 124, 40				I intend to submit a p	resentation based on ad hoc p	presentation	
Response Response	e Status C			"traverso_022217_30 dB.	cd_adhoc-v3" with specific cha	anges to update the	parameter to be 27
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
See comment #119				Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C		
See comment #119					FLC.		
				See comment #119			
				C/ 140 SC 140.10	.2.2 P310	L15	# 125
				traverso, matt	cisco		
				traverso, matt Comment Type <b>T</b>	cisco Comment Status A		
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 140-13 contair			
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 140-13 contair	Comment Status A as magenta text. Additionally,		
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 140-13 contair the ad hoc presentat SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a p	Comment Status A as magenta text. Additionally,	hoc-v3" proposes a presentation	a new table format.
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 140-13 contair the ad hoc presentat SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a p	Comment Status A as magenta text. Additionally, ion "traverso_022217_3cd_ad resentation based on ad hoc p	hoc-v3" proposes a presentation	a new table format.
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 140-13 contain the ad hoc presentati SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a p "traverso_022217_30	Comment Status A as magenta text. Additionally, ion "traverso_022217_3cd_ad resentation based on ad hoc p cd_adhoc-v3" with specific cha Response Status C	hoc-v3" proposes a presentation	a new table format.
				Comment Type T Table 140-13 contain the ad hoc presentati SuggestedRemedy I intend to submit a p "traverso_022217_30 Response	Comment Status A as magenta text. Additionally, ion "traverso_022217_3cd_ad resentation based on ad hoc p cd_adhoc-v3" with specific cha Response Status C	hoc-v3" proposes a presentation	a new table format.

25 PM

	10.2.2	P <b>310</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 126	C/ 138		.10.2.2.2	P <b>266</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 128
averso, matt		cisco			King, Jona	than		Finisar		
omment Type T	Commen	t Status A			Comment	Туре Е	R Con	nment Status A		
The text in the para hoc presentation "t				adeoff table in the ad				s in magenta and ma		
<= -35 dB and > -4	ble 140-13 corres 45 dB as well as th n the channel. Dis	ponding to the n ne number of dis screte reflectance rtion loss."	umber of discrete screte reflectance	an or equal to the reflectances between s between <= -45 dB may be ignored when	freque neglgi When correc <i>Suggestec</i> Remo	ncies, any ble. discussed t and didn't <i>IRemedy</i> ve TBC, ch	double reflect in the 802.3cc need to be m ange magenta	gation modes, and th ions will add incohere d ad hoc meeting, the agenta TBC. a text to black	ently and any MP	I would still be
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.				Response		Resp	oonse Status C		
See comment #11	9				ACCE	PT.				
/ <b>138</b> SC <b>138.</b> 8 ng, Jonathan	8.8.1	P <b>263</b> Finisar	L <b>36</b>	# 127	C/ <b>139</b> King, Jona	SC <b>139</b> than	.5.4	P <b>279</b> Finisar	L <b>6</b>	# 129
comment Type ER		t <i>Status</i> <b>A</b> ed sinusoidal jitt	er are in magenta	ì.		on the me	asured data,	nment Status <b>A</b> 17 dB is the minimun asis. A Tx OFF spec		able to turn down Tx t be achieved reliabl
	the same as the o le concensus was	that these value	es were correct an	cussed in the 802.3cd nd didn't need to be in	on a lá Tx 'off lane ir In add	ine by lane ' specs of - nplementat	16 dBm for 5 ions of 50GB/ e enough mar	0GBASE-FR allows <sup>-</sup> ASE-FR. rgin between the min	received average	e power and the Tx
These values are t ad hoc meeting, th magenta. uggestedRemedy	the same as the or le concensus was 138-13 magenta it	that these value	es were correct an		on a la Tx 'off lane ir In add OFF s <i>Suggestec</i> In Tab dBm. In Tab In Tab	ine by lane ' specs of - nplementat ition, to giv spec, the m <i>IRemedy</i> Ile 139-6, cl Ile 139-7 ch Ile 139-6 ch	16 dBm for 5 ions of 50GB, e enough mar in average lar nange the Ave nange -20 dB ange the Ave ange the Ave	0GBASE-FR allows <sup>-</sup> ASE-FR.	received average average received of OFF transmitter (min) spec from -	e power and the Tx I power should be ra r from -20 dBm to -1 -9 dBm to -7.6 dBm.

X 140 SC 140.5.4	P <b>302</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 130	C/ 138	SC	138.8.8	P <b>263</b>	L18	# 132
ing, Jonathan	Finisar			King, Jonat	than		Finisar		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
average power on a p on a lane by lane basi A Tx 'off' spec of -15 c lane implementations uggestedRemedy In Table 140-4, chang	Bm for 100GBASE-DR allow	= -20dBm canno	ot be achieved reliably	19.34 C a signif bandwu traditiou Since b GHz, a consist Suggestedi	GHz is ficant p dith, ev nal 0.7 both TE both TE and both tent.	the same v practical ad ven though 5 x symbo DECQ and h include ro	pandwidth of 19.34 GHz is in value used for the reference vantage in that existing test there is a small (3%) differe rate reference bandwidth. SECQ assume the same ref eference equalizers in the mo	receiver for 25G gear has this ref nce between 19 erence receiver	NRZ clauses, it offe erence receiver .34 GHz and a bandwdith of 19.34
esponse	Response Status C			Remov	/e TBC	, make tex	t black		
ACCEPT.				Response			Response Status C		
138 SC 138.8.1.	1 P262	L5	# 131	ACCEF	PT.				
ing, Jonathan	Finisar	23	# [151	C/ 138	SC	138.11.4.1	P <b>270</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 133
omment Type TR	Comment Status A			King, Jonat	than		Finisar		
The 31 UI delay betwee 31 UI delay is used in	een PRBS31Q patterns is in m other projects where lanes be 3cd ad hoc meeting, the conce	ing driven with F	PRBS31 patterns. When	Comment T The Pl		TR and F8 for	Comment Status A optical modulation level map	oping are not ap	propriate for PAM4
enough delay to make	PRBS31Q patterns effectivel			Suggested	Remea	dy			
need to be TBC.				Change in F5 a		ner optical	power is a one" to "Highest c	ptical power is a	a three"
Remove TBC and cha	ange text to black			Response			Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEF	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE			
ACCEPT.	Nosponse Status			See re	nonse i	to commer	t #45		
				00010	polise	to commen	n #40		
See also #204									

Cl         136         SC         136.9.4.1         P 215         L 44         # 134           King, Jonathan         Finisar	C/         136         SC         136.9.4.2         P 216         L 18         # [135]           King, Jonathan         Finisar						
Comment Type TR Comment Status A BER	Comment Type TR Comment Status A BER						
In 136 there is text (in 136.1) which describes the need for sufficiently random bit error statistics to meet the FLR spec. However, there is no reference in 136.9.4.1 that connects the Rx BER to the FLR specified in 136.1.	In Table 136-13, currently the FEC symbol error ratio upper limit is 1e-3. There's no text to link the FEC symbol error rate to the BER specified in 136.1. The FEC symbol errors should also be sufficiently random, so that FEC frames aren't overwhelmed with bursty error statistics which then break the FLR requirement. For example, for sparse, stochastic errors, the FEC symbol error rate would be less than or equal to the BER.						
Consequently, the measured BER could meet the value in 136.9.4.1, but could fail the FLR specified in 138.1. The spec appears to have a hole in it.	SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedRemedy In 136.1, add a sub section 136.1.1 "Bit error ratio" which contains all the BER and FLR requirements.	In 136.1, add a sub section 136.1.1 "Bit error ratio" which contains all the BER and FLR requirements. In Table 136-13, the allowed FEC symbol error ratio should refer to 136.1.1. Fix the appropriate PIC						
In 136.9.4.1 change	Response Response Status C						
"When a PMD receiver is connected to a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage, as defined by 92.8.3.1 and measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting, is 1 200 mV, using a compliant cable assembly with the minimum insertion loss specified in 136.11.2, the PMD receiver shall operate at a BER better than 10-4."	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add requirements regarding the FLR as per 136.1 with editorial license.						
	Cl 136 SC 136.9.4.2 P216 L26 # 136						
То	King, Jonathan Finisar						
"When a PMD receiver is connected to a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage, as defined by 92.8.3.1 and measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting, is 1 200 mV, using a compliant cable assembly with the minimum insertion loss specified in 136.11.2, the PMD receiver shall operate at the BERas specified in 136.1.1" Fix the appropriate PIC	Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         BER           In Table 136-13, currently the DER_0 upper limit is 1e-4. This is lower than the allowed PAM4 symbol error ratio would be for stochastic errors with the BER specified in 136.1. Also there's no text to link the DER_0 to the BER specified in 136.1. The DER_0 should also have sufficiently random errors , so that FEC frames aren't overwhelmed with bursty error						

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The existing text specifies a "BER" requirement which is not defined for the PMD and not aligned with other receiver requirements in this clause. It would be better to require a symbol error ratio as done in the receiver interference/jitter tolerance tests (136.9.4.2 and 136.9.4.3).

#### Change the first paragraph FROM:

When a PMD receiver is connected to a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage (see Table 136-11 footnote a) measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting is 1 200 mV, using a compliant cable assembly with the minimum insertion loss specified in 136.11.2, the PMD receiver shall operate at a BER better than 10^-4. TO:

When a PHY receiver is connected to a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage (see Table 136-11 footnote a) measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting is 1 200 mV, using a compliant cable assembly with the minimum insertion loss specified in 136.11.2, the PMD receiver operation shall enable a FEC symbol error ratio better than 10^-3.

Add requirements regarding the FLR as per 136.1 with editorial license.

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 136

In 136.1, add a sub section 136.1.1 "Bit error ratio" which contains all the BER and FLR

statistics which then break the FLR requirement.

In Table 136-13, the allowed DER\_0 should refer to 136.1.1.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Response Status Z

SuggestedRemedy

requirements.

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Fix the appropriate PIC

Page 34 of 57 2017-03-14 9:15:25 PM

CI 136 SC 13	6.9.4.2.3	P <b>217</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 137	C/ 140	SC	140.6.1	P303	L 43	# 139
King, Jonathan		Finisar			King, Jona	ithan		Finisar		
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	inconsistent wit	<i>ment Status</i> <b>R</b> h the BER specified	in 136.1, and is r	<i>rx spec,</i> <3 <i>b</i> s> not the right value for	3.5 dB	R speci 8 would	cost of a v	Comment Status R udes the use of directly modul very small change in MPI pen	alty (0.03 dB), b	ut potentially allows
SuggestedRemedy The Q4 value should be 3.414 for Gray coded PAM4 signaling with a target BER of 2.4e-4; change the NOTE to say 'Q4 = 3.414 is consistent with the BER and target symbol error ratio for Gray coded PAM4', with editorial licence.				future lower power and lower cost DML based single lane implementations . SuggestedRemedy In Table 140-6 change the ER min to 3.5 dB. Response Response C						
Response REJECT.	Respo	onse Status C			REJECT.			Response Status C		
	and the existing	llysis done for PAM4 g numbers are correc P <b>280</b>		domain. This use is in # 138	the construction	nseque	nces for c lluated. Th	consensus that a change to 3. hanging the extinction ratio to ne commenter is invited to pro	the Table adde	d by comment #119
King, Jonathan		Finisar			C/ 140		140.6.1	P <b>303</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 140
Comment Type	<b>FR</b> Com	ment Status R			King, Jona	King, Jonathan		Finisar		
The ER specifie	d precludes the	use of directly modu	lated lasers. Re	ducing the min ER to	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
3.5 dB would be more DML friendly, at the cost of a small change in MPI penalty (0.12 dB), but potentially allows lower power and lower cost DML based single lane implementations .				The specified RIN is much tighter than required for link closure, and is onerous to measure in practice. A RIN_OMA of -132dB/Hz still allows links to close and maintains BER floors more than two orders of magnitude below the required BER.						
SuggestedRemedy								Thag had below the require	d DER.	
In Table 139-6 change the ER min to 3.5 dB.			SuggestedRemedy In Table 140-6 change the max RIN_OMA to -132dB/Hz.							
Response	Respo	onse Status <b>C</b>					5 change	—	ν' i i∠.	
REJECT.		up that a phonon to 2	EdD Extinction I	Potio should be made	Response ACCE		RINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.		
While there was some consensus that a change to 3.5dB Extinction Ratio should be made, the consequences for adding 0.1dB additional MPI penalty should be evaluated. The commenter is invited to propose a complete set of budget changes to accommodate this.				In 140.6.1, Table 140-6, change RIN_OMA max value from -142 to -136 dB/Hz.						

	2 420 6 4	Dage	1.40		CI 400	50.40		Data	1.20	# 444	
C/ <b>139</b> SC King, Jonathan				<i>Cl</i> <b>136</b> Hidaka, Ya	-	6.9.3.1.1	P <b>213</b> Fujitsu Labs	L <b>39</b>	# 144		
•							_		s. of Americ		
measure in floors more	ed RIN is mu practice. A F than two orc	Comment Status A ich tighter than required for lin RIN_OMA of -138dB/Hz still a ders of magnitude below the re	llows links to cl		Suggested	ation (136- IRemedy	-1), the te	Comment Status A erm "+ j - M * i" should be to "r(m + j - M * i)".	a part of the inde	ex of r(m).	bucke
SuggestedReme	•	he max RIN OMA to -138dB	′ <b>Ц</b> ¬		Response			Response Status <b>C</b>			
Response ACCEPT IN	Ū	Response Status <b>C</b>	1 12.		ACCE	PT.		,			
In 139.6.1, 1 and LR	Table 139-6,	change RIN_OMA max value	from -136 to -1	132 dB/Hz for both FR							
C/ <b>136</b> SC Hidaka, Yasuo	C 136.8.1	P <b>192</b> Fujitsu Labs. of	L <b>40</b> Americ	# 142							
specifies Te 92.11.1. SuggestedReme	est fixtures a edy	ures. It seems that a relevant nd includes a reference to the 0 136B.1.1 on line 40 with a re	test fixture spc	ified in 110B.1.1 and							
Change the	reference to	o 136B.1.1 on line 43 with a re	ference to 136	3.1.							
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C									
C/ <b>136</b> SC Hidaka, Yasuo	C 136.8.1	P <b>192</b> Fujitsu Labs. of	L <b>53</b> Americ	# 143							
fixtures. It se	as the cable eems that a eference to	Comment Status A assembly test fixture of 136B relevant reference may be 13 the cable assembly test fixture	6B.1 which spe	cifies Test fixtures and							
00	,	o 136B.1.1 on line 53 with a re	ferencer to 136	B.1.							
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C									

						0
C/ 137	SC 137	.1	P <b>239</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 145	Chan
Hidaka, Y	'asuo		Fujitsu Labs.	of Americ		Response
Commen	t Type TI	R Comm	ent Status R		COM, <nsr></nsr>	REJE
	0.1	,	,		e transmission line	There
	,		reen channel and Rd and Zc depen	,	The current COM does	Straw
					ptimistic by 0.6dB of	To re
					he variation of Rd and Zc.	(pick
There	e is a heurist	ics to shorten si	mulation time for o	option A.		A: op B: op
Optio	n A:					С: do
		all combination	s of max and min	values of Rd and	d Zc in Tx and Rx.	A: 9
Calib	rate test cha	innel for Rx ITT	with typical values	of Rd and Zc.		
Optio						Straw
			f Rd and Zc in Tx			To pr
			en channel and R> with typical values			(pick A: co
Calib			with typical values			B: co
The f	ollowing are	possible scenar	ios to squeeze ma	argin for variatior	ו:	C: do
Scon	ario 1:					A: 11
		nd channel requi	irements same, ar	nd tighten Rx by	0.6dB:	C/ 136
			2.4dB for channe			Dawe, Pie
Optio	on B: change	COM criteria to	3.0dB for channe	I, 2.4dB for Rx IT	FT.	Commen
	ario 2:					J4 (al
					ually by 0.3dB for each:	late, s
			2.7dB for channe 3.3dB for channe			(1.87
•	0					of 1e- better
	ario 3:	annol Py aqually	y by 0.2dB for eac	b.		Suggeste
					and tighten Tx spec by	Chan
		ent to 0.2dB CO		., 2.002 .0		
	•			l, 2.8dB for Rx, a	and tighten Tx spec by	Response
	•	ent to 0.2dB CO	M.			REJE
	dRemedy					There
Trecc	ommena eith	er option A + sc	enario 2 or option	D + SCENARO Z.		The c
	on A + Scena					
			s of max and min with typical values		d Zc in Tx and Rx.	
			channel, and 2.7			
Ontio	n B + Scena	rio 2:				
			of Rd and Zc in Tx	and Rx.		
			with typical values			
TYPE: TF	R/technical re	equired ER/edite	orial required GR	general required	d T/technical E/editorial G/	general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change COM criteria to 3.3dB for channel, and 2.7dB for Rx ITT.

esponse Response Status C REJECT.

There is no consensus to implement a change to the draft.

Straw poll #1: To resolve this comment I support: (pick one) A: option 1 proposed in hidaka\_3cd\_01a\_0317 B: option 2 proposed in hidaka\_3cd\_01a\_0317 C: do nothing at this time (e.g., need more information) A: 9 B: 1 C: 35

Straw poll #2: To proceed I support: pick one) A: continue in direction of option 1 in hidaka\_3cd\_01a\_0317 B: continue in direction of option 2 in hidaka\_3cd\_01a\_0317 C: do not continue with this either option 1 or option 2 A: 11 B: 1 C: 15

Cl 136	SC 136.9.3	P <b>212</b>	L18	# 146
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		

comment Type TR Comment Status R

tx spec

J4 (all but 1e-4 of the edges, or 1e-4\*0.75 of the number of UI, divided between early and late, so 3.75e-5 per UI or 1.875e-5 per bit) is overkill for the spec BER of 2.4e-4, and J3 (1.875e-4 per bit) is a good match to the spec BER - just as J4 is a good match to the BER of 1e-5 (PCS FEC Symbol error ratio 1e-4) for 120D. Getting this right makes the spec better (more accurate, less performance left on the table) and reduces test time.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change J4 to J3. In Eq 136-6 change Q4=3.8906 to Q3=3.2905, Q(Q3) = 5 x10^-4

ponse Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus for making the suggested change at this point.

The commenter is welcome to build consensus and submit a comment on a future draft .

C/ 131 SC 13	1.5 <i>P</i> 124	L <b>22</b>	# 147	C/ 136 S	C 136.8.7	P <b>195</b>	L1	# 149
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type	R Comment Status R		skew, <cc></cc>	Comment Type	E	Comment Status A		
(receiver MDI) a	e serial. So the Skew and Skew Va nd SP5 (PMD output) can't be differ only one lane from SP2 to SP5.					smit disable function is option this clause?	al in 92, 93 and 9	94. Also 138. Why
	only one lane nom 3F2 to 3F3.			SuggestedRem	2			
	v and Skew Variation limits for 50GI R and 50GBASE-LR.	BASE-CR, 50GB	ASE-KR, 50GBASE-	usual sente	ence "If the o	nd in 137. Delete "If MDIO is optional PMD_transmit_disabl nethod may be provided to ind	e_i function is no	ot implemented in
	t the skew values that would apply in the skew values that would apply in the required - almost all NICs would			Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status <b>C</b>		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPTIN		.E.		
REJECT.				Edit to make	the PMD	ane-by-lane transmit disable	optional with edi	torial license.
to the skew spec	sion and comment resolution at the ifications for single-lane PMDs the nsistent with those for 40GBASE-F	consensus was to	o implement the		not impleme mit_disable	nted, an alternative method s _i variables in order to enable		
See the final res	ponse for P802.3cd Draft 1.1 Comm	nent #10.		Apply also i				
C/ 136 SC 13	6.6.1 <i>P</i> 189	L19	# 148	add "(optior	nal)" to the e	end of the subclause heading.		
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox	213	# 140	Update PIC	CS as neces	sary.		
51	R Comment Status R		skew, <cc></cc>	C/ 136 S	C 136.8.11.	1 <i>P</i> 196	L10	# 150
	kew Variation at SP3 (transmitter M			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
SP2 to SP5.	different to those at SP2 (PMD input	i) because inere	is only one lane from	Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		trainin
SuggestedRemedy				If Transmis		s left-to-right then top-to-botto	m, the cells are	abelled or transmitted
	v and Skew Variation limits for 50GI t the skew values that would apply i			SuggestedRem	nedv			
	should not be required - almost all N			If the diagra	am is correc	t, add words saying the cells a not, modify the diagram.	are transmitted in	n reverse order, and
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
	,			ACCEPT IN		.Е.		
REJECT.								
REJECT. See comment 2	.°O.			Transmissio	on order is o	consistent with the precedenc	e in clause 72.	
	20.				136.8.11.1.	consistent with the precedenc 2 states that "the first cell tran		onds to the highest bit
	20.			The text of index in the	136.8.11.1.: field".			onds to the highest bit

Comment ID 150

C/         136         SC         136.9.1         P 211         L 5         # 153           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A         MDI           I think the point is that the MDI (meaning either host i/o, or a mated connector) is NOT AC coupled because the cable is.         MDI
SuggestedRemedy Delete "AC-coupled", the next sentence explains it correctly.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This parent subclause 136.9 describes the electrical characteristics of the PMD. Since AC- coupling is specified as part of the cable assembly (136.11) rather than the PMD, this subclause seems to have the wrong emphasis and may be confusing to readers.
See also #74 and #75.
Change the title of 136.9 from "Electrical characteristics" to "PMD Electrical characteristics".
Rephrase sucblause 136.9.1 to state that interoperability between PMD components operating from different supply voltages is facilitated by AC-coupling in the cable assembly plug connectors (as specified in 136.12).
Remove the first sentence (low-swing differential etc.).
C/ 136         SC 136.9.1         P211         L48         # 154           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket 120D.3.1.2.1
SuggestedRemedy 120D.3.1.2
Response Response Status C

C/ 136 SC 136.9 Dawe, Piers	0.1 P211 Mellanox	L <b>48</b>	# 155	C/         136         SC         136.9.4.3.1         P 218         L 34         # 158           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox
Comment Type <b>T</b> Choosing a value f	Comment Status <b>A</b> or RLM. Elsewhere in P802.3bs	and P802.3cd we	TBD have 0.95. 0.97 has	Comment Type E Comment Status A bucke Sinusoidal
linear transmitter u	this would require a very linear n nder test. This clause is measuri DD's measurement at TP0a.			SuggestedRemedy sinusoidal
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.9	95 magenta for now, check the m	easurement proc	edure in practice.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status <b>C</b> CIPLE.			C/ 136 SC 136.11.2 P219 L53 # 159 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Change RLM value	e from TBD to 0.95. Make the tex	t black.		Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA, objectives, <nsr< td=""></nsr<>
C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136.</b> Dawe, Piers	0.3.1.4 P214 Mellanox	L <b>53</b>	# 156	I don't remember that the technical feasibility of this spec has been established; the COM experts don't know what to do with the simpler KR spec.
				SuggestedRemedy
•	Comment Status R ify things that are already specifie	ed in a table.	tx spec	In Task Force review, make changes to make this more Ethernet and less bleeding edge. Reduce the maximum cable loss and the 3 m headline. That's OK, you don't need a 3 m cable to cable a 7' rack if you plan it.
SuggestedRemedy				Response Response Status C
Change "shall be b and c(1) in Table 1	etween 0.005 and 0.05" to "shall 36-11, and so on; similarly in 136	be within the limi 5.9.3.1.5.	ts given for c(-1), c(0),	REJECT.
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			[Editor changed page from 220 to 219]
The draft is correct	as written.			There is no information in the comment to implement a change.
The text specifies the absol	he direction of the change while tute step size.	the table, being a	summary, only	The commenter is welcome to provide a detailed proposal and build consensus.
Note that there are	several specified values that app	pear both in the c	lause text and in tables.	
C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136.9</b> Dawe, Piers	0.4.2.3 P217 Mellanox	L <b>4</b>	# 157	
Comment Type E If this list by letters	Comment Status A is in the right order, equations 13	36-7, 136-5 and 1	<i>bucket</i> 36-6 aren't.	
SuggestedRemedy Make 136-7 come	before 136-5 and 136-6, renumbe	ering.		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         136         SC         136.11.7         P 221         L 10           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox	# 160	C/ <b>136</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 136.11.	7	P <b>221</b> Mellanox	L <b>41</b>	# 162
	Л, objectives, <nsr></nsr>	Comment Ty	pe E	Commei	nt Status A		COM, <3bs
The device package model capacitances are more optimistic than C2C 2 120D-8, which in turn are more optimistic than for CA-25G-N (Table 110- easier to make cables but harder to make hosts. I don't remember a den feasibility to justify these numbers.	11). This makes it	93A.1.4. SuggestedR	3 shows that emedy	fz and fz2 are	not zero frequer	ncies.	ter is a no-op. But
SuggestedRemedy							us time filter, zero can use fp1 and fp2
Change to the 120D numbers, also in 137. Reduce the maximum cable I headline.	loss and the 3 m		Should be c				itenance action for 92,
Response Response Status C		Response		Response	e Status C		
REJECT.		ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.			
No consensus to change the package model parameters.		Align wit	h 802.3bs.				
Also, there is insufficient information in the suggested remedy to impleme maximum cable loss.	ent a change to the	Change 802.3bs.		r names base	d on the resolution	on of comment i-	55 against D3.0 of
The commenter is welcome to provide a detailed proposal and build cons	sensus.	C/ 136	SC 136.11.	7.1.2	P223	L1	# 163
See comment 159.		Dawe, Piers	-	0	Mellanox		00
C/ 136 SC 136.11.7 P222 L14	# 161	Comment Ty near-end		commer end crosstal	nt Status A		COI
Dawe, Piers Mellanox		SuggestedR	emedy				
	Λ, objectives, <nsr></nsr>	far-end a	and alien far-	end crosstalk			
The one-sided noise spectral density is 5.2e-8 for 100GBASE-CR4 and 2 including no-FEC, 2.6e-8 for C2C 200GAUI and 1.64e-8 here. Is this mo improvement justified?		Response ACCEPT			e Status C		
SuggestedRemedy		The text	originates fro	m clause 110	which addresse	s a single-lane P	MD which may have a
If appropriate, change to the 120D number, also in 137. Reduce the max and the 3 m headline.	kimum cable loss					ar-end crosstalk p	
Response Response Status C					ne and multi-lane	e PMDs MDIs, so	additional non-alien
REJECT.		paths are	e possible in	some cases.			
No consensus to change the spectral density value.		Change "and for TO		factors, near-	end and alien far	-end crosstalk pa	aths"
Also, there is insufficient information in the suggested remedy to impleme maximum cable loss.	ent a change to the		ome cases, a	dditional near	-end, far-end, ar	nd alien far-end c	rosstalk paths"
The commenter is welcome to provide a detailed proposal and build cons	sensus.						
See comment 159.							
000 00mment 103.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         136         SC         136.11.7.1.2         P 223         L 6         #         164           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox	C/         136         SC         136.12         P 224         L 37         # 166           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox
Comment TypeTComment StatusRCOMFor 200GBASE-CR4, zp should be the same as for the victim.	Comment Type T Comment Status R MD 50GBASE-CR has only 1 lane so it can't have a multi-lane MDI.
SuggestedRemedy 151 mm for 200GBASE-CR4	SuggestedRemedy multi-link MDI? multi-PMD MDI?
Response Response Status C REJECT.	Response Response Status C REJECT.
The PCB length for the signal and crosstalk paths are based on 92.10.7.1.1, which addresses a four-lane PMD, similar to 200GBASE-CR4.	The text is based on similar text in 110.11 which also addresses a single-lane PMD. The commenter is welcome to submit a comment against a future draft with specific
There is no consensus to make the suggested change.	improved wording.
Further analysis is welcome.	Cl 137 SC 137.9.3 P238 L35 # 167
C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.2.4 P224 L26 # 165	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Comment Type TR Comment Status R rx spec, objectives, <nsr:< td=""></nsr:<>
Comment Type T Comment Status A COM, <nsr></nsr>	We don't yet know how to write a spec for 30 dB channels that isn't bleeding edge for ICs and/or channels. This isn't Ethernet "broad market" today, it's a specialist niche.
For 200GBASE-CR4, the FEXT isn't alien.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Modify text.	Keep working on it in Task Force review or reduce the 30 dB objective. Reduce the high loss RITT loss. It might be OK to leave the channel recommended insertion loss limit if the COM spec protects the Tx and Rx.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Add at the end of 136.11.7.2.4: "except that for 200GBASE-CR4, the FEXT is not alien.	[Editor changed page from 232]
	The suggested remedy does not include specific details of a change to the draft.

Dawe, Piers	P <b>249</b> Mellanox	L1	# 168	Cl 138 SC 138.2 Dawe, Piers	P <b>252</b> Mellanox	L <b>52</b>	# 171
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		<nsr></nsr>	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucke
	good baseline but we have seen			Font size			
SuggestedRemedy	ese numbers actually work with te	ecnnical and eco	nomic reasibility.	SuggestedRemedy			
While in Task Force	e review, show some evidence: eg so on. Adjust the draft as appro		erfall plots, TDECQ	Remove the override a poor quality link to not meet the BER de	provide sufficient light for a SIG	SNAL_DETECT =	OK indication and still
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
REJECT.				ACCEPT.			
No specific changes	to the draft are proposed.			C/ 138 SC 138.7.1		L17	# 172
C/ 138 SC 138.1	P <b>249</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 169	Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
lawe, Piers	Mellanox			Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			4 dB TDECQ represe SMF clauses.	ents a terrible eye before equali	sation. It's a muc	ch higher limit than the
Table 95-1 has an in	mportant footnote that should app	oly here.		SuggestedRemedy			
	FEC: The option to bypass the C			Make the number ma would hope 4 dB cou	agenta. This needs more study Id be reduced. Also in Table 1		
	for Table 138-2, and maybe 139 ilar footnote to Table 138-3.	J-1. If such an op	otion exists for the	Table 138-10.			
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			REJECT.			
		upport FEC bypa	ISS.	The TDECQ value in	Table 138-8 is already marked	TBC	
The RS FEC for 500	GBASE-R (clause 134) doesn't si						
	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass t	the Clause 91 R	S-FEC correction				
Add footnote to Tabl	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass t	the Clause 91 RS	S-FEC correction # 170				
Add footnote to Tabl function is not suppo	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass to prted."						
Add footnote to Tabl function is not suppo 7 138 SC 138.1 nawe, Piers Comment Type T	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass to prted." P <b>249</b>						
Add footnote to Tabl function is not support of 138 SC 138.1 Pawe, Piers Comment Type T I believe the LAUI-2 SuggestedRemedy	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass to prted." P <b>249</b> Mellanox Comment Status <b>R</b>	L 28	# 170				
Add footnote to Tabl function is not support 2/ 138 SC 138.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T I believe the LAUI-2 SuggestedRemedy	le 138-2 : "The option to bypass to prted." P249 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> R won't work below the FEC.	L 28	# 170				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>259</b> Mellanox	L19	# 173	C/ <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 138.7.2	P <b>260</b> Mellanox	L17	# 175
although the max avera	Comment Status R R4 which has an extinction ra ge power is higher but the ma	ax OMA isn't. A	(any) PAM4 PMD		not correct: it	Comment Status R depends on the form factor.	Compare 136.11.	7.2.
	get. The max photocurrent i MA specs, not extinction ratio			SuggestedR Revise t format.	-	o 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBAS	E-SR4 and 50G	BASE-SR in multi-PMD
SuggestedRemedy				Response				
Change 3 to 2.				REJECI	-	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			REJECT	•			
REJECT.					as no support i t is packaged.	for applying specific test cond	itions to the 50G	BASE-SR PMD based
	0GBASE-SR4 is based on th ower modulation level and the			C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2		L17	# 176
The ER definition for CI	ause 138 is based on the ration	o of the average	optical launch power	Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
	r the central 2 UI of a run of 7			Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status R		rx spec
reduced by eye closure	ed over the central 2 UI of a r	run of 6 zeros an	a is therefore not	measure	ements, presur	It the SNDR matches the calc nably including SNDR, are ma	ade with a fourth-	order Bessel-Thomson
C/ <b>138</b> SC <b>138.7.2</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>259</b> Mellanox	L <b>47</b>	# 174	a low-pa	ss response.	n 33 GHz 3 dB bandwidth. It v TXSNR seems to go into 93A maller than the TXSNR, not th	-36 without any f	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			SuggestedR	emedy			
The unstressed sensitiv	vity is a hypothetical reference	e point for the spe	ec writers. It is no use	Change	to "set such th	at the SNDR is 1? 2? dB sma	ller than the calc	ulated SNRTX value"
	t include it in 10GBASE-LRM		or 100GBASE-SR4.	Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
	out equalisation than loss, it's	not to the point.		REJECT	-			
SuggestedRemedy								
Delete the row and foot	note b. Delete 138.8.7.					effect is approximated in COM channel, the reference Rx ba		
Response REJECT.	Response Status C					)(t_s) term in equation 93A-30		
	t included in 10GBASE-LRM, useful informative measurem					t provide evidence that there i fication for the suggested cha		I the suggested remedy

C/ 093A SC 93A.1.7 Dawe, Piers	P <b>688</b> Mellanox	L	# 177	C/ 138 SC 138.5.2 Dawe, Piers	P <b>256</b> Mellanox	L <b>4</b>	# 180
Comment Type E Eq 93A-37 can't be right	Comment Status R t: can't integrate with respect	to y, to y.	<00S>	Comment Type E Co. PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.reques	<i>mment Status</i> <b>A</b> st		
SuggestedRemedy Correct Eq 93A-37				SuggestedRemedy PMD:IS_UNITDATA_n-1.requ	lest Several changes.	Define n if not	already done.
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status <b>C</b>		
	an equation in the base docu s out of the scope of this proje		t included in the	Replace "PMD:IS_UNITDATA with "PMD:IS_UNITDATA_i.reques		_UNITDATA_3.r	equest"
Cl 138 SC 138.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	P <b>254</b> Mellanox Comment Status A	L <b>44</b>	# 178 Bucket	After 1st sentence insert: "The 50GBASE-SR PMD has PMD has two parallel symbol parallel symbol streams, henc	a single symbol strear streams, hence i = 0 to		
diagram4 SuggestedRemedy Remove the 4? Or shou	uld there be a footnote?			C/ 138 SC 138.8.2 Dawe, Piers	P <b>262</b> Mellanox	L11	# 181
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Remove the 4	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			Comment Type T Co We included TIA/EIA-455-127 features of the newer TIA spe			
C/         138         SC         138.5.1           bawe, Piers <td< td=""><td>P<b>254</b> Mellanox</td><td>L <b>46</b></td><td># 179</td><td>SuggestedRemedy Unless TIA/EIA-455-127-A stil IEC 61280-1-3:2010 lacks, de</td><td></td><td></td><td>ort wavelength, use that</td></td<>	P <b>254</b> Mellanox	L <b>46</b>	# 179	SuggestedRemedy Unless TIA/EIA-455-127-A stil IEC 61280-1-3:2010 lacks, de			ort wavelength, use that
<i>comment Type</i> <b>T</b> The PMD block diagram	Comment Status <b>A</b> a is shown in Figure 138-2.			Response Res REJECT.	sponse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy The PMD block diagram Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	n for 100GBASE-SR4 is show Response Status <b>C</b>	n in Figure 138-	2.	Incomplete suggested remedy The commenter is invited to c there is still a need to maintair	ompare the methods ir		and determine whethe

C/ 139 SC 139.7.2 Dawe, Piers	P <b>283</b> Mellanox	L <b>25</b>	# 182	Cl 138 SC 138.8.8 Dawe, Piers	P <b>263</b> Mellanox	L <b>7</b>	# 184
	Comment Status <b>R</b> 55-127-A in e.g. 802.3ba beca IA spec. But now 1.3 refers to				Comment Status R von't work if done with SSPRQ ections in frequency response,		,
	7-A still has something we va A/EIA-455-127-A or", here ar		that IEC 61280-1-	SuggestedRemedy Fix the SSPRQ patter	n and/or use a neutral pattern	such as PRBS1	3Q for SRS calibration.
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
	emedy. ed to compare the methods in naintain the reference to the T P262		and determine whether	mirrored in the TDEC C/ 138 SC 138.8.8	DECQ and SECQ, so the effec Q penalty and stressed Rx test P263		s in Tx and Rx are # 185
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox	200	" 100	Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	Mellanox Comment Status A		
that could be added by	Comment Status R erms M1, M2 to account for m the optical channel	ode partition noi	se and modal noise	19.34 GHz TBC mage SuggestedRemedy 19.34 GHz black	enta		
SuggestedRemedy Use those terms here.				Response	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	LE.		
REJECT.				See response to com	ment #132		
for this application, mod	BER, and the expectation of a de partition noise and modal n allocated penalties in the link	oise amount to a	bout 0.1 dB of penalty				

	3.8.8 P263	L18	# 186	C/ 138 SC	138.10.2.	2.2	P <b>266</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 188
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers			Mellanox		
Comment Type T	R Comment Status R			Comment Type	т	Comment	Status A		
	ER is required to be met for each l			Reflectance	less than -2	20 dB is norm	al for MMF. sh	nould it differ for I	PAM4?
	BASE-SR2 and 200GBASE-SR4; t d between the lanes, just as it does			SuggestedReme	dy				
	en the two bits (LSB, MSB) in PAM			If not, -20 dE	B TBC mag	enta > -20 dB	black.		
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response	Status C		
	t is required to be met for each lane	e under test on its	own.". Just before	ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPL	E.			
138.8.8.1, add:	SR2, and 200GBASE-SR4 the relev	vant BER is the in	terface BER at the	See respons	o to comm	ont #129			
PMD service inte	erface. The interface BER is the ave	erage of the two o	or four BER of the			enii #120			
receive lanes whe	en stressed: see 95.8.1.1 for backg ion in the PCS can measure the lar	ground. If present,	, the RS-FEC sublayer	C/ 138 SC	138.10.3.	1	P <b>267</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 189
	umed to be one tenth of the lane sy			Dawe, Piers			Mellanox		
in turn, the PMD i	interface BER is the average of the	e BERs of all the l	anes when stressed:	Comment Type	т	Comment	Status R		
see 95.8.1.1.	_								assignments for
Response	Response Status C					d be exactly tr	he same as for	100GBASE-SR4	
REJECT.				SuggestedReme	-		de little e en die el	1	
If it is desired the	at the same PMD be used for break	out operation and		Replace this		i and figure w		lane assignment	s for 200GBASE-SR4
				are as for 10	0GBASE-S	SR4 (see 95.1	1.3.1).		
meet the BER red	quirements individually. In practice	e, it is no more diff	ficult (and usually lower		0GBASE-S	SR4 (see 95.1 Response	,		
meet the BER red		e, it is no more diff	ficult (and usually lower	are as for 10 <i>Response</i> REJECT.	0GBASE-S	SR4 (see 95.1 <i>Response</i>	,		
meet the BER red cost) to measure	quirements individually.       In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263	e, it is no more diff	ficult (and usually lower	Response REJECT.		Response	Status C		
meet the BER rec cost) to measure	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea	e, it is no more diff sure average inte	ficult (and usually lower rface BER.	Response REJECT. Users of clau	use 138 ma	Response	Status <b>C</b>		king lane assignments
meet the BER red cost) to measure	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox	e, it is no more diff sure average inte	ficult (and usually lower rface BER.	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and o	use 138 ma contained v	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla	<i>Status</i> <b>C</b> ny familiarity wi ause makes it e	easier for the read	der.
meet the BER rec cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s	quirements individually. In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263         Mellanox         R       Comment Status         same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the f	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However,	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and c C/ 138 SC	use 138 ma	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268		
meet the BER rec cost) to measure 2/ 138 SC 138 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounded	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox R Comment Status R	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However,	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and o	use 138 ma contained v	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla	<i>Status</i> <b>C</b> ny familiarity wi ause makes it e	easier for the read	der.
meet the BER red cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy	quirements individually. In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263         Mellanox         R       Comment Status       R         same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the feed number of points leads to far too	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and c C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type	use 138 ma contained v 3138.10.3.3	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla 3 Comment	Status <b>C</b> ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P <b>268</b> Mellanox s Status <b>R</b>	L3	# [ <u>190</u>
meet the BER rec cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounder SuggestedRemedy Replace the table	quirements individually. In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263         Mellanox         R       Comment Status         same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the feed number of points leads to far too         e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or reference	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and o C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and	use 138 ma contained v 3138.10.3.3	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla 3 Comment	Status <b>C</b> ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P <b>268</b> Mellanox s Status <b>R</b>	L3	der.
meet the BER red cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy Replace the table Response	quirements individually. In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263         Mellanox         R       Comment Status       R         same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the feed number of points leads to far too	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and of C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same.	use 138 ma contained v 138.10.3. T d figure see	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla 3 Comment	Status <b>C</b> ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P <b>268</b> Mellanox s Status <b>R</b>	L3	# [ <u>190</u>
meet the BER rec cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounder SuggestedRemedy Replace the table	quirements individually. In practice         lane by lane BER than it is to mea         3.8.8.1       P263         Mellanox         R       Comment Status         same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the feed number of points leads to far too         e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or reference	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # 187 a jitter tolerance mask	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and c C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same. SuggestedReme	use 138 ma contained v 7 138.10.3.3 T 1 figure see edy	Response ay not have ar within each cla 3 Comment ems to be a sl	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268 Mellanox 2 Status R ightly updated w	L3	der. # <u>190</u> 3.2. They should be th
meet the BER rec cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy Replace the table Response REJECT. The depiction of a	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox R Comment Status R same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the f ed number of points leads to far too e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or re <i>Response Status</i> C a continuous jitter tolerance mask of	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem efer to it.	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # <u>187</u> a jitter tolerance mask hent and cost.	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and of C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same. SuggestedReme Make the cha	use 138 ma contained v 7 <b>138.10.3.</b> <b>T</b> d figure see ady anges to 95	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla 3 Comment ems to be a sl 5.11.3.2 and r	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268 Mellanox * Status R ightly updated w replace text and	L3	der. # [ <u>190</u> 3.2. They should be th 9.3.3 with "The MDI
meet the BER rec cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy Replace the table Response REJECT.	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox R Comment Status R same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the f ed number of points leads to far too e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or re <i>Response Status</i> C a continuous jitter tolerance mask of	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem efer to it.	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # <u>187</u> a jitter tolerance mask hent and cost.	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and of C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same. SuggestedReme Make the cha	use 138 ma contained v 7 <b>138.10.3.</b> <b>T</b> d figure see ady anges to 95	Response ay not have ar vithin each cla 3 Comment ems to be a sl 5.11.3.2 and r	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268 Mellanox Status R ightly updated w replace text and id 200GBASE-	L3 L3 version of 95.11.3	der. # [ <u>190</u> 3.2. They should be th 9.3.3 with "The MDI
meet the BER red cost) to measure Cl <b>138</b> SC <b>138</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b> 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy Replace the table Response REJECT. The depiction of a	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox R Comment Status R same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the f ed number of points leads to far too e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or re <i>Response Status</i> C a continuous jitter tolerance mask of	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem efer to it.	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # <u>187</u> a jitter tolerance mask hent and cost.	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and of C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same. SuggestedReme Make the char requirements	use 138 ma contained v 7 <b>138.10.3.</b> <b>T</b> d figure see ady anges to 95	Response ay not have ar within each cla 3 <i>Comment</i> erms to be a sl 5.11.3.2 and r BASE-SR2 ar	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268 Mellanox Status R ightly updated w replace text and id 200GBASE-	L3 L3 version of 95.11.3	der. # [ <u>190</u> 3.2. They should be th 9.3.3 with "The MDI
meet the BER red cost) to measure 2/ 138 SC 138 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T 138.8.8.1 is the s with an unbounde SuggestedRemedy Replace the table Response REJECT. The depiction of a	quirements individually. In practice lane by lane BER than it is to mea 3.8.8.1 P263 Mellanox R Comment Status R same as 121.8.9.4 but missing the f ed number of points leads to far too e with a copy of Table 120E-7, or re <i>Response Status</i> C a continuous jitter tolerance mask of	e, it is no more diff sure average inte <i>L</i> 34 igure. However, i o much measurem efer to it.	ficult (and usually lower rface BER. # <u>187</u> a jitter tolerance mask hent and cost.	Response REJECT. Users of clau explicit and of C/ 138 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type This text and same. SuggestedReme Make the char requirements Response REJECT.	use 138 ma contained v <b>138.10.3.</b> <b>T</b> d figure see edy anges to 99 s for 100GE	Response ay not have ar within each cla 3 Comment ems to be a sl 5.11.3.2 and r BASE-SR2 ar Response	Status C ny familiarity wi ause makes it e P268 Mellanox Status R ightly updated w replace text and d 200GBASE- Status C	L3 L3 version of 95.11.3	# <u>190</u> 3.2. They should be th 0.3.3 with "The MDI 5.11.3.2."

Cl 139 SC 139.1.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>275</b> Mellanox	L <b>35</b>	# 191	C/ 140 SC 140.7 Dawe, Piers	4 P306 Mellanox	L15	# 194
Comment Type <b>TR</b> This is the BER paragr	Comment Status <b>R</b> raph for a 200G PMD. Compa	re 136.1.			Comment Status R e defined the same as before - d	lon't make work f	or the reader or the
SuggestedRemedy Use the BER paragrap 4 BER.	h for a 50G PMD. See anothe	er comment prop	osing increase the 2.4e-	implementer. SuggestedRemedy Replace all but the	first sentence with "OMAouter is	defined in 139.7.	.4." Similarly for 140.7.6
The current text was sp	Response Status C problem is and which changes pecifically adopted by resolution	on to comment #	164 to D1.0 referring to	Extinction ratio > 13 <i>Response</i> REJECT. It is clearer for the r	9.7.6. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> eader if this kind of information is	s local to the rele	evant clause.
C/ 139 SC 139.3.1	adhoc discussed during the cd P276	Ad Hoc on 26 O	# 192	C/ <b>135</b> SC <b>135.5</b> Dawe, Piers	10.2.3 P174 Mellanox	L <b>34</b>	# 195
Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> PMD2	Mellanox Comment Status A		Bucket	be multiplexed up (i	Comment Status R ne Tx side only, as is clear from I .e. one would not generate SSPI Tx, but one could generate it in	RQ in a PMA with	h 50 Gb/s lanes to test a
SuggestedRemedy PMD Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			SuggestedRemedy Change "A PMA ma	ay optionally include" to "A Tx dir PMD may optionally include"		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See comment #62	.E.			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
<i>Cl</i> <b>139</b> <i>SC</i> <b>139.6.3</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>282</b> Mellanox	L <b>23</b>	# [193	P802.3bs. The com	SSPRQ in P802.3cd is a pointer menters concern should be addr prce rather this task force.		
Comment Type E Make the table footnote	Comment Status A es look better.		Bucket				
SuggestedRemedy Make the table full widt	th; widen the Parameter colum	ın.					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 135	SC 135.5.10.2	P <b>174</b>	L11	# 196	C/ 136	SC	136.9.3	P <b>211</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 198
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox			Dawe, Pier	S		Mellanox		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status R		ssprq, <cc></cc>	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status R		<3b
with offs	ets is more com	amically is quite complicate plicated. It's probably OK to	o use other patte	rns on the aggressors				precated and we should not in 94.3.12.3 are in 93.8.1.3		clauses. The same
		gainst 121.8.5.1). Generation for the second s			Suggested	Reme	dy			
		1Q or scrambled idle is not						to 94.3.12.3 (five here, one	in PICS 136.14.4	I.3, one in PICS
SuggestedR	emedy					2.4.3) to	0 93.8.1.3 (	or 83E.3.1.2.		
		ner patterns for aggressors i			Response	<b>\T</b>		Response Status C		
		e generator (no need for the Clause 45 accordingly.	e multi-lane facili	ty that PRBS13Q has).	REJEC		nces are co	onsistent with Table 120D-1		
Response		Response Status C							•	
REJECT					C/ 136B		136B.1.1.		L <b>43</b>	# 199
Commer	nt #203 did not r	nake the requested change	to the clauses th	at use this pattern.	Dawe, Pier	S		Mellanox		
21 425	00 405 5 40 0	4 0474	1.20	# 407	Comment		TR	Comment Status A		
C/ <b>135</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 135.5.10.2	.4 P174 Mellanox	L <b>38</b>	# 197	To cali compli			ements with the MCB, we n	eed the reference	e loss of the mated
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status R		square wave, <cc></cc>	Suggested	Reme	dy			
		ment has been changed to a		nt pattern such as	Add th	e mate	ed compliar	nce board reference loss, by	reference to (13	6A-2).
		moved (see other commen	ts)		Response			Response Status C		
SuggestedR	•				ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
		rnary) test pattern will be ur emoved or reallocated to la			The te	xt for tl	he referenc	e insertion loss is already p	rovided in 136B	see P367, L30:
Response		Response Status C			"The te	est fixtu	ures are sp	ecified in a mated state to e	nable connectior	ns to measurement
REJECT								ce insertion loss of the mate		
In P802. Wave pa		9.7.7 and 140.7.7, RIN mea	asurement is spe	cified using the Square	Howev	er, the	e reference	should be to 136A.5 instead	d of 136A.1.	
If this ch	anges in the fut	ure the commenter should b	oring this comme	nt back.	Correc	t the c	ross-refere	nce.		
See also	comments 203	and 206 which propose to	make SSPRQ a	per-lane pattern.						
	=======================================									

Cl <b>139</b> SC Dawe, Piers	139.6.1	P <b>280</b> Mellanox	L <b>47</b>	# 200	Cl 138 Dawe, Piers		138.8.1	P <b>261</b> Mellanox	L14	# 202
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R			Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status R		
		ratio of 4.5 dB restricts the ra			Tables	138-11	, 139-9, 1	40-9, 121-9, 122-14 124-9, T	est patterns, re	peat each other.
		, and 200GBASE-DR4 if it is a ntly (they are protected by the			Suggestedl	Remed	V			
weak functio example of a	n of extinct a modern di	ion ratio for PAM4 - very few rect-mod PMD spec and what	100th of dB different a receiver can	erence). For an receive, 100GBASE-				w the table just once, e.g. in as are not PMD-specific anyw		
		transmitter optimized for PA to reduce distortion.	M4 is likely to ha	ave a lower extinction	Response			Response Status C		
	,				REJEC	т.				
SuggestedReme	•				11.1.2.2.2			a de a ser a da se da da ser a ser a ser a ser a		
Reduce the	extinction ra	atio limit from 4.5 dB to 3 dB.			It is mo	re conv	venient fo	r the reader to have test patte	erns within each	n clause.
Response		Response Status C			C/ 138	SC ·	138.7.1	P <b>262</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 203
REJECT.					Dawe, Piers	3		Mellanox		
See comme	nt #138				Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status R		ssprq
C/ 139 SC	139.6.1	P280	L <b>48</b>	# 201				says all lanes should use the s		
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox	- 10					quite complicated, generating 16 copies from memory nee		
,	TD							his seems to be an issue whe		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		1				have multi-lane PRBS13Q or	PRBS31Q or s	scrambled idle for other
		spec has changed from som good TDECQ measurement -			purpos	es, wou	ld it be C	K to use them instead?		
		should be adjusted for the in			Suggested	Remed	У			
gone away, I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	Allow a	lternati	ve patterr	ns such as PRBS13Q or PRB	S31Q or scram	bled idle on the

puipose, ։ բուբ gone away, be deleted.

### SuggestedRemedy

When the way TDECQ handles measured noise and noise enhancement is clear, relax the RIN limits in 139 and 140 according to what is necessary for successful TDECQ measurement

Response

### Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comments #140 and #141

Table 135-3 and 139.7.5.

Response Response Status C

### REJECT.

The TDECQ test (and SECQ test) are based on capturing the complete SSPRQ pattern and passing it through a reference equalizer. The measurement is allowed to be made using an equivalent-time sampling oscilloscope. By requiring that all lanes are receiving the SSPRQ pattern, any crosstalk from the other lanes is locked to the pattern under test, captured by the oscilloscope as a distortion of the waveform and correctly processed by the equalizer. Because of the offset between the lanes, the crosstalk will be different for the various occurrences of each symbol type. If the draft is changed to allow PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q on the other lanes, then the crosstalk will no longer be locked to the pattern under test and will appear as noise when captured using an equivalent-time sampling oscilloscope and will not be processed correctly by the reference equalizer since the frequency profile of the crosstalk is lost.

aggressor lanes as done elsewhere e.g. 120E. May affect 135.5.10.2, 135.5.10.2.3, 135.6

C/ 138	SC 138.8.1.1	P <b>262</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 204
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		

#### Comment Type T Comment Status R

There is no need for 31 UI offset between lanes. Only 1 UI offset is enough to give excellent decorrelation, better than 100-200 UI, and there is a spur at about 450 UI. 120.5.11.2.3 asks for 31 UI but that's at a PMA and some of that is consumed by lane-to-lane skew before and through the PMD. The paths through the PMD are not likely to differ by more than 10 mm or about 2 UI. Adding a justification so that implementers can't easily evade the spirit of the spec.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "There shall be at least 31 UI delay between the test pattern on one lane and the pattern on any other lane." to "There shall be at least 4 UI delay between the test pattern on one lane and the pattern on any other lane, so that the lanes are not correlated within the PMD."

Also revise 140.7.5 "delay requirement of at least 31 UI ... is redundant."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The offset of 31 UI was specifically added in the resolution to comment #305 against P802.3bs D2.0. 31 UI was chosen as being large enough that it would not be removed by the 1 ns (about 27 UI) of Skew that is called out in footnote a to Table 116-7 and Table 80-6.

C/ 138	SC 138.8.5	P <b>262</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 205
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

It may be possible to make a bad transmitter (e.g. with a noisy or distorted signal), use emphasis to get it to pass the TDECQ test, yet leave a realistic, compliant receiver with an unreasonable challenge. With the higher TDECQ limit in this clause it may be more of an issue here.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Define TDECQrms =  $10^{10}(C_dc^*A_RMS/(s^*3^*Qt^*R))$  where A\_RMS is the standard deviation of the measured signal after the 19.34 GHz filter response and s is the standard deviation of a fast clean signal with OMA=0.5 and without emphasis, observed through the 19.34 GHz filter response (from memory I believe s is about 0.82). Require that TDECQrms shall not exceed the limit for TDECQ. If we think it's justified, we could allow a slightly higher limit for TDECQrms.

Similarly for 139 and 140.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The proposed remedy outlined doesn't seem to offer any advantages over the current draft and is not detailed enough to enable a draft to be written. A presentation which demonstrates the problem and fully describes the proposed remedy and which shows its advantages is invited.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 139	SC 139.7.7	P <b>286</b>	L11	# 206
Dawe, Piers	S	Mellanox		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

In this draft (following 52.9.6), square wave is proposed for measuring the signal strength in a RIN measurement procedure. Clause 52 is 10GBASE-S/L/E, an NRZ clause. We should not use square wave here because it isn't PAM4; e.g. any transmitter linearity control circuits may fail because two of the expected PAM4 levels are missing. There is no need to use a special unnatural pattern for this. Using a mixed-frequency pattern is much more convenient and gives a slightly more relevant RIN, closer to SNR, anyway.

#### SuggestedRemedy

If a RIN spec is needed, define it based on PRBS13Q. Modify tables 139-9 and 10. Also for 100GBASE-DR, 140.7.7. Remove square wave (quaternary) test pattern from the draft.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is the same as comment #98 to 802.3bs draft D2.1 which was rejected with the following response:

"The use of a square wave to measure RIN was discussed during the resolution of comment #152 against D2.0 of 802.3bs with the consensus being to continue to use a square wave.

The commenter is invited to provide the details of a measurement method for RIN which uses the PRBS13Q pattern."

C/ 139	SC 139.7.7	P <b>286</b>	L15	# 207
Dawe, Piers	5	Mellanox		

#### Comment Type T Comment Status R

With a 19.34 GHz front end and an equalizer capable of noise shaping in the reference receiver, and product receivers that must be equalizing too, the -3 dB limit of 26.6 GHz seems wrong. It is likely that real receivers will roll off steeply between the Nyquist frequency and the signalling frequency.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "approximately equal to the signaling rate (i.e., 26.6 GHz)" to "approximately 19.34 GHz".

Response Status C

REJECT.

Response

The reference equalizer can peak at up to the signaling rate, so RIN should be included up to that frequency. Also, a lower bandwidth misses the RIN peak for lasers with relaxation oscillation close to the signaling rate.

Comment ID 207

Cl <b>139</b> SC <b>139.7.7</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>286</b> Mellanox	L17	# 208	Cl 140 Dawe, Piers	SC 140.6.1	P <b>303</b> Mellanox	L <b>31</b>	# 210
Comment Type <b>T</b> Please add the warning	Comment Status R in 52.9.6.				, D transmits ι	Comment Status R up to 500 m at a wavelength bet		
level test depending on Response	scribes a component test tha the implementation.". Also in <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>		propriate for a system	0.93 and from the CDR, jus TDECQ	+0.8 ps/nm. main mode. st look like up	imum between 1300 and 1324 r The unit interval is 18.8 ps and So if a side mode is not suppre to 0.7 ps or 0.037 UI of jitter: s nt. There is no need for this ver	the side mode ssed, it won't c mall and alread	e might be 1.5 nm away ause a problem to the ly included in the
There was no support for	already made, so inherently or making this modification to	the draft, becau		SuggestedRe	emedy	ec or use a more conventional w	vavelength spec	2.
between a "component"	and a "system" is not suffici	ently clear.		Response		Response Status C		
C/ 139 SC 139.7.9.2	P <b>287</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 209	REJECT				
receiver with PRBS31Q very different with the tw SuggestedRemedy	Mellanox Comment Status R r stressed receiver testing wi or scrambled idle won't work to patterns, creating a hole in	k because the ap n the spec. This	pparent penalty will be affects 140.7.9 also.	which is particula also amp condition side moo	otherwise did r conditions. Ditude noise, is occur that de is restricte	established as an indicator and ficult to detect because the inst Mode instability introduces not neither of which may be captur stimulate mode instability. The d to be 1.5 nm away from the m andard follows precedent of man	ability may not o only jitter (as th ed by TDECQ u commenter has nain mode. Inclu	occur except under ne commenter notes) bu unless the particular not justified why the uding an SMSR
0.4 dB baseline wander minimally compliant (i.e.	Table 120-2 to one for whic penalty (before and after FE . also 0.4 dB penalty) with SS adjust another seed to get ap	C) with a randor	n payload measures as	C/ <b>140</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	SC 140.6.1	P <b>303</b> Mellanox Comment Status <b>R</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 211
				,		on ratio of 5 dB restricts the range	ne of transmitte	r technologies, pushing
The current SSPRQ pat	Response Status C the claimed problem and that tern was adopted for use in the	the TDECQ test	(after presentation of its	up the co or the re weak fur technolo	ost of this PM ceiver signifi- nction of extir	ID, and 400GBASE-DR4 if it is a cantly (they are protected by the nction ratio for PAM4 - very few itter optimized for PAM4 may ne	aligned. Yet it c TDECQ spec, 100th of dB diff	does not benefit the link and MPI penalty is a erence). Depending or
A straw poll was taken in SSPRQ pattern for TDE	teristics) by comment 50 aga n association with that comm CQ and SRS calibration in C	nent: Do you sup	port adopting the	SuggestedRe Reduce	-	n ratio limit from 5 dB to e.g. 3 d	В.	
Yes 41 No 2 . The commenter is invite	d to prepare a consensus pr	esentation with a	a detailed analysis of the	Response		Response Status C		

The commenter is invited to prepare a consensus presentation with a detailed analysis of the claimed problem.

#### See comment #139

REJECT.

Comment ID 211

Cl 140 SC 140.7.7 Dawe, Piers	7 P307 Mellanox	L <b>6</b>	# 212	Cl 093A Dawe, Piers		93A.1.6	P <b>319</b> Mellanox	L16	# 214
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status R		COM, <nsr:< td=""></nsr:<>
receiver, and produc seems wrong, as we	ont end and an equalizer capabl t receivers that must be equalizi as expensive. It is likely that r by and the signalling frequency.	ng too, the -3 dl	3 limit of 53.2 GHz	turns ou choose	ut that a s this its	a good CO self but it s	g now because there are 5 M result can be found with e aves the industry time and ep to 4 dimensions.	either c(-2) or c(1	I) at zero: usually COM
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy	/			
	tely equal to the signaling rate (i.	e., 53.2 GHz)" t	o "approximately 38.68	Add a r	estrictic	on that eith	er c(-2) or c(1) is zero.		
GHz". Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response REJEC	т		Response Status C		
REJECT.				REJEC	1.				
to that frequency. All oscillation close to th C/ 140 SC 140.7.9 Dawe, Piers	5 5	e RIN peak for la	# 213		ering juo	d method, a dgement.	as well as other short-cuts, r	nay be applied in	n practice based on # 215
				Dawe, Piers	6		Mellanox		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Alle chaulda't it ha Q	Comment T	vne	т	Comment Status R		precode
MHz for 53 GBd PAI scale with signalling	quency for 26.5625 GBd (NRZ a M4? Or at least, the low frequer rate, i.e. align if expressed in tin	icy (sloping) par ne vs. frequency	t of the mask should	Why do	es this	have a pre	coder request when it is ba ding in 802.3bs?	sed on 120D in	,
	for 10.3125 GBd, 10 MHz for 25	.78125 GBd.		SuggestedF	Remedy	/			
SuggestedRemedy				Recond	ile				
Add another exception	on with a table like Table 138-13	but with the fre	quencies doubled.	Response			Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			REJEC	Т.				
REJECT.									
	uency was extensively discusse ns on the topic. The CRU corner			50GBA capabili		nd 100GB	ASE-P PHYs use the Claus	e 135 PMA, whic	ch includes a precoding
all interfaces (including http://www.ieee802.c	ing 400GBASE-DR4) in the Mar org/3/bs/public/16_03/anslow_3t ASE-DR specification is intende	ch 2016 ŤF mee s_04_0316.pdf.	ting as recorded in:	single,	non-inte	erleaved co	400GBASE-R, the FEC for odeword, so there is signific provide this protection whe	antly less burst	

Because the 100GBASE-DR specification is intended to be consistent with the 400GBASE-DR4 specification, the CRU corner frequency should be maintained at 4 MHz.

> See analysis in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/anslow\_070616\_3cd\_01\_adhoc.pdf

C/ 136B SC 136B.1.1	.6 P368	L <b>31</b>	# 216	C/ 136 SC	136.1	P185	L <b>50</b>	# 218
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		TF xtalk	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		BER, <nsr< td=""></nsr<>
Mated compliance boa SuggestedRemedy	rd crosstalk specs need tighte	ening for PAM4.		identical cabl	e and IC p	BASE-CR can be worse than fo performance, this can make the -4 BER number for 50G.	r 200GBASE-C BER worse. E	R4 (different zp). For But I believe there is
Tighten at least to be e RMS, MDFEXT <3.6 n	quivalent to the OIF limits: IC NV RMS.	N<3.9 mV RMS,	MDNEXT <1.35 mV	SuggestedReme	dy			
Response	Response Status C					R for 50GBASE-CR, 50GBASI	E-KR and 50GE	BASE-SR. Probably
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Е.			Response		Response Status C		
	outions listed below related to	test fixture ICN	specifications, the	REJECT.				
	ighten mated test fixture ICN.			The suggeste	ed remedy	v does not include specific deta	ils of a change	to the draft.
	s not explicitly specified in 136	oB.1.1.6.		CI 136 SC	136.1	P <b>185</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 219
Related contributions:	org/3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/06	Mar 17/dudek	02 030617 elect odf	Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
	org/3/cd/public/July16/diminic			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		BE
	disturber amplitude is 900 mV fixtures integrated crosstalk n se time of 9.27 ps.			give the right receiver inter favour of clea	BER (FLF ference to ar and spe	en together with p 186 line 12 c R) with any compliant transmitte plerance spec and is too vague. ecific stressed sensitivity or RIT h a compliant cable assembly.	er and channel. We moved of	, which usurps the f this years ago in
Implement the followin	g changes with editorial licens	e-		SuggestedReme	dy			
Add a table similar to t	able 92-13 with MDFEXT valu		NEXT value of 1.5 mV,			to detect bits" to "are expected a spected to be lower", for each te		
and ICN value of 4.4 m	iV.			Response		Response Status C		
Remove the reference	to table 92-13.			REJECT.				
C/ 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>259</b> Mellanox	L <b>25</b>	# 217	The propose	d change	requires consensus.		
Comment Type E TDEC	Comment Status A		Bucket					
SuggestedRemedy TDECQ								
	Response Status <b>C</b>							

<i>Cl</i> <b>131</b> SC <b>131</b> Dawe, Piers	5 P124 Mellanox	L <b>23</b>	# 220	C/ 131 Dawe, Piers	SC 131.	-	P <b>125</b> Ilanox	L10	# 221
they should be th and PMA skew. instead of 18,562 just a few bits in is that all 50G PM principles used th unit interval here SuggestedRemedy	R Comment Status R ving Table 116-7 which follows Tab e same) has 80 ns for optical skew, This is the same in ns as 802.3ba, I 5, or twice as many bits to buffer. n optical module, some of this is an Ds are serial. Also, the Skew limit ere (see http://ieee802.org/3/ba/pu s 38 (or 19) ps not 97 ps, and the r	, and 100 ns for e out a total of 38,2 While this may n n avoidable cost. s need updating a blic/may08/anslo	electrical (PCB), PMD 250 bits for 200G ot be as expensive as The first thing to note according to the w_01_0508.pdf ). The	matter is possible The 1/2 Tx and expensi The Ske http://iee http://iee	PMDs are s where a 2 future 2-la lane modu Rx sides a ve per UI i w Variatio ee802.org/	serial so most of this sl 2:1 PMA might exist, e. ane 50G PMD on the R Jle PMA is a completely re different designs. Th n e.g. power, and consu n limits need updating a 3/ba/public/may08/ansl 3/cd/public/Jan17/werth	kew variatic g. above the x side but b different d nese relative ume some p according to ow_01_050	e PMD on the T below another Pl esign to a host s ely small FIFOs power even if ne o the principles i 8.pdf as explair	x side or above a MA, e.g. in a module. SerDes, and naturally, (just a few UI) are very ever used. in
Change SP2 fror Change SP3 fror Change SP4 fror Change SP5 fror Change SP6 fror Change "At FEC Make the equival If appropriate, lis	43 ns, ~1143 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI 54 ns, ~1435 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI 134 ns, ~3560 UI to 16 ns, ~425 UI 145 ns, ~3852 UI to 16 ns, ~425 U 160 ns, ~4250 UI to 32 ns, ~850 U receive" from 180 ns, ~4782 UI to 5 ent changes in the following clause the skew values that would apply is e required - almost all NICs would r	JI. JI. JI. 52 ns, ~1,381 UI. s. f there were a 2-I	ane 50G PMD. But	Change Change Change Change Change Change Make th	SP1 from SP2 from SP3 from SP4 from SP5 from SP6 from "At FEC re e equivale	0.2 ns, ~6 UI to 0.11 ns 0.4 ns, ~11 UI, to 0.11 0.6 ns, ~16 UI to 0.11 r 3.4 ns, ~90 UI to 0.11 r 3.6 ns, ~96 UI to 0.11 r 3.8 ns, ~101 UI, N/A to eceive" from 4 ns, ~107 nt changes in the follow	ns, ~3 UI. ns, ~3 UI. ns, ~3 UI. ns, ~3 UI. 0.22 ns, ~6 ' UI to 0.42 ving clauses	ns, 11 UI. s.	
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			If appro	oriate, list i umbers she		t would app	oly if there were	changed or not. a 2-lane 50G PMD. But see such a PMD even if
to the skew spec	ion and comment resolution at the ications for single-lane PMDs the or sistent with 40G, 100G, and 200G 802.3bs.	consensus was to	p implement the	Response REJEC	г.	Response Statu	ıs C		

See the final response for P802.3cd Draft 1.1 Comment #10.

Based on discussion and comment resolution at the January 2017 task force meeting WRT to the skew specifications for single-lane PMDs the consensus was to implement the specifications consistent with 40G, 100G, and 200G PHYs already specified in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and P802.3bs.

See the final response for P802.3cd Draft 1.1 Comment #10.

Comment ID 221

CI <b>136</b> SC Ran, Adee	C 136.9.3	P <b>211</b> Intel	L <b>47</b>	# 222		C/ <b>136</b> Ran, Adee	SC 1	136.9.4.2.3	P <b>217</b> Intel	L <b>20</b>	# 224
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			TBD	Comment 7		Е	Comment Status A		rx spec, TBL
Several valu	ues in clause	e 136 are either TBD or mark	ed in magenta.			The list	t of exc	eptions to tl	ne calibration process is o	currently empty e	xcept for a "TBD".
		as presented in	00000017 000	d adhaa adf		If there	are no	exceptions	there is no need for this	list.	
SuggestedReme	0	3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/rai	1_02062017_300	a_aunoc.pui.		Suggested	-	•	and the state of t		
00		enta items with numerical va	lues in black.				"with th	0	exceptions" and the list.		
An updated	proposal wi	l be presented.				Response ACCEF	PT IN P	RINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status C				See res	sponse	to commen	t 103.		
	-	detailed in slides 9 and 10 o	f ran_3cd_01a_0	0317.		C/ <b>137</b> Ran, Adee	SC 1	137.10	P <b>240</b> Intel	L <b>10</b>	# 225
	C 137.10	P <b>240</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 223		Comment 7	Туре	т	Comment Status A		tx spec, COM, <3bs
Ran, Adee		Intel							arameters are not aligned 0-1 (which points to 93.8.2		
Comment Type Several valu	T Jes in clause	Comment Status A e 137 are either TBD or mark	ed in magenta.		TBD		elaxed).				
A proposal f	for values w	as presented in	0					ment was si vill be subm	ubmitted to 802.3bs and a itted.	a presentation for	updated RL
•	0	3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/rai	n_02082017_3cc	d_adhoc.pdf.		Suggested	Remedy	У			
SuggestedReme Replace TB		enta items with numerical va	lues in black.			Either r	revert to	o the packa	ge model in annex 93A o	r change the retu	rn loss specification.
An undated	nronosal wi	l be presented.				Presen	ntation v	vill be sent.			
Response	proposal m	Response Status C				Response			Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN		,				ACCE	PLINP	RINCIPLE.			
						<b>• • •</b>		nse to comi			

C/ 136	SC 136.12	P <b>2</b>	24	L	# 226
Greg McS	orley	Amph	enol Co	vrp.	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		New MDI
		nate interconnect sol ed thermal performa			<b>0</b>
Suggestee	dRemedy				
meets		requirements being s			This connector system evision. Will follow up
Response		Response Status	С		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			
[Edito	r modified Subcla	use from 136 and Pa	ge from	184]	

Resolve using the response to comment #106.