X 030 SC 30.5.1.1.2	<i>P</i> <b>43</b> Ciena	L 16	# 2	C/ 031B SC 31B.4.3 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> <b>328</b> Ciena	L <b>40</b>	# 9
				,			
comment Type E Com Comment #20 against D2.0 ch instances of "4-lane" to "four-la This is ok for new clauses and However, there are two places inconsistent with the surroundi In 30.5.1.1.2, the existing list h	ane <sup>®</sup> in new text. new text in existing in the draft where th ng existing text.	clauses where it i	s appropriate.	PICS in 31B.4.3 and 31I SuggestedRemedy Insert new rows into the	Comment Status A ents for 50 Gb/s Ethernet t 3.4.6 should be made. tables in 31B.4.3 and 31B.	4.6 for "operating	speeds of 50 Gb/s"
100GBASE-CR10 "over 10 lar 100GBASE-SR4 "over 4 lan 100GBASE-SR10 "over 10 lar	ne shielded copper" e multimode fiber"			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status <b>C</b>		
etc. Likewise in 80.1.3, the existing	exceptions use "10	lane", "4 lane" etc	C.	C/ 045 SC 45.2.1	P <b>47</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 1
uggestedRemedy				Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
In 30.5.1.1.2 and 80.1.3 chang	e "two-lane" to "2 la	ne" and "four-lane	e" to "4 lane"	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		<bucket><cc< td=""></cc<></bucket>
throughout to be consistent wit esponse Resp ACCEPT.	th the surrounding te conse Status <b>C</b>	xt.		them from being used for	284 through 289 for the FEC r extension of the RS-FEC ver needed. Given the spa	PCS alignment s	status registers (280 to
030 SC 30.5.1.1.15	P 44	L 36	# 3	SuggestedRemedy			
slow, Pete	Ciena			Change the allocation to			
The base text (as amended by " but there is no "and" shown			<i><bucket></bucket></i> e 108, and Clause 119	1.652, 1.653 RS-FEC 1.654, 1.655 RS-FEC	degraded SER activate thr degraded SER deactivate t degraded SER interval these registers throughout	hreshold 45.2.1. 45	116p .2.1.116q
agestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
Change ". Clause 108, Clause strikethrough font.	119 ." to ". Clause 1	08, and Clause 1	19 ." where "and " is in	ACCEPT.			
esponse Resp ACCEPT.	onse Status C			C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.101 Slavick, Jeff	.1 P 57 Broadcom Lir	<i>L</i> <b>29</b> mited	# 58
				Comment Type <b>TR</b> 45.2.1.101.1 and 45.2.1 in CI 134 RS-FEC decod	Comment Status A 102.8 have references only ler.	/ to Clause 91 bu	t they're also present
				SuggestedRemedy			
					"(see 91.5.3.3)" from 45.2.	1.101.1 and 45.2	.1.102.8
				Response	Response Status C		
				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE			
				Bring in the text "(see 91 91.5.3.3 or 134.5.3.3.1)"	.5.3.3)" from 45.2.1.101.1	and 45.2.1.102.8	, and change to "(see
					C/ <b>0</b> 4		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/045Page 1 of 26COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC 45.2.1.101.12017-09-13 3:26:30 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC 45.2.1.101.1SC 45.2.1.101.1SC 45.2.1.101.1

C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.102	2.6c <i>P</i> 59	L <b>41</b>	# 54	C/ 069	SC 69.2.3	P 84	L <b>46</b>	# 5
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom I	imited		Anslow, Pe	te	Ciena		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		<00>	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		<bucket< td=""></bucket<>
	ER_ability variable is an inc ord "signal" is a little mislea ition like in 802.3bs			Table 6 Ethern	69–1—Nomeno et Physical Lay		for 1 Gb/s and 1	•
SuggestedRemedy					69–1a—Nomer al Lavers	clature and clause correlatior	n for 25 Gb/s Ba	ckplane Ethernet
91.5.3.3.1, 91.6.2b, 91.	cate" in Table 45-79, 45.2 6.5a, 91.6.5b, 134.5.3.3.2 detection" in the 2nd and	134.6.2, 134.6.8,	134.6.9	Table 6 Ethern Table 6	69–2–Nomeno et Physical Lay 69–2a–Nomen	clature and clause correlation		
	Deenenee Statue				et Physical Lay	ers Inclature and clause correlatior	for 50Gb/s Bac	kolane Ethernet
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Physic	al Layers			
				Table 6	69–2c—Nomer et Physical Lay	clature and clause correlation	for 100Gb/s tw	o-lane Backplane
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.102 Anslow, Pete	2.6c P 59 Ciena	L <b>42</b>	# 4	Table 6 Physic	69–2d—Nomei al Layers	clature and clause correlatior		
Comment Type E Missing "." at the end of	Comment Status A f the last sentence.		<bucket></bucket>	Gb/s b	etween Table 6	nsistent, I will comment agair 9-1 and 69-1a. 69-2 should be changed to c		
SuggestedRemedy				PHYs.				
Add the missing "."				Suggested	Remedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			"Table	69–2–Nomer	itle of Table 69-2 to be: clature and clause correlation hysical Layers"	for 40 Gb/s and	l 100 Gb/s four-lane
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.102 Slavick, Jeff	2.7 P 58 Broadcom I	<i>L</i> <b>23</b> ₋imited	# 59	or: Add a d	change to the t	itle of Table 69-2 to be:		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A					clature and clause correlation 'hysical Layers"	for 40 Gb/s and	l four-lane 100 Gb/s
indicator.	references to Cl91 but Cl1	34 has the same	text for setting this	"Table	e the title of Ta 69–2c—Nome et Physical Lay	nclature and clause correlatio	n for two-lane 10	00Gb/s Backplane
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
-	nd change "(see 91.5.3.3)"	to "(see 91.5.3.3	or 134.5.3.3.1)"		PT IN PRINCIF	,		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			"Table	69–2–Nomer	itle of Table 69-2 to be: clature and clause correlation 'hysical Layers"	for 40 Gb/s and	l four-lane 100 Gb/s
				"Table	e the title of Ta 69–2c—Nome et Physical Lay	nclature and clause correlatio	n for two-lane 10	00Gb/s Backplane

Slavick, Jeff	P <b>94</b> Broadcom Lir	L 11 mited	# 55	C/ 093A SC 93A- Dudek, Mike	1 <i>P</i> 330 Cavium	L 12	# 81
	Comment Status A I-n for 100Gb/s PHYs to the I e CAUI-4 and CAUI-10 to be		۱ now has 3+ AUI's	Comment Type T The other AUI C20 these annexes.	Comment Status A C specs have C2C in their titles i	in table 93A-2, an	 bucket ad C2C is in the titles of
	AUI-4 or CAUI-10 for 100 Gb. AUI-n for 100 Gb/s PHYs" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	/s PHYs" update	the modified text to	SuggestedRemedy Add C2C to the 10 Response ACCEPT.	00GAUI-4 and 100GAUI-2 Physion Response Status C	cal layers in table	93A-2
C/ 091 SC 91.6.5a	P 114	L <b>7</b>	# 15	Cl 133 SC 133. Anslow, Pete	5.3 P 19 Ciena	L 146	# 6
Ran, Adee Comment Type E Paragraph is read as it supported.	Intel <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> MDIO mapping is only valid	if the degraded §	<i><bucket></bucket></i> SER ability is not	5	Comment Status <b>A</b> ed of a table should be "thin" no e table in 133.5.4.8	t "very thin".	<bucket></bucket>
SuggestedRemedy Change the third sente FROM	l be aligned with other "ability ence in this paragraph text			Ruling Style: "Fror	m row of the table, Table, Forma n Table" to "Bottom" edge. ange to the table in 133.5.4.8. Response Status C	at, Custom Ruling	y & Shading, Apply
This variable is set to z 45.2.1.102 (1.201.3). TO	zero if this ability is not suppo	rted and is mapp	ed to the bit defined in	C/ 134 SC 134. Slavick, Jeff	1.1 P 150 Broadcom L	L <b>20</b> .imited	# 57
The variable is set to z	ero if this ability is not support	rted. This variable	is mapped to the bit	Comment Type E Repetition of the w	Comment Status A vords "for the fact" in the last ser	ntence.	<bucket></bucket>
defined in 45.2.1.102 (	1.201.5).			-			
defined in 45.2.1.102 (	Response Status C				the fact the alignment marker m	apping to the" to '	", and the alignment
defined in 45.2.1.102 ( <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 091 SC 91.7.4.2	,	L 16 mited	# 56	Change ", and for marker mapping o <i>Response</i>		apping to the" to '	", and the alignment
defined in 45.2.1.102 ( Response ACCEPT. C/ 091 SC 91.7.4.2 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR	Response Status C	mited		Change ", and for marker mapping o	f the"	apping to the" to '	", and the alignment
defined in 45.2.1.102 ( Response ACCEPT. Cl 091 SC 91.7.4.2 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Feature RF6 has upda SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P 116 Broadcom Lin Comment Status A	mited		Change ", and for marker mapping o <i>Response</i>	f the"	apping to the" to '	", and the alignment

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 Page 3 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:30 PM

C/ 134 SC 134	<b>I.5.2.6</b> P1	154	L 51	# 16	C/ 134	SC 134.5	.3.3	P 151	L <b>49</b>	# 14
Ran, Adee	Intel				Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status	R R		<00	> Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status R		
between the feat signaling of the s	C degraded feature is intro ure in 200 Gb/s links and i tatus of the FEC_degrade	in 50 or 100 ed_SER var	) Gb/s links: for iable to the link	the latter there is no cpartner.	http://w perforr	ww.ieee802	l.org/3/l e binary	n to 802.3bs (see bs/public/16_09/ran_3bs_( y event of the average sym		
	2.4.4 which defines that F tatus field in the alignment		ded_SER is sig	nalled to the link	In mae	s denlovme	nt of 80	2.3cd links, as expected ir	, future data cer	tore this may result in
	ajor difference between th	,	odole which me	w an uppoticod	multipl	e false alerts	s or per	rceived degradations in link ation. The only way to avoi	s that have am	ple margin for
This creates a m		le usaye mi		ay go unnoticed.				it that would likely increase		anns is to nave a very
	arker in this clause and in n be used to signal the de					ernative solu		utlined in bs/public/16_09/ran_3bs_(	)22 0916 pdf is	to count codewords
Since no XS is d	efined for these PHYs, it is	s suggested	d to only signal	the local degradation.	with a	specific num	ber of s	symbol errors in separate or r and would be much more	counters. This ir	nformation is available
	ne signaling to the link part Es in 134.5.3.3.2 and in 9′ Ind 400 GB/s).				errors		ng links	s that have insufficient mar		
uggestedRemedy	·							epted in 802.3, the main o		
	bad bit is alternating betwee set to 0 when FEC_degrad			graded_SER is not	would		FEC. E	might only be used in an X But in 802.3cd there are no / adequate.		
on the pad bit (e	_rm_degraded that holds g. set to true when two co n MDIO register mapped t	onsecutive A	AM blocks are r			mation on de e relevant int		ion or prediction of uncorre	ectable errors is	desirable, it should
Apply similarly in	clause 91.				Suggested	Remedy				
Response	Response Status	с			A deta	iled proposa	l will be	e presented.		
REJECT.		-			Response		ŀ	Response Status <b>C</b>		
There is no cons	ensus to implement the su	uggested re	medy.		REJEC	CT.				
	·		•		There	is no conser	nsus to	implement the proposal in	ran_3cd_01_09	917.
							sal in r	an_3cd_01_0917:		

No: 11

C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3

C/ 134 SC 134.6	P 162	L <b>32</b>	# 7	C/ 135 SC	C 135.5.7.2	P 183	L 13	# 60
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom Lir	mited	
Comment Type E The title of Table 134-2 is Also, the number of orpha			<i><bucket></bucket></i> an 10.	Comment Type What does remove the	the term ter	Comment Status <b>A</b> minating mean? I think if yo ating	ou list the C2C ir	nterfaces first you can
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	edy			
Place the cursor at the end insert "Table Continuation" In Table designer, set the	variable. number of orphan rows to		he Variables Tab and	50GBASE-I 100GBASE	KR, -CR2, or 10	s connected to the PMD ser 0GBASE-KR2 PMD, or term lanes connected to a 50GAI	inating a 50GAL	JI-1 C2C or 100GAUI-
Response F ACCEPT.	Pesponse Status C			the PMD se	ervice interfa	ce of a 50GBASE-CR, 50GI 0GBASE-KR2 PMD,"		
C/ 134 SC 134.6.1	P 163	L <b>50</b>	# 8	Response		Response Status C		
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			ACCEPT.				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		<bucket></bucket>	C/ 135 SC	C 135.5.7.2	P 183	L 27	# 61
There are several instance				Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom Lir	mited	
(1.200.1)". But 45.2.1.101 defined in 45.2.1.101.1 and				Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
despite the fact that the ec SuggestedRemedy	uivalent subclauses in C	lause 91 reference		We state ho	ow the preco	ding is mandatory for some oder is enabled. There are e re's no definition of what is o	equations for hov	v the precoded symbo
In 134.6.1, change "45.2.1 In 134.6.2, change "45.2.1		n forest green).		SuggestedRem	edy			
In 134.6.6, change "45.2.1 In 134.6.7, change "45.2.1	.102" to "45.2.1.102.8" (ii .102" to "45.2.1.102.7" (ii					e the sentence that begins bled P(j-1) in equations (135		
In 134.6.8, change "45.2.1 In 134.6.9, change "45.2.1				Response		Response Status C		
In 134.6.10, change "45.2.1		'.		ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPL	E.		
In 134.6.12, change "45.2. In 134.6.17, change "45.2.	1.102" to "45.2.1.102.2".					(j-1) only make sense if pred		
Response F	esponse Status C			i) is not eq	uai lo u whe	n precoding is not enabled,	ramer it is not ap	phied to the output.
ACCEPT.						d explanation that if precod r input lanes.	ing is disabled th	nen G(j)=P(j) for outpu

C/ 135 SC 135.5.7.2 Page 5 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ <b>135</b> SC <b>135.5.7.2</b> .usted, Kent	P 183	L <b>28</b>	# 12	C/         135D         SC         135D.5.4.1         P         354         L         46         #         82           Dudek, Mike         Cavium         Cavium
<i>comment Type</i> <b>T</b> In the first sentence of the	Comment Status A first paragraph starting w	ith "The precode	is enabled" there is	Comment Type T Comment Status A The Output jitter should have the same exceptions as 802.3bs.
an explicit reference to lan This error in the paragraph (see D2.0 comment #173) and 2 lane PMD types. (i.	n occurred as a result of c . The first paragraph in tl	ne subclause now	the subclause for D2.1 states both 1 lane	SuggestedRemedy         Change to "Metts Table 83D-1 constraints with the exceptions in 120B.3.1         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The first sentence of this p denotes a 2 lane PMD. Fo incorrect.				Change to "Meets Table 83D-1 constraints with the exceptions in 120B.3.1"         C/       135E       SC       135E.1       P 357       L 1       # 72
uggestedRemedy Remove "(0 and 1)" from t	he first sentence in the pa	aragraph.		Dudek, Mike     Cavium       Comment Type     E     Comment Status       A     Normally things are "shown" in figures not in sections
Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change "shown" to "described" Make the same change in annex 135G on page 370 line 3.
/ 135 SC 135.5.7.2 usted, Kent	P 183 Intel	L <b>28</b>	# 13	S. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This error occurred as a re comment #173). The first PMD types. (i.e. 50GBAS The second sentence in th explicit reference to lane 0 For the case of a 1 lane Pl	paragraph in the subclau E-CR and 100GBASE-CF he paragraph starting with and lane 1: "(where i is	se now states bo R2). "The precoder is 0 or 1)". This der	th 1 lane and 2 lane enabled" there is an notes a 2 lane PMD.	In Annex 135F Change: "The positioning of the 50GAUI-2 C2M and 100GAUI-4 C2M relative to other sublayers is shown in 135.1 with further examples in Annex 135A." To: "The positioning of the 50GAUI-2 C2M and 100GAUI-4 C2M relative to other sublayers is shown in Figure 135-2 with further examples in Annex 135A."
uggestedRemedy Remove "(where i is 0 or 1				In Annex 135G Change:
Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C			"The positioning of the 50GAUI-1 C2M and 100GAUI-2 C2M relative to other sublayers is shown in 135.1 with further examples in Annex 135A." To: "The positioning of the 50GAUI-1 C2M and 100GAUI-2 C2M relative to other sublayers is shown in Figure 135-2 with further examples in Annex 135A."
YPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/dispat SORT ORDER: Clause, Subcl	tched A/accepted R/reje			generalC/135EPage 6 of 26ritten C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC135E.12017-09-13 3:26:31

Cl 135E SC 135E.1 P	357 L 5	0 # 83		C/ 135F	SC 135F.3.2.	1 P 30	65	L 49	# 67
Dudek, Mike Cav	rium			Slavick, Jeff		Broad	com Limited		
Comment Type T Comment Statu The 50GAUI-2 and 100GAUI-4 don't use F				Comment Ty Commen		Comment Status 2.0 was rejected stat		consensus.	How about if we do
SuggestedRemedy Change "PAM4" to "NRZ".				this simil SuggestedRe	,	33D describes the tra	ansmit eq proc	ess.	
Response Response Status	s C				0	,	0 0	0	nilar to Figure 83D-5).
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To address an error in implementation of a "using NRZ signaling". On page 357 line 50 change "PAM4" to "N		n page 357 line 48 d	elete	135F.x.1 If implem receiver requeste	Overview ented, transm may be used to d by the receiv	of the optional transi tter precoder reques b set the precoder co er. An example of a r request is provided	t from a 50GA nfiguration for possible prec	.UI-1 C2C or each lane v oder configu	
	362 <i>L</i> 1	6 # 84		closest to	the PCS and	mponents, A and B, B is closest to the P	MD. Clause 4	5 MDIO is ir	nplemented by both
Comment Type T Comment Statue Wrong reference	s A		<bucket></bucket>	10. Trai	smitter preco	oonent A at device ac der request is implem anagement (STA) co	nented by eithe	er componer	
SuggestedRemedy Change 120C.3.3 to 120C.3.4 Response Response Status ACCEPT.	s C			1) For e 1a) R 1b) W 2) Reac 2a) If	ach lane ead precoder_ rite precoder_ l request_prec the flag is a or	ecoder setting in the tx_out_enable_i from rx_in_enable_i of cor oder_tx_in_flag from le, then for each land	n component A mponent B wit component B	h the read v	alue.
				2ab from com	Write precod	t_precoder_tx_in_i fr er_rx_in_enable_i of the read value.			er_tx_out_enable_i
				1) For e 1a) R 1b) W 2) Reac 2a) If 2aa 2ab from com	ach lane ead precoder_ rite precoder_ request_prec the flag is a or Read reques Write precod	ecoder setting in the rx_out_enable_i from tx_in_enable_i of cor oder_rx_in_flag from ue, then for each lane t_precoder_rx_in_i fr er_tx_in_enable_i of the read value.	n component E nponent A wit component A e om componer	3. h the read va	
				Doononoo		-			
				Response		Response Status	С		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 135F
 Page 7 of 26

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 135F.3.2.1
 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 135F.3.2.1
 SC
 135F.3.2.1

Implement with editorial li without the state diagrams	cense the proposal in slavi s on slides 10 and 11.	ck_3cd_01_091	7 slides 8 to 11, but	C/ <b>135G</b> Dudek, Mi	SC <b>135G.5.</b> 4	4.2	<i>P</i> <b>374</b> Cavium	L <b>24</b>	# 88
C/ 135F SC 135F.5.4.1 Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> <b>367</b> Cavium	L <b>41</b>	# 85	Comment	Туре Т		ent Status <b>A</b> Vertical eye closur	e specification	<bucket></bucket>
<b>3</b>	Comment Status A now wrong (as 802.3bs no	w has a differe	nt local equation)	Suggestee	•				
SuggestedRemedy Change equation 93-3 to	equation 120D-2 Also in P	ICS RC1		Response ACCE		Respon	se Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status <b>C</b>			C/ <b>136</b> Hidaka, Ya	SC 136.8.11	.1.3	P <b>209</b> Fujitsu Lab. o	L <b>43</b> f Americ	# 34
Change TC6 and RC1 Va "Meets Equation 120D-2				Comment		Comme	ent Status A		Nomenclature
<i>Cl</i> <b>135G</b> SC <b>135G.5.4.</b> Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> <b>373</b> Cavium	L 28	# 86	the pa	rameter 'n' is sa ge the letter. Als	id to be use	ed to describe the	number of lanes	ntion in 136.2, where in a specific PMD. cription of 'n' may help
	Comment Status A different from Clause 120E		<bucket></bucket>	Suggestee					
SuggestedRemedy Re-order the PICS to mat	ch Clause 120E			•	ge 'n' to another 136-8.	letter such	as 'p' at two locatio	ons in the text an	nd two locations in
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Add a	brief description	n about wha	ıt 'n'.		
ACCEPT.				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	'	se Status C		
C/ <b>135G</b> SC <b>135G.5.4.1</b> Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> <b>374</b> Cavium	L 17	# 87	Chang	ge the variable n	ame "n" wh	ich refers the poly	nomial index to "	p" as appropriate.
<b>)</b>	Comment Status <b>A</b> e requirements (problem co	mmented on in	<i><bucket></bucket></i> 802.3bs on Annex	Implei	ment with editori	al license.			
SuggestedRemedy Change TH11 to 0.22UI.	TH12 to 32mV, TM10 to 70	mV.							
<b>0</b>	Response Status C								

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.1.3

C/         136         SC         136.8.11.3.2         P 213         L 4         # 66           Slavick, Jeff         Broadcom Limited         Broadcom Limit	C/         136         SC         136.8.11.4.1         P 213         L 50         # 64           Slavick, Jeff         Broadcom Limited         Environmentation         Envites and e
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A       Training         This field is really the local_tp_mode status and that is now defined in 136.8.11.5, the current pointer points to the pattern generation logic.       Training	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Training If we're describing how to get an ic_request made, then there's more things that need to be configured to ensure the request will be made regardless of the remote sides Figure 136-9 state
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change the 136.8.11.1.3 to 136.8.11.5	Move the following from step c) to step a)
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	and the coefficient request bits (136.8.11.2.4) to "hold".
This subclause describes the bits in the status field, which indeed encode local_tp_mode. The definition of local_tp_mode (in 136.8.11.7.1) contains the possible values listed used	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
<ul> <li>in Table 136-10 and a cross-reference to 136.8.11.1.3, so it is sufficient and complete.</li> <li>136.8.11.5 defines the procedure for setting the modulation and precoding, which eventually affects the bits defined here, via local_tp_mode, and includes a reference to this subclause. Adding a reference here to 136.8.11.5 seems unneccesary and would create a loop.</li> <li>Change FROM <ul> <li>"encode the modulation and precoding mode of the transmitted training pattern (see 136.8.11.1.3)"</li> <li>TO</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The comment refers to the scenario where an initial condition is requested while a previous coefficient update request is still in progress. This could prevent the initial condition request from being handled correctly. Add the following to step a) "and the coefficient request bits (136.8.11.2.4) to "hold"." Add the following to step b) "and the coefficient status bits (136.8.11.3.7) indicate "not updated"." Implement with editorial license.
"encode the value of local tp mode".	
"encode the value of local_tp_mode".           C/ 136         SC 136.8.11.4         P 213         L 40         # 53           Slavick, Jeff         Broadcom Limited         Broadcom Limited         Broadcom Limited	C/ 136         SC 136.8.11.4.2         P 214         L 31         # 62           Slavick, Jeff         Broadcom Limited
Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4       P 213       L 40       # 53         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       53         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Nomenclature         The word "preset" has some previous conitations from CI72 meaning NoEq. Cl134 has multiple Initial Conditions it can use named PRESET1,2,3.       These initial conditions are	CI 136       SC 136.8.11.4.2       P 214       L 31       # 62         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       62         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Training         To update an individual coefficient the ic_req needs to be set to individual control. If we're spelling out the flow then this should be included.       If we're spelling out the flow then this should be included.
Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4       P 213       L 40       # 53         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       53         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Nomenclature         The word "preset" has some previous conitations from Cl72 meaning NoEq. Cl134 has multiple Initial Conditions it can use named PRESET1,2,3.       These initial conditions are predefined by the standard equalizer settings.	Cl       136       SC       136.8.11.4.2       P 214       L 31       # 62         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       Training         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Training         To update an individual coefficient the ic_req needs to be set to individual control. If we're       If we're
Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4       P 213       L 40       # 53         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       Status A       Nomenclature         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       Nomenclature         The word "preset" has some previous conitations from Cl72 meaning NoEq. Cl134 has multiple Initial Conditions it can use named PRESET1,2,3.       These initial conditions are predefined by the standard equalizer settings.         SuggestedRemedy       Change "preset initial conditions" to "predefined initial conditions"	Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4.2       P 214       L 31       # 62         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       62         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Training         To update an individual coefficient the ic_req needs to be set to individual control. If we're spelling out the flow then this should be included.       SuggestedRemedy         Add "set the initial condition request bits (136.8.11.2.1) to individual control," after "control
Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4       P 213       L 40       # 53         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited       53         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Nomenclature         The word "preset" has some previous conitations from Cl72 meaning NoEq. Cl134 has multiple Initial Conditions it can use named PRESET1,2,3.       These initial conditions are predefined by the standard equalizer settings.         SuggestedRemedy       Change "preset initial conditions" to "predefined initial conditions"         Response       Response Status       C	Cl 136       SC 136.8.11.4.2       P 214       L 31       # 62         Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom Limited         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Training         To update an individual coefficient the ic_req needs to be set to individual control. If we're spelling out the flow then this should be included.       SuggestedRemedy         Add "set the initial condition request bits (136.8.11.2.1) to individual control," after "control field," in a)         Response       Response Status       C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.4.2 Page 9 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ <b>136</b> SC <b>136.8.11.4.2</b> Hidaka, Yasuo	P <b>214</b> Fujitsu Lab. o	L <b>42</b>	# 35	C/ <b>136</b> Lusted, Ke	SC 136.8.11.	7.5	P <b>219</b> Intel	L <b>49</b>	# 10
,	nent Status <b>A</b>	i Americ	Nomenclature	Comment		Comment	Status A		Training
It seems the function name UPE the parameter 'n' is said to be us Change 'n' in 'UPDATE_Cn' to a	DATE_Cn does not sed to describe the	number of lanes	ntion in 136.2, where	It is un the sha	clear in the para	graph which i re the bits in t	modulation and his paragraph f	for the tx path or	s bits are relevant for the rx path or both?
SuggestedRemedy									
Change 'n' of UPDATE_Cn to ar	nother letter such as	s 'k'.			.5.7.2 describes on to the shall sta				er the connection of the
P214 L42						alement is we	ak of ambiguo	us.	
P217 L9 P219 L7				Suggested	•	oit rolatad" ha	foro "modulatic	on and precoding	n
P222 L27 in Figure 136-9				_	•			on and precounty	•
Response Respon	nse Status <b>C</b>			Response		Response	Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	•			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
See comment #63.				reques		artner). There	e is a variable d		onds to the mode ch the status field
The procedure uses a paramete	er name named "k",	so UPDATE_C(	k) is more appropriate.	Teneou	5. Referring to u	variable riam			
Rename the procedure and refe	rences to it to UPD	ATE_C(k).		0	e FROM he modulation ar	nd precoding	status bits indi	cate "PAM4 with	precoding"
Implement with editorial license.					cal_tp_mode set	to "PAM4 wi	th precoding"		
C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.7.1	P 217	L 10	# 63						
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom Lir	-	π 03						
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comm coef_sts is controlled by both Fig	nent Status <b>A</b> gure 136-9 and the	UPDATE_Cn fu	<i>Training</i> nction.						
SuggestedRemedy									
Change "The value is assigned l is assigned by the UPDATE_Cn then encoded"									
_	nse Status C								
Change "The value is assigned To:	• –								
"The value is assigned by the Ul (Figure 136-9), then encoded"	PDATE_C(k) function	on and Coefficie	nt update state diagram						
Implement with editorial license.									
See also comment #35.									
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/ed	itorial required GR/	general required	d T/technical E/editorial G/g	general			C/ 1	36	Page 10 of 26

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.7.5 Page 10 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.7.5 P 22	20 L 1	# 11		C/ 136	SC	136.8.11.7	.5 P 222	L <b>31</b>	# 65
Lusted, Kent Intel				Slavick, Je	ff		Broadco	om Limited	
Comment Type T Comment Status	Α		Training	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status		Trainin
It is unclear in the paragraph which modulation the shall statement. Are the bits in this paragraph The direction is implied to be receive but not Cl 135.5.7.2 describes the precoder and the direction to the shall statement is weak or an SuggestedRemedy Add the phrase "receive related" before "mod	graph for the tx path o specified. associated bits, howe nbiguous.	r the rx path or I ver the connect	both?	Since TxFIR frame. decode implen receive	a mis-d settings The D es and nentor. es that a and the	lecode of s s in situation ME encodi there's not Example f and does the en gets a 1	ons where the Rx is all ing enables improved hing that defines how ailure would be Tx se he DEC, then parses	an update to occur ole to process a requirobustness, but doe to act upon a mis-de nds PRE1, DEC whi a 11010 due to a mis	multiple times to different lest within a single s not preclude mis- ecode, that's left to the
Response Response Status	С			••		-	!= k" from the exit co	ndition of NEW REC	UEST in Figure 136-9
the local device, and which the link partner's which the shows the transmit mode used by is preferable. Change FROM	PT IN PRINCIPLE.					s a,b,c in 13 smitted con trol, coeffic tus bits (13 efficient sel t select ech efficient req 36.8.11.3.7 he requesto	36.8.11.4.2 to be the f trol field, set the initia cient request bits (136 36.8.11.3.7) indicate " ect bits (136.8.11.2.3) no bits (136.8.11.3.6)	ollowing steps condition request b 8.11.2.4) to "hold" a not updated". to the desired value o indicate the reque d value and wait unt not updated" and the	Ū
See also comment #10.				Response			Response Status C	;	
				ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE			
							includes misdecoding ells, and has four poss		lect fields, which
				but the assum	e probal ed to b	bility of this	happening without co e. An error in three Dl	prrupting the training	lid values, c(0) and c(-2), frame in other ways is le as it would require
							72, there is no statem ol or status fields.	ent in the current dra	Ift of how to handle
				Insert	a new p	oaragraph a	at the end of 136.8.11	.1.2:	
					the con		s received, if a violation the status field, the c		

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.7.5 Page 11 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ <b>136</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 136.9.3	P <b>224</b> Mellanox	L 6	# 46	C/ <b>136</b> Ran, Adee	SC 136.9	-	P <b>224</b> ntel	L <b>22</b>	# 17
Comment Typ Please pu RLM and Other exa	it the abbrevia SNRISI. mples:	Comment Status A ation that one will string-searc	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Comment T The edi	itor's note sh s in SNDR,	Comment St	atus <b>R</b> t some point	if there is no dis	Tx specs cussion of suggested
PAM4 (TE lane (max	DECQ), each	lane (max) Transmitter and di closure penalty (VECP), eac	ispersion eye c	losure (TDEC), each	Unless <i>Response</i> REJEC		ents prevent this, re Response Sta		ote.	
SuggestedRea Signal-to-		tortion ratio (SNDR), (min.)					at have been identif	ied with the	current specifica	ations.
Response	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C			C/ <b>136</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 136.9	4.2.2	P <b>228</b> Mellanox	L <b>42</b>	# 45
Signal-to-		802.3bs use the following: tortion ratio SNDR, (min.) P 224	<i>L</i> 10	# [43		on the test ch comment 72.	Comment Stannel RL (Rx end)		tightening, even	<nsr> if not as much as in</nsr>
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox			00		nment 72 but with a	a different eq	uation in place of	of 92-38.
comment	ned before, J4 144) but we r	Comment Status R lu should be changed to J3u. need an estimate of the differe more appropriate limits for the	ence in jitter be	tween TP0a and TP2	Response REJEC	ε <b>τ</b> .	Response Sta	atus C		
SuggestedRe				.5 (D2.0 comment 145).	The su	ggested rem	edy is not specific a	and cannot b	e used to apply	a change in the draft.
Change J	4u to J3u, her	re and in 137. Choose the lim liance board crosstalk specs,			Contrib	utions are er	ncouraged to build o	consensus a	round a specific	remedy. :)
Response REJECT.		Response Status U								
The sugge	ested remedy	is not specific and cannot be	used to apply	a change in the draft.						

More consensus around a specific remedy is required.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2.2 Page 12 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ <b>136</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 136.11.2	P <b>232</b> Mellanox	L <b>28</b>	# 44	C/         136         SC         136.11.7.1.1         P 234         L 49         # 48           Dawe, Piers         Mellanox
Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status R		Cable assemb	Comment Type T Comment Status R Cable assen
Where 0 16.48 o	did 17.16 dB cc	ome from? the limit should be 25G-S CA-25G-N), adjusted f		other 3 m cables:	Now that we have moved COM to a neutral impedance basis, using 109.8 ohm PCB impedance seems inconsistent.
0	, ,				SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedF Set the	-	no more than consistent with	CA-25G-S Se	t the RITT losses	Add another exception to Table 92-12: Zc = 100. In 136.11.7.1.1 and 136.11.7.1.2, delet "and the parameter values given in Table 92-12" (that is stated in 136.11.7.1).
accordir					Response Response Status C
Response		Response Status U			REJECT.
REJEC <sup>®</sup>	т.				
The vel		included in the recolution of a		acient D2 0 based on	The suggested remedy is a substantial change that requires consensus.
palkert_	_3cd_01b_0717	ncluded in the resolution of of and the task force discussion innel IL the same as for Clau	n following the p		A similar change was proposed in comment #71 against D2.0 and was rejected due to lar of consensus.
No furth	ner changes are	e required to close the budge	t.		There is still no consensus to implement the proposed changes.
C/ 136	SC 136.11.7	P 233	L 18	# 47	C/ 136A SC 136A.5 P 377 L 15 # 74
Dawe, Piers	3	Mellanox			Dudek, Mike Cavium
Comment T The CO 71 and	M impedances	Comment Status R should be moved towards ne	eutral, as explain	Cable assemb ed in D2.0 comment	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Section 136A is informative and 136A.5 is titled "channel insertion loss". The equation for the nominal insertion loss of the mated test fixture however should be normative as
SuggestedF	Remedy				measurements are to be adjusted based on deviations from it. It also more logically belongs in section 136B which has the specifications for the mated test fixture.
		ed in D2.0 comment 71 and h			SuggestedRemedy
-	the parameter	name unless it is coordinated	a with the name	used in Annex 93A.	Move this section including equation 136A-2 into annex 136B.1.1.1 at page 380 line 41.
Response REJEC	т.	Response Status U			Consider leaving a reference to this equation in section 136A. Suggested sentence. "Th nominal insertion loss of the mated test fixture is determined using Equation new.
Comme	ent #71 against	D2.0 suggested changing C0	OM parameters t	o use well-matched	Response Response Status C
impeda		ons of 50 Ohm, package imp			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
D2.0 co	omment #71 wa	s rejected due to lack of cons	sensus.		Move this section including equation 136A-2 into annex 136B.1.1.1 at page 380 line 41. Leave a reference to this equation in section 136A: "The nominal insertion loss of the mated test fixture is determined using Equation <new></new>
The rela	ated changes s	uggested in D2.0 comment #	113 were also no	ot in consensus.	The norminal insertion loss of the mater lest lixible is determined using Equation (news
		t provide any new informatior nments from being adopted.	n, nor address ar	ny concerns that	

C/ 136A SC 136A.5

C/ 136C     SC 136C.1     P 385     L 40     # 36       Hidaka, Yasuo     Fujitsu Lab. of Americ	C/         136D         SC         136D.3.1         P 395         L 37         #         20           Ran, Adee         Intel         Intel				
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status R				
136.9.1 states AC-coupling within the cable assembly plug connectors (as specified in 136C.1), whereas 136C.1 states that the receive lanes are AC-coupled within the plug connectors. In PICS, item CA9 refers AC-coupling on the receive lane within the plug connector. It is not clear whether the transmit lanes are AC-coupled or not. If they are AC-coupled, it is not clear where they are AC-coupled.	The result of the added text is an awkward pair of statements: first we specify a cable assembly form factor (singular) with a choice of connectors on both ends, and then state that cables (plural) can also have different plugs on each end, and then "It may be used" (singluar again).				
SuggestedRemedy	This can be made simpler, eliminating the plural statement.				
Change "For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4, the receive lanes are AC-coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors." to	SuggestedRemedy Change FROM (.)"on both ends. One plug to one plug cables can also have different cable plugs on each end." TO				
"For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4, the receive lanes are AC- coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors. The transmit lanes are AC- coupled at the receive lanes in the plug connectors on the other end of the cable assembly."	(.)"on either end." <i>Response Response Status</i> <b>C</b> REJECT.				
Response Response Status C	The proposed remedy makes the message less clear.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 136D SC 136D.3.1 P 395 L 38 # 21				
Change	C/         136D         SC         136D.3.1         P 395         L 38         # 21           Ran, Adee         Intel				
"For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4, the receive lanes are AC- coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors."	Comment Type T Comment Status A				
To: "For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4, the lanes are AC-coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the plug connectors."	"It may be used to connect the host form factors in 136D.2 with a single or multiple 50 Gb/s link".				
Change PICS CA9 on page 242 line 9 to : "Within the plug connector, 3 dB cutoff frequency less than 50 kHz."	This is an incorrect statement - 136D.2 specifies the single-lane SFP28 host form factor (taken from 802.3by), which can only form a single 50 Gb/s link.				
C/ 136D         SC 136D.3         P 395         L 28         # 19           Ran, Adee         Intel         Intel	It is also irrelevant to refer to the SFP28 host form factor, since these one-plug to one-plug cable assembly can have any type of connector on each end and also form multiple links and 100 Gb/s or 200 GB/s links.				
Comment Type E Comment Status A <bucket></bucket>	SuggestedRemedy				
"The examples are;" should be "The examples are:" (colon instead of semicolon)	Delete the quoted sentence.				
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C				
per comment	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Change: It may be used to connect the host form factors in 136D.2 with a single or multiple 50 Gb/s link. To: It may be used to connect the host form factors in 136D.2 with a single or, except for SFP28, multiple 50 Gb/s links.				
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/ge COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/writ SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line					

C/ <b>136D</b> SC <b>136D.3.2</b> Ran, Adee	P <b>396</b> Intel	L <b>24</b>	# 24	C/ 136D SC 136D.3.2 P 396 L 25 # 22 Ran, Adee Intel
	nent Status <b>R</b> a by-8 plug (OSFP o			Comment Type E Comment Status A In a cable, near-end and far-end depend on the end that you are at. 136D.3.3.has a better phrasing.
It seems that any way we do this connectors on each end does no It is suggested to rename the fo that is currently missing. SuggestedRemedy Rename the form factors accord will create the following form fac - 1:1 (existing 136D.3.1) - 4:2 (existing 136D.3.2) - 4:1 (existing 136D.3.3) - 8:1 (existing 136D.3.4) - 8:4 (new form factor as per con Add a new subclause 136D.3.5	ot fully decribe the c rm factors to be mo ling to the number c tors:	able form factor. e definitive, and f lanes on each	add a new form factor plug on each end. This	Also applies to 136D.3.4. SuggestedRemedy Change "on the near end" to "on one end", and "on the far end" to "on the other end". Apply similarly in 136D.3.4 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy and check other inappropriate instances of near and fa
	nse Status <b>C</b> a one-plug end with sing. In the develop id therefore chose a examples are; one-p olug to eight-plug and f MDIs and number s merit it would nee	a by-8 plug (OSI ment of the Anno subset of the po lug to one-plug, d that "cable ass of lanes that me d to be translated	FP or QSFP-DD) to two- ex it was recognized ossible cable assembly one-plug to two-plug, embly form factors et the requirements of	

C/ 136D SC 136D.3.2

C/ 136D	SC 136D.3.2	P <b>396</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 23
Ran, Adee		Intel		

#### Comment Type E Comment Status A

"It may be used to connect a QSFP28 or microQSFP form factor host (see 136D.2.2 or 136D.2.3) to two QSFP28 or microQSFP form factor hosts with two 50GBASE-CR links or one 100GBASE-CR2 link"

The phrase "with two 50GBASE-CR links or one 100GBASE-CR2 link" is true for each of the two hosts on the two-plug end. The host on the one-plug end will have either four or two links. This is not clear from first reading.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to

"It may be used to connect a QSFP28 or form factor host (see 136D.2.2) or a microQSFP form factor host (see 136D.2.3) on the one-plug end to two QSFP28 or microQSFP form factor hosts on the two-plug end, such that the host on the one-plug end forms two 50GBASE-CR links or one 100GBASE-CR2 link with each of the hosts on the two-plug end."

#### Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response same as suggested remedy except deleted first instance of "or" in first sentence.

Response Status C

"It may be used to connect a QSFP28 form factor host (see 136D.2.2) or a microQSFP form factor host (see 136D.2.3) on the one-plug end to two QSFP28 or microQSFP form factor hosts on the two-plug end, such that the host on the one-plug end forms two 50GBASE-CR links or one 100GBASE-CR2 link with each of the hosts on the two-plug end."

C/ 137	SC 137	P 249	L <b>1</b>	# 26
Mellitz, Ric	hard	Samtec		

Return loss

ERL requires a descrition on how to measure and compute

Comment Status D

This comment is a potential solution for the variability of COM due to potential

manufacturing variations of package parameters referred to in d2.0 unresolved comments 71, 72, and 113.

In addition this comment is also a potential solution issued of return loss issues indicated d2.0 unresolved comments 140 and 141.

## SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

TR

Add annex describing ERL measurement and computation. See this interim and prior presentations for description

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 137	SC 137.8.3	P <b>247</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 75
Dudek, Mike		Cavium		
Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status A		<bucket></bucket>

The section heading is for PMD receive function as is the reference to 136.8.3 but the text is talking about the transmit function. Also the MDI exception is in 137.8.2 and for consistency should be in this section as well.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence to "The PMD receive function specification is identical to that of 136.8.3 with the exception that electrical signals are received from the MDI, according to the receive electrical specifications in 137.9.3"

Response ACCEPT.	Response Status	с	
C/ <b>137</b> SC <b>137</b> Hidaka, Yasuo		<b>47</b> <i>L</i> <b>52</b> u Lab. of Americ	# 31
Comment Type E 137.8.3 describe	Comment Status s the PMD receive function		<bucket></bucket>
SuggestedRemedy Change "transmi	it" to "receive" in the first pa	ragraph of 137.8.3.	
Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Response Status NCIPLE.	С	
See comment #7	75.		
eral		C/ 137	Page 16 of 26

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 137
 Page 16 of 26

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 137
 Page 16 of 26

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C/
 137
 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ 137 SC 137.8.4	P 248	L 25	# 32	Cl 137 SC 137.		L 37	# 77
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab. o	f Americ		Dudek, Mike	Cavium		
Comment Type E 137.8.4 describes the SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A PMD global signal detect fund	ction.	<bucket></bucket>	Comment Type E All the other optio one doesn't	Comment Status A nal functions on this page state	that they are option	< <i>bucket</i> > nal in the text. This
	" to "global signal detect" in th	e first paragraph	of 137.8.4.	SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C				nange to "The PMD lane-by-lan entical to that of 136.8.7."	e transmit disable f	function is optional. Its
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C		
CI 137 SC 137.8.5	P 248	L 29	# 33	ACCEPT.			
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab. o	f Americ		CI 137 SC 137.	9 P	L	# 18
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		<bucket></bucket>	Ran, Adee	Intel		
137.8.5 describes the	PMD lane-by-lane signal dete	ect function.		Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Return loss
SuggestedRemedy Change "lane-by-lane 137.8.5.	signal" to "lane-by-lane signa	I detect" in the fi	rst paragraph of	impedance when	bate in this task force about hor qualifying a channel.		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			backplane with a that impedance. T	e environment is mostly an engi desired characteristic impedanc his can improve design flexibilit ilculation and Tx/RX tests will ha	e, and use endpoir y of backplanes an	nt devices matched to ad silicon devices.
C/ 137 SC 137.8.5	P 248	L <b>29</b>	# 76	SuggestedRemedy			
Dudek, Mike	Cavium			I will submit a pre	sentation with proposed change	s.	
Comment Type E Missing word.	Comment Status A		<bucket></bucket>	Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Change "signal function	on" to "signal detect function"			The proposal on s	lide 9 of presentation ran_3cd_ a complete solution.	02_0917 was revie	wed. The proposal
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.			•	nsus to implement the proposal	l.	
0							

See comment #33.

C/ 137 SC 137.9

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2	P <b>249</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 25	C/ 137 S	C 137.9.2	P 249	L 29	# 51
Iellitz, Richard	Samtec			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Return loss	Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status R		Tx specs
	20D-1 is either too restrictive f kely because a frequency dor iven bit error ratio.				test equipr	ned at the TX output. SNDR is nent therefore is lower than SI ent 139.		
This comment is a po	otential solution for the variabil	litv of COM due t	o potential	SuggestedRen	nedy			
manufacturing variation 71, 72, and 113.	ons of package parameters rel ent is also a potential solution	ferred to in d2.0	unresolved comments		n caused by	ecification to 29 dB for both Cl y the package and test fixture		
d2.0 unresolved com				Response		Response Status U		
SuggestedRemedy				REJECT.		, -		
	ERL requirement to be greate al return loss keeping commo			The task fo	orce reviewe	ed rysin_3cd_01_0917.		
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z			The packa fit procedu		fixture effects are linear, so an	e effectively de-	embedded in the linear
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter	er.		The claim	that measu	red SNDR is lower than "real"	SNDR is not sul	ostantiated.
C/ <b>137</b> SC <b>137.9.2</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>249</b> Mellanox	L 28	# 49	correspond	ling TX para	of 3.5 dB between the COM pa ameter (SNDR) would break th	ne budget. Bad t	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Tx specs	the TX spe	cs but caus	e their partner's receiver to fai	l.	
137) is still too high s	sidual ISI SNR_ISI (min) 36.8 se dawe_3bs_04_0717 and da gh the test fixture. The warning D2.0 comment 140	awe_3cd_02a_0	717 - can barely	There is no	o consensus	s to make the proposed chang	es.	
SuggestedRemedy See presentation.								
Response REJECT.	Response Status U							
KEJEGI.								
The task force review	ed rysin_3cd_02_0917.							

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2

Cl 137	SC 13	37.9.2	P 249		L <b>29</b>	# 50		C/ 137	SC	137.9.2		P 249	L <b>30</b>	# 52
Dawe, Pie	rs		Mellano	(				Dawe, Pier	rs			Mellanox		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A				Tx specs	Comment	Туре	TR	Comme	nt Status R		Return los
(Claus dawe_ depen pessir	se 137) for _3cd_02a_ ids on emp nistic and er, in COM	or all Tx er a_0717 - ca nphasis, w d not realis M. D2.0	ortion ratio (min), incre- nphasis settings, is to an barely measure the hile COM assumes the stic. Also I suspect the comment 139.	o high: s e IC thro e spec l	see dawe_3bs ough the test fil limit at all emp	_04_0717 and xture. It seems hasis settings	SNDR which is	expect tighter and 9 ( at low t there is	transr than C GHz). freque s less o	nitter retur EI-56G-L At low fre ncy is muc concern al	rn loss to al .R at low (ar equencies it ch less thar .bout end-to	ign to the COM r id high) frequenc is tighter than th the less good R -end reflections t	nodel any more. y (although app le channel RL. L at higher frequ han in C2C beca	age impedance, we don' This RL is much arently looser between 4 The effect of (good) RL uencies anyway, and ause the loss is higher ad a few drafts ago.
•••			accounts for the way I	max va	ries with emph	nasis:		Suggested	Reme	dy				
SNDR emph Response	0+20log1 asis only.	10(Pmax_	equalized/Pmax_une	qualized)			r no	similar figure t	to the to illust	Cl.93 and trate. Refe	d the chann fer to new e		uencies; 12 -0.6 f existing 137-1.	
AUCE								Response			Respons	e Status U		
			nt #139 against D2.0	NOC:				REJEC	CT.					
		lo comme	ne # 100 agaillot D2.0	Nas.				INEUEC						
"REJE dawe_ The co adopti	CT. _3cd_02_0 omment h	_0717 was highlights f the propo	presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. Irged to build consens	rrent dra			sus for	The probability the	task fo	orce on the	e system im	0917 was review plications of the nent the propose	proposed return	mation was requested loss relaxation.
"REJE dawe_ The ca adopti The ca	ECT. _3cd_02_0 omment h ing any of ommenter	0717 was highlights f the propo r is encou	s presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. Irged to build consens	rrent dra			sus for	The probability the	task fo was no	orce on the	e system im	plications of the	proposed return	
"REJE dawe_ The ca adopti The ca	ECT. _3cd_02_( omment h ing any of ommenter uggested i	0717 was highlights f the propo er is encou	s presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. Irged to build consens s a new proposal.	rrent dra us and b	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There	task fo was no SC	orce on the	e system im	plications of the	proposed return ed changes.	loss relaxation.
"REJE dawe_ The ca adopti The ca	ECT. _3cd_02_( omment h ing any of ommenter uggested i	0717 was highlights f the propo er is encou	s presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. Irged to build consens	rrent dra us and b	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There of C/ 137	task fo was no SC chard	orce on the	e system im	plications of the nent the propose <i>P</i> <b>249</b>	proposed return ed changes.	loss relaxation.
"REJE dawe_ The co adopti The co The so The so	ECT. _3cd_02_( comment h ing any of commenter uggested n commenter	0717 was highlights f the propo r is encou	s presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. Irged to build consens s a new proposal.	rrent dra us and b sed solu	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There of C/ 137 C/ 137 Mellitz, Ric Comment The Comment of Comm	task for was no SC chard <i>Type</i> n loss ir annels. signali ommer acturin , and 1 ition th	TR This is liking at a pot g variation 13. This comme	Comment Comment OD-1 is either cely because ven bit error tential solutions of package	plications of the nent the propose P 249 Samtec Int Status D er too restrictive the frequency of ratio. on for the variab ge parameters re potential solutior	proposed return ed changes. <i>L</i> 32 for devices and domain mask do ility of COM due iferred to in d2.0	Ioss relaxation. # 27 Return los not restrictive enough es not truly represent
"REJE dawe_ The co adopti The co The so The so	ECT. _3cd_02_( comment h ing any of commenter uggested n commenter	0717 was highlights f the propo r is encou	presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. irged to build consens s a new proposal. ut an issue and propo	rrent dra us and b sed solu	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There of C/ 137 Mellitz, Ric Comment T Return for cha digital This co manufa 71, 72, In addi d2.0 ur	task for was no SC chard <i>Type</i> n loss ir annels. signali pommer acturin , and 1 ition th nresolv	TR 137.9.3 TR 137.0.3 TR 137.0.3	comment Comment OD-1 is either cely because tential solutions of package	plications of the nent the propose P 249 Samtec Int Status D er too restrictive the frequency of ratio. on for the variab ge parameters re potential solutior	proposed return ed changes. <i>L</i> 32 for devices and domain mask do ility of COM due iferred to in d2.0	Ioss relaxation. # 27 Return los not restrictive enough es not truly represent to potential unresolved comments
"REJE dawe_ The co adopti The co The so The so	ECT. _3cd_02_( comment h ing any of commenter uggested n commenter	0717 was highlights f the propo r is encou	presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. irged to build consens s a new proposal. ut an issue and propo	rrent dra us and b sed solu	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There of C/ 137 Mellitz, Ric Comment T Return for cha digital This co manufa 71, 72, In addi d2.0 ur Suggested Add ite	task for was no SC chard Type a loss ir annels. signali ommer acturin , and 1 ition th nresolv (Remed em to li	TR 137.9.3 TR 137.9.3 TR 137.9.3 TR 137.9.3 This is lik ng at a giv nt is a pot g variation 13. is comme ved comm dy ist for an E	e system im sus to impler <i>Comme</i> , 0D-1 is eith kely because ven bit error tential soluti ns of packag ent is also a nents 140 ar ERL require	plications of the ment the propose P 249 Samtec Int Status D er too restrictive the frequency of ratio. on for the variab ge parameters re potential solution ad 141.	proposed return ed changes. <i>L</i> 32 for devices and domain mask do ility of COM due iferred to in d2.0 n issued of return er than 8 dB. Re	Ioss relaxation. # 27 Return los not restrictive enough es not truly represent to potential unresolved comments n loss issues indicated emove section 137.9.3.1
"REJE dawe_ The co adopti The co The so The so	ECT. _3cd_02_( comment h ing any of commenter uggested n commenter	0717 was highlights f the propo r is encou	presented. some issues in the cu osed solutions. irged to build consens s a new proposal. ut an issue and propo	rrent dra us and b sed solu	oring a new pro	oposal."		The proby the There of C/ 137 Mellitz, Ric Comment T Return for cha digital This co manufa 71, 72, In addi d2.0 ur Suggested Add ite	task for was no SC chard <i>Type</i> n loss ir annels. signali ommer acturin , and 1 ition th nresolv <i>IReme</i> e em to li ning to	TR 137.9.3 TR n table 120 This is lik ng at a giv nt is a pot g variatior 13. is comme ved comm dy st for an E differentia	e system im sus to impler Commen 0D-1 is eithe ven bit error tential soluti ns of packag ent is also a hents 140 ar ERL require al return loss	plications of the ment the propose P 249 Samtec Int Status D er too restrictive the frequency of ratio. on for the variab ge parameters re potential solution id 141. ment to be great	proposed return ed changes. <i>L</i> 32 for devices and domain mask do ility of COM due iferred to in d2.0 n issued of return er than 8 dB. Re	Ioss relaxation. # 27 Return los not restrictive enough es not truly represent to potential unresolved comments n loss issues indicated emove section 137.9.3.1

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 137

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 137.9.3

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 137.9.3
 SC
 137.9.3

Page 19 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ 137 SC 137.9.3	P 249	L 37	# 78	C/ 137	SC 137.	9.3.1	P 250	<i>L</i> 1	# 37
Dudek, Mike	Cavium			Dawe, Pier	6		Mellanox		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		<bucket></bucket>	Comment T	ype TR		Comment Status R		Return los
This is the KR clause	not the CR clause						with a near-neutral termin		
SuggestedRemedy Change "50GBASE-C	R and 100GBASE-CR2" to ""	50GBASEKR and	d 100GBASE-KR2"	becaus receive	e the recei <sup>.</sup> r attributes	ver inte	designer's concern, not the erference tolerance test find we don't expect transmitter	ds its effect cor return loss to a	nbined with other align to the COM model
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status <b>C</b> _E.			appare RL. Th	ntly looser	betwee (good)	ch tighter than CEI-56G-LF en 4 and 9 GHz). At low fre RL at low frequency is mu we can go back to what w	equencies it is t ch less than the	ighter than the channel e less good RL at higher
Change "50GBASE-C	R and 100GBASE-CR2" to "5	0GBASE-KR and	d 100GBASE-KR2".	Suggested	-	.y. 00	no our go buok to mat m		and ago.
C/ 137 SC 137.9.3	P 249	L <b>45</b>	# 30	00	e "shall me	≏t			
		210	" 00	Onango					
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab. o	f Americ					all meet Equation (93-3)" ar 2 -0.625f, revise the figure.		37-1 and Fig 137-3.
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Return loss			- f to 12			37-1 and Fig 137-3.
Comment Type <b>T</b> 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de-	,	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is	Or, cha <i>Response</i> REJEC The pre	nge 14.25 T. esentation o	- f to 12 dawe_3	2 -0.625f, revise the figure.	ed. Further info	rmation was requested
Comment Type <b>T</b> 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de same as Equation (12)	Comment Status A eviver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we	Or, cha <i>Response</i> REJEC The pro by the	nge 14.25 T. esentation o ask force o	-fto12 dawe_3 on the s	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> <b>U</b> 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewed system implications of the p	ed. Further info proposed returr	rmation was requested
Comment Type <b>T</b> 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12) can remove the sub-cl	Comment Status A eviver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There w	nge 14.25 T. esentation o ask force o vas no con:	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> <b>U</b> 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose	ed. Further info proposed returr d changes.	rmation was requested n loss relaxation.
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last state	Comment Status A eviver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient.	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There v C/ 137	nge 14.25 T. esentation o ask force o vas no con SC 137.	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> <b>U</b> 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose <i>P</i> 252	ed. Further info proposed returr d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b>	rmation was requested
Comment Type <b>T</b> 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last states 4.	Comment Status <b>A</b> seiver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the rembedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient.	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There w	nge 14.25 T. esentation o ask force o vas no con SC 137.	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> <b>U</b> 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose	ed. Further info proposed returr d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b>	rmation was requested n loss relaxation.
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12) can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last state 4. Response	Comment Status A every input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t ment in 137.9.3, sub-clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> C	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient.	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There w C/ 137 Hidaka, Ya Comment T	nge 14.25 T. esentation of ask force of vas no cont SC 137. suo Type E	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus 10	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> U 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose <i>P</i> 252 Fujitsu Lab. c <i>Comment Status</i> A	ed. Further info proposed return d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b> of Americ	rmation was requested n loss relaxation. # 29 Nomenclature
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last stated 4. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Comment Status A seiver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t ment in 137.9.3, sub-clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> C LE.	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i 37.9.3.1, Figure	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture is." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient. 137-3, and Figure 137-	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There w C/ 137 Hidaka, Ya Comment T f_LF is	nge 14.25 T. esentation of ask force of vas no cont SC 137. suo Type E	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus 10	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> U 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose <i>P</i> 252 Fujitsu Lab. c <i>Comment Status</i> A for zero. In P802.3bs D3.3	ed. Further info proposed return d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b> of Americ	rmation was requested n loss relaxation. # 29 Nomenclature
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last stated 4. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Comment Status A every input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t ment in 137.9.3, sub-clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> C	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i 37.9.3.1, Figure	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture is." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient. 137-3, and Figure 137-	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre by the There w C/ 137 Hidaka, Ya Comment T f_LF is	nge 14.25 T. esentation of ask force of vas no com SC 137. suo <i>ype</i> <b>E</b> also a para guency pole	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus 10	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. <i>Response Status</i> U 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose <i>P</i> 252 Fujitsu Lab. c <i>Comment Status</i> A for zero. In P802.3bs D3.3	ed. Further info proposed return d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b> of Americ	rmation was requested n loss relaxation. # 29 Nomenclature
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last stated 4. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI P802.3bs D3.3 120D v	Comment Status A seiver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t ment in 137.9.3, sub-clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> C LE.	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i 37.9.3.1, Figure me return loss s	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient. 137-3, and Figure 137- pecifications.	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre- by the f There v C/ 137 Hidaka, Ya Comment T f_LF is low free Suggested	nge 14.25 T. esentation of ask force of vas no com SC 137. suo <i>ype</i> <b>E</b> also a para guency pole	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus 10 meter b/zero".	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. Response Status U 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose P 252 Fujitsu Lab. c Comment Status A for zero. In P802.3bs D3.3	ed. Further info proposed return d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b> of Americ	rmation was requested n loss relaxation. # 29 Nomenclature
Comment Type T 137.9.3.1 specifies rec we specify here, it sho 137.9.3.1 looks same return loss may be de- same as Equation (12/ can remove the sub-cl SuggestedRemedy Remove the last stated 4. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI P802.3bs D3.3 120D v Delete: "In addition, th	Comment Status A seiver input return loss which y uld be described as an excep as Table 120D-5 including the embedded from the return los 0D-2) and Equation (137-2) is ause 137.9.3.1. A reference t ment in 137.9.3, sub-clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> C LE.	was also specifie tion. In addition, e new statement ss measurement s same as Equati o Table 120D-5 i 37.9.3.1, Figure me return loss s 137.9.3.1 apply.	ed in Table 120D-5. If the specification in of "The test fixture ts." Equation (137-1) is ion (93-5). It seems we may be sufficient. 137-3, and Figure 137- pecifications.	Or, cha Response REJEC The pre- by the f There v C/ 137 Hidaka, Ya Comment T f_LF is low free Suggested	nge 14.25 T. esentation of ask force of vas no con SC 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo <i>SD</i> 137. suo	- f to 12 dawe_3 on the s sensus 10 ameter e/zero".	2 -0.625f, revise the figure. Response Status U 3cd_01a_0917 was reviewe system implications of the p to implement the propose P 252 Fujitsu Lab. c Comment Status A for zero. In P802.3bs D3.3	ed. Further info proposed return d changes. <i>L</i> <b>7</b> of Americ	rmation was requested n loss relaxation. # 29 Nomenclature

C/ 137 SC 137.10

C/ <b>137</b> SC <b>137.10.2</b> Mellitz, Richard	P <b>252</b> Samtec	L <b>48</b>	# 28	Cl <b>137</b> SC <b>137.12</b> . Dudek, Mike	<b>4.3</b> <i>P</i> <b>257</b> Cavium	L <b>50</b>	# 73
channels. This is likely l signaling at a given bit e This comment is a pote manufacturing variation 71, 72, and 113.	ential solution for the variabil s of package parameters ref it is also a potential solution	n mask does not ity of COM due t erred to in d2.0	truly represent digital o potential unresolved comments		Comment Status A ement in the spec is to meet 9 93.8.1.4 which has a differen 9 120D.3.1.1 Response Status C		<i><bucket></bucket></i> The reference here in
SuggestedRemedy				Change the reference	clause for item TC3 to 120D	.3.1.1.	
	g a channel ERL should be 8.5 dB. Remove sections of 2 oss recommendation			C/ <b>137</b> SC <b>137.12</b> . Dudek, Mike	<b>4.3</b> <i>P</i> <b>258</b> Cavium	L 15	# 80
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z			<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> The subclause refere	Comment Status A nce is wrong		<bucket></bucket>
This comment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter	er.		SuggestedRemedy			
Cl 137 SC 137.12.4.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T	B P 257 Cavium Comment Status A	L <b>50</b>	# 79	Change 120D.3.1.1 to <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	o 120D.3.1.8 Response Status <b>C</b>		
Wrong reference in PIC							
SuggestedRemedy Change 93.8.1.4 to 120	)D.3.1.1						
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b>						
Comment seems to be	a duplicate of #73. Apply the	e remedy in #73.					

C/ 137 SC 137.12.4.3

C/ 138	SC 138.7.1	P 270	L 10	# 38	
Dawe, Piers		Mellano	x		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

It seems that it is possible to make a bad transmitter (e.g. with a noisy or distorted signal), use emphasis to get it to pass the TDECQ test, yet leave a realistic, compliant receiver with an unreasonable challenge, such as high peak power, high crest factor, or a need to remove emphasis from the signal, contrary to what equalizers are primarily intended to do. With some of the changed low-bandwidth TDECQ being used to equalize the reference receiver's own bandwidth, this issue becomes more apparent. Note the receiver is tested for a very slow signal only, not for any of these abusive signals. This is an issue for all the PAM4 optical PMDs, although it may be worse for MMF because of the high TDECQ limit.

#### SuggestedRemedy

1. To screen for noisy or distorted signals with heavy emphasis

Define TDECQrms = 10\*log10(A\_RMS/(s\*3\*Qt\*R)) where A\_RMS is the standard deviation of the measured signal after the 13.28125 GHz filter response, Qt and R are as already in Eq 212-12. s is the standard deviation of a fast clean signal with OMA=2 and without emphasis, observed through the 13.28125 GHz filter response (around 0.7 - can be calculated when the filter bandwidth is stable). Set limit for TDECQrms according to what level of dirty-but-emphasised signal we decide is acceptable, add max TDECQrms row to the table. Alternatively, if the same relative limit is acceptable for all PAM4 optical PMDs, the limit could be in the TDECQ procedure 121.8.5.3 as proposed in bs comment(s). Similarly in clauses 139, 140.

2. To protect the TIA input, consider a peak power spec as in Clause 86.

Response Status U

3. To protect the TIA and any AGC and TIA from unreasonable signals, consider a crest factor spec.

4. To protect the equalizer from having to support unnecessary settings, require that the cursor is one of the first three taps.

5. To protect the receiver from having to "invert" heavily over-emphasised signals, set a minimum cursor weight.

#### Response

REJECT.

This comment is related to unsatisfied comments i-140 and r02-35 against 802.3bs draft 3.2.

# The resolution to P802.3bs comment r02-35 was: "REJECT

Insufficient evidence of the claimed problem and that the proposed remedy fixes the problem. The commenter is invited to provide a contribution that demonstrates the problem (a waveform that passes TDECQ but cannot be decoded by a reasonable receiver implementation) and that the proposed additional requirement prevents this issue from occurring."

Insufficient evidence was provided of the claimed problem and that the suggested remedy fixes the problem. A contribution is invited that demonstrates the problem (a waveform that passes TDECQ but cannot be decoded by a reasonable receiver implementation) and that

the proposed additional requirements prevent this issue from occurring.

C/ 138	SC 138.7.3	P <b>271</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 71
Dudek, Mike	e	Cavium		

#### Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The Power budget for other Ethernet clauses is equal to min OMA at maximum TDP minus Receiver Sensitivity. Due to having Receiver Sensitivity with SECQ at 0.9dB the equivalent equation doesn't hold. It would be good to clarify what the power budget is here.

#### SuggestedRemedy

In Table 138-10 Change parameter "Power budget (for max TDECQ)" to "Power budget (for max TDECQ and SECQ=0)". Make the same change in Tables 139-8 and 140-8.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 138	SC 138.8.7	P 274	L <b>8</b>	# 70
Dudek, Mik	e	Cavium		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

On this draft the Receiver sensitivity was changed to be with an SECQ of 0.9, but here it is defined to be for an ideal input signal. There appears to be a conflict here.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "Receiver sensitivity, which is defined for an ideal input signal", to "Receiver sensitivity, which is defined for a signal with SECQ=0.9dB (e.g. an ideal input signal without overshoot)", Make the same change in clauses 139.7.8 and 140.7.8

Response Resp	onse Status C
---------------	---------------

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This was discussed in association with

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17\_08\_22/anslow\_01a\_0817\_smf.pdf during the SMF Ad Hoc on 22 August 2017.

#### Change

"Receiver sensitivity, which is defined for an ideal input signal,"

## to

"Receiver sensitivity, which is defined for an input signal with SECQ of 0.9 dB (e.g., an ideal input signal without overshoot),".

Make the same change in clauses 139.7.8 and 140.7.8

CI	138
SC	138.8.7

Page 22 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 138 SC 138.8.8 P 274 L 29 # 68	C/ 139 SC 139.6.1 P 291 L 36 # 39
Dudek, Mike Cavium	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> I have made a comment to 802.3bs that will (by reference) change this specification. I'm	Comment Type E Comment Status A <bucket <bucket="" <bucket<="" a="" status="" td=""></bucket>
making this comment in 802.3cd to alert this task force and provide the opportunity for the comment and solution to be evaluated separately for this specification. This comment is essentially the same as one I am making against Clause 139. It is related to the stressed sensitivity testing.	SuggestedRemedy Change "Total average launch power (max)" to "Average launch power (max)". Response Response Status C
uggestedRemedy	ACCEPT.
No change to the specification.Note that this change also affects Clause 140.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusZ	C/ 139         SC 139.6.1         P 291         L 40         # 90           Welch, Brian         Luxtera Inc
REJECT.	
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> <lare <lare="" <li="">Table 139-6: For 50GBase-LR, the current effective min TDECQ (as indicated by the</lare>
C/ 139 SC 139.6.1 P 291 L 36 # 40	difference between OMAouter (min) and OMAouter minus TDECQ (min) is larger than can be achieved with high bandwidth transmitters, unduly penalizing them
awe, Piers Mellanox	SuggestedRemedy
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> The discussion around D2.0 comment 152 implied that there is receiver margin to spare in 50GBASE-FR.	Propose reducing Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter) (min) from -1 dBm to -2 dBm, and revising footnoot b to reach "Even if the TDECQ < 0.9 dBm, the OMAouter (min) must exceed this value.
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy reduce all the optical power levels for 50GBASE-FR (except Rx damage) by 1 dB. Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right, including TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       [Editor's note: This comment was received after the ballot closed.]
reduce all the optical power levels for 50GBASE-FR (except Rx damage) by 1 dB. Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right, including TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
reduce all the optical power levels for 50GBASE-FR (except Rx damage) by 1 dB. Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right, including TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit. esponse Response Status U	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment was received after the ballot closed.] Similar comments have been made to Clauses 121 and 122 in P802.3bs. It is proposed to remain consistent with the specification approach in P802.3bs. Following the sense of the discussions on http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17_08_22/anslow_01a_0817_smf.pdf during
reduce all the optical power levels for 50GBASE-FR (except Rx damage) by 1 dB. Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right, including TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit. esponse Response Status U REJECT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment was received after the ballot closed.] Similar comments have been made to Clauses 121 and 122 in P802.3bs. It is proposed to remain consistent with the specification approach in P802.3bs. Following the sense of the discussions on http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17_08_22/anslow_01a_0817_smf.pdf during the P802.3bs SMF Ad Hoc on 22 August 2017. In Table 139-6: Change OMAouter (min) from 1 dBm to -1.5 dBm for 50GBASE-LR. Change note b to read "Even if the TDECQ < 1.4 dB for an extinction ratio, the OMAouter
reduce all the optical power levels for 50GBASE-FR (except Rx damage) by 1 dB. Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right, including TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit. Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment is a follow up comment to comment #152 to D2.0. The current values are based on the adoption of a baseline proposal in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/May16/cole_3cd_01_0516.pdf during the May 2016	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment was received after the ballot closed.] Similar comments have been made to Clauses 121 and 122 in P802.3bs. It is proposed to remain consistent with the specification approach in P802.3bs. Following the sense of the discussions on http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17_08_22/anslow_01a_0817_smf.pdf during the P802.3bs SMF Ad Hoc on 22 August 2017. In Table 139-6: Change OMAouter (min) from 1 dBm to -1.5 dBm for 50GBASE-LR.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 139 SC 139.6.1 Page 23 of 26 2017-09-13 3:26:31 PM

C/ <b>139</b> SC <b>139.6.1</b> Welch, Brian	P <b>291</b> Luxtera Inc	L <b>40</b>	# 89		Cl 139 Dawe, Piers	SC 139.7.1	P <b>294</b> Mellanox	L <b>34</b>	# 41
Table 139-6: For 50GBas difference between OMAd be achieved with high bar SuggestedRemedy Propose reducing Outer O dBm, and revising footnoor must exceed this value.	Comment Status <b>A</b> e-FR, the current effective buter (min) and OMAouter ndwidth transmitters, undul Optical Modulation Amplitue of b to reach "Even if the T Response Status <b>C</b>	minus TDECQ y penalizing the de (OMAouter)	(min) is larger the em (min) from -2 dB	an can m to -3	scramb the olde long the 50GBA scramb 40GBA We sho	S testing, whil bled idle (with I er 802.3ba) all at we can't tell SE-R signal. I bled idle. Table SE-R signal.	Comment Status R e Table 138-12 following 802. FEC) or valid 50GBASE-SR ows only PRBS31Q and scrar the statistics of RS-FEC enco RF, which is a valid 50GBASE a 89-10 (40GBASE-FR) also a tly allow a valid xGBASE-R sig	signal, but this T nbled idle. The 5 oded scrambled i -R signal, is ofte llows PRBS31, s	Fable 139-10 (following58-bit scrambler is soidle from any other validin more convenient thanscrambled idle or valid
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Suggested	, Remedv			
	ent was received after the	ballot closed.]					, 5, 6 or valid 50GBASE-R sig ade in bs (D3.0 comment 25)		ole 140-10. Similar
	een made to Clauses 121 onsistency with the specifi				Response REJEC	ст.	Response Status C		
the P802.3bs SMF Ad Ho In Table 139-6: Change OMAouter (min) Change note b to read "E value". Change Average launch p In Table 139-7:	/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17_08	or 50GBASE-FF 3, the OMAoute to -4.1 dBm.	R. r (min) must exce	eed this	The rec adequa 88 and corresp SSPRO this tes Becaus	commended te ate for SRS tes in progress (fr ponding Clause Q (pattern 6) is at and may ove se the propose	ost identical to comment #126 est patterns 3 (PRBS31Q) or 5 sting. The current approach is or P802.3bs) Clauses 121, 12 es in P802.3bs the pattern set intended only for transmitter erstress the receiver.	(scrambled idle used in in-force 2 and 124. For c should stay as i testing. Therefor	s) are more than SMF Clauses 87 and onsistency with t is. e it is not relevant for

Modifications to P802.3bs are outside the scope of the cd Task Force.

C/ 139 SC 139.7.1

C/ 139	SC 139.7.9	P <b>298</b>	L <b>20</b>	#	69
Dudek, Mike	e	Cavium			

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This comment is the same as one made against 802.3bs. With this calibration method for stressed receiver sensitivity a receiver with wider bandwidth than Nyquist will have an improved stressed sensitivity. (around 0I.9dB if at 0.75\*Baud rate). This may encourage vendors of receivers to have receiver bandwidths wider than Nyquist. However Transmitters are tested for TDECQ with the Nyquist filtered reference equalizer so that Energy above Nyquist is not "aliased" degrading their TDECQ. There will be an interoperability issue between Transmitters with bad high frequency content and Receivers which have wider bandwidth.

## SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 139-5 move the sinusoidal amplitude interferer after the Low-pass filter. On page 297 line52 Change " to "The sinusoidal amplitude interferer is set to 0.71\*Baud rate. Note that the reference to 121.8.9.2 on page298 line 43 will require "0.1dB SECQ to be created with the sinusoidal interference " if the comment against 802.3bs first choice is accepted.

Alternatively change the bandwidth of the reference receiver used for TDECQ back to  $0.75^*$ Baud rate and change the numbers back to what they were on earlier revisions. Or add an additional test for the transmitter where TDECQ is measured with a  $0.75^*$ Baud rate filter and has to be <2.5dB

Make the equivalent changes in clauses 122 and 124. (Note that if 0.71\*Baud rate is changed to an exact frequency then another exception needs to be added in 124.8.9)

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 140	SC 140.6.1	P 314	L <b>33</b>	# 42
Dawe, Pier	S	Mellanox		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D2.0 comment 128: PAM4 optics is still new and raw, we are still debugging the specification methodology, and we have seen too little experimental information showing technical and economic feasibility. As measurements with the new TDECQ method and with new receiver designs become available, it may be that optical power levels can be reduced and the spec as in this draft would be uneconomic.

### SuggestedRemedy

Reduce all the optical power levels for 100GBASE-DR by 0.5 dB.

Bring more evidence for what optical power levels and TDECQ limits are right; in particular, TDECQ measurements with SSPRQ, and correlation to actual receiver performance. Review the TDECQ limit.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

No analysis has been provided that changing the current values by 0.5 dB would enable lower cost solutions and/or better performance.

Furthermore the existing values for 100GBASE-DR are intentionally consistent with the values for one lane in 400GBASE-DR4 in P802.3bs.

A presentation (dawe\_3bs\_03\_0917) containing similar proposals pertaining to 400GBASE-DR4 in P802.3bs D3.3 was not accepted.

C/ 140 SC 140.6.1

C/ 140		140.6.1	P 314	L 37	# 91
Welch, Bria	an		Luxtera Inc	2	
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		<late></late>
differe	nce bet	ween OM	Base-DR, the current effe Aouter (min) and OMAou andwidth transmitters, ur	ter minus TDECQ	(min) is larger than can
Suggested	Remed	ly			
1.3 dB	m, and		ootnoot b to reach "Even		(min) from -0.3 dBm to - 9 dBm, the OMAouter
Response			Response Status C		
ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	Ε.		
[Editor	's note:	This com	ment was received after	the ballot closed.]	
	oposed		3-7, has been made to D consistent with the value		DR4 in clause 124 of
Follow	ina the	sense of t	he discussions on		
http://v the P8	www.iee	ee802.org/ SMF Ad H	3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17 Hoc on 22 August 2017.	_08_22/anslow_0	1a_0817_smf.pdf during
	e note		) from -0.3 dBm to -0.8 d Even if the TDECQ < 1.4		er (min) must exceed this
	e Avera le 140-		n power (min) from -2.4 d	Bm to -2.9 dBm.	
in rap				Bm to -5.9 dBm.	

C/ 140 SC 140.6.1