000 SC 000	P	L	# i-2	CI 000	SC O	000	Р		L	# i-9
arris, Arthur	Cadence D	esign Syst		Anslow, P	eter		Cier	a Corpora	ation	
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Statu	s X		
	tructions throughout the do			Some	cross-re	eference	s in the draft are in f	orest gree	en although the	e target is in the draft.
	e to be published in 2018. / re changes to the revision p			Suggested	dRemedy	y				
uggestedRemedy	<u>.</u>	·,····					Clause 73 to be cro	ss-referen	ces in the follo	owing places:
	tions in draft 3.0 and future	drafts to align with	th the new base standard.		90, line 3 pe refere		.1) Clause 82 to be cro	ss-referen	ces in the follo	wing places:
oposed Response	Response Status 0			Chang Page Page Page Page Page Page Page Pag	ge refere 262, line 262, line 27, line 27, line 232, line 232, line 232, line 232, line 235, line 40, line 35, line 246, line 246, line 262, line 262, line 263, line 246, line	ences to 2 8 2 8 2 9 0 (91.3 2 40 (91.3 2 40 (91.3 2 19 (91.9 3 6 41 8 54 54 54 55 54 53 6 41 8 54 2 38 2 41 2 57 2	5.3.1) 6) Clause 120 to be cr nd 8 31 Clause 119 to be cr	ss-referen ss-referen oss-refere	aces in the follo	owing places:
				Ŭ	262, line					
				Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status	; O		

C/ 000 SC 000

/ 000 SC 000	Р	L	# i-86	C/ 000 SC	000	Р	L	# i-12
AN, ADEE	Intel Corporati	ion		Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corp	oration	
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
be aligned at the decimal It also says "Digits should counting from the decima followed (e.g. table 131-4 The style manual does no either left or right of the d (left of the decimal point), readability of numbers ou We should consistently for	entation of data and table for al point". This is not always for al point toward the left and r 4) and sometimes not (Table not require numbers outside decimal point. In this draft th), but not done for fractions (utside of tables is not improve follow the stated table conve	ollowed (e.g. tab of three [with spa ight". In this draf e 80-5). of tables to be th his is usually don (right of the decinved by this group	ble 131-4). ace separating], ft this is sometimes hree-digit-grouped, he for large integers mal point). The ping.	sending this of The draft con Clause 136 " confirmation Clause 136 " Clause 138 " TDECQ requ Clause 138 " confirmation Clause 138 " confirmation While any of	draft to Re trains five Editor's n Editor's n Editor's n Editor's n Editor's n and may Editor's n and may Editor's n and may these edi	editor's notes: ote: The values for SNDR, so change." ote: The value for Zc required ote: The values for OMAoutination and may change." ote: The values for SRS, re change." ote: The values for link bud change." tor's notes remain, I do not	SNR_ISI, and SI es confirmation a ter, OMAouter m ceiver sensitivity get and allocatio support sending	NR_TX require and may change." ninus TDECQ, and n, and SEC require on for penalties require the draft to RevCom
Go over all tables and for	rmat according to 13.3.2 in text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemed	<i>dy</i> work is n	e likely to recommend appr ecessary (which may be no <i>Response Status</i> O		
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the t	rmat according to 13.3.2 in text and remove the three-d Response Status 0		I.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever	<i>dy</i> work is n	ecessary (which may be no		
iggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the t	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever Proposed Respon	<i>dy</i> work is n	ecessary (which may be no		
ggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the t	text and remove the three-d		I.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever Proposed Respon	dy work is n nse	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O	ne) and remove	these five editor's notes
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the t	text and remove the three-d		I.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever Proposed Respon	dy work is n nse	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P	ne) and remove	these five editor's notes
iggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the t	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever Proposed Respon Cl 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type	dy work is no nse 000 E al cross-ro	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P Ciena Corp Comment Status X eferences are shown in blac	ne) and remove	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>
uggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the te	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemed Do whatever Proposed Respon Cl 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type Some externa	dy work is no nse 000 E pal cross-ro oplied to th	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P Ciena Corp Comment Status X eferences are shown in blac	ne) and remove	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>
uggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the te	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemen Do whatever Proposed Respon Cl 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type Some externa "External" ap SuggestedRemen Apply charac "Equation (93	dy work is no nse 000 E al cross-ro plied to th dy cter tag "E 3A-19)" pa	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P Ciena Corp <i>Comment Status</i> X eferences are shown in blac em.	ne) and remove <i>L</i> oration ck text, but shoul	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>
uggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the te	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemen Do whatever Proposed Respon Cl 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type Some externa "External" ap SuggestedRemen Apply charac "Equation (93	dy work is no nse 000 E al cross-ro oplied to th dy cter tag "E 3A-19)" pa 3A-19)" pa , "83D", "8	ecessary (which may be no <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> Ciena Corp <i>Comment Status</i> X eferences are shown in blac em. xternal" to: tige 231, line 12	ne) and remove <i>L</i> oration ck text, but shoul	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>
uggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the te	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemen Do whatever Proposed Respon CI 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type Some externa "External" ap SuggestedRemen Apply charac "Equation (93 "83A", "83B",	dy work is no nse 000 E al cross-ro oplied to th dy cter tag "E 3A-19)" pa 3A-19)" pa , "83D", "8	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P Ciena Corp Comment Status X eferences are shown in blac em. xternal" to: ige 231, line 12 i3E" , page 309, lines 25 to	ne) and remove <i>L</i> oration ck text, but shoul	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>
uggestedRemedy Go over all tables and for Go over numbers in the te	text and remove the three-d		Ι.	SuggestedRemen Do whatever Proposed Respon CI 000 SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type Some externa "External" ap SuggestedRemen Apply charac "Equation (93 "83A", "83B",	dy work is no nse 000 E al cross-ro oplied to th dy cter tag "E 3A-19)" pa 3A-19)" pa , "83D", "8	ecessary (which may be no Response Status O P Ciena Corp Comment Status X eferences are shown in blac em. xternal" to: ige 231, line 12 i3E" , page 309, lines 25 to	ne) and remove <i>L</i> oration ck text, but shoul	these five editor's notes # <u>i-14</u>

C/ 000 SC 000

C/ 000 SC 000 Anslow, Peter	P L Ciena Corporation	# i-20	C/ 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael	P 97 RETIRED/un	L 13	# i-109
Comment Type E Tables that split acro	Comment Status X sss two pages need the bottom ruling on the first action variable applied to the heading.	page set to "very thin"	Comment Type E 64-bit wide	Comment Status X	employed	
2, 135-4, 136-5, 136 136C-3, the tables ir	ng change to all such tables in the draft, includin -6 (2 places), 136-11, 136-15, 137-5, 138-9, 139 1 134.7.4.1, 134.7.4.2, 136.14.3, 136.14.4.3, 136 .3, 138.11.4.1, 139.11.4.1, 140.11.4.1, 135E.5.4	-6, 140-6, 93A-2, .14.4.5, 137.12.3,	SuggestedRemedy make 64-bit-wide to n Proposed Response	natch other occurances Response Status O		
	Jation variable to the heading of Table 93A-2. Response Status 0	., 1001.0.4.1	CI 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael	P 183 RETIRED/un	L 5 employed	# <u>i-110</u>
	P L Intel Corporation	# [<u>i-37</u>	Comment Type E bit-times SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E The convention in m "an" rather than "a".	Comment Status X ost of 802.3 text is that the acronym FEC is prec	eded by the article	make bit times to mat Proposed Response	ch other 24 occurances Response Status O		
See comment i-19 ir http://www.ieee802.c ID_v2.pdf.	n org/3/by/public/comments/8023by_D30_commen	t_final_responses_by_	Cl 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael	P 199 RETIRED/un	L 16 employed	# [i-111
SuggestedRemedy Change "a FEC" to "	an FEC" in the following:		Comment Type E Energy-Efficient	Comment Status X		
133.5.3 134.5.4.2.3 136.9.4.1			SuggestedRemedy make Energy Efficien Proposed Response	t to match other 11 occurance Response Status O	95	
100.0.4.1	Response Status O					
Proposed Response						
Proposed Response	P95 L1	# [i-113	Cl 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael	P 247 RETIRED/un	L 1 employed	# i-112
	, -	# [i-113				# [<u>i-112</u>
Cl 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael Comment Type E Energy-Efficient	P 95 L 1 RETIRED/unemployed	# <u>i-113</u>	Maytum, Michael Comment Type E Energy-Efficient SuggestedRemedy	RETIRED/un	employed	# <u>i-112</u>
Cl 000 SC 000 Maytum, Michael Comment Type E Energy-Efficient SuggestedRemedy	P 95 L 1 RETIRED/unemployed	# [<u>i-113</u>	Maytum, Michael Comment Type E Energy-Efficient SuggestedRemedy	RETIRED/un Comment Status X	employed	# <u>i-112</u>

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 000 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line Page 3 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

C/ 001 SC 1.4	P 39	L 3	# i-104	C/ 030 SC 30.3.2			# i-66
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Ltd.			Marris, Arthur	Cader	nce Design Syst	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status	Х	
	rder used by IEEE 802.3 is define			The reference shou	ld be to Table 81-4 rathe	r than 81-3	
	2.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/require r"). Based on this order, the spec			SuggestedRemedy			
100GBASE-CR2 is	not correct. Also, IEEE P802.3cd	will end up bei	ng an amendment to	Change 81-3 to 81-	4		
	x (currently IEEE P802.3 (IEEE & -R encoding" is not 1.4.52 in the			Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
SuggestedRemedy	j						
	finition sort order relative the loca	ations of definiti	ons in the expected	C/ 030 SC 30.5.1	.1.2 P 42	2 L 51	# i-67
base document.				Marris, Arthur	Cader	nce Design Syst	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E	Comment Status	х	
				The 50G entries she	ould go after 40GBASE-1	rather than 40GBASE	-FR
C/ 004 SC 4.4.2	P 41	L 8	# i-103	SuggestedRemedy			
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Ltd.			Change 40GBASE-	FR to 40GBASE-T		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
						•	
IEEE P802 3cd will	and up being an amendment to l	=EE Std 802 3-	201v (and not IEEE				
IEEE P802.3cd will Std 802.3-2015 as r	end up being an amendment to I nodified by). It is expected that	EEE Std 802.3- all amendment	201x (and not IEEE s except IEEE				
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and,	all amendment of course, any	s except IEEE subsequent	C/ 030 SC 30.6.1	.1.5 <i>P</i> 46	5 L 2 1	# [<u>i-68</u>
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and	all amendment of course, any should not be c	s except IEEE subsequent alled out in the	C/ 030 SC 30.6.1 Marris, Arthur		5 L 21 Ince Design Syst	# <u>i-68</u>
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and,	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to			ice Design Syst	# [<u>i-68</u>
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	Cader	nce Design Syst X	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	Cader Comment Status	nce Design Syst X	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele 02.3cj) ballot (so	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry goo SuggestedRemedy	Cader Comment Status	nce Design Syst X	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments).	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele 02.3cj) ballot (so	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry goo SuggestedRemedy	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response	nodified by). It is expected that 02.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). In tin the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele (2.3cj) ballot (so the expected l	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document.	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response	nodified by). It is expected that 2.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necess during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). It in the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O .1.2 <i>P</i> 42	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele 22.3cj) ballot (so the expected b L 11	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response	nodified by). It is expected that 2.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necess: during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). Int in the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O 1.2 <i>P</i> 42 Cadence Design	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele 22.3cj) ballot (so the expected b L 11	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document.	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response C/ 030 SC 30.3.2 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	nodified by). It is expected that 2.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necess during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). It in the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O .1.2 <i>P</i> 42	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele (2.3cj) ballot (so the expected l <i>L</i> 11 In Syst	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document. # i-65	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response C/ 030 SC 30.3.2 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Editorial instruction	nodified by). It is expected that 22.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). In the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O 1.1.2 <i>P</i> 42 Cadence Design <i>Comment Status</i> X	all amendment of course, any should not be c ary to track rele (2.3cj) ballot (so the expected l <i>L</i> 11 In Syst	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document. # i-65	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response C/ 030 SC 30.3.2 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Editorial instruction = SuggestedRemedy	nodified by). It is expected that 22.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necessa during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). In the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O 1.1.2 <i>P</i> 42 Cadence Design <i>Comment Status</i> X	all amendment of course, any should not be c any to track rele (2.3cj) ballot (so the expected f <i>L</i> 11 gn Syst 0GBASE-T rath	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document. # [i-65] her than 40GBASE-R	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	
Std 802.3-2015 as r P802.3bt, IEEE P80 amendments) will be frontmatter and editi these amendments have been submitter SuggestedRemedy At a convenient poir Proposed Response C/ 030 SC 30.3.2 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Editorial instruction = SuggestedRemedy	nodified by). It is expected that 22.3cb, and IEEE P802.3cd (and, e part of the base document and ing instructions. It is also necess: during the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 80 d as separate comments). In the process, align the draft to <i>Response Status</i> O 1.2 <i>P</i> 42 Cadence Design <i>Comment Status</i> X should say the insertion is after 4	all amendment of course, any should not be c any to track rele (2.3cj) ballot (so the expected f <i>L</i> 11 gn Syst 0GBASE-T rath	s except IEEE subsequent called out in the vant changes made to ome such changes base document. # [i-65] her than 40GBASE-R	Marris, Arthur Comment Type E The 50GR entry god SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE-	Cader <i>Comment Status</i> es after 40GBASE-T rath CR4 to 40GBASE-T	nce Design Syst X er than 40GBASE-CR4	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 030 SC 30.6.1.1.5 Page 4 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

C/ 031B SC 31B.4.6	P 330	L 23	# i-19	C/ 045 SC 4	5.2.1.116d.2	P 61	L 49	# <u>i-23</u>
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corporat	tion		Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corpo	ration	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	E Comr	nent Status X		
31B.4.6. See: http://www.ieee802.org/ When the P802.3cd dra revision, equivalent cha	02.0 of the 802.3 revision proj 3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-0 ft is changed to become an a nges need to be made to the	Comments-Fination	al-byID.pdf#page=3 he output of the	rather than "1" There are 188 There are 175 A comment ha	or "0". However, instances of "to c instances of "to z	there are some inc one" and 27 instance ero" and 5 instance against the revisio	onsistencies. es of "to 1". es of "to 0".	e value a bit is set to change these
SuggestedRemedy	ift is changed to become an a	mandmant to t	he autout of the	SuggestedRemedy	/			
revision: in the Value/Comment	cell, apply footnote a to "117 p nge "N/A [] M: Yes []" to "Yes Response Status O	bause_quanta"		Change "to 1" Page 61, line Page 62, line Page 64, lines	to "to one" on: 19 5			
				Proposed Respons	se Respo	nse Status O		
C/ 045 SC 45.2.1.6 Marris, Arthur	P 50 Cadence Desig Comment Status X	L 31 gn Syst	# [i-1	C/ 069 SC 6 Anslow, Peter	9.2.3	P 85 Ciena Corpo	L 49 ration	# <u>i-7</u>
reserved bit description SuggestedRemedy	should be simplified to just sh	-		from Table 69- http://www.iee 20171106_14-	11 against D3.1 c 2a to Table 69-1a e802.org/3/cb/cor I5.ldb.pdf#page=	aa. See: nments/IEEE_P802	2d3cb_D3p1_Cmt	serted by P802.3cb t_Resolution_by_ID
.,				SuggestedRemedy	/			
Cl 045 SC 45.2.1.110 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	6d P 60 Ciena Corporat Comment Status X	L 35 tion	# [i-11	Table 69-2 spe Change the in Change the ec	ecify the correlations erted tables to be	on" e Table69-2a, Table n page 86, line 10 t	e69-2b, and Table	a, Table 69-1a, and e69-2c 9-2a, Table69-2b, and
	two pages need the bottom ru on variable applied to the hea		t page set to "very thin"	Proposed Respon	se Respo	nse Status O		
and the table continuate								
SuggestedRemedy	es to tables 45-90ab, 45-90c, 4	45-90d, 45-90e						

C/ 069 SC 69.2.3

C/ 073 SC 73.6.4	P 90	L 1	# i-99	C/ 078 SC 78.5	P 96	L 20	# i-69
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Ltd.			Marris, Arthur	Cadence	e Design Syst	
	Comment Status X and up being an amendment to IEI cj) D3.0 which is in Sponsor ballot)				Comment Status X Id be below the row for 40G		
instructions should b document incorporat	e aligned with the expected base ies IEEE Std 802.3by-2016, has re g at line 4, and already includes a	document. T emoved the p	his expected base baragraph shown in	SuggestedRemedy Change 40GBASE Proposed Response	-KR to 40GBASE-T Response Status O)	
SuggestedRemedy							
Change the editing in	nstruction to: "Change the fourth a D1x) as follows:". Remove the strik Lat line 10.			C/ 080 SC 80.1. Marris, Arthur		L 47 e Design Syst	# i-70
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E 40GBASE-T is mis	Comment Status X ssing from the list		
C/ 073 SC 73.6.4	P 90	L 1	# i-3	SuggestedRemedy Add:			
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Design	Svet		m) The MDI as sn	ecified in Clause 113 for 400		ing data nath
viarris, Artriui	-	l Oyst		, ,			ine uala palit.
Comment Type TR Maintenance request	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by t ere is no need for it in 802.3cd	·	revision project to the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by t	·	revision project to the	, ,			# [<u>i-126</u>
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by t	the P802.3cj		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy Remove the text in 8	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by t ere is no need for it in 802.3cd	the P802.3cj		Proposed Response Cl 080 SC 80.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR This table 80-7 (Sl	Response Status O P 105 Mellano: Comment Status X kew Variation) does not agre	<i>L</i> 16 x Technologie we with e.g. 138.3.2.1	# [<u>i-126</u> , which says "Since the
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy Remove the text in 8 Proposed Response Cl 073 SC 73.11.4	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by tere is no need for it in 802.3cd 802.3cd concerning maintenance re Response Status O 4.7 P 94	the P802.3cj equest 1283 <i>L</i> 26		Proposed Response Cl 080 SC 80.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR This table 80-7 (Sl signal at XX repres 50GBASE-R PMD	Response Status O P 105 Mellano: Comment Status X kew Variation) does not agre sents a serial bit stream, the	<i>L</i> 16 x Technologie we with e.g. 138.3.2.1	# [i-126 , which says "Since the
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy Remove the text in 8 Proposed Response Cl 073 SC 73.11.4	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by t ere is no need for it in 802.3cd 802.3cd concerning maintenance r Response Status O	the P802.3cj equest 1283 <i>L</i> 26		Proposed Response Cl 080 SC 80.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR This table 80-7 (Sl signal at XX repres 50GBASE-R PMD SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 105 Mellano: Comment Status X kew Variation) does not agre sents a serial bit stream, the s are serial.	<i>L</i> 16 x Technologie e with e.g. 138.3.2.1 re is no Skew Variati	# [i-126 , which says "Since the ion at this point". All
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy Remove the text in 8 Proposed Response Cl 073 SC 73.11.4 Anslow, Peter	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by tere is no need for it in 802.3cd 802.3cd concerning maintenance re Response Status O 4.7 P 94 Ciena Corporation Comment Status X	the P802.3cj equest 1283 <i>L</i> 26		Proposed Response Cl 080 SC 80.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR This table 80-7 (Sl signal at XX repres 50GBASE-R PMD SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 105 Mellano: Comment Status X kew Variation) does not agre sents a serial bit stream, the	<i>L</i> 16 x Technologie ee with e.g. 138.3.2.1 re is no Skew Variati Table 131-6 (correcte	# [i-126 , which says "Since the ion at this point". All
Comment Type TR Maintenance request base standard so the SuggestedRemedy Remove the text in 8 Proposed Response Cl 073 SC 73.11.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E The editing instructio SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X t 1283 has been implemented by tere is no need for it in 802.3cd 802.3cd concerning maintenance re Response Status O 4.7 P 94 Ciena Corporation Comment Status X	the P802.3cj equest 1283 <i>L</i> 26 on		Proposed Response Cl 080 SC 80.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR This table 80-7 (Sl signal at XX repres 50GBASE-R PMD SuggestedRemedy Correct the table, s	Response Status O P 105 Mellano: Comment Status X kew Variation) does not agre sents a serial bit stream, the s are serial.	<i>L</i> 16 x Technologie ee with e.g. 138.3.2.1 re is no Skew Variati Table 131-6 (correcte	# <u>i-126</u> , which says "Since the ion at this point". All

C/ 080 SC 80.5

C/ 091 SC 91.5.3.1	P 111	L 5	# i-4	C/ 131 SC 131.1.	2 P 126	L 15	# i-144
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	gn Syst		Nicholl, Gary	Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	1299 has been implemented b e is no need for it in 802.3cd	y the P802.3cj	revision project to the		ta path as specified in Annex 13 as specified in Annex 135F or A		5G." should be "uses a
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Remove the text and fi request 1299.	igure 91-8 in 802.3cd in Claus	es 45 and 91 c	oncerning maintenance	Change "two-lane" t Proposed Response			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Floposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 093A SC 93A.1.4.2		L 38	# i-166	C/ 131 SC 131.5 Anslow, Peter	P 134 Ciena Corpo	L 5 ration	# [i-6
Dudek, Michael	Cavium			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			51	of Table 131-6, "Gbd" should be	"GBd" (2 instar	ires)
	ole 93A-1 implies that there is			C C			1000)
C(-2) for clauses that of	don't have it in 93A.1.4.2. The	ere isn't any and	I it should be added.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				6	of Table 131-6, change "Gbd" to	GBd" (2 Instar	ices)
Add a paragraph. "So clauses c(-2) is always	ome clauses do not provide in s zero.	ormation about	c(-2). For those	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 131 SC 131.5	P 134	/ 14	# i-124
				Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tec		# 11 2 4
C/ 120 SC 120.5.7	P 122	L 11	# i-10	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ation		<i>,</i>	ew Variation) does not agree w	vith e.a. 13832	1 which says "Since
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	a instruction of	t should not be		resents a serial bit stream, ther		
underlined	ing added with an Insert editin	g instruction, so	d it should not be	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Correct the table, at	least for SP2-6.		
	from the heading 120.5.7			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	6						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 131 SC 131.5

VI 133 SC 133.1.2 P 141 L 17 # [-32]	C/ 133 SC 133.1.4 P 141 L 50 # [-16
AN, ADEE Intel Corporation	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
"The 50GBASE-R PCS is identical to the 40GBASE-R PCS specified in Clause 82 with the following exceptions:"	Space missing between number and unit
	SuggestedRemedy
The list of exceptions here is identical to the list of exceptions in "133.2.1 Functions within the PCS".	Change 50Gb/s to 50 Gb/s using a non-breaking space (Ctrl space)
	Proposed Response Response Status O
The repetition is unnecessary. Whenever I read this text I wonder if there is any difference.	
Also, The PCS is not _identical_ with these exceptions; it also has slightly different delay	C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 P 151 L 13 # i-147
constraints. The wording in 133.2.1 is more appropriate.	Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
uggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Replace the text from the second paragraph to the end of the subclause with the following:	In bullet (1) shouldn't we also mention that the nominal rate for the PCS lanes is different
The 50GBASE-R PCS specifications are based on the 40GBASE-R PCS specifications in	than the noiminal rate for 100G PCS lanes. We have a similar statement at the beginning of Clause 133.
Clause 82, with the modifications listed in 133.2 and 133.3.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Add some text to include the nominal rate of the PCS lanes, and note that the nominal rate is different from the 100G PCS lanes. Also add reference to 134.2.
C/ 133 SC 133.1.2 P 141 L 21 # [i-145 icholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E Comment Status X	C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 P 151 L 15 # i-148
Add a reference at the end of the bullet 2 pointing to section 133.2.2.	Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
uggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Add a reference at the end of the bullet 2 pointing to section 133.2.2.	Add a reference at the end of the bullet 3 pointing to section 134.5.2.7
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy
	Add a reference at the end of the bullet 3 pointing to section 134.5.2.7
	Proposed Response Response Status O
# 133 SC 133.1.2 P 141 L 24 # i-146	
C/ 133 SC 133.1.2 P 141 L 24 # [i-146 icholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.	
icholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc. comment Type E Comment Status X	
Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X Add a reference at the end of the bullet 3 pointing to section 133.2.4.	
icholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc. comment Type E Comment Status X	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 Page 8 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 Nicholl, Gary	P 151 Cisco Systems	L 18 s, Inc.	# i-149	C/ 134 RAN, ADE	SC 134.5.2.		P 153 itel Corporati	L 50 on	# i-33
Comment Type E	Comment Status X end of the bullet 4 pointing to	section 134.5.2.	6.		51	Comment Sta	ntus X		ock error ratio by a
SuggestedRemedy Add a reference at the e Proposed Response	end of the bullet 4 pointing to Response Status O	section 134.5.2.	6.	This se that mo local P	entence is misle ost readers wo	uld think it is, but ra	ather the bit	error ratio in the	oming bit error ratio_ data stream from the in some applications it
C/ 134 SC 134.1.1 Nicholl, Gary	P 151 Cisco Systems	L 22 s, Inc.	# i-150			coming data (from corrected. This is n			in this data stream are text.
	Comment Status X end of the bullet 5 pointing to	section 134.5.4.		Suggested	Remedy	ainst clause 91 wa			
Add a reference at the end of the bullet 5 pointing to section 134.5.4. uggestedRemedy Add a reference at the end of the bullet 5 pointing to section 134.5.4. troposed Response Response Status O		The bit BIP blo NOTE-	error ratio in th ock error ratio b	ne data received fr y a factor of 1 351 ived from the local	om the local 680.	PCS can be es	timated by dividing the		
				Proposed F		Response Stat	tus O		
				C/ 134 Trowbridge Comment 7		-	P 156 okia	L 20	# [i-62
				Figure differer right of	134-3 has som at width than th amp_tx_3(56:	e sloppy drawing e line above amp_	elements. Th tx_2 or is tw ne up with th	o lines slightly o le line between l	np_tx_0 is either a iffset. The line to the RS index 12 and 13 or
					the figure. Zo	om in close and nu posed to line up	udge the item	ns to line up. Us	e continuous lines
				Proposed F		Response Sta	tus O		

C/ 134 SC 134.5.2.6 C/ 134 SC 134.5.2.8 P 156 L 40 # i-42 C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.3 P 158 L 23 # i-34 RAN, ADEE RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Е Comment Type т "in a round robin distribution from the lowest to the highest numbered FEC lane" "The probability that the decoder fails to indicate a codeword with t+1 errors as uncorrected is not expected to exceed 10^-6" This can be simplified, since there are only two FEC lanes. With RS(544,514) the probability is much lower; 802.3bs (119.2.5.3) states 10^-16 for the Also in 134.5.3.6 and in the corresponding PICS. same code. SuggestedRemedy See the response to comment #74 in Change the guoted text to http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D1p2 comments final ID.pdf. SuggestedRemedy "alternating between FEC lanes 0 and 1". Change "10^-6" to "10^-16". Update PICS items TF10 and RF11 accordingly. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.7 P 160 L 26 # i-43 C/ 134 SC 134.5.3.1 P 157 L4 # i-63 RAN. ADEE Intel Corporation Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing period after "am_rxmapped". Several of the bit numbers in Figure 134-4 are touching the lines on the right side of the SuggestedRemedy box: Four instances of "65" on line 4 and 256 on line 12. Add a period. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Adjust the position of these numbers to be the same distance from the right edge of the box as the "0" is from the left edge of the corresponding box. The digits 0 and 9 should be centered in the C543, C542 boxes. Some similar adjustments (although fewer problems) should be made to Figure 134-5 C/ 134 SC 134.5.4 P 160 L 32 # i-35 Proposed Response Response Status 0 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Superfluous period after "diagrams". SuggestedRemedy Remove it. Proposed Response Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3cd 50 Gb/s, 100 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s Ethernet Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 134 SC 134.5.4

C/ 134 SC 134.5.4.2.3 P 162 L 52 # i-36 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ 134 SC 134.6.17 P 166 L 36 # [i-38 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing period after "FEC lane".	Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing period after "(see 134.5.2.2)".
SuggestedRemedy Add a period.	SuggestedRemedy Add a period.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 134 SC 134.6 P 164 L 36 # [i-39] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Int	C/ 134 SC 134.7.4.1 P 170 L 3 # [i-41 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
This clause has no state diagrams but it does define variables. The conventional text "The following subclauses define variables that are not otherwise defined, e.g., for use by state diagrams" creates a long list of 21 subclauses. Unlike the common variable definition lists, these subclauses are not sorted by a	Item TF8 "feature" text "Alignment marker insertion point" is incorrect. It resembles item TF7 "Alignment marker insertion", but the requirement it refers to in 134.5.2.6 is stated differently: the 257-bit block _following_ the AM corresponds to the PCS blocks 0, 1, 2 and 3 following the alignment marker. (P156 L4)
meaningful order, and there is no separation to variables and counters.	SuggestedRemedy
It may be friendlier for readers to have the usual structure of variables and counters, sorted alphabetically.	Change "feature" text from "Alignment marker insertion point" to "First 257-bit block inserted after am_txmapped".
SuggestedRemedy	Change "value/comment" by deleting the aforementioned words.
Create a new subclause 134.7 titled "Variable definitions" (renumbering the PICS to 134.8).	Proposed Response Response Status O
Create two subclauses, 134.7.1 "Variables" and 134.7.2 "Counters".	
Move the variable definitions in 136.6.1 through 136.6.21 to these subclauses, sorted alphabetically, with the usual variable-list format.	C/ 135 SC 135 P 176 L 52 # i-45 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment TypeEComment StatusXThe identifiers p, q, i, j, and k are not consistently italicized throughout this clause.
C/ 134 SC 134.6.11 P 165 L 49 # i-40 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation Intel Corporation <td>There are also identifiers m, n, and z, denoting number of lanes, which are never italicized; so it's unclear whether p and q (which also denote the number of lanes) should be italicized</td>	There are also identifiers m, n, and z, denoting number of lanes, which are never italicized; so it's unclear whether p and q (which also denote the number of lanes) should be italicized
Comment Type E Comment Status X Superfluous period after "91.5.4.3".	Since p usually it italicized, I assume that all instances of p and q should be italicized. It may be decided otherwise. But for a specific identifier it should be consistent.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Delete it. Proposed Response Response Status O	Search through clause 135 for isolated p/q/i/j/k and for UNITDATA_k and UNITDATA_i, and italicize the p/q/i/j/k identifiers.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 135
 Page 11 of 40

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 135
 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 135
 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

<i>Cl</i> 135 SC 135.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 172 Mellanox Tecl	L 6 nnologie	# i-128	C/ 135 SC 135.3 RAN, ADEE	P 176 Intel Corporat	L 44 tion	# i-44
Comment Type E Missing text: compare 1	Comment Status X 36.1.			Comment Type E Superfluous ")" after	Comment Status X		
e.g. Add some text in f 135.1.1 if appropriate:	xes briefly, in the style of 136 for the overview explaining w Attachment sublayer (PMA) :	hat this clause		SuggestedRemedy Delete it. Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Clause 82) and FEC (se	ee Clause 134 and Clause 9 sical media. This clause ha <i>Response Status</i> 0	1) to connect in	a media-independent	Cl 135 SC 135.3 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 177 Nokia	L 22	# [i-64
				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	the input lence t	a tha autaut lanca
C/ 135 SC 135.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G	P 172 Mellanox Tecl	L 46 nnologie	# i-129	unless the symbols a	he PMA passes symbols from t are bits. According to Figure 13 f bits), passed through a bit mu	5-5, PAM4 symt	bols are decoded
is part of PAM4 coding ·	Comment Status X r function, precoding. This is - a PMA with PAM4 input an that's already done). Anoth	d output might o	to precoding but not	passes the bits repre	asses symbols from the input la esented by the symbols from the ime issue Page 178 line 5 in the	e input lanes inte	o encoded symbols or
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
add item k, In some circ Add full stop to item j.	cumstances, perform precod	ing for PAM4.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 135 SC 135.1.4	P 175	L 18	# <u>i-151</u>				
Dudek, Michael	Cavium						
Comment Type E poor grammar.	Comment Status X						
SuggestedRemedy add word "in" after spec	ified						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 135 SC 135.3

C/ 135 SC 135.5.7	.2 <i>P</i> 184	L 12	# i-130	C/ 135	SC 135.5.1).1 <i>P</i> 186	L 24	# i-153
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tec			Dudek, Mi		Cavium		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
connection count? Ir two paragraphs we h Also, per 120D.1, "Th channel, and a C2 link) might be further	run through PMAs or PMDs, th in the first paragraph we have " ave "PMA lanes adjacent to". ne C2C link is described in te C receiver." So a PMA lane of up or down the chain. ected version of the Novembe	PMA lanes conn erms of a C2C onnected to a C2	ected to" and in the last transmitter, a C2C 2C link (not part of the	isn't tl <i>Suggester</i> Chang	his sentence has dRemedy	ifferentiate between NRZ tes s little value). Using "clause" ub-clause". Also on line 46 <i>Response Status</i> O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 135	SC 135.5.1).2.2 <i>P</i> 187	L 7	# i-154
Change "For PMA la PMD service interfac	nes connected to a 50GAUI-1	C2C or 100GAU	I-2 C2C link, or to the	Dudek, Mi		Cavium	L I	<i>^m</i> 1-104
a 50GBASE-CR, 50C provide 1/(1+D) mod 1/(1+D) mod 4 decor- to "A PMA shall prov of a 50GAUI-1 C2C of interface of a 50GBA PMA may optionally part of a 50GAUI-1 C interface of a 50GBA In the penultimate part to "For PMA inputs a In the last paragraph inputs and outputs th	BASE-KR, 100GBASE-CR2, 4 precoding capability on each ing capability on each input la ide 1/(1+D) mod 4 precoding c or 100GAUI-2 C2C transmitter, SE-CR, 50GBASE-KR, 100GE provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 decodir 2C or 100GAUI-2 C2C receive SE-CR, 50GBASE-KR, 100GE tragraph, change "For PMA lar nd outputs adjacent to a 50GE , change "For PMA lanes adjacent at are part of a 50GAUI-1 C2C	h output lane and ne." capability on each or is adjacent to BASE-CR2, or 10 ng capability on e er, or is adjacent BASE-CR2, or 10 nes adjacent to a BASE-CR PMD". cent to a 50GAU	a may optionally provide n output lane that is part to the PMD service 00GBASE-KR2 PMD. A each input lane that is to the PMD service 00GBASE-KR2 PMD." 50GBASE-CR PMD"	Suggester Chang Chang lanes option specif	BS31Q checker <i>dRemedy</i> ge the sentence ge to "A PMA m in either direction	Response Status 0	to be equivalent t S31Q test-pattern 2.Add a sentence	to the NRZ section. generator on output e. "A PMA may
Proposed Response	Response Status O			RAN, ADE		Intel Corpora		# 1-46
C/ 135 SC 135.5.1 Dudek, Michael	0 P 186 Cavium	L 17	# i-152		51	Comment Status X ons of conditional features "P	"IU", "PID", and "I	PIP" which appear in
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Suggeste	dRemedy			
poor grammar.						these features, or change th	e conditions of it	ems using them to
				some	thing else.			

Proposed Response Response S

Response Status O

C/ 135 SC 135.7.4.2

C/ 135 SC 135.7.4.3 P 194 L 19	# i-47	C/ 135C SC 135C.1	P 347	L 22	# i-168
RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation		Dudek, Michael	Cavium		
Comment Type E Comment Status X		Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
It doesn't make sense that all items in this table have status "M". Th conditional on data rate and number of lanes.	ey should be	poor English			
		SuggestedRemedy			
In addition, item E8 requires 53.125 GBd for a one-lane interface; d lane 50GBASE-*R PMD?	oes this rule out a one-	Change "using" to "uses"			
SuggestedRemedy		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Add necessary conditions for each case.					
		C/ 135D SC 135D.5.4.2	P 357	L 12	# i-169
Proposed Response Response Status O		Dudek, Michael	Cavium		
		Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
C/ 135 SC 135.7.4.3 P 194 L 20	# i-155	The exceptions are listed	in 120B.3.2 and 135D.3.2	only contains a	reference to 120B.3.2
udek, Michael Cavium		SuggestedRemedy		-	
Comment Type E Comment Status X		557	ptions in 120B.3.2" but kee	en the subclause	reference as 135D 3
Subclause references are missing		U	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy		Froposed Response			
Add them					
Proposed Response Response Status O		C/ 135F SC 135F.1	P 367	L 7	# <u>i</u> -142
		Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie	
		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
C/ 135B SC 135B.5.4.2 P 345 L 12 Dudek, Michael Cavium	# i-167	This annex does not reference path.	r to Clause 135 at all, nor o	does it mention p	recoding for the data
Comment Type T Comment Status X		SuggestedRemedy			
There are no exceptions to Table 83D-5 in 135B.3.2		Make reference to 135.			
SuagestedRemedy			ng that a receiver may requ	lest precoding ar	nd a transmitter
Delete "with the exceptions in 135B.3.2"			request. ddition the C2C transmitte	r provides a prec	oding function that ca
Proposed Response Response Status O		be switched on and off. In 135F.3.2, say that in a function.	ddition the C2C receiver m	nay provide an inv	verse precoding
		Proposed Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 135F SC 135F.1 Page 14 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

C/ 135FSC 135F.3P 367L 18# i-98Rysin, AlexanderMellanox Technologie	C/ 135F SC 135F.6.4.1 P 371 L 38 # [i-171 Dudek, Michael Cavium
Rysin, Alexander Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X Transmitter output residual ISI SNR_ISI (min) 34.8 dB (Clause 120D) is too high - can barely measure the IC through the test fixture. The warning NOTE in 120D.3.1.7 shows the issue, but doesn't solve it. D2.0 comment 140, D2.1 comment 49, D2.2 comment 22. Since both SNR_ISI and Effective Return Loss (ERL) represent uncompensated reflections from the transmitter and the test fixtures, measurements of ERL can replace SNR_ISI. Also, frequency domain return loss mask does not truly represent digital signaling at a given bit error ratio. There is no real proof that violating return loss masks is directly tied to failures and a number of false negatives have been shown. D2.0 comment 141, D2.1 comments 26, 27 and 28. SuggestedRemedy Change 135F.3.1 from "A 50GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-2 C2C transmitter shall meet all specifications in 120D.3.1" to "A 50GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-2 C2C transmitter shall meet all specifications in 120D.3.1 with the following exceptions: Effective Return Loss (ERL) is calculated with Nb set to 10 (see Annex New). ERL shall be at least 16.2 dB. The Transmitter Output residual ISI SNR_ISI and the return loss specifications in Table in Table 120D-1 do not apply." Change 135F.3.2 from "A 50GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-2 C2C receiver shall meet all specifications in 120D.3.1" to "A 50GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-2 C2C receiver shall meet all specifications in Table in Table 120D-1 do not apply." Change 135F.3.2 from "A 50GAUI-1 C2C or a 100GAUI-2 C2C receiver shall meet all specifications in 120D.3.1" to	Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type T Comment Status X The 12mV is incorrect. It is 30mV in the specifications in 120D.3.1 and was corrected in the 802.3bs PICs from 12mV to 30mV in the last revision SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 12mV to 30mV. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 135F SC 135F.6.4.3 P 372 L 36 # [j-172] Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type T Comment Status X The Pics for the Channel Return loss is missing SuggestedRemedy Add the equivalent Pics to CC2 in 120D.5.4.3 Proposed Response Response Status O
Effective Return Loss (ERL) is calculated with Nb set to 10 (see Annex New). ERL shall be at least 16.2 dB. There is no frequency domain return loss mask." Proposed Response Response Status O	

FEC symbol error ratio." Proposed Response Response Status **O**

L 25

i-170

P 367

The Receiver should be allowed to use the Transmitter precoding to meet the FEC symbol

Add the following "with the optional use of Transmitter pre-coding to achieve the required

Cavium

Comment Status X

C/ 135F

Dudek, Michael

Comment Type T

SuggestedRemedy

error ratio requirements.

SC 135F.3.2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 135F SC 135F.6.4.3 Page 15 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

C/ 135G	SC 135G.3.1	P 375	L 21	# i-61
RAN. ADEE		Intel Corporation		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

100GAUI-2 C2M host output is specified by reference to 120E.3.1. This means jitter is measured with a CRU with corner frequency of 4 MHz (per 120E.4.2).

Low-frequency jitter will be attenuated by the CRU - that means it is assumed to be tracked by the module's CDR.

This creates a problem if the module is a 100GBASE-DR PMD; the tracked jitter will be forwarded to the optical transmitter with the same time values, so doubled magnitude in UI terms.

This means that the link partner's optical receiver, with assumed CDR BW of 4 MHz too (per 140.7.9 and 121.8.9.4 SRS definitions), will see low frequency jitter that can be twice of what it is tested to tolerate.

The CDRs used in practice are second-order, so at very low frequencies this higher jitter level will likely be acceptable; but there is no specification for the integral gain of the CDR, so at medium frequencies the jitter tolerance is implementation dependent (even for fully compliant PMDs).

Having excessive untracked low-frequency jitter may be detrimental for BER even with FEC; the SNR will vary over time, and even if the average is good, uncorrectable codewords may be more frequent than what could be expected. This can cause unexpected deployment problems.

This issue was not resolved in 802.3bs although there have been comments about having the same CDR bandwidth for 50 and 100 Gb/s per lane interfaces. The least painful way to solve it at this point seems to be a recommendation for the host output jitter. This will leave all optical specs unmodified.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text after the single paragraph in 135G.3.1:

To limit the jitter at frequencies which a 100GBASE-DR PMD's optical receiver may not track well, it is recommended that in addition to the specifications in 120E.3.1, the Host output eye width and eye height specifications (120E.3.1.6) be met when measured using a clock recovery unit with a corner frequency of 2 MHz.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 135G SC 135G.3.1	P 375 L 21	# i-115
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Technologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As pointed out in both 802.3bs and this project, a host output with 50 Gb/s lanes is allowed to make twice as much low frequency jitter at very low frequencies as a receiver with 100 Gb/s lane(s) is required to receive. A jitter buffer does not fix this unless it is infinite. To assure interoperability, there must be industry-wide agreement that tightens 50G/lane host low frequency jitter generation, increases 100G/lane receiver low frequency jitter tolerance, or a combination. The proposed remedy is as simple as any of the options considered. Also it is likely to be compatible with 100G electrical lanes. This remedy must be applied to 100GAUI-2 C2M host outputs (unless another remedy is chosen), but may be applied to 50GAUI-1 host outputs and/or the corresponding module inputs for consistency. As any 50G/lane E/O conversions basically pass the low frequency jitter along for something else to tolerate, we can leave their specs alone.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the end of the sentence "with the exception that the clock recovery unit's corner frequency (see 120E.4.2) is 2 MHz not 4 MHz".

If desired, change 135G.3.4: add "with the exceptions that the sinusoidal jitter (see 120E.3.4.1.1 and Table 120E-8) is defined by Table 135G-New, and that the reference CRU's corner frequency (see 120E.3.4.1.1of 4 MHz) is 2 MHz not 4 MHz". Table 135G-New-Applied sinusoidal jitter Parameter Case A Case B Case C Case D Case E Case F Units Jitter frequency 0.02 0.667 2 6 20 60 MHz Jitter amplitude 5 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 UI

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 135G SC 135G.3.1 Page 16 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

V 135G SC 135G.3.1	I P 375	L 22	# i-114	C/ 135G	SC 135G.3	.1 P	375	L 33	# i-87
awe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tecl	nnologie		Wertheim, C	Oded	Mell	anox Tec	hnologie	
mment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T		Comment Status			
http://www.ieee802.org additional spec to prot provides worthwhile pr This was agreed in pri the time.	s/public/adhoc/elect/05Oct_17 g/3/cd/public/Nov17/dawe_3co ect the module from e.g. very rotection. nciple (D2.2 comment 30) but	d_01_1117.pdf t noisy hosts, and	there is a need for an d a max VEC spec	frequen to-peak transce frequen reduce	ncy corner as t c jitter as the ji eiver PMA to ir ncy jitter and a	tter mask is defined ir nplement a de-jitterize large jitter buffer (wh low frequencies jitter)	GAUI-2 w n UIs. Thi er, which ich may b	ith the same jitte s requires the 10 requires to add a be unbounded wh	r but with half the peak 0GBASE-DR a PLL to handle the low hen attempting to
ggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
definition of VEC, base	ent for VEC, max 12 dB. In a r ed on the definition in P802.3t lide 13 (or successor) for prop <i>Response Status</i> O	os D2.0 120E.4.	2.1: see	100GBA lane inte	ASE-DR). The terfaces and p	uency for 100GAUI-2 proposed resolution rovides simpler soluti change to the optical	doesn't ir on than a	ntroduce constrai	ints on future 100G per
				method a. The r	lology with an reference CRI	o 135G.4 50GAUI-1 (exception that: J for the Eye width ar r the host output and	nd eye he	ight measuremer	l measurement nt method has a corner
				2. Add a charact		o 135G.3.4 50GAUI-	1 C2M an	d 100GAUI-2 C2	M module input
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case C Case D		J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1			er frequency of 2MHz ed input test shall be:
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case C Case D	reference CRI applied sinusc requency, Jitte \(\coloregy (0.02, 5) \(\coloregy (0.05) \(\coloregy (2, 0.05) \(\coloregy (2, 0.05) \(\coloregy (2, 0.05) \(J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1	00GAUI-2		
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case C Case D Case E	reference CRI applied sinusc requency, Jitte \(\lambda \) (0.02, 5\) \(\lambda \) (0.06, 0.15\) \(\lambda \) (2, 0.05\) \(\lambda \) (20, 0.05\) Response SC 136	J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1 er amplitude} Response Status	00GAUI-2 3 0 207		
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case C Case D Case E Proposed R C/ 136 Dudek, Mich Comment T	reference CRI applied sinusc requency, Jitte \(\coloregy (0.02, 5) \(\coloregy (0.05) \(\coloregy (0.05) \(\	J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1 er amplitude} Response Status	00GAUI-2 3 0 207 ium s X	2 Module stresse	d input test shall be: # <u>i-158</u>
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case D Case D Case E Proposed R C/ 136 Dudek, Mich Comment T There a SuggestedF	reference CRI applied sinusc requency, Jitte (0.02, 5) (0.66, 0.15) (2, 0.05) (3, 0.05) (4, 0.05) (4, 0.05) (5, 0.05	J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1 er amplitude} Response Status P Cavi Comment Status	00GAUI-2 5 O 207 ium s X 5 in the ca	2 Module stresse	#d input test shall be: # <u>i-158</u> ecifications.
				a. The r b. The a {Jitter fr Case A Case B Case D Case D Case E Proposed R C/ 136 Dudek, Mich Comment T There a SuggestedF	reference CRI applied sinusc requency, Jitte (0.02, 5) (0.66, 0.15) (2, 0.05) (4, 0.05) (4, 0.05) (4, 0.05) (5, 0.05) (5, 0.05) (5, 0.05) (6, 0.05) (7, 0.05	J for the Module stres idal jitter values for 1 er amplitude} Response Status P Cavi Comment Status	00GAUI-2 207 ium s X s in the ca o "two cal	2 Module stresse	#d input test shall be: # <u>i-158</u> ecifications.

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 136 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 136 SC 136.1	P 198	L 10	# i-156	C/ 136 SC 136.6.1	P 202	L 19	# i <u>-123</u>
Judek, Michael	Cavium			Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
In the stack Clause 91 better if Table 136-2 we	FEC will always be below the ere in the same order.	Clause 83 anne	exes. It would read	The Skew at SP4 (th transmitter MDI) for t	e receiver MDI) has to be the s hese serial PMDs.	same as the Ske	w at SP3 (the
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In table 136-2 Move the the same change in Ta	e row for clause 91 immediate able 137-2.	ely below the row	w for Annex 83D. Make	constraints - all 50Gl	at SP4 and SP5. Correct Tab BASE-R PMDs are serial so it's		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			139.3.2.			
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 136 SC 136.3	P 200	L 45	# i-157		D 207	1.45	# : 04
Dudek, Michael	Cavium			C/ 136 SC 136.8.1 Lusted, Kent	P 207 Intel Corpora	L 15	# i-24
Comment Type E	Comment Status X						
With just two possible	values of I the use of "or" inst	ead of "to" is be	tter.	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X	C 40 (Channel ak	
SuggestedRemedy				136.9 (PMD electrica	ence. this should reference 13 al characteristics)	6.10 (Channel cr	haracteristics), not
Change "to" to "or"				SuggestedRemedy	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change reference to	136.10		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 136 SC 136.3	P 234	L 30	# i-77				
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec, Inc.			C/ 136 SC 136.8.2	P 208	L1	# [i-25
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Lusted, Kent	Intel Corpora		1 120
	en demonstrated to limit suffic			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	en the DFE in the reference s e-reflected. Apparently, there i				napping of the differential output	ut voltage to tx s	vmbol = two and
	and cable assembly return lo			tx_symbol = one.		g 0(<u>-</u> 0	,
directly and provided a	linkage to input/output return	loss.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy					pping of the differential output v	oltage to tx_sym	bol = two and
	1.3 from "Cable Assembly Diff turn Loss". Remove all the co			tx_symbol = one.			
	ium Loss . Remove all the co un loss of the cable assembly		•	Proposed Response	Response Status O		

Response Status 0

Tr=18.9ps, and N_b is set by this clause."

Proposed Response

minimum effective return loss of the cable assembly shall be greater than 11.2 dB only when COM is less than 4 dB computed using beta_x=10.7e9, rho_x=0.15, PTDR

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 136 SC 136.8.2 Page 18 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:29 PM

usied, Kent Intel Corporation Comment Type ER Comment Status X The second paragraph in 136.8.3 reference the x_symbol values as "three" and "zero". The first paragraph in 136.8.3 reference the x_symbol values as "three" and "zero". however, the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2 does not use "three" and "zero" The single second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. wggested/Remedy Intel Corporation This sub-secticate. Comment Status X values, Kent Intel Corporation The size operation is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbol values". values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Type Comment Status X values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporation Comment Status X Intel Corporation values (Kant Intel Corporatio		
comment Type ER Comment Status X The second paragraph in 136.8.2 reference the x_symbol values as "three" and "zero". however, the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" but "3" and "o". uggestedRemedy In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" vi 136 SC 136.8.11.1 P210 L4 Vi 200 Comment Type Response Response Status O Vi 200 L4 Vi 200 L4 Vi 200 Vi 200 Comment Status X The term "the symbol values." in the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx, symbol and rx, symbol. Vi 200 Sc 136.8.11.	C/ 136 SC 136.8.2 P 208 L 6 # i-26	C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.4.2 P 216 L 28 # i-90
The second paragraph in 136.8.2 reference the fx_symbol values as "three" and "zero". however, the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2 does not use "three" and "zero". however, the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2 does not use "three" and "zero". In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" three and "zero". Toposed Response Response Status O 136.6 SC 136.8.11.1 P210 L4 # 27 Utate 1.56 SC 136.8.11.6 P218 L15 # 1159 Utate 1.56 SC 136.8.11.1 P215 L47 # 289 This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if the order of the sentence were changed. SuggestedRemedy Change The time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the treewere frame lock bit in the status field or transmitted training frames is set to 1 the time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the receipt of a new request to the time from the receipt of a new request to the	usted, Kent Intel Corporation	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited
The first paragraph in 136.8.3 reference the rx_symbol values as "three" and "zero". however, the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2 does not use "three" and "zero" but "3" and "0". taggestedRemedy In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" troposed Response Response Response Status 0 17 136 SC 136.8.11.1 P210 L4 # [-27] Total SC 136.8.11.1 titled Coordinion of the paragraph of 136.8.2 the paragraph of 136.8.1.1.2 to be "138.8.4.2.11.3 Coefficient update request process" Insert and suggestedRemedy change The Symbol values." in the paragraph of 136.8.1.1.2 to be "138.8.4.1.1.4 titled "Coefficient update request process" the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol. UggestedRemedy change The torper Torpe TR Comment Status X the term "the symbol values." in the paragraph and rx_symbol. UggestedRemedy change The torper Torpe TR Comment Status X the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol and rx_symbol. UggestedRemedy change The torper Torpe TR Comment Status X Trade SC 136.8.11.4.1 P215 L47 # [-89] This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. SuggestedRemedy Change The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms." Proposed Response Response Response Status 0 Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragrap	Comment Type ER Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
uggestedRemedy In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" ropposed Response Response Status O 1/136 SC 136.8.11.1 P210 L4 # [27] 1/136 SC 136.8.11.6 P218 L15 # [159] Update 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_C(k) reference to the new sub-section Proposed Response Response Status 0 1/136 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P215 L47 # [38] 1/136 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P215 L47 # [38] <td>The first paragraph in 136.8.3 reference the rx_symbol values as "three" and "zero".</td> <td>Coefficient update and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if</td>	The first paragraph in 136.8.3 reference the rx_symbol values as "three" and "zero".	Coefficient update and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if
In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero" roposed Response Response Status O 1/136 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L4 # 27 1/136 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L4 # 27 1/136 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L4 # 27 1/136 SC 136.8.11.6 P 218 L15 # 1/159 Comment Type TR Comment Status X the term "the symbol values" In the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tv_symbol and rx_symbol. UggestedRemedy change "the symbol values" to "the PAM4 symbol values" roposed Response Response Status O 1/136 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L47 # 1-89 This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. UggestedRemedy Change title 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Change title 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Change title 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Change title 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section		SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status N 136 SC 136.8.11.1 P210 L4 L4 Image: Text Comment Status Stomment Type TR Comment Status X the term the symbol values'in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol. uggestadRemady change 'the symbol values' to 'the PAM4 symbol values' troposed Response Response Status 0 1/136 SC 136.8.11.4 P215 L47 Lasted, kiff Broadcon Limited comment Type E Comment Status X It is ub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. uggestedRemedy Change tift Broadcom Limited comment Type Comment Type Construction usited, Alff Broadcom Limited comment Type Construction table section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. uggestedRemedy Change tifte a comment Status X Instable condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections	SuggestedRemedy	
Topbosed Response Response Status O V1 36 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L 4 # [-27] V1 36 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L 4 # [-27] V1 wated, Kent Intel Corporation Response Status O formment Type TR Comment Status X Intel Corporation Comment Status X usgestedRemedy Change "the symbol values" to "the PAM4 symbol values" Comment Status X It would read better if the order of the sentence were changed. V1 36 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L 47 # [-89] V1 36 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L 47 # [-89] Values, Jeff Broadcom Limited Broadcom Limited SuggestedRemedy Change title of 136.8.1.1.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. SuggestedRemedy Change title of 38.8.1.1.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Status AL Proposed Response Response Status O Update 136.8.1.1.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Status AL Status AL SuggestedRemedy	In the 3rd paragraph of 136.8.2, change to "three" and "zero"	
1/136 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L 4 # [-27] usted, Kent Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Status X Environment Type the term "the symbol values" in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol. Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.6 P 218 L 15 # [-159] uggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O	
usted, Kent Intel Corporation comment Type TR Comment Status X the term "the symbol values" in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbol salues." Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.6 P 218 L 15 # [±159] uggestedRemedy		Proposed Response Response Status O
usted, Kent Intel Corporation comment Type TR Comment Status X the term "the symbol values" in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbol salues." Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.6 P 218 L 15 # [±159] uggestedRemedy	C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.1 P 210 L 4 # [i-27	
comment Type TR Comment Status X the term "the symbol values." in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol and rx_symbol. Dudek, Michael Cavium uggestedRemedy change "the symbol values" to "the PAM4 symbol values" Comment Type E Comment Status X the vargaraph references PAM4 symbol values" 0 Change "The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms when the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1 the time from the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1 the time from the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1 the time from the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1 the time from the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the st		
the term "the symbol values" in the parenthesis is a bit confusing. The first sentence of the paragraph references PAM4 symbols as well as tx_symbol and rx_symbol. tragestedRemedy change "the symbol values" to "the PAM4 symbol values" troposed Response Response Status O That SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L 47 # [+89] lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited tromment Type E Comment Status X This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition setting request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. traggestedRemedy Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	Comment Type TR Comment Status X	
It would read better if the order of the sentence were changed. SuggestedRemedy troposed Response Response Status O Troposed Response Response Status O Troposed Response Response Status O Transitive Canage The time from the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of the section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition setting request process" largestedRemedy Change ittle of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting or Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section		
change "the symbol values" to "the PAM4 symbol values" proposed Response Response Status reposed Response Response Status Response Status Response Status<		It would read better if the order of the sentence were changed.
Proposed Response Response Status O Change "The time from the receipt of a new request to the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms when the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status field of transmitt		SuggestedRemedy
If 136 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L 47 # i-89 the time that request is acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms." lavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited Proposed Response Response Status O comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. Proposed Response Response Status O Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Initial condition setting response process" Initial condition setting response process" Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section Image: Status St		acknowledged shall be less than 2 ms when the receiver frame lock bit in the status field o transmitted training frames is set to 1." to "When the receiver frame lock bit in the status
Comment Type E Comment Status X This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. SuggestedRemedy Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.4.1 P 215 L 47 # [i-89	
This sub-section has 2 chunks of information, the first part describes how to Request an Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. PuggestedRemedy Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	Proposed Response Response Status O
Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if these were split into two sections. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	Comment Type E Comment Status X	
Change title of 136.8.4.11.1 to be "Initial condition setting request process" Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	Initial Condition and the second part how to respond to a Request. It would be cleaner if	
Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of" Update 136.8.11.7.2 UPDATE_IC reference to the new sub-section	SuggestedRemedy	
roposed Response Response Status O	Insert new sub-heading 136.8.4.11.2 titled "Initial condition setting response process" before the paragraph starting with "The handling of"	
	Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 136 SC 136.8.11.6

Cl 136 SC 136.8.11.7.3 P 221 L 27 # i-91	Cl 136 SC 136.9 P 226 L 8 # i-97
Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Limited	Rysin, Alexander Mellanox Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 The initial suggested maximum link train duration provided during baseline adoptions was 1.5s which was made without significant operation of the newly proposed training protocol. With the benefit of additional experience gained over the last 18 months it has become clear that additional time would be beneficial. Some of the reasons the new protocol is taking longer are 1) Additional equalization tap provided (pre2) 2) Protocol serializes the coefficient updates 3) Additional transmission modes (ie. precode) 5) PAM4 is more sensitive to mis-equalization 	Transmitter output residual ISI SNR_ISI (min) 36.8 dB (Clause 136) and 43 dB (Clause 137) is too high - can barely measure the IC through the test fixture. The warning NOTE ir 120D.3.1.7 shows the issue, but doesn't solve it. The limits for SNR_ISI in Clause 136 and Clause 137 are even more stringent than in 120D. D2.0 comment 140, D2.1 comment 49, D2.2 comment 22. Since both SNR_ISI and Effective Return Loss (ERL) represent uncompensated reflectior from the transmitter and the test fixtures, measurements of ERL can replace SNR_ISI. SuggestedRemedy * Remove reference to SNR_ISI in Table 136-11Summary of transmitter specifications a
SuggestedRemedy	TP2. * Add a requirement for Effective Return Loss (ERL) to be greater than 18.2 dB in Table
Change the max_wait_timer in 136.8.11.7.3 to be 3s Change the link_inhibit_fail_timer in Table 73-7 to range from 3.1 to 3.2 seconds for the PAM4 PHYs Proposed Response Response Status 0	136-11. * Change paragraph 3 in 137.9.2 from "SNR_ISI is computed with Nb set to 12 and Dp se to 3. The value of SNR_ISI (min) is 43 dB." to "Effective Return Loss (ERL) is calculated with Nb set to 12 (see Annex New). ERL shall be at least 16.2 dB. The Transmitter Outpu residual ISI SNR_ISI specification in Table in Table 120D-1 does not apply."
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 136 SC 136.9 P 225 L 39 # i-96	
Rysin, Alexander Mellanox Technologie	C/ 136 SC 136.9.3 P 225 L 23 # i-21
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Frequency domain return loss mask does not truly represent digital signaling at a given bit error ratio. There is no real proof that violating return loss masks is directly tied to failures	Comment Type GR Comment Status X
and a number of false negatives have been shown. D2.0 comment 141, D2.1 comments 26, 27 and 28.	Scope connection through AC coupling is not specified in this clause. Transmitter tests should be done through AC coupling (except for common mode tests).
SuggestedRemedy	See http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/adhoc/archive/ran_112717_3cd_adhoc.pdf
* Add annex describing ERL measurement and computation. See prior presentations for	SuggestedRemedy
description. * Remove the requirement for Differential return loss in Table 136-11.	In the first paragraph:
* Add a requirement for Effective Return Loss (ERL) to be greater than 18.2 dB in Table	
136-11. * In 136.9.4 change "The receiver shall meet the return loss requirements specified in 92.8.4.2 and 92.8.4.3." to "The receiver shall meet the effective return loss requirement in 136.9.3."	"Unless specified otherwise, all transmitter measurements are made for each lane separately, at TP2, utilizing the test fixtures specified in Annex 136B, using a test system with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 33 GHz 3 dB bandwidth"
* Add a paragraph in 137.9.2 and to 137.9.3 - "Effective Return Loss (ERL, min) is 16.2 dB.	Append: "connected as shown in Figure 92-15".
There is no frequency domain return loss mask."	Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

C/ 136 SC 136.9.3 Page 20 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/ 136 SC 136.9.3	P 225	L 37	# i-50	C/ 136	SC 136.9.3	P 225	L 46	# i-161
RAN, ADEE	Intel Corporation	n		Dudek, Micha	el	Cavium		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
	guide (13.3.2), "In numbers of r-digit numbers are grouped in			transmitte paramete	r and host bo r is the same therefore that	ulse peak needs to correlate ard used in COM to specify as 802.3by which have large the value of this parameter	the cable. The er die and package	existing value for this ge capacitance. It is
uggestedRemedy								
,	re and in all other occurrences	of four-digit nu	umbers.			n and change the value. A pe 23 as well.	presentation is ex	xpected. Make the
Consider removing sp	aces from all numbers within n	ormal text (exc	cluding tables).	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 136	SC 136.9.3	P 226	L 7	# <u>i-48</u>
	D 205	1.00	"	RAN, ADEE		Intel Corpora	tion	
/ 136 SC 136.9.3 ellitz, Richard	P 225 Samtec, Inc.	L 39	# i-74	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
omment Type TR Comments and suppo	Comment Status X rting presentations in prior dratements. SNR_IS is a small diffe			impossibl	e to meet with	ent in this clause (36.8 dB) in a test setup that includes ir trument-grade cables.		
somewhat problematic loss pointing to 92.8.3	 SNR_ISI is related to return l Return loss is a measurem nend a DFE and impact of cabl 	oss. Clause 1 ent of reflectio	36.9.3 specifies return ns. However, return	SNR_İSI		sed on budgeting the residuater. But counting all measure stringent.		

For instance: in reality, only a fraction of the transmitted energy will be returned from the remote end of the cable and bounce back (triple transit), due to the insertion loss of the cable (so this effect gets weaker with increased cable loss). But in a lab setup, the triple-transit reflection through a short, low-loss instrument-grade cable may be much stronger and cause degradation in the measured SNR ISI.

Adding a directional coupler in the measurement may help reduce the latter effect.

The comment also applies to the similar specification in 137.9.2 (43 dB, which is impossible to measure in practice).

SuggestedRemedy

Add a recommendation for using a directional coupler in the measurement setup.

Consider replacing the SNR_ISI specification with an alternative method such as ERL.

C/ 136

SC 136.9.3

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

component of host return loss as well as SNR_ISI. Re-reflection was also not considered

ERL is a direct measure of pertinent reflections in the context of host loss and a DFE, plus

allowing for a specific budgeted amount of cable assembly reflection derived from channel

beta_x=10.7e9, and rho_x=0.28, PTDR T_r=18.9 ps, and N_b is set by this clause. Also add annex 137A describing ERL computation. See presentation on implementation.

Response Status **O**

ERL. In table 136-11 remove row for "SNRISI (min.)". Replacing row for "differential output return loss (min)" in Table 136-11 with ERL (min) which shall be greater than 12.9 dB using

in SNR_ISI.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Page 21 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

							"
C/ 136 SC 136.9.3 RAN, ADEE	P 226 Intel Corporation	L 7	# i-49	C/ 136 SC 136.9.3 Szczepanek, Andre	P 226 HSZ Consultin	L 22	# i-88
	•			•		g Liu	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	ation in 120D.3.1.7 uses N_b fr ice receiver has N_b=12. This :			may change." indicates	alues for SNDR, SNR_ISI, an that values in Table 136-11 a	are not ready for	r standardisation.
SuggestedRemedy Add to footnote b: Cal	culation of SNR_ISI is done wit	th N b aiven in	Table 136-15 replacing	Like-wise the editors no that "require confirmation	otes on pages: 236, 271, 272, on and may change".	& 273 which al	I relate to table values
the value in Table 120		<u>~</u> ~ g	i lable lee le leplacing	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Gain the required confi	mation of the values and ther	n remove the ec	litors note(s).
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 136 SC 136.9.3	P 226	L 7	# i-75	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4	P 259	L 40	# [i-76
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec, Inc.			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec, Inc.	L 40	# 1-76
Comment Type TR see previous	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy see previous					es return loss pointing to 92.8. eturn loss does not compreher		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
					e of pertinent reflections in the		
C/ 136 SC 136.9.3	P 226	L 10	# i-131		ific budgeted amount of cable the reference to 92.9.4.2. Ad		
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech		# [-131		ter than 12.9 dB using beta_x		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				set by this clause. Also add entation on implementation.	annex 137A de	scribing ERL
21	ment 143 and 144, and D2.1 co	omment 43 th	ese TP2 Jrms and J4u		•		
limits, which are copie should be replaced wit	is of the ones in Table 120D-1 th Jrms and J3u limits that are e connector combined with the	(different BER, consistent (no	, different test point) t the same) as the TP0a	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change J4u to J3u, he TP0a, the mated com	ere and in 137. Choose the limit pliance board crosstalk specs,						

In 136.9.4.2.3 step e, change J4u to J3u (3 places).

Proposed Response	Response Status	ο
1 1000000 11000001000		0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4

136 SC 136.9.4.		L 26	# i-132	C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2		-	L 26	# i-52
awe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	nnologie		RAN, ADEE		Intel C	orporatio	n	
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype T	Comment Status	Х		
value that defines what	receiver interference tolerance at we mean by receiver interfer	rence tolerance	, and it is used as a		specified as m				
target when adjusting uggestedRemedy	the injected noise. See maint	enance D2.0 co	omments 135 and 136.	both mi	nimum and ma	the COM in receiver to iximum), with a clarifying the clarifying			
	Idle the "Min" and "Max" colum			SuggestedF	Remedy				
the "COM" parameter			-			ue across all columns.			
f). The SNR_TX value	e target value for the SNR_TX measured at the Tx test refer	ence should be	as close as practical to			e following text:			
	roduce the target COM. If lowe					target for the injected be as close as practic			
demonstrate margin to the specification but this is not required for compliance." Proposed Response Response Status O				COM. If		evels are used, it woul			
				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	0		
/ 136 SC 136.9.4.	2 P 230	L 26	# i-101						
ealey, Adam	Broadcom Ltd	l.		C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.	2 P 23	0	L 27	# i-51
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			RAN, ADEE			orporatio		<i>π</i> [-51
	ments #135 and #136 against			Comment T		Comment Status	•		
	value for interference tolerand		target" and not a "max"			values specified here		ame as the val	ues for the cable
uggestedRemedy		nincation.				(Table 136-15) so the			
	"max" columns for the COM r			SuggestedF					
	I value similar to note c) of Ta			Delete t	he bottom two	rows from Table 136-	3.		
136.9.4.2.3 item f). Th close as practical to th	e COM value is the target value is SNR_TX value measured at ne value needed to produce the emonstrate margin to the spec	t the Tx test refe e target COM. I	erence should be as If lower SNR_TX values	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	0		

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2

	230 <i>L</i> 42	# i-133	C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2		P 231	L 12	# i-29
Dawe, Piers J G Mell	anox Technologie		RAN, ADEE		In	tel Corporatio	n	
Comment Type T Comment Status	s X		Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Sta	tus X		
As pointed out in hidaka_3cd_01a_0517.p hidaka_060717_3cd_adhoc-v2.pdf, and D2 channel RL (Rx end) that's better than the 27: 16.5-2rt.f to 4.1 GHz then 10.66-14log fixtures return loss limit, eq 92-38, 20-f to 4 way between these two would be much be	2.0 comment 72, we need regular cable RL spec gi 10(f/5.5). The comment 4 GHz then 18-0.5f. Adop tter than doing nothing.	iven by 92.10.3, eq 92- proposed the mated test pting a limit about half	is not ne	eded any mor nition of Equat eded.	e.		• //	e correction term beta i), so only the value o
hidaka_3cd_01a_0517 slides 17/18 to end	1.		Change	FROM				
SuggestedRemedy Insert new requirement into 136.9.4.2.2: The test channel is the same as the one defined in 110.8.4.2.2, except that the cable assembly meets the requirements of 136.11, the differential return loss of the test channel measured at the Rx test reference (see Figure 110-3b) meets Equation (136-new)."			"The filtered voltage transfer function H(k)(f) calculated in Equation (93A-19) uses the fil Ht(f) defined by Equation (93A-46), where \beta is 2 and Tr is the 20% to 80% transition time at the Tx test reference" TO "The filtered voltage transfer function H(k)(f) calculated in Equation (93A-19) uses Tr eq to the 20% to 80% transition time at the Tx test reference."				% to 80% transition	
Eq 136-new: 18-f to 4 GHz then 16-0.5f (a	about half way between e	eq 92-27 and eq 92-38).	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Sta	us O		
Proposed Response Response Status	S 0		0/ 100	00 400 0 44				
			C/ 136	SC 136.9.4.2	.3	P 231	L13	# li-18
	231 L 12	# i-28	C/ 136 Anslow, Pete			P 231 ena Corporat		# [i-18
RAN, ADEE Intel	Corporation	# <u>i-28</u>	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	pe T	C Comment Sta	ena Corporat tus X	ion	
RAN, ADEE Intel Comment Type E Comment Status "Equation (93A-19)" is an external cross re	Corporation s X eference.	# <u>i-28</u>	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Commer Equatior http://ww When th	er pe T ht #116 agains (93A-46). Se w.ieee802.org e P802.3cd di	C Comment Sta t D2.0 of the 802 e:	ena Corporat tus X 3 revision pro 28023-D2p0-C become an ai	ion oject changed Comments-Fina mendment to tl	" <beta>" to "2" in al-byID.pdf#page=30 he output of the</beta>
RAN, ADEE Intel Comment Type E Comment Status "Equation (93A-19)" is an external cross re SuggestedRemedy Unless overtaken by another comment, ap	Corporation s X eference.	# <u>i-28</u>	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Commer Equatior http://ww When th	pe T ht #116 agains (93A-46). Se w.ieee802.org e P802.3cd di equivalent ch	C Comment Sta t D2.0 of the 802 e: y/3/cj/comments/F aft is changed to	ena Corporat tus X 3 revision pro 28023-D2p0-C become an ai	ion oject changed Comments-Fina mendment to tl	" <beta>" to "2" in al-byID.pdf#page=30 he output of the</beta>
RAN, ADEE Intel Comment Type E Comment Status "Equation (93A-19)" is an external cross re SuggestedRemedy Unless overtaken by another comment, ap	Corporation s X eference.	# <u>i-28</u>	Anslow, Peter Comment Ty Commer Equation http://ww When th revision, SuggestedRe When th revision: In 136.9. In 136.9.	pe T t #116 agains (93A-46). Se w.ieee802.org e P802.3cd dr equivalent ch emedy e P802.3cd dr 4.2.3, remove 1.7, remove th	C Comment Sta t D2.0 of the 802 e: //3/cj/comments/F aft is changed to anges need to be aft is changed to	ena Corporat tus X 3 revision pro 28023-D2p0-C become an au made to the become an au a> is 2 and" fi eta> is 2" fror	ion oject changed Comments-Fina mendment to th P802.3cd draft mendment to th rom the second n the second s	" <beta>" to "2" in al-byID.pdf#page=30 he output of the he output of the d sentence of item d).</beta>

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2.3

			· · ·	·			
C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2.3	P 231	L 25	# i-139	C/ 136 SC 136.9.4	.4 P 233	L 11	# i-92
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	nnologie		Kirkland, William			
Comment Type T Com	ment Status X			Comment Type G	Comment Status X		
SNDR should be measured in t should, because it's used in CC comment.				significant figures. e.	use of the word "approxima g. approximately 37.64706		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Add another exception that sign				Remedy: use a judici 1/Baud Rate or appro	ous choice of significant d eximately 37.6 ps	igitals when saying a	approximately, e.g.
fourth-order Bessel-Thomson Ic		th 19.34 GHz 3 (dB bandwidth.	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O						
C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.2.3	P 231	L 36	# i-53				
RAN, ADEE	Intel Corporati	on					
Comment Type TR Com	ment Status X						
In equation (136-7), if J4u is too negative and the resulting A_DI			e discriminant may be				
This may happen in practice, if distribution; for example, a low excursions (such as sinusoidal	jitter most of the time	with large but r	ot too frequent				
Assuming we allow such a trans the JTT), it should be considere A_DD=J4/2 and sigma_RJ=0.							
As a sanity check, a Dual-dirac but its J_RMS would be higher pessimistic" and it may somewl	than what was meas	ured. This mear	is COM would be "too				
SuggestedRemedy							
Change equation (136-7) to cor When $(Q4^{2}+1)^{*}J_{RMS^{2}} >= 0$		t equation holds	5.				

Otherwise: J4u/2.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 136 SC 136.9.4.4

C/ 136	SC 136.11	P 233	3	L 42	# i-54	C/ 136	SC	136.11.7	P 234	L 50	# <u>i-100</u>
AN, ADEE		Intel Co	orporatio	า		Healey, A	dam		Broadcom Ltd.		
omment Ty	/ре Т	Comment Status	x			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
Cable as	ssembly chara	nent that cable assemb cteristics as it should. exist in the MDI annex		·		P802 instru	3 (IEEE ctions s	E 802.3cj) [should be a	up being an amendment to II D3.0 which is in Sponsor ballo ligned with the expected base uation (93A-46) (its value has	t). The propo document. T	sed changes and editir The term <beta> has</beta>
mechan	ical parameter	s and pin-outs; other th	nan AC c	oupling (in the	overview) it contains	Suggeste					Z).
	rical paramete e finding it.	rs. Readers interested	in AC co	upling specific	ations may have a			•	d <beta> is 2" at line 50 here</beta>	and in 137.10) (p251, l49).
		e the AC coupling requ lowing considerations:		o the Cable as	sembly characteristics	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status O		
	oupling is betw but is not curr	een corresponding con ently stated).	ntacts in t	wo connectors	at each end (may be	<i>CI</i> 136 RAN, ADI		136.11.7	P 234 Intel Corporation	L 50	# [i-30
goes wit	hout saying if nyone implem	he MDI annex specifies AC coupling requireme ents AC coupling in the	ent is part	of the cable a	ssembly specification	Follov is not	ving the	d any more	Comment Status X n the revision project (as of 80	02.3cj D3.0), t	he correction term beta
	. This is not a	es the sentence "The c specification, and it's a				Suggeste Delet		dy \beta is 2" h	nere.		
uggestedR	emedy					Delet	e "\beta	is 2 and" i	n 136.9.4.2.3.		
Insert th CR2, an	e following par d 200GBASE-	ragraph after the parag CR4":	raph star	ting with "50G	BASE-CR, 100GBASE				Response Status O		
assemb for AC-c coupling	ly shall include oupling in actu	rresponding contacts in e AC-coupling. It should ual implementations. Th than 50 kHz. It is recon	l be note ne low-fre	d that there ma equency 3 dB o	ay be various methods cutoff of the AC-						
Delete tl	he fourth parag	graph in annex 136C (w	vhich dea	als with AC cou	ıpling).						
Change		of PICS item CA9 from corresponding contact									
commer											

C/ 136 SC 136.11.7

Cl 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 18 # [i-162 Dudek, Michael Cavium Cavi	C/ 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 18 # i-60 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The use of the approx 110 Ohm PCB trace in the COM calculation provides cables with impedances close to this value (or higher impedance still) a false improvement in COM relative to their expected system performance. It would be better to use 100 ohm PCB traces and it would be better to also change the package parameters to the nominal values used in clause 137. This however will significantly improve the COM values for the cable, implying better performance than is expected in the real system with hosts with 100 Ohm +/-10% PCB traces. It will also increase the COM in the interference tolerance test resulting in more noise being added in the test.	Package transmission line characteristic impedance is set at 90 Ohm. This is an increase from the default value in Annex 93A which is 78.2 Ohm. The reason for the relatively low value 78.2 Ohm was that to typical packages (especiall large ones with many lanes) have lower impedance to improve their matching to silicon a ball impedances, and to reduce the trace insertion loss. This is not expected to change; most practical packages will not have impedance close to 100 Ohm.
SuggestedRemedy	In practice, termination can be adjusted and board design can be optimized to match low impedance package and improve performance (even if cables are 100 Ohm)
In table 136-15 change Rd to 50 Ohms and Zc to 95 Ohm On page 236 line 38 and line 49, and page 237 line 17 Change "parameter values given in Table 92-12" to "parameter values given in Table 92-12 except that Zc=100 Ohms" On page 235 line 2 and in table 136-14 change the pass/fall spec for COM from 3dB to 4dB. Also in the PICs on page 244 line 6. In Table 136-13 change the COM from 3 to 3.5dB. A presentation will be provided.	It is suggested to acknowledge the expected lower impedance of practical devices in the reference package and termination parameters: assume packages are 80 Ohm while termination and board are 90 Ohm (imperfect matching). Also applies in 137.10 (Table 137-5).
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy In both Table 136-15, and Table 137-5, change the value of Zc to 80 Ohm and Rd to 45
	Ohm.
Cl 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 18 # i-134 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie	
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment	Ohm. In 136.11.7.1, add an exception to the parameter values from Table 92-12: Z_c is set to
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113.	Ohm. In 136.11.7.1, add an exception to the parameter values from Table 92-12: Z_c is set to Ohm. Consider changing the reference impedance for channels from 100 Ohm to 85 Ohm
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. SuggestedRemedy	Ohm. In 136.11.7.1, add an exception to the parameter values from Table 92-12: Z_c is set to Ohm. Consider changing the reference impedance for channels from 100 Ohm to 85 Ohm (136.11.1 and 137.10, and COM tables).
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113.	Ohm. In 136.11.7.1, add an exception to the parameter values from Table 92-12: Z_c is set to Ohm. Consider changing the reference impedance for channels from 100 Ohm to 85 Ohm (136.11.1 and 137.10, and COM tables).
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X The COM impedances should be moved towards neutral, as explained in D2.0 comment 71 and 113. SuggestedRemedy Make changes similar to D2.0 comment 71 and hidaka_3cd_01_0717	Ohm. In 136.11.7.1, add an exception to the parameter values from Table 92-12: Z_c is set to Ohm. Consider changing the reference impedance for channels from 100 Ohm to 85 Ohm (136.11.1 and 137.10, and COM tables). Proposed Response Response Status C/ 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 45 # i-102

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/136Page 27 of 40COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC136.11.72017-12-16 1:34:30 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC136.11.72017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/ 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 45 # i-17	C/ 136A SC 136A.2 P 37) L 21	# i-173
nslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	Dudek, Michael Cavium		
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status	K	
Comment #132 against D2.0 of the 802.3 revision project changed the name of COM parameter f_z to be "Continuous time filter, zero frequency for g_DC = 0". See: http://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-Comments-Final-byID.pdf#page=35 When the P802.3cd draft is changed to become an amendment to the output of the revision, equivalent changes need to be made to the P802.3cd draft.	It is strange to say that characteristics are co SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The recommended measured at TP0a are described in 137.9.2"		
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status	0	
When the P802.3cd draft is changed to become an amendment to the output of the revision:			
Change the name of f_z to be "Continuous time filter, zero frequency for g_DC = 0" in Table 136-15 and Table 137-5	C/ 136A SC 136A.3 P 37	-	# i-174
Proposed Response Response Status O	Dudek, Michael Caviun		
	Comment Type T Comment Status	-	
	It is strange to say that characteristics are co	nstrained in an inform	ative section.
© 136 SC 136.11.7 P 235 L 51 # i-163	SuggestedRemedy		
Dudek, Michael Cavium	Change the sentence to "The recommended at TP5a are described in 137.9.3"	receiver characteristic	s at TP5 as measure
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR.			s at TP5 as measure
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status	0	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status CI 136A SC 136A.7 P 38	D 1 L 43	s at TP5 as measured # <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium	D I L 43	
comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. uggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 roposed Response Response Status O	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status CI 136A SC 136A.7 P 38	D I <i>L</i> 43	# <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. uggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 roposed Response Response Status V 136 SC 136.11.7.1 P 236 L 39 # i-135	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status The Channel Operating Margin (min) value is	D I <i>L</i> 43	# <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 136 SC 136.11.7.1 P 236 L 39 # i-135 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status T The Channel Operating Margin (min) value is value not an informative value.	D I <i>L</i> 43	# <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.11.7.1 P 236 L 39 Mellanox Technologie	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status S The Channel Operating Margin (min) value is value not an informative value. SuggestedRemedy	D L L 43 K not in Table 136-15 a	# <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 136 SC 136.11.7.1 P 236 L 39 # i-135 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Comment Status X Using 109.8 ohm PCB impedance in COM could provide an incentive to build cables to that (wrong) impedance, which seems unhelpful. Status Status	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status The Channel Operating Margin (min) value is value not an informative value. SuggestedRemedy Delete section 136A.7	D L L 43 K not in Table 136-15 a	# <u>i-175</u>
Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is intended that the same ASIC would be used for CR and KR. SuggestedRemedy Change the values of Av and Afe to 0.415 and Ane to 0.604 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 136 SC 136.11.7.1 P 236 L 39 # i-135 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status X Using 109.8 ohm PCB impedance in COM could provide an incentive to build cables to that	at TP5a are described in 137.9.3" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 136A SC 136A.7 P 38 Dudek, Michael Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status The Channel Operating Margin (min) value is value not an informative value. SuggestedRemedy Delete section 136A.7	D L L 43 K not in Table 136-15 a	# <u>i-175</u>

C/ 136A SC 136A.7

C/ 136C SC 136C.1 P 387 L 41 # i-160	Cl 137 SC 137.9.2 P 251 L 22 # i-106			
Dudek, Michael Cavium	Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.			
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X			
The requirement for the AC coupling of the cable is a cable requirement not an MDI requirement. Exactly where the AC coupling is in the cable is not important.	The jitter requirements at TP2 are identical to the jitter requirements at TP0a. It seems that the uncorrelated jitter allowances should be larger at TP2 to account for a) the reduction in			
SuggestedRemedy	the slope of the waveform due to channel loss combined with b) the addition of noise in the form connector crosstalk. A similar concern was raised during the IEEE P802.3bj/D3.1			
 section 136.11 Paragraph to say "For 50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4, the lanes are AC-coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the cable assembly. It is recommended that it is within the plug connectors. It should be noted that there may be various methods for AC-coupling in actual implementations. The low-frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC-coupling shall be less than 50 kHz. It is recommended that the value of the coupling capacitors be 100 nF. The capacitor limits the inrush charge and baseline wander." Change the reference on Page 225 line 6 to 136.11 Proposed Response Response Status O 	Comments_Final_byID.pdf>). See also <http: 3="" bj="" healey_3bj_03_0314.pdf="" mar14="" public="" www.ieee802.org="">. No change was made to the IEEE P802.3bj draft because all lanes (the lane under test and aggressors) transmit the same test pattern (PRBS9). This was due to limitations on the configuration of the test pattern generators. It was postulated that crosstalk from PRBS9 aggressors would appear as correlated interference and show up in the SNDR results (as linear fit error) and not in uncorrelated noise/jitter results. However, the Clause 120 test pattern generator definition allows the PRBS13Q pattern to be sent only on the lane under test while aggressors send PRBS31Q (or a valid xxxBASE-R signal). For this case, it seems connector crosstalk will appear in uncorrelated jitter measurements and an increase in the TP2 jitter allowance (relative to TP0a) is warranted.</http:>			
C/ 136C SC 136C.1 P 387 L 41 # i-143	SuggestedRemedy			
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	Increase uncorrelated jitter limits at TP2 to account for connector crosstalk. While there may be concerns that this would allow lower quality transmitters with low loss/noise host channels, one can always point to 136A.2 as a statement that such transmitters are still not allowed. This is expected to have no impact on channel compliance since the COM parameters are based on TP0/TP0a requirements.			
Comment Type T Comment Status X The paragraph about AC coupling, which should be a property of and requirement on the cable not the MDI, is in the wrong place. The subclause reference in PICS CA9 is wrong.				
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O			
Move this paragraph to 136.11 just before 136.11.1 (older clauses have it in the equivalent of 136.12, which is not really correct but at least it's in the clause). Update the subclause reference in PICS CA9.				

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 23	# i-136
Dawe, Piers	s J G	Mellanox Tech	nologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Now that COM is defined with a near-neutral termination and package impedance, we don't expect transmitter return loss to align to the COM model any more. This RL is much tighter than CEI-56G-LR-PAM4 at low (and high) frequency (although apparently losser between 4 and 9 GHz). At low frequencies it is tighter than the channel RL, which seems back to front. The effect of (good) RL at low frequency is much less than the less good RL at higher frequencies anyway, and there is less concern about end-to-end reflections at higher frequencies than in C2C because the loss is higher when the receiver is challenged. So we can go back to what we had a few drafts ago, or go forward to something like ERL.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Insert a new first item in the list of exceptions to Table 120D-1, create a new equation for Tx RL that is similar to the Cl.93 and the channel RL at low frequencies; 12 - 0.625f, 8.7 - 0.075f. Add figure to illustrate.

Or: change to an ERL spec or similar for the transmitter. Same Nb set to 12.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 28	# <u>i-137</u>
Dawe, Piers	s J G	Mellanox	Technologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Transmitter output residual ISI, SNR_ISI (min) 36.8 dB (Clause 136) and 43 dB (Clause 137) is still too high - can barely measure the IC through the test fixture. The warning NOTE in 120D.3.1.7 (where it's "only" 34.8 dB) shows the issue, but doesn't solve it. D2.0 comment 140, D21. comment 49.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to ERL spec or similar for the transmitter. Same Nb set to 12. Delete the SNR_ISI spec.

Proposed Response Re

Response Status 0

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 28	# i-71
Mellitz, Rid	chard	Samtec, Inc.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Comments and supporting presentations in prior drafts reported difficulty making SNDR and SNR_ISI measurements. SNR_ISI is a small difference of large numbers. Thus, is somewhat problematic. Return loss is a measurement of reflections. However, return loss does not comprehend a DFE and SNR_ISI does. Re-Reflection was also not considered in SNR_ISI. Loss is a part of a return loss measurement making a short package look much worse than a long package. However, on the average short packages may perform better a performance limits. (approximately 3 to 3.5 dB of COM).

SuggestedRemedy

ERL is a direct measure of pertinent reflections in the context of package loss and a DFE, plus allowing for a specific budgeted amount of channel reflection derived from channel ERL. Remove item 3 in exception list. Add exception item indicating that in Table 120D-1 "differential output return loss (min)" is replaced with ERL (min) which shall be greater than 16.2 dB using beta_x=10.7e9, and rho_x=0.318, PTDR T_r=18.9ps, and N_b is set by this clause. Also add annex 137A describing ERL computation. See presentation on implementation.

Proposed Response Response Status	0	
-----------------------------------	---	--

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 29	# i-105
Healey, A	dam	Broadcom Ltd.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The minimum SNDR at TP2 (subject to confirmation per the editor's note) is 33.3 dB. However, in 136A.2 it is stated that "the transmitter characteristics at TP0 are constrained at TP0a by 137.9.2" and 137.9.2 sets the minimum SNDR at TP0a at 32.5 dB. Is it a reasonable expectation for the SNDR at TP2 to be better than the SNDR at TP0a? Comparing 100GBASE-CR4 to 100GBASE-KR4 (and 25GBASE-CR to 25GBASE-KR), the minimum SNDR at TP2 is 1 dB lower than the minimum SNDR at TP0a. This seems to make more sense since, while some noise and distortion observed at TP0a will be attenuated by the host channel, the numerator of the SNDR equation (linear fit pulse peak) is also reduced and the crosstalk of the host connector is an additional noise source. While there may be concerns that this would allow lower quality transmitters with low loss host channels, one can always point to 136A.2 as a statement that such transmitters are still not allowed. Finally, this is expected to have no impact on channel compliance since the COM parameters are based on TP0/TP0a requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

Set the "confirmed" minimum SNDR at TP2 to be less than the minimum SNDR at TP0a. A margin of 1 dB is suggested based on the margin allocated for 100GBASE-CR4 and 25GBASE-CR.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 137	Page 30 of 40
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 137.9.2	2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 29	# i-138
Dawe, Pie	rs J G	Mellanox Tech	nologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (min), increased to 33.3 dB (Clause 136) and to 32.5 dB (Clause 137) for all Tx emphasis settings, is still too high. D2.0 comment 139, D2.1 comment 50. It turns out that the SNDR method captures sort of "high frequency distortion" that is filtered out by a real channel and receiver 3fb/4 bandwidth (see 93A.1.4.1), partly un-filtered by the equalizer. So it should be measured in something less than ~19 GHz.

SuggestedRemedy

Add ", when sigma_e and sigma_n are found from signals observed with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 19.34 GHz 3 dB bandwidth.

NOTE--pmax is found from a signal observed with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson lowpass response with 33 GHz 3 dB bandwidth."

If we wish, we can tweak the limit for pmax and measure it in the same 19.34 GHz, which would more correctly remove the harmonics from the measurement.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 137	SC 137.9.2	P 251	L 30	# i-140
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Mellanox Tecl	nnoloaie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This clause with a BER of 2.4e-4 needs a J3u spec, just as 120D with a BER of 1e-5 uses J4u. Using J3u enables a shorter measurement as well as a more relevant, accurate one. The J3u value can be found using eq 136-7 and 136-8 to convert Table 120D1's J_rms and J4u to A_DD and sigma_RJ, then used again with Q3 instead of Q4 to find J_rms (same as original) and J3u.

SuggestedRemedy

Add exception 5: the J4u limit in Table 120E-1 does not apply but the maximum J3u is 0.106 UI.

In Eq 136-7 and 136-8 and the NOTE, change J4u to J3u, Q4=3.8906 to Q3=3.2905, Q(Q3) = 5 x10^-4.

Jrms and its value don't change.

If wished, add an informative NOTE in 137.9.2 saying that the J3u limit here is consistent with the J4u limit in Table 120D-1.

Add a new subclause:

136.9.3.n J3u Jitter

J3u is defined similarly to J4u (see 120D.3.1.8). J3u is defined as the time interval that includes all but 10^-3 of fJ(t), from the 0.05th to the 99.95th percentile of fJ(t).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 137	SC 137.9.3	P 251	L 35	# i-141
Dawe, Pie	rs J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Now that COM is defined with a near-neutral termination and package impedance, receiver mismatch is the receiver designer's concern, not the standard's, unless it is very extreme, because the receiver interference tolerance test finds its effect combined with other receiver attributes. And we don't expect receiver return loss to align to the COM model any more. This RL is much tighter than CEI-56G-LR-PAM4 at low (and high) frequency (although apparently looser between 4 and 9 GHz). At low frequencies it is tighter than the channel RL, which is the wrong way round. The effect of (good) RL at low frequency is much less than the less good RL at higher frequencies anyway. So we can go back to what we had a few drafts ago, or go forward to something like ERL.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Insert a new first item in the list of exceptions to Table 120D-5, create a new equation for Rx RL that is similar to the Cl.93 and the channel RL at low frequencies; 12 - 0.625f, 8.7-0.075f. Add figure to illustrate or pont to the figure for Tx RL (see another comment).

Or: change to an ERL spec or similar for the receiver. I think it can be more lenient than the transmitter spec because we have the receiver interference tolerance test.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 137	SC 137.9.3	P 251	L 43	# <u>i-72</u>
Mellitz, Rich	hard	Samtec, Inc.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Clause 137.9.3 specifies return loss pointing to Table 120D-5. Return loss is a measurement of reflections. There is no direct tie-in to channel return loss. However, return loss does not comprehend a DFE and insertion loss as a part of a return loss measurement making a short package look much worse than a long package. However, on the average short packages may perform better a performance limits. (approximately 3 to 3.5 dB of COM).

SuggestedRemedy

ERL is a direct measure of pertinent reflections in the context of package loss and a DFE, plus allowing for a specific budgeted amount of channel reflection derived from channel ERL. Add exception item indicating that in Table 120D-5 "differential input return loss (min)" is replaced with ERL (min) which shall be greater than 16.2 dB using beta_x=10.7e9, and rho_x=0.318, PTDR T_r=18.9ps, and N_b is set by this clause. Also add annex 137A describing ERL computation. See presentation on implementation.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 137

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 137.9.3

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C/ 137
 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected

Page 31 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/ 137 SC 137.10 RAN, ADEE	P 251 L 49 ntel Corporation	# i-31		2 58 L 47 # [i-164 vium
Comment Type T Comment Sta Following the updates in the revision pr is not needed any more. SuggestedRemedy Delete "and \beta is 2". Proposed Response Response Sta	atus X roject (as of 802.3cj D3.0), the	correction term beta	Comment Type T Comment Statu	us X 93.8.1.1. We should therefore refer to 137.9.1
C/ 137 SC 137.10.2 Mellitz, Richard S	P 253 L 40 Samtec, Inc.	# i-73	RAN, ADEE Inte	2 258 <i>L</i> 50 # <u>i-56</u> el Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment State Return loss has not been demonstrated clear relation between the DFE in the m reflections which are re-reflected. Appa input/output return loss and channel rei and provided a linkage to input/output to	d to sufficiently limit COM varia eference signaling architecture arently, there is no clear tie-in b turn loss. ERL addresses these	and portions of etween the	Comment Type T Comment Statu Differential and common mode return loss SuggestedRemedy Change "value/comment" in TC3 and TC3 Proposed Response Response Statu	s are defined in Table 120D-1. 3 to "Per Table 120D-1".
SuggestedRemedy Rename clause 137.10.2 from "Return content of 137.10.2. Replace with: "The be greater than 10.2 dB only when COI rho_x=0.15, PTDR Tr=18.9ps, and N_t Proposed Response Response Sta	Loss" to "Effective Return Loss e minimum effective return loss M is less than 4 dB computed u o is set by this clause."	of the channel shall	Dudek, MichaelCanComment TypeTComment Statu	2 259 <i>L</i> 24 # <u>i-165</u> vium <i>Us</i> X 93.8.2.1. We should therefore refer to 137.9.1
CI 137 SC 137.12.3 RAN, ADEE In Comment Type E Comment St Large font size in "RS(544,514)". SuggestedRemedy	P 256 L 40 ntel Corporation atus X	# [i-55	Change 93.8.2.1 to 137.9.1 Proposed Response Response Statu	s O
Fix it. Proposed Response Response Sta	atus O			

C/ 137 SC 137.12.4.4

C/ 138 SC 138	P 261	L 1	# i-122	C/ 138	SC 138.8.2	P 2	' 4 L	18	# <u>i-5</u>	
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie		Anslow, P	eter	Ciena	Corporation			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	х			
This clause has recein study.	ved next to no attention - it's s	till the baseline.	It needs more (some	A-200	6 from the refere	130 against D2.0 of t nces section of the b	ise standard. S	See:		
SuggestedRemedy						/3/cj/comments/P802 s to make equivalent				33
	echnical feasibility for the draft vithdraw the clause, which wou		provements).	Suggeste	dRemedy					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			In 138 In 139	8.11.4.4 OM2, del 9.7.2, and 140.7.2					
C/ 138 SC 138.1 RAN, ADEE	P 263 Intel Corpora	L 12 tion	# i-57	in th A or"	e text change "wa	e title to "Wavelength avelength" to "wavele	ngth and SMSR	R" and dele	ete "TIA/EIA-455-	
comment Type E	Comment Status X					e the em-dash with a the em-dash with a				
	100GBASE-SR2 and 200GBA abit Ethernet links over one, to			nt In 139 char	.11.4.5 OM2 and	140.11.4.4 OM2: length" to "Center wa				
	ed after the list of references.			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0			
paragraphs before) al specific PMD names,	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach o	e word "PMD" is		C/ 138	SC 138.8.5	P 2	'4 L	31	# i-79	
paragraphs before) al specific PMD names, This repetition is unne	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach o	e word "PMD" is		<i>Cl</i> 138 Liu, Hai-F	SC 138.8.5	P 2	'4 L corporation	31	# i-79	
paragraphs before) al specific PMD names, This repetition is unne SuggestedRemedy	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach o ecessary.	e word "PMD" is		C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment	SC 138.8.5 eng <i>Type</i> TR	P 2 Intel (Comment Status	74 <i>L</i> corporation X	-		
paragraphs before) al specific PMD names, This repetition is unne uggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach o ecessary.	e word "PMD" is		C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F <i>Comment</i> The s OMAc equat with 3	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit	P 2 Intel 0 Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of I-2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to	4 L corporation X CQ measurement CQ measurement the PAM4 eye L le this is good for the pessimistic TE	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valu	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared	gnals to
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex Proposed Response	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach c ecessary. t.	e word "PMD" is of" are omitted.		C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold buter and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena ieve the lowest E al vendors have o	P 2 Intel (Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of I-2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to thy measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way	4 L corporation X CQ measurement the PAM4 eye le this is good 1 pessimistic TE ere thresholds is not perfectly _3bs_01a_071°	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valu are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917,	gnals to
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne uggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex roposed Response / 138 SC 138.7.1 awe, Piers J G omment Type TR A TDECQ limit of 4.9	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach of ecessary. t. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 272 Mellanox Teo <i>Comment Status</i> X seems very high, given that th	<i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> hnologie	# [<u>i-119</u>	C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever bavej; sensit	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena ieve the lowest E al vendors have a a_3cd_01_1117)	P 2 Intel C Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of -2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to thy measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way showing many units would fail to meet th	4 L corporation X CQ measurement the PAM4 eye le this is good for the pessimistic TE persent thresholds is not perfectly _3bs_01a_071° mat are able to	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valu are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_ close the l	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917, link with good	gnals to rers
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne suggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex proposed Response 138 SC 138.7.1 awe, Piers J G comment Type TR A TDECQ limit of 4.9 receiver front-ends the	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach of ecessary. t. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 272 Mellanox Teo <i>Comment Status</i> X seems very high, given that th at should not be worse can do	<i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> hnologie	# [<u>i-119</u>	C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever bavej; sensit	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena ieve the lowest E al vendors have (a_3cd_01_1117) ivity/BER margin transmitters to be	P 2 Intel C Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of -2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to thy measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way showing many units would fail to meet th	4 L corporation X CQ measurement the PAM4 eye le this is good for the pessimistic TE persent thresholds is not perfectly _3bs_01a_071° mat are able to	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valu are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_ close the l	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917, link with good	gnals to rers
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR A TDECQ limit of 4.9 receiver front-ends the same signalling rate)	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach of ecessary. t. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 272 Mellanox Teo <i>Comment Status</i> X seems very high, given that th at should not be worse can do	<i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> hnologie	# [<u>i-119</u>	C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever bavej sensit good Suggester Propo	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena ieve the lowest E al vendors have o a_3cd_01_1117) ivity/BER margin transmitters to be dRemedy se to adopt thres	P 2 Intel C Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of I-2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to lty measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way showing many units would fail to meet th failed.	'4 L orporation X CQ measurement CQ measurement the PAM4 eye Le le this is good f pessimistic TE ere thresholds is not perfectly _3bs_01a_071 _3bs_01a_071 nat are able to maximum TDI DECQ measurement DECQ measurement	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valus are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_ close the l ECQ spec	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917, link with good ification, causing described in	gnals to rers
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex Proposed Response Cl 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR A TDECQ limit of 4.9 receiver front-ends the same signalling rate) SuggestedRemedy	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach of ecessary. t. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 272 Mellanox Teo <i>Comment Status</i> X seems very high, given that th at should not be worse can do	<i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> 17 chnologie ne same fibres a 100GBASE-SF	# <u>i-119</u> and transmitter and (PAM2, almost the	C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever bavej sensit good Suggester Propo	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12 equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena ieve the lowest E al vendors have o a_3cd_01_117) ivity/BER margin transmitters to be dRemedy se to adopt thres ni_120617_3cd_i	P 2 Intel 0 Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of I-2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to lty measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way showing many units would fail to meet th failed.	'4 L orporation X CQ measurement CQ measurement the PAM4 eye Le le this is good f pessimistic TE ere thresholds is not perfectly _3bs_01a_071 _3bs_01a_071 nat are able to maximum TDI DECQ measurement DECQ measurement	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valus are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_ close the l ECQ spec	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917, link with good ification, causing described in	gnals to rers
paragraphs before) all specific PMD names, This repetition is unne SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted tex Proposed Response CI 138 SC 138.7.1 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR A TDECQ limit of 4.9 receiver front-ends the same signalling rate) SuggestedRemedy	most verbatim, except that the and the words "with a reach of ecessary. t. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 272 <i>Mellanox Tec</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X seems very high, given that th at should not be worse can do without the FFE.	<i>L</i> 17 <i>L</i> 17 chnologie ne same fibres a 100GBASE-SF	# <u>i-119</u> and transmitter and (PAM2, almost the	C/ 138 Liu, Hai-F Comment The s OMAc equat with 3 the lin to ach Sever bavej; sensit good Suggester Propo mazzi range Detail	SC 138.8.5 eng Type TR ub-eye threshold outer and the ave ions (121-1), (12' equal eye amplit k sensitivity pena- ieve the lowest E al vendors have (a_3cd_01_1117) ivity/BER margin transmitters to be dRemedy se to adopt thres ni_120617_3cd_i ed presentation t	P 2 Intel C Comment Status levels in current TDE rage optical power of I-2) and (121-3). Wh udes, it would lead to lty measurements w ER even if the signa contributed data (way showing many units would fail to meet th failed.	4 L iorporation X CQ measurement K CQ measurement K is passimistic TE TE is not perfectly 3bs_01a_071' is at are able to Maximum TD DECQ measure Itional constrain January meeti January meeti	ent are de diagram (I for perfect DECQ valu a are adjus / linear. 7, tamura_ close the I ECQ spec	termined by the Pave) as defined ly linear PAM4 si les as compared ted by real receiv _3bs_01a_0917, link with good ification, causing described in allowable adjust	gnals to rers ment

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 138
 Page 33 of 40

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 138.5
 2017-12-16
 1:34:30 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C
 138
 SC
 138.5
 2017-12-16
 1:34:30 PM

C/ 138	SC 138.8.5	P 274	L 39	# <u>i-116</u>
Dawe, Pier	sJG	Mellanox Techr	nologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

It seems that it is possible to make a bad transmitter (e.g. with a noisy or distorted signal), use emphasis to get it to pass the TDECQ test, yet leave a realistic, compliant receiver with an unreasonable challenge, such as high peak power, high crest factor, or a need to remove emphasis from the signal, contrary to what equalizers are primarily intended to do. Note the receiver is tested for a very slow signal only, not for any of these abusive signals. This is an issue for all the PAM4 optical PMDs, although it may be worse for MMF because of the high TDECQ limit.

SuggestedRemedy

1. To screen for noisy or distorted signals with heavy emphasis

Define TDECQrms = 10*log10(A_RMS/(s*3*Qt*R)) where A_RMS is the standard deviation of the measured signal after the 13.28125 GHz filter response, Qt and R are as already in Eq 212-12. s is the standard deviation of a fast clean signal with OMA=2 and without emphasis, observed through the 13.28125 GHz filter response (around 0.7). Set limit for TDECQrms according to what level of dirty-but-emphasised signal we decide is acceptable, add max TDECQrms row to each transmitter table. Alternatively, if the same relative limit is acceptable for all PAM4 optical PMDs, the limit could be here in the TDECQ procedure.

Similarly in clauses 139, 140.

2. To protect the TIA input, consider a peak power spec as in Clause 86.

3. To protect the TIA and any AGC and TIA from unreasonable signals, consider a crest factor spec.

4. To protect the receiver from having to "invert" heavily over-emphasised signals, set a minimum cursor weight.

To protect the equalizer from having to support unnecessary settings for waveforms that can't or shouldn't ever happen, constrain the cursor position - see other comments .

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 138	SC 138.8.5.1	P 274	L 51	# i-120
Dawe, Pie	rs J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Excluding scenarios that won't happen will pave the way to more efficient receivers (see another comment). A worst signal will involve a slow transmitter (not "anti-causal") and modal dispersion that might appear anti-causal but is contained by the launch and fibre specs, and the receiver (near to neutral). The combination won't be very strongly "anti-causal".

TR because it may take us a while to find enough evidence on what might/won't happen with a range of fibres.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "The reference equalizer shall not use more than two? three? pre-cursor taps." Define pre-cursor. Or the taps could be numbered and this rule expressed in terms of the cursor position.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 138	SC 138.8.5.1	P 274	L 54	# i-95
Kirkland, V	Villiam			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

I believe that the following "NOTE" in the TDECQ reference equalizer is NOT true, especially since there is no requirement on the reference tap location. NOTE--This reference equalizer is part of the TDECQ test and does not imply any particular receiver implementation. Not specifying the reference location clearly allows the system to favour both response with significant pre-cursors or post cursors. The use of FFE in this way precludes the use of a CTLE.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the note. I suspect most people understand the implications on a 5 T t-spaced equalizer.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 138 SC 138.8.5.1

IEEE D802 2nd E0 Ch/a 100 Ch/a 200 Ch/a Ethernat Initial Spansor hallet commant

C/ 138 SC 138.8.8			P 275	L 16	# i-58	Proposed I	Response	Response St	atus O		
AN, ADE			tel Corporatio		# 150						
omment	Type TR	Comment Sta	tus X			C/ 138	SC 138.8.8		P 275	L 28	# i-93
	SRS methodology ir	n 121.8.9.1 and	121.8.9.3 ha	as several flaws	that need to be	Kirkland, V	/illiam				
addre	essed:					Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	tatus X		
pass	filter and E/O conve	erter (which is m	narked as "T	unable" in Figure	ne combination of low- e 139-5, and also in may be used in the	"appro	the use of approximately 13.2812 ement?. This occ	25 GHz". Just h	ow close does	one have to be	
test s	etup may have diffe	erent characteris	stics (noise a	and BW), which	will result in very	Suggested	Remedy				
	of which may be fa			enables very dif	ferent test conditions,		If the baud rate, /- accuracy spec				ke, then there should
sinus	oidal interferer amp	litude (with uns	pecified amp	litude and frequ	unspecified power), ency), and low-pass ecified) also affects	Proposed	Response	Response St	atus O		
SECC test c		any degrees of f nstrated in	reedom here	e, which again e	nable very different	C/ 138 RAN, ADE	SC 138.10 E		P 277 Intel Corporati	L 13 on	# i-59
- The	effect of sinusoidal	jitter on SECQ	measureme	ent is difficult to p		Comment Paragr	<i>Type</i> E aph is not justifi	<i>Comment</i> S ed (i.e. it is aligr			
patter requir		on is very short at least a full cy	and the leng	th captured is n nusoidal jitter, w	ot specified (e.g. no hich may be much	Suggested Forma	<i>Remedy</i> t as regular clau	se text.			
0		, ,	•			Proposed I	Response	Response St	atus O		
	oo many degrees o d to reach the requi				knob that has to be posed change.			·			
Also a	applies to 139.7.9 a	nd 140.7.9.									
Iggeste	dRemedy										
Add e follow	exceptions or addition ing:	ons to the metho	ods of 121.8	.9.1 and 121.8.9	0.3 including the						
e.g:		an agreed upor	value). This	s may be measu	r (without equalization), red using a different Q measurement						
	ecify that the target oidal interference),				noise only (without ECQ.						
	ecify that SECQ is repeated for every				l jitter, and calibration Q target to						

Implement the chosen solution (with different bandwidth and SECQ targets) also in 139.7.9 and 140.7.9.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 138 SC 138.10 Page 35 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/ 139	SC 139.6.1	P 292	L 49	# i-22
Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corporat	tion	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There are errors in P802.3bs D3.5 in Table 122-9, 122-10, and Table 122-16 that have propagated through to the P802.3cd draft.

For IEEE 802.3 single-mode optical PMD clauses, the optical return loss of the transmitter compliance channel usually matches the Optical return loss tolerance (max) value in the transmit characteristics table.

Also, in the IEEE Std 802.3bs-2017 amendment, because of the increased sensitivity of the PAM4 modulation format to MPI, the Optical return loss tolerance (max) value was calculated from coherent addition of the worst case discrete reflectances allowed in the channel.

For Clause 122 in draft D2.0 of P802.3bs, the values for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 were 17.8 dB and those for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8 were 15.7 dB in both places. These values were correctly derived from one -26 dB reflectance from the receiver combined with 4 or 6 -35 dB reflectances in the channel for the FR or LR cases respectively.

However, in D2.1 of P802.3bs a more complicated set of requirements for discrete reflectances in the channel were introduced. This allowed 10 x -40 dB reflections for FR and 10 x -38 dB reflections for LR. This changed the worst case combined reflection values to 16.5 dB and 15.1 dB for FR and LR respectively. Unfortunately, while the values in Table 122-9 and 122-10 were changed accordingly, the values in Table 122-16 were not. In D3.2 of P802.3bs a further small change was made to the maximum reflectances in the channel so that for FR the worst case was 10 x -41 dB reflections and for LR it was 8 x -37 dB reflections. See

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/17_05_16/anslow_01_0517_smf.pdf This again changed the worst case combined reflection values, this time to 17.1 dB and 15.6 dB for FR and LR respectively. Unfortunately, none of the values in Table 122-9, 122-10, or Table 122-16 were changed accordingly and these errors were taken over into the P802.3cd draft.

A comment has been submitted to the revision project to fix this in Table 122-9, Table 122-10, and Table 122-16.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 139-6:

change "RIN16.5OMA (max)" to "RIN17.1OMA (max)" change "RIN15.1OMA (max)" to "RIN15.6OMA (max)" change the Optical return loss tolerance (max) values for FR and LR from 16.5 dB and 15.1 dB to 17.1 dB and 15.6 dB, respectively

In Table 139-11:

change the Optical return loss for 50GBASE-FR from 17.8 dB to 17.1 dB change the Optical return loss for 50GBASE-LR from 15.7 dB to 15.6 dB

In 139.7.7:

in the title change "(RIN16.5OMA and RIN15.1OMA)" to "(RIN17.1OMA and RIN15.6OMA) in a) change "16.5 dB for 500GBASE-FR and 15.1 dB for 50GBASE-LR" to "17.1 dB for 50GBASE-FR and 15.6 dB for 50GBASE-LR"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 139 SC 139.7.5 Page 36 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

Proposed Response		Response Status O		
C/ 139	SC 139.7.5	P 296	L 20	# li-80
Liu, Hai-Fe	ena	Intel Corporat	tion	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The sub-eye threshold levels in current TDECQ measurement are determined by the OMAouter and the average optical power of the PAM4 eye diagram (Pave) as defined in equations (121-1), (121-2) and (121-3). While this is good for perfectly linear PAM4 signals with 3 equal eye amplitudes, it would lead to pessimistic TDECQ values as compared to the link sensitivity penalty measurements where thresholds are adjusted by real receivers to achieve the lowest BER even if the signal is not perfectly linear.

Several vendors have contributed data (way_3bs_01a_0717, tamura_3bs_01a_0917, baveja_3cd_01_1117) showing many units that are able to close the link with good sensitivity/BER margin would fail to meet the maximum TDECQ specification, causing good transmitters to be failed.

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to adopt threshold optimization in TDECQ measurement as described in mazzini_120617_3cd_adhoc-v2 with the additional constraints on the allowable adjustment range.

Detailed presentation to be submitted for the January meeting with the summary of the proposal, measurement data to support the proposal, and suggested changes in details.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 139	SC 139.7.5.4	P 297	L 52	
Sun, Jung	ing	Credo Semico	nductor	

Sun, Junqing

i-107

Comment Status X Comment Type т

5-tap T/2-spaced reference receiver has no more than 2 UI precursor coverage. Current reference equalizer with 5-tap T-spaced FFE allows up to 4 precursors. On one hand, a good system does not need so many precursors. On the other hand, supporting so many precursors may allow problematic transmitters to enter the market. This forces receivers to have high complexity and power to ensure interop. Given the fact that no more than 2 precursors are needed in the tests having been reported (e.g. mazzini_01a_0517_smf.pdf), the number of precursors shall be limited to no more than two.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a constraint on main tap location:

139.7.5.4 TDECQ reference equalizer

The reference equalizer for 50GBASE-FR and 50GBASE-LR is a 5 tap. T spaced, feedforward equalizer (FFE), where T is the symbol period. The sum of the equalizer tap coefficients is equal to 1. Main tap location shall not be higher than three.

Proposed Response	Response Status	0
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 139	SC 139.7.5.4	P 297	L 52	# i-117
Dawe, Pie	rs J G	Mellanox Tech	nnologie	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

An equalizing optical receiver has to search through and optimise many dimensions - this flexibility has a cost in design and test, and possibly time to start the link, power and sensitivity. Excluding scenarios that won't happen will pave the way to more efficient receivers in the future. It seems that an SMF signal that needs the equalizer could be slow, "causal" like an electrical signal, to "neutral" like a BT4 filter, to mildly anti-causal in appearance - maybe. But not strongly "anti-causal". We can make practical use of such knowledge (even if the search space would be different for a different PMD). TR because it may take us a while to find enough evidence on what might/won't happen

with a range of transmitter implementations.

SuggestedRemedv

Add "The reference equalizer shall not use more than two pre-cursor taps." Define precursor. Or the taps could be numbered and this rule expressed in terms of the cursor position.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 139	SC 139.7.5.4	P 298	L 1	# i-94
Kirkland, V	Villiam			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

I believe that the following "NOTE" in the TDECQ reference equalizer is NOT true, especially since there is no requirement on the reference tap location. NOTE--This reference equalizer is part of the TDECQ test and does not imply any particular receiver implementation. Not specifying the reference location clearly allows the system to favour both response with significant pre-cursors or post cursors. The use of FFE in this way precludes the use of a CTLE.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the note. I suspect most people understand the implications on a 5 T t-spaced equalizer.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 139	SC 139.7.9.1	P 298	L 45	# i-82
Liu, Hai-Fe	ng	Intel Corporation	ו	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

PAM4 test results have shown (see chang_3cd_01_1117, particularly p. 20) that the composition and ratio of the stressors in the stressed receiver sensitivity test has a strong impact on link performance. In particular, the same SECQ can generate widely varying BER performance from the same receiver depending on whether the dominant stressor added to the bandwidth filtering was Gaussian noise or sinusoidal interferer. To address this we propose to more specifically prescribe the stressor ratio used to create the stressed Rx sensitivity conformance test input, to avoid understressing the receiver and causing interoperability issues.

SuggestedRemedy

In the second paragraph of section 139.7.9.1, after the existing sentence "The combination of the low-pass filter and the E/O converter should...", add the sentence "Of the remaining dB value of stressed eve closure (SECQ), at least half should be from the Gaussian noise stressor."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 139 SC 139.7.9.1

39 SC 139.7.9.2 P 299 L 54 # <u>i-83</u>	Cl 140 SC 140.1 P 309 L 33 # i-15
Hai-Feng Intel Corporation	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
nment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
[note that a comment is needed in this section in addition to the comment above to avoid	There are some items of text in Table 140-1 that should be cross-references.
any confusion with the less clear instructions in the referenced 802.3bs section 121.8.9.2] PAM4 test results have shown (see chang_3cd_01_1117, particularly p. 20) that the	SuggestedRemedy
composition and ratio of the stressors in the stressed receiver sensitivity test has a strong	Make the following into cross-references: on lines 33 to 38, "135D", "135E", "135F", "135G"
impact on link performance. In particular, the same SECQ can generate widely varying BER performance from the same receiver depending on whether the dominant stressor added to the bandwidth filtering was Gaussian noise or sinusoidal interferer. To address this we propose to more specifically prescribe the stressor ratio used, to avoid	Proposed Response Response Status O
understressing the receiver and causing interoperability issues.	Cl 140 SC 140.3.2 P 311 L 39 # i-127
gestedRemedy	Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie
Add the following sentence to the end of section 139.7.9.2: "As outlined in section	Comment Type E Comment Status X
139.7.9.1 above, half of the dB value of stressed eye closure (SECQ) should be from bandwidth limitations from the low-pass filter and E/O converter, while of the remaining dB	Wrong reference: this is 100G, 131.5 is for 50G.
value of stressed eye closure (SECQ), at least half should be from the Gaussian noise	SuggestedRemedy
stressor."	Change 131.5 to 80.5, twice. Change Figure 131-3 to Figure 80-8.
posed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
<i>Tament Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> X Table 140-1 lists a variety of AUI options (e.g., CAUI-4 C2M, 100GAUI-4 C2M, 100GAUI-2 C2M) to build a PHY using a 100GBASE-DR PMD with no explicit regard to the potential	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Clause 140 defines the 100GBASE-DR PMD, so the skew constraints are those for a 100G PHY not a 50G PHY. Consequently, the cross-references in 140.3.2 should point to
mismatch of the output jitter of the AUI and the compliant output jitter of the 100GBASE- DR PMD.	Clause 80 and Clause 83 rather than Clause 131 and Clause 135.
gestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add text stating, "The PMA between the AUI and the PMD is responsible for adapting the output jitter of the chosen AUI option to meet the compliant output jitter of the 100GBASE-DR PMD." boosed Response Response Status O	On line 39, change: "Skew and Skew Variation are defined in 131.5 and specified at the points SP0 to SP7 shown in Figure 131-3." to: "Skew and Skew Variation are defined in 80.5 and specified at the points SP0 to SP7 shown in Figure 80-8."
	On line 43 change: "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns as defined by 135.5.3.5." to: "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns as defined by 83.5.3.4." On page 312, line 1, change: "For more information on Skew and Skew Variation, see 131.5." to:
	"For more information on Skew and Skew Variation, see 80.5."

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 140

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 140

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 140

Page 38 of 40

2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/140 SC	C 140.3.2	P 311	L 49	# i-125
Dawe, Piers J G	6	Mellanox Teo	chnologie	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
		receiver MDI) has to be the sist serial PMD.	same as the Skev	v at SP3 (the
SuggestedRem	edy			
		t SP4 and SP5. Correct Tab by using Table 131-5 (corre		
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O		
-	C 140.6.2	P 316	L 42	# i-78
ewis, David		Lumentum		
However th as the stres sensitivity n between 0.9	is is not suf is level (SE needs to be 9 and 1.4 d	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d	t which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because
However th as the stress sensitivity n between 0.9 compliant to receiver can impairment	is is not suf seeds to be and 1.4 dl ransmitters n be design s present ir	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For ve	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d n in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma	ed to improve linearly at which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a cong equalization for the ay have high enough
However th as the stress sensitivity n between 0.9 compliant to receiver can impairment noise to not SuggestedRem In Table 14 Change the	is is not suf selevel (SE needs to be 9 and 1.4 di ransmitters n be design s present ir t meet the s edy 0-7: e value of Si	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa have OMA (min) of -0.8 dBn red to pass the current SRS in the SRS test signal, but at t	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d n in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma wer values of SEC	ed to improve linearly tt which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a ong equalization for the ay have high enough CQ.
However th as the stress sensitivity n between 0.9 compliant th receiver can impairment noise to not SuggestedRem In Table 14 Change the mask in Fig Delete the o	is is not suf selevel (SE heeds to be 9 and 1.4 di ransmitters n be design s present in t meet the s edy 0-7: a value of Si jure - XX" entry for Re a value of Si	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa have OMA (min) of -0.8 dBn led to pass the current SRS in the SRS test signal, but at the sensitivity requirements at low	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d in in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma wer values of SEC DMAouter) (max)) (max) and note	ed to improve linearly t which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a ong equalization for the ay have high enough CQ. from -1.9 to "below the c.
However th as the stress sensitivity n between 0.9 compliant th receiver can impairment noise to not SuggestedRem In Table 14 Change the mask in Fig Delete the 6 Change the mask in Fig	is is not suf as level (SE needs to be 9 and 1.4 di ransmitters n be design s present in t meet the s edy 0-7: a value of Si yure - XX" a value of Si yure - XX" a value of Si yure - XX"	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa have OMA (min) of -0.8 dBm red to pass the current SRS to the SRS test signal, but at to sensitivity requirements at low tressed receiver sensitivity (Conserved)	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d in in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma wer values of SEC DMAouter) (max)) (max) and note DMAouter) (max)	ed to improve linearly it which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a ong equalization for the ay have high enough CQ. from -1.9 to "below the c. from -1.9 to "below the
However th as the stress sensitivity in between 0.9 compliant th receiver cal impairment noise to not SuggestedRem In Table 14 Change the mask in Fig Delete the 4 Change the mask in Fig Change the and 3.4"	is is not suf as level (SE heeds to be 9 and 1.4 di ransmitters n be design s present ir t meet the s edy 0-7: e value of S' jure - XX" e value of S' jure - XX" e value of S' yure - XX"	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa have OMA (min) of -0.8 dBm red to pass the current SRS in the SRS test signal, but at the sensitivity requirements at low tressed receiver sensitivity (Construction ecciver sensitivity (OMAouter tressed receiver sensitivity (Construction)	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d in in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma wer values of SEC DMAouter) (max)) (max) and note DMAouter) (max)	ed to improve linearly it which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a ong equalization for the ay have high enough CQ. from -1.9 to "below the c. from -1.9 to "below the
However th as the stress sensitivity in between 0.9 compliant the receiver car impairment noise to not SuggestedRem In Table 14 Change the mask in Fig Delete the 6 Change the mask in Fig Change the and 3.4" Add Figure	is is not suf as level (SE heeds to be 9 and 1.4 di ransmitters n be design s present ir t meet the s edy 0-7: e value of S' jure - XX" e value of S' jure - XX" e value of S' yure - XX"	fficient because stressed ser CQ) is reduced from 3.4 dB better than -3.9 dBm. For v B, sensitivity can have the sa have OMA (min) of -0.8 dBm ed to pass the current SRS in the SRS test signal, but at the sensitivity requirements at low tressed receiver sensitivity (C eceiver sensitivity (OMAouter tressed receiver sensitivity (C tressed eye closure for PAM	nsitivity is suppose down to 1.4 dB, a ery low stress sig ame value (-3.9 d in in this region. T test by having stre the same time ma wer values of SEC DMAouter) (max)) (max) and note DMAouter) (max)	ed to improve linearly it which point nals, with SECQ Bm) because The concern is that a ong equalization for the ay have high enough CQ. from -1.9 to "below the c. from -1.9 to "below the

C/ 140 SC	140.7.5	P 319	L 19	# <u>i-</u> 81
Liu, Hai-Feng		Intel Corporation		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		

The sub-eye threshold levels in current TDECQ measurement are determined by the OMAouter and the average optical power of the PAM4 eye diagram (Pave) as defined in equations (121-1), (121-2) and (121-3). While this is good for perfectly linear PAM4 signals with 3 equal eye amplitudes, it would lead to pessimistic TDECQ values as compared to the link sensitivity penalty measurements where thresholds are adjusted by real receivers to achieve the lowest BER even if the signal is not perfectly linear. Several vendors have contributed data (way_3bs_01a_0717, tamura_3bs_01a_0917, baveja_3cd_01_1117) showing many units that are able to close the link with good sensitivity/BER margin would fail to meet the maximum TDECQ specification, causing good transmitters to be failed.

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to adopt threshold optimization in TDECQ measurement as described in mazzini_120617_3cd_adhoc-v2 with the additional constraints on the allowable adjustment range.

Detailed presentation to be submitted for the January meeting with the summary of the proposal, measurement data to support the proposal, and suggested changes in details.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 140	SC 140.7.5	P 319	L 19	# i-108
Sun, Junqi	ng	Credo Semic	onductor	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

5-tap T/2-spaced reference receiver has no more than 2 UI precursor coverage. Current reference equalizer with 5-tap T-spaced FFE allows up to 4 precursors. A good system does not need so many precursors. Meanwhile supporting so many precursors may allow problematic transmitters to enter the market. This forces receivers to implement many precursors to ensure interop. Therefore receiver hardware complexity and power are increased, although these precursors are not needed for good systems. Given the fact that no more than 2 precursors are needed in the tests having been reported (e.g. mazzini_01a_0517_smf.pdf), the number of precursors shall be limited to no more than two.

SuggestedRemedy

Add one more exception:

Main tap location of the reference equalizer shall not be higher than three.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 140 SC 140.7.5 Page 39 of 40 2017-12-16 1:34:30 PM

C/ 140 SC 140.7.5	P 319	L 22	# i-121	C/ 140 SC 140.7.9
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	nologie		Liu, Hai-Feng
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR
	nce equalizer as described in vice what we need here.	121.8.5.4 is sui	table because there, T	PAM4 test results have composition and ratio impact on link performa BER performance from
Add text explaining the	at the symbol period T is not th	ne same as in 1	21.8.5.4.	added to the bandwidth
Proposed Response	Response Status O			this we propose to mor Rx sensitivity conforma interoperability issues.
C/ 140 SC 140.7.5	P 319	L 23	# i-118	SuggestedRemedy
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	nologie		Add the following bullet
Comment Type TR Excluding scenarios th	Comment Status X nat won't happen will pave the	way to more ef	ficient receivers (see	eye closure (SECQ) the and E/O converter, at lo Gaussian noise stresso
be slow (slower relativ of "causal" like an elec appearance - maybe.	seems that a 100 Gb/s/lane S e to the signalling rate than a strical signal, to "neutral" like a But not so extremely lopsided ecursor, nor strongly "anti-cau	50 Gb/s/lane si a BT4 filter, to m I that the a four	gnal), and in the range nildly anti-causal in th postcursor would be	Proposed Response

TR because it may take us a while to find enough evidence on what might/won't happen with a range of transmitter implementations.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "The reference equalizer shall use one or two pre-cursor taps." Define pre-cursor. Or the taps could be numbered and this rule expressed in terms of the cursor position.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 140	SC 140.7.9		P 320	L 15	# i-84
Liu, Hai-F	eng		Intel Corporati	on	
-		-			

Comment Status X

ve shown (see chang_3cd_01_1117, particularly p. 20) that the of the stressors in the stressed receiver sensitivity test has a strong nance. In particular, the same SECQ can generate widely varying m the same receiver depending on whether the dominant stressor th filtering was Gaussian noise or sinusoidal interferer. To address pre specifically prescribe the stressor ratio used to create the stressed nance test input, to avoid understressing the receiver and causing

let to the end of section 140.7.9, "Of the remaining half of stressed hat is not generated by bandwidth limitations from the low-pass filter least half of the remaining stress (in dB of SECQ) should be from the sor."

Response Status 0

C/ 140 SC 140.7.9