Implementing an Extinction Ratio of 3.5 dB in 50GBASE-FR/LR in P802.3cd

Xi Huang, Yu Xu, Peter Stassar

www.huawei.com



Supporters

- Jonathan King, Finisar
- Piers Dawe, Mellanox
- Pete Anslow, Ciena

. .



Introduction

- For P802.3cd D1.3 it was proposed to lower the Extinction Ratio for 50GBASE-FR and -LR, specified in Clause 139, from 4.5 dB to 3.5 dB.
- As analysed in king 3cd 02 0317 and anslow 01 0517 smf (in 802.3bs), lowering the ER to 3.5 dB will increase the maximum MPI penalty by about 0.1 dB, noting that for 50GBASE-FR/LR the same model applies as for 200/400GBASE-FRx/LRx.
- Furthermore <u>anslow 01_0517_smf</u> provides some additional constraints for maximum discrete reflectance to limit the increase in MPI penalty to 0.1 dB.
- This presentation provides some considerations on how to implement an Extinction Ratio of 3.5 dB in Clause 139.



Considerations

- In <u>dawe 3cd_01_0517</u> it was proposed to put the "burden" of an extinction ratio between 3.5 and 4.5 dB on those transmitters needing it by proposing an increase in the minimum OMA_{outer} TDECQ by 0.1 dB for an ER between 3.5 and 4.5 dB.
- During the New Orleans meeting, 22 26 May, it was verbally suggested to consider putting the whole burden on the receiver instead of the transmitter, if sufficient margin would be present in 50G PAM4 receiver performance.
- The following slide shows test results of PAM4 receiver sensitivity for a wide range of ER values.





BER performance 50G PAM4 Rx versus ER





Eye diagrams



ER: 3.5dB



ER: 4.5dB



Margins for PAV4 Receiver Sensitivity (OMA_{outer})



Margin >4 dB against -8.8 dBm LR (unstressed) receiver sensitivity spec for perfect transmitter (SECQ = 0dB)

Actual margin even bigger because test transmitter will have SECQ > 0dB



Margins

- The shown test results demonstrate a margin of more than 4 dB against the specified receiver sensitivity (OMA_{outer}) (max) of -8.8 dBm for 50GBASE-LR and more than 5.5 dB for the 50GBASE-FR specification of -7.3 dBm.
- 50GBASE-LR and FR receiver (unstressed) sensitivity values are for a perfect transmitter, so more margin in this test, assuming that test transmitter has SECQ > 0dB.
- These results support the suggestion that even after tightening the receiver sensitivities of 50GBASE-FR/LR by 0.1 dB there would still be more than sufficient margin to allow low cost manufacturing of receivers for both applications.



Proposed specification changes

Table 139-6

Change Extinction ratio (min) from 4.5 dB to 3.5 dB

Table 139-7

- Change Receiver sensitivity (OMA_{outer}) (max):
 - From -7.3 dBm to -7.4 dBm for 50GBASE-FR
 - From -8.8 dBm to -8.9 dBm for 50GBASE-LR.
- Change Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA_{outer}) (max):
 - From -5 dBm to -5.1 dBm for 50GBASE-FR
 - From -6.3 dBm to -6.4 dBm for 50GBASE-LR.

9



Proposed further specification changes

Table 139-8

- Change Power budget (for maximum TDECQ):
 - From 6.6 dB to 6.7 dB for 50GBASE-FR
 - From 9.3 dB to 9.4 dB for 50GBASE-LR.
- Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDECQ):
 - From 2.6 dB to 2.7 dB for 50GBASE-FR
 - From 3 dB to 3.1 dB for 50GBASE-LR.

Table 139-14

- Change maximum value for each discrete reflectance:
 - From -39 dB to -40 dB for 8 reflectances for 50GBASE-FR
 - From -40 dB to -41 dB for 10 reflectances for 50GBASE-FR
 - From -38 dB to -39 dB for 10 reflectances for 50GBASE-LR



Thanks

