C/ FM SC FM P1 **L1** # i-18 C/ FM SC FM P8 L2 # i-21 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D bucket "IEEE P802.3.2(TM)/D2.1" should be "IEEE P802.3.2(TM)/D3.0" The first 6 names on page 8 are repeats of names on page 7. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy replace "D2.1" with the draft number text inset. Remove "McMillan, Larry" through "Miguelez, Phil" from the list on page 8 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P**2 L1** # i-19 C/ FM SC FM P10 **L1** # i-116 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket bucket The even page header in the front matter is for P802.3cc The title "Introduction" is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please restore the title. (If necessary, get the templates fixed.) Make consistent with the other headers. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P**7** C/ FM SC FM P10 **L1** L21 # i-20 # i-22 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε bucket Comment Type E bucket The column sizes in the list of WG ballot participants need changing to avoid any names The heading for "Introduction" is missing. wrapping across two lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the heading Re-size the columns to be the same as the latest version of the 802.3 FrameMaker Proposed Response Response Status W template. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P10 L7 # i-118 Grow. Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket The 2018 revision has been published. SuggestedRemedy The Introduction should reference IEEE Std 802.3-2018 (not 201X). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

bucket

bucket

bucket

Page 2 of 13

10/29/2018 6:15:48 AM

C/ FM SC FM P10 L8 # i-119 C/ 1 SC 1.4 P14 L44 # i-31 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D Grammar. It would be better if we did not restrict the higher protocol to just NETCONF and RESTCONF as in the following statement: Managed objects defined using YANG modelling SuggestedRemedy language are hosted on the managed device and accessed through NETCONF (see IETF "results" should be "result" RFC 6241) or RESTCONF (see IETF RFC 8040). Same issue pg 17 line 10. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change: CI 0 SC 0 Ρ 1 # i-27 "NETCONF (see IETF RFC 6241) and RESTCONF (see IETF RFC 8040)" to "NETCONF (see IETF RFC 6241) or RESTCONF (see IETF RFC 8040)" Perry, Lisa Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D bucket PROPOSED REJECT. This draft meets all editorial requirements. Text already reads "NETCONF (see IETF RFC 6241) or RESTCONF (see IETF RFC SuggestedRemedy 8040)." SC 1.5 C/ 1 P14 L51 # i-32 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D SC₁ P14 C/ 1 L6 # i-121 There are multiple modules. Grow, Robert RMG Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Ε bucket Change: Inconsistent capitalization of Multipoint Control Protocol (though Std 802.3 is not "The YANG module defined ..." to consistent, this capitalization is most common). "The YANG modules defined ..." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change this instance. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 1 SC 1.5 P14 L63 # i-33 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Change "multipoint control protocol (MPCP)," to "Multipoint Control Protocol (MPCP)," Comment Type Ε Comment Status D There are multiple modules. The same issue exists on pg 15 line 4 and 9 SuggestedRemedy Change: "this YANG module ..." to "these YANG modules ..."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 2 SC 2 P16 L13 # i-1 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket An editorial note can be removed. Also, fix the publication date for 802.3-2018 to read 31 August 2018 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 2 SC 2 P16 L13 # i-35 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket It is highly unlikely that the edition of 802.3 will change from that referenced and P802.3ci has completed it's work. SuggestedRemedy remove the Ed Note. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 2 SC 2 P16 L13 # i-123 **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type Comment Status D Ε bucket The Editorial Note is no longer relevant SuggestedRemedy Remove the note. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 2 SC 2 P16 L30 # i-127 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket With the addition of Table 5-2, RFC 3635, EtherLike MIB should be added to the normative SuggestedRemedy Add reference. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add reference IETF RFC 3635, Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types, September 2003 CI 2 SC 2 P16 L31 # i-37 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket RFC 5246 Per https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8446/ RFC 5246 is obsolete. SuggestedRemedy Replace with RFC 8446 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 2 SC 2 P16 L39 # i-38 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket RFC 6241 Per https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8446/ RFC 6241 has been updated by RFC 7803 SuggestedRemedy Add ref to RFC 7803 Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 2 SC 2 P16 L46 # i-39 CI 5 SC 5.2 P19 L49 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D RFC 6536 The Editorial Note seems to be conveying information that would be useful to the reader which should be retained in the published document. Furthermore there is no reference to Per https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc6536/ RFC 6536 is obsolete. Table 5-3. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with RFC 8341 Remove the Editorial Note and add the following at the end of the para in 5.2. Proposed Response Response Status W Nodes that do not map into RFC 2819 (RMON) but into the ETHERLIKE MIB appear in PROPOSED ACCEPT. Table 5-3. Proposed Response Response Status W CI 2 SC 2 P16 L55 # i-40 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Remove the Editorial Note Comment Type Comment Status D bucket TR RFC 7950 P19 CI 5 SC 5.2 / 50 Per https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc7950/ RFC 7950 has been updated. Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communicatio** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D Add ref to RFC 8342 Unnecessary editorial note Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remove the note on line 50-52. CI 3 SC 3.1 P17 L7 # i-41 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D Ε bucket Cl 5 SC 5.2 P21 L45 missing period at end of sentence. Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type E per comment The final bottom border in Table 5-1 should be "Thin" i.e., follow the table definition. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remove the override from the final bottom border in Table 5-1 Proposed Response Response Status W

i-42

bucket

bucket

bucket

i-23

CI 5 SC 5.2 P22 L19 # i-126 CI 5 SC 5.3.2.1 P32 L56 # i-47 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Indentation of "(IETF RFC 8343).";" The titles of Table 5-2 and 5-3 are inconsistent. One uses the RFC (RFC 2819, RMON) and the other the common name (RFC 3635 EtherLike MIB). Same issue pg 33 line 11. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedv Make consistent, prefferably using RFC #. align with rest of description Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change title of Table 5-3 to read: "Mapping between IETF RFC 3635 managed objects and CI 5 SC 5.3.2.1 P34 L12 # i-164 ieee802-ethernet-interface YANG data nodes" Weber, Karl **Beckhoff Automation** CI 5 SC 5.3.2 P26 L3 # i-43 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies fps is written differently compared to Gb/s Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy The sub-clause refers to multiple YANG modules. Change to f/s SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change: PROPOSED ACCEPT. "In the following YANG module definition, ..." to "In the following YANG module definitions, ..." CI 5 SC 5.3.2.2 P45 **L6** # i-24 Proposed Response Response Status W Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket "10GB/s" should be "10 Gb/s" (add a space and lower case B) CI 5 SC 5.3.2.1 P28 L57 # i-4 SuggestedRemedy Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Change "10GB/s" to "10 Gb/s" Comment Status D Comment Type E bucket Proposed Response Response Status W No need to use the reference to 802.3 anymore, it is clearly defined that any "Ethernet interface" is an IEEE Std 802.3 compliant Ethernet interface PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Cl 5 P45 # i-48 SC 5.3.2.2 L21 Change "IEEE Std 802.3 Ethernet interface" to "Ethernet interface" in following locations Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies (page/line): 28/57 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket 32/39 Indentation of augment statement. 44/31 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W line 19-20 is Indented by one extra level. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

and on line 24 change:
"for PoE function" to
"for the PoE function"

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

CI 6 SC 6.1 P50 L13 # i-49 CI 6 SC 6.2 P50 L22 # i-52 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Is this a test to see who is paying attention? There is only one data module in this clause "power over data line" or "Power over Data Line"? We should be consistent. and there are 2 in Clause 5. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "power over data line" to "Power over Data Line" Change: Proposed Response Response Status W "The YANG modules defined in this clause extend the Ethernetinterface YANG data module defined in Clause 5 ... " to PROPOSED ACCEPT. "The YANG module defined in this clause extends the Ethernetinterface YANG data modules defined in Clause 5..." pointers follow CI 6 SC 6.3 P**50** L12 # i-129 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting ٨ Λ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Proposed Response Response Status W First use of PD in document not expanded. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy CI 6 SC 6.2 P**50** L20 # i-50 Add expansion Powered Device. Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket PROPOSED ACCEPT. missing verb CI 6 SC 6.3 P51 **L1** # i-53 SuggestedRemedy Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Change "clause focused" to Comment Type Comment Status D bucket "clause is focused" Why does 6.3 warrant starting on a new page? Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remove page break CI 6 SC 6.2 P50 L21 # i-51 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket missing "the" SuggestedRemedy Change: "Power over Ethernet (PoE) function" to: "the Power over Ethernet (PoE) function"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 6 SC 6.3 Page 6 of 13 10/29/2018 6:15:48 AM

Cl 6 SC 6.3 Remein, Duane	P51 Huawei Techno	L 5 ologies	# i <u>-54</u>	<i>Cl</i> 6 <i>SC</i> 6.5.1 Weber, Karl	P 60 Beckhoff Auton	L 47 nation	# <u>i-153</u>
Comment Type E Grammar	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E units definition should	Comment Status D be plural and without quoting		bucket
write." to	of data nodes defined in this YA of data nodes defined in this YA		· ·	SuggestedRemedy change to milliwatts Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			Cl 6 SC 6.5.1 Weber, Karl	P 60 Beckhoff Auton	L 59 nation	# i-154
CI 6 SC 6.3 Remein, Duane Comment Type E	P51 Huawei Techno Comment Status D	L30 blogies	# [-55 bucket	Comment Type E units definition should SuggestedRemedy change to milliwatts	Comment Status D be plural and without quoting		bucket
Grammar SuggestedRemedy Change: "and possible to encry "and to possibly encry	ypt their values" to: ypt their values"			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT CI 6 SC 6.5.1 Weber, Karl	Response Status W 7. P 64 Beckhoff Auton	L 21	# [<u>-148</u>
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			Comment Type E units definition should	Comment Status D be plural and without quoting		bucket
C/ 6 SC 6.5.1 Weber, Karl	P 60 Beckhoff Autor	L 27 nation	# i-156	SuggestedRemedy change to milliwatts			
Comment Type E units definition should	Comment Status D If be plural and without quoting		bucket	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy change to millijoules				Cl 6 SC 6.5.1 Weber, Karl	P 64 Beckhoff Auton	L 36 nation	# <u>i-149</u>
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			Comment Type E units definition should SuggestedRemedy change to milliwatts Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D be plural and without quoting Response Status W		bucket

CI 6 SC 6.5.2 P61 L12 # i-56 CI 7 SC 7.2.1 P65 L59 # i-130 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Ε Comment Status D bucket Indenting the line beginning ""PoDL PSE configuration ..." is excessively indented and First use of PMD in document not expanded. therefore wraps to the next line. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add expansion Physical Medium Dependent (though Std 802.3 uses an uncapitalized Removed excess indents and ensure the line wraps properly is required to fit on two lines. version in 1.5 a quick check of expansions in the document uses the capitalized version). Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 6 SC 6.5.2 P63 L15 # i-57 CI 7 SC 7.2.1 P65 L62 # i-131 Huawei Technologies Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Remein. Duane Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket bucket Indenting the line beginning "enters the the SIGNATURE INVALID ..." needs an additional First use of PCS in document not expanded. space indentation and one less "the ". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add expansion Physical Coding Sublayer (though Std 802.3 uses an uncapitalized version per comment in 1.5 a quick check of expansions in the document mostly uses the capitalized version). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.2 P65 L12 # i-58 CI 7 SC 7.2.1 P66 L20 # i-5 **Charter Communicatio** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Ε bucket bucket missing verb MPCP is already expanded and defined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Change "clause focused" to Clause 77: MPCP (Multipoint Control Protocol), which "clause is focused" Proposed Response Response Status W to PROPOSED ACCEPT. Clause 77: MPCP, which Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy
Per comment
Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7

SC 7.2.3

CI 7 SC 7.2.1 P66 L65 # i-133 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D bucket First use of FEC in document not expanded. SuggestedRemedy Add expansion forward error correction (Std 802.3 uses an uncapitalized version in 1.5 but capitalized on a varient, RS-FEC a quick check of expansions in the document mostly uses the uncapitalized version). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add expansion Forward Error Correction - we just need to be consistent in our document Page number fixed (should be 66, line 65) CI 7 SC 7.2.1 # i-132 P76 **L1** Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D bucket First use of PMA in document not expanded SuggestedRemedy Add expansion Physical Medium Dependent (though Std 802.3 uses an uncapitalized version in 1.5 a guick check of expansions in the document mostly uses the capitalized version). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.2.2 P66 L28 # i-6 Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Missing comma after "802.3"

Response Status W

Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Figure 7-2 title has inconsistent capitalization of Multipoint MAC Control (Std 802.3 seems to use this capitalization). SugaestedRemedy Capitalize Control. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.2.3 P**67** L38 # i-135 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Ε Inconsistent capitalization of time-division multiple access (Std 802.3 is 2:1 on hyphenation, and uses lower case in all three occurances). SuggestedRemedy Change to: time-division multiple access. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.2.6 P**70** L50 # i-70 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket "an non-overlapping" should be "a non-overlapping" SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

P67

L34

i-134

CI 7 SC 7.2.6 P70 L55 # i-71 CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P81 L34 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D "mpcp" should be all caps "ONU, decision" should be "ONU, a decision" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per comment Change "mpcp" to "MPCP" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.3 P**75** L57 # i-25 CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P**81** L64 Charter Communicatio Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket The final bottom border in Table 7-1 should be "Thin" i.e., follow the table definition. These are not "Ethernet interfaces" but "EPON interfaces" that this module defines, being the extension of Ethernet interfaces. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the override from the final bottom border in Table 7-1 Change all instances of "Ethernet interface" to "EPON interface" in this module Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 7.4.2 P**76** CI 7 L50 # i-75 CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P84 L57 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status D Comment Type G bucket Comment Status D Comment Type T There are only two instances of "Clause 64/77" while there are 29 instances of "Clause 64 and Clause 77". To make future maintenance simpler we should use "Clause 64 and It seems odd to have a blank Reference Clause 77" consistently. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "" to "Not Applicable" Replace both instances of "Clause 64/77" with "Clause 64 and Clause 77" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #i-14

i-12

i-13

i-77

bucket

bucket

bucket

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P84 L57 # i-14 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Empty reference field SuggestedRemedy Strike Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P86 L10 # i-79 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Indenting the line beginning "This action applies to an OLT or ONU virtual interface.":" should be indented one additional space.

Same issue line 23.

SuggestedRemedy per comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Ε

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P86 # i-80 L23

Comment Status D

Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Indenting the line beginning "This action applies to an OLT or ONU virtual interface." should be indented one additional space.

SuggestedRemedy per comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P88 L37 # i-83

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket

The sentence reads poorly "Contain all Ethernet interface specific capabilities."

SugaestedRemedy

Change to "This container includes all Ethernet interface specific capabilities."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "This container includes all Ethernet interface-specific capabilities."

(added missing hyphen)

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P90 L9 # i-86

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket

Indenting the line beginning "re-initialization of the management system, and at other times" should be indented one less space.

Exact same issue line 38, pg 91 line 4, pg 91 line 34, pg 91 line 64, pg 93 line 25,, pg 95 line 54, pg 97 line 45, pg 98 line 7, pg 98 line 35, pg 99 line 2, pg 98 line 65, pg 100 line 31,, pg 106 line 44, pg 107 line 9, pg 107 line 38, pg 108 line 3, pg 114 line 35, and pg 115 line 1.

Note there are instances where the quoted text is correctly indented and thus a global search and replace cannot be used.

SuggestedRemedy

bucket

per comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P96 L35 # i-17

Haiduczenia. Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Stranded reference to 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "IEEE Std 802.3," before "65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1", "65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.2", and "65.1.3.3.3 or 76.2.6.1.3.3", where reference to 802.3 is absent

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

bucket

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SuggestedRemedy
per comment
Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P96 L46 # i-96 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket "has distinct values for each virtual interface" really? Multiple values for each LLID? Same issue pg 97 line 12 SugaestedRemedy Change: "has distinct values" to: "has a distinct value" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P99 L33 # i-99 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D bucket The line beginning "re-initialization of the management system, and at other times" and the next line should be indented one less space. The line beginning "defined in the ietf-interfaces YANG module (IETF RFC 8343).";" should be indented two less spaces. SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P109 **L6** # i-103 Huawei Technologies Remein. Duane Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Change "in to" to "to" SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status W

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P110 L3 # i-104 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket This statement is redundant "It has a distinct value for each logical link and every queue. At the ONU, it has a distinct value for every queue." because the previous sentence is missing "At the OLT" Same issue pg 110 line 40, pg 111 line 14, pg 111 line 43, 112 line 65, and pg 114 line 31. SuggestedRemedy Change: "It has a distinct value for each logical link and every queue." to: "At the OLT it has a distinct value for each logical link and every queue." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "It has a distinct value for each logical link and every queue." to: "At the OLT, this object has a distinct value for each logical link and every queue." (replaced "it" with ", this object") CI 7 P110 SC 7.4.2 L35 # i-105 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Indenting the line beginning "ONU. It can have a value between 0 and 7, limited by the value" should be indented one less space. SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P115 # i-107 L19 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Indenting In the description beginning ""This object reflects the number of frame drop events from" all lines except the first line should be indented one additional space.

Response Status W

i-137

i-114

i-26

i-115

bucket

bucket

bucket

bucket

CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P116 L65 # i-109 CI 8 SC 8.3 P122 L46 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D There is no cable in EPON "is cable of supporting" Netconf/Restconf are not capitalized in this case. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change cable to capable NETCONF/RESTCONF Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 7 SC 7.4.2 P120 **L1** # i-112 CI 8 SC 8.3. P122 L46 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Indenting the line beginning "This object is applicable for an OLT and an ONU. It has the Capitalization "Netconf/Restconf" should be in all caps same" should be indented one less space. SuggestedRemedy Exact same issue exists on line 49 per comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W per comment PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 8 SC 8.4 P126 L46 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation CI 8 SC 8.2.4 P122 L3 # i-136 Comment Type Comment Status D Grow. Robert RMG Consulting The final bottom border in Table 8-1 should be "Thin" i.e., follow the table definition. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy The expansion and acronym for OAMPDU are not closely enough linked. Remove the override from the final bottom border in Table 8-1 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add "(OAMPDU)" after "Ethernet OAM protocol data unit". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 8 SC 8.5.2 P143 L23 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status D Indenting the line beginning ""Enable or disable monitoring." appear to be indented less than the rest of the description for no apparent reason. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Adjust the indenting to match the rest of the description

Response Status W