Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Milwaukee, WI, USA – August 2019

- Motion #1: Move to approve the agenda as shown in agenda 3cg 01 0819.pdf.
- M: Peter Jones
- S: Steffen Graber
- Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)
- Motion Passes

- Motion #2: Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Pair Ethernet Task Force from July 2019 as posted.
- M: Bob Voss
- S: Brian Franchuk
- Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)
- Motion Passes

- Move to consider 1 comment (r02-067) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 3.2 SA recirculation ballot deadline
- M: Peter Jones
- S: Bob Voss
- (Technical >= 75%)
- Motion Passes by voice without objection

- Accept the resolutions to all P802.3cg d3p2 comments marked with the Topic "EZ" and posted as, "EZ Bucket" comments with proposed resolutions sorted by Comment ID, excluding none.
- M: David Brandt
- S: Steffen Graber
- (Technical >= 75%)
- Motion Passes by voice without objection

- Move to respond to comment r02-14 with Alternative B: (see straw polls, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE - Same text as "A", but with -6 as well. (Return to the draft 3.0 text, with references corrected))
- M: Chris Diminico
- S: Ron Nordin
- (Technical >= 75%)
- Y:13 N: 4 A: 7
- Motion Passes

- Move to consider 4 comments (r02-068, r02-069, r02-070, r02-071) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 3.2 SA recirculation ballot deadline.
- M: Steffen Graber
- S: Peter Jones
- (Technical >= 75%)
- Y: 20 N: 0 A: 0
- Motion Passes

- Move to instruct the Task Force editors to generate draft 3.3 from draft 3.2 and the closed comments, with editorial license granted to update PICS as needed, conform to RevCom guidelines, align editing instructions to closed comments, and conduct a 15-day third SA Recirculation ballot.
- M: Jon Lewis S: Steffen Graber
- (Technical >= 75%)
- Y: 24

N: 0

A: 0

Motion Passes

- Adjourn the meeting.
- M: Bob Voss
- S: Peter Jones
- Approved by voice without opposition

Straw Polls

Straw Poll #1

I support: (pick one)

- A: Rejecting Comment r02-55 as out of scope with no consensus to change.
- B: resolving Comment r02-55 with: "Accept in Principle". Adjusting the text as necessary.

A: 10 B: 4

Comment r02-14: Alternatives for Discussion

- Alternative "A" (above): ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE (commenter's suggested remedy with correction for missing text)
- Alternative B: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Same text as "A", but with -6 as well. (Return to the draft 3.0 text, with references corrected)
- Alternative C: Remain as is (no connector in the draft), (REJECT -No consensus to change).
- Alternative D: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Same text as "A", but "shall" instead of "may".
- Alternative E: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE text as in "A", but with the following first paragraph substituted. (paragraph to the figures remains the same) "Connectors meeting the mechanical specifications of IEC 63171-1 shall be used as the compatibility interface between the PMA and the medium. The use of other types of connectors, if any, within a PMA or within the medium, although not precluded, is outside the scope of this standard."

Straw Poll #3

- I would strongly oppose Alternative(s) (Chicago rules):
- A (-1, "may"): 5
- B (-1 & -6, "may"):6
- C (no change):7
- D (-1, "shall"):12
- E (-1, "shall"+"compatibility"):10
- None: 1

Straw Poll #4 (pick 2)

I support option(s):

- A (-1, "may"): 9
- B (-1 & -6, "may"): 12
- C (no change): 12
- D (-1, "shall"): 0
- E (-1, "compatibility"):6
- None: 1

Straw Poll 5: (choose 1)

- I support:
 - Alternative B: (-1 and -6, "may"): 11
 - Alternative C: (no change): 10

Straw Poll #6

I support (vote on each):

- A (-1, "may"): Y:7 N:6 A:6
- B (-1 & -6, "may"): Y:12 N:4 A:4
- D (-1, "shall"): Y:9 N:9 A:3
- E (-1, "compatibility"): Y:10 N:7 A:4

Thank You!