

Discussion of Multidrop Access Methods

David D. Brandt
Rockwell Automation

Purpose

- The purpose of this presentation is to:
 - Initiate discussion on multidrop access methods

Motivation

- IEEE P802.3cg may develop a multidrop option for cost sensitive applications
- A deterministic access method is required for control applications
 - Automotive
 - Industrial
 - Other

Relevant IEEE methods

- 802.3 MPCP (MultiPoint Control Protocol)
 - Defines scheduled transmission
 - Defines time synchronization used by scheduled transmission
- 802.1 TSN (Time Sensitive Networking)
 - 802.1Qbv defines scheduled transmission
 - Can avoid collisions
 - 802.1AS defines a time synchronization method usable by scheduled transmissions
 - Defined for a Coordinated Shared Network (CSN)


Observations

- TSN is on a path to becoming an expected option for deterministic Ethernet
- MPCP is likely to also require TSN

MULTIPOINT CONTROL PROTOCOL (MPCP)

Multipoint MAC Control (Clause 64)

- “Multipoint MAC Control sublayer” replaces the “MAC Control sublayer” to support multiple clients and additional MAC control functionality
- Multiple MAC entities use a single Physical Layer (and PHY)




Multipoint MAC Control (Clause 64)

- Time synchronization
 - Local time is kept by a 32-bit counter that increments every 16 ns (62.5 MHz)
 - OLT distributes time
 - OLT initiates Round Trip Time (RTT) measurement and informs ONUs
 - Can be used for ranging
 - Must guarantee a constant delay through the MAC and PHY in order to maintain the correctness of the timestamping mechanism
 - Maximum delay variation of 16 bit times through the MAC
- Scheduling
 - OLT sends “GATE messages” with time grants to organize the transmission schedule
 - No specific algorithm

Extensions of the Reconciliation Sublayer... (Clause 65)

- RS handles multiple MACs
 - Each identified by a Logical Link Identifier (LLID)
- Modified preamble carries the LLID so that RS can choose a MAC



CSMA/CD

Motivation for CSMA/CD usage

- CSMA/CD is a well-known technique
- Already available in:
 - Existing 10M MACs
 - Low-end MCUs
- All that is required for many applications is access and congestion control
 - Client/server and infrequent updates

TSN Coordinated Shared Network

- TDMA (scheduled access) creates a CSN
 - TSN provides scheduling
 - TSN scheduling depends on time synchronization
-
- Does (or can) time synchronization be made to work on top of CSMA/CD?

TIMESYNC OVERVIEW

IEEE P802.3bf

- http://www.ieee802.org/3/bf/public/1007/3bf_1007_carlson_1.pdf

Reconciliation Sublayer (Clause 22)

- Mapping function between the MAC and PHY
 - Creates optional interface to a physical layer device
 - “simple, inexpensive, and easy-to-implement”
 - Puts part of the OSI physical layer (the RS) into another device (sometimes an MCU)

PLS_Service Primitives

PLS_DATA.request

PLS_SIGNAL.indication

PLS_DATA.indication

PLS_CARRIER.indication

PLS_DATA_VALID.indication

Reconciliation sublayer

MII Signals

TX_ER
TXD <3:0>
TX_EN
TX_CLK

COL


RXD <3:0>
RX_ER
RX_CLK

CRS

RX_DV

Station Management

MDC
MDIO



AUI = ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE

GMII = GIGABIT MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE

MAU = MEDIUM ATTACHMENT UNIT

MDI = MEDIUM DEPENDENT INTERFACE

MII = MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE

PCS = PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER

PHY = PHYSICAL LAYER DEVICE


PLS = PHYSICAL LAYER SIGNALING

PMA = PHYSICAL MEDIUM ATTACHMENT

PMD = PHYSICAL MEDIUM DEPENDENT


Time Synchronization Service Interface (TSSI) (Clause 90)

- gRS generates indications for transmit and receive based on detecting Start of Frame Delimiter (SFD) egress or ingress at the xMII interface
- Both data frames (MAC client frames) and control frames (MAC Control frames) generate TS indications
 - MAC can distinguish, but was not changed
 - TimeSync Client may act as MAC Client and note MA_DATA




Data delay measurement (Clause 90.7)

- Transmit path data delay
 - Measured from the input of the beginning of the SFD at the xMII to its presentation by the PHY to the MDI
- Receive path data delay
 - Measured from the input of the beginning of the SFD at the MDI to its presentation by the PHY to the xMII



Management (Clause 30)

- TimeSync PHY-related management:
 - Aggregate value of the series of transmit path data delays
 - aTimeSyncDelayTXmax
 - aTimeSyncDelayTXmin
 - Aggregate value of the series of receive path data delays
 - aTimeSyncDelayRXmax
 - aTimeSyncDelayRXmin
- Values are derived from PHY Clause 45 MDC/MDIO registers
 - Not a *per frame* measurement
 - “minimum period for MDC shall be 400 ns” (2.5 MHz)



Limitation

- TSSI is defined for the full-duplex mode of operation only

HALF-DUPLEX TSSI?

RS modification seems plausible

- RS knows when collisions occur
 - COL from MII
- TS indications can be conditioned on whether a collision occurs
- In transmit, if there is a collision, no TS indication is sent, the MAC retries, on success a TS indication is sent
- In receive, a broken receive fragment may have a good SFD, but the collision is noted and the TS indication is not sent
- RS would have to wait until the slotTime of 512 bit times after the SFD before it was certain that there was no collision
 - Abnormal late collisions are left to upper layers
 - At 10 Mb/s, slotTime is 51.2 us, the minFrameSize of 64 octets
- TS client adjusts “delayed” indication by subtracting 51.2 us

Is RS modification in our Scope?

- RS is part of the OSI Physical Layer
- RS is not part of the IEEE PHY
- If we have to modify Clause 90, then it is still considered a “PHY project”?
- Implementation may be back in an MCU, with the end result is that we’ve changed the PHY specification, but a new PHY chip is not adequate, we need a new MCU
 - If both are together (like in a new SPI switch chip), then it doesn’t matter

PHY signal method

- TI chose a PHY signal method to *improve accuracy*:
 - <http://www.ti.com/product/DP83867CR/datasheet/detailed-description>
 - <http://www.ti.com/lit/an/snla242/snla242.pdf>
- PHY generates an output when SFD crosses MDI interface
- MCU detects the PHY signal (an interrupt)
- No requirement for TS support in the RS

- MII interface could be extended, but not in legacy MCUs
- The same issue exists with collisions, but the PHY is what detects the collisions in the first place
 - A late signal could be sent and compensated by the TS client

Comparison

Modified MPCP

- Requires a new project
- Not backward compatible with legacy MCUs
- Simplified PHY with no TimeSync or CD
- PHY must have a constant delay
- Headend requires multiple MACs
- Optional TSN
 - May replicate TSN functions
- Not usable in a simple random access system without some schedule

Modified CSMA/CD

- Project
 - Half-duplex RS+PHY TimeSync appears possible but requires a new project
 - PHY signal method potentially fits into current project
- May be backward compatible with legacy MCUs
- PHY requires TimeSync and CD
- Headend requires only a single MAC
- Optional TSN for determinism
- Usable in a simple random access system without scheduling

Conclusions

- There does not seem to be an “out-of-the-box” solution
- Either of the two options appears workable
- The PHY signal method could give modified CSMA/CD an advantage