

Agenda and General Information

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Pair Ethernet
Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair)

CME Consulting, Inc.

Indianapolis, IN, USA September 9, 2019

Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Approve Agenda
- Approve Previous Task Force Minutes
- Goals for this meeting
- Big Ticket Items
- Reflector and Web
- Ground Rules
- IEEE
 - Structure, Bylaws and Rules
 - Call for Patents
 - IEEE Standards Process
- Liaisons and Communications
- Presentations & Comment Resolution
- Motions and Closing Business
- Future Meetings

Task Force Decorum



- An officer is permitted to make an audio or slideshow recording of this meeting exclusively for the purpose of generating minutes which shall not be copied or distributed. **IEEE 802.3 meetings do not use this option.** Recording of the proceedings by any other participant or observer, in part or in whole, via any means, is prohibited. (June 2018 IEEE-SA Standards Board Ops Manual 5.3.3.2)
- Press (i.e., anyone reporting publicly on this meeting) are to announce their presence (June 2018 IEEE-SA Standards Board Ops Manual 5.3.3.3)
- Cell phone ringers off
- Wear your badges at all times in meeting areas
 - Help the hotel security staff improve the general security of the meeting rooms
 - **PCs HAVE BEEN STOLEN** at previous meetings
 - **DO NOT** assume that meeting areas are secure
- Please observe proper decorum in meetings

Goals for the meeting

- Respond to comments on 3rd SA Ballot Recirculation (d3p3)
- Prepare draft 3.4 for SA ballot recirculation

SA Ballot Summary – 9/6/19

Under the rules, we are required to recirculate the draft and unsatisfied comments. Whether we can be on the Revcom agenda in November depends on the results of that recirculation.

	D3p0	D3p0%	D3p1	D3p1%	D3p2	D3p2%	D3p3	D3p3%	Required
Voters	138		138		138		138		
Return	114	82.61%	123	89.13%	124	89.86%	125	90.58%	>50%
Approve	96	87.27%	106	91.38%	110	94.02%	110	91.67%	>75%
Disapprove w/comment	14	12.73%	10	8.62%	7	5.98%	10	8.33%	<25%
Disapprove w/o comment	0	0.00%	1	0.86%	1	0.85%	1	0.83%	
Abstain	4	3.51%	6	4.88%	6	4.84%	4	3.20%	<30%
Comments	420		227		66		32		
Comments w/floor	422		227		66		32		
Comments w/floor & late	423		233		71		32		

Required/Unsatisfied Comment Statistics

As of 9/6/19		D3p0	D3p1	D3p2	D3p3
Unsatisfied comments		38	8	6	9
Voters w/unsatisfied comments		5	1	2	4
New disapprove votes from prior circ.		5	0	0	4
* Note - the above, except D3p3 are AFTER resolution					
Incoming new Connector-related votes		3	1	1	5
"Connector Disapproves" after responses		2	0	0	

Big ticket items

- Resolve 32 comments on draft
- Make sure PLCA state diagram is solid
- Resolve dispute on MDI connector references
- Prepare for RevCom

Reflector and Web

- To subscribe to the 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet Task Force reflector, send an email to:

ListServ@ieee.org

with the following in the body of the message (do not include “<>”):

subscribe STDS-802-3-10SPE-*<yourfirstname> <yourlastname>*
end

- Send 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet Task Force reflector messages to:

STDS-802-3-10SPE @listserv.ieee.org

- Task Force web page URL:

www.ieee802.org/3/cg

Task Force Private Area

- URL: <http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/private>
 - Username: <>
 - Password: <>
- Write it down...
- Note - The draft, and any other content, is posted for your review only, and neither the content nor access information should be copied or redistributed to others in violation of document copyrights.


Ground Rules

- Based upon IEEE 802.3 Rules
 - Foundation based upon Robert's Rules of Order
 - Anyone in the room may speak
 - Anyone in the room may vote
- **RESPECT**... give it, get it
- NO product pitches
- NO corporate pitches
- NO prices!!!
 - This includes costs, ASPs, etc. no matter what the currency
- NO restrictive notices

Attendance

- Tutorial Material on attendance tool
 - http://ieee802.org/3/minutes/attendance_procedures.pdf
- Access details
 - URL: <http://imat.ieee.org/>

IEEE Structure



Important Bylaws and Rules

- **IEEE-SA Operations Manual**
http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/sa_opman/
- **IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws**
<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/>
- **IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual**
<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/>
- **IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Policies and Procedures**
<https://ieee.app.box.com/v/PandP-LMSC>
- **IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Operations Manual**
<http://www.ieee802.org/devdocs.shtml>
- **IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Working Group (WG) Policies and Procedures**
<http://www.ieee802.org/devdocs.shtml>
- **IEEE 802.3 Working Group Operating Rules**
http://ieee802.org/3/rules/P802_3_rules.pdf

Instructions for the WG Chair

The IEEE-SA strongly recommends that at each WG meeting the chair or a designee:

- **Show slides #1 through #4 of this presentation**
- **Advise the WG attendees that:**
 - IEEE's patent policy is described in Clause 6 of the *IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws*;
 - Early identification of patent claims which may be essential for the use of standards under development is strongly encouraged;
 - There may be Essential Patent Claims of which IEEE is not aware. Additionally, neither IEEE, the WG, nor the WG Chair can ensure the accuracy or completeness of any assurance or whether any such assurance is, in fact, of a Patent Claim that is essential for the use of the standard under development.
- **Instruct the WG Secretary to record in the minutes of the relevant WG meeting:**
 - That the foregoing information was provided and that slides 1 through 4 (and this slide 0, if applicable) were shown;
 - That the chair or designee provided an opportunity for participants to identify patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) of which the participant is personally aware and that may be essential for the use of that standard
 - Any responses that were given, specifically the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that were identified (if any) and by whom.
- The WG Chair shall ensure that a request is made to any identified holders of potential essential patent claim(s) to complete and submit a Letter of Assurance.
- It is recommended that the WG Chair review the guidance in *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual* 6.3.5 and in FAQs 14 and 15 on inclusion of potential Essential Patent Claims by incorporation or by reference.

Note: **WG** includes Working Groups, Task Groups, and other standards-developing committees with a PAR approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.

(Optional to be shown)

Participants have a duty to inform the IEEE

- Participants shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of each holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents
- Participants should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims

Early identification of holders of potential Essential Patent Claims is encouraged

Slide #1

Ways to inform IEEE

- **Cause an LOA to be submitted to the IEEE-SA (patcom@ieee.org); or**
- **Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible; or**
- **Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents**

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair

Slide #2

Other guidelines for IEEE WG meetings

- **All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.**
 - **Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.**
 - **Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.**
 - Relative costs of different technical approaches that include relative costs of patent licensing terms may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - **Technical considerations remain the primary focus**
 - **Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.**
 - **Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.**
 - **Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed ... do formally object.**

For more details, see *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and *Antitrust and Competition Policy: What You Need to Know* at <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/antitrust.pdf>

Slide #3

Patent-related information

The patent policy and the procedures used to execute that policy are documented in the:

- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>)
- ***IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual***
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>)

Material about the patent policy is available at
<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

**If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA
Standards Board Patent Committee Administrator
at patcom@ieee.org**

Slide #4

Participation in IEEE 802 Meetings


Participation in any IEEE 802 meeting (Sponsor, Sponsor subgroup, Working Group, Working Group subgroup, etc.) is on an individual basis

- **Participants in the IEEE standards development individual process shall act based on their qualifications and experience. (https://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sb_bylaws.pdf section 5.2.1)**
- **IEEE 802 Working Group membership is by individual; “Working Group members shall participate in the consensus process in a manner consistent with their professional expert opinion as individuals, and not as organizational representatives”. (subclause 4.2.1 “Establishment”, of the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures)**
- **Participants have an obligation to act and vote as an individual and not under the direction of any other individual or group. A Participant’s obligation to act and vote as an individual applies in all cases, regardless of any external commitments, agreements, contracts, or orders.**
- **Participants shall not direct the actions or votes of any other member of an IEEE 802 Working Group or retaliate against any other member for their actions or votes within IEEE 802 Working Group meetings, see https://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sb_bylaws.pdf section 5.2.1.3 and the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures, subclause 3.4.1 “Chair”, list item x.**

By participating in IEEE 802 meetings, you accept these requirements. If you do not agree to these policies then you shall not participate.


(Latest revision of IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures: <http://www.ieee802.org/devdocs.shtml>)

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (1/5)- Study Group Phase




Note: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.


Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (2/5) – Task Force Comment Phase



Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (3/5) – Working Group Ballot Phase




Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (4/5)- Standards Association (SA) Ballot Phase



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.

See 802.3 Operating Rules 7.1.5 and listed references for complete description

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (5/5) – Final Approvals / Standard Release



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow resubmission for approval.

Liaisons and Communications

- ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 to IEEE 802.3 on single pair cabling
 - Attachment (in 802.3 private area)
- ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 to IEEE 802.3 regarding update on SPE connectors
- ODVA to IEEE 802.3 on request for latest draft of IEEE P802.3cg

IEEE P802.3cg 10Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet Approved Project Documents

- PAR
 - http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/P802_3cg_PAR_140518.pdf
- 5 Criteria
 - <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/18/ec-18-0014-02-00EC-ieee-p802-3cg-draft-csd-modifications.pdf>
- Objectives
 - http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/objectives_3cg_0318.pdf

Objectives (1 of 3) – approved by 802.3 on 3/8/18

1. Preserve the IEEE 802.3/Ethernet frame format at the MAC client service interface.
2. Preserve minimum and maximum frame size of the current IEEE 802.3 standard.
3. Support a speed of 10 Mb/s at the MAC/PLS service interface.
4. Do not preclude meeting FCC and CISPR EMC requirements
5. Support for optional single-pair Auto-Negotiation
6. Support optional Energy Efficient Ethernet
7. Support 10 Mb/s single-pair Ethernet operation in automotive environments (e.g. EMC, temperature).
8. Support 10 Mb/s single-pair Ethernet operation in industrial environments (e.g. EMC, temperature).
9. Do not preclude the ability to survive automotive and industrial fault conditions (e.g. shorts, over voltage, EMC, ISO16750)
10. Do not preclude working within an Intrinsically Safe device and system as defined in IEC 60079

Objectives (2 of 3) – approved by 802.3 on 3/8/18

11. Define performance characteristics of the following:

- a. A link segment with a single balanced pair of conductors supporting up to 4 inline connectors for up to at least 15 m reach
- b. A mixing segment with a single balanced pair of conductors supporting up to at least 8 nodes, for up to at least 25 m reach
- c. A link segment with a single balanced pair of conductors supporting up to 10 inline connectors for up to at least 1 km reach

12. Define a PHY:

- a. Supporting point-to-point half-duplex operation over the 15 m link segment
- b. Optionally supporting full-duplex operation over the 15 m link segment
- c. Optionally supporting half-duplex multi-drop operation over the 25 m mixing segment

13. Define a PHY:

- a. Supporting point-to-point full-duplex operation over the 1 km link segment

Objectives (3 of 3) – approved by 802.3 on 3/8/18

14. Support fast-startup operation using predetermined configurations which enables the time from power_on**=FALSE to a state capable of transmitting and receiving valid data to be less than 100ms
15. Maintain a bit error ratio (BER) at the MAC/PLS service interface of less than or equal to:
 - a. 10^{-10} on link segments up to at least 15m
 - b. 10^{-10} on mixing segments up to at least 25m
 - c. 10^{-9} on link segments up to at least 1000m
16. Specify one or more optional power distribution techniques for use in conjunction with 10 Mb/s single-pair Ethernet PHYs over one or more of the single-pair segments

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mb/s Single Pair Ethernet Adopted Timeline (July 2018)

- ✓ January 2017 – First Task Force meeting
- ✓ July 2017 – Objectives Finalized, Draft 0.1 (skeleton), all baselines presented
- ✓ September 2017 – Baselines selected, draft 0.9 for Task Force Review
- ✓ **November 2017 – Last features selected - Task Force Review D1.0, Nov:D1.0, Jan:D1.1**
- ✓ March 2018 – Task Force Review (D1.2)
- ✓ May 2018 – Task Force Review (D1.3)
- ✓ July 2018 – Draft 2.0, request working group ballot
- ✓ **March 2019 – D3.0 – enter SA ballot (2 recircs May'19:D3.1, July'19:D3.2)**
YOU ARE HERE – Recirculate and resolve comments...
- **July 2019 – Request approval to proceed to Revcom out of July plenary
(Expected out of September Interim)**
- **September 2019 Standards Board approval
(Expected in November 2019)**

802.3cg Timeline – Sept 2019 to Approval

Event	Opens	Closes
3rd Recirculation: CRG meeting (802.3 int)	Sept 11	Sept 12
Conditional approval from 802.3 for Revcom	Sept 13	Sept 13
4 th Recirculation: Ballot (NEEDED)	Sept 13	Sept 29
Request 802 chair to submit to Revcom?	Sept 17	Sept 17
Request 802 approval for Revcom (EC telecon)	Oct 1	Oct 1
4 th Recirculation: CRG interim telecon (draft available 10 days before revcom)	October 14	(same day)
4 th recirculation (if needed)	October 20	Nov 5
Revcom/SASB	Nov 5	Nov 7
IEEE 802 plenary	Nov 10	15
Submit to January Revcom	Dec 5	

Path leading to November SASB approval

Path leading to January SASB approval

Agenda (one day)

Title	Presenters(s)	Affiliation(s)
Agenda	George Zimmerman, Chair, IEEE P802.3cg Task Force	CME Consulting/(ADI, APL Group, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco, Commscope, SenTekse)
802.3cg Ad Hoc Report	Peter Jones	Cisco
Initial Working Group Ballot Comment Resolution		
802.3cg Editor's Report	George Zimmerman, for Valerie Maguire, Chief Editor	CME Consulting/various Siemon Company
COMMENT RESOLUTION (order of comment resolution: EZ topics, all other topics, State diagrams, MDI)	Valerie Maguire, Chief Editor	Siemon Company
Presentations related to comments(see website, and, as generated)		
PLCA State diagram Modifications	Piergiorgio Beruto	CanovaTech
MDI Comments Application Perspective	Chris DiMinico	MC Communications/PHY- SI/SenTekse/Panduit
IEC 63171-1 EMC performance with Pepperl+Fuchs 10BASE-T1L prototype transceivers	Masood Shariff	CommScope
Motions and Closing Business		
Motions and Straw Polls	All	
Closing Business - Adjournment	All	

Future Meetings

- See: <http://www.ieee802.org/3/interims/index.html>
- Oct 14: 802.3cg teleconference to resolve comments on 4th recirc, and recirculate, if necessary
- November 10-15: 802 Plenary, Waikoloa, HI
 - Expect to be finished, possible short 802.3cg meeting if needed.
- Anyone interested in hosting an interim meeting contact me or the IEEE 802.3 Executive Secretary [Steve Carlson](#).

Thank You!