

Information required to support request for unconditional approval to forward a draft standard

IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual Clause 12

Ballot close date and results

1. Date the ballot closed:

The 2nd Standards Association recirculation ballot on IEEE802.3ch closed 13th March 2020 at 23:59 UTC-12

2. Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes

	Initial Draft D3.0			1 st Recirculation Draft D3.1			2 nd Recirculation Draft D3.2			Req %
	#	%	Status	#	%	Status	#	%	Status	
Abstain	5	5	PASS	5	5	PASS	5	5	PASS	< 30
Dis with comment	5	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
Dis w/o comment	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-
Approve	85	94	PASS	91	98	PASS	92	98	PASS	≥ 75
Ballots returned	95	81	PASS	97	82	PASS	98	83	PASS	≥ 75
Voters	117	-	-	117	-	-	117	-	-	-
Comments	100	-	-	13	-	-	1	-	-	-
Public comments	0	-	-							

Unresolved negative comments

3. Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group response.

Where a voter has accepted some comment resolutions and rejected others, only the comments of which the voter has not accepted resolution should be presented.

1 unsatisfied GR comment from 1 commenter

CI 45

SC 45.2.1.195.4

P40

L 36

i-46

Rannow, R K

IEEE/SELF

Comment Type GR

Comment Status R

Editorial

using the term "both" appears verbose in nearly 20 instances.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the work "both"

Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

The word "both" is found 24 times in the document. The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter. The commenter does not specify which "nearly 20" instances should be deleted. This is used in the front matter 3 times and 21 times in the "new text". A search of 802.3-2018 shows that the word "both" is found 938 times. This is a word commonly used in this specification to indicate that there are two conditions or two actions.

Regarding the specific instance cited in the comment at page 40 line 36, the CRG disagrees with the commenter. The use of 'both' in this instance is not extraneous and clarifies that MultiGBASE-T1 OAM capability requires support by both the local PHY and its link partner.

NATALIE WIENCKOWSKI

Thu 1/23/2020 4:36 AM

Dear R K Rannow,

The P802.3ch D3.0 CRG met this week and created comments for all of the comments received on this draft. The comment responses have been loaded to the IEEE-SA My ballot system. Your comment is i-48. Please review the CRG's response, copied below for your convenience, and let me know if you are satisfied with this response.

Thanks,

Natalie Wienckowski

P802.3ch Chief Editor

NATALIE WIENCKOWSKI

Sat 3/14/2020 4:47 PM

Dear R K Rannow,

P802.3ch has just completed the 2nd recirculation of the IEEE-SA ballot. I wanted to let you know that you have the only disapprove ballot on this draft. No one else has submitted a comment similar to yours, changed their ballot to disapprove, or added a disapprove ballot.

Thanks,

Natalie Wienckowski

P802.3ch Chief Editor

Future schedule

4. Schedule for recirculation ballot and resolution meeting.

None required. Balloting is complete and no changes were made to D3.2.