IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3cj) D2.1 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P72

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste

Comment Status D

/LI/ is missing from the list of ordered sets for tx o set

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change to: tx o set

One of the following defined ordered sets: /C/, /T/, /R/, /I/, /LI/, /S/, /V/, or the code-group /D/.

L50

1

Proposed Response Status Z

Т

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

False carrier cross reference is incorrect. It should be referencing Clause 24.

SuggestedRemedy

Change cross reference from 22.2.4.4.2 to 22.2.4.4.2

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.6 P492 L11 # 3

Comment Status D

Trowbridge, Steve Nokia

Following up to Draft 2.0 comment 37, the same problem exists in clause 49 that if a signal ordered set were to be received on a 10GBASE-R Ethernet PHY, the PCS would send the MII control character 0x5c to the clause 46 RS, which Table 46-4 shows as a reserved value. But the same remedy cannot be used as to comment 37, because the PCS for 10G Fibre Channel (clause 13 in that document) is essentially a reference to IEEE Std 802.3AE-2002, effectively using Ethernet clause 49 as the 10G Fibre Channel PCS. So a different remedy is proposed than to Draft 2.0 comment #37

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Change item (d) in 49.2.4.6 to read:

"Any O code contains a value not in Table 49–1, or the O code 0xF is received on an Ethernet PHY"

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID