Unapproved Minutes

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces

Task Force

Telephonic meetings for July-August 2021 D2.1 comment resolution: July 21, July 27, July 28, August 9, August 10, August 11, 2021 Online Meeting

Prepared by Kent Lusted and Beth Kochuparambil

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 21, 2021

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Presentation #1:

Presentation #2:

Straw Poll #1:

Straw Poll #2:

Straw Poll #3:

Straw Poll #4:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 27, 2021

Motion #1:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Presentation #3:

Straw Poll #5:

Straw Poll #6:

Straw Poll #7:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Presentation #4:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 28, 2021

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Presentation #5:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Straw Poll #8:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

Straw Poll #9:

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 9, 2021

<u>Straw Poll #10:</u> <u>Presentation #6:</u>

Motion #2:

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 11, 2021

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 17, 2021

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 18, 2021

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 24, 2021

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – August 25, 2021

<u>Attendees</u>

Backup

Motion #: <u>Straw Poll #:</u> <u>Presentation #:</u> <u>Consensus Discussion Slides - Author</u>

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 21, 2021

Prepared by Beth Kochuparambil

Proposed Agenda:

- Approval of the Agenda
- IEEE Patent Policy reminder
- IEEE Copyright reminder
- IEEE Participation Requirements reminder
- Task Force Status
- Chief Editor's Report
- D2.1 Comment Agenda
- Comment Resolution
 - o Cross clause comments, excluding 29, 75, 76
 - See slide 6 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/comagenda_3ck_01_0721.pdf

Presentations posted at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/index.html

Meeting began at ~7:00 a.m. Pacific by Beth Kochuparambil, IEEE 802.3ck Task Force Chair. (Note: all times are Pacific time zone unless otherwise indicated)

Meeting began with the agenda presentation https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/agenda_3ck_01_0721.pdf

Chair reminded participants that Task Force attendance would be taken from the webex logs.

The chair reminded participants to indicate full names and employer/affiliation correctly for the meeting minutes. Reminded participants to mute lines when not speaking and reviewed the steps to unmute.

Chair tabled approval of Ad Hoc minutes to next week and noted the comment resolution agenda was just a different way to write what was sent via email (yet essentially the same). She asked if there was objection to the shown agenda. No one responded.

Chair reviewed the slide with the Participation requirements.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the copyright slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the IEEE-SA copyright slides.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the patent slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the patent policy slides and did the call for Potentially Essential Patents – no one responded.

Chair reviewed the ground rules.

Chair called for members of the press. No one responded.

Chair noted that editors are using a "bucket" for comments deemed non-controversial. The bucket proposed responses would be adopted with motions later in the meeting series.

It was noted that comments currently collected in the "bucket" are to be reviewed by participants and if any of them are to be taken out of the bucket, participants are to notify leadership by 25 July, 2021. See:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/comments/draft2p1/8023ck_D2p1_comments_proposed_buck et1.pdf

Reviewed the Draft 2.1 Telephonic interim meeting series details. Addressing late comments is subject to task force approval after all on-time comments are addressed

Chair noted that several presentations were received late and thus indicated on the website. She asked if there was opposition to seeing melliz_01a and ran_03 related to AC common mode noise. No one responded.

Beth also noted that an update of cc discussion slides (brown_01a) was posted for today's discussion.

Comment resolution began.

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_01a_0721.pdf</u> See slides 3-5 (overview of AC common mode)

Presentation #1:

"Measuring Common Mode Voltage", Rich Mellitz

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/mellitz_3ck_01a_0721.pdf</u> Interest was expressed in direction of method; further work was encouraged.

Presentation #2:

"Module AC common mode tolerance", Adee Ran See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/ran_3ck_03_0721.pdf</u>

Hesitation expressed due to Correlated CM not being included

Straw Poll #1:

I would support the AC CM voltage test methodology in Comment #123 and the related presentation mellitz_3ck_01a_0721?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. Need more information
- D. Abstain

Results: A: 18, B: 6, C: 12, D: 3 (see comment #123)

Straw Poll #2:

I support the AC CM voltage test methodology in Comment #123 and the related presentation mellitz_3ck_01a_0721:

A. Yes

B. No Results: A: 15, B: 16 (see comment #123)

Straw Poll #3:

Straw Poll #4:

To address comments #46 and #121, for the module output and host output AC CM noise (max), I would support: (Straw Poll 3 - pick 1; Straw Poll 4 - Chicago Rule)

- A. no change
- B. change to 25 mV
- C. change to 30 mV

SP3 - Pick 1 - Results: A: 12, B: 13, C: 9SP4 - Chicago Rule - Results: A: 15, B: 25, C: 21 (see comment #46)

Given remaining time and discussion needed, comments 51&55 are deferred to next week

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_01a_0721.pdf</u> See slides 9-10

Reminder to record attendance on IMAT.

Chair reminded the task force comment resolution will continue Tuesday and Wednesday, July 27 & 28, 7-10am PDT and to watch the reflector for proposed comment agenda. She also reminded participants about the working group meeting July 22nd.

Meeting broke around 10:00am PDT.

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 27, 2021

Prepared by Beth Kochuparambil

Proposed Agenda:

- Approval of the Agenda
- Approval of July 14 Ad Hoc minutes
- IEEE Patent Policy reminder
- IEEE Copyright reminder
- IEEE Participation Requirements reminder
- Task Force Status
- D2.1 Comment Agenda
- Comment Resolution
 - o Bucket 1
 - o 120G comments
 - See slide 6 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/comagenda_3ck_02a_0721.pdf

Presentations posted at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/index.html

Meeting began at ~7:00 a.m. Pacific by Beth Kochuparambil, IEEE 802.3ck Task Force Chair. (Note: all times are Pacific time zone unless otherwise indicated)

Meeting began with the agenda presentation

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21 07/agenda 3ck 02a 0721.pdf

Chair reminded participants that Task Force attendance would be taken from the webex logs.

The chair reminded participants to indicate full names and employer/affiliation correctly for the meeting minutes. Reminded participants to mute lines when not speaking and reviewed the steps to unmute.

Chair noted that due to PTO and sickness minutes from last week were note yet posted. She would get them online as soon as possible. She also noted the correction of the link for the comment agenda being _02a version (not _02 version as emailed). The chief editor's report was also stricken. She asked if there was objection to the modified agenda. No one responded.

Chair reviewed the slide with the Participation requirements.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the copyright slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the IEEE-SA copyright slides.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the patent slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the patent policy slides and did the call for Potentially Essential Patents – no one responded.

Chair reviewed the ground rules.

Chair called for members of the press. No one responded.

It was noted that comments currently collected in the "bucket" are to be reviewed by participants and if any of them are to be taken out of the bucket, participants are to notify leadership by 25 July, 2021. See:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/comments/draft2p1/8023ck_D2p1_comments_proposed_buck et1.pdf

Chair reviewed the Draft 2.1 Telephonic interim meeting series and estimated recirculation ballot schedule.

Chair noted that several presentations were received late and thus indicated on the website. She asked if there was opposition to seeing ran_01a and ran_02 related to annex 120G comments. No one responded.

Comment resolution began.

Chair thanked those who reviewed "bucket1" comments. Several requests were received to pull comments from this bucket.

Motion #1:

Move to adopt the proposed responses in 8023ck_D2p1_comments_proposed_bucket1.pdf, with the exception of comments 17, 22, 47, 60, 65, 67, 69, 70, 77.
M: Mike Dudek
S: Piers Dawe
Technical (>= 75%)

Motion passed by unanimous consent.

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02_0721.pdf</u> See slides 2-4 (overview of EH/VEC measurement mask/window,)

Presentation #3:

"Phase-weighted distribution for calculating C2M eye parameters", Adee Ran See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/ran_3ck_01a_0721.pdf</u> Clarifying questions on benefits and methodology.

Straw Poll #5:

Straw Poll #6:

For the eye opening method in 120G.5.2, I would support: (Straw Poll 5 - Chicago Rule; Straw Poll 6 - Pick 1)

- A. a weighted method similar to comment #39 and ran_01a
- B. a multi-sided eye mask similar to comment #106
- C. no change
- D. need more information

SP5 - Chicago Rule - Results: A: 25, B: 15, C: 13, D: 11

SP6 - Pick 1 - Results: A: 15, B: 8, C: 11, D: 8

(see comment #39)

It was noted that this straw poll is on method change, NOT on the values.

Straw Poll #7:

I support resolving comment #39 using the proposal in ran_01a (slide 9) except with standard deviation (sigma_r) of 0.02 UI.

E. Yes

F. No

Results: A: 21, B: 11 (see comment #39)

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21 07/brown 3ck 02 0721.pdf See slides 6-10

Presentation #4:

"Validating C2M VEC and VEO Limits at TP1a/TP4", Ali Ghiasi See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/ghiasi_3ck_01_0721.pdf</u> Slide 7 & 8

Ali Ghiasi withdrew other VEC/VEO value comments 61, 59, 66, 68 given that further evaluation is needed given methodology change just adopted in comment #39.

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02_0721.pdf</u> See slides 5 (reference receiver g_DC values)

Chair reminded the task force comment resolution will continue tomorrow/Wednesday July 28, 2021, 7-10am PDT and will continue 120G comment resolution.

Meeting broke around 10:00am PDT.

IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s Electrical Lane Task Force – July 28, 2021

Prepared by Beth Kochuparambil

Continue approved agenda from 27 July

Presentations posted at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/index.html

Meeting began at ~7:00 a.m. Pacific by Beth Kochuparambil, IEEE 802.3ck Task Force Chair. (Note: all times are Pacific time zone unless otherwise indicated)

Beth welcomed attendees.

Meeting began with the agenda presentation: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/agenda_3ck_02a_0721.pdf

Chair reminded participants that Task Force attendance would be taken from the webex logs.

The chair reminded participants to indicate full names and employer/affiliation correctly for the meeting minutes. Reminded participants to mute lines when not speaking and reviewed the steps to unmute.

Chair reviewed the slide with the Participation requirements.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the copyright slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the IEEE-SA copyright slides.

Chair asked if anyone participating had not read the patent slide set – no one responded. Chair showed the patent policy slides and did the call for Potentially Essential Patents – no one responded.

Chair noted that there was an update to the 120G discussion slides. (brown_02a) Chief Editor, Matt Brown, noted that there is likely to be a bucket2 posted this week.

Comment resolution resummed.

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02a_0721.pdf</u> See slides 5, 13-16

Presentation #5:

"Validating C2M VEC and VEO Limits at TP1a/TP4", Adee Ran See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/ran_3ck_02a_0721.pdf</u>

- There was no objection to seeing the updated presentation "_02a_".
- Presenter noted the removed slides addressed a topic outside the scope of the comment and encouraged that discussion is best suited for future comment rounds
- Discussed various ways to edit the table

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02a_0721.pdf</u> See slides 17-19 There was an update made to slide 19. See: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02b_0721.pdf

Straw Poll #8:

I support addressing comments #51 and #55 using slide 19 of brown_3ck_02b_0721:

C. Yes D. No Results: A: 15, B: 12 (see comment #51)

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02a_0721.pdf</u> See slide 21

Straw Poll #9:

To address comment #53, I support implementing the suggested remedy:

- E. Yes
- F. No

Results: A: 18, B: 5 (see comment #53)

Consensus Discussion Slides - Matt Brown

See: <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/brown_3ck_02a_0721.pdf</u> See slide 20-21

Chair noted that prelim minutes and closed comment reports will be posted soon. Comment resolution will resume on Monday-Wednesday, the 9th-11th of August. She took a show of hands for the week of August 16th, should we need more time for comment resolution.

Meeting broke at ~10:05 a.m.

Attendees

| Name | Affiliation | Employed by | 7/21 | 7/27 | 7/28 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|------|------|
| Adam Healey | Broadcom | Broadcom | х | х | х |
| Adee Ran | Cisco | Cisco | х | х | х |
| Alan Kinningham | I-PEX | I-PEX | x | х | х |
| Alex Haser | Molex | Molex | x | х | х |
| Ali Ghiasi | Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi | Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi | x | х | Х |
| Arthur Marris | Cadence | Cadence | х | | х |
| Ayal Shoval | Synopsys | Synopsys | х | х | х |
| Ayla Chang | Huawei | Huawei | х | | |
| Beth Kochuparambil | Cisco | Cisco | х | х | х |
| Bo Zhang | Marvell | Marvell | x | | |
| Brandon Gore | Samtec | Samtec | х | Х | х |
| Bruce Champion | TE Connectivity | TE Connectivity | x | | х |
| Champion (Chien Ping) Kao | Cornelis Networks | Cornelis Networks | х | х | х |
| | Applied | Applied | | | |
| Chan Chih (David) Chen | Optoelectronics | Optoelectronics | х | x | х |
| Charles Su | Huawei | Huawei | х | | |
| Chris DiMinico | PHY-SI | PHY-SI | х | х | х |
| Curtis Donahue | Rohde & Schwarz | Rohde & Schwarz | х | х | |
| Daniel Perez | IEEE-SA | IEEE-SA | х | | х |
| Dave Estes | Spirent | Spirent | х | | |
| David Cassan | Alphawave IP | Alphawave IP | х | | х |
| David Malicoat | Senko | Independent | х | х | Х |
| David Piehler | Dell EMC | Dell EMC | х | | |
| Ed Frlan | Semtech | Semtech | х | х | |
| Ed Ulrichs | Intel | Intel | x | | |
| Enis Akbaba | Maxim Integrated | Maxim Integrated | х | х | х |
| Eugene Opsasnick | Broadcom | Broadcom | х | х | х |
| Frank Chang | Source Photonics | Source Photonics | х | х | |
| Gary Nicholl | Cisco | Cisco | | | х |
| Geoff Zhang | Xilinx | Xilinx | х | х | х |
| Hai-Feng Liu | HG Genuine | HG Genuine | х | | |
| Hansel Dsilva | Achronix | Achronix | х | | |
| Hessam Mohajeri | Cadence | Cadence | | х | |
| Hormoz Djahanshahi | Microchip | Microchip | х | х | Х |
| Hossein Sedarat | Ethernovia | Ethernovia | х | | |
| Howard Heck | Intel | Intel | x | х | х |
| Inho Kim | Max Linear | MaxLinear | x | | Х |
| Istvan BakroNagy | EFFECT Photonics | EFFECT Photonics | x | х | х |
| James Weaver | Arista | Arista | x | х | Х |

| James Young | Commscope | Commscope | | | х |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|
| Jane Lim | Cisco | Cisco | х | х | х |
| Jeff Slavick | Broadcom | Broadcom | х | | х |
| Jerry Pepper | Keysight | Keysight | х | | |
| Jinhua Chen | Luxshare ICT | Luxshare ICT | х | х | х |
| Jodi Haasz | IEEE SA | IEEE SA | х | х | |
| Joel Goergen | Cisco Systems, Inc. | Cisco Systems, Inc. | х | | |
| John Calvin | Keysight | Keysight | х | х | х |
| John Ewen | Marvell | Marvell | х | х | х |
| John Kamino | OFS Optics | OFS Optics | х | | |
| John Yurtin | Aptiv | Aptiv | | | х |
| Joshua Kim | Hirose | Hirose | х | х | х |
| Kae Dube | UNH-IOL | UNH-IOL | х | | |
| Kan Tan | Tektronix | Tektronix | х | | |
| Karl Bois | TE Connectivity | TE Connectivity | х | х | |
| Kishore Kota | Marvell | Marvell | х | | |
| Kumaran Krishnasamy | Broadcom | Broadcom | х | х | х |
| Liav Ben-Artsi | Marvell | Marvell | х | х | х |
| Lokesh Kabra | Synopsys | Synopsys | х | | |
| Lu-Vong Phan | ZT Systems | ZT Systems | х | | х |
| Mabud Choudhury | OFS | OFS | х | | |
| Marco Mazzini | Cisco | Cisco | х | | |
| MarkGustlin | Cisco | Cisco | х | | |
| Mark Kimber | Semtech | Semtech | х | х | х |
| MarkNowell | Cisco | Cisco | х | | |
| Matt Brown | Huawei | Huawei | х | х | х |
| Mau-Lin Wu | Mediatek | Mediatek | х | х | х |
| Mike Dudek | Marvell | Marvell | х | х | х |
| Mike Li | Intel | Intel | х | х | х |
| Nathan Tracy | TE Connectivity | TE Connectivity | | х | х |
| Patrick Casher | Foxconn Interconnect | Foxconn Interconnect | х | х | х |
| Paul Brooks | Viavi | Viavi | х | х | |
| Pavel Zivny | Tektronix | Tektronix | х | х | х |
| Phil Sun | Credo | Credo | х | х | х |
| Piers Dawe | NVIDIA | NVIDIA | х | х | х |
| Pirooz Tooyserkani | Cisco | Cisco | х | х | х |
| Priyank Shukla | Synopsys | Synopsys | х | х | х |
| Qingya She | Fujitsu | Fujitsu | | х | х |
| Rajmohan Hegde | Broadcom | Broadcom | х | х | Х |
| Rich Mellitz | Samtec | Samtec | х | х | х |
| Rick Rabinovich | Keysight | Keysight | х | х | х |
| Sam Kocsis | Amphenol | Amphenol | х | х | х |
| Scott Sommers | Molex | Molex | х | х | х |

| Scott Walley | Max Linear | Max Linear | х | х | х |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|
| Shayan Shahramian | Alphawave | Alphawave | х | х | х |
| Sheng Zhang | Source Photonics | Source Photonics | | х | х |
| SJ Yu | Foxconn Interconnect | Foxconn Interconnect | | | х |
| Stephen Didde | Keysight | Keysight | х | х | х |
| Steve Sekel | Wilder Tech | Wilder Tech | х | х | х |
| Tao Hu | Marvell | Marvell | х | | |
| Ted Sprague | Infinera | Infinera | х | | |
| Terry Little | Foxconn Interconnect | Foxconn Interconnect | х | х | х |
| Tobey PR Li | Mediatek | Mediatek | х | х | х |
| Tom Palkert | Macom/Samtec | Macom/Samtec | х | х | х |
| Toshiaki Sakai | Socionext | Socionext | х | х | х |
| Upen Kareti | Cisco | Cisco | х | х | х |
| Varun Garg | Keysight | Keysight | х | | |
| Viet Tran | Keysight | Keysight | х | | |
| Xiang He | Huawei | Huawei | х | | |
| Xiang He | Huawei | Huawei | | х | |
| Yasuo Hidaka | Credo | Credo | х | | |
| Yi Sun | OFS Optics | OFS Optics | Х | | |
| Yong Kim | Axonne | Axonne | х | | |
| Yu (Helen) Xu | Huawei | Huawei | х | | |