IEEE P802.3cm D1.0 400 Gb/s over Multimode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

C/ FM SC FM P 9 L 3 # 6 C/ 00 SC 0 P 64 L # 38 Anslow. Pete Ciena Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket "IEEE Std 802.3cm-2018" should be "IEEE Std 802.3cm-20xx" Pages 64 and 65 have a table of contents that should not be here. It is also missing some clauses. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "2018" to "20xx" These should be moved to immediately after the front matter and completed. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move the table of contents to be between the front matter and Clause 1 and update it to C/ FM SC FM P 64 L 1 # 17 match the draft contents. Anslow, Pete Ciena Cl 45 SC 45 P 15 / 1 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Anslow, Pete Ciena The table of contents should appear between the front matter and Clause 1 in the draft. Comment Type TR Comment Status D Bucket SuggestedRemedy The changes to Clause 45 are missing Move the TOC to be between the front matter and Clause 1 in the FrameMaker book. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Populate this clause with the required changes. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I would be happy to assist with this if that would be helpful. See response to comment #38 Proposed Response Response Status W C/ FM SC FM P 64 L 54 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ciena Anslow. Pete Populate Clause 45 with the necessary changes. Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 17 L 7 The copyright year in the TOC should be 2018 not 201x Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Change the copyright_year variable in the TOC to 2018 "(as amended by P802.3cd-201x)" should be "(as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cd-201x)" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. change "P802.3cd-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3cd-201x)" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3cm D1.0 400 Gb/s over Multimode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 17 L 7 # 10 C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 21 L 16 # 13 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Footnote b is missing All of the references to 138.3.2 and 200.3.2 in Table 116-7 and 116-8 should be crossreferences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show footnote b as: Change all of the references to 138.3.2 (8 in total) and 200.3.2 (8 in total) in Table 116-7 bThe deep sleep mode of EEE is not supported for this PHY. and 116-8 to be cross-references. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 116 SC 116.1.2 P 18 L 12 C/ 138 SC 138.1 P 23 L 28 Ciena Anslow, Pete Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status D **Bucket** Comment Type T Comment Status D **Bucket** It is very easy to overlook the strikethrough "s" in "uses" The PMD name is wrong in table 138-3a SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "<u>all</u> use<s>s</s>" with: "<u>all use</u> <s> uses</s>" Change 400GBASE-SR4.2 to 400GBASE-SR8. where <u> and </u> are the start and end of underline font and Proposed Response Response Status W <s> and </s> are the start and end of strikethrough font PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 138 SC 138.9.4 P 33 L 19 Anslow. Pete Ciena C/ 116 SC 116.3 P 20 L 1 # 12 Comment Status D Comment Type E Bucket Anslow, Pete Ciena too much white space after the text of 138.9.4 Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Bucket SuggestedRemedy "Delay constraints" is 116.4 Delete the extra paragraph mark SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Re-number the heading for Delay constraints from 116.3 to 116.4 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 138 SC 138.10.3.1 P 34 L 31 # 15 C/ 138 SC 138.10.3.1 P 277 L 8 # 20 Anslow. Pete Ciena Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket "Add" is not a valid editing instruction. Vertical alignment of the Tx and Rx lables in figure 138-7a for Option A and Option B could SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Add new ..." to "Insert new ..." Move the Tx and Rx lables slightly in the vertical direction so they appear consistent in Proposed Response Response Status W vertical placement within each row. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 138 P 34 SC 138.10.3.1 L 33 # 16 Anslow, Pete Ciena P 277 C/ 138 SC 138.10.3.4 L 42 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D **Bucket** Kolesar, Paul CommScope The inserted text up to Figure 138-7a uses italic bold font. Comment Type Comment Status D **Bucket** SuggestedRemedy Name of interface 7-2-3 should be italicized for clarity and to match the style of others. Re-apply paragraph tag "T,Text" to this text. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Italicize "MPO adapter interface - opposed keyway configuration". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 138 SC 138.10.3.1 P 35 L 1 # 31 Dudek. Mike Marvell C/ 200 SC 200 P 23 L 1 # 26 Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket Kolesar, Paul CommScope There is only one row. Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket SuggestedRemedy Clause 200 starts on page 23, which is likely incorrect and may cause conflicts with the page number of existing clauses. Delete "in each row" two places. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Start page numbering commensurate with corrected clause number that was addressed in PROPOSED ACCEPT. another comment. SC 138.10.3.1 P 276 C/ 138 L 33 # 19 Proposed Response Response Status W Kolesar, Paul CommScope PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change page numbering throughout the draft to be consisten with the PDF page Comment Type Е Comment Status D **Bucket** numbering. The three content paragraphs are shown in italic font. They should instead by in non-italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change front to non-italic for the three content paragraphs. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #16

Cl 200

Page 3 of 4

SC 200

07/11/2018 09:23:23

IEEE P802.3cm D1.0 400 Gb/s over Multimode Fiber 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.5.4 P 28 L 40 # 32 C/ 200 SC 200.8.5.1 P 35 L 12 # 24 Dudek. Mike Marvell Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket The reference to 200.6 in the footnote to Table 200-4 should be a hot link. Line fuzziness. The fourth arrow appears fuzzy, likely due to not being perfectly horizontal. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix it. Improve clarity of arrow. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make "200.6" in Footnote a to Table 200-4 a cross-reference. Change the third and fourth lower horizontal arrows to be zero height. [Editor's note: Subclause changed from "200." to "200.5.4", Page set to "28", Line set to C/ 200 SC 200.9.2 P 54 L 53 # 35 "40"] Dudek, Mike Marvell SC 200.8.5.1 P 35 L 6 # 23 C/ 200 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Kolesar, Paul CommScope There is a footnote symbol but the footnote is on a different page. Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket SuggestedRemedy Line fuzziness. The middle arrow appears fuzzy, likely due to not being perfectly horizontal. Move the footnote to be on the same page as it's reference. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Improve clarity of arrow. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 200 SC 200.11.4.6 P 63 L 15 Change the upper middle horizontal arrow to have zero height. Dudek, Mike Marvell C/ 200 SC 200.8.5.1 P 35 / 12 # 22 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Kolesar, Paul CommScope OC5 and OC6 are both labelled MDI dimensions. OC5 should be MDI mating. Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Bucket SuggestedRemedy Line thickness inconsistency. change it. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Reduce thickness of arrow to the right of second "1 UI delay" box to match others. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the "Feature" entry for OC5 to "MDI mating." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

All of the lower arrows have the same line thickness. However, the arrow in question is not

guite horizontal, which may account for an apparent line thickness difference.

See response to comment # 24