

# IEEE P802.3cn D1.0 50 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s, and 400 Gb/s over SMF 1st Task Force review comments

<b>Cl 122</b>	<b>SC 122</b>	<b>P 36</b>	<b>L 1</b>	<b>#</b> <input type="text" value="3"/>
Hajduczenia, Marek		Charter Communicatio		

*Comment Type* **E** *Comment Status* **X**

General observaton - the large share of changes covers ... creating more extensive list of supported PMDs. Rather than list all the PMP types in mltiple locations, when referecing \*all\* PMPs covered in this family, could we just use "Clause 122 PMDs" instead? List them once up front, and use a more generic text after that. This avoids all the touchups needed across this clause and others and makes it simpler to add new PMDs as needed in the future

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment  
Similar comment applies to Clause 139 and 50G PMDs

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* **O**

<b>Cl 122</b>	<b>SC 122.1</b>	<b>P 36</b>	<b>L 14</b>	<b>#</b> <input type="text" value="1"/>
Hajduczenia, Marek		Charter Communicatio		

*Comment Type* **E** *Comment Status* **X**

"400GBASE-LR8, and the 400GBASE-ER8" - no need for "the" in the newly added text

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove the underlined "the"

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* **O**

<b>Cl 122</b>	<b>SC 122.1</b>	<b>P 36</b>	<b>L 15</b>	<b>#</b> <input type="text" value="2"/>
Hajduczenia, Marek		Charter Communicatio		

*Comment Type* **E** *Comment Status* **X**

"signals generated by these foursix PMD types" - since we already have a list of PMDs before, adding information on their count does not help at all, apart from adding yet one more place you need to touch every time a PMD is added

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike "four" in baseline text, do not add anything  
Similar issue on page 68, line 13

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* **O**