

## 802.3cp D1.3 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 4th Task Force review co

Cl 157 SC 1.4 P42 L9 # 115

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The BR20 and BR40+ PMD's need the strong RS FEC that is specified in clause 108 (i.e., the same FEC used for 25G.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Modify table 157-2 to change the "FEC" clause referenced to 108. Make the entries for BR20 and BR40+ (four places) "M". The BR10 and BR40 entries can remain "O".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group decision on this proposal.

If group accept changes to Table 157-2, also need to add FEC block to Figure 157-1 10GBASE and mark it for 10GBASE-BR20 and BR40+.

Cl 158 SC 1 P47 L20 # 116

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The FEC reference in the table is not correct. Also, the PMDs are grouped incorrectly given the new FEC requirement

#### SuggestedRemedy

Reformat the table so that BR10 and BR40 are in column #2, and BR20 and BR40+ are in column #3. Change the bottom row to list clause "108 RS-FEC\*", Optional, and Required.

Add a footnote:

\* Clause 108 describes an FEC for 25 Gb/s PHY, but the same scheme can be applied to 10 Gb/s PHYs.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group decision on this proposal.

If this is accepted, also consider changing 158.1.1 (Bit error ratio).

Cl 158 SC 6.1 P52 L1 # 117

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical parameters for BR20 and BR40+ are very difficult to implement, due to the large link loss range. The use of APD receivers and RS-FEC makes these more practical.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Modify the following entries:

BR20BR40+

Av Power Max-5.6+4.0

Av Power Min-12.0-4.0

OMA-TDP Min-10.0-2.0

OMA Min-9.0-1.0

TDP Max2.02.6

ER Min55

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group decision on this proposal.

Cl 158 SC 6.2 P53 L1 # 118

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D

We need to change the Rx levels to match the new Tx.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Modify the entries as noted:

BR20BR40+

Av Power Max-5.6-6.0

Av Power Min-27.2-27.2

Damage Power-4.6-5.0

Sensitivity OMA-25.0-25.0

Stressed OMA-22.7-22.7

Modify footnote (d) to read: Measured with a conformance test signal at TP3 (see 52.9.9.3) for BER =  $10^{-12}$  for BR10 and BR40, and for BER =  $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  for BR20 and BR40+

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group decision on this proposal.

---

Cl	159	SC	1	P	65	L	20	#	114
----	-----	----	---	---	----	---	----	---	-----

Effenberger, Frank

Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type **E**Comment Status **D**

The table has 3 columns for 4 PHY types. This doesn't make sense.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Two remedies:

- 1) Make the table have two columns, and lump all 4 PHYs into one column.
- 2) Make the table have five columns, and give each PHY its own.

Proposed Response

Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make Table 159-1 similar to Table 160-1.