C/ FM SC FM P**7** L25 # 10 C/ FM SC FM P13 L7 # 46 Anslow, Pete Independent Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Е Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ The list of participants in Working Group ballot should not include the officers of the Missing space after full stop. Working Group or Task Force who are already listed above. SugaestedRemedy Also, "iam Lo" should presumably be "William Lo" Insert space after full stop SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Remove the names of the officers of the Working Group and Task Force from the list. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Correct "iam Lo" Proposed Response Response Status W SC 1.4 C/ 1 P21 **L6** # 19 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dawe, Piers Nvidia P8 L3 # C/ FM SC FM Comment Status A Comment Type link "The link includes two different specifications": as I said. I know this is copied from before **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert but it is still technically wrong. It disagrees with the definition of "link" in 1.4.302. "The EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D transmission path between any two interfaces of generic cabling. (From ISO/IEC 11801.)". The WG member header paragraph has changed. A link being a thing not a document does not contain specifications. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with: The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Change "The link includes two different specifications for 10GBASE-BR10-D and Working Group at the beginning of the IEEE P802.3ch Working Group ballot. 10GBASE-BR10-U." to e.g. "There are different specifications for 10GBASE-BR10-D and 10GBASE-BR10-U; a link connects one to the other." Similarly for the other PMD pairs. Proposed Response Response Status W Consult the maintenance committee for correct wording. Fixing e.g. 100BASE-BX10 can PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. be done in maintenance. Response Response Status C Replace with "The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group at the beginning of the IEEE P802.3cp Working Group ballot." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ FM SC FM P13 **L7** # 11 Change it into "There are different specifications for 10GBASE-BR10-D and 10GBASE-BR10-U: a transmission path connects one to the other." Anslow. Pete Independent Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Apply changes to 9 places in 1.4. Paragraph mark missing after the 802.3cp abstract text. SuggestedRemedy Insert a paragraph mark before "Two companion ..."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P22 L12 # 12 CI 44 SC 44.3 P24 **L6** # 47 Anslow, Pete Independent Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Е Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status D Inserting the 10G PHY types after 5GBASE-T would place them between 5GBASE-T and Not a valid Change editorial instruction as all text is inserted (no unchanged text) and no 5GBASE-T1 as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020. insert location is provided. It seems more appropriate to insert the new PHY types after 10GBASE-T. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The instruction should be an Insert with a specific location. For example, 'Insert new row at the end of Table 44-2, as modified by IEEE Std 802,3ch-2020, as follows (unchanged rows Change "after 5GBASE-T" to "after 10GBASE-T" not shown): Alternately, include an adjacent unchanged row to act as a location reference Proposed Response Response Status W (risking additional coment by showing an unchanged row contrary to the instruction.) PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Check out recent Amendements and projects to find the correct insertion location. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P22 L34 # 13 Change editorial instruction as "Insert new row at the end of Table 44-2, as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020, as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Anslow, Pete Independent ΕZ Cl 45 P26 # 15 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SC 45..2.1.6 L15 Inserting the 25G PHY types after 10GBASE-PR-U4 would place them before the generic Anslow, Pete Independent 25GBASE-R entry. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D It seems more appropriate to insert the new PHY types after 25GBASE-T. The relevant reserved values for bits 1.7.6:0 were changed from being 1 1 x x x x x by IEEE SuggestedRemedy Std 802.3cn-2019. Change "after 10GBASE-PR-U4" to "after 25GBASE-T" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Remove the row in strikethrough for $1.1 \times 1.1 \times 1.1$ PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. change the remaining entries to: $1.11 \times \times \times = \text{reserved [in strikethrough]}$ Check out recent Amendements and projects to find the correct insertion location. 1 1 1 1 1 x x = reserved [underlined] 1 1 1 1 0 1 x = reserved [underlined] Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P23 / 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 = reserved [underlined] 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 = 50GBASE-BR40-U PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] Anslow. Pete Independent 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 = 50GBASE-BR20-U PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Inserting the 50G PHY types after 40GBASE-T would place them before the generic 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 = 25GBASE-BR10-U PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] $1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ x\ x\ x = reserved [in strikethrough]$ 50GBASE-R entry. 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 = 25GBASE-BR40-D PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] It seems more appropriate to insert the new PHY types after 50GBASE-ER. SuggestedRemedy 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 = 10GBASE-BR20-D PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] Change "after 40GBASE-T" to "after 50GBASE-ER" $1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ x\ x = reserved [in strikethrough]$ 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 = 10GBASE-BR10-D PMA/PMD [existing row underlined] Proposed Response Response Status W 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 = reserved [underlined] PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. $1\,1\,0\,0\,1\,0\,x = reserved [underlined]$ Proposed Response Response Status W Check out recent Amendements and projects to find the correct insertion location. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **26**

Page 2 of 15

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.1 P27 L24 # 16 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P29 L22 # 1 Anslow, Pete Independent Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Type Е Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D The order of entries in Table 45-9 above 10G is by speed and then reach for the first PHY The order of entries in Table 45-12 above 10G is by speed and then reach for the first PHY type in each row. type in each row. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the row for 25GBASE-BR10, 25GBASE-BR20, 25GBASE-BR40 to be after the row Move the row for 25GBASE-BR10, 25GBASE-BR20, and 25GBASE-BR40 to be after the row for 25GBASE-LR and 25GBASE-ER. for 25GBASE-LR. 25GBASE-ER. Move the row for 50GBASE-BR10, 50GBASE-BR20, 50GBASE-BR40 to be after the row Move the row for 50GBASE-BR10, 50GBASE-BR20, and 50GBASE-BR40 to be after the for 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR, 50GBASE-ER inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018 and row for 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR, and 50GBASE-ER inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cdchanged by IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019. 2018 and changed by IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.2 P28 L19 # 17 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27a P30 **L8** Anslow, Pete Independent Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A The order of entries in Table 45-10 above 10G is by speed and then reach for the first PHY The title of Table 45-31a should contain the name of the register as per the rest of Clause 45 registers. type in each row. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the row for 25GBASE-BR10. 25GBASE-BR20. 25GBASE-BR40 to be after the row Change the title of Table 45-31a from "10G and 25G PMA/PMD extended ability 1 register for 25GBASE-LR, 25GBASE-ER. bit definitions" to "BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 1 register bit definitions" Move the row for 50GBASE-BR10, 50GBASE-BR20, 50GBASE-BR40 to be after the row Response Response Status W for 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR, 50GBASE-ER inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018 and ACCEPT. changed by IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019. Proposed Response Response Status W P30 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27a L8 PROPOSED ACCEPT Anslow. Pete Independent Comment Type ER Comment Status A Table 45-31a is missing a reserved row SuggestedRemedy Add a reserved row for bits 1.34.15:12 Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P32 L18 # 4 Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The title of Table 45-31b should contain the name of the register as per the rest of Clause 45 registers.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of Table 45-31b from "50G PMA/PMD extended ability 1 register bit definitions" to "BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 2 register bit definitions"

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P39 L24 # 5

The order of rows in Table 78-1 was defined by Comment #65 against P802.3cj D2.0: https://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-Comments-Final-byID.pdf#page=14 The 25G PHYs are in line with this order, but the 50G ones are not.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the order of the 50G PHYs to:

... 50GBASE-FR 50GBASE-BR10

50GBASE-LR 50GBASE-BR20 50GBASE-BR40 50GBASE-ER

...

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 108 SC 108 P40 L7 # 51

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The proposed changes to Clause 108 are not adequate to describe 10GBASE-R operation

SuggestedRemedy

The proposed changes to Clause 108 are not sufficient to support 10G operation. At least make the following changes to Clause 108.

Change Clause Title to: "Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction (RS-FEC) sublayer for 10GBASE-R and 25GBASE-R PHYs"

Change first sentence of 108.1.1 to: "This clause specifies a Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction (RS-FEC) sublayer for 10GBASE-R and 25GBASE-R PHYs."

Change first sentence of 108.2 to: "This subclause specifies the services provided by the RS-FEC sublayer."

Change first sentence of second paragraph of 108.2 to: "The FEC service interface is provided to allow the 25GBASE-R PCS to transfer information to and from the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC."

Insert a new third paragraph to 108.2: "When used with a 10GBASE-R PHY the serial PMA defined in Clause 51 is the client of the FEC service interface."

In 108.2 change: "The PCS (or PMA) continuously sends a bit stream to the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC using the FEC:IS_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit) primitive, at a nominal signaling rate of 25.78125 GBd.

The 25GBASE-R RS-FEC continuously sends a bit stream to the PCS (or PMA) using the FEC:IS_UNITDATA.indication(rx_bit) primitive, at a nominal signaling rate of 25.78125 GBd. The actual signaling rate is equal to the underlying PMD signaling rate."

To: "The PCS (or PMA) continuously sends a bit stream to the RS-FEC using the FEC:IS_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit) primitive, at a nominal signaling rate of 25.78125 GBd for 25GBASE-R and at 10.3125 GBd for 20GBASE-R.

The RS-FEC continuously sends a bit stream to the PCS (or PMA) using the FEC:IS_UNITDATA.indication(rx_bit) primitive, at a nominal signaling rate of 25.78125 GBd for 25GBASE-R and at 10.3125 GBd for 20GBASE-R. The actual signaling rate is equal to the underlying PMD signaling rate."

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group discussion

FEC

C/ 157 SC 157.1.4 P41 L51 # 27 C/ 157 SC 157.1.4 P**44** L12 # 6 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A In "Implementations conforming to one or more PHY types must hall meet the In Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5, the column headings for the PMDs do not follow the established practice in 802.3. requirements of the corresponding clauses.". there's a "shall" but there's no PICS for it. which won't do. SuggestedRemedy Compare 56.1.3 Physical Layer signaling systems: "A complete implementation conforming In Table 157-3: to one or more nomenclatures meets the requirements of the corresponding clauses." Delete "10 Gb/s PMD" SuggestedRemedy Change "10 km" to "10GBASE-BR10" Change to "Implementations conforming to one or more PHY types meet the requirements Change "20 km" to "10GBASE-BR20" Change "40 km" to "10GBASE-BR40" of the corresponding In Table 157-4: clauses." Delete "25 Gb/s PMD" Response Response Status C Change "10 km" to "25GBASE-BR10" ACCEPT. Change "20 km" to "25GBASE-BR20" Change "40 km" to "25GBASE-BR40" SC 157.2.4 P44 **L1** # 52 C/ 157 In Table 157-5: Delete "50 Gb/s PMD" Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Change "10 km" to "50GBASE-BR10" Comment Type TR Comment Status D **FEC** Change "20 km" to "50GBASE-BR20" The Clause 51 PMA 16-bit service interface is incompatable with the serial client interface Change "40 km" to "50GBASE-BR40" of the Clause 108 RS-FEC. Therefore the clause correlation in Table 157-3 does not work Response Response Status W for 10GBASE-BR20 . Same issue in Table 158-1 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Define a new PMA for 10GBASE-BR20 or modify clause 109 to support 10GBASE-R. C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P45 L37 Proposed Response Response Status W Dawe. Piers Nvidia PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D the specific RS and xMII specified for each ... is A presentation will be submitted with detailed proposal. SuggestedRemedy Make it match 157.2.2, 157.2.3, 157.2.4 and 157.2.5: the specific RS and xMII for each ... are Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **45**

Page 5 of 15 9/21/2020 12:50:53 PM

C/ 157 SC 157.6 P47 L15 # 30 C/ 158 SC 158.1 P48 L33 # 24 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ ONU Silent start As this note "Clause108 describes an FEC for 25GBASE-R PHYs, but the same scheme can be applied to 10GBASE-BRx PHYs" applies to only one PMD now, and it's no longer SugaestedRemedy optional, the wording can be tightened up. ONU silent start SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change the format of the cross-reference to 108 so that "Clause 108" (with a space) is a PROPOSED ACCEPT. hot link. Change sentence to: Clause 108 describes an FEC for 25GBASE-R PHYs, but the same scheme is used in 10GBASE-BR20 PHYs." C/ 158 SC 158.1 P48 L16 # 23 Proposed Response Response Status W Dawe, Piers Nvidia PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Expanding on D2.0 comment 266: Clause 45 is one optional way of doing management: C/ 158 SC 158.1.1 P48 L46 # 57 other ways are permissible. That's why all recent clauses say "and optionally with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined Stassar, Peter Huawei in Clause 45. *** or equivalent ***. Comment Type ER Comment Status D SugaestedRemedy Cross reference to be to "Clause 108" as a whole and not only to "108". Also in Line 50. Change "defined in Clause 45" to "defined in Clause 45, or equivalent", consistent with 159 same page. and 160. SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status W Modify cross reference from "108" to "Clause 108", twice, ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 158 SC 158.1 P48 L32 # Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 158 SC 158.1.1 P49 **L1** Comment Status A Comment Type T **FEC** Dawe. Piers Nvidia Table 159-1 has an important note excluding FEC bypass. Presumably this applies here, Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε too. Blank line SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert note: "The option to bypass the Clause 108 RS-FEC correction function is not supported." Remove Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE PROPOSED ACCEPT. Insert note b to Column"10GBASE-BR20", Row"108—RS-FEC": "The option to bypass the

Clause 108 RS-FEC correction function is not supported."

FEC

FEC

C/ 158 SC 158.1 P49 L14 # Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Status A The RS-FEC is required to be present or absent depending on PHY type.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add the same note as in figs 56-1a and 157-1: "NOTE 1--CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE"

Response Status C Response

Т

ACCEPT.

Add "NOTE 1--CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE" to the RS-FEC block in Figure 158-

SC 158.6.1 # 36 C/ 158 P53 L

Dawe Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Comment Type T

Do you want to make the average launch power of OFF transmitter lower, like 10GBASE-PR? Then, it would help to set the signal detect lower limit in Table 158-4 lower than -30 dBm for 10GBASE-BR20 because that's not far below its sensitivity

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Reuse 10GBASE-R specs here

C/ 158 SC 158.6 P53 L10

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 159-6 has an important note excluding FEC bypass. Presumably this applies here, too.

SuggestedRemedy

Add note for 10GBASE-BR20 "The RS-FEC correction function may not be bypassed for any operating distance."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Same comment as #25, see #25 resolution

C/ 158 SC 158.1 P53 L10 # 48

Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Earlier drafts clearly stated that that two PHYs for each speed and reach of Ethernet were being defined. An "up" PHY with -U Tx and -U Rx specs and a "down" PHY with -D Tx and -D Rx specs. Two kinds of modules would be built in the industry: (1) a -U Tx and a -D Rx and (2) -D Tx and -U Rx. Now the draft has changed approaches completely by defining implicitly two kinds of PMDs, a "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as indicated by the swapping of the -U Rx and -D Rx wavelengths specs. This is a large change only partially addressed in the draft. In particular, there is no clear definition of an "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as one finds for example in Cluase 58.1 for 100BASE-BX10. "100BASE-BX10-D PMD at one end and a 100BASE-BX10-U PMD at the other."

SuggestedRemedy

Updated text:

Within this clause these PMDs are jointly referred to by the term 10GBASE-BRx-D PMD at one end and a 10GBASE-BRx-U PMD at the other.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

To clarify the -U -D definitions as follows:

In 158.1, change "Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PHYs transmit in the downstream direction and Optical Network Unit (ONU) PHYs transmit in the upstream direction" into "Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PMDs transmit in the downstream direction and receive in the upstream direction. Optical Network Unit (ONU) PMDs transmit in the upstream direction and receive in the downstream direction."

In 158.1, change "PHY variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PHYs and U for ONU PHYs." into "PMD variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PMDs and U for ONU PMDs "

C/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P53 L29 # 35 C/ 158 SC 158.6.2 P54 L # 59 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status D Side Mode Suppression Ratio In accordance with the results of comment resolution on D2.0 the parameter "Receive Optical Return Loss Tolerance electrical 3 dB upper cutoff frequency (max)" has been deleted, but this has not been Transmitter Reflectance indicated. Should have been visible for the reviewer in strike-through. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy For D2.2 show deletion of "Receive electrical 3 dB upper cutoff frequency (max)" as strike-Side mode suppression ratio Optical return loss tolerance through Transmitter reflectance Proposed Response Response Status W But Optical Modulation Amplitude should keep its capitals PROPOSED ACCEPT. Check other tables (e.g. Receiver Reflectance in Table 158-7) and clauses 159, 160 Proposed Response Response Status W D2.1 did delete the entire row in the table. But due to FrameMaker settings, this was not PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. shown as strike-through changes in the markup verison. C/ 158 SC 158.6.2 P54 L30 # 37 Check out the upper/lower-case convention in published Amendments, update tables in Clauses 158-160 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Comment Type TR C/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P53 / 49 # 33 10GBASE-BR20 uses FEC so VECP, which was chosen for a no-FEC situation, may not Dawe, Piers Nvidia work as a way of calibrating the SRS for this PMD. EΖ Comment Type Е Comment Status D SugaestedRemedy One of the notes has become separated, on the following page Consider using SEC (see 95.8.8.2 and 95.8.5, but choose a limit appropriate for this PMD) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Make the table full width REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Maintain the optical measurement test for 10GBASE-R. Tests for 10GBASE-R are more conservative than SEC, the link should be able to close. C/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P53 L53 # 34 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ the Optical return loss tolerance SuggestedRemedy the optical return loss tolerance

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

FEC

C/ 158 SC 158.6.2 P54 L33 # 38 C/ 158 SC 158.8 P55 L # 69 Stassar, Peter Dawe, Piers Nvidia Huawei Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status D Footnote a contradicts the "Maximum receive power (for damage)" row. Also, the style in In subclause 158.8 references to the various parameter requirements are missing. Should recent optical clauses is a little different. be added and be similar to 159.7 and 160.7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove note a Change the row: In 158.8 add references to requirements tables for various parameters Maximum receive power (for damage) Proposed Response Response Status W below average receive power (min), to PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Damage threshold above average receive power (max) C/ 158 P55 L17 Apply new note a to this row: SC 158.6.3 The receiver shall be able to tolerate, without damage, continuous exposure to an optical Stassar, Peter Huawei input signal having this average power level. The receiver does not have to operate Comment Type TR Comment Status D correctly at this input power. Note d mentions suggests that the channel insertion loss has a relation to TDP: A Response Response Status C transmitter wavelength of 1260 nm with a TDP of 3 dB is used to calculate channel ACCEPT. insertion loss, and allocation for penalties in this table. This is wrong. TDP is a transmitter parameter and not channel insertion loss. This note applies to the channel insertion loss Follow the Rx table and note style in Table 159-7 Rx spec. and not the allocation of penalties. C/ 158 SC 158.8 P55 # 68 SuggestedRemedy Change note d to: A transmitter wavelength of 1260 nm is assumed to calculate channel Stassar, Peter Huawei insertion loss. Alternatively the whole note can be deleted. Comment Type Comment Status D ER Proposed Response Response Status W The title for this subclause is "Optical measurement requirements" whereas it is more common to call it "Definition of optical parameters and measurement methods" in a similar PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE way as for 159.7 and 160.7 Remove note d SuggestedRemedy SC 158.8 Rename title of subclause 158.8 to "Definition of optical parameters and measurement C/ 158 P55 / 26 methods" Dawe. Piers Nvidia Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type TR Comment Status A PROPOSED ACCEPT "Optical measurement requirements" this was copied from Clause 38 to 52 then 58-60 but later it was decided that this was incorrect: 802.3 is not a test spec, the measurements are

SuggestedRemedy

different wording.

Change to:

Definition of optical parameters and measurement methods

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

This makes the subclause title consistent to those in Clauses 159 and 160

not required, only the compliance is. So Clause 68 and later optical PMD clauses use

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Page 9 of 15

9/21/2020 12:50:54 PM

Cl 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P55 L40 # 32

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

refer-copy

Way too much old material copied in. For example, unless you are defining new test patterns (bad idea), you should reference the existing ones. Also, there are multiple technical problems with this very old material that would have to be fixed if the material is kept.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove most of the copied-in material and refer back to other clauses as needed.

Proposed Response Status W

The referring back method was used in TF review stage, then materials were copied back per WG ballot comment resolution. Need group discussion and decision on this.

Group Comments#32, 43, 44

C/ 158 SC 158.8.2 P57 L32 # 40

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

802.3 is not a test spec. Cannot say "shall be measured". There are no spectral width specs in this draft. It seems that while MMF signals are defined by "center wavelength", SMF signals are defined by "wavelength".

See 121.8.2, 139.7.2 and 159.7.2 for examples.

SuggestedRemedy

Change subclause title from "Center wavelength, spectral width, and side mode suppression ratio (SMSR) measurements" to "Wavelength and side mode suppression ratio (SMSR)".

Change content from:

The center wavelength, spectral width (RMS), and SMSR shall be measured using an optical spectrum analyzer per the centroidal wavelength, RMS spectral width, and SMSR definitions in IEC 61280-1-3 under modulated conditions using an appropriate PRBS or a valid 10GBASE-BRx signal, or another representative test pattern. to:

The wavelength and SMSR shall be within the range given in Table 158-6 if measured per IEC 61280-1-3. The transmitter is modulated using the test pattern defined in Table 158-11. Modify Table 158-11 so that it has rows for Wavelength and Side mode suppression ratio, with pattern 1, 3 or or valid 10GBASE-R signal (you can allow square wave for Wavelength for consistency with other recent clauses). Remove "spectral width" from the table.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

C/ 158 SC 158.8.3 P57 L40 # 42

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Average optical power measurements

Average optical power shall be measured using the methods specified in TIA/EIA-455-95. This measurement may be made with the node transmitting test pattern 1 or 3 or a valid 10GBASE-BRx signal, or another representative test pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Average optical power

The average optical power shall be within the limits given in Table 158-6 if measured using the methods given in IEC 61280-1-1.

In Table 158-11, for Average optical power, change "1 or 3" to "1, 3 or valid 10GBASE-R signal".

Make similar changes for 158.8.4 and and other optical parameter definition subclauses

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need group discussion

C/ 158 SC 158.8.5 P58 L1 # 43

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X refer-copy

Don't copy all this stuff - follow the way 159.7.4 does it.

SuggestedRemedy

Similarly for the following subclauses.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Group Comments#32, 43, 44

Cl 158 SC 158.8.6.1 P60 L1 # 7

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Figure 158-5 is a bit map and should be drawn in FrameMaker so that it is maintainable.

SuggestedRemedy

Re-draw Figure 52-7 in FrameMaker

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Get the bit map source file of Figure 158-5

C/ 158 SC 158.8.11 P70 L21 # 41 C/ 158 SC 158.10 P73 L12 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status D There is no 3 dB electrical upper cutoff frequency spec in this draft The maximum dispersion level for the 1270 nm part is not -19/-38/-75 ps/nm but zero in all 3 cases. This applies for zero km distances SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove this subclause or add such a spec. In Table 158-13 modify the maximum chromatic dispersion from -19/-38/-75 to 0/0/0 ps/nm Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remove subclause 158.8.11 C/ 158 SC 158.11.1 P**73** L34 C/ 158 SC 158.8.7 P72 L12 # 39 Anslow, Pete Independent Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Wrong font size 158.8.2 isn't a clause SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Re-apply paragraph tag T, Text Change the cross-reference format so that "Clause" does not appear. Similarly in 160.8.7. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 158 SC 158.10 P73 Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status D The readability of Tables 158-13 (and 159-12) if a format similar to Table 88-14 is used. SuggestedRemedy Reformat Table 158-13 (and 159-12) to a format similar to Table 88-14. A detailed proposal will be made in a presentation to the relevant TF meeting Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A presentation will be submitted with detailed proposal.

F7

64

C/ 159 SC 159.1 P86 L10 # 49

Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Earlier drafts clearly stated that that two PHYs for each speed and reach of Ethernet were being defined. An "up" PHY with -U Tx and -U Rx specs and a "down" PHY with -D Tx and -D Rx specs. Two kinds of modules would be built in the industry: (1) a -U Tx and a -D Rx and (2) -D Tx and -U Rx. Now the draft has changed approaches completely by defining implicitly two kinds of PMDs, a "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as indicated by the swapping of the -U Rx and -D Rx wavelengths specs. This is a large change only partially addressed in the draft. In particular, there is no clear definition of an "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as one finds for example in Cluase 58.1 for 100BASE-BX10. "100BASE-BX10-D PMD at one end and a 100BASE-BX10-U PMD at the other."

SugaestedRemedy

Updated text:

Within this clause these PMDs are jointly referred to by the term 25GBASE-BRx-D PMD at one end and a 25GBASE-BRx-U PMD at the other.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

To clarify the -U -D definitions as follows:

In 159.1, change "Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PHYs transmit in the downstream direction and Optical Network Unit (ONU) PHYs transmit in the upstream direction. PHY variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PHYs and U for ONU PHYs."

"Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PMDs transmit in the downstream direction and receive in the upstream direction. Optical Network Unit (ONU) PMDs transmit in the upstream direction and receive in the downstream direction. PMD variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PMDs and U for ONU PMDs."

C/ 159 SC 159.7.1 P88 # 70

Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Reference is made to test patterns in clause 95, whereas it should be to Table 159-9

SugaestedRemedy

Modify reference to test patterns from clause 95 to Table 159-9

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 159 SC 159.6.3

P88 Huawei L20

61

Stassar, Peter

Comment Type ER

Comment Status D

In note b the allocation of 5 dB is specifically called out, whereas in note a reference is

made to the later subclause on

SuggestedRemedy

Change note b to refer to the relevant part in subclause 159.9 and/or 159.10

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 159.9 C/ 159

P**92** Huawei

1

66

Stassar, Peter

Comment Type TR

Comment Status D

References are made to Clause 88.10 and in 159.10 to Clause 88.11, making the reading a bit complicated. Also it is not precisely clear which exceptions apply. It would be more straightforward reading if subclauses 159.9 and 159.10 are rewritten with full local content as in 158.10 and 158.11

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite subclauses 159.9 and 159.10 with its own local content in a similar way as 158.10 and 158.11

Proposed Response

Stassar, Peter

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 159 SC 159.9

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The maximum dispersion level for the first 3 columns is not -19/-6/-11 ps/nm but 0/0/0 ps/nm. This applies for zero km distances. Furthermore in some cases the rounding of the dispersion has been downwards instead of upwards, e.g. 39.5 to 39 instead of 40.

P94

Huawei

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 159-12 modify the chromatic dispersion from -19/-6/-11 to 0/0/0 ps/nm. Also modify 39 to either 39.5 or 40 ps/nm. This will also be taken into account in the detailed proposal that will be put into a presentation.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Page 12 of 15 9/21/2020 12:50:54 PM

Cl 160 SC 160.3 P103 L # 58

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Skew constraints have been introduced in a separate subclause 160.3.1 while not for Delay constraints.

SuggestedRemedy

Introduce subclause 160.3.1 for Delay constraints and 160.3.2 for Skew constraints

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 160 SC 160.6 P108 L # 67

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This comment is a repeat of comment #185 to D2.0, proposing to align the PAM4 specification methodology with the one used in P802.3cu D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

A detailed presentation will be submitted with specific proposals for modification

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A presentation will be submitted with detailed proposal.

Cl 160 SC 160.1 P108 L9 # 50

Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

link

Earlier drafts clearly stated that that two PHYs for each speed and reach of Ethernet were being defined. An "up" PHY with -U Tx and -U Rx specs and a "down" PHY with -D Tx and -D Rx specs. Two kinds of modules would be built in the industry: (1) a -U Tx and a -D Rx and (2) -D Tx and -U Rx. Now the draft has changed approaches completely by defining implicitly two kinds of PMDs, a "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as indicated by the swapping of the -U Rx and -D Rx wavelengths specs. This is a large change only partially addressed in the draft. In particular, there is no clear definition of an "up" PMD and a "down" PMD as one finds for example in Cluase 58.1 for 100BASE-BX10, "100BASE-BX10-D PMD at one end and a 100BASE-BX10-U PMD at the other."

SuggestedRemedy

Updated text:

Within this clause these PMDs are jointly referred to by the term 50GBASE-BRx-D PMD at one end and a 50GBASE-BRx-U PMD at the other.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Page number is 101.

To clarify the -U -D definitions as follows:

In 160.1, change "Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PHYs transmit in the downstream direction and Optical Network Unit (ONU) PHYs transmit in the upstream direction. PHY variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PHYs and U for ONU PHYs." into

"Optical Line Terminal (OLT) PMDs transmit in the downstream direction and receive in the upstream direction. Optical Network Unit (ONU) PMDs transmit in the upstream direction and receive in the downstream direction. PMD variant is indicated with a suffix of D for OLT PMDs and U for ONU PMDs."

Cl 160 SC 160.6.3 P110 L11 # 53
Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The "Power budget (for maximum TDECQ)" for 50GBASE-BR20 is not aligned with Tx/Rx spec in 160.6.1 and 160.6.2. The Power budget is calculated as "Channel insertion loss+ Allocation for penalties", which equals to 3.7 dB + 15 dB=18.7 dB. Please see the related comment on 50GBASE-BR20 Allocation for penalties.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 160-8, set "Power budget (for maximum TDECQ)" from 18.8dB to18.7dB for 50GBASE-BR20.

Response Response Status C

C/ 160 SC 160.6.3 P110 L11 # 54

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The "Power budget (for maximum TDECQ)" for 50GBASE-BR40 is not aligned with Tx/Rx spec in Table 160-6 and Table 160-7. The Power budget is calculated as "Channel insertion loss+ Allocation for penalties", which equals to 3.7 dB + 18 dB=21.7 dB. Please see the related comment on 50GBASE-BR40 Allocation for penalties.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 160-8, set "Power budget (for maximum TDECQ)" from 21.8dB to21.7dB for 50GBASE-BR40.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 160 SC 160.6.3 P110 L17 # 56

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The "Allocation for penalties" for 50GBASE-BR40 3.8dB is not aligned with Tx/Rx spec in Table 160-6 and Table 160-7. As other PAM4 based IEEE 802.3 standard, the penalty is calculated as "Allocation for penalties= TDECQmax+ (TxOMAouter min-Rx sensitivity-Channel insertion loss)", which equals to 3.2+(3.4-(-15.1)-18)=3.7dB. 3.7dB is also aligned with 802.3cn 50GBASE-ER.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 160-8, set Allocation for penalties from 3.8dB to 3.7dB for 50GBASE-BR40.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 160 SC 160.6.3 P110 L17 # 55

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The "Allocation for penalties" for 50GBASE-BR20 3.8dB is not aligned with Tx/Rx spec in 160.6.1 and 160.6.2. As other PAM4 based IEEE 802.3 standard, the penalty is calculated as "Allocation for penalties= TDECQmax+ (TxOMAouter min-Rx sensitivity-Channel insertion loss)", which equals to 3.2+(0.4-(-15.1)-15)=3.7dB.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 160-8, set Allocation for penalties from 3.8dB to 3.7dB for 50GBASE-BR20.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 160 SC 160.7.4 P111 L37 # 2

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X refer-copy

Too much repetition

SuggestedRemedy

Refer to other clauses, for several subclauses here

Proposed Response Response Status W
Group Comments#32, 43, 44

Cl 160 SC 160.7.9 P115 L30 # 9

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

A line for 50GBASE-FR should not be present in Figure 160-6

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Figure 160-6 with a figure that does not have a line for 50GBASE-FR [I can provide such a figure if you need it]

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

FFC

Cl 160 SC 160.9 P119 L # 63

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

It would make the readability significantly better if 160.9 would have its own local copy of Table 159-12

SuggestedRemedy

Create local copy of Table 159-12 in clause 160.9

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 108 SC 108.4 P592 L # 21

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

108.4 says that the maximum delay contributed by the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer shall be no more than 24576 bit times (48 pause quanta or 983.04 ns).

SuggestedRemedy

Explain that when used for 10GBASE-BR20, that's 2457.6 ns.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Keep the 48 pause_quanta or 983.04 ns value, apply it to the 10G rate, the bit time @10G is 9803.4 = 9803 bits

Change max bit time in the new row of Table 44-2 as 9803

CI 108 SC 108.5.3.2 P597 L # 18

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A FEC

If FEC_bypass_indication_enable is to be allowed, the time-out period, 60 ms to 75 ms for 25 Gb/s, needs to be extended for 10GBASE-BR20

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a period of 60 ms to 75 ms" to "a period of 150 ms to 187.5 ms for 10GBASE-BR20, and 60 ms to 75 ms for all other PHY types"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

FEC bypass is not allowed for 10GBASE-BR20. See comment resolution of #22

CI 108 SC 108.5.3.2 P597 L # 22

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

FEC

108.5.3.2 says: "option to perform error detection without error correction to reduce the delay contributed by the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer. ... This option shall not be used when the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR, or 25GBASE-ER PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

Extend the list of PHY types that must not bypass error correction.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "This option shall not be used when the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR, or 25GBASE-ER PHY." into

"This option shall not be used when the 25GBASE-R RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 10GBASE-BR20, 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR, or 25GBASE-ER PHY."