C/ 1 SC₁ P21 L1 # I-1 C/ 158 SC 158.9.7 P89 L15 # I-5 Byrd, William PRIVACOM VENTURES, INC. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Status X Where is the Introduction??? It is completely missing from my document. The Introduction Keep related text together. appears at the bottom of page 21, with zero words under that title SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make all hyphens in "10GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.," Add the Introduction. and "10GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.6 P**35** L25 C/ 158 SC 158.11.2.1 P**90** L43 # I-6 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Too many carriage returns between paragraphs. Keep related text together. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete line 25 Make hyphen in "10GBASE-BR20" non-breaking. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 108 SC 108 6 P59 L28 # 1-3 C/ 159 SC 159.7.5.2 P106 L106 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Keep related text together. Too many carriage returns between paragraphs. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete line 28. Make hyphens in "25GBASE-BR20" non-breaking. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P78 L54 # 1-4 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Status X Keep related text together. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Insert a non-breaking hyphen between "inverted" and "(i)".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 1-7

Page 1 of 8 2/26/2021 1:54:24 PM

C/ 159 SC 159.8.7 P109 L36 # 1-8 C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P78 L49 # I-11 The Siemon Company Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Maguire, Valerie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Keep related text together. There should be no hyphen in "Test-pattern definition". There are various instances in the draft mentioning "test-pattern", which all should be modified SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make all hyphens in "25GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.." Change heading to "Test pattern definition". Also for other instances of "test-pattern". and "25GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 160 SC 160.8.7 P135 L28 # 1-9 C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P**78** L51 # I-12 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X It is not clear what is meant by "Pattern 3 is optional." Keep related text together. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make all hyphens in "50GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.," Clarify the meaning of "Pattern 3 is optional.". Or alternatively remove this sentence, and "50GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L26 # I-13 P78 / 44 C/ 158 SC 158.8.1 # I-10 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Е The row for "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" is an identical requirement The wording "Test patterns are as in Table 158-11 for 10GBASE-BRx." can be improved as the previous row and should therefore be deleted SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Modify to "Test patterns for 10GBASE-BRx are defined in Table 158-11." Remove the row for "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P**125** L22 # I-14 C/ 108 SC 108.1.3.2 P46 **L3** # I-17 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd IEEE member / Self Employed Laubach, Mark Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The representation of the requirement for OMAmin is shown differently than in the "Figure 108-1b" needs to be a cross reference link. equivalent specification in 139.6.1, where "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ SuggestedRemedy (min)". It could be useful to point that out in an extension to note b. Make it so. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add to Note b: even when the representation of the requirement OMAouter is different as used in a conventional way in Clause 139, it is completely consistent". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P64 L25 # I-18 IEEE member / Self Employed Laubach, Mark P43 # I-15 C/ 108 SC 108.1.2 L21 Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 157-1 should appear in the text before Table 157-1. Table 157-1 should appear Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed after the reference to it in the first part of 157.1.3, and etc for the other tables in this Comment Type E Comment Status X clause. I know these are Framemaker anchoring issues, but should be fixed if there is a "Figure 108-1" needs to be a cross reference link. revision. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it so. Try to make it visually flow better than it is now. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 108 SC 108.1.3 P45 L3 # I-16 C/ 158 P95 SC 158.13.4.3 L7 # I-19 Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "Figure 108-1a" needs to be a cross reference link. "Table 158-6" and "Table 158-7" (line 10) need to be cross reference links. Same for all other Table references on this page. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it so. Make it so. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 158 SC 158.13.4.6 P96 **L7** # I-20 C/ 108 SC 108.1.3.1 P45 L23 # I-23 IEEE member / Self Employed Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Laubach, Mark Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In other Value/Comment text in 158.13 PICS as well as throughout the draft, there is mixed Tidy up the block diagram convention to use "Clause 158.x". (e.g. page 93 line 47) versus omitting the world "Clause" SugaestedRemedy (and just have the clause number). Consistency is needed. Move the paths rx data-group<15:0> and rx data-group<15:0> to the right to line up with SuggestedRemedy the PCS Receive paths above. Move the right hand end of the FEC Decoder & Block Make subclause cross references consistent throughout the draft. Synchronization box to line up with the boxes above. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.3 P116 L10 # I-21 C/ 108 SC 108.5.1.1 P51 L16 # 1-24 Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "will" is deprecated need spaces SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "inTable 159-7" to "in Table 159-7" on line 10 and "inTable 159-6" to "in Table Change "It will form" to "It forms" 159-6" on line 21 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 108 SC 108.2.1.2.3 P49 **L9** # I-25 # 1-22 C/ 160 SC 160.12.3.3 P141 L7 Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client is unspecified by the FEC sublayer": "Table 160-6" needs to be a cross reference link. Same for all the "Table 160-x" on this gratuitously unhelpful. page. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: Make it so. The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client (the PCS) is specified in Clause 49; see 49 2 Proposed Response Response Status 0 I think you will need to change Clause 49 to mention the FEC UNITDATA.indication primitive, too. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-25

Page 4 of 8 2/26/2021 1:54:26 PM

C/ 108 SC 108.2.1.3.3 P49 L36 # I-26 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA**

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

"The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client is unspecified by the FEC sublayer": gratuitously unhelpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client (the PCS) is specified in Clause 107: see 107.1.4.2.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

I-27 C/ 108 SC 108.6.3 P59 L37

Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA**

Comment Status X This statement "the transmit and receive functions are disabled" is misleading.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Please change as P802.3ck has it for 91.6.2f: "the RS-FEC transmit and receive functions are disabled"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 108 SC 108.6.4 P**59** L47 # I-28

Dawe. Piers J G NVIDIA

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error correction. The variable is set to zero if this ability is not supported.

SuggestedRemedy

This variable is set to one if the decoder has the ability to bypass error correction. The variable is set to zero if this ability is not supported.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 108 SC 108.7.3 P61 L32 # 1-29

Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA**

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Has the capability to disable the RS-FEC function

But 108.5.3.2 "This option shall not be used when the RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 10GBASE-BR20, 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR, or 25GBASE-ER PHY."

SugaestedRemedy

The status should be conditional, not always mandatory

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 158 SC 158.5.4 P**74** L37 # 1-30

Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type T Comment Status X

This has a new/different requirement to all other signal detect definitions: "Implementations shall provide adequate margin between the input optical power level at which the SIGNAL DETECT parameter is set to OK, and the inherent noise level of the PMD due to crosstalk, power supply noise, etc.". The original text was guidance for the designer, not a spec item. Implementers will expect to use existing 10G receiver designs for these PMDs

SuggestedRemedy

Change back to ""As an unavoidable consequence of the requirements for the setting of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter, implementations must provide adequate margin between the input optical power level

at which the SIGNAL DETECT parameter is set to OK, and the inherent noise level of the PMD due to crosstalk, power supply noise, etc.".

If necessary, change "must" to "should".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 158 SC 158.5.4 P74 L # I-31

Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA**

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The SD limit of >=-30 dBm is too near to the Average receive power (min) for 10GBASE-BR20. -27.2 dBm. 1000BASE-LX10 has -45 dBm.

SuggestedRemedy

Change -30 to a lower number. Preferably, put the limit in Table 158-7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-31

Page 5 of 8 2/26/2021 1:54:26 PM

C/ 158 SC 158.8.9.1 P81 L25 # I-32 C/ 158 SC 158.10 P89 L39 # I-35 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "The transmitted optical signal of the device under test and the reflectance of the optical ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-14A/method B link should be at their maximum levels.": First, 802.3 defines behaviour at interfaces, it SugaestedRemedy does not define devices. This is for multimode? If so, delete, also from 159.9 Second, there is no expectation that the transmitted signal be adjustable; the standards has provision for it to be on or off, only. The previous sentences say that the transmitter is Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change to "The reflectance of the optical link should be at its maximum level". Similarly in C/ 158 SC 158.13.1 P**92** L7 # I-36 158.8.9.2 (h), 159.7.10 (h) and 160.7.11 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X Clause 158, Physical Medium C/ 158 SC 158.8.10.2 P**87** L34 # I-33 SuggestedRemedy Insert space Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response т Response Status O ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-175A-92 (for chromatic dispersion measurement) SuggestedRemedy C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.4 P116 L15 # I-37 Update to IEC 60793-1-42, as in 160.7.5.2 Dawe. Piers J G NVIDIA Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X blank lines? and following tables SC 158.8.10.3 # 1-34 SuggestedRemedy C/ 158 P88 L5 Remove. Also 159.12.4.6 and following Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Status X Proposed Response Comment Type TR Response Status O "corner frequency of less than or equal to 4 MHz": This is a definition, not a test procedure. It has to be unambiguous. Setting the CRU corner frequency far too low will fail acceptable transmitters. C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.4 P116 L21 # I-38 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers J G NVIDIA Delete "less than or equal to" Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O inTable 159-6 SuggestedRemedy Insert space Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-38

Page 6 of 8 2/26/2021 1:54:26 PM

C/ 160 SC 160.7.5.2 P129 L54 # [-39

Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Notes detached from table

SuggestedRemedy

Remove blank line 41. Make the notes stay with the table. Similar issue with Table 16-8

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 160 SC 160.7.5.2 P130 L2 # [-40]

Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Similar to the change made to 158.8.10.2: The minimum and maximum chromatic dispersion for the compliance channel are calculated based on maximum length. For each PMD, if minimum and maximum CD are the same side of zero, a link with a shorter fibre and maybe some extra patch panel loss is not properly protected.

SuggestedRemedy

The same fix as for Table 158-13: add to note a:

The link may be as short as 2 m, and the minimum or maximum dispersion may be 0.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L28

Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As noted before:

You want these new PMDs to be buildable with existing receiver ICs, designed and qualified to the earlier PAM4 specs (200GBASE-DR4, 200GBASE-FR4, 200GBASE-LR4, 200GBASE-ER4, 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR and/or 50GBASE-ER).

The link and receiver need protection from both a weak signal (OMA-TDECQ limit) and a very bad signal (K and overshoot limits) because real affordable receivers have finite resolution, dynamic range, linearity and optimisation algorithm, unlike the ideal reference receiver for TDECQ.

In dB, TDECQ = C + K. $\,$ C = 10log10(Ceq) = noise enhancement. $\,$ K is the measure of signal quality.

Recent 100 Gb/s/lane PAM4 receivers are protected by over/under-shoot and transmitter power excursion limits.

Each of the three specs (K, over/under-shoot, and transmitter power excursion) can catch undesirable signals that the others miss, and that TDECQ misses too.

There are no separate measurements for these; they are by-products of waveform captures for TDECQ and TECQ.

Avoiding these very bad signals will help avoid error floors.

SuggestedRemedy

Reinstate the limit on K = TDECQ - 10log10(Ceq) max 3.2 dB for all three PMDs. Then at least there will be consistent protection across the 50Gb/s/lane family.

Add over/under-shoot limits as in the latest 802.3cu, for all three PMDs.

Add transmitter power excursion limits to the PMD(s) that need that protection (it depends on the receive max power).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L26 #

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" is redundant. The OMAouter (min) for TDECQ from 1.4 to 3.2 dB is already determined by the "Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter) (min)".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" line.

The proposed reference table is shown on page 11 in

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cp/public/2009/2009_3cp_Stassar_1.pdf for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

I-41

C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L38

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 160-6 suggests for 50GBASE-BR20 an optical return loss tolerance (max) of 15 dB, whereas Table 160-11 suggests that this is 15.3 dB, which is not consistent. It needs to be either 15 or 15.3 dB in both Tables 160-6 and 160-11.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 160-6 line 38 , split the table into two columns and set "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" for 50GBASE-BR20 to 15.3dB.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 8 of 8 2/26/2021 1:54:26 PM