CI 00	SC 0	P 0	L 0	# 1	1	C/ 00	SC 0	P 9	L 14	# 33		
Hajduczenia, Marek		Charter Communication			Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.							
Comment Type TR Comment Status D						Comment Type T Comment Status D						
There are several projects in flight, including .3ca, which include safety statements. Are there any plans to comment on these projects and make sure that the proper safety statements are included?							The term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The 1985 standard did not use the term Ethernet in the title or in the body of the standard. What was published was actually not Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.					
Suggeste	edRemedy					Suggeste	dRemedy					
Consider commenting against (e.g., .3ca) to make sure proper referenced to Annex J are beir made.						Replace "Ethernet" here with the actual title of the 1985 standard.						
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					
Base that v	d on the outcome	of this meeting the Chair will su ter P802.3cr. For those that w			0 , ,	The in		part of IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx	(.			
CLOO	66.4		/ 00	# [0]		C/ 1	SC 1	P 21	L1	# 2		
C/ 00 SC 0		P 1	L 23	# 3	2	Hajducze	nia, Marek	Charter Comm	nunication			
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.			Comment Type E Comment Status D									
Comment Type ER Comment Status D					It see	ms you will not ne	eed Clause 1 at all					
	' is misspelled.					Suggeste	dRemedy					
	edRemedy					Remo	ove Clause 1 from	draft				
Change "Tthe" to "The"						Proposed	Response	Response Status W				
•	<i>l Response</i> POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				PROF	POSED ACCEPT					
						C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 22	<i>L</i> 1	# 13		
C/ FM	SC FM	P 7	∠13	# 1		Graber, S	teffen	Pepperl+Fuch	s AG			
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicat			nication			Comment Type E Comment Status D						
Comment Type E Comment Status D						There are no new definitions or abbreviations.						
	Name SecondNan s you're Chief Edite	ne, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force or as well>	name Task I	Force Editor-i	n-Chief" - I	Suggeste	•					
Suggeste	edRemedy						e page 22					
Change to "Jon Lewis, IEEE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief"					Proposed Response Response Status W							
Proposed Response		Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT.							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Improve technical clarity of text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text of the 1st sentence to read: The MAU must provide isolation between any conductor of the AUI cable and any conductor of the coaxial trunk cable.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TFTD: That text was unchanged from IEEE Std 802.3-2018

Cl 8 SC 8.7.1 P23 L36 # 38

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Aquantia, BMW, Cisco,

Comment Type T Comment Status D

"All stations meeting this standard shall conform to the general safety requirements as specifi in Annex

J." these clauses in IEEE 802.3 specify ports, not stations or equipment. The electrical isolation requirements will apply to the MAU, or PMA/PMD/MDI. However, the stations or equipment may have multiple functions, ports, and power connections on it that have nothing do with 802.3 or any LAN/MAN networking functions, and compliance with 62368 is depender on those functions too. The requirement really is that the Clause xx MAU (or whatever clause PMA, PMD, etc.) shall not preclude the equipment complying with 62368; however, I can't figure out how to say that in a testable way. The best I know is to state the expectation for the equipment so the designer is aware, which now is in Annex J, and to remove the reference to the equipment in the individual clauses, so electrical isolation applies to the MAU, PMA/PMD/MDI. etc.

SuggestedRemedy

In clause 8.7.1 change "All stations"..." to "The MAU"... And similarly in clause 14.7.1 change "All equipment"... To "The MAU"..., and so on for the other PHY clauses.

In Annex J, J.2, page 94 line 28, change "All equipment meeting this standard shall conform t IEC 62368-1:2018." to "Equipment meeting this standard is expected to conform to IEC 62368

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TFTD

1:2018."

Cl 9 SC 9.0.3 P24 L6 # 3

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Wrong L2 header number. The same issue on page 27 line 7

SuggestedRemedy

"0" should be replaced with the right number

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor is not able to find the specified header number on 24/6: TFTD

Change "9.0.3 FOMAU electrical characteristics"

to:

"9.9.3 FOMAU electrical characteristics" and renumber remaining sub-clauses in that section.

Cl 9 SC 9.0.3.1 P24 L12 # 5_____

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Text of the note should not mention .3cr, since this designation will be gone. Alsok discussion on "considerations for the latest safety standards should be made for any new design or installation" sounds very loose and completely unnecessary - it is sufficient to

new design or installation" sounds very loose and completely unnecessary - it is sufficient to point out no more maintaince is being made, and that all security consdierations are covered Annex J. Period.

The same issue on page 27, line 14; page 28, line 14; page 33, line 15; page 33, line 39; page 37, line 12; page 38, line 14; page 38, line 38; page 47, line 12

SugaestedRemedy

Use the following text "Since September 2011, maintenance changes are no longer being considered for this Clause. Safety information may be found in Annex J."

Proposed Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

14 # 36 CI 8 SC 8.8.6.8 P24 L13 Cl 9 SC 9.0.3.1 P26 L14 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS A Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ER Comment Status D Theoriginal text has been changed, PICS entry 1 needs to be modified accordingly. Imposing these new requirements on "new installations" that don't use newly designed equipment is unrealistic, particulally since most of the islation of a repeater comes from the SuggestedRemedy transceivers, not the repeater unit. Change Value/comment to: "Conforms to Annex J". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Delete the words "or installation" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl.9SC 9.0.3.1 P24 L13 **Charter Communication** Hajduczenia, Marek Text removed by Comment #5 Comment Type E Comment Status D P30 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 L4 # 15 Missing "." after "Annex J" The same issue on page 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; page 33, line 17; page 33, line 41; page Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG 37. line 14: page 38. line 17: page 38. line 40: page 47. line 14 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Parameter and reference (first column) are needed for a PICS entry. Add missing "." SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Keep IR1a and change Parameter to "Electrical isolation". PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. add missing "." after Annex J in the following locations: page 26, line 13; page 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; page 33, line 17; page 33, line 41; page 3 Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P30 L14 line 14; page 38, line 17; page 38, line 40; page 47, line 14 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG CI 9 SC 9.0.3.1 P26 # 35 L12 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS A As the isolation resistance is removed in the original text (is now in Annex J), IR2 needs to be Comment Type E Comment Status D removed. Missing comma SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove IR2 PICS entry. Change the text: "Given this IEEE" to read: "Given this, IEEE" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Text has been removed by Comment #5.

28 C/ 15 SC 15.8.6.4 P32 L16 # 17 Cl 23 SC 23.9.4.12 P34 L23 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Е Comment Status D As the isolation resistance is removed in the original text (is now in Annex J), IR2 needs to be simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field. removed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 'Conforms to Annex J' on lines 23, 25, and 36. Remove IR2 PICS entry. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT Modified by comment #5 Duplicate of comment #16 CI 23 SC 23.9.4.16 P34 L35 CI 23 SC 23.9.4.12 P34 L13 # 18 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type E The original text of Clause 23 is not changed, so SAF1 entry needs to be kept in the original The original text of Clause 23 is not changed, so ISO2, ISO3 and ISO4 need to be kept. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove changes applied to 23.9.4.12. Remove changes applied to 23.9.4.16. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 23 SC 23.9.4.12 P34 L20 # CI 27 SC 27.5.4.11 P37 L26 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Previous updates to PICS used "Conforms to Annex J" wording - any reason why you use jus The original text of Clause 27 is not changed. Annex J reference in here? SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove changes applied to EL2 entry in 27.5.4.11. In ISO2, 3, 4 use "Conforms to Annex J" instead Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 33 # 21 # 22 SC 33.7.1 P39 L39 CI 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P**44** L14 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D IEC 60950-1 cannot be referenced anymore. "Conforms to Annex J" needs to be in teh Value/Comment field. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace IEC 60950-1 by "... in accordance with PELV/SELV requirements." and modify PICS Move "Conforms to Annex J" to the Value/Comment field and add "Electrical Isolation" to the accordingly. Needs to be discussed with the group. Feature field Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE PROPOSED ACCEPT. TFTD highlighted text. C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P46 L16 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L40 Comment Type Comment Status D Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** The original clause text did not change for the requirement in PME2. Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Any reason for highlight on "IEC 60950-1." Same on page 40, line 47 Add PME2 again. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Remove highlight PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W L12 C/ 113 SC 113.12.10 P90 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Discuss with comment #21 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D PICS for 113.5.1 is missing. Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P42 / 15 # SuggestedRemedy Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** Add: "ENVa, Isolation Requirements, 113.5.1, M, Yes [], Conforms to Annex J" Comment Type E Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Change the editorial instructions to include "(uncanges rows are not shown)" and show only rows needing changes. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SugaestedRemedy Bring in Table from 113.12.6 which has PME1 and PME2 for 113.5.1. Modify according to Per comment. Consider making this change for all PICS where tables contain a lot of Annex J. unchanged rows (they do not need to be shown) Proposed Response

Response Status W

TFTD - unchanged lines were added due to a prior comment from the Plenary meeting.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 113 # 26 SC 113.12.10 P90 L13 # 29 CIA SC A P93 **L1** Jones, Chad Cisco Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status D simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field. There is no new information for Annex A. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 'Conforms to Annex J' Please remove page 93. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. # 25 C/ 126 SC 126.12.1 P**92** L12 Accomodated by comment #9 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG CIJSC J P94 L7 Comment Status D Comment Type E Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication PICS for 126.5.1 is missing. Comment Type ER Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Annex J is without a title. Add: "ENVa, Isolation Requirements, 126.5.1, M, Yes [], Conforms to Annex J" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Please put a correct title for Annex J PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Bring 126.12.5 into the draft and modify PME1 and PME2 to indicate Annex J. C/ 126 SC 126.12.1 P**92** / 13 Modify Title as follows: Jones, Chad Cisco "Annex J: Safety and Electrical Isolation" Comment Type E Comment Status D **TFTD** simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field. SuggestedRemedy CIJSC J.1 P94 L15 Change to 'Conforms to Annex J' Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type ER Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. New reference: IEC 62368-1:2018 SuggestedRemedy C/ A SC A P93 **L1** add missing reference to Clause 1? Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Annex A is not needed SuggestedRemedy The suggested reference is being added by P802.3cg. However, this indicates ISO/IEC 6236i 1:2014 when it really should indicate the 2018 version. TFTD Remove Proposed Response Response Status W Likely should bring this reference into D1.1 and modify to indicate the 2018 version. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **94**

Page 6 of 7

Li 15

9/7/2019 10:44:29 PM

C/ J	SC J.1	P 94	L17	# 31
Jones, C	had	Cisco		
Commen	nt Type E	Comment Status D		
"not	less than 1 s" - is	greater than 1s" a better way	to write thiw?	
Suggeste	edRemedy			
chan	ige "not less than	1 s" to "greater than 1 s"		
Proposed	d Response	Response Status W		
PRO	POSED REJECT	Γ.		
Text	is unchanged fro	om previous text		
	· ·			
TFTI)			
C/ J	SC J.3.2	P 95	∠19	# 27
Graber, S	Steffen	Pepperl+Fuch	ns AG	
Commen	nt Type E	Comment Status D		
Need	ds to be "IEC" ins	stead of "EC".		
Suggeste	edRemedy			
IEC (62368-1:2018			
Proposed	d Pasnansa	Response Status W		
•	u nesponse	nesponse status w		