Р C/ FM SC C/ FM SC FM P1 L22 # 11 Stassar, Peter Huawei Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type F7 Comment Type Comment Status D The frontpage states: "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Task Force review.". This should be "Working IEEE802.3cg was approved in 2019. Group ballot" and specifically "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group ballot recirculation" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "802.3cg-20xx" to "802.3cg-2019" also on P11 L1. Modify the relevant sentence to "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group ballot recirculation" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of 21, 10 Duplicate of 150 P1 C/ FM SC FM L22 # 21 SC FM P1 L1 C/ FM # 148 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Zimmerman. **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ 802.3cn and 802.3cg are approved as of 2019 A friendly reminder that we have entered a new decade. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 802.3cn-20xx and 802.3cg-20xx to 802.3cn-2019 and 802.3cg-2019, respectively (also It is typical to change date on line 1, and on page header, but also remember to change at page 10 line 48 and 11 line 1) copyright year on line 29 and p.2 l.46, and page footer. Also change year on p.8, l.1 from "201x" Proposed Response Response Status W to "202x". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Combined fix for 10, 11 and 21 C/ FM SC FM P1 L25 Duplicate of 151 # 150 GraCaSI S.A./Independent Thompson, Geoff C/ FM SC FM P1 L22 # 10 Comment Status D Comment Type ER Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Says this draft is for Task Force Review. ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy IEEE802.3cn was approved in 2019. Change "Task Force Review" to "Working Group Ballot" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "802.3cn-20xx" to "802.3cn-2019", also on P10 L49. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of 7 Duplicate of 21, 11

C/ FM SC FM P1 L25 # 22 C/ FM SC FM P23 L7 Zimmerman, CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D draft is for (initial) working group ballot, not task force review - of course after this change it According to the SA Editors, the "IMPORTANT NOTICE" is not needed and can be deleted. won't be for initial working group ballot anymore SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete lines 7 through 18. Change "task force review" to "working group ballot recirculation" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P23 L39 Duplicate of 150 Ran, Adee Intel C/ FM SC FM P**7** L4 # 3 Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Signify The projects given as exmaples are completed and irrelevant for this draft. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ SuggestedRemedy "The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group at the delete the parenthesized text or replace the exmaples with ongoing projects. beginning of the IEEE P802.3xx Working Group ballot." Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P802.3xx ==> P802.3cr These are examples and are not intended to specify actual ongoing project, just that projects Proposed Response Response Status W can be run in parallel. TFTD if this should be changed. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P8 **L1** # 151 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Comment Type ER Comment Status D EΖ It will never be 201X again. SuggestedRemedy Change "201X" to "202X"

Proposed Response

Duplicate of 148

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

F7

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 # 45
Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This amendment replaces the reference for isolation and safety requirements across the whole standard.

If the new requirements in either of these areas are different from the old ones in any way, deployed equipment may become incompliant. Also, people may assume that deployed equipment is compliant when in fact it is not.

Even if there is no change, the change in the standard can be seen as a risk to vendors and users and cause unnecessary hassle.

Changing specs in mainenance without telling the reader about the change is like rewriting not just the law but also the history books.

I don't have the expertise to tell how this change should affect the market, but at least we should not be silent about it

SuggestedRemedy

A possible remedy is to add a note in annex J stating that this annex is effective starting from <date> and list the references that previous revisions of this standard used.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Compliance would initially be with IEEE Std 802.3-2018 as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cr-202x. Later editions it would be with 802.3-202x (once .3cr is folded into a revision). Standards are dated and living documents – it is why we put dates in our references. Older products are compliant with 802.3-2018, or whatever version there was, and not with future versions, so the conflict the commenter suggests does not occur.

TFTD

CI 00 SC 0 P0 L0 # 28

Comment Status D

Ran, Adee Intel

TR

Several clauses modified by this amendment are marked as not being maintained. For example clause 8 includes a note that "Since September 2003, maintenance changes are no longer being considered for this clause". With this statement in place, why are we doing maintenance changes now?

Ideally, we should not change these clauses at all; If anyone reads these clauses, they may think that these changes existed at the time stated as last change. I believe they are obsolete, so this serves no purpose and can only create confusion.

If we want to update these clauses in this project, the simplest thing would be to add another sentence to the initial note that as of (date) annex J supersedes the previous content.

With this statement all other changes in these frozen clauses become unnecessary. Minimizing the number of changes would make this project faster.

Applies to clauses 8, 9, 10, 12, 23, 27, 32, and 41.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Remove all the editorial instructions and content in clauses 8, 9, 10, 12, 23, 27, 32, and 41.

Consider adding the following note after the initial note (which states that usage in new installations is not recommended) in each of these clauses:

NOTE-as of <date>, isolation and safety requirements in annex J supersede the corresponding requirements in this clause. New implementations shall comply with annex J.

(I think this addition is not required, but it would not be harmful).

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve with 129

TFTD

CI 00 SC 0 P0 L0 # 30

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

References to Annex J are made in multiple clauses that have only genera safety requirements. Since Annex J include J.1 for isolation, it may be interpreted as if all clauses need to meet the isolation requirements, which are irrelevant in most clauses.

References should be to the specific subclause, J.1 or J.2, per case, instead of to annex J as a while.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace references to Annex J in "Electrical Isolation" subclauses with references to J.1.

Replace references to Annex J in "General Safety" subclauses with references to J.2.

Check the remaining references to Annex J and replace them to specific subclause if necessary.

Apply in all clauses.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TFTD

resolve with comment 38, 127

C/ **00** SC **0** P**23** L**37** # 149

Trowbridge, Steve Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The editor's note as written makes no sense. P802.3bj and P802.3bk were completed before IEEE Std 802.3-2015 (let alone the current revision), and there is no opportunity for these projects to develop conflicting changes with any of the clauses being modified by P802.3cr.

SuggestedRemedy

Either substitute current projects that are modifying some of the same clauses as P802.3cr, or remove the editor's note

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Duplicate of comment 27

C/ 00 SC 0 P100 L1 # 2

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

I think the following PMD Clauses also need to be modified to reference Annex J

138. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBASE-SR4

139. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-FR and 50GBASE-LR

140. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 100GBASE-DR

150. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 400GBASE-SR4.2

SuggestedRemedy

Add references to Annex J in the following clauses:

138. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBASE-SR4

139. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-FR and 50GBASE-LR

140. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 100GBASE-DR

150. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 400GBASE-SR4.2

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Resolved with Comment 143

CI8 SC 8.3.2.1 P24 L13 # 29 Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status D If this change instruction is not removed as suggested in another coment - then at least it should not introduce the word "must" which is against the style manual instruction. In this case, "shall" should be used, and it can make the added text shorter. SuggestedRemedy Change "The MAU must provide isolation between the AUI cable and the coaxial trunk cable. This isolation shall meet the isolation requirements as specified in Annex J." to "The MAU shall provide isolation that meets the requirements in J.1 between the AUI cable and the coaxial trunk cable." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 8 SC 8.3.2.1 P24 L30 # 152 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Comment Type Comment Status X Caution note implies a single change was made. We are now up to two. SuggestedRemedy

Change text in CAUTION to reflect multiple changes.

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

There is only one change to the actual requirements, P802.3cr is modifying the location of the requirement but not the requirement itself.

CI 8 SC 8.7.1 L40 # 153 P**24**

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent

Comment Type Comment Status D

The term "PI" is not applicable to a clause 8 transceiver.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "/PI" from the term.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 8 SC 8.8.6.8 P25 L25 # 55

The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Instruction could be written more clearly.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change Item 1 and remove item 2 in the table in 8.8.6.8, renumbering remaining PICS as shown:" with, "Change Item 1, remove Item 2, and renumber PICS in the table in 8.8.6.8 as shown:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace, "Change Item 1 and remove item 2 in the table in 8.8.6.8, renumbering remaining PICS as shown:" with, "Change Item 1, remove Item 2 as shown:" (removed the renumbering of PICS which current IEEE editors have requested for ammendments)

CI 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L10

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 9.9.3.1:" with, "Change text in 9.9.3.1 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 9 SC 9.9.3.1 Page 5 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:47 AM

F7

ΕZ

C/ 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L12 # 32 Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The phrase "Safety information may be found in annex J" added here and in other clause is very confusing. Annex J is normative; is the "safety information" informative?

Also, it is not stated that annex J is new; future hypothetical readers may assume this addition is from September 2011 (or other dates in other clauses).

In another comment I suggest removing all changes in "frozen" clauses; If that comment is not accepted, at least this text should be marked with a date.

Applies to multiple clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

If the changes in this clause are maintained, change the quoted sentence to "As of <date>, new implementations shall comply with the safety requirements in J.2".

Apply across the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

TFTD with comment 45

C/ 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L14 # 33

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Why is the text here not replaced with a reference to annex J as in other clauses? These are the same requirements.

Note that in another comment I suggest removing all changes in "frozen" clauses such as this one.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text with a reference to J.1, unless it is removed by another comment.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Clause 9 is no longer being maintained. The note was to inform the reader that safety information for other clauses has been moved to Annex J.

Cl 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L14 # 129

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

I recognize the dilemma with depricated clauses, but disagree with what I assume was the decision on how to deal with doing maintenance to a deprecated clause that we indicate "maintenance changes are not longer being considered". Perhaps the standard NOTE should refer to "mIntenance change requests" rather than maintenance changes. The insertion of a pointer to the new Annex J is a change, so as long as a change is being done, do it completely. Inserting the pointer to Annex J and retaining the isolation requirements is confusing and opens the possibility for two different sets of safety specifications.

SuggestedRemedy

Either strike out the text as is done for non-deprecated clauses or change the NOTE to strongly indicate that superceding text is in Annex J (e.g., Safety information that supercedes the safety instructions in this subclause." If accepted, similar changes will need to be made to other deprecated clauses in this draft (separate comments pointing to this one are entered per RevCom conventions).

Proposed Response Status W

TFTD

Resolve with 28

C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L10 # 138

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The subclause title is that of 10.8.3, not 10.8.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change title to "Safety requirements"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "10.8.1" to "10.8.3" which is where the reference to 60950-1 exists.

Cl 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L12 # 50

ΕZ

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 10.8.1:" with, "Change text in 10.8.1 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 10 Page 6 of 30

1/14/2020 2:06:47 AM

C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L16 # 130 C/ 12 SC 12.10.1 P29 L16 # 131 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W **TFTD TFTD** C/ 10 SC 10.8.3 P28 L16 # 139 resolve with 129 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.1 P30 L13 # 154 Comment Status D Comment Type Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent It is not clear why text from 10.8.3 is included with no subclause header nor any changes. Comment Type TR Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy Text needs to pick up 802.3bt clause Remove the paragraph. A similar error occurs with text from 10.8.3 (p. 28, l. 16) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add reference to bt clause. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Draft 2.0 incorrectly has the subclause listed as "10.8.1" when in fact the reference to 60950 is in "10.8.3". The "change" is to add the note pointing readers to Annex J. Modify sentence from: "A MAU with a MDI that is a PI (see 33.1.3) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in TFTD 33.4.1." Resolution dependent on outcome of 28, ... To: SC 12.10.1 C/ 12 P29 L12 # 51 "A MAU with a MDI that is a PI (see 33.1.3) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in 33.4.1 or 145.4.1." Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company ΕZ C/ 14 SC 14.7 P30 **L30** # 155 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status D F7 Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 12.10.1:" with, "Change text in 12.10.1 as follows:" Extra period in front of E in Environmental. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remove Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P31 **L1** # 52 Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.1 P34 L15 # 132 The Siemon Company Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Maguire, Valerie ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status X "item" should be "Items" (plural and capitalized), "IR1a" should become "IR1" in table now, I Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change Item IR1a and remove item IR1b and IR2 in the table in 14.10.4.5.11 as Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. follows:" with "Change Item IR1a and remove Items IR1b and IR2 in the table in 14.10.4.5.11 as Proposed Response Response Status W follows:": Replace "IR1a" with "IR1" in the first row of the table (show change marks). **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W resolve with 129 C/ 15 SC 15 P33 L9 # 31 Cl 23 SC 23.9.1 P34 L36 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Ran, Adee Intel Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type E F7 The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. Editorial instruction should include removing the row for item IR2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 23.9.1:" with, "Change text in 23.9.1 as Change instruction to "Change row IR1 and delete row IR2 in the table, as follows follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 23 SC 23.9.1 P34 L38 Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.1 P34 L13 # 46 # 133 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ TR Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 23.5.1.1:" with, "Change text in 23.5.1.1 Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. as follows:" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W **TFTD** PROPOSED ACCEPT. resolve with 129

Cl 25 SC 25.4.6 P35 L28 # 156 CI 27 SC 27.5.1 P37 L12 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Line 28 is probably not true as TP-PMD is not being maintained (ask Grow). The permitted editing instructions are change, delete, insert, and replace (see line 22 of page 23). Change marks are not shown with the insert instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove this line of text. Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" with, "Insert the following NOTE Proposed Response Response Status W at the beginning of 27.5.1:" and delete underline from added text. **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This may be better resolved with a maintenance request as although it is in the same subclause as the requirement which has been moved to Annex J, it is outside of the changes being made Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" with, "Change the following by 802.3cr NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" and keep the underline on the text to be consistent with additional comments from this commenter. Cl 25 SC 25.6.4.2 P36 L10 # 34 Ran, Adee Intel CI 27 SC 27.5.1. P37 L12 Comment Status D Comment Type Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Editorial instruction has incorrect subclause number. Comment Type Comment Status X TR Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen I think the subclause number is not required, as the instruction is within this subclause. as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy correct the subclause number, or remove it. Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Changes editing instruction from:

"Change Table in 23.9.4.16 as shown:"

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

to:

Proposed Response

"Change Table as shown:"

Proposed Response

TFTD

resolve with 129

C/ 32 SC 32.6.1.1 P38 L13

Response Status W

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type Comment Status D

The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 32.6.1.1:" with, "Change text in 32.6.1.1 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EΖ

48

134

F7

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.1 P38 L15 # 135 C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L36 # 56 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1. The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change text in 33.7.1 and insert footnote as follows:" with, "Change text in 33.7.1 to Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. add footnote as follows:" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W **TFTD** PROPOSED ACCEPT. resolve with 129 C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L38 # 25 Zimmerman. CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Cl 32 SC 32.10.1 P38 L35 # 54 Comment Type Comment Status X The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie This comment applies to all instances of the phrase "All equipment subject to this clause shall Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ conform to...". When IEEE Std 802.3 was first introduced, it was clear that its interfaces The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. related to IT equipment. Today IEEE Std 802.3 interfaces are found on a wide variety of equipment. This standard, in no way can require that the equipment as a whole, which might be SuggestedRemedy a piece of HVAC equipment, an automobile, or an automated welder producing high voltages Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 32.10.1:" with, "Change text in 32.10.1 and currents. The draft fixes the phrase before this point. EVERY clause from 33 to 149 has as follows:" this phrase. We must find a way to contain the scope of compliance to the 802.3 document to just the networking interface. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Globally change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to" to "The network Cl 32 SC 32.10.1 P38 L37 # 136 interface portion of equipment specified by this clause, including any power supplied or received over the network interface, shall conform to" Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Change clause 147.10.1 from "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform" to Comment Type Comment Status X TR "The network interface portion of equipment specified by this clause, including any power Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen supplied or received over the network interface, shall conform", and add edit to insert after as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. 147.12.4.9 in Clause 147 PICS, subclause 147.12.4.9a and associated PICS table, reading: 147.12.4.9a General safety SuggestedRemedy PICS Item | Feature |Subclause|Description Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Status | Support | Equipment network interface safetyl 147.10.1 | Complies with Annex JI M ES1 Proposed Response Response Status W Yes[] TFTD

Proposed Response

TFTD

resolve with 129

Response Status W

ΕZ

CI 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L40 # 35

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The new text and feetness are confusing: How should the reader decide which of those

The new text and footnote are confusing: How should the reader decide which of these documents is applicable?

I don't understand what "being deprecated to be superseded" means. Is it work in progress?

If IEC 60950-1 is considered deprecated and the other supersedes it, it should be stated that "as of <date>, new implementations shall comply with IEC 62368-1:2018 instead of IEC 60950-1" or similar dated reference. Alternatively, state that IEC 62368-1:2018 is normative and add a note that prior to <date>, this clause was using IEC 60950-1.

If IEC 60950-1 is still in place (not deprecated) then the text should clarify in which cases either of these documents is applicable (this is outside of my expertise).

This footnote was also copied into PICS item ES2, it should not be included there, as it refers to the subclause.

Applies also to clauses 104, 145 (twice), 146.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the text in all clauses per the comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Change the footnote from:

"IEC $\tilde{6}0950$ -1 is being deprecated to be superseded by IEC 62368-1:2018" to:

"1IEC 60950-1 is being deprecated and superseded by IEC 62368-1:2018"

The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote.

SuggestedRemedy

Current Text:

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in Annex J or IEC 60950-1. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with

IEC 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018, as applicable."

Proposed Text:

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in Annex J. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018."

Proposed Response Response Status W
TFTD

Cl 33 SC 33.8.3.4 P40 L10 # 36

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Editorial instruction should include removing the rows for items EL2, EL3, EL4

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 33 SC 33.8.3.4 P40 L10 # <u>57</u>

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". Typo in "unchanged row" clarification (should be "not shown" instead of "not changed"). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change Table in 33.8.3.4 as shown (unchanged rows not changed):" with, "Change the table in 33.8.3.4 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ **33** SC **33.8.3.4** Page 11 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

EΖ

C/ 33 SC 33.8.3.9 P40 L37 # 58 C/ 38 SC 38.7.1 P41 L10 # 59 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie ΕZ ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use Missing space. Text seems a size too large. "as follows" instead of "as shown". Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row SuggestedRemedy containing ellipses (...). Verify that text is formatted as "Editing Instruction". Replace, "38.7.1as" with "38.7.1 as". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change Table in 33.8.3.9 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change the table in 33.8.3.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Unchanged rows should be PROPOSED ACCEPT. represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). CI 38 SC 38.12.4 P42 L7 # 60 Proposed Response Response Status W Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company PROPOSED ACCEPT. F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D C/ 33 P40 L44 # 112 SC 33.8.39 Text seems a size too large. Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR Verify that header text is formatted as "H3.1.1.1". The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet the table still contains references. Proposed Response Response Status W Propose to remove those references all together. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Current Text: C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P**42** L13 # 61 "Conforms to IEC 60950-1:2001 or Annex J" The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Proposed Text: "Conforms to Annex J". Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Ε Proposed Response Text seems a size too large. Response Status W **TFTD** SuggestedRemedy Verify that header text is formatted as "H4.1.1.1.1". Cl 33 SC 33.8.39 P40 L48 # 113 Proposed Response Response Status W Xilinx Nicholl, Shawn PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet the table still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote.

"In accordance with IEC 60950-1:2001 or Annex Q of IEC 62368- 1:2018, as applicable"

Response Status W

"In accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368- 1:2018".

SuggestedRemedy
Current Text:

Proposed Text:

Proposed Response

TFTD

C/ 38

SC 38.12.4.1

C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P**42** L15 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as are shown". Text seems a size too large. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy Verify that text is formatted as "Editing Instruction". Replace, "Change Table in 38.12.4.1 as shown (unchanged rows are not shown):" with. "Change the table in 38.12.4.1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 40 P44 SC 40.12.7 L6 # 63 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D "Item" should be capitalized. Editing instruction could be written more clearly - I followed style of the renumbering instruction in P802.3ch (45.5.3.7). Unchanged rows should be represented by

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PME3, PME4, and PME5 in Table in 40.12.7 as follows, and renumber subsequent PME PICS items (unchanged, but renumbered, PICS items after PME5 not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item PME1 and delete Items PME3, PME4, and PME5 (renumber subsequent rows appropriately) in the table in 40.12.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

one merged row containing ellipses (...).

To align with recent IEEE editorial guidelines replace.

"Change PICS item PME1 and delete PME3, PME4, and PME5 in Table in 40.12,7 as follows. and renumber subsequent PME PICS items (unchanged, but renumbered, PICS items after PME5 not shown):"

"Change table in 40.12.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

C/ 40 SC 40.12.10 P45 L3 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change Table in 40.12.10 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change the table in 40.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 41 SC 41.4.1 P46 L9 Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 41.4.1:" with, "Change text in 41.4.1 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 41 SC 41.4.1 P46 L12 # 137 RMG Consulting

Grow, Robert

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

Resolve with 129

ΕZ

 CI 52
 SC 52.15.3.11
 P48
 L11
 # 66

 Maguire, Valerie
 The Siemon Company

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 D
 EZ

"Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 52.15.3.11 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 52.15.3.11 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.5 P50 L7 # 67

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item OM43 in Table in 53.15.4.5 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item OM43 in the table in 53.15.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 55 SC 55.5.1 P51 L11 # 140

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Incorrect base text. It should be from IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018

SuggestedRemedy

Include the new first paragraph (inserted by 802.3bt), strike out the new paragraph, and correct the base text at line 10 to be that of 802.3bt.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.9.1 P51 L28 # 68

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing editing instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert, "Change text in 55.9.1 as follows:" after clause header.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.12.6 P52 L7 # 69

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Item should be capitalized. Table clause reference missing. Editing instruction could be written more clearly - I followed style of the renumbering instruction in P802.3ch (45.5.3.7). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PICS item PME2, renumbering subsequent rows (unchanged, but renumbered PICS items after PME2 not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item PME1 and delete Item PME2 (renumber subsequent rows appropriately) in the table in 55.12.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

To align with recent IEEE editorial guidelines replace,

"Change PICS item PME1 and delete PICS item PME2, renumbering subsequent rows (unchanged, but renumbered PICS items after PME2 not shown):" with

"Change table in 55.12.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

EΖ

Cl 55 SC 55.12.9 P**52** L23 # 70 C/ 60 SC 60.10.1 P56 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Missing space in editorial instruction before "as" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented SuggestedRemedy by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Add space SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 55.12.9 as shown (unchanged rows not shown);" with, "Change PICS Item ENV1 in the table in 55.12.9 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). C/ 60 SC 60.12.4.12 P56 Proposed Response Response Status W Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Cl 55 P53 # 71 SC 55.12.9 L26 Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 60.12.4.12 as follows (unchanged rows not by one merged row containing ellipses (...). shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 60.12.4.12 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 58.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 58.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows PROPOSED ACCEPT. not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). CI 70 SC 70.9.1 P57 Proposed Response Response Status W Healey, Adam Broadcom PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status X Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P55 L10 # 72 The original text referred to "applicable sections" of IEC 60950-1 but J.2 is more absolute. It reads "All equipment meeting this standard shall conform to IEC 62368-1:2018." Is it clear that Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company the entirety of IEC 62368-1:2018 is applicable or should "applicable" language be added to J.2 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 as well? Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" SuggestedRemedy should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). This is admittedly not my area of expertise and I am only reacting to the difference between what existed prior to this draft amendment and proposed product of the draft amendement. SuggestedRemedv Should the content of J.2 be changed to: "All equipment meeting this standard shall conform to applicable sections of IEC 62368-1:2018."?

Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 59.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 59.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD**

Cl 70

SC 70.9.1

L8

L23

L11

128

Page 15 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

F7

F7

C/ 70 SC 70.9.1 P57 L11 # 127 C/ 71 SC 71.10.4.6 P59 L10 Healey, Adam Broadcom The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The proposed change is to state that the equipment "...shall conform to the general safety Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" requirements as specified in Annex J." Since J.2 pertains to "General safety", does this imply should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented that the requirements in J.1 do not apply? If so, wouldn't be clearer to refer directly to J.2? by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If a reference only to "J2. General safety" was intended, change the sentence to the following: Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 71.10.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not "All equipment that meets the requirements of this standard shall conform to the general safety shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 71.10.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows requirements as specified in J.2." Update PICS item ES1 accordingly. Similar consideration not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). would apply to many of the other clauses and annexes in this draft. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. CI 72 P60 SC 72.9.1 L9 # 76 **TFTD** Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ resolve with comment 30 Missing space. Cl 70 SC 70.10.4.5 P57 L 24 # 74 SugaestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Replace, "72.9.1as" with "72.9.1 as". Comment Status D Comment Type Ε EΖ Proposed Response Response Status W Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented PROPOSED ACCEPT. by one merged row containing ellipses (...). CI 72 SC 72.10.4.7 P61 L10 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 70.10.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 70.10.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Е not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing Proposed Response Response Status W ellipses (...). PROPOSED ACCEPT. SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 72.10.4.7 as follows (unchanged rows not

shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 72.10.4.7 as follows (unchanged rows

not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 75 SC 75.10.4.19 P63 L10 # 78 C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.5 P65 L10 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented ellipses (...). by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 75.10.4.19 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 84.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 75.10.4.19 as follows (unchanged rows shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 84.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). not shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P110 C/ 86 P67 L11 C/ 83A SC 83A.7.7 L10 # 107 SC 86.11.4.5 # 80 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for should be capitalized. Items SES2 and SES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be consistency. represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change Table in 83A.7.7 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in Replace, "Change PICS item SES1 in Table in 86.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not 83A.7.7 as follows:" shown):" with. "Change PICS Item SES1 in the table in 86.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for SES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Proposed Response Response Status W Delete row SES3. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 83B SC 83B.4.6 P112 L9 PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 108 Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company P114 C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4.4 L12 # 109 Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for consistency. Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for SugaestedRemedy consistency. Replace, "Change Table in 83B.4.6 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in SuggestedRemedy 83B.4.6 as follows:" Replace, "Change Table in 86A.8.4.4 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item SES1 in the table in Proposed Response Response Status W 86A.8.4.4 as follows:" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Current editorial guidance is to not be specific in editing instructions.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **86A** SC **86A.8.4.4** Page 17 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4.4 P114 L20 # 110 C/ 89 SC 89.11.4.5 P73 L10 # 83 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D There is a typo in the PICS numbering in the source 802.3-2018 Standard. Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should SuggestedRemedy be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Replace, "SES3" with, "SES2" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown);" with, "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as Cl 87 SC 87.13.4.6 P69 L11 # 81 content. Delete row XLES3. Proposed Response Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Response Status W Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type F7 Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Cl 89 SC 89.11.4.5 P73 L10 # 84 should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε F7 Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" shown):" with, "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete row XLES3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown):" with, "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as Cl 88 SC 88.12.4.6 P**71** L11 # 82 content. Delete row XLES3. Proposed Response Response Status W Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" C/ 93 SC 93.11.4.5 P75 L10 # 85 should be capitalized. Items CES2 and CES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 88.12.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" shown):" with, "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 88.12.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented not shown):". Replace row for CES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Delete row CES3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 93.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown);" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 93.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 93

SC 93.11.4.5

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 18 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

C/ 94 SC 94.6.4.6 P**77** L10 # 86 C/ 96 SC 96.9.1 P80 L11 The Siemon Company Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these by one merged row containing ellipses (...). voltages. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 94.6.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform shown);" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 94.6.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. ES1 on P81 L15. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 95 C/ 96 P81 SC 95.12.4.5 P79 L10 SC 96.11.4.9 L10 # 88 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing should be capitalized. Items CES2 and CES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 95.12.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 96.11.4.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 95.12.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 96.11.4.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for CES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Delete row CES3. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC 97.9.1 P82 L11 # 19 Cl 96 SC 96.9.1 P80 L11 # 18 Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1. Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all applicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all apllicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any related PICS. related PICS. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 97 SC 97.9.1 P**82** L11 # 14 C/ 100 SC 100.7.3.3 P85 L10 # 90 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these voltages. ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 100.7.3.3 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 100.7.3.3 as follows (unchanged rows ES1 on P83 L13. not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 97 P83 C/ 104 P86 L8 SC 97.11.13 L8 # 89 SC 104.8.1 # 91 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing SuggestedRemedy ellipses (...). Replace, "Modify first paragraph in 104.8.1 as follows:" with, "Change the first paragraph in SuggestedRemedy 104.8.1 as follows:" Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 97.11.13 as follows (unchanged rows not Proposed Response Response Status W shown);" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 97.11.13 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 104 P86 SC 104.8.1 L10 # 16 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Cl 99 SC P**7** L3 # 1 Comment Type TR Comment Status X The first sentence says equipment shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. But the Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Picks for this shall states that you are compliant to Annex J. Comment Type ER Comment Status D F7 SuggestedRemedy Change P802.3xx to P802.3cr Either change "60950-1 or IEC 62368-1" to "tthe general saftety requirements as specified in SugaestedRemedy Annex J" or update PICS from "Annex J" to "60950-1 and 62368-1" Change P802.3xx to P802.3cr on pages 7 and 9 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD** PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 104 SC 104.8.1 P86 L10 # 114 C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.8 P87 L18 # 115 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Type Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet this clause still contains references. The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet the table still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. Propose to remove those references all together. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Current Text: Current Text: "To be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC 60950-1 or Annex Q of "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC IEC 62368-1:2018" 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." Proposed Text: Proposed Text: "To be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018" "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1. In particular, the PSE shall Proposed Response Response Status W be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD** C/ 112 SC 112.11.4.5 P89 **L7** # 93 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.8 P87 L10 # 92 Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Ε Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Comment Status D F7 Comment Type should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown, "item" should be "Items" ellipses (...). (plural and capitalized). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing SuggestedRemedy ellipses (...). Should include table clause reference for consistency. Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 112.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not SuggestedRemedy shown):" with, "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 112.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows Replace, "Modify PICS item ENV1 and ENV2 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). "Change PICS Items ENV1 and ENV2 in the table in 104.9.4.8 as follows (unchanged rows not Proposed Response Response Status W shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.12.6 P91 L7 # 94 C/ 122 SC 122.10.4.8 P93 L10 # 97 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Items" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). ellipses (...). Should include table clause reference for consistency. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 113.12.6 as follows (unchanged Replace, "Change PICS entry ES1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME11 and PME2 in the table in 113.12.6 as PICS Item ES1 in the table in 122.10.48 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 113.12.6 as follows (unchanged P94 L11 C/ 126 SC 126.5.1 # 141 rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME1 and PME2 in the table in 113.12.6 as Grow. Robert RMG Consulting follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Comment Type ER Comment Status D C/ 113 SC 113.12.10 P91 L22 # 95 Incorrect base text. It should be from IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Include the new first paragraph (inserted by 802.3bt), strike out the new paragraph, and correct Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" the base text at line 10 to be that of 802.3bt. should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing Proposed Response Response Status W ellipses (...). PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 113.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows not C/ 126 P95 SC 126.12.5 **L8** # 98 shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ENV1 in the table in 113.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Proposed Response Response Status W Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Items" PROPOSED ACCEPT. should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). C/ 122 SC 122.9.1 P**92** L9 # 96 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 126.12.5 as follows (unchanged Comment Status D F7 Comment Type rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME11 and PME2 in the table in 126.12.5 as The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace, "Modify 122.9.1 as follows:" with, "Change 122.9.1 as follows:"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Page 22 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

C/ 126

SC 126.12.5

C/ 126 SC 126.12.9 P95 L23 # 99 C/ 128 SC 128.9.1 P96 L9 # 38 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type TR Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" What are the "applicable sections" of annex J? there are only two. should be capitalized. Items ENV2, ENV3, and ENV4 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). I believe that the isolation requirements of J.1 are irrelevant for clause 128 (it is not a base-T PHY and should be similar to other KX PHYs), especially not in the "general safety" subclause. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 126.12.9 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item Also applies to 130.9.1. which also has this in this PICS. ENV1 in the table in 126.12.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for ENV2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete rows ENV3 and ENV4. (This change should be within the scope of this maintenance project) Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "conform to applicable sections (including isolation requirements) of Annex J" to "conform to the general safety requirements in J.2" in P96 C/ 128 SC 128.9.1 L8 # 100 both clauses. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Change PICS in 130.10.4.6 similarly. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Proposed Response Response Status W Missing editing instruction. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy **TFTD** Insert, "Change text in 128.9.1 as follows:" after clause header. Proposed Response Response Status W resolve with comment 30, 127 PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.5 P97 L10 # 101 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Should include table clause reference for consistency. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 128.10.4.5 as follows:"

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 130 SC 130.9.1 P98 L9 # 102 C/ 141 SC 141 P100 **L1** # 147 The Siemon Company Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Maguire, Valerie ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. P802.3ca, is in SA ballot, should be approved prior to P802.3cr, and it has references to 60950-SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Modify 130.9.1 as follows:" with, "Change 130.9.1 as follows:" Include clause 141 with edits to: Proposed Response Response Status W 141.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 141.10.4.4. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 130 SC 130.10.4.6 P99 L10 # 103 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company C/ 145 SC 145 P100 **L1** # 39 Comment Status D Comment Type F7 Ran. Adee Intel Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Comment Type Comment Status D should be referenced and capitalized. Should include table clause reference for consistency. Editorial instruction is obsolete (it says "Insert new clause 145", but clause 145 is not new any SuggestedRemedy more. The other clauses mentioned are in use). Replace, "Change PICs entry ES1 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in SuggestedRemedy 130.10.4.6 as follows:" Delete the editorial instruction. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 138 SC 138 P100 **L1** # 143 Duplicate of comment 4 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting C/ 145 SC 145 P100 / 1 Comment Type TR Comment Status D IEEE Std 802.3cd, published Amendment 3 has references to 60950-1. Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ SuggestedRemedy The editing instruction "Insert new Clause 145 after Clause 130 (Clause 131 to Clause 144 are Include clauses 138, 139, 140 and edit 60950-1 references found IEEE Std 802.3cd (edits reserved for future amendments):" does not belong here (it is copied from 802.3bt.) consistent with similar text in other clauses): 138.9.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 138.11.4.5 SuggestedRemedy 139.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 139.11.4.6 Remove editing instruction. 140.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 140.11.4.5 Proposed Response Response Status W Include a similar invite for any other corrections appropriate for IEEE Std 802.3cn as was done PROPOSED ACCEPT.

for 802.3cg.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve with Comment 2

C/ 145 SC 145 P100 **L1** # 142 C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 P100 L20 # 40 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Ran, Adee Intel ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type ER Comment Status D The confusing editorial instruction in IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 doesn't belong in this document The words "conform to" are new, but are not marked as such. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the editorial instruction Underline these words. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. **Duplicate of Comment 4** C/ 145 SC 145.6.1 P86 L**7** # 17 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 P100 L10 # 104 Comment Status X Comment Type TR Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company The first sentence says equipment shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. But the Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Picks for this shall states that you are compliant to Annex J. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either change "60950-1 or IEC 62368-1" to "tthe general saftety requirements as specified in Replace, "Modify 145.4.1 as follows:" with, "Change 145.4.1 as follows:" Annex J" or revert the PICS to it's original contents Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 145 SC 145.6.1 P101 L5 C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 P100 L16 # 116 # 105 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Modify 145.6.1 as follows:" with, "Change 145.6.1 as follows:" Current Text: Proposed Response Response Status W "Accessible external conductors are specified in Section 6.2.1 b) of IEC 60950-1:2001 and PROPOSED ACCEPT. Section 5.4.10.1 b) of IEC 62368-1:2018." Proposed Text: "Accessible external conductors are specified in Section 5.4.10.1 b) of IEC 62368-1:2018."

Proposed Response

TFTD

C/ 145 SC 145.6.1 P101 L7 # 117 C/ 145 SC 145.7.3.7 P102 L13 # 41 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status X PAR Comment Type TR Comment Status X The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet this clause still contains references. The PICS item change does not correspond to the subclause referenced - the text does not Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Current Text: Either change the PICS item or change the text in 145.6.1. "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. In Proposed Response Response Status W particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC **TFTD** 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." Proposed Text: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1. In particular, the PSE shall Change text in the clause seems appropriate. Resolve with the Comments on how to specify legacy equipment. be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 146 SC 146 P103 L13 # 144 **TFTD** Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D Ε C/ 145 SC 145.7.3.7 P102 **L9** # 106 Status can be updated. P802.3cg/D3.4 is an approved draft (Amendment 5) pending Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company publication. Citations of IEC 60950-1 appear to be acceptable because it is in a list as an "or"... Comment Status D F7 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Update Editor's note to indicate correct status of the approved draft (or if published before the should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing next P802.3cr draft to indicate that status). No change to P802.3cg draft text is proposed by ellipses (...). this comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 145.7.3.7 as follows (unchanged rows are not PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 145.7.3.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Resolved by Comment 23 Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 P103 L9 # 23 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Zimmerman. CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Comment Type TR Comment Status D Align clause 146 with the references to Annex J, but keep process control specifications as well. Also, this is a requirement and the corresponding PICS item (ES1 in 146.11.4.6 isn't in the draft). SuggestedRemedy Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-11, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1. " to "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the Annex J or IEC 61010-1, as appropriate." also add 146.11.4.6 to the draft and change the feature in PICS ES1 to the wording above Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 Page 26 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 P103 L9 # 118 C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P104 L9 # 9 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Т Comment Status D The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet this clause still contains references. IEC 60950-1 is getting depreciated at Dec 20th, 2020, and thus should be removed from Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. Clause 146.9.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Current Text: Change text from: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." to: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." 1 or IEC 61010-1." and remove footnote 1. Proposed Text: Proposed Response Response Status W "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1." PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W TFTD Resolved by Comment 24 C/ 147 P104 SC 147.10.1 L9 # 24 C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 P103 **L9** # 8 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Zimmerman. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D TR Comment Status D Т Align clause 147 with the references to Annex J IEC 60950-1 is getting depreciated at Dec 20th, 2020, and thus should be removed from Clause 146.9.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." to "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to the Change text from: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC general safety requirements in Annex J.2, or IEC 61010-1, as appropriate." 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." to: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1." and remove footnote 1. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P104 L9 # 119 **TFTD** Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Ε Resolved with Comment 23 The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. SuggestedRemedy Current Text: "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." Proposed Text: "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1."

Proposed Response

TFTD

C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P104 L9 # 26 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Should there be a footnote to "IEC 60950-1" indicating that it is being superceeded by IEC 62368-1? I see this in the other clauses... SuggestedRemedy Add footnote to "IEC 60950-1" with the following text: "IEC 60950-1 is being deprecated and superseeded with IEC 62368-1." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by 24 C/ 149 SC 149 P105 L21 # 146 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Status in the Editor's note can be updated. P802.3ch/D3.0 is the subject of an initial Standards Association ballot Citations of IEC 60950-1 appear to be acceptable because it is in a parenthetical "or".

Update Editor's note to indicate correct status as in SA ballot. No change to P802.3ch draft text

is proposed by this comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 149 SC 149.9.1 P105 L12 # 15

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these voltages.

The proposed change here is the same as a comment I made on P802.3ch D3.0. The comments also delete the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS ES1, ES2, and ES3.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Resolved by Comment 20

TFTD

Cl 149 SC 149.9.1 P105 L12 # 20

Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all apllicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any related PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TFTD

C/ 149 SC 149.9.1 P105 L13 # 120 CIJSC J P107 L8 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Comment Status D The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet this clause still contains references. The editing instruction "Insert Annex J at the end of the lettered Annexes." I believe needs to Propose to remove those references all together. come before the title of the Annex (first line). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Current Text: Move editing instruction to line 1. "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 (or IEC 60950-1)" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Text: PROPOSED ACCEPT. "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1" Proposed Response Response Status W CIJSC J.1 P107 L13 **TFTD** Yseboodt, Lennart Signify SC 149.11..4.7 Comment Type E Comment Status D C/ 149 P106 L16 # 121 The first text line of Annex J is: Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx "This electrical isolation shall withstand at least one of the following electrical strength Comment Type Comment Status X PAR tests:" The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet the table still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Given that Annex J is a standalone Annex, 'this' doesn't refer to anything. In the Clauses linking to this Annex, there is usually a bit of introductory text defining between which SuggestedRemedy conductors the electrical isolation requirement applies. Current Text: SuggestedRemedy "Conform to IEC 62368-1 (or IEC 60950-1)" The simplest fix would be to make it: Proposed Text: "The electrical isolation shall ...", however that still leaves a bit of a disconnect. "Conform to IEC 62368-1" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. **TFTD TFTD** C/ 150 SC 150 P107 L1 # 145 CIJSC J.1 P107 L18 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D ΕZ P802.3cm/D3.1 (Amendment 7) has completed SA ballot. It includes references to IEC 60950-The Greek letter mu (or micro symbol) should be used as the prefix. (3 times) 1. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Include clause 150 and edit 60950 references in 150.9.1 and corresponding 150.11.4.5 PICS Change "us" (with Latin u) to "<greek mu>s" 3 times. item ES1. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Resolved by Comment 2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ J SC J.1 Page 29 of 30 1/14/2020 2:06:48 AM

 CI J
 SC J.3
 P108
 L1
 # 126

 Healey, Adam
 Broadcom

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 D

The protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for this annex appears to be redundant. Each clause that refers to this annex includes an item in its PICS that may be used to indicate conformance. Referring to other normative annexes in IEEE 802.3, it appears that PICS are only included when there is no clause that references the annex and provides the PICS item. E.g., 31.8.3.4 includes an item corresponding to normative statement(s) in Annex 31A and Annex 31A has no PICS. At the same time, normative Annexes 31B through 31D are not addressed by PICS in Clause 31 and each annex has its own PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove J.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TFTD

C/ J SC J.3.1 P108 L8 # 43

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The value/comment seems to describe the test, not the requirement from the equipment.

Also, the subclause is already referenced.

SuggestedRemedy

Change value/comment from "One of three electrical strength tests listed in Annex J.1" to

"Withstand at least one of the electrical tests listed".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve after Comment 126 which would delete this text

TFTD

CI J SC J.3.2 P108 L20 # 44

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Font size too large for "IEC 62368-1:2018"

SuggestedRemedy

Set to 9 points (as in surrounding text)

Proposed Response Status **W** PROPOSED ACCEPT.