CI FM SC P L # 7

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The frontpage states: "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Task Force review.". This should be "Working Group ballot" and specifically "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group ballot recirculation"

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the relevant sentence to "Draft D2.1 is prepared for Working Group ballot recirculation"

Proposed Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Duplicate of 150

Cl **00** SC **0** P**0** L**0** # 45

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This amendment replaces the reference for isolation and safety requirements across the whole standard.

If the new requirements in either of these areas are different from the old ones in any way, deployed equipment may become incompliant. Also, people may assume that deployed equipment is compliant when in fact it is not.

Even if there is no change, the change in the standard can be seen as a risk to vendors and users and cause unnecessary hassle.

Changing specs in mainenance without telling the reader about the change is like rewriting not just the law but also the history books.

I don't have the expertise to tell how this change should affect the market, but at least we should not be silent about it.

SugaestedRemedy

A possible remedy is to add a note in annex J stating that this annex is effective starting from <date> and list the references that previous revisions of this standard used.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Compliance would initially be with IEEE Std 802.3-2018 as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cr-202x. Later editions it would be with 802.3-202x (once .3cr is folded into a revision). Standards are dated and living documents – it is why we put dates in our references. Older products are compliant with 802.3-2018, or whatever version there was, and not with future versions, so the conflict the commenter suggests does not occur.

TFTD

CI 00 SC 0 P0 L0 # 30

Ran, Adee Intel

TR

References to Annex J are made in multiple clauses that have only genera safety requirements. Since Annex J include J.1 for isolation, it may be interpreted as if all clauses need to meet the isolation requirements, which are irrelevant in most clauses.

References should be to the specific subclause, J.1 or J.2, per case, instead of to annex J as a while.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace references to Annex J in "Electrical Isolation" subclauses with references to J.1.

Replace references to Annex J in "General Safety" subclauses with references to J.2.

Comment Status D

Check the remaining references to Annex J and replace them to specific subclause if necessary.

Apply in all clauses.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TFTD

resolve with comment 38, 127

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Duplicate of 21, 10

CI 00 SC 0 P0 L0 # 28

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Several clauses modified by this amendment are marked as not being maintained. For example clause 8 includes a note that "Since September 2003, maintenance changes are no longer being considered for this clause". With this statement in place, why are we doing maintenance changes now?

Ideally, we should not change these clauses at all; If anyone reads these clauses, they may think that these changes existed at the time stated as last change. I believe they are obsolete, so this serves no purpose and can only create confusion.

If we want to update these clauses in this project, the simplest thing would be to add another sentence to the initial note that as of (date) annex J supersedes the previous content.

With this statement all other changes in these frozen clauses become unnecessary. Minimizing the number of changes would make this project faster.

Applies to clauses 8, 9, 10, 12, 23, 27, 32, and 41.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all the editorial instructions and content in clauses 8. 9. 10. 12. 23. 27. 32, and 41.

Consider adding the following note after the initial note (which states that usage in new installations is not recommended) in each of these clauses:

NOTE-as of <date>, isolation and safety requirements in annex J supersede the corresponding requirements in this clause. New implementations shall comply with annex J.

(I think this addition is not required, but it would not be harmful).

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve with 129

TFTD

P1 C/ FM SC FM **L1** # 148 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ A friendly reminder that we have entered a new decade. SugaestedRemedy It is typical to change date on line 1, and on page header, but also remember to change copyright year on line 29 and p.2 l.46, and page footer. Also change year on p.8, l.1 from "201x" to "202x". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of 151 C/ FM SC FM P1 L22 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D IEEE802.3cn was approved in 2019. SuggestedRemedy Change "802.3cn-20xx" to "802.3cn-2019", also on P10 L49. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of 21, 11 C/ FM SC FM P1 L22 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 IEEE802.3cg was approved in 2019. SuggestedRemedy Change "802.3cg-20xx" to "802.3cg-2019" also on P11 L1.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **1** Li **22** Page 2 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM

SC FM P1 SC P**7** C/ FM L22 # C/ 99 L3 21 Zimmerman, CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type ER Comment Status D EΖ 802.3cn and 802.3cg are approved as of 2019 Change P802.3xx to P802.3cr SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 802.3cn-20xx and 802.3cg-20xx to 802.3cn-2019 and 802.3cg-2019, respectively (also Change P802.3xx to P802.3cr on pages 7 and 9 at page 10 line 48 and 11 line 1) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P**7** L4 Combined fix for 10, 11 and 21 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify C/ FM SC FM P1 L 25 # 22 Comment Type Comment Status D F7 "The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group at the CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Zimmerman, beginning of the IEEE P802.3xx Working Group ballot." Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy draft is for (initial) working group ballot, not task force review - of course after this change it won't be for initial working group ballot anymore P802.3xx ==> P802.3cr SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "task force review" to "working group ballot recirculation" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W P**8** C/ FM SC FM **L1** # 151 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Duplicate of 150 Comment Type ER EΖ Comment Status D It will never be 201X again. P1 C/ FM SC FM L 25 # 150 SuggestedRemedy Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Change "201X" to "202X" Comment Type Comment Status D ER Proposed Response Response Status W Says this draft is for Task Force Review. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change "Task Force Review" to "Working Group Ballot" Duplicate of 148 Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Duplicate of 7

CI 8 C/ FM SC FM P23 L7 # P24 L13 # 29 SC 8.3.2.1 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type ER Comment Status D According to the SA Editors, the "IMPORTANT NOTICE" is not needed and can be deleted. If this change instruction is not removed as suggested in another coment - then at least it should not introduce the word "must" which is against the style manual instruction. SugaestedRemedy Delete lines 7 through 18. In this case, "shall" should be used, and it can make the added text shorter. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change C/ 00 SC 0 P23 L37 # 149 "The MAU must provide isolation between the AUI cable and the coaxial trunk cable. This isolation shall meet the isolation requirements as specified in Annex J." Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type Comment Status D to The editor's note as written makes no sense. P802.3bj and P802.3bk were completed before ""The MAU shall provide isolation that meets the requirements in J.1 between the AUI cable IEEE Std 802.3-2015 (let alone the current revision), and there is no opportunity for these and the coaxial trunk cable." projects to develop conflicting changes with any of the clauses being modified by P802.3cr. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Either substitute current projects that are modifying some of the same clauses as P802.3cr. or remove the editor's note P**24** CI 8 SC 8.3.2.1 L30 # 152 Proposed Response Response Status W Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Duplicate of comment 27 Caution note implies a single change was made. We are now up to two. # 27 C/ FM SC FM P23 L39 SuggestedRemedy Change text in CAUTION to reflect multiple changes. Ran, Adee Intel Proposed Response Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Response Status W **TFTD** The projects given as exmaples are completed and irrelevant for this draft. SugaestedRemedy There is only one change to the actual requirements, P802.3cr is modifying the location of the delete the parenthesized text or replace the exmaples with ongoing projects. requirement but not the requirement itself. Proposed Response Response Status W CI 8 SC 8.7.1 P24 L40 153 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent These are examples and are not intended to specify actual ongoing project, just that projects Comment Type ER Comment Status D can be run in parallel. TFTD if this should be changed. The term "PI" is not applicable to a clause 8 transceiver. SuggestedRemedy Delete "/PI" from the term. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Page 4 of 30 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 40 1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

ΕZ

CI 8 SC 8.8.6.8 P25 # L 25 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ

Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Instruction could be written more clearly.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change Item 1 and remove item 2 in the table in 8.8.6.8, renumbering remaining PICS as shown:" with, "Change Item 1, remove Item 2, and renumber PICS in the table in 8.8.6.8 as shown:"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace, "Change Item 1 and remove item 2 in the table in 8.8.6.8, renumbering remaining PICS as shown:" with, "Change Item 1, remove Item 2 as shown:" (removed the renumbering of PICS which current IEEE editors have requested for ammendments)

CI 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L10 # 49 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type Comment Status D

The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 9.9.3.1:" with, "Change text in 9.9.3.1 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 9 P27 SC 9.9.3.1 L12 # 32

Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The phrase "Safety information may be found in annex J" added here and in other clause is very confusing. Annex J is normative; is the "safety information" informative?

Also, it is not stated that annex J is new: future hypothetical readers may assume this addition is from September 2011 (or other dates in other clauses).

In another comment I suggest removing all changes in "frozen" clauses; If that comment is not accepted, at least this text should be marked with a date.

Applies to multiple clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

If the changes in this clause are maintained, change the quoted sentence to "As of <date>, new implementations shall comply with the safety requirements in J.2".

Apply across the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

TFTD with comment 45

CI 9 P27 SC 9.9.3.1 L14 # 129

RMG Consulting Grow, Robert

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

I recognize the dilemma with depricated clauses, but disagree with what I assume was the decision on how to deal with doing maintenance to a deprecated clause that we indicate "maintenance changes are not longer being considered". Perhaps the standard NOTE should refer to "mIntenance change requests" rather than maintenance changes. The insertion of a pointer to the new Annex J is a change, so as long as a change is being done, do it completely. Inserting the pointer to Annex J and retaining the isolation requirements is confusing and opens the possibility for two different sets of safety specifications.

SuggestedRemedy

Either strike out the text as is done for non-deprecated clauses or change the NOTE to strongly indicate that superceding text is in Annex J (e.g., Safety information that supercedes the safety instructions in this subclause." If accepted, similar changes will need to be made to other deprecated clauses in this draft (separate comments pointing to this one are entered per RevCom conventions).

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

Resolve with 28

Li 14

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

CI 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P**27** L14 # C/ 10 P28 L16 # 139 33 SC 10.8.3 Ran, Adee Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Intel Comment Type т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Why is the text here not replaced with a reference to annex J as in other clauses? These are It is not clear why text from 10.8.3 is included with no subclause header nor any changes. the same requirements. SugaestedRemedy Remove the paragraph. A similar error occurs with text from 10.8.3 (p. 28, l. 16) Note that in another comment I suggest removing all changes in "frozen" clauses such as this one. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the text with a reference to J.1, unless it is removed by another comment. Draft 2.0 incorrectly has the subclause listed as "10.8.1" when in fact the reference to 60950 is Proposed Response Response Status W in "10.8.3". The "change" is to add the note pointing readers to Annex J. PROPOSED REJECT. **TFTD** Clause 9 is no longer being maintained. The note was to inform the reader that safety information for other clauses has been moved to Annex J. Resolution dependent on outcome of 28. ... C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L10 # 138 C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L16 # 130 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status X The subclause title is that of 10.8.3, not 10.8.1. Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change title to "Safety requirements' Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. **TFTD** Change "10.8.1" to "10.8.3" which is where the reference to 60950-1 exists. C/ 12 SC 12.10.1 P29 L12 C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L12 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 12.10.1:" with, "Change text in 12.10.1 as follows:" Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 10.8.1:" with, "Change text in 10.8.1 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 14 C/ 12 SC 12.10.1 P29 # 131 P31 **L1** # 52 L16 SC 14.10.4.5.11 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen "item" should be "Items" (plural and capitalized). "IR1a" should become "IR1" in table now, I as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Replace, "Change Item IR1a and remove item IR1b and IR2 in the table in 14.10.4.5.11 as follows:" with "Change Item IR1a and remove Items IR1b and IR2 in the table in 14.10.4.5.11 as Proposed Response Response Status W follows:": Replace "IR1a" with "IR1" in the first row of the table (show change marks). **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W resolve with 129 SC 14.3.1.1 C/ 14 P30 L13 # 154 C/ 15 SC 15 P33 L9 GraCaSI S.A./Independent Thompson, Geoff Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status D ΕZ F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Text needs to pick up 802.3bt clause Editorial instruction should include removing the row for item IR2 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add reference to bt clause. Change instruction to "Change row IR1 and delete row IR2 in the table, as follows Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Modify sentence from: "A MAU with a MDI that is a PI (see 33.1.3) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.1 P34 L13 # 46 33.4.1." Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Ε To: "A MAU with a MDI that is a PI (see 33.1.3) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. 33.4.1 or 145.4.1." SuggestedRemedy C/ 14 SC 14.7 P30 L30 # 155 Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 23.5.1.1:" with, "Change text in 23.5.1.1 as follows:" Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ PROPOSED ACCEPT. Extra period in front of E in Environmental. SuggestedRemedy Remove Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.1 P34 # Cl 25 P35 # 156 L15 132 SC 25.4.6 L28 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A./Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Line 28 is probably not true as TP-PMD is not being maintained (ask Grow). Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove this line of text. Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD TFTD** This may be better resolved with a maintenance request as although it is in the same subclause resolve with 129 as the requirement which has been moved to Annex J, it is outside of the changes being made bv 802.3cr SC 23.9.1 Cl 23 P34 L36 Cl 25 SC 25.6.4.2 P36 # 34 L10 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. Editorial instruction has incorrect subclause number. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 23.9.1:" with, "Change text in 23.9.1 as I think the subclause number is not required, as the instruction is within this subclause. follows: SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W correct the subclause number, or remove it. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 23 SC 23.9.1 P34 L38 133 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Changes editing instruction from: Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Change Table in 23.9.4.16 as shown:" Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. "Change Table as shown:" SuggestedRemedy CI 27 SC 27.5.1. P37 L12 # 134 Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type TR Comment Status X **TFTD** Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. resolve with 129 SuggestedRemedy Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general G/general C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Pa 37 Page 8 of 30 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Li 12 1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM

resolve with 129

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

CI 27 SC 27.5.1 P37 # C/ 32 P38 # 54 L12 SC 32.10.1 L35 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ The permitted editing instructions are change, delete, insert, and replace (see line 22 of page The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. 23). Change marks are not shown with the insert instruction. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 32.10.1:" with, "Change text in 32.10.1 Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" with, "Insert the following NOTE as follows:" at the beginning of 27.5.1:" and delete underline from added text. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 32 SC 32.10.1 P38 L37 # 136 Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" with, "Change the following Grow, Robert RMG Consulting NOTE at the beginning of 27.5.1:" and keep the underline on the text to be consistent with additional comments from this commenter. Comment Type Comment Status X TR Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen CI 32 # 53 SC 32.6.1.1 P38 L13 as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy **TFTD** Replace, "Add the following NOTE at the beginning of 32.6.1.1:" with, "Change text in 32.6.1.1 as follows:" resolve with 129 Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L36 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.1 P38 L15 # 135 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1. The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety Replace, "Change text in 33.7.1 and insert footnote as follows:" with. "Change text in 33.7.1 to instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer. add footnote as follows:" Proposed Response SugaestedRemedy Response Status W Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

resolve with 129

C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 # L38 25 Zimmerman, CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment applies to all instances of the phrase "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to...". When IEEE Std 802.3 was first introduced, it was clear that its interfaces related to IT equipment. Today IEEE Std 802.3 interfaces are found on a wide variety of equipment. This standard, in no way can require that the equipment as a whole, which might be a piece of HVAC equipment, an automobile, or an automated welder producing high voltages and currents. The draft fixes the phrase before this point. EVERY clause from 33 to 149 has this phrase. We must find a way to contain the scope of compliance to the 802.3 document to iust the networking interface.

SuggestedRemedy

Globally change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to" to "The network interface portion of equipment specified by this clause, including any power supplied or received over the network interface, shall conform to"

Change clause 147.10.1 from "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform" to "The network interface portion of equipment specified by this clause, including any power supplied or received over the network interface, shall conform", and add edit to insert after 147.12.4.9 in Clause 147 PICS, subclause 147.12.4.9a and associated PICS table, reading: 147.12.4.9a General safety

PICS Item | Feature **ISubclauselDescription**

Status | Support

ES1 |Equipment network interface safety| 147.10.1 |Complies with Annex J| M

Yes[]

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L40 # 111

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Ε

Comment Status X

The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Current Text:

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in Annex J or IEC 60950-1. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with

IEC 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018, as applicable."

Proposed Text:

"All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in Annex J. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018."

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

PAR

C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 # C/ 33 P40 # 57 L40 SC 33.8.3.4 L10 The Siemon Company Ran, Adee Intel Maguire, Valerie Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Ε ΕZ "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use The new text and footnote are confusing: How should the reader decide which of these documents is applicable? "as follows" instead of "as shown". Typo in "unchanged row" clarification (should be "not shown" instead of "not changed"). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row I don't understand what "being deprecated to be superseded" means. Is it work in progress? containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy If IEC 60950-1 is considered deprecated and the other supersedes it, it should be stated that Replace, "Change Table in 33.8.3.4 as shown (unchanged rows not changed):" with, "Change "as of <date>, new implementations shall comply with IEC 62368-1:2018 instead of IEC 60950the table in 33.8.3.4 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Unchanged rows should be 1" or similar dated reference. Alternatively, state that IEC 62368-1:2018 is normative and add a represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). note that prior to <date>, this clause was using IEC 60950-1. Proposed Response Response Status W If IEC 60950-1 is still in place (not deprecated) then the text should clarify in which cases either PROPOSED ACCEPT. of these documents is applicable (this is outside of my expertise). C/ 33 SC 33.8.3.9 P40 L37 # 58 This footnote was also copied into PICS item ES2, it should not be included there, as it refers to the subclause. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Applies also to clauses 104, 145 (twice), 146. "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use SuggestedRemedy "as follows" instead of "as shown". Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row Clarify the text in all clauses per the comment. containing ellipses (...). Proposed Response SugaestedRemedy Response Status W Replace, "Change Table in 33.8.3.9 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change the PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. table in 33.8.3.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Change the footnote from: "IEC 60950-1 is being deprecated to be superseded by IEC 62368-1:2018" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. "1IEC 60950-1 is being deprecated and superseded by IEC 62368-1:2018" CI 33 SC 33.8.39 P40 L44 # 112 CI 33 SC 33.8.3.4 P40 L10 # 36 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Intel Ran. Adee Comment Status X Comment Type Ε PAR Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet the table still contains references. Editorial instruction should include removing the rows for items EL2, EL3, EL4 Propose to remove those references all together. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per comment Current Text: Proposed Response Response Status W "Conforms to IEC 60950-1:2001 or Annex J" Proposed Text: PROPOSED ACCEPT. "Conforms to Annex J". Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 40 Page 11 of 30

1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM

P**42** C/ 33 SC 33.8.39 P40 L48 # 113 C/ 38 L13 # 61 SC 38.12.4.1 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet the table still contains references. Text seems a size too large. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Verify that header text is formatted as "H4.1.1.1.1". Current Text: Proposed Response Response Status W "In accordance with IEC 60950-1:2001 or Annex Q of IEC 62368- 1:2018, as applicable" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Text: "In accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368- 1:2018". C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P**42** L15 Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD** Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SC 38.7.1 P**41** CI 38 L10 # 59 "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as are shown". Text seems a size too large. Unchanged rows should be Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Comment Type Comment Status D F7 SuggestedRemedy Missing space. Text seems a size too large. Verify that text is formatted as "Editing Instruction". Replace, "Change Table in 38.12.4.1 as SuggestedRemedy shown (unchanged rows are not shown);" with, "Change the table in 38.12.4.1 as follows Verify that text is formatted as "Editing Instruction". Replace, "38.7.1as" with "38.7.1 as". (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 38 SC 38.12.4 P**42** L7 # 60 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Text seems a size too large. SuggestedRemedy Verify that header text is formatted as "H3.1.1.1".

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

EΖ

F7

SC 40.12.7 C/ 40 P44 **L6** # C/ 41 P46 L9 SC 41.4.1 # 65 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ "Item" should be capitalized. Editing instruction could be written more clearly - I followed style of The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. the renumbering instruction in P802.3ch (45.5.3.7). Unchanged rows should be represented by SugaestedRemedy one merged row containing ellipses (...). Replace, "Add NOTE at the beginning of 41.4.1:" with, "Change text in 41.4.1 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PME3, PME4, and PME5 in Table in 40.12.7 as follows, and renumber subsequent PME PICS items (unchanged, but renumbered, PICS items PROPOSED ACCEPT. after PME5 not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item PME1 and delete Items PME3, PME4, and PME5 (renumber subsequent rows appropriately) in the table in 40.12.7 as follows (unchanged C/ 41 P46 SC 41.4.1 L12 # 137 rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type TR Comment Status X PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed. To align with recent IEEE editorial guidelines replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PME3, PME4, and PME5 in Table in 40.12.7 as follows, SuggestedRemedy and renumber subsequent PME PICS items (unchanged, but renumbered, PICS items after Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1. PME5 not shown):" Proposed Response Response Status W with, "Change table in 40.12.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that **TFTD** contains ellipses (...). Resolve with 129 C/ 40 P45 L3 SC 40.12.10 Cl 52 P48 SC 52.15.3.11 L11 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be SugaestedRemedy represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Replace, "Change Table in 40.12.10 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change SugaestedRemedy the table in 40.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 52.15.3.11 as shown (unchanged rows not Proposed Response Response Status W shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 52.15.3.11 as follows (unchanged rows

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 48 Li 11

not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Page 13 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM C/ 53 P50 L7 # SC 53.15.4.5 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ "Table" used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. Use "as follows" instead of "as shown". "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item OM43 in Table in 53.15.4.5 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item OM43 in the table in 53.15.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 55 SC 55.5.1 P51 L11 # 140 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status D Comment Type ER Incorrect base text. It should be from IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 SuggestedRemedy Include the new first paragraph (inserted by 802.3bt), strike out the new paragraph, and correct the base text at line 10 to be that of 802.3bt. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 55 SC 55.9.1 P**51** L28 # 68 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Missing editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Insert, "Change text in 55.9.1 as follows:" after clause header. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 55 P**52** L7 SC 55.12.6 # 69 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Item should be capitalized. Table clause reference missing. Editing instruction could be written more clearly - I followed style of the renumbering instruction in P802.3ch (45.5.3.7). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PICS item PME2, renumbering subsequent rows (unchanged, but renumbered PICS items after PME2 not shown);" with, "Change PICS Item PME1 and delete Item PME2 (renumber subsequent rows appropriately) in the table in 55.12.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To align with recent IEEE editorial guidelines replace, "Change PICS item PME1 and delete PICS item PME2, renumbering subsequent rows (unchanged, but renumbered PICS items after PME2 not shown):" "Change table in 55.12.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Cl 55 SC 55.12.9 P**52** L23 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type ΕZ Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SugaestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 55.12.9 as shown (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ENV1 in the table in 55.12.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.12.9 P53 # 71 C/ 60 P56 L26 SC 60.12.4.12 L23 # 73 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 58.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 60.12.4.12 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 58.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 60.12.4.12 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P57 Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P55 L10 # 72 CI 70 SC 70.9.1 L11 # 128 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Healey, Adam Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type F7 Т Comment Status X Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" The original text referred to "applicable sections" of IEC 60950-1 but J.2 is more absolute. It should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented reads "All equipment meeting this standard shall conform to IEC 62368-1:2018." Is it clear that by one merged row containing ellipses (...). the entirety of IEC 62368-1:2018 is applicable or should "applicable" language be added to J.2 as well? SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace. "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 59.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 59.10.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows This is admittedly not my area of expertise and I am only reacting to the difference between not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). what existed prior to this draft amendment and proposed product of the draft amendement. Should the content of J.2 be changed to: "All equipment meeting this standard shall conform to Proposed Response Response Status W applicable sections of IEC 62368-1:2018."? PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 60 **TFTD** SC 60.10.1 P56 L8 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Missing space in editorial instruction before "as" SuggestedRemedy

Add space

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 70 SC 70.9.1 P57 C/ 71 P59 L11 # 127 SC 71.10.4.6 L10 # 75 Healey, Adam The Siemon Company Broadcom Maguire, Valerie Comment Type т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ The proposed change is to state that the equipment "...shall conform to the general safety Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" requirements as specified in Annex J." Since J.2 pertains to "General safety", does this imply should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented that the requirements in J.1 do not apply? If so, wouldn't be clearer to refer directly to J.2? by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 71.10.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not If a reference only to "J2. General safety" was intended, change the sentence to the following: "All equipment that meets the requirements of this standard shall conform to the general safety shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 71.10.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows requirements as specified in J.2." Update PICS item ES1 accordingly. Similar consideration not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). would apply to many of the other clauses and annexes in this draft. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. CI 72 SC 72.9.1 P60 L9 # 76 TFTD Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 resolve with comment 30 Missing space. CI 70 SC 70.10.4.5 P57 L24 # 74 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Replace, "72.9.1as" with "72.9.1 as". Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Proposed Response Response Status W Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" PROPOSED ACCEPT. should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). P61 Cl 72 SC 72.10.4.7 L10 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 70.10.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 70.10.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing Proposed Response Response Status W ellipses (...). PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 72.10.4.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown): with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 72.10.4.7 as follows (unchanged rows

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Page 16 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:05 AM

not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...).

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 75 SC 75.10.4.19 P63 # L10 78 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 75.10.4.19 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 75.10.4.19 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 84 SC 84.11.4.5 P65 L10 # 79 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy Replace. "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 84.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 84.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 86 SC 86.11.4.5 P67 / 11 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items SES2 and SES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be

CI 87 P69 SC 87.13.4.6 L11 # 81 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ

Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown);" with. "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete row XLES3.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.6 P71 L11

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items CES2 and CES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SugaestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 88.12.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 88.12.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for CES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete row CES3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Change PICS item SES1 in Table in 86.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item SES1 in the table in 86.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for SES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete row SES3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

ΕZ

C/ 89 P73 # C/ 94 P**77** SC 89.11.4.5 L10 SC 94.6.4.6 L10 # 86 The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 94.6.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown);" with. "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows shown);" with. "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 94.6.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. content. Delete row XLES3. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 95 SC 95.12.4.5 P79 L10 C/ 89 SC 89.11.4.5 P**73** L10 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items CES2 and CES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should be Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items XLES2 and XLES3 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows should represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 95.12.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not shown): with, "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 95.12.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows Replace, "Change PICS item XLES1 in Table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item XLES1 in the table in 87.13.4.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for CES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. not shown):". Replace row for XLES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as Delete row CES3. content. Delete row XLES3. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 96 SC 96.9.1 P80 L11 # 18 C/ 93 P**75** L10 # SC 93.11.4.5 Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1. Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" SuggestedRemedy should be capitalized. Item ES2 is an unchanged row. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all apllicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any SugaestedRemedy related PICS. Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 93.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not Proposed Response Response Status W shown);" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 93.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows PROPOSED ACCEPT. not shown):". Replace row for ES2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page. Line

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

ļ

Pa 80

Li 11

Page 18 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:06 AM Cl 96 SC 96.9.1 P80 CI 97 P**82** L11 # SC 97.9.1 L11 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1. J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these SugaestedRemedy voltages. Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to SuggestedRemedy conform to all applicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform related PICS. to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS Proposed Response Response Status W ES1 on P81 L15. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P83 Cl 97 SC 97.11.13 L8 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie CI 96 SC 96.11.4.9 P81 L10 # Comment Type Comment Status D F7 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Comment Status D Comment Type F7 should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" ellipses (...). should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing SuggestedRemedy ellipses (...). Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 97.11.13 as follows (unchanged rows not SuggestedRemedy shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 97.11.13 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace. "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 96.11.4.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 96.11.4.9 as follows (unchanged rows Proposed Response Response Status W not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.7.3.3 P85 L10 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company # 14 Cl 97 SC 97.9.1 P**82** / 11 Comment Type EΖ Comment Status D Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Comment Type T Comment Status D should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in ellipses (...). J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these SuggestedRemedy voltages. Replace. "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 100.7.3.3 as follows (unchanged rows not SuggestedRemedy shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 100.7.3.3 as follows (unchanged rows Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS Proposed Response Response Status W ES1 on P83 L13.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 145 SC 145.6.1 P86 # 17 C/ 104 P86 L7 SC 104.8.1 L10 # 16 Slavick, Jeff Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The first sentence says equipment shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. But the The first sentence says equipment shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. But the Picks for this shall states that you are compliant to Annex J. Picks for this shall states that you are compliant to Annex J. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either change "60950-1 or IEC 62368-1" to "tthe general saftety requirements as specified in Either change "60950-1 or IEC 62368-1" to "tthe general saftety requirements as specified in Annex J" or revert the PICS to it's original contents Annex J" or update PICS from "Annex J" to "60950-1 and 62368-1" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W **TFTD** SC 104.8.1 P86 L8 C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.8 P87 L10 C/ 104 # Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. "item" should be "Items" (plural and capitalized). Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing SuggestedRemedy ellipses (...). Should include table clause reference for consistency. Replace, "Modify first paragraph in 104.8.1 as follows:" with, "Change the first paragraph in SuggestedRemedy 104.8.1 as follows:" Replace, "Modify PICS item ENV1 and ENV2 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, Proposed Response Response Status W "Change PICS Items ENV1 and ENV2 in the table in 104.9.4.8 as follows (unchanged rows not PROPOSED ACCEPT. shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W # 114 C/ 104 SC 104.8.1 P86 L10 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.8 P87 L18 # 115 The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR SuggestedRemedy The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet the table still contains references. Current Text: Propose to remove those references all together. "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. In SuggestedRemedy particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." Current Text: "To be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC 60950-1 or Annex Q of Proposed Text: IEC 62368-1:2018" "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1. In particular, the PSE shall Proposed Text: be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." "To be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD TFTD**

C/ 112 # C/ 113 P91 SC 112.11.4.5 P89 L7 SC 113.12.10 L22 # The Siemon Company The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item CES1 in Table in 112.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows not Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 113.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown);" with. "Change PICS Item CES1 in the table in 112.11.4.5 as follows (unchanged rows shown);" with. "Change PICS Item ENV1 in the table in 113.12.10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 96 C/ 113 SC 113.12.6 P91 L**7** # C/ 122 SC 122.9.1 P92 L9 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status D Comment Type F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Items" The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing SuggestedRemedy ellipses (...). Replace, "Modify 122.9.1 as follows:" with, "Change 122.9.1 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 113.12.6 as follows (unchanged rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME11 and PME2 in the table in 113.12.6 as PROPOSED ACCEPT. follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). P93 C/ 122 SC 122.10.4.8 L10 Proposed Response Response Status W Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. F7 Comment Type Comment Status D Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 113.12.6 as follows (unchanged Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME1 and PME2 in the table in 113.12.6 as should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). ellipses (...). Should include table clause reference for consistency. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS entry ES1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 122.10.48 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.5.1 P94 L11 # 141 C/ 128 P96 # 100 SC 128.9.1 L8 **RMG** Consulting The Siemon Company Grow, Robert Maguire, Valerie Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Incorrect base text. It should be from IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 Missing editing instruction. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Include the new first paragraph (inserted by 802.3bt), strike out the new paragraph, and correct Insert, "Change text in 128.9.1 as follows:" after clause header. the base text at line 10 to be that of 802.3bt. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 128 P96 SC 128.9.1 L9 C/ 126 SC 126.12.5 P95 **L8** # Ran, Adee Intel Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D What are the "applicable sections" of annex J? there are only two. Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Items" should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing I believe that the isolation requirements of J.1 are irrelevant for clause 128 (it is not a base-T ellipses (...). PHY and should be similar to other KX PHYs), especially not in the "general safety" subclause. SugaestedRemedy Also applies to 130.9.1, which also has this in this PICS. Replace, "Change PICS items PME1 and PME2 in Table in 126.12.5 as follows (unchanged rows are not shown):" with, "Change PICS Items PME11 and PME2 in the table in 126.12.5 as (This change should be within the scope of this maintenance project) follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "conform to applicable sections (including PROPOSED ACCEPT. isolation requirements) of Annex J" to "conform to the general safety requirements in J.2" in both clauses. C/ 126 SC 126.12.9 P95 L23 # 99 Change PICS in 130.10.4.6 similarly. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Proposed Response F7 Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" should be capitalized. Items ENV2, ENV3, and ENV4 are unchanged rows. Unchanged rows **TFTD** should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). SuggestedRemedy resolve with comment 30, 127 Replace, "Change PICS item ENV1 in Table in 126.12.9 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ENV1 in the table in 126.12.9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):". Replace row for ENV2 with one merged row that contains ellipses (...) as content. Delete rows ENV3 and ENV4.

ΕZ

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 128 SC 128.10.4.5 P**97** # 101 C/ 145 SC 145 P100 **L1** # 39 L10 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Editorial instruction is obsolete (it says "Insert new clause 145", but clause 145 is not new any should be capitalized. Should include table clause reference for consistency. more. The other clauses mentioned are in use). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in Delete the editorial instruction. 128.10.4.5 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of comment 4 C/ 130 P98 L9 SC 130.9.1 # 102 C/ 145 SC 145 P100 **L1** 142 The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. The confusing editorial instruction in IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018 doesn't belong in this document SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Modify 130.9.1 as follows:" with, "Change 130.9.1 as follows:" Delete the editorial instruction Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 130 SC 130.10.4.6 P99 L10 # 103 **Duplicate of Comment 4** Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company C/ 145 SC 145 P100 **L1** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Yseboodt, Lennart Signify should be referenced and capitalized. Should include table clause reference for consistency. Comment Type Comment Status D Ε EΖ SugaestedRemedy The editing instruction "Insert new Clause 145 after Clause 130 (Clause 131 to Clause 144 are reserved for future amendments):" does not belong here (it is copied from 802.3bt.) Replace, "Change PICs entry ES1 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 130.10.4.6 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Remove editing instruction. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141 P100 **L1** C/ 00 SC 0 P100 **L1** # # 147 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D P802.3ca, is in SA ballot, should be approved prior to P802.3cr, and it has references to 60950-I think the following PMD Clauses also need to be modified to reference Annex J 138. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-SR, SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBASE-SR4 Include clause 141 with edits to: 141.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 141.10.4.4. 139. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-FR and 50GBASE-LR Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. 140. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 100GBASE-DR C/ 138 SC 138 P100 L1 # 143 150. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 400GBASE-SR4.2 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status D Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy IEEE Std 802.3cd, published Amendment 3 has references to 60950-1. Add references to Annex J in the following clauses: SuggestedRemedy 138. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-SR, Include clauses 138, 139, 140 and edit 60950-1 references found IEEE Std 802,3cd (edits 100GBASE-SR2, 200GBASE-SR4 consistent with similar text in other clauses): 138.9.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 138.11.4.5 139. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 50GBASE-FR and 139.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 139.11.4.6 50GBASE-LR 140.8.1 and corresponding PICS ES1 in 140.11.4.5 140. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 100GBASE-DR Include a similar invite for any other corrections appropriate for IEEE Std 802.3cn as was done for 802.3cg. 150. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and medium, type 400GBASE-SR4.2 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Resolve with Comment 2 Resolved with Comment 143 P100 C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 L10 # 104

Maguire, Valerie

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Ε

The Siemon Company

F7

Comment Status D

The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown.

Replace, "Modify 145.4.1 as follows:" with, "Change 145.4.1 as follows:"

C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 P100 # 116 C/ 145 P101 L7 # 117 L16 SC 145.6.1 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Current Text: Current Text: "Accessible external conductors are specified in Section 6.2.1 b) of IEC 60950-1:2001 and "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1 or IEC 62368-1. In Section 5.4.10.1 b) of IEC 62368-1:2018." particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC Proposed Text: 60950-1 or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." "Accessible external conductors are specified in Section 5.4.10.1 b) of IEC 62368-1:2018." Proposed Text: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1. In particular, the PSE shall Proposed Response Response Status W be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018." **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 145 SC 145.4.1 P100 L20 # 40 **TFTD** Ran. Adee Intel C/ 145 SC 145.7.3.7 P102 L9 Comment Type ER Comment Status D ΕZ Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company The words "conform to" are new, but are not marked as such. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Underline these words. should be capitalized. Unchanged rows should be represented by one merged row containing ellipses (...). Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace, "Change PICS item ES1 in Table in 145.7.3.7 as follows (unchanged rows are not C/ 145 shown): with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 145.7.3.7 as follows (unchanged rows SC 145.6.1 P101 L5 # 105 not shown):". Add one merged row that contains ellipses (...). Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ PROPOSED ACCEPT. The editorial insert should be "change" if revision marks are shown. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Modify 145.6.1 as follows:" with, "Change 145.6.1 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 145 SC 145.7.3.7 P102 # C/ 146 P103 L9 # 118 L13 SC 146.9.1 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Ran, Adee Intel Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. The PICS item change does not correspond to the subclause referenced - the text does not refer to Annex J. Propose to remove those references all together. Also, remove the footnote. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either change the PICS item or change the text in 145.6.1. Current Text: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-Proposed Response Response Status W 1." **TFTD** Proposed Text: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1." Change text in the clause seems appropriate. Resolve with the Comments on how to specify Proposed Response Response Status W legacy equipment. **TFTD** C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 P103 L9 # C/ 146 SC 146.9.1 P103 L9 # 23 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH Comment Status D Zimmerman. CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Comment Type Т Comment Type TR Comment Status D IEC 60950-1 is getting depreciated at Dec 20th, 2020, and thus should be removed from Clause 146.9.1. Align clause 146 with the references to Annex J, but keep process control specifications as well. Also, this is a requirement and the corresponding PICS item (ES1 in 146.11.4.6 isn't in the SuggestedRemedy draft). Change text from: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC SuggestedRemedy 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." to: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1." and remove footnote 1. Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-11, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1. " to "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the Annex J or IEC Proposed Response Response Status W 61010-1, as appropriate." also add 146.11.4.6 to the draft and change the feature in PICS ES1 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

> Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

to the wording above

TFTD

Resolved with Comment 23

C/ 146 SC 146 P103 144 C/ 147 P104 L9 L13 # SC 147.10.1 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting CME Consulting/ADI, APL Group, BMW, Cisco, Comm Zimmerman, Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Status can be updated. P802.3cg/D3.4 is an approved draft (Amendment 5) pending Align clause 147 with the references to Annex J publication. Citations of IEC 60950-1 appear to be acceptable because it is in a list as an "or"... SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC Update Editor's note to indicate correct status of the approved draft (or if published before the 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1," to "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to the next P802.3cr draft to indicate that status). No change to P802.3cg draft text is proposed by general safety requirements in Annex J.2, or IEC 61010-1, as appropriate." this comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P104 C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 L9 Resolved by Comment 23 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs GmbH C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P104 L9 # 119 Comment Type Comment Status D IEC 60950-1 is getting depreciated at Dec 20th, 2020, and thus should be removed from Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Clause 146.9.1. Comment Status X Comment Type Ε PAR SugaestedRemedy The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. Propose to remove those references all together. Change text from: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." to: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-SuggestedRemedy 1 or IEC 61010-1." and remove footnote 1. Current Text: Proposed Response Response Status W "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 60950-1, IEC 62368-1, or IEC 61010-1." PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Text: "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to IEC 62368-1 or IEC 61010-1." Resolved by Comment 24 Proposed Response Response Status W **TFTD** C/ 147 SC 147.10.1 P104 L9 Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Should there be a footnote to "IEC 60950-1" indicating that it is being superceeded by IEC 62368-1? I see this in the other clauses... SuggestedRemedy Add footnote to "IEC 60950-1" with the following text: "IEC 60950-1 is being deprecated and superseeded with IEC 62368-1."

#

24

Proposed Response

OBE by 24

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 149 SC 149.9.1 P105 # C/ 149 P105 L12 SC 149.9.1 L13 # 120 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PAR Implementations in an automobile are not required to withstand any of the voltages defined in The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 yet this clause still contains references. J.1. A vehicle with a 12V DC battery and no connection to a power outlet can't see these Propose to remove those references all together. SuggestedRemedy The proposed change here is the same as a comment I made on P802.3ch D3.0. The Current Text: comments also delete the PICS. "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1 (or IEC 60950-1)" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Text: Replace the text in this section with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 62368-1" to all applicable local, state, national, and application-specific standards." Also delete PICS Proposed Response Response Status W ES1, ES2, and ES3. **TFTD** Proposed Response Response Status W SC 149 P105 PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 149 L21 # 146 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Resolved by Comment 20 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D **TFTD** Status in the Editor's note can be updated. P802.3ch/D3.0 is the subject of an initial Standards Association ballot Citations of IEC 60950-1 appear to be acceptable because it is in a SC 149.9.1 C/ 149 P105 L12 # 20 parenthetical "or". Carlson, Steven Robert Bosch, Marvell, Ethernovia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type т Comment Status D Update Editor's note to indicate correct status as in SA ballot. No change to P802.3ch draft text Implementations in an automobile are not exposed to the voltages defined in Annex J.1. is proposed by this comment. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace the text in this subclause with "All equipment subject to this clause is expected to conform to all apllicable local, state, national and application-specific standards." Delete any C/ 149 P106 related PICS. SC 149.11..4.7 L16 # 121 Proposed Response Response Status W Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status X PAR The PAR says remove references to IEC 60950-1 vet the table still contains references. **TFTD** Propose to remove those references all together. SuggestedRemedy Current Text: "Conform to IEC 62368-1 (or IEC 60950-1)" Proposed Text: "Conform to IEC 62368-1" Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 106

Li 16

Page 28 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:06 AM

CIJC/ 150 SC 150 P107 **L1** # 145 SC J.1 P107 L18 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3cm/D3.1 (Amendment 7) has completed SA ballot. It includes references to IEC 60950-The Greek letter mu (or micro symbol) should be used as the prefix. (3 times) SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "us" (with Latin u) to "<greek mu>s" 3 times. Include clause 150 and edit 60950 references in 150.9.1 and corresponding 150.11.4.5 PICS Proposed Response Response Status W item ES1. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CIJSC J.3 P108 L1 Healey, Adam Broadcom Resolved by Comment 2 Comment Type Т Comment Status D CIJSC J P107 L8 # The protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for this annex appears to be redundant. Each clause that refers to this annex includes an item in its PICS that may be Yseboodt. Lennart Signify used to indicate conformance. Referring to other normative annexes in IEEE 802.3, it appears Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ that PICS are only included when there is no clause that references the annex and provides the The editing instruction "Insert Annex J at the end of the lettered Annexes." I believe needs to PICS item. E.g., 31.8.3.4 includes an item corresponding to normative statement(s) in Annex come before the title of the Annex (first line). 31A and Annex 31A has no PICS. At the same time, normative Annexes 31B through 31D are not addressed by PICS in Clause 31 and each annex has its own PICS. SuggestedRemedy Move editing instruction to line 1. SuggestedRemedy Remove J.3. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI J SC J.1 P107 L13 # **TFTD** Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status D The first text line of Annex J is: "This electrical isolation shall withstand at least one of the following electrical strength tests:" Given that Annex J is a standalone Annex, 'this' doesn't refer to anything. In the Clauses linking to this Annex, there is usually a bit of introductory text defining between which conductors the electrical isolation requirement applies. SuggestedRemedy The simplest fix would be to make it: "The electrical isolation shall ...", however that still leaves a bit of a disconnect.

ΕZ

Proposed Response

TFTD

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI.I SC J.3.1 P108 **L8** # C/ 83B P112 L9 # 108 SC 83B.4.6 Ran, Adee The Siemon Company Intel Maguire, Valerie Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε ΕZ Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" The value/comment seems to describe the test, not the requirement from the equipment. should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for Also, the subclause is already referenced. consistency. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace, "Change Table in 83B.4.6 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in Change value/comment from "One of three electrical strength 83B.4.6 as follows:" tests listed in Annex J.1" Proposed Response Response Status W to PROPOSED ACCEPT. "Withstand at least one of the electrical tests listed". Proposed Response Response Status W P114 L12 C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4.4 # 109 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Resolve after Comment 126 which would delete this text Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" **TFTD** should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for consistency. CIJSC J.3.2 P108 # L 20 SugaestedRemedy Ran. Adee Intel Replace, "Change Table in 86A.8.4.4 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item SES1 in the table in Comment Type Ε Comment Status D F7 86A.8.4.4 as follows:" Font size too large for "IEC 62368-1:2018" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. Set to 9 points (as in surrounding text) Current editorial guidance is to not be specific in editing instructions. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 86A P114 # 110 SC 86A.8.4.4 L20 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company C/ 83A # 107 SC 83A.7.7 P110 L10 Comment Type Comment Status D ΕZ Maguire. Valerie The Siemon Company There is a typo in the PICS numbering in the source 802.3-2018 Standard. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ΕZ SuggestedRemedy Table used as a reference in this way is not capitalized in other parts of the document. "Item" Replace, "SES3" with, "SES2" should be referenced and capitalized. Should include PICS Item and table clause reference for consistency. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace, "Change Table in 83A,7,7 as follows:" with, "Change PICS Item ES1 in the table in 83A.7.7 as follows:"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **114** Li **20** Page 30 of 30 1/14/2020 2:07:06 AM