## IEEE P802.3cr D2.0 Maintenance #14: Isolation Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 9 SC 9.9.3.1 P27 L14 # [129

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

TR

I recognize the dilemma with depricated clauses, but disagree with what I assume was the decision on how to deal with doing maintenance to a deprecated clause that we indicate "maintenance changes are not longer being considered". Perhaps the standard NOTE should refer to "mIntenance change requests" rather than maintenance changes. The insertion of a pointer to the new Annex J is a change, so as long as a change is being done, do it completely. Inserting the pointer to Annex J and retaining the isolation

requirements is confusing and opens the possibility for two different sets of safety

Comment Status A

specifications.

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy
Either strike out the text as

Either strike out the text as is done for non-deprecated clauses or change the NOTE to strongly indicate that superceding text is in Annex J (e.g., Safety information that supercedes the safety instructions in this subclause." If accepted, similar changes will need to be made to other deprecated clauses in this draft (separate comments pointing to this one are entered per RevCom conventions).

Response Status **U** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

C/ 10 SC 10.8.1 P28 L16 # 130

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer.

SugaestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

Cl 12 SC 12.10.1 P29 L16 # 131

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.1 P34 L15 # 132

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

## IEEE P802.3cr D2.0 Maintenance #14: Isolation Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 23 SC 23.9.1 P34 L38 # 133

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status **U** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

Cl 27 SC 27.5.1. P37 L12 # 134

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status **U** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.1 P38 L15 # 135

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, The inclusion of safety instructions and a pointer to safety instructions present the possibility of conflicting directions to an implementer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

Cl 32 SC 32.10.1 P38 L37 # 136

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.

## IEEE P802.3cr D2.0 Maintenance #14: Isolation Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 41 SC 41.4.1 P46 L12 # 137

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Per my (Grow) comment on 9.9.3.1, if a change to the words of the inserted NOTE are chosen as the best solution, then this inserted NOTE also needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (or not) consistent with 9.9.3.1.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A NOTE is informative by definition (see IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual 6.4.3), and therefore, addition of a NOTE is not considered a maintenance change to the clause. The pointer to Annex J is thought to be helpful to anyone that might still need to use the clause.