P <b>7</b>	L13	# 1	C/ <b>00</b>	SC O	P <b>9</b>	L14	# 33			
Charter Commu	inication		Thompsor	n, Geoff	GraCaSI	S.A.				
Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R					
"FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief" - I guess you're Chief Editor as well>					The term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The 1985 standard did not use the term Ethernet in the title or in the body of the standard. What was published was actually not					
					eroperable with Ethernet.					
E P802.3cr Maintenance #14	: Isolation Task	Force Editor-in-Chief"	00							
Response Status C			Replace "Ethernet" here with the actual title of the 1985 standard.							
					Response Status C					
						0xx. The commente	r is encouraged to submit			
P <b>0</b>	LO	# 11		•						
Charter Commu	inication					-	# 2			
Comment Status A				,		ommunication				
				51						
included? SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy Remove Clause 1 from draft					
inst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure r	proper reference	ed to Annex J are being			Response Status C					
Response Status C			REJE	CT.						
			Given	the current refe	erence of 62368-1:2014 Is ou	t of date, correct for	the next revision.			
0		0,1,2	C/ 1	SC 1.4	P <b>22</b>	<i>L</i> 1	# 13			
P802.3cr. For those that will	publish prior to	P802.3cr the Editor will	Graber, St	teffen	Pepperl+F	uchs AG				
P1	L <b>23</b>	# 32		51	Comment Status R					
GraCaSI S.A.										
Comment Status A				-						
					Response Status C					
			Now r	oforonco was a	hahh					
Response Status C										
	Charter Commu Comment Status A IEEE P802.3xx Task Force n as well> EE P802.3cr Maintenance #14 Response Status C s follows: Editor" P0 Charter Commu Comment Status A in flight, including .3ca, which these projects and make sure inst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure Response Status C this meeting the Chair will sub P802.3cr. For those that will P1 GraCaSI S.A.	Charter Communication Comment Status A IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force as well> EE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Response Status C s follows: Editor" P0 L0 Charter Communication Comment Status A in flight, including .3ca, which include safety these projects and make sure that the proper inst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure proper reference Response Status C this meeting the Chair will submit comments of P1 L23 GraCaSI S.A. Comment Status A	Charter Communication Comment Status A IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief" -1 as well> E P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief" Response Status C is follows: Editor" P0 L0 # 1 Charter Communication Comment Status A in flight, including .3ca, which include safety statements. Are there these projects and make sure that the proper safety statements are inst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure proper referenced to Annex J are being Response Status C this meeting the Chair will submit comments on the in-flight projects P1 L23 # 32 GraCaSI S.A. Comment Status A	Charter CommunicationThompsorComment Status ACommentIEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief" - 1 as well>The te EtherEE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief" Response Status CSuggestee Replay Response Status CE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief" Response Status CSuggestee Replay Response REJEE of lows: Editor"P0L0# [1]P0L0# [1]Charter Communication Comment Status A in flight, including .3ca, which include safety statements. Are there these projects and make sure that the proper safety statements areHajduczer Comment It seerainst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure proper referenced to Annex J are being Response Status CGiven REJEP1L23# [32]P1L23# [32]Comment Status AComment Status AComment Status ASuggestee Response REJEP1L23# [32]Comment Status AComment Status AP1L23# [32]Comment Status AComment Status AComment Status AComment Status AP1L23# [32]Comment Status AComment Statu	Charter CommunicationThompson, GeoffComment Status AThompson, GeoffIEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief" -1 as well>The term "Ethernet" in Ethernet in the title on Ethernet but was inte SuggestedRemedy Replace "Ethernet" in Response Status CEE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief" Response Status CSuggestedRemedy Replace "Ethernet" in Response REJECT.P0L0# [1]Charter Communication Comment Status A in flight, including .3ca, which include safety statements are his meeting the Chair will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor willCl 1SC 1.4 Graber Status CP1L23# [32]P1L23# [32]P1L23# [32]Comment Status ASuggestedRemedy Remove Clause 1 from Comment TypeP1L23# [32]Comment Status ASuggestedRemedy Relace Status CP1L23# [32]Comment Status ASuggestedRemedy Relace Status CP1L23# [32]Comment Status ASuggestedRemedy Delete page 22 Response REJECT.P1L23# [32]P1L23# [32]Comment Status ASuggestedRemedy Delete page 22 Response REJECT.New reference was aSuggestedRemedy Delete page 22 Response REJECT.P1L23# [32]	Charter CommunicationThompson, GeoffGraCaSI SComment Status AIEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief" -1Thompson, GeoffGraCaSI Sas wellsThe term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The term "Ethernet in the title of the standard. Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.The term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The Ethernet in the title of the standard. Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.EE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief"The term "Ethernet" in the standard. Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.EE P802.3cr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chief"Response Status CS follows:CThe introduction is not part of IEEE Std 802.3cr-20 a maintenance request.P0L0# [11]Charter CommunicationComment Status AComment Status AIn flight, including .3ca, which include safety statements areinst (e.g., .3ca) to make sure proper referenced to Annex J are being P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor willCi 1P1L23# [32]GraCaSI S.A.Comment Status AComment Status ACi 1SC 1.4P1L23# [32]GraCaSI S.A.Comment Status AComment Status AComment Status RP1L23# [32]GraCaSI S.A.Comment Status RComment Status AComment Status RP1L23# [32]GraCaSI S.A.Comment Status RComment Status AComment Status RP2Comment Status R </td <td>Charter Communication       GraCaSI S.A.         Comment Status A       IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.         EE P802.3xr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.       Thompson, Geoff       GraCaSI S.A.         EE P802.3xr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.       The term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The 1985 standard die Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.         SuggestedRemedy       Response Status C         Response Status C       Response Status C         P0       L0       # [1]         Charter Communication       Comment Status A         Comment Status A       Indight, include, Safety statements are hese projects and make sure proper referenced to Annex J are being the Chair will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior t</td>	Charter Communication       GraCaSI S.A.         Comment Status A       IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.         EE P802.3xr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.       Thompson, Geoff       GraCaSI S.A.         EE P802.3xr Maintenance #14: Isolation Task Force Editor-in-Chiel" - I as wells.       The term "Ethernet" in this context is incorrect. The 1985 standard die Ethernet but was interoperable with Ethernet.         SuggestedRemedy       Response Status C         Response Status C       Response Status C         P0       L0       # [1]         Charter Communication       Comment Status A         Comment Status A       Indight, include, Safety statements are hese projects and make sure proper referenced to Annex J are being the Chair will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will Submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior to P802.3cr the Editor will submit comments on the in-flight projects P802.3cr. For those that will publish prior t			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 1 of 7

SC 1.4

9/10/2019 10:00:02 PM

CI 8	SC 8.3.2.1	P <b>23</b>	L13	# 34	CI 8	SC	8.8.6.8	P <b>24</b>	L13	# 14
Thompson	, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.			Graber, S	Steffen		Pepperl+Fuch	ns AG	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R			Comment	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		
Improv	e technical clarity	of text.			Theo	riginal te	xt has bee	en changed, PICS entry 1 need	ls to be modified	l accordingly.
Suggested	Remedy				Suggeste	dRemea	ly			
		st sentence to read: The MAU ble and any conductor of the c			Chan <i>Response</i>	0	e/commen	t to: "Conforms to Annex J". Response Status <b>C</b>		
Response		Response Status C			ACCI					
REJE	CT.									
mainte		d from IEEE Std 802.3-2018. The Task Force agrees with the			C/ <b>9</b> Hajducze Comment	nia, Mar t <i>Typ</i> e	Е	P24 Charter Comr Comment Status A		# <u>3</u>
C/ 8	SC 8.7.1	P <b>23</b>	L36	# 38	Wron	ng L2 hea	ader numb	per. The same issue on page 2	7 line 7	
Zimmerma	n, George	CME Consulti	ng/ADI, APL Gp	Aquantia, BMW, Cisco,	Suggeste		,			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			"0" sł	nould be	replaced	with the right number		
in Ann J." the isolatic equipn do with on tho PMA, I figure equipn the equ	ex ese clauses in IEE on requirements whent may have mun a 802.3 or any LAI se functions too. PMD, etc.) shall n out how to say that nent so the design	s standard shall conform to the E 802.3 specify ports, not statill apply to the MAU, or PMA/F litiple functions, ports, and pow V/MAN networking functions, a The requirement really is that to ot preclude the equipment cor at in a testable way. The best I her is aware, which now is in A ividual clauses, so electrical is	ions or equipme MD/MDI. However connections of and compliance whe Clause xx MA nplying with 6236 know is to state to nnex J, and to re	nt. The electrical ver, the stations or on it that have nothing to vith 62368 is dependent U (or whatever clause 88; however, I can't he expectation for the move the reference to	Edito Chan to: "9.9.3	EPT IN F r is not a ge "9.0.3 3 FOMAI	3 FOMAU J electrica	Response Status C E. the specified header number electrical characteristics" al characteristics" g sub-clauses in that section.	on 24/6:	
Suggested	Remedy									
"All eq In Ann	uipment" To "Thex J, J.2, page 94 2368-1:2018." to "	All stations"" to "The MAU". ne MAU", and so on for the c line 28, change "All equipmer Equipment meeting this stand	ther PHY clause t meeting this st	s. andard shall conform to						
Response		Response Status C								
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	•								
	ditor's note for hor I" and keeping the	nework for the D1.1 review cyo e shall.	cle. Suggestions	include "Physical Layer						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 9 SC **9.0.3** 

C/9	SC 9.0.3.1	P <b>24</b>	L12	# 5	C/ 9	SC 9.0.3.1		P <b>26</b>	L12	# 35
lajducze	nia, Marek	Charter Comr	nunication		Thompson	n, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.		
Commen	Type ER	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment	Status A		
Text of the note should not mention .3cr, since this designation will be gone. Alsok discussion					Missin	g comma				
		ne latest safety standards shoun n" sounds very loose and com		Suggested	IRemedy					
point	out no more mainta	aince is being made, and that	Change the text: "Given this IEEE" to read: "Given this, IEEE"							
Annex J. Period. The same issue on page 27, line 14; page 28, line 14; page 33, line 15; page 33, line 39; page 37, line 12; page 38, line 14; page 38, line 38; page 47, line 12					Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Suggeste	dRemedy				Text ha	as been removed	by Comment #	5.		
		Since September 2011, mainte se. Safety information may be			CI 9	SC 9.0.3.1		P <b>26</b>	L14	# 36
Response	9	Response Status C			Thompson	n, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.		
ACC	EPT.				Comment	Type ER	Comment	Status A		
9 (J	SC 9.0.3.1	P <b>24</b> Charter Comr	L13	# 4	Imposi equipm	ing these new req	, particulaly sin			newly designed ater comes from the
C/ <b>9</b> Hajducze	SC <b>9.0.3.1</b> nia, Marek	P24 Charter Comr Comment Status A		# [4]	Imposi equipm	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep	, particulaly sin			
C/ <b>9</b> Hajducze Comment	SC <b>9.0.3.1</b> nia, Marek	Charter Comr Comment Status A		# 4	Imposi equipm transce <i>Suggested</i>	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep	, particulaly sin beater unit.			
2/ <b>9</b> Hajducze Comment Missi The s	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page	Charter Comr Comment Status A	nunication page 33, line 17;		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins	, particulaly sin peater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S	ce most of the i		
2/ <b>9</b> Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lir	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page	Charter Comr <i>Comment Status</i> A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15;	nunication page 33, line 17;		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE	Ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S E.	ce most of the i		
C/ <b>9</b> Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lir Suggeste	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page ne 14; page 38, line	Charter Comr <i>Comment Status</i> A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15;	nunication page 33, line 17;		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S E.	ce most of the i		
2/ 9 Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lin Suggeste Add r	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page he 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "."	Charter Comr <i>Comment Status</i> A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15;	nunication page 33, line 17;		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE	Ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S E.	ce most of the i		
Cl <b>9</b> Hajducze Commenu Missi The s 37, lir Suggeste Add r Response	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page he 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "."	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C	nunication page 33, line 17;		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm <i>SC</i> 14.10.4.5	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S E.	ce most of the i Status C	slation of a repea	ater comes from the
C/ 9 Hajducze Commeni Missi The s 37, lir Suggeste Add r Response ACCI	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page he 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "."	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C E.	nunication page 33, line 17; 7, line 14		Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re C/ 14	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm SC 14.10.4.5 effen	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S E.	ce most of the i Status C P30 Pepperl+Fuch	slation of a repea	ater comes from the
Cl 9 Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lir Suggeste Add r Response ACCl add r page	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page the 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "." EPT IN PRINCIPLI nissing "." after Anr 26, line 13; page 2	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C E. nex J in the following locations 7, line 15; page 28, line 15; page 28; l	nunication page 33, line 17; 7, line 14 s: age 33, line 17; pa	page 33, line 41; page	Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re C/ 14 Graber, Ste Comment	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm SC 14.10.4.5 effen	, particulaly sin beater unit. tallation" <i>Response</i> S E. hent #5 .11 <i>Comment</i>	ce most of the i Status C P30 Pepperl+Fuch Status A	L4	ater comes from the
2/ 9 Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lin Suggeste Add r Response ACCI add r page	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page the 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "." EPT IN PRINCIPLI nissing "." after Anr 26, line 13; page 2	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C E. nex J in the following locations	nunication page 33, line 17; 7, line 14 s: age 33, line 17; pa	page 33, line 41; page	Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re C/ 14 Graber, Ste Comment	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm <u>SC 14.10.4.5</u> effen <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> leter and referenc	, particulaly sin beater unit. tallation" <i>Response</i> S E. hent #5 .11 <i>Comment</i>	ce most of the i Status C P30 Pepperl+Fuch Status A	L4	ater comes from the
Cl 9 Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lin Suggeste Add r Response ACCl add r page	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page the 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "." EPT IN PRINCIPLI nissing "." after Anr 26, line 13; page 2	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C E. nex J in the following locations 7, line 15; page 28, line 15; page 28; l	nunication page 33, line 17; 7, line 14 s: age 33, line 17; pa	page 33, line 41; page	Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re C/ 14 Graber, Str Comment Param Suggested	ing these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>IRemedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm <u>SC 14.10.4.5</u> effen <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> leter and referenc	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S .E. hent #5 .11 <i>Comment</i> te (first column)	ce most of the i Status C P30 Pepperl+Fuch Status A are needed for	L4 s AG a PICS entry.	ater comes from the
C/ 9 Hajducze Comment Missi The s 37, lir Suggeste Add r Response ACCI add n page	SC 9.0.3.1 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E ng "." after "Annex ame issue on page the 14; page 38, line <i>dRemedy</i> nissing "." EPT IN PRINCIPLI nissing "." after Anr 26, line 13; page 2	Charter Comr Comment Status A J" e 27, line 15; page 28, line 15; e 17; page 38, line 40; page 47 Response Status C E. nex J in the following locations 7, line 15; page 28, line 15; page 28; l	nunication page 33, line 17; 7, line 14 s: age 33, line 17; pa	page 33, line 41; page	Imposi equipm transce Suggested Delete Response ACCE Text re C/ 14 Graber, Str Comment Param Suggested	In these new req nent is unrealistic eivers, not the rep <i>Remedy</i> the words "or ins PT IN PRINCIPL emoved by Comm <i>SC</i> 14.10.4.5 effen <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> leter and referenc <i>Remedy</i> R1a and change	, particulaly sin beater unit. stallation" <i>Response</i> S .E. hent #5 .11 <i>Comment</i> te (first column)	ce most of the i Status C P30 Pepperl+Fuch Status A are needed for Electrical isolatio	L4 s AG a PICS entry.	ater comes from the

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 Page 3 of 7 9/10/2019 10:00:03 PM C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P30 L14 # 16 C/ 23 SC 23.9.4.12 P34 L20 # 6 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Type E Comment Status A As the isolation resistance is removed in the original text (is now in Annex J). IR2 needs to be Previous updates to PICS used "Conforms to Annex J" wording - any reason why you use just removed. Annex J reference in here? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove IR2 PICS entry. In ISO2, 3, 4 use "Conforms to Annex J" instead Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 15.8.6.4 SC 23.9.4.12 C/ 15 P32 L16 # 17 C/ 23 P34 L23 # 28 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Е As the isolation resistance is removed in the original text (is now in Annex J), IR2 needs to be simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field. removed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 'Conforms to Annex J' on lines 23, 25, and 36. Remove IR2 PICS entry. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Modified by comment #5 C/ 23 SC 23.9.4.12 P34 L13 # 18 CI 23 SC 23.9.4.16 P34 L35 # 19 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The original text of Clause 23 is not changed, so ISO2, ISO3 and ISO4 need to be kept. The original text of Clause 23 is not changed, so SAF1 entry needs to be kept in the original SuggestedRemedy way. Remove changes applied to 23.9.4.12. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove changes applied to 23.9.4.16. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3cr D1.0 Maintenance #14: Isolation 1st Task Force review comments

C/ 23 SC 23.9.4.16 Page 4 of 7 9/10/2019 10:00:03 PM

Cl 27 SC 27.5.4.11 P37 L26 # 20	Cl 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L40 # 7
Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
The original text of Clause 27 is not changed.	Any reason for highlight on "IEC 60950-1."
SuggestedRemedy	Same on page 40, line 47
Remove changes applied to EL2 entry in 27.5.4.11.	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Remove highlight
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C
C/ 33 SC 33.7.1 P39 L39 # 21	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Resolved by Comment #21:
	Resolution of Comment #21 is:
Comment Type T Comment Status A IEC 60950-1 cannot be referenced anymore.	Resolution of Comment #21 hs.
Suggested Remedy	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Replace IEC 60950-1 by " in accordance with PELV/SELV requirements." and moc accordingly. Needs to be discussed with the group.         Response       Response Status	ify PICS All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in Annex J or IEC 60950-1. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Limited Power Source in accordance with IEC 60950-1* or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018, as applicable.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	applicable.
All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements a specified in Annex J or IEC 60950-1. In particular, the PSE shall be classified as a Lir Power Source in accordance with IEC 60950-1* or Annex Q of IEC 62368-1:2018, as applicable.	nited
	Bring clause 104 and 145 into the draft and make similar changes. (104.8, 145.6)
Add footnote to 60950-1 to indicate that the standard is being deprecated to be supers 62368-1.	C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.1 P42 L15 # 8
Editorial license to adjust DICS	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication
Editorial license to adjust PICS	Comment Type E Comment Status R
Bring clause 104 and 145 into the draft and make similar changes. (104.8, 145.6)	Change the editorial instructions to include "(uncanges rows are not shown)" and show only
	rows needing changes.
Dhing clause for and 145 into the drait and make similar changes. (104.0, 145.0)	rows needing changes. SuggestedRemedy
	SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Consider making this change for all PICS where tables contain a lot of
	SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Consider making this change for all PICS where tables contain a lot of unchanged rows (they do not need to be shown)
	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Consider making this change for all PICS where tables contain a lot of unchanged rows (they do not need to be shown)         Response       Response Status         C

C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.1 Page 5 of 7 9/10/2019 10:00:03 PM

C/ 38	SC 38.12.4.1	P <b>44</b>	L14	# 22	C/ 113 SC 113.12.1	0 P90	L13	# 29			
Graber, Steffen     Pepperl+Fuchs AG       Comment Type     E     Comment Status       "Conforms to Annex J" needs to be in teh Value/Comment field.       SuggestedRemedy					Jones, Chad Cisco						
					Comment Type E Comment Status A						
					simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field. SuggestedRemedy						
											Move "Conforms to Annex J" to the Value/Comment field and add "Electrical Isolation" to the
Feature f	field.				Response	Response Status <b>C</b>					
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT.	, -					
ACCEPT	Т.										
C/ 40	SC 40.12.7	P <b>46</b>	L16	# 23	C/ 126 SC 126.12.1		L12	# <u>2</u> 5			
Graber, Steff	-	Pepperl+Fuch	-		Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs AG						
comment Ty		Comment Status A			Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS for 126.5.1 is missing. SuggestedRemedy Add: " ENVa, Isolation Requirements, 126.5.1, M, Yes [], Conforms to Annex J"						
	, –	id not change for the requirer	nent in PME2								
0		a not offange for the requirer									
	E2 again.										
	Ez ayam.	-			Response	Response Status C					
Response	-	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	_E.					
ACCEPT	1.				Bring 126 12 5 into the	draft and modify PME1 and PM	VIE2 to indicate A	nnex.l			
7 113	SC 113.12.10	P <b>90</b>	L12	# 24							
Graber, Steff	fen	Pepperl+Fuch	is AG		C/ 126 SC 126.12.1	P <b>92</b>	L13	# 30			
comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status A			Jones, Chad	Cisco					
PICS for	r 113.5.1 is missi	ing.			Comment Type E	Comment Status A					
uggestedRe	emedv				simply says 'Annex J' in the value/comment field.						
00		equirements, 113.5.1, M, Yes	[], Conforms to A	Annex J"	SuggestedRemedy						
esponse	·	Response Status <b>C</b>			Change to 'Conforms to	o Annex J'					
,	T IN PRINCIPLE	, .			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					
Bring in <sup>-</sup> Annex J.		2.6 which has PME1 and PM	/IE2 for 113.5.1.	Modify according to							

C/A SCA	P <b>93</b>	L1	# 9	C/ J SC J.1	P <b>94</b>	L15	# 12		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comr	munication		Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication					
Comment Type E Comment Status A Annex A is not needed			Comment Type ER Comment Status A New reference: IEC 62368-1:2018						
SuggestedRemedy Remove				SuggestedRemedy add missing reference	to Clause 1?				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Add editing instruction	ns appropriately.			Change the reference e	entry for 62368-1 to 2018 revisi	on			
CIA SCA	P <b>93</b>	L1	# 26	C/ J SC J.1	P <b>94</b>	L17	# 31		
Graber, Steffen	Pepperl+Fuch	ns AG		Jones, Chad	Cisco				
Comment Type E There is no new inform	Comment Status <b>A</b> nation for Annex A.			Comment Type E "not less than 1 s" - is '	Comment Status R "greater than 1s" a better way to	o write thiw?			
SuggestedRemedy Please remove page 9	13.			SuggestedRemedy change "not less than ?	1 s" to "greater than 1 s"				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.			Response REJECT.	Response Status C				
Accomodated by com	ment #9			Text is unchanged from	n previous text.				
C/J SC J	P <b>94</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 10	C/ J SC J.3.2	P <b>95</b>	L19	# 27		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comr	munication		Graber, Steffen	Pepperl+Fuch	ns AG			
Comment Type ER Annex J is without a tit	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Needs to be "IEC" inste	Comment Status <b>A</b> ead of "EC".				
SuggestedRemedy Please put a correct til	tle for Annex J			SuggestedRemedy IEC 62368-1:2018					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				
Modify Title as follows	:								
"Annex J: Electrical is	solation and general safety"								
Change J.2 title to rem	nove "requirements"								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ J SC **J.3.2**  Page 7 of 7 9/10/2019 10:00:03 PM