IEEE P802.3cr D1.1 Maintenance #14: Isolation 2nd Task Force review comments

C/ FM	SC FM	P 8	L 19	# 9		CI 8	SC 8.8.6.11	P 26	L 11	# 5	
Jones, Chad		Cisco				Graber, Steffen		Pepperl+Fuchs AG			
Comment Type E Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		EZ			
Jonathan Goldberg no longer the PM - Jodi Haasz is? SuggestedRemedy					In most, if not all PICS, it seems, that in "Conforms to Annex J", "Annex J" is a little larger fu size than the rest of the text (likely as "Annex J" is a link, the settings for the link is having th wrong font size.						
Change to Jodi Haasz				Suggested	Remedy						
Response Response Status C				Correct font size of "Annex J" links in PICS throughout the document.							
ACCEPT.						Response		Response Status C			
C/ 8	SC 8	P 24	L 4	# <u>1</u> 0		ACCE	PT.	, -			
Jones, Cha		Cisco				C/ 14	SC 14.7.1	P30	L 39	# 11	
Comment Type E Comment Status A			Jones, Cha	ad	Cisco						
Can't come up with anything better than Physical Layer MDI/PI. Suggest we use that.					Comment	Type E	Comment Status A				
SuggestedRemedy change 'station' to 'Physical Layer MDI/PI' Response Response Status						the note suggests to replace MAU with Physical Layer MDI/PI. Yet in line 13 we have this text: "A MAU with a MDI that is a PI (see 33.1.3) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in 33.4.1." seems clear that the reader will understand that an MAU has an MDI that might be a PI and therefore the safety requirements would apply accordingly.					
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Ε.				Suggested					
Make c	ommentors sugge	estion and remove editor's note) .			00	the note with no o	change.			
C/ 8	SC 8.3.2.1	P 24	L18	# 3		Response		Response Status C			
Graber, Ste	ffen	Pepperl+Fuchs	s AG			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
Comment Type E Comment Status A			EZ	Delete	Editor's Note						
isolatior	n requirement (ne	eds to be plural)				C/ 23	SC 23.9.1	P 34	L 38	# 4	
SuggestedRemedy				Graber, Ste	effen	Pepperl+Fuch	is AG				
isolation requirements				Comment Type E Comment Status A							
Response		Response Status C					NOTE is not und			EZ	
ACCEF	ΥТ.					Suggested	-				
						Underi	ine Note.				

IEEE P802.3cr D1.1 Maintenance #14: Isolation 2nd Task Force review comments

C/ 145 SC 145.7.3.7	7 P104	<i>L</i> 1	# 12		C/ 146 SC 146.9.1	P106	L1	# 13	
Jones, Chad	Cisco	- 1	# 1Z		Jones, Chad	Cisco	21	# 15	
Comment Type E extraneous blank page. SuggestedRemedy delete the page.	Comment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E extraneous blank page SuggestedRemedy delete the page.	Comment Status A			EZ
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
C/ 146 SC 146	P105	L 14	# 7		C/ 147 SC 147.10.1	P108	L1	# <u>1</u> 4	
Graber, Steffen	Pepperl+Fuch	ns AG			Jones, Chad	Cisco			
Comment Type E Clause 146 was by	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment Type E extraneous blank page	Comment Status A			EZ
	l by (add "added") in editorial 47) and page 109 (Clause 149)		ne for the editorial n	ote	SuggestedRemedy delete the page. Response				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
C/ 146 SC 146.9.1	P105	L 14	# 15		C/J SCJ	P111	L 27	# 8	
Jones, Chad	Cisco				Jones, Chad	Cisco			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status A	and the different LO		
P802.3cg	sing a verb in the first sentence.	Clause 146 was	ADDED by IEEE		SuggestedRemedy	cted to remove 'requirements' finn: "General safety requirements			
SuggestedRemedy add the word 'added'. Also, in 147 pg 107 ln 2	14 and in 149 pg 109 ln 20				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								
Duplicate of comment #	#7.								

C/ J SC J IEEE P802.3cr D1.1 Maintenance #14: Isolation 2nd Task Force review comments

CI J	SC J.1	P 94		L17	# 2	
Stewart, H	leath	Analog	g Devices			
of the availal basic/	2368-1:2018 Tab working voltages ble: 1) Basic or su 'supplementary va	Comment Status le 26 is titled _Test volta and recurring peak volta upplementary insulation; lue is 1.95kV while the ed 2.4kV value. Is this a	ages for elec ages For t ; 2) Reinforc reinforced v	he 1.5kV ro ced insulatio alue is 2.4k	ow two options are on. The	•
Suggested TFTD						
Proposed REJE	Response CT.	Response Status	Z			
This c	comment was WI	FHDRAWN by the com	menter.			
CI J	SC J.1	P 94		L 21	# 1	
Stewart, H	leath	Analog	g Devices			
5.2.9.	1 does not exist (I	incorrect reference nur nor does 5.2.9 for that n ure for type testing of so	natter.)	Ũ	5.2.9.1 to 5.4.9.1.	
to	ge shall be no insula	ation breakdown, as def ation breakdown, as def				
Response ACCE		Response Status	С			
CI J	SC J.3.1	P11	2	L10	# 6	
Graber, St	teffen	Peppe	rl+Fuchs A	G		
Suggested	ed in J.1 dRemedy	Comment Status				E
Response ACCE))	Response Status				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ J SC **J.3.1** Page 3 of 3 11/11/2019 10:35:45 PM