C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 # 49 C/ 1 SC₁ P**1** L27 # 108 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status X spelling IEEE Std 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cq-2002 have now been approved SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE" to "IEEE" Change 802.3cm-20XX to 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cg-20XX to 802.3cg-2020 throughout the draft Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 P61 L47 # 118 C/ 1 SC₁ P21 L14 # 105 Lewis. David Lumentum Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The caption for Fig 152-2 does not say what it is a function block diagram of. The "important Notice" is no longer required according to IEEE. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change caption to "Inverse RS-FEC sublayer functional block diagram". Delete lines 14 through 24: IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not Proposed Response Response Status O intended to ensure safety, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all SC 0 C/ 00 P110 L26 # 119 applicable laws and Lewis. David Lumentum regulations. This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal Comment Type T Comment Status X disclaimers. These Optical return loss tolerance should be a minimum value, not maximum. For example, a notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be return loss from the black link of 24 dB would result in more power reflected back into the found under the transmitter and a return loss from the black link of 26 dB would result in less power heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE reflected back into the transmitter. Therefore the limit value of 25 dB is a minimum, not a Documents." maximum. They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at SuggestedRemedy http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html Change description to "Optical return loss tolerance (min)" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1

SC₁

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 # 84 CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P**24 L8** # 47 Stassar, Peter Huawei Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X We may need a definition of channel spacing. The proposed definition is consistent with 802.3cg has published. the one currently in Recommendation ITU-T G.671. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "802.3cg-20xx" with, "802.3cg-2019" Add "1.4.181a Channel Spacing: The center-to-center difference in frequency or Proposed Response Response Status 0 wavelength between adjacent channels in a WDM application. DWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in IITU-T G.694.11. CWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in [ITU-T G.694.2]." Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.21b P27 L35 # 124 Proposed Response Response Status O Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 1 SC 1.4 P**22** # 85 States table 45.24b "as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx" but table 45.24b was inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019 and modified by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx. Stassar, Peter Huawei TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type We may need a definition of polarization dependent loss. The proposed definition is Change "as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx" to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx" consistent with the one currently in Recommendation ITU-T G.671. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add "1.4.401a polarization dependent loss: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of the state of polarization (SOP) over all SOPs within the channel frequency range C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186 P36 L9 # 48 (DWDM link) or channel wavelength range (CWDM and WWDM links) The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X 802.3cg has published. C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L27 # 50 SuggestedRemedy Replace, "802.3cg-20xx" with, "802.3cg-2019" Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O only one defintion SuggestedRemedy Change "definitions" to "definition"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.1 P36 L35 # CI 45 SC 45.2.1.186ab.8 P38 L33 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The "IFEC bypass indication enable" bit when set to a one enables the bypass of the FEC The "IFEC bypass indication ability" bit when set to a one one indicates that the bypass of error indication function, not the error indication. See text in clause 91.6.2. the FEC error indication function can be bypass. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "When set to a one, this bit enables bypass of the error indication.", Change: "This bit is set to one to indicate that the decoder has this ability to bypass error indication.", to: "When set to a one, this bit enables bypass of the error indication function." to: "This bit is set to one to indicate that the decoder has this ability to bypass the error Proposed Response Response Status O indication function." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.1 P36 L37 Bruckman, Leon Huawei CI 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah.2 P41 L40 Comment Type E Comment Status X Bruckman, Leon Huawei Text not clear Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Inconsistent bracketing. In clause 153.2.4.1.1 the variable is indicated as: fas lock<x> Change: "Writes to bit 1.2200.1 are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC SuggestedRemedy does not have the ability to bypass indicating decoding errors to the remote PCS layer (see 152.5.2.3).", Change: "fas lock[7]", to:"fas lock<7>". The same for all other 19 lanes in the following clauses 45.2.1.186ah.3 to 45.2.1.186ai.12. to: "Writes to bit 1.2200.1 are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does Proposed Response Response Status O not have the ability to bypass decoding error indications to the remote PCS layer (see 152.5.2.3)." Proposed Response Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2.1.186aj P45 L16 Bruckman, Leon Huawei C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.2 P36 L44 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Lane identification shall be separated from lane lock, so the value of lane mapping is Bruckman, Leon Huawei dependent on the lane identification status. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Text not clear Add the lane identification status bits to the MDIO and make the lane mapping register SuggestedRemedy dependent on these bits instead of fas lock. Details of remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Change: "Writes to this bit are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does not have the ability to bypass correction.", Proposed Response Response Status O

to: "Writes to this bit are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does not

Response Status O

have the ability to bypass error correction."

Proposed Response

C/ 80 SC 80.1 P49 L12 # 44 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P49 L16 # 51 Brown, Matt Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing oxford comma. this is not an acceptable amendment instruction SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace. "100GBASE-LR1 and in Clause154: with. "100GBASE-LR1, and in Clause154" Change instruction to "Replace figure 80-1 with the following:" and extend the underline change mark to include the added ".". Import Figure 80-1 and make the necessary changes. Proposed Response Response Status O Alternately, change instruction to the following: "In Figure 80-1, change the list of medium types as follows:" "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z." with proper strike-out and underline CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P49 L10 # 109 Proposed Response Response Status O Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P49 L25 # 52 Extra space between "and " and "in" Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Delete extra space. The Clause 74 FEC is not relevant and for Clause 91 it is not necessary to list out the Proposed Response Response Status O transcoding as this one of many subfunctions withing the Clause 91 FEC. SuggestedRemedy Change to: C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P49 L14 # 110 "Some 100GBASE-Z Physical Layer devices also use the FEC of Clause 91 or the FEC of Nicholl, Garv Cisco systems Clause153." Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O The editing instruction states "Change Figure 80-1 in 80.1.3 as follows:". but there is no "Figure 80-1" in the document. SugaestedRemedy C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 13 # 111 Import Figure 80-1 and update accordingly. Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Editing instruction states "Insert Table80-4 after Table 80-4a as follows:", but the tabel inserted is actually Table 80-4b. SuggestedRemedy Update editing instruction to read " "Insert Table80-4b after Table 80-4a as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 L3 # 41 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 L10 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Editor's note is incorrect Clause 80.1.4 indicates that the clause 74 FEC is optional for 100GBASE-Z, but it is not shown in Table 80-4b SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert Table80-4 after Table 80-4a as follows:" to "Insert Table80-4b after Table 80-4a as follows:" Add clause 74 to table 80-4b as optional. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 80.1.5 SC 80.2.2 CI 80 P**50** L6 # 113 CI 80 P**50** L34 # 53 Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 80-4b is a new table, so there should be no underlining. 100GBASE-Z must be added to the list of PHY types. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete all underlining in Table 80-4b Add 100GBASE-Z to the list of PHY types. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 **L6** # 112 C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P51 L5 Nicholl, Garv Cisco systems Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 80-4b is missing a column for Clause 135. The first sentence is wrong given the additions in the rest of the paragraph. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a column for Clause 135. Change the entire paragraph to: Clause 83 specifies 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PMAs that may be used with any PHY Proposed Response Response Status O type of the corresponding rate. Additional PMAs are only applicable to specific PHY types: a) Clause 94 specifies a PMA that may be used only in a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY. b) Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P PHY types. c) Clause 153 specifies a PMA that is used in the 100GBASE-ZR PHY. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 80 SC 80.2.4	P 51	L 6	# 54	CI 80	SC 80.3.2	P 52	L1	# 116
Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada			Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems					
Comment Type E Comment Status X There are no changes marked in the paragraph.				Comment Type E Comment Status X There should be no underline in editing instruction				
SuggestedRemedy Underline the last sentence.				SuggestedRemedy Remove underline in editing instruction				
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O					
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P 51	L 28	# 114	C/ 80	SC 80.3.2	P 52	L1	# 56
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco systems	Cisco systems Brown, Matt					ologies Canad	da
Comment Type E Comment Status X Extra space between 100GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P					Type E rlined text is not	Comment Status X required here.		
SuggestedRemedy Use strikethrough for	the extra space after the "and"			Suggested Remo	dRemedy ove underline on	"Figure 80-4a".		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P 51	L 30	# 115	C/ 80	SC 80.4	P 52	L 49	# 117
Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems			Nicholl, G	iary	Cisco systems			
Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing underline, under space.				Comment Type E Comment Status X Need to reference 802.3cu in editing instruction				
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
Change "Figure 80–4a Proposed Response	a, " to "Figure 80–4a, " Response Status O			2018) showr to	as follows (unch า)"	ction from "Change Table80–5 (nanged 40G rows not		
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P51	L30	# 55	"Change Table80–5 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018 and IEEE Std 802.3cu-xx) as follows (unchanged 40G rows not shown)"				
Brown, Matt Comment Type E Fix amendment marks SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	ologies Canada			Response	Response Status O		

Space after "Figure 80-4" should be undelined.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 80 SC 80.4 P52 L50 # 57 CI 83C SC 83C.4 P120 **L8** # 70 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X No need to describe the not-shown rows. It is sufficient to refer to "unchanged" rows. Editing instruction should refer to the inserted subclause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "unchanged 40G rows" to "some unchanged rows". Change to "Insert new subclause 83C.4 at the end of Annex 83C as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O You might then reduce the table size by deleting rows for MAC, PCS, and 100GBASE-R FEC. Proposed Response Response Status O SC 135A P122 **L1** C/ 135A Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada C/ 80 SC 80.5 P55 **L1** # 45 Comment Type E Comment Status X Editing instruction was carried over from 802.3cd and is not relevant in 802.3ct. Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy Suggest that "skew variation needs to be revisited, input requested" be formatted as an Delete editing instruction at the top of page 122. Editor's Note. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Format, "skew variation needs to be revisited, input requested" as an Editor's Note. C/ 135A SC 135A.3 P122 Proposed Response Response Status O Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X # 58 C/ 80 SC 80.5 P55 **L1** Editing instruction should refer to the inserted subclause. Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type E Change to "Insert new subclause 135A.3 at the end of Annex 135A as follows:" Improper editor's note. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Use proper editor's note by inserting editor's note that and include "Editor's note:". C/ 152 SC 152.1 P59 L33 # 60 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X The definition for Inverse RS-FEC is in the wrong location in the list. SuggestedRemedy Move definition for Inverse RS-FEC to between definitions for FEC and LLC. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 152

Page 7 of 22 2/26/2020 12:30:11 PM

SC 152.1

C/ 152 SC 152.1 P59 L34 # 61 C/ 152 SC 152.6.4 P**75 L8** Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The 100G PMA defined in Clause 135 is called the 100GBASE-P PMA. The "FEC bypass indication ability" bit when set to a one one indicates that the bypass of the FEC error indication function can be bypass. See text in clause 91.6.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the note from the definition list and in the layer diagram for the associated PMA Change: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass sublayers replace "PMA" with "100GBASE-P PMA". error indication.", Proposed Response Response Status O to: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error indication function." C/ 152 SC 152.1.1 P58 L11 # 59 Proposed Response Response Status O Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 152 P**75** SC 152.6.7 L26 # 10 This new sublayer is intended in this project for support of 100GBASE-ZR which is a 100GBASE-Z PHY and might be used for 100GBASE-P PHYs as well. It could be used for Bruckman, Leon Huawei 100GBASE-R PHYs. Comment Type Comment Status X Ε SuggestedRemedy Missing word Change sentence to: SuggestedRemedy "The Inverse RS-FEC sublayer specifies a Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction (RS-FEC) sublayer for Change: "This variable assigned by the FEC alignment state diagram shown in Figure 91-9 100GBASE-R. 100GBASE-P. and 100GBASE-Z PHYs." (see 152.5.4.3).". Proposed Response Response Status O to: "This variable is assigned by the FEC alignment state diagram shown in Figure 91-9 (see 152.5.4.3)." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.4 P66 / 38 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 152 SC 152.7 P77 L2 # 43 It is strange that the bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be Trowbridge. Steve Nokia estimated by dividing the BIP block error ratio by something, if you already have a error Comment Type ER Comment Status X ratio why divide it?. I saw the same wording in other 802.3 cluses, but it sounds strange. Need to replace vestigial "Clause 200" from the FrameMaker template with the actual SugaestedRemedy clause number. Change: "The bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be estimated by SuggestedRemedy dividing the BIP block error ratio by a factor of 1 081 344.",

6, page 77 line 34.

Proposed Response

to: "The bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be estimated by

Response Status O

dividing the BIP block errors by a factor of 1 081 344."

Proposed Response

Change "Clause 200" to Clause 152" in the title of clause 152.7, and also on page 77 line

Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.1.1 P81 L81 # 62 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L16 # 12 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X GMP requires that carrier signal payload rate is larger than the carried signal rate. This is "staircase" should not be capitalized. the case for 100GBASE-ZR of course, but it will be beneficial to indicate the carrier signal SuggestedRemedy payload rate. Change "Staircase" to "staircase". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O At the end of sentence: "The Payload area of the SC-FEC frame has a capacity of (255/227) × (3800 / 4080) × 99.5328 Gb/s ±20 ppm.", add: "(~104.1367 Gb/s)" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 153.2.1 P82 L12 C/ 153 # 11 Bruckman, Leon Huawei C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L50 # 13 Comment Type T Comment Status X fec align status is a noisy indication Bruckman, Leon Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Text needs to be fixed Replace "fec align status", with: "fecl align indication" twice in this sentence. Details of remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change: "...as the ratios of the two clock rates do not provide a case where...". to: "...as the ratio of the two clock rates does not provide a case where..." C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P82 L16 # 63 Proposed Response Response Status O Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 153 P87 SC 153.2.3.2.4 L3 # 14 The text in this parapraph does not match the architecture. There are three cases to consider as follows. Bruckman, Leon Huawei Case #1: SC-FEC connects directly to the PCS. Comment Type E Comment Status X Case #2: SC-FEC connects directly to the Inverse RS-FEC. RS-FEC. Clause 135 PMA. etc. Text no clear Case #3: SC-FEC is connected to a Clause 83 PMA then through a CAUI-4 or CAUI-10 to the PCS. SuggestedRemedy Change: "so this number are transmitted", This paragraph should address both Case #2 and #3. SuggestedRemedy to: "so this amount of octets are transmitted" Replace the paragraph with the following: Proposed Response Response Status O "The PCS may be connected to the SC-FEC using a physical instantiation of the PMA service interface (see Annex 83A, Annex 83B, Annex 83D, and Annex 83E) in which case a

PMA (see Clause 83) is a client of the FEC service interface."

Response Status O

(see Clause 152) is a client of the FEC service interface."

Proposed Response

"The PCS may be connected to the SC-FEC using a physical instantiation of the PMA service interface (see Annex 135E and Annex 135G) in which case an Inverse RS-FEC

C/ 153

CI 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P88 L37 # 64

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no specification for the FEC lane skew or PMA lane Skew Variation for the SC-FEC transmit output. It would be reasonable to use the same numbers used for the RS-FEC receive function (see Table 80-6 and Table 80-7).

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence at the end of 153.2.3.2.7.

"At the output of the FEC transmit function the Skew between FEC lanes shall be no more than 49 ns and the Skew Variation between PMA lanes shall be no more than 0.4 ns."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P88 L41 # 15

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Separate lane identification from alignment, add reference to the lane identification state diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Details of remedy including propossed text for this clause is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P88 L46 # 65

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The "support" of Skew and Skew Variation is ambiguous. Presumable this means tolerance of Skew and Skew Variation. Also, the numbers are still TBD; it would be reasonable to use the same numbers used for the RS-FEC receive function (see Table 80-6 and Table 80-7).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence to: "The FEC receive function shall tolerate a maximum Skew of 180 ns between FEC

lanes and a maximum Skew Variation of 4 ns between PMA lanes."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.3.5 P89 L34 # 16

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since OTN devices may be used to implement the 100GBASE-ZR, and these devices support Cm values other than 188 and 189, there may be failure cases in which the GMP receiver receives values that are different from the ones in Table 153-1. What should the GMP demmaper do in this case? Also what is expected the GMP demapper to do if DI=II=1?

On the other hand, there may be implementations based on OTN receivers that will be able to handle the situation, but there may also be 100GBASE-ZR targeted reduced functionality implementations that only accept the values specified in Table 153-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence: "If a C13:C0 value other than 188 or 189, or DI=1 and II=1 is received, the GMP demapper behavior is undefined."

Proposed Response Status 0

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.6 P89 L43 # 17

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There should be an indication to the upper layer if block lock is not achieved, but according to clause 153.2.1 the SIGNAL_OK parameter of the FEC:IS_SIGNAL.indication depends only on the FEC alignment indication.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the clause 82.2.19.2.2 rx_blobk_lock indication to the SIGNAL_OK parameter defined in 153.2.1. Details of remedy including propossed text for this clause is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L12 # 19 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status X New variables are needed according to the update of the deskew state diagram propossed in bruckman 3ct 01 0320. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the following variables: fas status, alignment valid and fec enable deskew. Details of Remove fas match remedy including propossed text for these variables is presented in contribution Proposed Response bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 Bruckman, Leon C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P**90** L12 # 18 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X New variables are needed according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane SuggestedRemedy identification separation from the alignment process. SuggestedRemedy bruckman 3ct 01_0320. Add the following variables: fecl valid and lane id detected<x>. Details of remedy Proposed Response including propossed text for these variables is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 Bruckman, Leon C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 L12 # 20 P90 Comment Type TR Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type TR

A new variable is needed for the SIGNAL OK indication state diagram propossed in bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variable: fec align indication. Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Response Status O P90 L19

Huawei

Comment Status X In the new state diagram described in bruckman 3ct 01 0320 there is no need for

Response Status 0

P90 L22

Huawei

fas valid needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process.

Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution

Response Status O

P90 / 29

Huawei

Comment Status X

current fecl needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process.

SuggestedRemedy

Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L41 # 24 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X fec lane needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process. SuggestedRemedy Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.2 P91 L15 # 25 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type TR In the new state diagram described in bruckman 3ct 01 0320 there is no need for the FAS COMPARE function. SuggestedRemedy Remove the FAS COMPARE function Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 27 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X New counters are needed for the lane identification state diagram propossed in

New counters are needed for the lane identification state diagram propossed in bruckman_3ct_01_0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following counters: fecl_ok_count and fecl_bad_count. Details of remedy including propossed text for these counters is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 28

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

New counters are needed for the SIGNAL OK state diagram propossed in bruckman $\,$ 3ct $\,$ 01 $\,$ 0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following counters: align_ok_count and align_bad_count. Details of remedy including propossed text for these counters is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 26

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

A new counter is needed for the alignment loss state diagram propossed in

A new counter is needed for the alignment loss state diagram propossed in bruckman_3ct_01_0320 to keep the FAS position during loss of alignment

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following counter: fas_in_counter. Details of remedy including propossed text for this counter is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Proposed Response Status **O**

Cl 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P91 L35 # 29

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The SIGNAL_OK parameter of the FEC:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is driven by fec align status.

fec_align_status is false if any lane looses alignment, but this happens frequently due to pre-FEC high BER. According to the text in this case receiver may be impaired frequently.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a stability state diagram for the fec_align_status variable. Details of remedy including the state diagram are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P92 L13 # 87 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P93 L3 # 32 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X FAS COMPARE should read COMP to be consistent with the left side of the block diagram fec enable deskew is not defined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to COMP Define fec enable deskew as follows: "A Boolean variable that enables and disables the deskew process. The alignment start shall be maintained when fec align status is false. It Proposed Response Response Status O is set to true when deskew is enabled and set to false when deskew is disabled." The definition is similar to the fec enable deskew variable definition in 91.5.4.2.1, without SC 153.2.4.4 P92 # 88 allowing bits to be discarded during the deskew process to avoid communication C/ 153 L14 impairment during the frequent synchronization losses (due to pre-FEC BER). Maniloff, Eric Ciena Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X FAS COMPAR is a typo SuggestedRemedy C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P93 **L3** # 31 change FAS COMPAR to FAS COMPARE Bruckman, Leon Huawei Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type TR Several issues with the SC-FEC deskew state diagram; fasalign status and all fas valid are not defined, fec enable deskew is always false. C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P92 L47 # 30 SuggestedRemedy Bruckman, Leon Huawei A updated SC-FEC deskew state diagram is presented in contrbution bruckman 3ct 01 0321 Comment Type TR Comment Status X New state diagrams are needed to separate the lane identification from the alignment Proposed Response Response Status O process. SuggestedRemedy C/ 153 SC 153.2.5 P94 / 10 # 36 New state diagrams are presented in contrbution bruckman 3ct 01 0320 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X Lane identification shall be separated from lane lock, add the lane identification status. SuggestedRemedy Add the lane identification row to Table 153-2 after the second row. Details of remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.5.2 P93 L39 # 33 C/ 153 SC 153.3.1 P94 L48 # 37 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Text not clear The SC-FEC not only sends 20 parallel bit streams to the 100GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer, it also receives 20 parallel bit streams from the PMA sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword contains errors". After the end of sentence: "SC-FEC continuously sends...", add: "Likewise the 100GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer continuously sends 20 parallel bit streams to the SC-FEC sublayer." to: "An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 153.3.2 P96 LO C/ 153 # 66 C/ 153 SC 153.2.5.3 P94 / 1 # 34 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type TR Comment Status X Skew tolerance and generation are not specified for the PMA, but are essential budgeting Lane identification validity MDIO control vailables are needed for the lane identification end to end skew. Normally, for new 100GBASE PHYs we would simply refer back to 80.5, separation from the alignment process. however, the stack for 100GBASE-ZR is a bit different and the PMA is different in various SugaestedRemedy ways. Add SC-FEC line identification status 1 and 2 registers, as detailed in contribution SuggestedRemedy bruckman 3ct 01 0320 Define skew points in a similar way as for 100GBASE-R/P in 80.5. A presentation will be Proposed Response Response Status O provided with background and proposals. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.5.3 P94 **L8** # 35 Bruckman, Leon Huawei C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.2.2 P95 L50 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Bruckman, Leon Huawei SC-FEC align status shall be driven by the stable fec alignment indication Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Text not clear Replace fec align status with the new variable fec align indication (used in the SIGNAL SuggestedRemedy OK stability state diagram, see bruckman 3ct 01 0320) Change: "The selection of the two lanes of the four-lane interface is used to form each Proposed Response Response Status O stream of DQPSK symbols is arbitrary". to: "The selection of the two lanes of the four-lane interface used to form each stream of DQPSK symbols is arbitrary" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 6 P107 L25 # 96 C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This sentence is unclear. "However, it does not enable interoperability at multichannel "Any of the test patterns given for a particular test in Table 154-12 may be used to perform points between the optical multiplexer and demultiplexer that are likely to be included in the that test." is not needed black link" What are multichannel points? If a single channel is only supported through one SuggestedRemedy transfer characteristics, then mentioning interoperability through multichannel points is not Remove sentance needed. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Drop sentaence. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI C/ 154 SC 7.2 P111 # 97 Comment Type E Comment Status X L11 TBD not required DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Eliminate TBD TBD value for receiver damage threshold. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy For amplified links, 48 channel system can have 48 channels launched at +1 dbm for 80 km link. Total amplified power for +1 dBm launch power, 48 channels, 17.8 dBm total power is realized. Occassionally, mistakes are made, and this total power is applied to a C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 receiver without a DeMux or fiber span. Suggest using 18 dBm as maximum damage Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John threshold for receiver damage threshold. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Consider dropping table SuggestedRemedy C/ 154 SC 8.1 P110 L52 # 98 Drop table since a specific pattern is not required for testing transmitter characteristics. DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X Specific test patterns are not required, based on Clause 153.2.3.2.5 SC-FEC encoder, and Clause 153.2.3.2.6 Scrambler for dual polarization optical signals. The scrambler and dual

compliance. SuggestedRemedy

> Modify 154.8.1 to: "Compliance is to be achieved in normal operation, and Clause 153.2.3.2.5 SC-FEC encoder, and Clause 153.2.3.2.6 Scrambler, provide a sufficient pseudo random signal for transmit parameter measurments."

carrier channels provide enogh randomization for optical signal parameter messurment and

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 8.1

L6

L16

L19

90

91

92

Page 15 of 22 2/26/2020 12:30:12 PM

C/ 154 SC 8.2 P112 L33 # 93 DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI Comment Status X Comment Type E eliminate sentance. SuggestedRemedy eliminate sentance "The transmitter is modulated using the test pattern defined in Table 154-12." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 8.3 P112 L38 # 94 Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Comment Status X Comment Type E Modify SugaestedRemedy Change to: "The average optical power is measured per the test setup in Figure 53-6." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 9.1 P114 L51 # 95 Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Comment Type E Comment Status X Modify sentence SuggestedRemedy Change to: "whether coupled into a fiber or from an open MDI active output" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.3.2 P102 L48 # 73 Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X TBD for skew at SP2, SP3, SP4 and SP5 needs a value and additionally the ssentences that there is no skew variation need to be removed because of the presence of 2 lanes. each at 50 Gb/s SuggestedRemedy Replace text by "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns and the Skew Variation at SP2 is limited to 400 ps.The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than 54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 shall be less than 600 ps. The Skew at SP4 (the receiver MDI) shall be less than 134 ns and the Skew Variation at SP4 shall be less than 3.4 ns. If the PMD service interface is physically instantiated so that the Skew at SP5 can be measured, then the Skew at SP5 shall be less than 145 ns and the Skew Variation at SP5 shall be less than 3.6 ns." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.5.2 P104 L41 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Text not clear SuggestedRemedy Change: "The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request to PMD:IS UNITDATA 1.request into two DQPSK optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and delivered to the MDI.". to: "The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested

by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request to PMD:IS UNITDATA 1.request into two DQPSK optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and deliver them to the MDI."

C/ 154

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.5.2 P104 L44 # 67

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The change made in D1.2 is incorrect. It is a stream of DPQSK symbols transferred via the tx_symbol parameter. Although tx_symbol is earlier defined in the referenced 116.3 its reference here is somewhat mysterious.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 154.5.2. to the following:

"The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service

interface messages PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request(tx symbol) and

PMD:IS UNITDATA 1.request(tx symbol) into two DQPSK

optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit optical

specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps symbols from each tx_symbol parameter to phase changes to each of the DQPSK optical signals as specified in Table 154–4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.5.3 P105 L39 # 68

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The change made in D1.2 is incorrect. It is a stream of DPQSK symbols transferred via the rx_symbol parameter. Although rx_symbol is earlier defined in the referenced 116.3, its reference here is somewhat mysterious. The list of primitives is two so connector should be "and" not "to".

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in 154.5.3 to:

The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into two

DQPSK symbol streams for delivery to the PMD service interface using the messages PMD:IS UNITDATA

0.indication(rx_symbol) and PMD:IS_UNITDATA_1.indication(rx_symbol), all according to the receive optical specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps the phase changes on each of the DQPSK optical signals to symbols on each rx_symbol parameter as specified in Table 154–4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.5.4 P105 L48 # 69

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Although the service interface in 116.3 is used as a basis for specification, subclause 154.2 (which specifies the service interface for this PMD) further elaborates (e.g., number of leans, SIGNAL OK parameter values, etc.) the details. Should reference 154.2 instead.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "116.3" to "154.2".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L6 # 74

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

TBD for Signal_Detect Fail needs a value. Considering that this Clause primary objective is to achieve distances up to at least 80 km on the basis of an optically amplified black link it is proposed to use the common average power value of -30 dBm and add a note that for unamplified cases a lower threshold may be necessary

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by "-30" and add a note "for applications on unamplified links it may be necessary to use a lower value".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L9 # 46

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Should "(compliant 100GBASE-R)]" be on the same line as "AND"?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove extraneous carriage return or correct as needed.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L20 # 75 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P110 Stassar, Peter Stassar, Peter Huawei Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The TBD needs to be replaced by describing a condition of the signal that is being The TBD for Average channel output power (max) needs a value. Proposed is 0 dBm. monitored leaving a setting range of 8 dB, sufficient to meet the requirements for the 80 km application, in line with remarks made during previous meetings that for most SuggestedRemedy implementations the optical output power can be easily adjusted. Replace "in response to the TBD of the optical signal and implementations that respond to SuggestedRemedy the average optical power of the modulated optical signal." by "in response to the average optical power of the modulated optical signal." Replace TBD by "0" (zero) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P109 L49 # 40 C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 P111 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR "Minimum channel spacing" is not defined. The TBD needs to be replaced by a value. It is suggested to specify 3 dBm, which is 3 dB above the proposed Tx average output power. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Minimum channel spacing" is defined in ITU-T G.671 clause 3.2.3.17 as: "The centre-tocentre difference in frequency or wavelength between adjacent channels in a WDM device. Replace TBD by "3" DWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in [ITU-T G.694.1]. CWDM channel Proposed Response Response Status O spacings are based on the grid found in IITU-T G.694.21.". So in clause 154.8 it can be defined as: "The minimum channel spacing, as defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.671, shall be within the limits given in Table 154-8." C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 Proposed Response Response Status O Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type Comment Status X The term "residual" between brackets in the parameter name "(residual) chromatic dispersion" may be confusing and imply usage of dispersion compensation inside the black

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P110 L5 # 99

Schmitt. Matt CableLabs

Comment Status X Comment Type T

For the TBD value of "Average channel output power (max)" in Table 154-8, propose adopting the same value as the CableLabs PHYv1.0 specification, which was selected as a safety threshold (as opposed to a power level anyone thought would ever be used).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "7" for "Average channel output power (max)" in Table 154-8.

Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove "(residual)" in both parameter entries in Table 154-10.

link, which is unlikely in the anticipated applications. Therefore it is proposed to remove

Proposed Response Response Status O

"(residual)".

L5

L11

L36

76

77

86

P111 C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 L36 # 78 Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

At the January 2020 meeting in Geneva it was agreed to set the maximum chromatic dispersion to 1600 ps/nm. This is appropriate for black links containing 80 km of G.652 fiber. ITU-T SG15 at its recent closing plenary meeting 7 Feb 2020 consented revised Recommendation G.654, adding new fiber type G.654.E, optimized for low loss, but with somewhat higher chromatic dispersion values. This new fiber type should not be precluded for usage inside the black link, because it may be appealing for operators/users. The worst case chromatic dispersion over the wavelength range of interest is 24.14 ps/nm. leading to a worst case link dispersion of 1931 ps/nm. 2000 ps/nm would be an appropriate rounded number for 80 km links. The relevant ITU-T Recommendations provide a difference in maximum attenuation of 0.05 dB/km, implying a loss difference of 4 dB over 80 km.

SugaestedRemedy

Replace 1600 by 2000

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L37 # 79

Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Status X

TR

A dispersion of -200 ps/nm will occur only when using G.653 (dispersion shifted) fibers. which are not anticipated to be used in C-band applications. Therefore the minimum chromatic dispersion should be 0 ps/nm for 0 km.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace -200 by 0 (zero)

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L39 # 80

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Status X Comment Type TR

The parameter "Fiber zero dispersion wavelength" does not seem to useful. Should be deleted

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row for "Fiber zero dispersion wavelength" from Table

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L40 # 81

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X The TBD for "Fiber dispersion slope (max) (S0)" needs to be replaced by a value, 0.05 ps/nm.nm.km is an appropriate minimum for both G.652 and G.654.E fibers avoiding

occurrence of FWM

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by 0.05

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L42

Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There should be a value 0f 25 dB for "Minimum optical return loss at TP2" in accordance with agreed resolution to comment #88 to D1.1. at the January 2020 meeting in Geneva

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by 25

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L43 # 83

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Status X Comment Type TR

Because the medium is a black link there should not be a requirement for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3"

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3" from Table

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 **L1** # 100

Schmitt. Matt Cablel abs

Ε

Shouldn't Table 154-9 be in Sub-clause 154.7.2 as in previous drafts? Is there a reason that it isn't inline with that text? If not, it should be moved there.

Comment Status X

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Move Table 154-9 back into sub-clause 154.7.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L11 # 102

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

For the TBD value of "Damage threshold" in Table 154-9, the most energy that could hit the receiver if a transmitter and receiver are connected back to back would nominally be the same as the max output from the transmitter as defined in Table 154-8. However, if the signal were fed into an optical ampplifier before being connected to the receiver it could be much higher. Therefore, for additional safety in this case, propose setting the value to +18

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Change "TBD" to "18" for "Damage threshold" in Table 154-9.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L29 # 101

Schmitt. Matt Cablel abs Comment Status X Comment Type

Shouldn't Table 154-10 be in Sub-clause 154.7.3 as in previous drafts? Is there a reason it isn't inline with that text? If not it should be moved there

SuggestedRemedy

Move Table 154-10 back into sub-clause 154.7.3.

Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111

L42

L43

103

104

Schmitt. Matt

Cablel abs

Comment Type

Comment Status X

In table 86-10. Optical Return Loss is defined as being measured at point TP2 looking downstream into the fiber. Therefore, having "Optical return loss" in Table 154-8 and "Optical return loss at TP2" in Table 154-10 is redundant, since they are both the same thing measured at the same point (one implicitly, one explicitly). To be consistent with other usage in 802.3, propose keeping "Optical return loss" in Table 154-8, and removing "Optical return loss at TP2" from Table 154-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the row from Table 154-10 for "Optical return loss at TP2".

Proposed Response

Schmitt. Matt

Response Status O

C/ 154 P111 SC 154.8.1 Cablel abs

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Per the contribution stassar 3ct 01 200213, propose to remove "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3" from Table 154-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the row from Table 154-10 for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L15 # 121

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The last entry in Table 154-11 is TBD. There are no other defined test patterns.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1. Delete the contents of the entire row for the "TBD" entry
- 2 Rename Table 154-11 to "Test Pattern"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L18 # 123 C/ 154 SC 154.8.13 P113 L47 # 89 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The title for Table 154-12 seems incorrect. The ITest pattern definitions are inTable 154-The reach will likely be limited to < 80km for the unamplified case due to the input power 11. What is actually being defined is the test patterns during testing of optical paramaeters restriction, not the OSNR. So the comment "The associated channel loss will likely limit the maximum SuggestedRemedy reach of these applications to less than 80 km specified for amplified applications." should Change title of Table 154-12 to "Optical Parameter Test-pattern definitions and related be in clause 154.8.13 rather than 154.8.15 subclauses. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move the text "The associated channel loss will likely limit the maximum reach of these applications to less than 80 km specified for amplified applications." from clause 154.8.15 to 154.8.13 P112 C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 L22 # 122 Proposed Response Response Status O D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type TR C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 P114 L44 # 106 There has only been one test pattern defined in Table 154- in that can be used in Table 154-12 for the optical parameters. Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Change TBD in all optical paramaeter entries to Pattern 5. P802.3cr is harmonizing general safety references across all of IEEE 802.3 in Annex J. P802.3cr is in the 1st WG ballot recirculation and is likely to complete the ballot cycle prior Proposed Response Response Status O to P802.3ct. Coordination between TFs and the P802.3cr project should be maintained to keep this material in sync. SuggestedRemedy C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L27 # 120 Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1." to "All D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as Comment Type TR Comment Status X specified in J.2". Add Editor's Note to be removed prior to SA ballot to align text with changes to P802.3cr. The last entry in Table 154-12 is TBD. There are no other test parameters requiring a test pattern definition pointing to Table 154-12 in the draft Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy Delete the contents of the entire row for the "TBD" entry C/ 154 SC 154.11 P117 **L1** # 107 Proposed Response Response Status O Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Comment Status X Comment Type T If Annex J is inserted in 154.9.1 then the PICs require updating. SuggestedRemedy Add "General Safety" PICS entry and use "Conforms to J.2" for Value/format.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.11.13 P118 L1 # 125

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The PICs tables starting in 154.11.3 are incomplete.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the required PICS tables with the information from issenhuth_3ct_04_0320

Proposed Response Response Status O