C/ FM SC FM P1 L39 # 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.133a.1 P27 L28 **Charter Communications** Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Task Force review" On reading the definition of this bit, it is absolutely not clear what "Integer value of the Tx optical channel index" really is. Is it frequency in nm. some arbotrary channel number, or SuggestedRemedy something altogether else (frequency in THz?) Likely for initial Working Group review. Next versions should say "working Group ballot SuggestedRemedy recirculation" Please clarify what specific column from Table 154–6 is mapped into this register Proposed Response Response Status O The same comment applies to register 1.820.5:0 defined in 45.2.1.133e.2 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 P**0** L0 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa P35 L22 Comment Type E Comment Status X Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Wrong copyright year Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy First use of the term IFEC, not defined anywhere really. 2019 is gone, please use 2020 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Provide definition (do not see it in 802.3-2018 right now) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1460a P22 L14 # 3 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa P35 L49 Comment Type E Comment Status X **Charter Communications** Haiduczenia. Marek is there any specific reason to capitalize "Black Link" and "Channel Spacing"? Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Block of text is misaligned / extra spaces at the front All other definitions use lower caps unless it is a propwer name. Consider dropping caps SuggestedRemedy Same for 1.4.237a/b/d (no need to capitalize Channel/Link/System) Per comment Same for 1.4.401a - drop case Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L29 # 7 C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P50 L**5** # 10 Dell EMC Laubach, Mark Self Lewis, Jon Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This is Working Group ballot "Clause 83", "Clause 94", "Clause 135" and "Clause 153" should be forest green. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Task Force review" to "Working Group ballot" Make 'em forest green. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 0 P1 L27 C/ 152 SC 152.5.1 P60 C/ 00 # 8 L44 Dell EMC Self Lewis, Jon Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-202x in the list Suggest modifying the line beginning with "<ital>inst<ital>" for clarity. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "IEEE std 802.3cr-202x" and align the list with the anticipated order of publication. Consider changing the beginning of the sentence to "Where <ital>inst<ital> is ". Then tighening up the spaces and horizontal centering for the line. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 P12 L36 # 9 SC 154.6 C/ 154 P106 L8 # 12 Lewis. Jon Dell FMC Laubach, Mark Self Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Add IEEE std 802.3cr information The grey shaded box in Figure 154-3 is confusing. Should be removed, less grey, and/or SuggestedRemedy labeled as "black link"? Add "IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx SuggestedRemedy This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This Editor's choice to amend for clarity. amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 Proposed Response Response Status O "Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video, information and communication

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the standard corresponding to the new references." and align with expected publication order.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 154 SC 154.6 P107 L32 # 13 C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.3 P118 **L6** # 16 Self Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Laubach, Mark Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Missing cross reference The table is for "PMD to MDI optical specifications for 100GBASE-ZR" but the entries are duplicates of the first 2 lines of the previous table SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Both occurences of "Table 154-6" in this paragraph should be a cross reference. Modify the table to include the proposed values per D1.2 comment 125. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P113 C/ 154 SC 154.8.21 / 18 # 14 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L33 # 17 Self Laubach, Mark Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Text is mis-formatted as italic. The placement of the "a" footnote marker is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to regular, non-italic text. Move the location of the footnote marker to after (193.6). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L14 # 15 C/ FM P1 SC FM L27 # 18 Anslow. Pete Self Issenhuth. Tom Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type E Comment Status X Changes to figures (other than the title) should show the figure as changed, not rely on the Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cp-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cs-20xx roll-up editor to interpret the change. Also, there should only be one "or" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert .cr and .cp after .ca and insert .cs after .cu Change the editing instruction to: Proposed Response Response Status O "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following figure:" bring Figure 80-1 in to the draft and change: "100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P" to: "100GBASE-R, C/ FM SC FM P12 L37 # 19 100GBASE-P. Issenhuth. Tom Huawei or 100GBASE-Z" with no underline or strikethrough. Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cp-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cs-20xx Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert .cr and .cp after .ca and insert .cs after .cu Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 19

Page 3 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:16 AM

SC 154.11.4.6 C/ FM SC FM P1 L27 # 20 C/ 154 P119 L8 # 24 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X 802.3ch and 802.3ca have been approved as standards. IEC 61753-1-1 has been withdrawn and superseeded by IEC 61753-1 Edition 2.0 August 1, SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change -20xx to -2020 for both. Change to IEC 61753-1 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC FM P12 L20 # 21 C/ FM C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.5 P**66** L7 # 25 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X 802.3ch has now been approved as a standard. Missed a conversion from Tx to Rx. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change -20xx to -2020. Change "rx coded c, from tx xcoded" to "rx coded c, from rx coded" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P12 L26 # 22 C/ 152 SC 152.3.7 P68 L3 # 26 Issenhuth. Tom Huawei Slavick. Jeff Broadcom Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type TR Comment Status X 802.3ca has now been approved as a standard. In 91.5.2.7 it refers to tx scrambled and am txmapped, but in this Clause it's rx scrambled SuggestedRemedy and am rxmapped. Change -20xx to -2020. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add the following after 91.5.2.7: "with the exception that the message symbols come from rx scrambled and rx ammapped." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.10 P114 L43 # 23 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X IEC 61753-1-1 has been withdrawn and superseeded by IEC 61753-1 Edition 2.0 August 1, 2018

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change to IEC 61753-1

Response Status O

Comment ID 26

Page 4 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:16 AM

C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.3 P61 L20 # 27 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L43 # 30 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The decoder is identical to clause 91 except for the variable that contains the data. State Is the pattern supplied sent Left to right or Right to left or first field (sent R to L) followed by 2nd field (sent R to L) that clearly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "The Reed-Solomon decoder extracts the message symbols from the RS(544,514) Add statement to 1) which defines the order the bits are transmitted. codeword, corrects them as necessary, and discards the parity symbols. The message Proposed Response Response Status O symbols correspond to 20 transcoded blocks tx scrambled. See 91.5.3.3." To: "The Reed-Solomon decoder implements the RS(544,510) FEC decoder described in 91.5.3.3 with the exception that message symbols come from tx_scrambled." C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L20 # 31 Proposed Response Response Status O Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X / 1 C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.7 P68 # 28 No Annex which provides a sample FEC frame is provided like 91A and 119A Slavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Add an Annex that provides a sample SC-FEC frame Capitlazation Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Make the "E" in "Encoder" lowercase for the section title. And the first sentence of the text in the section. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ab P35 L48 # 32 Proposed Response Response Status O Nicholl. Shawn Xilinx Comment Type ER Comment Status X Extra space at start of line. C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.8 P68 15 # 29 SuggestedRemedy Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Remove the space that precedes "The assignment of bits ..." Comment Status X Comment Type E Proposed Response Response Status O Capitlazation SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Make the "D" in "Distribution" lowercase for the section title.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.3 P61 L21 # 33 C/ FM SC FM P12 L22 # 36 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Wienckowski, Natalie **General Motors** Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This sub-clause makes reference to 91.5.3.3, without indication of differences from ch is Amendment 8. The description has been slightly modified for publication. 91.5.3.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "Amendment 8(Em dash)" before the description. 91.5.3.3 (as amended by 802.3cd-2018) contains an optional 91.5.3.3.1 FEC Degraded Change: Clause 149 and Annex 149A and Annex 149B SER. Propose to add a sentence to 152.5.2.3 saving "The optional sub-clause 91.5.3.3.1 To: Clause 149, Annex149A, and Annex 149BB is not supported for the Inverse RS-FEC sublayer". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 P12 C/ FM SC FM L26 # 37 P**65** L5 C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.1 # 34 Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X IEEE802.3ca was approved by the Standards Board. Typo in concatenatiing SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change: 20xx to 2020 Replace "concatenatiing" with "concatenating" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P12 L28 # 38 # 35 C/ FM SC FM P12 L20 Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X ca is Amendment 9. IEEE802.3ch was approved by the Standards Board. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "Amendment 9(Em dash)" before the description. Change: 20xx to 2020 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ab.8 P37 L33 # 39 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P48 L27 # 42 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Awkward wording The (Em dash) after the table number is not part of the table number and should not be included in the reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: the decoder has this ability to the bypass error indication function Remove the (EM dash) after Table 80-1 in the editing instruction. Look for this throughout To: the decoder has this ability to bypass the error indication function the document, e.g. P49L3, etc. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L16 # 40 P49 Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 13 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X It seems this is a note for the Editor on what they were supposed to do. When this is Where is table 80-4a? "rolled up" the changes aren't shown. I don't know if the intent was to show an updated drawing, or just to provide the changed text that would be in the drawing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following in the editing instruction after Table 80-4a: (as inserted by IEEE Std Change: "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z," with proper strike-out and 802.3cd-2018) underline. Proposed Response Response Status O To: 100GBASE-R(start underline), (end underline) or 100GBASE-P(start underline), or 100GBASE-Z(end underline) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 80 SC 80.5 P54 **L1** # 44 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P48 / 20 # 41 Comment Type E Comment Status X The editing instruction says to change the table, but I don't see any underline or Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors strikethrough in the table to indicate changes. Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy "Add" is not a proper editing instruction, you need to use "insert". When all the text shown Delete the editing instruction and table 80-7. is being inserted, it doesn't need to be underlined. Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change editing instruction to: Insert the following text as a new eight paragraph of 80.1.4

Response Status O

as follows:

Proposed Response

Also, remove underline on text to be inserted.

C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.5 P61 L38 # 45 C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P84 L16 # 48 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "as follows" should always be followed by ":", not "." missing spaces SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: as follows. Change: 255/227 To: 255 / 227 To: as follows: Make this change throughout the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P86 L3 # 49 C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.6 P**67** L35 # 46 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X math error. If this is not a math error, please explain how 3 can be the correct answer. Incorrect number format SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 75 + 12 - 80 = 3Change: 16384 To: 75 + 12 - 80 = 7 To: 16 384 (with a non-breaking space) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P86 / 29 # 50 # 47 C/ 153 SC 153.1.2 P80 L35 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing spaces Why is AN in the list of acronyms for the Figure when AN isn't used in the Figure? If it's in SuggestedRemedy the Figure and I missed it, NEGOTATION should be NEGOTIATION. Change: 512×510 SuggestedRemedy To: 512 × 510 Delete: AN = AUTO-NEGOTATION Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P87 L33 # 51 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P87 L35 # 54 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing "be" verb wrong "dash" type SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: first 16 octets of the FEC frame distributed Change the "En dash" after "NOTE" to an "EM dash" To: first 16 octets of the FEC frame are distributed Also P94L44, P95L30 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P**89** L15 C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.2 P117 L26 # 55 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Wrong support options for a Mandatory item for an optional feature. In this case the inconsistent/incorrect use of true/false & True/False throughout this subclause choices should be Yes and N/A SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy When describing the states of a Boolean variable use "TRUE" and "FALSE". Change: No Proposed Response Response Status O To: N/A Also P118L7 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153 3 1 P93 / 49 # 53 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P87 L47 # 56 Comment Type E Comment Status X missing spaces Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Status X Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The frame alignment process encounters false loss of lock too frequently, as described in Change: 255/227 trowbridge 01 200528 presented in the 28 May 2020 interim Task Force Conference call. To: 255 / 227 Also on line P93L51, P94L38, P94L53, P95L24 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Implement the remedy described in trowbridge 01a 200611 to the 11 June 2020 Interim Task Force call. Note that this remedy includes a change to the Bibliography (Annex A) as well as to clause 153. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 9 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:16 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 L9 C/ 154 SC 154.2 L15 P50 # 57 P101 # 60 Trowbridge, Steve Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X A clause 135 PMA may be used across the C2M interface (above the Inverse RS-FEC) in a The number cited (27.9525 Gbd) is not an exact nominal value. Same issue in the next 100GBASE-ZR PHY type paragraph. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The corresponding PMA clause uses the exact formula and an approximate nominal: Change: (255/227)x24.8832 Gbd (~27.9525 Gbd). "Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P PHY types." Proposed Response Response Status O "Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-ZR PHY types." Proposed Response Response Status O SC 1.4.237a 1 25 C/ 1 P22 # 61 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, Cisco, Commscope, Marvell, Se Comment Type TR Comment Status X C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P51 / 20 # 58 The definitions DWDM Channel, Link, PHY, and System are circular without a definition of Trowbridge, Steve Nokia DWDM. A definition for DWDM was proposed in the work, Comment Type ER Comment Status X (http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/18 03/dambrosia b10k 01 0318.pdf) but never The "..." appears in the wrong place - 3 occurrences in Figure 80-4a included in the draft, and it is not present in 802.3-2018. While Wavelength Division Multiplexing may be self explanatory, and the expansion obvious, the expansions in the 802 SuggestedRemedy abbreviations don't provide the necessary technical information for a definition. basically. Move "..." to be between lane 1 and lane 19 in both the Tx and Rx direction in the PMA how dense is dense. A definition from the study group, based on G.671, modified to make service interface, and between lane 1 and lane 19 in the Rx direction in the FEC service it clear that optical transmission is meant, is offered. interface SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add new definition 1.4.227a Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM). An optical WDM technology where the frequency spacing is less than or equal to 1000 GHz. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 153.3.2.3.1 L24 C/ 153 P95 # 59 Trowbridge. Steve Nokia C/ FM SC FM P1 L28 # 62 Comment Type E Comment Status X The encoder (clause 153.3.2.2.2) does the math and gives a number (not just a formula) Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems for the top-line baud rate, but the equivalent decoder section does not. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy 802.3ch-2020 and 802.3ca-2020 have been published Add the approximate top-line baud rate (~27.9525 GBd) after the formula. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "802.3ch-20XX and 802.3ca-20XX" to "802.3ch-2020 and 802.3ca-2020" throught the document Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 62

Page 10 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:16 AM

C/ FM SC FM P21 L2 # 63 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P49 L24 # 66 Cadence Design Systems Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Marris, Arthur Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X It would be nice if coherent modulation was mentionned in the abstract Is Clause 91 really an option? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change second sentence in abstract to: "This amendment adds 100 Gb/s Physical Layer Delete "O" from the 91 column or delete the column completely in Table 80-4b. Also specifications and management parameters for operation over DWDM systems using consider deleting 91 row from Table 154-1 coherent modulation with reaches of at least 80 km." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.6 P**72** L15 # 67 C/ 45 P36 L21 SC 45.2.1.186ab # 64 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Insert IFEC enable functionality that is currently specified in IEEE Draft P802.3ck/D1.2 1 2201 7:3 are reserved SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Incoroporate the 802.3ck modifications to 152.6 and 45.2.1.186aa in 802.3ct. Also make it Change "1.2201.6:3" to "1.2201.7:3" in Table 45-150ab so IFEC is enabled by setting the variable to one (not zero) "When the IFEC Enable variable is set to one, the Inverse RS-FEC sublayer performs the transmit function as Proposed Response Response Status O specified in 152.5.2 and the receive function as specified in 152.5.3. When the variable is set to a zero, the transmit and receive functions are disabled, and the Inverse RS-FEC sublayer is bypassed," Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah P39 L44 # 65 Proposed Response Response Status O Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 154 L SC 154.7 P107 # 68 This is the first use the term SC-FEC so it would be good to explain the abbreviation Stassar, Peter Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Change text to: "The assignment of bits in the SC-FEC (staircase FEC) alignment status 1 Several of the parameter namings are not consistent with previously used conventions and register is shown in Table 45-150ag." should therefore be modified. This has already been discussed during the TF interim Proposed Response Response Status O teleconference meeting on 11 June 2020 as shown in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/tf interim/20 0611/stassar 3cw 01 200611.pdf. In this context it is strongly desirable to use consistent naming between 100GBASE-ZR and 400GBASE-ZR draft specifications SuggestedRemedy Implement the changes as proposed in http://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/tf interim/20 0611/stassar 3cw 01 200611.pdf, except "Average receive power [amplified] (max)" which should be "Average receive power [amplified] (min)"

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 68

Response Status 0

Page 11 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 L32 # 69 C/ FM SC FM P**2** L5 P104 # 71 Stassar, Peter Huawei Grow, Bob RMG Consulting Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The signal detect level of -30 dBm at TP3 is too low in the presence of optical noise (ASE) This instance of "Energy Efficient Ethernet" isn't hyphenated. due to the presence of one (or more) optical amplifier(s) inside the black link. In order to SuggestedRemedy get a sufficiently reliable signal detect level in the case of amplified operation, this **Energy-Efficient Ethernet** threshold should be increased to -23 dBm, which is still sufficiently below the Minimum average input power [amplified] of -16 dBm specified for the amplified operation. On the Proposed Response Response Status O other hand for unamplified operation, being a side application supported by this specification, a signal detect level of -30 dBm is right on the level of Minimum average input power [unamplified] of -30 dBm and therefore too high for the unamplified operation. Defining a single signal detect level appropriate for both amplified and unamplified C/ FM SC FM P12 L22 operation is therefore not possible. Because the amplified operation is the "normative" Grow. Bob RMG Consulting application consistent with the agreed objective of 80 km, this specification needs to focus on that application. A suitable signal detect in an unamplified application should be Comment Type E Comment Status X addressed in a note. This amendment has a number. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In Table 154-5 modify the signal detect level of -30 dBm to -23 dBm and adress Insert "Amendment 8 --". unamplified operation in a Note, with content TBD, pending further discussion Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ FM SC FM P12 L28 C/ FM SC FM P1 L28 # 70 Grow. Bob RMG Consulting Grow, Bob RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X This amendment has a number. Including IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx in the list (which is in WG recirculation 2) makes sense (and is justified by inclusion of base text from cu. but I believe with P802.3cr (which is in SA SuggestedRemedy ballot) the list should also include IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx. as P802.3cu/D2.2, 151.9.1 Insert "Amendment 9 -- ". includes a reference to J2, and therefore needs to follow P802.3cr as currently written.

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

Add IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx to the list as the 10th amendment (before IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx).

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P12 L37 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L16 # 74 # 76 RMG Consulting Grow, Bob RMG Consulting Grow, Bob Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Because this draft references Annex J2 (154.9.1), IEEE Std 802.3cr needs to precede this The text inappropriately includes editing instruction. project in amendment number because it adds the Annex. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In Figure 80-1, replace the list of medium types ("100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P") under Add: IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx CGMII with "100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-Z". Delete line 16. Or alternately, provide a replacement table with an editing instruction to replace Table 80-1. Amendment 10 -- This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Proposed Response Response Status O Annex J. This amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video, information and communication technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the C/ 80 SC 80.2.2 P49 L33 standard corresponding to the new references This amendment includes changes to IEEE Grow. Bob RMG Consulting Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology Comment Type E Comment Status X equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC The base text and change marking is incorrect. 62368 "Audio/video, information and communication technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the standard corresponding to the new references. SuggestedRemedy There should be a strikethrough "and" before 100GBASE-P" and ", and 100GBASE-Z" Proposed Response Response Status O should be underscore. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4 P**22** L37 # 75 Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 P113 L25 # 78 Comment Type Comment Status X Grow. Bob RMG Consulting 802.3bt deleted 294 and instructed renumbering. Previous amendments have used the Comment Type E Comment Status X renumbered subclause for items after 294. This text differs from P802.3cr, 150.9.1. SuggestedRemedy The instruction should reference 400, and the insertion should be numbered 400a similar to SuggestedRemedy previous amendments. Replace sentence with: "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to J.2." Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 L32 # 79 P104

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs Comment Type Т Comment Status X

Table 154-5 sets a requirement that if the Average optical power at TP3 is less than or equal to -30 dBm, the SIGNAL DETECT value must be set to FAIL. Since that is the same as the required lower threshold for receiver sensitivity, there is no margin for any inaccuracies in the receiver power meter. Further, it prohibits a receiver from exceeding the requirement for sensitivity, since all values less than -30 dBm must be marked as FAIL. even if the receiver can decode them successfully. Setting this value lower will provide some margin and permit implementations that exceed the minimum requirement.

SuggestedRemedy

In the first row of Table 154-5, change "-30 dBm" to "-32 dBm".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P104 L43 # 80

Schmitt. Matt Cablel abs

Comment Status X Comment Type

As pointed out in deandrea 3ct 01 200611, when an optical amplifier (EDFA) is a part of the black link, the noise floor could be amplified above the power threshold for signal detect. To account for that, while not mandatory, an implementer may wish to consider the presence of a valid 100GBASE-R signal in determining whether or not to set the SIGNAL DETECT value to OK. Some additional text to point that out could be helpful for implementers.

SuggestedRemedy

At the end of the 3rd paragraph in 154.5.4, add an additional sentence that reads: "In addition, as the presence of optical amplifiers in the black link could raise the noise floor above the value of minimum average input power [unamplified] in Table 154-9, implementations may wish to consider the presenece of a compliant 100GBASE-R signal in determining the setting of the SIGNAL DETECT value.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4.35a P22

L5

81

Dambrosia, John

Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER

The term "coherent" only appears 2x in D2.0 of P802.3ct, its use in defining the term "100GBASE-ZR" is not helpful to the reader

SuggestedRemedy

replace current definition with -"An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using 100GBASE-R encoding and

a PMD that employs dual polarization differential quadrature phase shift keying (DQPSK) modulation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment Status X

C/ 154 SC 154.1 P99

L8

82

Dambrosia, John

Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER

Comment Status X The term "coherent" only appears 2x in D2.0 of P802.3ct, its use in this sentence is not

"The optical signal generated by this PMD type is modulated using a dual polarization differential quadrature phase shift keying (DP-DQPSK) format suitable for reception by a coherent optical

receiver."

SuggestedRemedy

Replace this sentence with

The optical signal generated by this PMD type is modulated using a dual polarization differential quadrature phase shift keying (DP-DQPSK) format.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a P22 L14

Dambrosia, John

Futurewei. A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER

Comment Status X

A "black Link" is an approach to describing a DWDM Channel, not a link itself.

SuggestedRemedy

Change definition -

A black link s an approach to defining a single-mode fiber based DWDM channel by specifying the characteristics of the input and output of the link and its transfer characteristics, without specifying how the link is defined.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 83

Page 14 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

C/ 1 SC 1.4.237 P22 L25 # 84 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P48 L36 # 87 Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Dambrosia, John Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is no definition for DWDM The description of the 100GBASE-ZR PHY does not describe the nature of the agreed upon PHY - which is that a 100GBASE-ZR PHY may support operation of a single DWDM SuggestedRemedy link over 1 to 48 DWDM channels comprised of Tx and Rx signaling, where the abilities are add definition for DWDM defined for the device and selected by the users An optical WDM technology where the frequency spacing is less than or equal to 1000 GHz. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change description to -100 Gb/s PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least C/ 1 SC 1.4.401A P22 L40 # 85 80km (see Clause 154). Proposed Response Response Status O Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Comment Type ER Comment Status X The term "SOP" is only used 2x in D2.0, both times in 1.4.401a CI 82 SC 82.3.3 P**56** L14 # 88 in the base 802.3 standard. SOP stand stands for "Start-of-packet propagation delay" and is defined in 27.3.1.3.3. Its use is isn Clauses 27, 29, 41, and 61. Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei SugaestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X Replace sentence with -This note is specific to the mapping of 40GBASE-R PCS blocks. Editing it is not within 1.4.401a Polarization Dependent Loss: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of scope of the approved P802.3ct PAR. the state of SuggestedRemedy polarization over all states of polarization within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) or channel wavelength these proposed changes should be deleted. range (CWDM and WWDM links). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.1.1 P**57** L13 # 89 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L5 # 86 Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status X This language is too far reaching - "used across a chip-to-chip or chip-to-module interface" While it is true that 100GBASE-Z uses 100GBASE-R encoding, it uses a diffferent . The spec cares about IEEE defined interfaces, not just chip-to-chip or chip-to module interface and a different FEC is used for the PMD." modulation approach, but this is not shown architecturally in Fig 80-1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Redraw Fig 80-1 to include a stack for 100GBASE-Z Replaces "is used across a chip-to-chip or chip-to-module interface" with "is used with any Delete "In Figure 80-1 change the list of medium types under CGMII as follows: physical instantiation of 100GAUI-n and a different FEC is needed for the intended PMD." "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z." with proper strike-out and underline.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 89

Response Status O

Page 15 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P49 L23 # 90

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The multiple optional AUIs and FEC will not be clear to the general user to easily figure out, plus defining the inverse RS-FEC sublaver as optional isn't really the best descriptor. It is more "conditional" meaning that its use is dependent on whether an optional 100GAUI-n is used. Providing more description here would make the standard more readable to the general user.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1) Modify note a to "O=optional, M=Mandatory, C=Conditional
- 2) change Clause 152 Inverse RS-FEC from M to C. and add an indicator for Note b in next
- 3) add note B Clause 152 inverse RS-FEC needed when deploying Clause 91 RS-FEC in combination with 100GAUI-n defined by 135D, 135E, 135F, or 135G
- 4) add similar note to Table 154-1

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 152 SC 152.1.2 P58 L21 # 91

Dambrosia. John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

A generic FEC block is used which is because there are different FECs may be used with different PHYs. The same is true for PMAs. Therefore these two sublayers are conditional based on phy type

SuggestedRemedy

For Fig 152-1, add note "1" next to FEC and PMA sublayers, add note that states "Conditional based on PHY type". See Fig 80-1 for reference of implementation of note. Also modify Figures 135A-9, 135A-10 in a similar fashion.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 154.1 Dambrosia, John

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wording can be improved.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 154

This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based DWDM channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is described in the form of a black link (see 154.6).

P99

L7

Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

92

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 14 C/ 1 P22 L34 # 93

Dambrosia, John Futurewei. A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

For a DWDM system the presence of an optical mux / demux is key, as illustrated in Fig. 154-3, and should be explicitly stated in the definition...

SuggestedRemedy

Change definition of 1.4.237d DWDM System to

An aggregate of DWDM links optically multiplexed and demuxed onto and off of either a single optical fiber or a single optical

fiber per direction.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 93

Page 16 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

Cl 154 SC 154.6 P105 L36 # 94

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This setence does not adequately describe the operation of the 100GBASE-ZR PMD - This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid.

Given the differences between 100GBASE-ZR and 400GBASE-ZR in respect to the channel spacing, this should be clearly called out.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace sentence -

This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid.

With

This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid consisting of 48 channels based on a 100 GHz center channel spacing specified in Table 154-6.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ **154** SC **154.6** P**106** L**31** # 9<u>5</u>

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There is no requirement for a 100GBASE-ZR PHY to support all 48 channels. Additionally, it is not noted that a user needs to configure a 100GBASE-ZR Tx with a 100GBASE-ZR Rx, which support the same channel index numbers.

SuggestedRemedy

Add sentence at end of paragraph -

A 100GBASE-ZR PHY implementation may support 1 to 48 channel frequencies over a DWDM system. Configuration of a DWDM link with a 100GBASE-ZR Tx and Rx to support the same channel frequency is necessary.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ **154** SC **154.6**

P**105**

L38

96

Dambrosia, John

Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The use of the term "channel" in IEEE 802.3 can be confusing to some based on their point of reference, as it sometimes refer to the medium between the Tx and Rx. In the case of P802.3ct, it is used to describe both the medium between the tx and rx, as well as in reference to the frequency of the optical wavelength (i.e. channel index number, channel center frquency, approximate channel center wevelength).

SuggestedRemedy

change

The medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is also referred to as a DWDM channel

which is defined as the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency on a defined frequency

grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY.

Τo

The medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is also referred to as a DWDM channel

which is defined as the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency (referred to either by Channel Index Number or Channel Center Frequency) on a defined frequency grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.6

P105

L39

97

Dambrosia, John

Futurewei. A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR

TR Comment Status X

The definition in the body of the text for DWDM Channel is

"the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency on a defined frequency grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY."

This does not match the definition in 1.5.237a.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace definition in 1.4.237a with the noted definition that was in the body of the text.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 97

Page 17 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

C/ 154 SC 154.6 P105 L36 # 98 C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L17 # 101 Lewis, David Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Lumentum Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The formatting of text in 154.6 and 154.7 appears different to the other clauses. Perhaps Italic comment text Insert the following new definition after 1.4.181 "channel insertion loss": tighter line spacing or a different font size. and text below referres to the wrong sub-cluase of IEEE Std 802.3-2018. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Check and change the style to match the rest of the clause. Change 1.4.181 to 1.4.180, and 1.4.181a to 1.4.180a. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.8.21 P113 L18 # 99 C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.3.2 P**95** L34 # 102 Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The font is in italics. Sub-clause is self referencing. Reference to 153.3.2.3.2 is erroneous. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to the same style as other sub-clauses. Replace 153.3.2.3.2 with 153.3.2.2.2. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.6 P119 L9 # 100 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L46 # 103 Lewis. David Lumentum Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The text reads "whose fill level varies Item OC2 references IEC 61753-1-1, which has been withdrawn and replaced by IEC 61753-1: 2018. depending on whether 188 or 189 GMP words are filled in a given SC-FEC frame." should include that the fill level varies with the clock offset of the 100GBASE-R signal. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the first reference to IEC 61753-1. Modify text to read "whose fill level varies with the clock offset of the incoming 66B blocks Proposed Response Response Status O depending on whether 188 or 189 GMP words are filled in a given SC-FEC frame." Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 L32 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L33 # 107 P104 # 104 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X For the OSNR allowed by this specification, the integrated noise power after the demux OSNR Units should be dB / .1nm may be only ~7dB lower than the signal power. As such a note in Table 154-5 indicating SuggestedRemedy that SIGNAL DETECT may not be a reliable indicator of the optical signal if average power change unit column to dB (0.1nm) detection is used should be added. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Add note to Table 154-5 indicating "For amplified systems using average power for Signal Detect, the Signal Detect value may not indicate FAIL when the Optical Signal is below its specified threshold in Table 154-9" P108 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 L38 # 108 Proposed Response Response Status O Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X Note a applies to both amplified and unamplified systems. For design of the black link, it is C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P104 L32 # 105 necessary to know the input signal power and OSNR in order to ensure the Rx OSNR requirement is met. Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Rather than Optical Power the Receive Condition should refer to Signal Power Remove footnote a entirely. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "Average Optical" to "Optical signal" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P109 L44 # 109 Maniloff. Eric Ciena SC 154.7.1 C/ 154 P108 L24 # 106 Comment Type Т Comment Status X S 0 often refers to the Slope of the Chromatic Dispersion at the Zero Dispersion Maniloff, Eric Ciena Wavelength, I believe this parameter refers to the minimum dispersion in the operating Comment Status X Comment Type E wavelength range. Also, "Fiber dispersion" doesn't align with other specs for chromatic 1000 kHz = 1 MHz dispersion. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace 1000 kHz with 1 MHz Change Description to "Minimum chromatic dispersion slope in operating wavelength range" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

110 C/ 154 SC 5.4 P104 L43 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P89 L34 # 113 Finisar / II-VI Hewlett Packard Enterprise John, DeAndrea Law, David Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The use of optical amplifiers ion the black link create addtional noise conditions for this Suggest that 'A variable set ...' should read 'A Boolean variable set ...'. PMD type. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Add the following to the note ", optical amplifier noise in the Black Link, etc." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.2 P65 L16 # 114 C/ 154 SC .2 P101 L28 # 111 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise John, DeAndrea Finisar / II-VI Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 82.14' should read 'Figure 82-14'. The black lenj with amplifiers will result in power levels greater than -30 dBm at TP3. See SuggestedRemedy contribution "deandrea 3ct 01 June 11 2020 Rev 0.4.pdf" See comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add addtioanl statement in the note: " Black links with optical amplifiers will result in average power exceeding -30 dBm when transmit is in the "OFF" state, a implimentations should take this condition into account" C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.4 P88 L20 # 115 Proposed Response Response Status O Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L13 # 112 Suggest that '0x1e' should be '0x1E', See figure 82-5. Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type **E** See comment Booolean ...' should read 'Boolean ...'. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See comment.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 115 Pa

Page 20 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P91 **L7** # 116 C/ 152 P70 L49 SC 152.5.4.2.1 # 119 Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law, David Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X States COUNT 2 and COUNT NEXT in Figure 153-7 'SC-FEC synchronization state Suggest that 'A variable set ...' should read 'A Boolean variable set ...'. diagram' include the action 'start fas counter'. Subclause 153.2.4 'Detailed functions and SuggestedRemedy state diagrams' states that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions See comment. of 21.5. The notation ++ after a counter or integer variable indicates that its value is to be incremented.'. Neither this subclause, nor the referenced subclause 21.5, defines a start Proposed Response Response Status O action for a counter, and what it means. SuggestedRemedy Change 'start fas counter' to read 'fas counter <= 0' in both the States COUNT 2 and P80 C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 L50 # 120 COUNT NEXT states. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X Suggest that '... information to and from the FEC,' should read '... information to and from the SC-FEC.'. C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P**91** L27 # 117 SuggestedRemedy Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** See comment. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Typo, the assignment '... <= current fecll' in state COUNT NEXT of Figure 153-7 should read '... <= current fecl'. SuggestedRemedy C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P80 L50 # 121 See comment. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X Can't the sublaver 'above' the SC-FEC also be an Inverse RS-FEC (see Figure 152-1) or a PMA (see Figure 83C-8 as well as Page 81, Line 7)? SC 153.2.4.4 P**91** L41 C/ 153 # 118 SuggestedRemedy Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise [1] Page 80, line 50 that '... the PCS to ...' should be change to '... the PCS, Inverse RS-Comment Type Т Comment Status X FEC. or PMA to ...'. The variable FEC lane mapping<x> assigned the value fec lane in the state 2 GOOD of [2] Page 81, line 7 that '... the PCS or PMA ...' should be change to '... the PCS, Inverse Figure 153-7 is not defined in subclause 153.2.4.1.1 'Variables'. RS-FEC. or PMA ...'. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Add a definition of the variable FEC lane mappingx> to subclause 153.2.4.1.1 'Variables'.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 121

Page 21 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L13 # 122
Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The middle row of Table 153–1 'Encoding of GMP words in next SC-FEC frame' shows the encoding of 'GMP words' in a 188 GMP word frame when the next frame is 189 GMP word frame.

The text on page 85, lines 13-14 says when the current frame is a 189 GMP word frame, and the next frame is 188 GMP word frame 'This is signaled by inverting all of the even-numbered C bits (C12, C10, C8, C6, C4, C2, C0) from the value in the previous frame, setting the decrement indicator (DI bit) to one, and setting the increment indicator (II bit) to zero.'

As two consecutive 189 GMP word frames cannot occur (see page 84 line 50-51), the text on page 85, lines 13-14 must be applied to middle row of Table 153–1 as the previous frame has to have been a 189 GMP word frame following a 188 GMP word frame.

If this is the case bit C0 is a '0' in the previous frame and, therefore, if inverted as described by the text on page 85, lines 13-14, there should be a '1' for C0 in the last row or Table 153-1. It's also doesn't seem clear from page 85, lines 13-14 what to do with the odd numbers C bits.

It seems that the C bits in this case are calculated based on the number of words in the next frame, then replacing the even-numbered C bits with the inverse of their value from the previous frame.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the description of the C bits if required.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P84 L9 # 123

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Law, David Hewlett Pac

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Subclause 10.5.1 'Citation as a normative reference' of the IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual says 'Note that in-text reference to a specific clause, subclause, table, or figure of another document shall be dated even if the undated version of the document is listed in the normative references.'

SuggestedRemedy

Please provide a dated reference for '... ITU-T G.709 Clause 19.4.3.2.'

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L51 # 124

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Suggest that the abbreviations 'GMP OH' used in Figure 153–3 'SC-FEC frame' should be referenced here.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'The GMP mapping overhead is encoded ...' should be changed to read 'The GMP mapping overhead (GMP OH) is encoded ...'.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 135A SC 135A.3.2 P123 L26 # 125

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**INTERFACEMMD

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the break

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 83C SC 83C.4.1 P121 L34 # 126

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Too much spacing

SuggestedRemedy

Use left justification rather than full

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 135A SC 135A.3.1 # 127 C/ 1 SC 1.4.237b P22 L28 P122 L36 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Silly hyphenation. Inter-face would make sense, in-terface doesn't. According to 154.6, the black link extends from TP2 to TP3, excluding the PHYs. 1.4.160a says that the black link is a link. 1.4.302 says that a link is the transmission path between SuggestedRemedy any two interfaces of generic cabling. (From ISO/IEC 11801.) Implying that it doesn't Set the minimum hyphenation fragment size to 3 (I thought that was done years ago), and include the PHYs. This draft definition for DWDM Link includes the PHYs. make the left column wider. SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Proposed Response Rename "DWDM Link" to something not "link" and use the corrected name in 1.4.237d DWDM System. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.6 P119 **L1** # 128 Dawe, Piers Nvidia P22 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a L40 Blank Link Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type black link Gratuitous abbreviation: SOP is not used anywhere but this sentence. Also, to match the rest of the document, Black Link requirements should be Black link SuggestedRemedy requirements Just write it out, the simple way: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of the Proposed Response Response Status O state of polarization over all states of polarization Or, if a measure of the range is meant, rather than the concept that there is a range, The range of insertion losses over all states of polarization C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a P22 L14 # 129 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X To match the rest of the document, Black Link should be black link C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a P22 L40 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia Scrub the new definitions for roque capitals Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O What is this detail "within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) or channel wavelength range (CWDM and WWDM links)" doing here? 1.4 definitions should be short, simple and high level. There's no other mention of CWDM in this document, and PDL is something

SuggestedRemedy

Create a new subsection near 154.8.17 to define precisely over what conditions PDL is defined. Delete "within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) or channel wavelength range (CWDM and WWDM links)" from here: add something such as "...loss of an instance of fiber optic cabling" to indicate that PDL is something to do with fibre optics.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

that happens without WDM anyway.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 132

Page 23 of 25 6/22/2020 8:10:17 AM

130

131

132

C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a P22 L40 # 133 C/ 154 SC 154.8.16 P112 L48 # 136 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X State of polarization of what? this Clause SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Of an optical signal? optical transmitter? this clause Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 135A.3.1 P**122** C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a P**22** C/ 135A L35 # 134 L20 # 137 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X There is no such thing as 100GBASE-Z/P. Do you mean 100GBASE-ZR? Or, 100GBASE-"WDM application": weasel word: no specific meaning or ambiguous Z or 100GBASE-P? SuggestedRemedy Is the BASE-P part for P802.3ck to add, not this project? Why would Z come before P? Usually we go slow to fast, short to long, wide to narrow. WDM wavelength plan SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to:100GBASE-Z, or change to: 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-Z. Also in Figure 135A-10. C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a P22 L20 # 138 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type T SC 154.8.21 C/ 154 P113 L18 # 135 I think that the implication that no other grids but ITU-T ones are possible is incorrect and Nvidia not necessary. Dawe, Piers Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy If it's true, and I think it is because Clause 54 uses "WWDM", insert "In this standard" Is there a reason that this sentence is in italics? before "DWDM channel spacings". Delete the sentence about CWDM if it's not needed, or SuggestedRemedy join the sentences. Update sentence if necessary; change to upright Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.35b P22 L8 # 139

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Saying that 100GBASE-ZR uses 100GBASE-R encoding, with identical wording to e.g. "100GBASE-SR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding" is very misleading. There's a lot of extra complexity here that isn't covered by "DP-DQPSK modulation".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "using 100GBASE-R encoding and DP-DQPSK modulation" to "using 100GBASE-R encoding, GMP, SC-FEC, and DP-DQPSK modulation".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.8.16 P112 L46 # 140

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

While G.698.2 gives the concept of receiver OSNR tolerance and says what's in and what's out, it is normal in Ethernet optical PMD specifications to have a more specific definition "Stressed receiver sensitivity" to avoid ambiguity and give an example of how one might actually assure that a receiver complies. I don't see why this PMD should not need it too. Writing the stressed receiver sensitivity section can be painful because it makes one clarify what one means - it's where the rubber hits the road.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a stressed receiver sensitivity section, following other clauses

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L31 # 141

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

An EVM limit is like 802.3cd's clumsily named TDECQ-10.log10(Ceq), which is sometimes called K. It's a good thing to have but with a 7-spaced T-spaced equalizer, remarkably slow signals are possible that cause a large noise enhancement penalty. Has this been investigated and bounded?

Do you want to require all transmitters or receivers that work in practice with reasonable transmitter speeds to carry the burden of having to work with such super-slow but EVM-compliant signals?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider adding the equivalent of a TDECQ limit in the EVM method. Consider an average power - TDECQ substitute if the range of good to bad is very large.

Proposed Response Response Status O