C/ FM SC FM P1 L27 # 20 C/ FM SC FM P1 L 28 # 62 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X 802.3ch and 802.3ca have been approved as standards. 802.3ch-2020 and 802.3ca-2020 have been published SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change -20xx to -2020 for both. Change "802.3ch-20XX and 802.3ca-20XX" to "802.3ch-2020 and 802.3ca-2020" throught the document Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC FM P1 L27 # 18 C/ FM C/ FM SC FM P1 L39 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cp-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cs-20xx "Draft D2.0 is prepared for Task Force review" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert .cr and .cp after .ca and insert .cs after .cu Likely for initial Working Group review. Next versions should say "working Group ballot Proposed Response Response Status O recirculation" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P1 L28 # 70 Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting C/ FM SC FM P**2** L5 Comment Status X Comment Type T Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting Including IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx in the list (which is in WG recirculation 2) makes sense Comment Type E Comment Status X (and is justified by inclusion of base text from cu, but I believe with P802.3cr (which is in SA This instance of "Energy Efficient Ethernet" isn't hyphenated. ballot) the list should also include IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx. as P802.3cu/D2.2, 151.9.1 includes a reference to J2, and therefore needs to follow P802.3cr as currently written. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **Energy-Efficient Ethernet** Add IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx to the list as the 10th amendment (before IEEE Std 802.3cu-Proposed Response Response Status O 20xx).

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P12 L20 # 35 C/ FM SC FM P12 L22 # 36 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type E IEEE802.3ch was approved by the Standards Board. ch is Amendment 8. The description has been slightly modified for publication. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 20xx to 2020 Add "Amendment 8(Em dash)" before the description. Change: Clause 149 and Annex 149A and Annex 149B Proposed Response Response Status O To: Clause 149, Annex149A, and Annex 149BB Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P12 L20 # 21 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei C/ FM SC FM P12 L26 Comment Type E Comment Status X Issenhuth, Tom Huawei 802.3ch has now been approved as a standard. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy 802.3ca has now been approved as a standard. Change -20xx to -2020. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change -20xx to -2020. Proposed Response Response Status O P12 C/ FM SC FM L22 # 72 Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting C/ FM SC FM P12 L26 # 37 Comment Status X Comment Type E Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors This amendment has a number. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy IEEE802.3ca was approved by the Standards Board. Insert "Amendment 8 --". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change: 20xx to 2020 Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P12 L28 # 38 C/ FM SC FM P12 L37 # 74 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X ca is Amendment 9. Because this draft references Annex J2 (154.9.1), IEEE Std 802.3cr needs to precede this project in amendment number because it adds the Annex. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "Amendment 9(Em dash)" before the description. Add: IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx Proposed Response Response Status O Amendment 10 -- This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General SC FM P12 L28 C/ FM requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video. information and RMG Consulting Grow. Bob communication technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the Comment Type E Comment Status X standard corresponding to the new references This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 This amendment has a number. series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology SuggestedRemedy equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video. information and communication technology equipment" series and Insert "Amendment 9 --". makes appropriate changes to the standard corresponding to the new references. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P12 L37 # 19 C/ FM SC FM P21 L2 # 63 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3cp-20xx and IEEE Std 802.3cs-20xx It would be nice if coherent modulation was mentionned in the abstract SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert .cr and .cp after .ca and insert .cs after .cu Change second sentence in abstract to: "This amendment adds 100 Gb/s Physical Layer Proposed Response Response Status O specifications and management parameters for operation over DWDM systems using coherent modulation with reaches of at least 80 km." Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 P**0** L 0 # C/ 00 SC 0 P12 L36 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Lewis, Jon Dell EMC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Add IEEE std 802.3cr information Wrong copyright year SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 2019 is gone, please use 2020 Add "IEEE Std 802.3crTM-20xx This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This Proposed Response Response Status O replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L27 appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video, information and communication technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the standard Dell EMC Lewis, Jon corresponding to the new references." and align with expected publication order. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Missing IEEE Std 802.3cr-202x in the list SuggestedRemedy C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L17 # 101 Add "IEEE std 802.3cr-202x" and align the list with the anticipated order of publication. Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Italic comment text Insert the following new definition after 1.4.181 "channel insertion loss": SC 0 C/ 00 P1 L29 and text below referres to the wrong sub-cluase of IEEE Std 802.3-2018. Lewis, Jon Dell FMC SuggestedRemedy Change 1.4.181 to 1.4.180, and 1.4.181a to 1.4.180a. Comment Type E Comment Status X This is Working Group ballot Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "Task Force review" to "Working Group ballot" C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L34 # 93 Proposed Response Response Status O Dambrosia. John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status X For a DWDM system the presence of an optical mux / demux is key, as illustrated in Fig 154-3, and should be explicitly stated in the definition... SuggestedRemedy Change definition of 1.4.237d DWDM System to An aggregate of DWDM links optically multiplexed and demuxed onto and off of either a single optical fiber or a single optical fiber per direction. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 L37 # 75 C/ 1 SC 1.4.60a P**22** L14 # 3 Grow, Bob **RMG** Consulting Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X 802.3bt deleted 294 and instructed renumbering. Previous amendments have used the is there any specific reason to capitalize "Black Link" and "Channel Spacing"? renumbered subclause for items after 294. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy All other definitions use lower caps unless it is a propwer name. Consider dropping caps The instruction should reference 400, and the insertion should be numbered 400a similar to Same for 1.4.237a/b/d (no need to capitalize Channel/Link/System) previous amendments. Same for 1.4.401a - drop case Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 1.4.35a **L**5 C/ 1 P22 # 81 C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a P22 L14 # 129 Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type E Comment Status X The term "coherent" only appears 2x in D2.0 of P802.3ct, its use in defining the term To match the rest of the document. Black Link should be black link "100GBASE-ZR" is not helpful to the reader SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Scrub the new definitions for rogue capitals replace current definition with -"An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using Proposed Response Response Status O 100GBASE-R encoding and a PMD that employs dual polarization differential quadrature phase shift keying (DQPSK) modulation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.) C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a P22 L14 # 83 Proposed Response Response Status O Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type ER C/ 1 SC 1.4.35b P22 **L8** # 139 A "black Link" is an approach to describing a DWDM Channel, not a link itself. Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type T Change definition -Saying that 100GBASE-ZR uses 100GBASE-R encoding, with identical wording to e.g. A black link s an approach to defining a single-mode fiber based DWDM channel by "100GBASE-SR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Laver specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASEspecifying the characteristics of the input and output of the link and its transfer R encoding" is very misleading. There's a lot of extra complexity here that isn't covered by characteristics, without specifying how the link is defined. "DP-DQPSK modulation". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "using 100GBASE-R encoding and DP-DQPSK modulation" to "using 100GBASE-

R encoding, GMP, SC-FEC, and DP-DQPSK modulation".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a P22 L20 # 137 C/ 1 SC 1.4.237a P**22** L25 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, Cisco, Commscope, Marvell, S Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "WDM application": weasel word: no specific meaning or ambiguous The definitions DWDM Channel, Link, PHY, and System are circular without a definition of DWDM. A definition for DWDM was proposed in the work, SuggestedRemedy (http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/18 03/dambrosia b10k 01 0318.pdf) but never WDM wavelength plan included in the draft, and it is not present in 802.3-2018. While Wavelength Division Multiplexing may be self explanatory, and the expansion obvious, the expansions in the 802 Proposed Response Response Status O abbreviations don't provide the necessary technical information for a definition, basically, how dense is dense. A definition from the study group, based on G.671, modified to make it clear that optical transmission is meant, is offered. C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a P22 L20 # 138 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia Add new definition 1.4.227a Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM). An optical Comment Type T Comment Status X WDM technology where the frequency spacing is less than or equal to 1000 GHz. I think that the implication that no other grids but ITU-T ones are possible is incorrect and Proposed Response Response Status O not necessary. SuggestedRemedy C/ 1 SC 1.4.237b P22 L28 If it's true, and I think it is because Clause 54 uses "WWDM", insert "In this standard" before "DWDM channel spacings". Delete the sentence about CWDM if it's not needed, or Dawe. Piers Nvidia join the sentences. Comment Status X Comment Type T Proposed Response Response Status O According to 154.6, the black link extends from TP2 to TP3, excluding the PHYs. 1.4.160a says that the black link is a link. 1.4.302 says that a link is the transmission path between any two interfaces of generic cabling. (From ISO/IEC 11801.) Implying that it doesn't C/ 1 SC 1.4.237 P22 L25 # 84 include the PHYs. This draft definition for DWDM Link includes the PHYs. Dambrosia, John Futurewei. A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Rename "DWDM Link" to something not "link" and use the corrected name in 1.4.237d DWDM System. There is no definition for DWDM Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy add definition for DWDM -

An optical WDM technology where the frequency spacing is less than or equal to 1000 GHz.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

61

130

C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a P22 L40 # 131 C/ 1 SC 1.4.401A P**22 L40** # 85 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dambrosia. John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Gratuitous abbreviation: SOP is not used anywhere but this sentence. The term "SOP" is only used 2x in D2.0, both times in 1.4.401a in the base 802.3 standard, SOP stand stands for "Start-of-packet propagation delay" and SuggestedRemedy is defined in 27.3.1.3.3. Its use is isn Clauses 27. 29. 41. and 61. Just write it out, the simple way: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of the SuggestedRemedy state of polarization over all states of polarization Replace sentence with -Or, if a measure of the range is meant, rather than the concept that there is a range. 1.4.401a Polarization Dependent Loss: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of perhaps: the state of The range of insertion losses over all states of polarization polarization over all states of polarization within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) Proposed Response Response Status O or channel wavelength range (CWDM and WWDM links). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a P22 # 132 L40 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X CI 45 SC 45.2.1.133a.1 P27 Comment Type T L28 What is this detail "within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) or channel wavelength Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** range (CWDM and WWDM links)" doing here? 1.4 definitions should be short, simple and Comment Type TR Comment Status X high level. There's no other mention of CWDM in this document, and PDL is something that happens without WDM anyway. On reading the definition of this bit, it is absolutely not clear what "Integer value of the Tx optical channel index" really is. Is it frequency in nm, some arbotrary channel number, or SuggestedRemedy something altogether else (frequency in THz?) Create a new subsection near 154.8.17 to define precisely over what conditions PDL is SuggestedRemedy defined. Delete "within the channel frequency range (DWDM link) or channel wavelength Please clarify what specific column from Table 154-6 is mapped into this register range (CWDM and WWDM links)" from here: add something such as "...loss of an instance The same comment applies to register 1.820.5:0 defined in 45.2.1.133e.2 of fiber optic cabling" to indicate that PDL is something to do with fibre optics. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa P**35** 1 22 C/ 1 SC 1.4.401a P22 L40 # 133 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X First use of the term IFEC, not defined anywhere really. State of polarization of what? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Of an optical signal? optical transmitter? Provide definition (do not see it in 802.3-2018 right now) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status 0

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa P35 L49 # 6 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah P39 L44 # 65 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X Block of text is misaligned / extra spaces at the front This is the first use the term SC-FEC so it would be good to explain the abbreviation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Change text to: "The assignment of bits in the SC-FEC (staircase FEC) alignment status 1 register is shown in Table 45-150ag." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O L48 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ab P35 # 32 CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L**5** # 86 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Extra space at start of line. While it is true that 100GBASE-Z uses 100GBASE-R encoding, it uses a diffferent SuggestedRemedy modulation approach, but this is not shown architecturally in Fig 80-1. Remove the space that precedes "The assignment of bits ..." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Redraw Fig 80-1 to include a stack for 100GBASE-Z Delete "In Figure 80-1 change the list of medium types under CGMII as follows: "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z." with proper strike-out and underline. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186ab P36 L21 # 64 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L14 # 15 1 2201 7:3 are reserved Anslow. Pete Self SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Change "1.2201.6:3" to "1.2201.7:3" in Table 45-150ab Changes to figures (other than the title) should show the figure as changed, not rely on the Proposed Response Response Status O roll-up editor to interpret the change. Also, there should only be one "or" SuggestedRemedy SC 45.2.1.186ab.8 P37 Cl 45 L33 # 39 Change the editing instruction to: Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following figure:" bring Figure 80-1 in to the draft and change: Comment Type E Comment Status X "100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P" to: Awkward wording "100GBASE-R. 100GBASE-P. SuggestedRemedy or 100GBASE-Z" Change: the decoder has this ability to the bypass error indication function with no underline or strikethrough. To: the decoder has this ability to bypass the error indication function Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Page 8 of 25 6/22/2020 8:09:35 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L16 # 76 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P48 L27 # 42 Grow, Bob RMG Consulting Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The text inappropriately includes editing instruction. The (Em dash) after the table number is not part of the table number and should not be included in the reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In Figure 80-1, replace the list of medium types ("100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P") under Remove the (EM dash) after Table 80-1 in the editing instruction. Look for this throughout CGMII with "100GBASE-R or 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-Z". Delete line 16. Or the document, e.g. P49L3, etc. alternately, provide a replacement table with an editing instruction to replace Table 80-1. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O P48 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 L36 # 87 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P48 L16 # 40 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type E Comment Status X The description of the 100GBASE-ZR PHY does not describe the nature of the agreed It seems this is a note for the Editor on what they were supposed to do. When this is "rolled up" the changes aren't shown. I don't know if the intent was to show an updated upon PHY - which is that a 100GBASE-ZR PHY may support operation of a single DWDM link over 1 to 48 DWDM channels comprised of Tx and Rx signaling, where the abilities are drawing, or just to provide the changed text that would be in the drawing. defined for the device and selected by the users SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z." with proper strike-out and Change description to underline. 100 Gb/s PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding capable of transmission over a specified To: 100GBASE-R(start underline), (end underline) or 100GBASE-P(start underline), or 100GBASE-Z(end underline) channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80km (see Clause 154). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P48 L20 # 41 CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P49 L3 # 43 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X "Add" is not a proper editing instruction, you need to use "insert". When all the text shown Where is table 80-4a? is being inserted, it doesn't need to be underlined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to: Insert the following text as a new eight paragraph of 80.1.4 Insert the following in the editing instruction after Table 80-4a: (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018) as follows: Also, remove underline on text to be inserted. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P49 L23 # 90 C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P50 L5 # 10 Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Laubach, Mark Self Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "Clause 83", "Clause 94", "Clause 135" and "Clause 153" should be forest green. The multiple optional AUIs and FEC will not be clear to the general user to easily figure out. plus defining the inverse RS-FEC sublayer as optional isn't really the best descriptor. It is SuggestedRemedy more "conditional" meaning that its use is dependent on whether an optional 100GAUI-n is Make 'em forest green. used. Providing more description here would make the standard more readable to the general user. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 1) Modify note a to "O=optional, M=Mandatory, C=Conditional 2) change Clause 152 Inverse RS-FEC from M to C, and add an indicator for Note b in next C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P50 L9 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia 3) add note B - Clause 152 inverse RS-FEC needed when deploying Clause 91 RS-FEC in combination with 100GAUI-n defined by 135D, 135E, 135F, or 135G Comment Type T Comment Status X 4) add similar note to Table 154-1 A clause 135 PMA may be used across the C2M interface (above the Inverse RS-FEC) in a 100GBASE-ZR PHY type Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change: C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P49 L24 # 66 "Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P PHY types." Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems "Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-ZR Comment Type T Comment Status X PHY types." Is Clause 91 really an option? Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete "O" from the 91 column or delete the column completely in Table 80-4b. Also C/ 80 consider deleting 91 row from Table 154-1 SC 80.3.2 P51 L20 # 58 Proposed Response Response Status O Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status X The "..." appears in the wrong place - 3 occurrences in Figure 80-4a CI 80 P49 L33 # 77 SC 80.2.2 SuggestedRemedy **RMG** Consulting Grow. Bob Move "..." to be between lane 1 and lane 19 in both the Tx and Rx direction in the PMA Comment Type E Comment Status X service interface, and between lane 1 and lane 19 in the Rx direction in the FEC service The base text and change marking is incorrect. interface Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O

There shoud be a strikethrough "and" before 100GBASE-P" and ", and 100GBASE-Z"

Response Status O

should be underscore

Proposed Response

C/ 80 SC 80.5 P54 **L1** # 44 C/ 135A SC 135A.3.1 P122 L35 # 134 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status X The editing instruction says to change the table, but I don't see any underline or There is no such thing as 100GBASE-Z/P. Do you mean 100GBASE-ZR? Or, 100GBASEstrikethrough in the table to indicate changes. Z or 100GBASE-P? Is the BASE-P part for P802.3ck to add, not this project? SuggestedRemedy Why would Z come before P? Usually we go slow to fast, short to long, wide to narrow. Delete the editing instruction and table 80-7. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: 100GBASE-Z, or change to: 100GBASE-P or 100GBASE-Z. Also in Figure 135A-10. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 82 SC 82.3.3 P**56** L14 # 88 Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei C/ 135A SC 135A.3.1 P122 L36 # 127 Comment Type TR Comment Status X This note is specific to the mapping of 40GBASE-R PCS blocks. Editing it is not within Dawe. Piers Nvidia scope of the approved P802.3ct PAR. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Silly hyphenation. Inter-face would make sense, in-terface doesn't. these proposed changes should be deleted. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Set the minimum hyphenation fragment size to 3 (I thought that was done years ago), and make the left column wider. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83C SC 83C.4.1 P121 L34 # 126 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 135A SC 135A.3.2 P123 Comment Type E Comment Status X L26 # 125 Too much spacing Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Use left justification rather than full **INTERFACEMMD** Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert the break Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 152 SC 152.1.1 P**57** L13 # 89 C/ 152 SC 152.5.1 P60 L44 Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Laubach, Mark Self Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X This language is too far reaching - "used across a chip-to-chip or chip-to-module interface" Suggest modifying the line beginning with "<ital>inst<ital>" for clarity. . The spec cares about IEEE defined interfaces, not just chip-to-chip or chip-to module SuggestedRemedy interface and a different FEC is used for the PMD." Consider changing the beginning of the sentence to "Where <ital>inst<ital> is ". Then SuggestedRemedy tighening up the spaces and horizontal centering for the line. Replaces "is used across a chip-to-chip or chip-to-module interface" with "is used with any Proposed Response Response Status O physical instantiation of 100GAUI-n and a different FEC is needed for the intended PMD." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.3 P61 L20 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 152 SC 152.1.2 P58 L21 # 91 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John The decoder is identical to clause 91 except for the variable that contains the data. State Comment Type TR Comment Status X that clearly. A generic FEC block is used which is because there are different FECs may be used with SuggestedRemedy different PHYs. The same is true for PMAs. Therefore these two sublayers are conditional based on phy type Change "The Reed-Solomon decoder extracts the message symbols from the RS(544,514) codeword, corrects them as necessary, and discards the parity symbols. The message SuggestedRemedy symbols correspond to 20 transcoded blocks tx scrambled. See 91.5.3.3." For Fig 152-1, add note "1" next to FEC and PMA sublayers, add note that states To: "The Reed-Solomon decoder implements the RS(544,510) FEC decoder described in "Conditional based on PHY type". See Fig 80-1 for reference of implementation of note. 91.5.3.3 with the exception that message symbols come from tx_scrambled." Also modify Figures 135A-9. 135A-10 in a similar fashion. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.3 P61 L21 C/ 152 SC 152.3.7 P68 L3 # 26 Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X This sub-clause makes reference to 91.5.3.3, without indication of differences from

In 91.5.2.7 it refers to tx scrambled and am txmapped, but in this Clause it's rx scrambled and am rxmapped.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following after 91.5.2.7: "with the exception that the message symbols come from rx scrambled and rx ammapped."

Proposed Response Response Status O SER. Propose to add a sentence to 152.5.2.3 saying "The optional sub-clause 91.5.3.3.1 is not supported for the Inverse RS-FEC sublayer".

91.5.3.3 (as amended by 802.3cd-2018) contains an optional 91.5.3.3.1 FEC Degraded

Proposed Response Response Status O

91.5.3.3.

SuggestedRemedy

11

C/ 152 SC 152.5.2.5	5 P 61	L 38	# 45	C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.5	P 66	L 7	# 25		
Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors				Slavick, Jeff Broadcom					
Comment Type E "as follows" should alw	Comment Status X vays be followed by ":", not "."			Comment Type ER Comment Status X Missed a conversion from Tx to Rx.					
SuggestedRemedy Change: as follows. To: as follows: Make this change throu	ughout the draft.			SuggestedRemedy Change "rx_coded_c, from the second	tx_xcoded" to "rx_coded_ esponse Status O	c, from rx_coded"			
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
Cl 152 SC 152.5.3.1 Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type ER Typo in concatenatiing SuggestedRemedy Replace "concatenatiir Proposed Response	Xilinx Comment Status X	L5	# 34	Incorrect number format. SuggestedRemedy Change: 16384 To: 16 384 (with a non-brea	P67 General Motors Comment Status X king space) esponse Status O	L 35 s	# 4 <u>6</u>		
CI 152 SC 152.5.3.2 Law, David Comment Type E Figure 82.14' should re SuggestedRemedy	P65 L16 # 114 Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Status X ad 'Figure 82-14'.			Capitlazation SuggestedRemedy	P68 Broadcom Comment Status X	L1 # 28			
See comment. Proposed Response	Response Status O			in the section.	esponse Status O	ado. And the met	sometion of the text		

C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.8 P68 L5 # 29 C/ 153 SC 153.1.2 P80 L35 # 47 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Capitlazation Why is AN in the list of acronyms for the Figure when AN isn't used in the Figure? If it's in the Figure and I missed it, NEGOTATION should be NEGOTIATION. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the "D" in "Distribution" lowercase for the section title. Delete: AN = AUTO-NEGOTATION Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O SC 152.5.4.2.1 P70 L49 C/ 152 # 119 C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P80 L50 # 120 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Suggest that 'A variable set ...' should read 'A Boolean variable set ...'. Suggest that '... information to and from the FEC.' should read '... information to and from SuggestedRemedy the SC-FEC.'. See comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O See comment. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 152 SC 152.6 P72 L15 # 67 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P80 L50 # 121 Comment Status X Comment Type TR Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David Insert IFEC enable functionality that is currently specified in IEEE Draft P802.3ck/D1.2 Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Can't the sublayer 'above' the SC-FEC also be an Inverse RS-FEC (see Figure 152-1) or a PMA (see Figure 83C-8 as well as Page 81, Line 7)? Incoroporate the 802.3ck modifications to 152.6 and 45.2.1.186aa in 802.3ct. Also make it so IFEC is enabled by setting the variable to one (not zero) "When the IFEC Enable SuggestedRemedy variable is set to one, the Inverse RS-FEC sublaver performs the transmit function as [1] Page 80, line 50 that '... the PCS to ...' should be change to '... the PCS, Inverse RSspecified in 152.5.2 and the receive function as specified in 152.5.3. When the variable is FEC. or PMA to ...'. set to a zero, the transmit and receive functions are disabled, and the Inverse RS-FEC [2] Page 81, line 7 that '... the PCS or PMA ...' should be change to '... the PCS, Inverse sublayer is bypassed," RS-FEC. or PMA ...'. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L20 # 31

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

No Annex which provides a sample FEC frame is provided like 91A and 119A

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Annex that provides a sample SC-FEC frame

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L43 # 30

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Is the pattern supplied sent Left to right or Right to left or first field (sent R to L) followed by 2nd field (sent R to L)

SuggestedRemedy

Add statement to 1) which defines the order the bits are transmitted.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P83 L51 # 124

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Suggest that the abbreviations 'GMP OH' used in Figure 153–3 'SC-FEC frame' should be referenced here.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'The GMP mapping overhead is encoded ...' should be changed to read 'The GMP mapping overhead (GMP OH) is encoded ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P84 L9 # 123

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Subclause 10.5.1 'Citation as a normative reference' of the IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual says 'Note that in-text reference to a specific clause, subclause, table, or figure of another document shall be dated even if the undated version of the document is listed in the normative references.'.

SuggestedRemedy

Please provide a dated reference for '... ITU-T G.709 Clause 19.4.3.2.'

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L13 # [122

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The middle row of Table 153–1 'Encoding of GMP words in next SC-FEC frame' shows the encoding of 'GMP words' in a 188 GMP word frame when the next frame is 189 GMP word frame.

The text on page 85, lines 13-14 says when the current frame is a 189 GMP word frame, and the next frame is 188 GMP word frame 'This is signaled by inverting all of the even-numbered C bits (C12, C10, C8, C6, C4, C2, C0) from the value in the previous frame, setting the decrement indicator (DI bit) to one, and setting the increment indicator (II bit) to zero.'.

As two consecutive 189 GMP word frames cannot occur (see page 84 line 50-51), the text on page 85, lines 13-14 must be applied to middle row of Table 153–1 as the previous frame has to have been a 189 GMP word frame following a 188 GMP word frame.

If this is the case bit C0 is a '0' in the previous frame and, therefore, if inverted as described by the text on page 85, lines 13-14, there should be a '1' for C0 in the last row or Table 153-1. It's also doesn't seem clear from page 85, lines 13-14 what to do with the odd numbers C bits.

It seems that the C bits in this case are calculated based on the number of words in the next frame, then replacing the even-numbered C bits with the inverse of their value from the previous frame.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the description of the C bits if required.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L46 # 103 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P87 L47 # 56 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text reads "whose fill level varies The frame alignment process encounters false loss of lock too frequently, as described in depending on whether 188 or 189 GMP words are filled in a given SC-FEC frame." should trowbridge 01 200528 presented in the 28 May 2020 interim Task Force Conference call. include that the fill level varies with the clock offset of the 100GBASE-R signal. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Implement the remedy described in trowbridge 01a 200611 to the 11 June 2020 Interim Modify text to read "whose fill level varies with the clock offset of the incoming 66B blocks Task Force call. Note that this remedy includes a change to the Bibliography (Annex A) as well as to clause 153 depending on whether 188 or 189 GMP words are filled in a given SC-FEC frame." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.4 P88 L20 # 115 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P87 # 51 C/ 153 L33 Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Suggest that '0x1e' should be '0x1E', See figure 82-5. missing "be" verb SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Change: first 16 octets of the FEC frame distributed Proposed Response Response Status 0 To: first 16 octets of the FEC frame are distributed Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P89 L15 # 52 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P87 L35 # 54 Comment Type E Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors inconsistent/incorrect use of true/false & True/False throughout this subclause Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy wrong "dash" type When describing the states of a Boolean variable use "TRUE" and "FALSE". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the "En dash" after "NOTE" to an "EM dash" Also P94L44, P95L30 Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P89 L34 # 113 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P91 L27 # 117 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type **E** Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Suggest that 'A variable set ...' should read 'A Boolean variable set ...'. Typo, the assignment '... <= current fecll' in state COUNT NEXT of Figure 153-7 should read '... <= current fecl'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. See comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L13 C/ 153 # 112 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P91 L41 # 118 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Booolean ...' should read 'Boolean ...'. The variable FEC lane mapping<x> assigned the value fec lane in the state 2 GOOD of SuggestedRemedy Figure 153–7 is not defined in subclause 153.2.4.1.1 'Variables'. See comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add a definition of the variable FEC lane mappingx> to subclause 153.2.4.1.1 'Variables'. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P91 L7 # 116 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P84 L16 Comment Type T Comment Status X Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** States COUNT 2 and COUNT NEXT in Figure 153-7 'SC-FEC synchronization state Comment Type E Comment Status X diagram' include the action 'start fas counter'. Subclause 153.2.4 'Detailed functions and missing spaces state diagrams' states that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5. The notation ++ after a counter or integer variable indicates that its value is to be SuggestedRemedy incremented.'. Neither this subclause, nor the referenced subclause 21.5, defines a start Change: 255/227 action for a counter, and what it means. To: 255 / 227 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change 'start fas counter' to read 'fas counter <= 0' in both the States COUNT 2 and COUNT NEXT states.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P86 L3 # 49 C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.3.1 P95 L24 # 59 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X math error. If this is not a math error, please explain how 3 can be the correct answer. The encoder (clause 153.3.2.2.2) does the math and gives a number (not just a formula) for the top-line baud rate, but the equivalent decoder section does not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 75 + 12 - 80 = 3Add the approximate top-line baud rate (~27.9525 GBd) after the formula. To: 75 + 12 - 80 = 7Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 153 SC 153.2.32.4 P86 L29 # 50 C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.3.2 P**95** L34 # 102 Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Sub-clause is self referencing. Reference to 153.3.2.3.2 is erroneous. missing spaces SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 512×510 Replace 153.3.2.3.2 with 153.3.2.2.2. To: 512 × 510 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC .2 P101 L28 # 111 C/ 153 SC 153.3.1 P93 L49 # 53 John. DeAndrea Finisar / II-VI Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The black leni with amplifiers will result in power levels greater than -30 dBm at TP3. See missing spaces contribution "deandrea 3ct 01 June 11 2020 Rev 0.4.pdf" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 255/227 Add addtioanl statement in the note: "Black links with optical amplifiers will result in To: 255 / 227 average power exceeding -30 dBm when transmit is in the "OFF" state, a implimentations Also on line P93L51, P94L38, P94L53, P95L24 should take this condition into account" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 5.4 P104 L43 # 110 C/ 154 SC 154.2 P101 L15 # 60 John, DeAndrea Finisar / II-VI Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The number cited (27.9525 Gbd) is not an exact nominal value. Same issue in the next The use of optical amplifiers ion the black link create additional noise conditions for this PMD type. paragraph. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the following to the note ", optical amplifier noise in the Black Link, etc." The corresponding PMA clause uses the exact formula and an approximate nominal: (255/227)x24.8832 Gbd (~27.9525 Gbd). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.1 P99 L7 # 92 C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P104 L32 Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Dambrosia, John Schmitt, Matt CableLabs Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T Wording can be improved. Table 154-5 sets a requirement that if the Average optical power at TP3 is less than or SuggestedRemedy equal to -30 dBm, the SIGNAL DETECT value must be set to FAIL. Since that is the same as the required lower threshold for receiver sensitivity, there is no margin for any This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based DWDM channel which may contain one or more optical inaccuracies in the receiver power meter. Further, it prohibits a receiver from exceeding amplifiers and is.described in the form of a black link (see 154.6). the requirement for sensitivity, since all values less than -30 dBm must be marked as FAIL, even if the receiver can decode them successfully. Setting this value lower will provide Proposed Response Response Status O some margin and permit implementations that exceed the minimum requirement. SuggestedRemedy In the first row of Table 154-5, change "-30 dBm" to "-32 dBm". C/ 154 SC 154.1 P99 **L8** # 82 Dambrosia, John Futurewei. A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status X The term "coherent" only appears 2x in D2.0 of P802.3ct, its use in this sentence is not C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P104 / 32 # 104 helpful -"The optical signal generated by this PMD type is modulated using a dual polarization Maniloff, Eric Ciena differential quadrature phase shift keying (DP-DQPSK) format suitable for reception by a Comment Type T Comment Status X coherent optical receiver." For the OSNR allowed by this specification, the integrated noise power after the demux may be only ~7dB lower than the signal power. As such a note in Table 154-5 indicating SuggestedRemedy that SIGNAL DETECT may not be a reliable indicator of the optical signal if average power Replace this sentence with detection is used should be added. The optical signal generated by this PMD type is modulated using a dual polarization SuggestedRemedy differential quadrature phase shift keying (DP-DQPSK) format. Add note to Table 154-5 indicating "For amplified systems using average power for Signal Proposed Response Response Status O Detect, the Signal Detect value may not indicate FAIL when the Optical Signal is below its

specified threshold in Table 154-9"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ **154** SC **154.5.4** P**104** L**32** # 69
Stassar. Peter Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The signal_detect level of -30 dBm at TP3 is too low in the presence of optical noise (ASE) due to the presence of one (or more) optical amplifier(s) inside the black link. In order to get a sufficiently reliable signal_detect level in the case of amplified operation, this threshold should be increased to -23 dBm, which is still sufficiently below the Minimum average input power [amplified] of -16 dBm specified for the amplified operation. On the other hand for unamplified operation, being a side application supported by this specification, a signal_detect level of -30 dBm is right on the level of Minimum average input power [unamplified] of -30 dBm and therefore too high for the unamplified operation. Defining a single signal_detect level appropriate for both amplified and unamplified operation is therefore not possible. Because the amplified operation is the "normative" application consistent with the agreed objective of 80 km, this specification needs to focus on that application. A suitable signal_detect in an unamplified application should be addressed in a note.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 154-5 modify the signal_detect level of -30 dBm to -23 dBm and adress unamplified operation in a Note, with content TBD, pending further discussion

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.5.4 P104 L32 # 105

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Rather than Optical Power the Receive Condition should refer to Signal Power

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Average Optical" to "Optical signal"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ **154** SC **154.5.4**

P**104**

L43

80

Schmitt, Matt

CableLabs

Comment Type T Comment Status X

As pointed out in deandrea_3ct_01_200611, when an optical amplifier (EDFA) is a part of the black link, the noise floor could be amplified above the power threshold for signal detect. To account for that, while not mandatory, an implementer may wish to consider the presence of a valid 100GBASE-R signal in determining whether or not to set the SIGNAL_DETECT value to OK. Some additional text to point that out could be helpful for implementers.

SuggestedRemedy

At the end of the 3rd paragraph in 154.5.4, add an additional sentence that reads: "In addition, as the presence of optical amplifiers in the black link could raise the noise floor above the value of minimum average input power [unamplified] in Table 154-9, implementations may wish to consider the presence of a compliant 100GBASE-R signal in determining the setting of the SIGNAL DETECT value.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Cl 154 SC 154.6 P105 L36 # 98

Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The formatting of text in 154.6 and 154.7 appears different to the other clauses. Perhaps tighter line spacing or a different font size.

SugaestedRemedy

Check and change the style to match the rest of the clause.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.6 P105 L36 # 94

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This setence does not adequately describe the operation of the 100GBASE-ZR PMD - This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid.

Glven the differences between 100GBASE-ZR and 400GBASE-ZR in respect to the channel spacing, this should be clearly called out.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace sentence -

This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid.

With

This subclause provides details of the medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid consisting of 48 channels based on a 100 GHz center channel spacing specified in Table 154-6.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.6 P105 L38 # 96

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The use of the term "channel" in IEEE 802.3 can be confusing to some based on their point of reference, as it sometimes refer to the medium between the Tx and Rx. In the case of P802.3ct, it is used to describe both the medium between the tx and rx, as well as in reference to the frequency of the optical wavelength (i.e. channel index number, channel center frquency, approximate channel center wevelength).

SuggestedRemedy

change

The medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is also referred to as a DWDM channel

which is defined as the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency on a defined frequency

grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY.

Τo

The medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is also referred to as a DWDM channel

which is defined as the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency (referred to either by Channel Index Number or Channel Center Frequency) on a defined frequency grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 154 SC 154.6 P105 L39 # 97

Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The definition in the body of the text for DWDM Channel is

"the transmission path over a single wavelength/frequency on a defined frequency grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another DWDM PHY."

This does not match the definition in 1.5.237a.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace definition in 1.4.237a with the noted definition that was in the body of the text.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC 154.6 P106 L8 # 12 C/ 154 SC 154.7 P107 L # 68 Laubach, Mark Self Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The grev shaded box in Figure 154-3 is confusing. Should be removed, less grev, and/or Several of the parameter namings are not consistent with previously used conventions and labeled as "black link"? should therefore be modified. This has already been discussed during the TF interim teleconference meeting on 11 June 2020 as shown in SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/tf interim/20 0611/stassar 3cw 01 200611.pdf. In this Editor's choice to amend for clarity. context it is strongly desirable to use consistent naming between 100GBASE-ZR and 400GBASE-ZR draft specifications Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Implement the changes as proposed in C/ 154 SC 154.6 P106 L31 # 95 http://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/tf_interim/20_0611/stassar_3cw_01_200611.pdf. except "Average receive power [amplified] (max)" which should be "Average receive power Dambrosia, John Futurewei, A U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei [amplified] (min)" Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O There is no requirement for a 100GBASE-ZR PHY to support all 48 channels. Additionally, it is not noted that a user needs to configure a 100GBASE-ZR Tx with a 100GBASE-ZR Rx. which support the same channnel index numbers. C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L24 # 106 SugaestedRemedy Maniloff, Eric Ciena Add sentence at end of paragraph -Comment Type E Comment Status X A 100GBASE-ZR PHY implementation may support 1 to 48 channel frequencies over a DWDM system. Configuration of a DWDM link with a 100GBASE-ZR Tx and Rx to support 1000 kHz = 1 MHz the same channel freuency is necessary. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace 1000 kHz with 1 MHz Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.6 P107 L32 # 13 Laubach, Mark Self Comment Status X Comment Type E Missing cross reference

Both occurences of "Table 154-6" in this paragraph should be a cross reference.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L31 # 141 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L38 # 108 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X An EVM limit is like 802.3cd's clumsily named TDECQ-10.log10(Ceg), which is sometimes Note a applies to both amplified and unamplified systems. For design of the black link, it is called K. It's a good thing to have but with a 7-spaced T-spaced equalizer, remarkably necessary to know the input signal power and OSNR in order to ensure the Rx OSNR slow signals are possible that cause a large noise enhancement penalty. Has this been requirement is met. investigated and bounded? SuggestedRemedy Do you want to require all transmitters or receivers that work in practice with reasonable Remove footnote a entirely. transmitter speeds to carry the burden of having to work with such super-slow but EVMcompliant signals? Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Consider adding the equivalent of a TDECQ limit in the EVM method. Consider an average power - TDECQ substitute if the range of good to bad is very large. C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P109 1 44 # 109 Proposed Response Maniloff, Eric Response Status O Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X S 0 often refers to the Slope of the Chromatic Dispersion at the Zero Dispersion C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L33 # 17 Wavelength, I believe this parameter refers to the minimum dispersion in the operating wavelength range. Also, "Fiber dispersion" doesn't align with other specs for chromatic Issenhuth, Tom Huawei dispersion. Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy The placement of the "a" footnote marker is incorrect Change Description to "Minimum chromatic dispersion slope in operating wavelength range" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move the location of the footnote marker to after (193.6). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.8.16 P112 L46 # 140 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P108 L33 # 107 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Maniloff, Eric Ciena While G.698.2 gives the concept of receiver OSNR tolerance and says what's in and what's Comment Type E Comment Status X out, it is normal in Ethernet optical PMD specifications to have a more specific definition OSNR Units should be dB / .1nm "Stressed receiver sensitivity" to avoid ambiguity and give an example of how one might actually assure that a receiver complies. I don't see why this PMD should not need it too. SuggestedRemedy Writing the stressed receiver sensitivity section can be painful because it makes one clarify change unit column to dB (0.1nm) what one means - it's where the rubber hits the road. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a stressed receiver sensitivity section, following other clauses Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 154 SC	154.8.16	P 112	L48	# 136	C/ 154 SC 154.9.1	P 113	L 25	# 78
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Grow, Bob	RMG Consultin	g	
Comment Type this Clause	E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E This text differs from	Comment Status X P802.3cr, 150.9.1.		
SuggestedRemed this clause	dy				SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence wi	th; "All equipment subject to this	clause shall	conform to J.2."
Proposed Respon	nse	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 154 SC	154.8.21	P 113	L18	# 135	C/ 154 SC 154.10	P114	L43	# 23
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Issenhuth, Tom	Huawei		
Comment Type Is there a reas	E son that th	Comment Status X his sentence is in italics?			Comment Type E IEC 61753-1-1 has b	Comment Status X een withdrawn and superseeded	by IEC 6175	3-1 Edition 2.0 August 1,
SuggestedRemed	dv				2018	,	,	0
	-	essary; change to upright			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Respon		Response Status O			Change to IEC 6175	3-1		
		,			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 154 SC	154.8.21	P113	<i>L</i> 18	# 14	C/ 154 SC 154.11 .	4.2 <i>P</i> 117	1.00	# F5
Laubach, Mark		Self					L 26	# <u>55</u>
Comment Type	E	Comment Status X			Wienckowski, Natalie	General Motors	5	
Text is mis-fo	rmatted as	s italic.			Comment Type E	Comment Status X as for a Mandatory item for an op	tional foaturo	In this case the
SuggestedRemed	dy				choices should be Ye		nional leature	. III tilis case tile
Change to req	gular, non-	-italic text.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Respon	nse	Response Status O			Change: No To: N/A Also P118L7			
C/ 154 SC	154.8.21	P113	L18	# 99	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Lewis, David		Lumentum						
Comment Type	E	Comment Status X						

The font is in italics.

Change to the same style as other sub-clauses.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.3 P118 L6 # 16 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X The table is for "PMD to MDI optical specifications for 100GBASE-ZR" but the entries are duplicates of the first 2 lines of the previous table SuggestedRemedy Modify the table to include the proposed values per D1.2 comment 125. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.6 P119 L1 # 128 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Blank Link SuggestedRemedy black link Also, to match the rest of the document, Black Link requirements should be Black link requirements Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.6 P119 **L8** # 24 Issenhuth. Tom Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X IEC 61753-1-1 has been withdrawn and superseeded by IEC 61753-1 Edition 2.0 August 1,

Response Status 0

2018 SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change to IEC 61753-1

Cl 154 SC 154.11.4.6 P119 L9 # 100

Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Item OC2 references IEC 61753-1-1, which has been withdrawn and replaced by IEC 61753-1: 2018.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first reference to IEC 61753-1.

Proposed Response Response Status O