IEEE P802.3ct D2.1 100 Gb/s over DWDM systems 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ FM SC	FM	P <b>8</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 4		C/ 1	SC 1	.4.227a	P <b>23</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 3	
Issenhuth, Tom		Huawei				Anslow, F	Pete		Indepen	dent		
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket   Missing list of working group participants </td <td colspan="7">Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket   "Dense Wave Division Multiplexing" should be "dense wavelength division multiplexing" to</td>						Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket   "Dense Wave Division Multiplexing" should be "dense wavelength division multiplexing" to						
SuggestedReme Insert list of		oup participants				This i		nsistent v	vith the rest of 802.3, v n multiplex" 0 times.	/hich has "wavelength	n division multip	lex" 23
Proposed Respo PROPOSEI		Response Status W					ge "Dens		Division Multiplexing:" t	o "dense wavelength	division multipl	exing
C/ 1 SC	C 1.4.35b	P <b>22</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 10		(DWE Proposed	,	20	Response Status V	ı		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia					POSED A					
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D										
The discussion around what encoding this PHY uses and a review of Clause 153. SC-FEC and leads me to the conclusion that this is not a BASE-R PHY at all. What's on the line is in a telecoms style wrapper - in this case OTN, while for 10GBASE-LW it was						CI 45 Issenhuth Comment	ı, Tom	E	e.1 P33 Huawei Comment Status D	L27	# 5	bucket
•		T STS-192c".						L alization o				DUCKEI
SuggestedReme						Suggeste	•					
0		0GBASE-ZW				00			om " TX Rx" to "Tx Rx'			
Proposed Response Response Status W						Proposed Response Response Status W						
PROPOSEI	D REJECT.					•	POSED A		Response Status	1		
The commentor has not demonstrated how the current name is incorrect or how changing it would improve the quality of the draft. During the November 2018 plenary the .cn task force conducted strawpoll 5 on nomenclature preferences, 100GBASE-ZR had a count of					task unt of	C/ <b>153</b> Dawe, Pie		53.2.3.2.	6 P88 Nvidia	L10	# <u>6</u>	
showed clea nomenclatu	ar support fo re was adop	7, 100GBASE-ZA had 3 and or -ZRZW was not brought oted via motion 4 in the same the March 2019 plenary mee	up for considera meeting 48/0/3	ation. The -ZR . This nomencl	ature	Comment	<i>Type</i>		Comment Status D			bucket
	C 1.4.180a	P <b>23</b>	L18	# 1					et line to the box contai	ning p3, same as the	others, moving	two
Anslow, Pete	/ 1. <del>4</del> .100a	Independent	210	#			0	to the r	ignt. slanting nearly-horizon	tal arrow at the top of	the figure the s	same as
,	E	•			huokot	the ot						
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket   "channel spacing" comes after "Channel Operating Margin (COM)" bucket bucket bucket						Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status V	I		
SuggestedReme	0	e aller enamer eperating i				PROF	POSED A	CCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
Change the Insert the fo	editing instr llowing new	uction to: definition after 1.4.181 "Cha nition to 1.181a	nnel Operating I	Margin (COM)"		Imple	ment the	proposed	l remedy with editorial	license to clean up th	le figure.	
Proposed Respo PROPOSEI		Response Status W										
TYPE: TR/techn COMMENT STA	ical required	d ER/editorial required GR/g patched A/accepted R/rejec					d U/unsa	atisfied Z		C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.6	Page <sup>2</sup> 9/3/20	of 3 20 10:1

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

5 AM

IEEE P802.3ct D2.1	100 Gb/s over DWDM systems	1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.2.1	P <b>96</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 9	C/ 154 SC 154.5.3	P <b>105</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 13		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
Comment Type <b>E</b> This 4-lane interface form	Comment Status <b>D</b> at is referred to in ITU-T G	6.709 and ITU-T	G.709.2 as OTL4.4.	Comment Type E the phase changes o	Comment Status <b>D</b> n each of the retrieved DQPSI	< signals	Bucket		
SuggestedRemedy In ITU-T G.709 and ITU-T Also in 153.3.2.3.1	G.709.2, this 4-lane inter	face format is ca	lled OTL4.4.		e phase changes of each of th	e retrieved DQPS	K signals		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status <b>W</b> PT.				
Duplicate of comment #8.	See response to commer	nt #8.		Cl <b>154</b> SC <b>154.5.3</b> Dawe. Piers	P <b>106</b> Nvidia	L <b>5</b>	# 14		
C/ 153 SC 153.3.2.2.1	P <b>96</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 8	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Bucket		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Radians					
Comment Type <b>E</b> This 4-lane interface form	Comment Status <b>D</b> at is referred to in ITU-T G	6.709 and ITU-T	G.709.2 as OTL4.4.	SuggestedRemedy radians					
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status W				
In ITU-T G.709 and ITU-T Also in 153.3.2.3.1	G.709.2, this 4-lane inter	face format is ca	lled OTL4.4.	PROPOSED ACCEP	•				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 154 SC 154.7.3	P <b>111</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 11		
PROPOSED REJECT.				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
Commenter has not ident	fied any problem with the	current wording.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D				
The current and proposed would increase the scope of the draft.				channel must each b receiver and channel	rability specifications. The def e independently complete eno will interoperate. The transmi must have specifications that c	ugh so that any c tter and receiver l	ompliant transmitter, nave specified power		
C/ 154 SC 154.5.2	P <b>105</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 12	transmitted signals so that the power window at TP3 is met. In G.698.2, 7.4.1 Max and minimum mean input power "This parameter (together with the maximum and					
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			and minimum mean minimum mean chan					
Comment Type E to phase changes to each	Comment Status <b>D</b>	nals	Bucket	minimum channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link." Here, with the three pieces specified separately, channel loss/gain spec has got lost. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add specifications to Table 154-10 so that a black link will deliver the right power at TP3. Different for amplified and non-amplified cases.					
SuggestedRemedy									
to phase changes of each	of the DQPSK optical sig	nals							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			The commenter appa specification. The rec Furthermore the prop	Response Status W T. not demonstrated that there is arently does not understand the quested power levels are show posed remedy does not contair would improve it on the basis of	e concept of a bla n in Table 154-9. n a specific propos	ck link based sal to modify the draft		
TYPE: TR/technical required	FR/editorial required GR/	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/g	eneral	C/ 1	54	Page 2 of 3		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 154.7.3 9/3/2020 10:15:57 AM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

## IEEE P802.3ct D2.1 100 Gb/s over DWDM systems 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 154	SC	154.8.12	P114	L <b>34</b>	# 15
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
traditio chann	onal W el and	DM stressed SJ) with EV	ment 140, stressed sensitivi d sensitivity (extreme input p M and OSNR, or follow G.69 ter are in separate specifica	ower, chroma 8.2 where ex	tic dispersion, adjacent treme chromatic
Suggested	lReme	dy			
what a diagra be diff	ren't; g m. Inc erent h	give an indic lude the app	d 154.8.16, write out clearly ation of how such a measure propriate SJ (see 121.8.9.4 f eferably with 5 or 6 spot freq	ement could b or an example	e done, with a block e, but the parameters will
Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status W		
comm the dra The co With e comm	ent sta aft in si ommer videnc ent do	ted "Further uch a way th iter is invited te that addin	It as rejected comment #140 more the remedy does not o hat it would improve it on the d to develop a detailed propo g such a requirement will im de a specific proposal or pro- f the draft.	ontain a spect basis of evide sal for stress prove the qua	ific proposal to modify ence provided. ed receiver sensitivity. lity of the draft." The the suggested change
C/ <b>154</b>	SC	154.11.4.3	P <b>121</b>	L <b>7</b>	# <u>2</u>
Anslow, P	ete		Independent		
Comment PICS		E vith Status "I	Comment Status <b>D</b> M" just have "Y [ ]" in the Su	pport column	Bucket
Suggested Remo		2	ems ZR1 and ZR2		
Proposed PROP	•	nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 154	SC	154.11.4.6	P <b>122</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 7
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
<i>Comment</i> Blank		E	Comment Status D		Bucket
S <i>ugg</i> ested black		dy			
Proposed PROP	•	nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.11.4.6 Page 3 of 3 9/3/2020 10:15:57 AM