C/ FM	SC FM	P 3	L 8	# I-66
D'Ambros	ia, John	Futurewei&n	bsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
	erm "black link" o	Comment Status D describes the methodology to cificatinon, it should be added		
Suggested Add "b	<i>lRemedy</i> black link" to list	of keywords		
•	Response OSED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
C/ FM	SC FM	P13	L 47	# <u>1-</u> 38
Issenhuth	, Tom	Issenhuth Co	onsulting, LLC,Hu	uawei Technologies Co.,
Comment Amen	51	Comment Status D has been changed with 802.3	oct preceeding 80	<i>bucket</i> 02.3cp
Suggested Remo	<i>Remedy</i> ve 802.3cp from	the list		
'	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ FM	SC FM	P14	L 8	# <u>1-</u> 39
Issenhuth	, Tom	Issenhuth Co	onsulting, LLC,Hu	uawei Technologies Co.,
Comment Amen	51	Comment Status D has been changed with 802.3	oct preceeding 80	bucket 02.3cs
Suggested Remo	<i>Remedy</i> ve 802.3cs from	the list		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.35b	P 23	L 8	#	-51
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA			

Comment Type T Comment Status R

1.4.70 10GBASE-W: An IEEE 802.3 physical coding sublayer for serial 10 Gb/s operation that is data-rate and format compatible with SONET STS-192c. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 49.)

1.4.31 100GBASE-P: An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using 100GBASE-R encoding and a PMD that employs pulse amplitude modulation with more than 2 levels. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 80.)

1.4.32 100GBASE-R: An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using 100GBASE-R encoding and a PMD that employs 2-level pulse amplitude modulation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 80.)

1.4.33 100GBASÉ-R encoding: The physical coding sublayer encoding defined in Clause 82 for 100 Gb/s operation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 82.)

DQPSK has a similarity with 100GBASE-P (2 bits/UI), but what the Clause 153 SC-FEC sublayer does is much the same as what the Clause 50 WAN Interface Sublayer does: it takes a 64B/66B encoded stream and puts it in a telecoms style wrapper. The SC-FEC is quite different to the "KR4" or "KP4" FEC. Also, this PHY uses a telecoms style clock domain. It doesn't work by "using 100GBASE-R encoding". While it may carry a 64B/66B stream, what it actually uses is SC-FEC framing. All in all, it's significantly different to "BASE-R" and should be named appropriately so that future projects and implementations with breakout options are not confused. Straw polls two years ago don't alter the technical issue.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the name to 100GBASE-ZW

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

A similar comment was brought forward in D2.1, comment 10 which was rejected due to lack of support to make a change. As stated in the previous comment response, the -ZR nomenclature was adopted by the task force and reaffirmed without opposition.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.35b

	SC 1.4.35b	P 23	L 9	# I-50	C/ 1	SC	1.4.160a		P 23	L15	# 1-87
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA			Ran, Adee				Intel Corpora	ation	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре	Е	Commen	t Status D		
WAN teleco this P 100Gl	Interface Sublay oms style wrappe HY uses a teleco BASE-R encodin	SC-FEC sublayer does is muc er does: it takes a 64B/66B er r. The SC-FEC is quite differ oms style clock domain on the g". While it may carry a 64B/ gnificantly different to all in-fo	ncoded stream a rent to the "KR4 line. It doesn't 66B stream, wh	and puts it in a " or "KP4" FEC. Also, work by "using at it actually uses is SC-	A meth In addi and ma	tion, th ay not e	gy should le endpoir exist in ar	not be bound nts are define	I by such specif	fic names. nent purposes at	gless out of its conte t the end of patch co
Suggester	0	gimounay amorene to an in to		BROET /TTTTS.	Suggested		,				
00		ASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSk	"modulation" to		Chang	e "betw	veen TP2	and TP3" to	"between two P	'HYs".	
		ng, SC-FEC framing, and DP			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response	Status W		
(If the		ed of using all those things, it						IN PRINCIP			
Response	9	Response Status U									sed to define the in by adding "(See IE
REJE	CT.									the location of	
The c	commentor has n	ot demonstrated how changin	g it would impro	ve the quality of the	C/ 1	SC	1.4.181a		P 23	L 20	# 1-3
draft.		nent was submitted as technic			Rolfe, Ben	iamin			Blind Creek	Associates	
(see											
	/////////	ra/3/ct/comments/D2P0/8023	ct D2p0 comm	ents final by clause pd	Comment	Tvpe	GR	Commen	t Status R		
https:/		rg/3/ct/comments/D2P0/8023 king group modified the wordi			Comment Should		GR -define "c			(commonly used	d) definition is adeo
https:/ f, pag		king group modified the wordin			Should for use defined	I not re in this d in IEE	-define "c standard EE standa	hannel spaci , and redefin irds are incor	ng". The usual ng the term to l porated into the	be WDM specific IEEE-SA Stand	c is a bad idea. All t lards Definitions
https:/ f, pag	e 5) and the worl SC 1.4.160a	king group modified the wordin	ng to the curren	t definition.	Should for use defined Databa	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI	-define "c standard EE standa hich does	hannel spaci , and redefin ards are incor not need fur	ng". The usual ing the term to t porated into the ther polluting wi	be WDM specific EEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc	c is a bad idea. All t lards Definitions correct use of the
https:/ f, page C/ 1	e 5) and the worl SC 1.4.160a njamin	king group modified the wordii P 23	ng to the curren	t definition.	Should for use defined Databa definition	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla	-define "c standard EE standa hich does ause of a s	hannel spaci , and redefin ards are incor not need fur standard. If y	ng". The usual ing the term to l porated into the ther polluting wi you really must	be WDM specific EEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp	c is a bad idea. All t lards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of
https:/ f, pag <i>Cl</i> 1 Rolfe, Ber <i>Comment</i>	SC 1.4.160a Njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b	king group modified the wordin P 23 Blind Creek A	ng to the curren L 14 ssociates	t definition	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacing etc. He	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whic owever	-define "c standard EE standa hich does ause of a s ing, creat ch is also r, "channe	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is	ng". The usual ng the term to b porated into the ther polluting wi /ou really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM sed term general	c is a bad idea. All t dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lii ly understood by
https:// f, pag C/ 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6]	SC 1.4.160a Njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b	King group modified the wordi P 23 Blind Creek A Comment Status D	ng to the curren L 14 ssociates	t definition	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacine etc. He anyone	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whic owever e skilled	-define "c standard EE standa hich does use of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun	ng". The usual ing the term to be porated into the ther polluting wi you really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur	c is a bad idea. All f dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM li ly understood by ms, understood to b
Cl 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6] Suggested An ap	SC 1.4.160a njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b dRemedy pproach where the	King group modified the wordii P23 Blind Creek A <i>Comment Status</i> D e used in its own definition. [If e input, output, and transfer cl	ng to the curren <i>L</i> 14 Associates EEE Standards haracteristics of	t definition. # [<u>-1</u> Style Manual, clause	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacin etc. He anyone the spa	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whic owever e skilled acing b	-define "c standard EE standa hich does ause of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar etween cl	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun hannels, whic	ng". The usual ng the term to b porated into the ther polluting wi /ou really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur ave defined it he	c is a bad idea. All t dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lii ly understood by ms, understood to b
https:// f, pag C/ 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6] Suggestee An ap transr	SC 1.4.160a njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b dRemedy pproach where the	King group modified the wordi P23 Blind Creek A <i>Comment Status</i> D e used in its own definition. [If e input, output, and transfer cl veen TP2 to TP3 are specified	ng to the curren <i>L</i> 14 Associates EEE Standards haracteristics of	t definition. # [<u>-1</u> Style Manual, clause	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacin etc. He anyone the spa need it	I not re in this d in IEE ase. Wi ons cla el spaci g" whic owever e skilled acing b a, as yo	-define "c standard E standa hich does ause of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar etween cl u are rest	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun hannels, whic	ng". The usual ing the term to be porated into the ther polluting wi you really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur ave defined it he	correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lir
https:// f, pag C/ 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6] Suggestee An ap transr how th	e 5) and the worl SC 1.4.160a njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b dRemedy pproach where the mission path betw he transmission	Aing group modified the wordin P23 Blind Creek A Comment Status D e used in its own definition. [If e input, output, and transfer cl veen TP2 to TP3 are specified path is implemented.	ng to the curren <i>L</i> 14 Associates EEE Standards haracteristics of	t definition. # [<u>-1</u> Style Manual, clause	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacin etc. Hi anyone the spa need it Suggested	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whice owever e skilled acing b , as yo <i>Remed</i>	-define "c standard E standa hich does ause of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar etween cl u are rest	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun hannels, whic tating (slightly	ng". The usual ng the term to b porated into the ther polluting wi /ou really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur ave defined it he	c is a bad idea. All t dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lir ly understood by ms, understood to b
https:// f, pag Cl 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6] Suggestee An ap transn how th Proposed	SC 1.4.160a njamin : <i>Type</i> E erm should not b dRemedy oproach where the mission path betw he transmission p	King group modified the wordin P23 Blind Creek A Comment Status D e used in its own definition. [If e input, output, and transfer cl veen TP2 to TP3 are specified oath is implemented. Response Status W	ng to the curren <i>L</i> 14 Associates EEE Standards haracteristics of	t definition. # [<u>-1</u> Style Manual, clause	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacin etc. He anyone the spa need it Suggested Delete	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whice owever e skilled acing b , as yo <i>Remed</i>	-define "c standard EE standa hich does ause of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar etween cl u are rest	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun hannels, whic tating (slightly e 1.4.	ng". The usual ng the term to b porated into the ther polluting wi vou really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi th is how you hav obscurely) the	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur ave defined it he	c is a bad idea. All t dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lir ly understood by ms, understood to b
https:// f, pag Cl 1 Rolfe, Ber Comment The te 10.6] Suggestee An ap transn how th Proposed	e 5) and the worl SC 1.4.160a njamin <i>Type</i> E erm should not b dRemedy pproach where the mission path betw he transmission	King group modified the wordin P23 Blind Creek A Comment Status D e used in its own definition. [If e input, output, and transfer cl veen TP2 to TP3 are specified oath is implemented. Response Status W	ng to the curren <i>L</i> 14 Associates EEE Standards haracteristics of	t definition. # [<u>-1</u> Style Manual, clause	Should for use defined Databa definiti channe spacin etc. Hi anyone the spa need it Suggested	I not re in this d in IEE ase. WI ons cla el spaci g" whice owever e skiller acing b c, as yo <i>Remec</i> term fr	-define "c standard EE standa hich does ause of a s ing, create ch is also r, "channe d in the ar etween cl u are rest	hannel spaci l, and redefin Irds are incor not need fur standard. If y e a new term more consist el spacing" is rt of commun hannels, whic tating (slightly e 1.4.	ng". The usual ng the term to b porated into the ther polluting wi /ou really must such as "DWM ent with the def a commonly us ications in multi	be WDM specific EEEE-SA Stand ith this sort of inc have a DWM sp I channel spacin inition of DWDM ed term general i-channel mediur ave defined it he	c is a bad idea. All t dards Definitions correct use of the ecific definition of g" or "DWDM chan I channel, DMDM lii ly understood by ms, understood to b

C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a Page 2 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:17 PM

Direction	P 23	L 5	# I-53	C/ 30	SC 30	P 25	L19	# I-30
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Trowbridge,	Stephen	Nokia		
Comment Type E Co Abbreviation that needs expa	omment Status A anding		bucket	<i>Comment Ty</i> Significa	nt material is i	Comment Status A nissing from clause 30 w	here corresponding	material is present in
uggestedRemedy Add entry for OSNR, here or Response Re	r in 154.8 sponse Status C			this does registers	not directly a likely need to	dments. Material relating ffect behavior at the exter be added. A key decision RS FEC on the host board	rnal interface, but c n is what needs to b	ause 153-related be visible in clause 30 fo
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				clause 1 SuggestedRe		-FEC and clause 153 SC	-FEC on the modul	e side.
Add "OSNR - optical signal-t modify heading to read "Trar editoral license.				Add the aFECCo aFECUn	ollowing (or e rrectedBlocks correctableBlo	quivalent) attrubites: (may need both Clause 2 cks (may need both Clause 2	use 152 and 153 eq	lent) uivalent)
7 1 SC 1.5	P 24	L 4	# I-8			t (may need clause 152 e (may need clause 152 e		
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	Associates		aRSFEC	BypassIndica	tionAbility (may need clau	use 152 équivalent)	
Comment Type E Co	omment Status A					e (may need clause 152 e tionEnable (may need cla)
FEC align status" and so on places the full term is used. needed if the full term is use SuggestedRemedy Remove abbreviation IFEC a	In other places IFEC is d everywhere (which I p	s used. An abbre prefer) . But if you	viation is not really u have it, use it.	Impleme	IN PRINCIPI nt slides 3 thr ww.ieee802.or		enhuth_3ct_02_20	1214.pdf with editorial
Response Re	sponse Status C			C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.	2 P 25	L12	# I-40
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Issenhuth, T	om		n Consultina. LLC.H	uawei Technologies Co
Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct	/public/20_11/trowbridg	je_3ct_01a_2011	16.pdf slides 5-13.	Comment Ty States in	pe E	Comment Status D GBASE-ER4 but 802.3cd	-	buck
Replace the current abbrevia	ation of IFEC in 1.5 with	"inverse RS-FE0	2"	SuggestedR	emedy	100GBASE-DR as inser	ted by IEEE Std 80	2.3cd-2018."
				Proposed Re PROPOS	<i>sponse</i> SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
				,	,	,		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.133a.1	P 29	L 30	# I-88	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.	133e.2	P 33	L 39	# 1-90
Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	ition		Ran, Adee	e		Intel Corpora	ition	
	e E Comme d" is not the right word fo use "correspond" which i			. Descriptions of other		orted" is not the	e right word fo	ent Status A or the meaning of is more appropria		r. Descriptions of othe
SuggestedRei	medy				Suggested	dRemedy				
	ndicates the optical frequencies		supported" to "ind	icates the		ge "indicates th ponding optica		uencies that are s ".	supported" to "ind	dicates the
Change "s index num	supported for each chani ber".	nel index number'	" to "correspondir	ng to each channel		ge "supported f number".	or each chan	nel index number	" to "correspondi	ng to each channel
Response ACCEPT.	•	se Status C			Response ACCE		Respons	se Status C		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.133e	P 33	L19	# I-89	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	186aa.1	P 37	L 32	# 1-7
Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	ition		Rolfe, Ber	njamin		Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment Typ	e E Comme	ent Status R			Comment	-	Comme	ent Status R		
"Tx Rx diff	ferent optical channel ab	oility"			"Inver	se RS-FEC de	coder" should	be "Inverse RS-I	EC (IFEC) deco	oder"
	nat a bit name in the "Rx of this bit can be maintai			arts with "Tx". The	<i>Suggested</i> as ind	<i>Remedy</i> icated in the co	omment			
SuggestedRei	medy				Response		Respons	se Status C		
Change "	Tx Rx" to "Rx Tx", in Tab	le 45.102o and in	145.2.1.133e.1		REJE	CT.				
Response REJECT.	Respons	se Status C			1.2200		IFEC contro			sponse, control register part of a register nam
	w is always from the tran r RX register.	smitter to the rec	eiver so TX to R≯	(is an accurate name		onse to comme	2			
						option 1 from //www.ieee802.	org/3/ct/publi	ic/20_11/trowbridg	ge_3ct_01a_201	116.pdf slides 5-13.
					Repla	ce the current	abbreviation of	of IFEC in 1.5 with	n "inverse RS-FE	C"

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.1

C/45 SC 4	15.2.1.186ah.2	P 42	L38	# I-6	CI 78	SC 78.1.4	P 49	L17	# 1-32
Rolfe, Benjamin		Blind Creek A	Associates		Trowbridg	e, Stephen	Nokia		
Comment Type Abbreviations/	E Comme	ent Status D e spelled out at fir	st use, which app	<i>bucket</i> bears to be here.	Comment Additio		Comment Status A y be used for 100GBASE-ZR	PHYs	
	first use) 1 196ab		Suggested Add cl Table Response ACCE	auses 91, 135 a 78-1	nd 152 to the list of relevant o	lauses for 100G	BASE-ZR PHYs in
The appreviation	on is spelled out in	its first use in 45.2	2.1.10080.		C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 50	L 54	# <u>1-</u> 4
Change "has a	achived FAS lock" to	o "has achieved fr	ame alignment si	anal (FAS) lock"	Rolfe, Ber	ijamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates	
C/ 45 SC 4 Ran, Adee	45.2.1.186ao	P 48 Intel Corpora	L 12 tion	# I-91		51	Comment Status D as should be spelled out at first spelled out.	st use, which app	<i>buck</i> pears to be here (not
comment Type	T Comme	ent Status D		bucket	Suggested	lRemedy			
	e says "corrected bit			3.2.5.4, but the	spelle	d out at first use			
"names" colun	nn has "uncorrected	I codewords" inste	ad.		Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy					PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
0	rrected codewords"		' (4 times).		In 80 ⁻	1 4 modify "DP-F	QPSK" to read "dual polariza	tion differential of	quadrature phase shift
Proposed Respons	1	se Status W				(DP-DQPSK)"			4
	ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 51	L1	# 1-33
FROFUSED F					Trowbridg	e, Stephen	Nokia		
	to comment I-31.				Comment		Comment Status A		
See response	to comment I-31. I5.2.1.186ao	P48	L12	# I <u>-</u> 31	Comment	Туре Т			
See response	15.2.1.186ao	P 48 Nokia	L 12	# <u>I-31</u>	All 100)GBASE-Z Phys	ical Layer devices use clause	153 SC-FEC. C	Only some use clause
See response 45 SC 4 rowbridge, Steph	15.2.1.186ao nen		L12	# <u>I-31</u> bucket	All 100 91 RS	GBASE-Z Phys -FEC and clause		153 SC-FEC. C	Only some use clause
See response / 45 SC 4 rowbridge, Steph comment Type	15.2.1.186ao nen	Nokia ent Status D	L12		All 100 91 RS Suggested	GBASE-Z Phys -FEC and clause IRemedy	ical Layer devices use clause a 152 Inverse RS-FEC		
See response Cl 45 SC 4 Trowbridge, Steph Comment Type Table 45–150a SuggestedRemedy Change "FEC four rows of th	45.2.1.186ao Ten ER <i>Comme</i> am is for FEC corre y uncorrected codew te table	Nokia ent Status D cted bits rords" to "FEC corr			All 100 91 RS Suggested Chang modul PMD i "Some	DGBASE-Z Phys -FEC and clause <i>IRemedy</i> je " over multij ation." to " over mplementing DF	ical Layer devices use clause e 152 Inverse RS-FEC ole PCS lanes (see Clause82 er multiple PCS lanes (see Clause82 P-DQPSK modulation." Chang Physical Layer devices also u) and a PMD imp ause82), the FE le the following s	blementing DP-DQPS C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
See response 2/ 45 SC 4 Frowbridge, Steph Comment Type Table 45–150a SuggestedRemedy Change "FEC	15.2.1.186ao Ten ER <i>Comme</i> am is for FEC corre y uncorrected codew te table se <i>Response</i>	Nokia ent Status D cted bits		bucket	All 100 91 RS Suggested Chang modul PMD i "Some	FEC and clause FEC and clause <i>IRemedy</i> Je " over multi ation." to " over mplementing DF 100GBASE-Z F e RS-FEC of cla	ical Layer devices use clause e 152 Inverse RS-FEC ole PCS lanes (see Clause82 er multiple PCS lanes (see Clause82 P-DQPSK modulation." Chang Physical Layer devices also u) and a PMD imp ause82), the FE le the following s	blementing DP-DQPS C of Clause 153, and sentence to read:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Page 5 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:17 PM

CI 80	SC 80.1.4	P 51	L 4	# <mark>I-18</mark>		C/ 152	SC 1	52.7.1	P 77	L 6	# I-10
Huber, Th	iomas	Nokia				Rolfe, Ber	njamin		Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment The e	51	Comment Status D is missing the word 'Table'			bucket		tatement		Comment Status R wrong: "The supplier of a p		
Chang (as ins Proposed		struction to read as follows: In: Std 802.3cu-xx) as follows (un <i>Response Status</i> W T.			e 80–1	impler This is the im outsid been v	mentation s stating a plementa le the sco wrong. A	conform a require ation, bui pe of thi nd BTW	, Inverse RS-FEC sublayer, nance statement (PICS) pro- ement on the user of the star t for the implementer. The t is standard. I know, it has a t totally unnecessary as 80.7 ting this invalid use of shall i	forma." ndard. It is not s pehavior of the ir lways been that ' says he same	stating a requirement for nplementer is (still) wayand it has always thing, but correctly.
Comment		P 53 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A this should be called 100GBA	L 44 .SE-Z	# <u>1-34</u>		behav Also (s FYI: th confor	rior, but I still) wron he correct rms to the	would st g in 153 t resolut e style of	prose we could amend the s rongly recommend against a.4.1 and 154.11.1. ion detail when you reject th f the base standard being ar ne air and shouting "it' traditi	hat solution . is comment is "t nended" which i	his amendment
Suggested	dRemedy					Suggested	dRemedy				
Chang	ge 100GBASE-F	to 100GBASE-Z in the title o	f Figure 80-4a						The supplier of a protocol im		
Response ACCE		Response Status C				impler	mentation	conform	RS-FEC sublayer, shall con nance statement (PICS) pro opears in this draft.		
						Response	•		Response Status W		
						base s This d	s boiler-pl standard. loes not p	out a req	that appears in front of esse uirement on every implemen rm to this clause.	5	
						C/ 153	SC 1	53.1.2	P 81	L 34	# I-67
						D'Ambros	ia, John		Futurewei&n	bsp;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub
						Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status D		bucke
							s clause i am in 153-		ic to 100GBASE-ZR PHYs,	this should be n	oted at the bottom of the
						Suggested Add "1			pelow the box labeled "medi	ım" in Fig 153-1	
						Proposed PROP	Respons POSED A		Response Status W		

C/ 153 SC 153.1.2

C/ 153	SC 153.2.1	P 82	L 7	# I-19
Huber, Th	nomas	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucket

The description of the sources from which the SC FEC receives information (PCS, Inverse RS-FEC, or PMA) and the destinations to which it sends information (PCS or PMA) are not consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the last sentence of the paragraph to include the Inverse RS-FEC as a potential destination: The FEC:IS_UNITDATA_i primitives are defined for i = 0 to 19. The PCS, Inverse RS-FEC, or PMA continuously sends 20 parallel bit streams to the SC-FEC sublayer, each at a nominal signaling rate of 5.15625 GBd. The SC-FEC, or PMA, one per lane, each at a nominal signaling rate of 5.15625 GBd.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 153	SC 153	.2.1	P 82	L 12	# I-20
Huber, Th	omas		Nokia		
Comment	Туре Е	Con	nment Status D		bucket

In the description of when the SIGNAL_OK is set to FAIL, the sentence should begin with "The" rather than "That" for consistency.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the 3rd sentence, replacing 'That' with 'The': The SIGNAL_OK parameter of the FEC:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive can take one of two values: OK or FAIL. The value is set to OK when the FEC receive function has identified codeword boundaries as indicated by fec_align_status equal to TRUE. The value is set to FAIL when the FEC receive function is unable to reliably establish codeword boundaries as indicated by fec_align_status equal to FALSE.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.4	P 84	L 22	# I-60
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The GMP mapper and SC-FEC encoder are far too complicated to be implemented with high confidence based on only these sections, G.709 and G.709.2 Annex A.

SuggestedRemedy

As requested before, please provide a sample SC-FEC frame. There is provision for a downloadable file if it is larger than one would want in the standard. It may be acceptable to publish the beginning and end of the frame, omitting most of the payload if what is omitted really is obvious.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

An example SC-FEC codeword is expected to be generated and provided in the http://standards/ieee.org/downloads/802.3/, with the expected filename 802.3ct-2021_downloads.zip.

Add to the end of clause 153.2.3.2.5 SC-FEC Encoder the following: "NOTE-A file containing an example SC-FEC codeword is available at http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/."

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.4	P 84	L 45	# I-9
Rolfe, Benj	amin	Blind Creek A	Associates	<u> </u>

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here (?)

SuggestedRemedy

spell out the abbreviation at the first use.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"The FAS is the frame alignment signal. This is similar in concept ."

To:

"The frame alignment signal (FAS) is similar in concept ..."

For point 2 in the same list, Change:

"The MFAS is a multi-frame alignment signal. This field counts from ."

To: "The multi-frame alignment signal (MFAS) is a field that counts from ."

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 Page 7 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:17 PM

CI 153 SC 153.2	.3.2.4	P 85	L 2	# I-56	C/ 153 SC	153.2.3.2.6	P 88	L 7	# <mark>I-21</mark>
Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA			Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment	Status A			Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status A		
as described in 15	3.2.3.2.4": we are	in 153.2.3.2.4	; where do you m	iean?			/ indicate the flow into		
SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · ·						s based, includes).	the vertical lines ((as figure 11-3 of ITU-T
Give a more specif					SuggestedRemed	ly			
Response	Response	Status C			Add arrowhea	ads pointing into	the three XOR function	ons on the vertica	l lines
ACCEPT IN PRINO Change:	CIPLE.				Response	Re	sponse Status C		
as described in 15	3.2.3.2.4"				ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.			
To: "as shown in Figure	e 153-3"				See suggeste	ed remedy to ac	cepted comment I-35.		
C/ 153 SC 153.2 Dawe, Piers J G	.3.2.6	P 88 NVIDIA	L 4	# 1-47			e the squiggles on the XOR (circled plus) at t		. Add upward arrows to
Comment Type E	Comment	Status A			C/ 153 SC	153.2.3.2.7	P 88	L 27	# 1-49
				e, others don't. Three	Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
lines going up to (+) don't have arrow	vs. The arrow p	pointing to p15 is	not quite horizontal.	Comment Type	E Co	omment Status D		buck
SuggestedRemedy						font for figures			
Tidy up					SuggestedRemed	lv			
Response	Response	Status C			Change to Ar	ial			
ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.				Proposed Respor	nse Re	sponse Status W		
See suggested ren	nedy to accepted	comment I-35.			PROPOSED				
				. Add upward arrows to	C/ 153 SC	153.2.3.2.7	P 88	L 40	# I-22
the three vertical lin	nes to the XOR (c	ircled plus) at tl	he top		Huber, Thomas		Nokia		-
7 153 SC 153.2	3.2.6	P 88	L 5	# I-35	Comment Type	E Co	omment Status D		bucke
Trowbridge, Stephen		Nokia					sentence below figure		ssive voice (the FEC
Comment Type ER	Comment	Status A					tion; its contents are d	istributed)	
Missing arrowhead	s on Figure 153-5				SuggestedRemed	•			
SuggestedRemedy				. Add upward arrows to	octets to each	n of the 20 FEC	me consisting of 4080 lanes. With: 51 group 30 x 4 octets) to each (s of 16 octets are	e distributed from the
		ircled plus) at tl	he top		Proposed Respor	ise Re	sponse Status W		
the three vertical lin		• •							
	nes to the XOR (ci <i>Response</i>	• •			PROPOSED				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 Page 8 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:17 PM

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.7		L 44	# I-23		5 153.2.4		P 91	L 32	# 1-27
Huber, Thon	mas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas		I	Nokia		
Comment Ty		Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type	TR	Comment Si			
153-6 as	s to whether it is	e parsing of the first sentend discussing groups of 16 oc ntended meaning clear.			15_BAD sta	te and trans				gram on p93 includes to or less than 15.
SuggestedR		5			SuggestedReme	-	- C - 141 C			
	•	: At each FEC frame bound	larv. the assignm	ent of 16-octet aroups		text in the d		—	eference 15_BAD	
	lanes is rotated		<i>,,</i> 3	5 1	Response		Response St	tatus C		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLI	=.			
PROPO	SED ACCEPT.				See sugges	ted remedy	to the accepted	d comment I-	-37.	
C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.3.2	2 P89	L 21	# I-25						RUE when 5 FASs in
Huber, Thor	mas	Nokia			row fail to m (15 BAD sta		D state)" to "It i	is set to TRU	E when 15 FASs	in a row fail to match
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D		bucket	(15_BAD St	ale).				
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR	nodulo 20. This v n 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide Remedy	cond sentence in the parag vould be more clear if the ir is in parentheses. The cros ea. ence to add a comma after	ndication that the ss-reference is he	FAS was inserted elpful but should not						
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number,	nodulo 20. This v on 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted	dication that the ss-reference is he 'lane number' and d FEC lanes acc	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane						
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re	nodulo 20. This v on 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cros ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive	dication that the ss-reference is he 'lane number' and d FEC lanes acc	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane						
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re PROPO	nodulo 20. This v on 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th <i>Response</i>	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W	dication that the ss-reference is he 'lane number' and d FEC lanes acc	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane						
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re PROPO C/ 153	odulo 20. This v in 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-1 , which is the 6th <i>esponse</i> DSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.3.5	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W	dication that the ss-reference is he lane number' and d FEC lanes acc as per 153.2.3.2	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane .7) modulo 20.						
used, m based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re	adulo 20. This v in 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th <i>esponse</i> DSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.3.5 mas	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The crosses. Ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W	dication that the ss-reference is he lane number' and d FEC lanes acc as per 153.2.3.2	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane .7) modulo 20.						
used, mo based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thom Comment Ty The first	nodulo 20. This v on 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide Remedy the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th esponse DSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.3.5 mas ype E	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive to octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W 5 P89 Nokia	Idication that the ss-reference is he lane number' and d FEC lanes acc as per 153.2.3.2.	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane .7) modulo 20. # [-26						
used, mo based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thom Comment Ty The first	adulo 20. This v in 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th <i>esponse</i> DSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.3.5 mas <i>ype</i> E t sentence of the B blocks.	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W 5 P89 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D	Idication that the ss-reference is he lane number' and d FEC lanes acc as per 153.2.3.2.	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane .7) modulo 20. # [-26						
used, mo based of detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ⁻ number, Proposed Re PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thom Comment Ty The first after 666 SuggestedR Add 'tha	adulo 20. This v in 153.2.3.2.7 wa from the main ide <i>Remedy</i> the second sente The receive SC-I , which is the 6th <i>esponse</i> SED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.3.5 mas <i>ype</i> E t sentence of the B blocks. Remedy at was' as shown: blocks that was in	vould be more clear if the ir as in parentheses. The cross ea. ence to add a comma after FEC shall order the receive octet of the FAS (inserted <i>Response Status</i> W 5 P89 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D	Idication that the ss-reference is he lane number' and d FEC lanes acc as per 153.2.3.2. <i>L</i> 49 clear if it included	FAS was inserted elpful but should not d add parentheses as ording to the FEC lane .7) modulo 20. # [-26 bucket d the words 'that was' d and serialized stream						

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4

C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.2	P 92	L 4	# I-11	C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.3	P 92	L 20	# I-12
Rolfe, Benja	amin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Ber	njamin	Blind Creek /	Associates	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated." is an incorrect use of "shall". This is not stating a verifiable requirement: the "all possible" is an unbounded (infinite) set. There would need to be (likely is) a finite set of frame alignment positions that should be evaluated. To be a valid requirement, you would need to change "possible" to "defined" and then provide a reference to where the defined set of frame alignment positions is enumerated and defined. Then at least you have a valid statement of a requirement. Tho the prior sentence suggests such specification is out of scope of this standard (kind of what "not specified" means). Also, does the SLIP function evaluate every defined position every time, or as suggested by the first sentence, only the next one in the (undefined) list of valid positions? I can see why y'all decided to leave this "implementation dependent" :-).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

While significant freedom is allowed regarding how an implementation finds the FAS pattern, and there is no expectation that an implementation test additional positions after the FAS pattern has been located, there is a requirement that an implementation can find FAS pattern in any possible position.

Change:

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

To:

"An implemetation shall ensure that the FAS pattern can be detected in any possible position."

Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The synchronization state diagram determines" really isn't correct The diagram specifies something, it can illustrate something, it can even indicate something, but it can not

determine anything. A diagram an specify how the synchronization process determines something, which is what I suspect you mean.

SuggestedRemedy

change to: The synchronization process determines when the SC-FEC has detected the location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream for a given lane of the PMA service interface.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Numerous other clauses use similar wording, so in principle, it could be left as is without any risk to implementations.

However, it is more accurate to Change:

"The synchronization state diagram determines when the SC-FEC has detected the location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream for a given lane of the PMA service interface."

To:

"The SC-FEC sublayer uses this process to detect the location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream on each lane of the PMA service interface."

Cl 153	SC 153.4.1	P 91	L 32	# 1-37
Lewis, David	Ł	Lumentum Inc.		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		

The description of restart_lock says it is set to true when 5 FASs fail to match (5_BAD state). However, the state diagram in Fig 153-7 shows a transition to the 15_BAD state when fas_bad_count = 15.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 2nd sentence of restart_lock description from: "It is set to TRUE when 5 FASs in a row fail to match (5_BAD state)" to "It is set to TRUE when 15 FASs in a row fail to match (15_BAD state)".

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 153 SC 153.4.1 Page 10 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.1	P101	L 9	# 1-72	C/ 154	SC 15		P101	1	46	# I -74
D'Ambros				# <u>1-72</u> es, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosi						, U.S. Sub
Comment		Comment Status A	osp, reciniologic	-3,&11030,0.0.811030,000	Comment	,	TR	Comment Status R	ianosp, i ec	Intologies	,&1039,0.0.81039,000
It is st specif with a addres projec https:/ reach	ated that the DW ies the paramete mplification. Whi ss the reach requ t's CSD response //www.ieee802.or needs (citing dat	DM channel is specified usin rs in Table 154-10. This tabl le this meets the objective of irements of the Cable/MSO of the Cable/MSO for Broad Market potential. g/3/B10K/public/18_05/schm a for <30km, <40km, <60km, y that a significant amount of	e, however targ f the project, it of distribution netw Data submitteo itt_b10k_01a_0 , <80km, and <	ets a DWDM channel loes not adequaltely vorks noted in the d in 1518.pdf highlights the 120km), as well as	The following is stated - The black link is intentionally "black", implying that no d provided on how the link is constructed, configured or operated so that the end-to-end parameter requirements are met. It is noted that the DWDM channel may contain one or more optical amplifiers. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Delete text indicating that the DWDM channel may contain one or more optical a						ts are met. amplifiers.
Suggested	dRemedy				Response			Response Status C			
amplif <i>Response</i>	iers.	cifications that would address <i>Response Status</i> C E.	s DWDM chann	els that do not include	the po the rea	rrent wor sibility of	f optica Iderstar	appropriate because the l amplifiers inside the bla id the application spaces ned.	ick link, whic	ch is of ci	ucial importance for
See re	esolution to comn	nent #i-42.			C/ 154	SC 15	4.1.1	P 102	L	40	# I-14
The re	actution to comm	anti 10 waa			Rolfe, Ben	jamin		Blind Cre	ek Associat	es	
The re	esolution to comm	ieni i-42 was.			Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status R			
https:/ license Create	e. e informative ann	g/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3 ex 154A from the examples i	 n		At line 40 and 44, "sufficiently random" is cited in a requirement. I can't seem to find a precise definition of "sufficiently random" nor do I understand how an implementation assures sufficient randomness of bit errors on the medium. I am not sure but I *think* clause is trying to specify a minimum performance requirement for the implementation, the physical world in which it will operate. However how this is verified is not at all clear						n implementation t sure but I *think* the ne implementation, not
https:/	/www.ieee802.or	g/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	3ct_01_201203	.pdf with editorial license.	Suggested	Remedy					
C/ 154 Rolfe, Ber	SC 154.1	P 101 Blind Creek A	L 11	# I-13				where sufficiently rando ne subclause.	n is defined	and how	sufficiency is verified.
Comment		Comment Status R	1000010100		Response			Response Status W			
The st	tatement "shall be	e connected" is inappropriate ant to the purpose of the over			REJE0 The cu		ding is	consistent with the word	ing in other i	n-force o	ptical clauses.
Response REJE	ge "shall" to "is". CT.	Response Status W	n other in-force	optical clauses.	"suffici 6.2 × 1 by the randor	ently rand 0-10 for (FEC (Cla n to meet quired to	dom tha 64-octe ause 15 t this re- give a f	andom" is precisely spec at this results in a frame t frames with minimum i 3) and PCS (Clause 82) quirement, then the BEF rame loss ratio of less th	loss ratio (se nterpacket g If the error shall be les	ee 1.4.27 ap when statistics s than	5) of less than additionally processed are not sufficiently

C/ 154 SC 154.1.1 Page 11 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.5.4	P106	L 33	# I-28	C/ 154	SC	154.5.4	P106	L 43	# 1-57
Huber, Th	iomas	Nokia			Dawe, Pie	rs J G	i	NVIDIA		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
	<i>mment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> A The NOTE above the table and the footnote to the table are largely redundant, with the only difference being the first sentence in the note.					0		an amplified link to declare s ad requirement.	ignal detect OK	when it's up to 14 dB
Suggestee	dRemedy				Suggested	Reme	edy			
Includ	e the first senten	ce from the NOTE in the foot	note to the table	and delete the NOTE.				ve conditions" column should		0 1 1
Response		Response Status C						ed] according to whether the li		

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the current content of clause 154.5.4 with the following new text:

"The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK level. Fixing the value of

SIGNAL_DETECT from the PMD sublayer at OK allows upper layers to determine whether a valid signal is being received, e.g., according to the ability to acquire frame alignment. NOTE-Average input power is not a reliable indication of signal failure in an optically amplified system." The limit in the "Receive conditions" column should be the minimum average input power [unamplified or amplified] according to whether the link is amplified or not. Formally, we can say that we tell that to the PMD through the management interface or otherwise, or we ask the receiver to report that the signal is above each of the limits (when it is) separately, without having to know. As the higher sublayers formally don't know either, the first way seems better. If unamplified ability becomes optional, SD for unamplified would be optional with it. With this change, implementers can do just as this draft allows, or do better if they wish.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #i-28.

Response to comment i-28 was:

Replace the current content of clause 154.5.4 with the following new text:

"The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK level. Fixing the value of

SIGNAL_DETECT from the PMD sublayer at OK allows upper layers to determine whether a valid signal is being received, e.g., according to the ability to acquire frame alignment. NOTE-Average input power is not a reliable indication of signal failure in an optically amplified system."

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4

/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L45 # 1-59	C/ 154 SC 154.6	P 107	L 38	# I-5			
awe, Piers J G NVIDIA	Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek	Associates				
mment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type E	Comment Status A					
A table with only one row isn't a table.	DWDM should be sp	elled out at first use. Which a	opears to be here				
ggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						
Reinstate the row "All other conditions Unspecified"	expand acronym at fi	rst use					
then it makes sense as a table and works the same way.	Response	Response Status C					
sponse Response Status U	ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment #i-28. Response to comment i-28 was: Replace the current content of clause 154.5.4 with the following new text: "The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK level. Fixing the value of SIGNAL_DETECT from the PMD sublayer at OK allows upper layers to determine whether a valid signal is being received, e.g., according to the ability to acquire frame alignment. NOTE-Average input power is not a reliable indication of signal failure in an optically amplified system."	first use of the full ter the document, and th Modify 1.4.35b to rea wavelength division n modulation, and cohe Clause 154.) Modify the first sente together with the ass wavelength division n	e manual "Within text, the acro m (the first time in the introduc en the first time in any annexe d "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer nultiplexing (DWDM) PHY usir erent detection with reach up to nce of 154.1 to read "This clau ociated medium, which is a sir nultiplexing (DWDM) channel cified using black link methodo	ction, then the first s in which the ac specification for g 100GBASE-R o at least 80 km. (ase specifies the ngle-mode fiber ba which may contain	t time in the body of ronym appears)." 100 Gb/s dense encoding, DP-DQPSk (See IEEE Std 802.3, 100GBASE-ZR PMD ased dense n one or more optical			
	C/ 154 SC 154.6	P107	L 42	# <u>1</u> -75			
	D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&n	bsp;Technologies	, U.S. S			
	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R					
	provided on how the	d - The black link is intentiona link is constructed, d so that the end-to-end para					
	It is noted that the DV	VDM channel may contain one	e or more optical a	amplifiers.			
	SuggestedRemedy						
	Delete text indicating	that the DWDM channel may	contain one or m	ore optical amplifiers.			
	Response	Response Status C					
		may contain", which correctly de the black link, which is cruc					

C/ 154 SC 154.6

C/ 154 SC 154	I.6 <i>P</i> 107	L 46	# I-73	C/ 154	SC 154.6	P109	L 41	# I-84		
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&n	bsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosia,	John	Futurewei&nt	sp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub		
Comment Type 1	R Comment Status A			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R				
provided on how	stated - The black link is intentiona the link is constructed, erated so that the end-to-end parar		-		oexistence of	f DWDM optical signals with ch ne black link is not covered by		ner than the 100GBASE		
This is contradic parameters.	ted in the draft by reference to "am	plified" and "una	mplified" channels /			as the "black link" is just a met tem is similar or not.	hodology, and w	hat is contained within		
	neric black model, based on Black			Also, it is not clear whether this standard covers the coexistence of 100GBASE-ZR PME signaling targeting the two OSNRs.						
to Page 10 of ht	ps://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/1 be submitted with proposed values.	9_07/stassar_30 Note - unampli	t_02_0719.pdf.	SuggestedRe	emedy					
scenarios are im	plied by the noted OSNR specifica arameters to amplified and unampli	tions. Generic	text to describe		nce between	DWDM links supporting 100G al signaling charateristics is no				
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C				
ACCEPT IN PRI					ntial to state t	hat "Coexistence of DWDM of R PMD over the same black l				
	comment i-42 was:			than the 100GBASE-ZR PMD over the same black link is not covered by this standard.", with emphasis on the "over the same black link". Implementing the suggested remedy reduces the quality of the draft.						
Implement slides	s 14, 15, 16, and 17 in			C/ 154	SC 154.7	P 48	L 48	# 1 <u>-</u> 76		
	802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_	3ct_02b_201203	3.pdf with editorial	D'Ambrosia,	John	Futurewei&nt	sp;Technologie	s, U.S. Su		
license.				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status R				
	/e annex 154A from the examples 802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_		pdf with editorial license.	Following A PMD t	g is noted - hat exceeds t	the operating range requireme	nt while meeting	all other optical		
C/ 154 SC 15 4 Rolfe, Benjamin	1.6 P108 Blind Creek /	L 34 Associates	# <u>1-2</u>	100GBA		idered compliant (e.g., a that could operate over 90 km 80 km).	would meet the	operating range		
Comment Type 🛛 🕻	R Comment Status R			This is o	bvious and a	dds no value				
G.694.1 should l	isted in the bibliography (informativ	e reference).		SuggestedRe	emedy					
SuggestedRemedy				Delete ne	-					
Add G.694.1 to t	he bibliography			Response		Response Status C				
Response REJECT.	Response Status W	ent in the in-forc	a 2010 version of the	REJECT	ent wording is	s consistent with the wording in	n other in-force l	EEE Std 802.3-2018		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.7 Page 14 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154 SC 154.7	P 109	L 52	# I-77	C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P 11	0 L33	# I-63
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nb	osp;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub	Zhang, Bo		Inphi C	Corporation	
operation on unamplifi up to at least 80 km of Two issues 1. To meet broad mark	Comment Status D 154-8 contain several param ed links, which are not necess single-mode fiber. ket potential of project - unam	sary to support a	amplified DWDM links	cell. <i>Suggested</i> Sugges	eter side-mode Remedy St remove the c arameters in th	omma after (SMSR) ar	SR) has an extra o nd before (min), to	Bucke comma in the Description o make it consistence with all
	for a single PHY, yet this stat t certain parameters in differe		to indicate that the rx	PROP	DSED ACCEP	-		
SuggestedRemedy Delte noted text Proposed Response	Response Status W	in instances.		C/ 154 D'Ambrosia Comment T OSNR	ype TR	P11 Future <i>Comment Status</i> 302.3ct D3.0 or 802.3-2	wei Techno A	# <mark>I-82</mark> blogies, U.S. Sub
PROPOSED ACCEPT	•			Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 154 SC 154.7.1	P 110	L 30	# I-61	add de	inition for OSN	R		
Tx_optical_channel_in in Table 154-6. There has not properly cross SuggestedRemedy Suggest change the co variable Channel cente frequencies shown in Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	ell sentence to 'The frequency er frequency'. The other optior Fable 154-6'. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. ency in Table 154–6 where the	ponding to the v riable named Ta IO table Table 7 in Table 154-6 n is to simplify th	<pre><_optical_channel_index 154-2 however the cell corresponding to the he cell to 'The</pre>	The cu band C editoria See als abbrev The res Implem https:// license Create https://	SNR(193.6). M I license. to resolution to ation in its first solution to com ent slides 14, www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c	for OSNR and OSNR(1 lake it more generic to comment #i-42 and I-5 use in the body in the ment I-42 was: I5, 16, and 17 in rg/3/ct/public/20_11/sta rg/3/ct/public/20_11/sta	93.6) is currently apply to other OS 3 which adds OS body of the docun assar_3ct_02b_20 mples in	in 154.8.11 Transmitter in- NR relevant definitions, with NR to 1.5 and spells out nent in 154.8.11. 01203.pdf with editorial
				Add "C modify	SNR - optical s			ause 1.5 and in 154.8.11 oise ratio (OSNR)" with

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 Page 15 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

154 SC 154.7.1	P 110	L 43	# I-65	C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P1	10 L43	# I-78
ang, Bo	Inphi Corpora	ation		D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futur	ewei Technolog	gies, U.S. Su
<i>mment Type</i> T Transmitter in-band OSNR	Comment Status A	eeds to be quara	nteed across the	Comment 7	<i>Type</i> TR lanation of the ur	Comment Status	Α	
defined frequencies. I see	in the 154.8 definition sec	ction 154.8.11 sul	bsection a note	Suggested				
mentioning the reference fir single wavelength for this p					•	nce to ITU-T G.698	.2 Clause 7.4.2.	
relevant frequencies. ggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status	С	
Suggest remove (193.6) in	the narameter description	n Also make co	prresponding changes	ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE			
in section 154.8.11 by rem not add value.				This ha	as been changed	by comment I-42 to	12.5GHz.	
sponse F	Response Status C			The res	sponse to comme	ent I-42 was:		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Implem	nent slides 14, 15	, 16, and 17 in		
The part (193.6) in the para point of the requirement ar				https:// license		/3/ct/public/20_11/s	tassar_3ct_02b_2012	203.pdf with editorial
Concerns have been raise 802.3 standard. Adding TH only applicable at the 193.0	d that 193.6 could refer to Iz on the other hand could	o a future, not yet d even enforce th	t existing, clause of the ne impression that it's			x 154A from the exa //3/ct/public/20_11/s		03.pdf with editorial license
			-	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P1	11 <i>L</i> 4	# 1-86
Instead change the measu OSNR related parameters.				Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	si Quantum LLC,Inphi	Corporation
instead of "dB (0.1 nm)".				Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status	Α	
With editorial license to up	date related other subclai	uses.		The co	nditions for receiv	ver stress test such	the target BER must	be met is not defined.
See also resolution to com	ment # i-42.			Suggested	Remedy			
The resolution to comment	i-42 was:			- EVM	23%	w section defining st	ress trest conitions su	uch as:
	6 and 17 in		ndf with editorial	- at Mi	n/max power n OSNR receiver			
Implement slides 14, 15, 1 https://www.jeee802.org/3/	,	3ct 02h 201203					requency (SUII@20 K	
Implement slides 14, 15, 1 https://www.ieee802.org/3/ license.	,	3ct_02b_201203.					e test instrumentaitor	Hz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with- า.
https://www.ieee802.org/3/ license.	ct/public/20_11/stassar_3						e test instrumentaitor	
https://www.ieee802.org/3/	ct/public/20_11/stassar_3			20 dB/o Response		J can be added to th <i>Response Status</i>	e test instrumentaitor	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Page 16 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P 111	L16	# I-62	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P111	L 22	# <u>1</u> -58
Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corporat	tion		Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment 7	Гуре E С	comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		
		equency in Table 154-6 c However, there is no suc			there	s no correspon	link must comply with chrom ding spec on the receiver. Co	mpare G.698.2:	nax) and (min), but
Suggestedl	Remedy						minimum (residual) chromatic fine the maximum and minim		ontical nath end-to-end
		ntence to 'The frequency quency' or simplify to 'The			chrom This d	atic dispersion raft has lost sor	that the system shall be able mething very important in tran	to tolerate." slation. Not spe	cifying the receiver for
Response	Re	esponse Status C			tolera	nce to chromati	c dispersion is contrary to all a	302.3 SMF spec	s since 2002.
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggestee	dRemedy			
	e to "The frequency ir e Rx_optical_channel	n Table 154–6 where the	channel index	number equals the			r the receiver to tolerate the ra ivity spec in any 802.3 SMF c		c dispersion, e.g. similar
					Response		Response Status U		
C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P111	L 20	# I- 46	REJE				e
Schmitt, Ma	atthew	Cable Televisi	ion Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)			the comment reads "Not species contrary to all 802.3 SMF s		
Comment 7	Гуре Т С	comment Status A			None	of recent in-ford	e and draft receiver specification. Instead chromatic dispersion	tions contain a re	equirement for tolerance
linkage power [by looking at clause [unamplified] (min)" a clarified by clause 15	ver OSNR(193.6) [amplif 154.8.12. The same sit ind "Receiver OSNR(193 4.8.13. This could lead	uation exists wit 8.6) [unamplified	th "Àverage receive I] (min)", whose linkage			 Therefore it is very appropri- lack link specifications. 		·
Suggestedl	Remedy								
	er replacing or supple	otes to Table 154-9 to cl ementing the table with a							
Response	Re	esponse Status C							
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.								
See res	solution to comment	# i-42.							
The res	solution to comment i	-42 was:							
Implem https://v license		, and 17 in t/public/20_11/stassar_3	ct_02b_201203	pdf with editorial					
	informative annex 15 www.ieee802.org/3/c	54A from the examples in t/public/20_11/stassar_3		ndf with editorial license					

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P 111	L 23	# I-64	C/ 154	SC '	154.7.2	P 111	L 25	# <mark>1-</mark> 55
Zhang, Bo	1	Inphi Corpora	ation		Dawe, Pie	ers J G		NVIDIA		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		
		SNR (193.6) is missing the u e same Rx table.	nit after 193.6. T	his applies to also two	tolerar	nce(193	.6), defin	itivity or stressed sensitivity s ed in 154.8.16 by reference 1	to G.698.2, where	e 7.4.3 defines it as at:
Suggested	IRemedy							ffset, optical return loss at po rances, but excluding chrom		
		THz' after 193.6 in three parar	meters in the Rx	table.				tical path, PMD, PDL and op		
Response		Response Status C			deal o	f interpr	etation to	o turn into an actual measure	ment, with too m	uch opportunity for
•	PT IN PRINCIPI	,						I disagreement. 802.3 doesr hat; they are the measurer's		
, lool								for tolerance to chromatic di		
See re	solution to com	ment # i-65.			specs	since 2	002. Not	having a specific stressed se	ensitivity spec is	contrary to all 802.3
The re	solution to comr	ment i-65 was:						 It is not clear that receiver y for the unamplified link. 	OSNR tolerance	(193.6) enforces the
					•		-			
		parameter name is intended			Suggested					
		nt and not that it is only applic aised that 193.6 could refer to						eiver sensitivity criteria, addro chromatic dispersion, and for		
		g THz on the other hand could						case a "major option" if it's m		
		193.6 THz channel (even it's r			lf it ma	akes sei	nse to sp	ecify tolerance to OSNR and	I some other thing	gs in one spec item,
Instaal				and managers 100.0 frame				on and some others in anoth		
		easurement bandwidth of 0.1 ters. Thus the unit in the relev					PMD has ed PICS.	its own clock domain, the si	nusoidal jitter wo	n't be the usual amoui
	d of "dB (0.1 nm						u i 100.			
With e	ditorial license to	o update related other subcla	uses.		Response			Response Status U		
Secol	an resolution to	comment # i-42.			REJE The c		does not	t provide a specific proposal	or provide evider	nce that the suggested
See al	so resolution to	comment # 1-42.						e quality of the draft.	or provide evider	lee that the suggested
The re	solution to comr	ment i-42 was:			Furthe	ermore it	t is very s	similar to previously submitte	d comments #15	to D2.1 and #140 to
					D2.0 v	which we	ere both r	rejected.		
	,	5, 16, and 17 in rg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	Rat 0.26 201203	ndf with aditorial	Straw	noll· I s	unnort no	ot making any changes to the	draft based on t	his comment
license		19/3/01/public/20_11/stassal_c	020_201203		olidw	poil. 1 0	apport ne	that any onaligou to the		
					Y - 19					
		nex 154A from the examples i			N - 5					
https://	/www.ieee802.or	rg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	3ct_01_201203.p	odf with editorial license.	A - 3					
					There	was no	consens	us to make a change to the	document at this	time.
								5		

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2

	P 111	L 29	# I-15	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2		P111	L 31	# <mark>I-4</mark> 3
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Schmitt, Ma	atthew	Ca	ble Televis	sion Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)
comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment 7	ype T	Comment Stat	us A		
requirement) can not app table (correctlly). The no	table) is informative. Thus ' bear in a note to a table. The te appears (I'm guessing) to shold". For sure, "shall" in a	e rquirement (3 dE o be explanatory t	Bm) is stated in the ext (informative)	defining	two PHYs or t t necessary to o		s are not r	nandatory, which	that we're either was not the intent. It's fore could be removed
uggestedRemedy				00	note "b" from T	able 154-9			
	shold is the average optical	signal average p	ower level that is	Response		Response State	·s c		
tolerated without damage) <u>,</u> "			•	T IN PRINCIPI	•	13 C		
esponse	Response Status W			AGGEI					
REJECT.	onsistent with the wording in	other in force on	tical alguage	See res	olution to com	nent # i-42.			
This is a Table Footnote	rather than a Table Note, w able to tolerate" is correct v	hich according to		The res	olution to comr	nent I-42 was:			
154 SC 154.7.2	P 111	L31	# I-41			5, 16, and 17 in	1/ataaaa '	2 at 0.2 b 201002	ndf with a ditarial
Stassar, Peter		nologies Co., Ltd		license		rg/3/ct/public/20_1	i/stassar_	301_02b_201203.	pur with eutorial
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A	loiogios Co., Elu		a .					
Note b suggests that the	re are actually 2 PMDs, one xpress that the unamplified					nex 154A from the rg/3/ct/public/20_1			odf with editorial license
necessary for the 80 km	DWDM project objective. It becification for the Tx/Rx. If 1	needs to be anan	nbiguously clear that	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	-	P111	L 32	# 1-79
become mandatory, we r	need to re-examine that the	values are not too	o restrictive for the	D'Ambrosia	, -			osp;Technologies	, U.S. Sul
primary objective, potent	ally reducing yield.			Comment 7		Comment Stat ly that a Rx may ne		oupport cortain n	aramatara far
uggestedRemedy Delete Note b.				unampl	ified scenarios	and appears to cre	ate a pote	ntial interoperabil	lity problem
	_			Suggested	Remedy				
	Response Status C			Delete	Note B				
esponse	•								
	•			Response		Response State	ıs C		
Response					T IN PRINCIPI	,	ıs C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	nt I-42.			ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPI	.Е.	is C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See resolution to comme The resolution to comme Implement slides 14, 15,	nt I-42. nt I-42 was:	ct 02b 201203.p	df with editorial	ACCEF See res		.E. nent I-42.	ıs C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See resolution to comme The resolution to comme Implement slides 14, 15, https://www.ieee802.org/ license. Create informative annex	nt I-42. nt I-42 was: 16, and 17 in	··		ACCEF See res The res Implem	olution to comi olution to comr ent slides 14, 1 www.ieee802.0	.E. nent I-42.		3ct_02b_201203.	pdf with editorial

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 154
 Page 19 of 26

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 154
 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 154
 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154 🛛 🕄	SC 154.7.3	P 111	L	# I-42	C/ 154	SC 154.7.	3 P111	L 45	# I-52		
tassar, Pete	r	Huawei Techr	nologies Co., Ltd		Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA				
omment Typ The black of 80 km of for the inco fiber loss Because of more table application could con (e.g. dispo specificati uggestedRen A proposa presentati esponse	e TR link characterist over a DWDM lin lusion of one or is not specified. of the intent to se (s) with an illust nes operating over tain an example ersion) penalty, v on methodology medy al for a new Table on (pending)	Comment Status A ics in Table 154-10 are sp ik. This can only be done of more optical amplifiers" (til The specification methodo erve unamplified application rative (thus informative) p r shorter distances than 8 of a fiber loss specification vithout "destroying" the fun	ecifically to satis on by defining a nus without actua- logy is based up ons it would be u- ower budget for 0 km. This illustr n and the additio ndamental princi	ofy the project objective black link "appropriate ally requiring it). Then oon that principle. seful to add one or unamplified ative power budget n of an optical path ple of black link	Comment 802.3 chann receiv range transr and m "This also p of the The re specif be sur power So in pieces	Type TR writes interop lel must each er and channe s; the channe nitted signals ninimum mear parameter (to laces a requir black link. equirement is ied limits, the ch that the por limits." G.698.2, there s specified sej ation, and a cl	Comment Status A erability specifications. The de be independently complete en- el will interoperate. The transm I must have specifications that so that the power window at TF in input power: gether with the maximum and m ement on the maximum and m that while the mean channel ou channel insertion loss (or gain wer level at point RS is within the be is a channel insertion loss (or parately, the channel insertion in annel can be compliant with a	bugh so that any o nitter and receiver control the loss of 23 is met. In G.69 ninimum mean ch inimum channel ir htput power at poin of the black link ne maximum and gain) requiremen oss (or gain) spece	compliant transmitter, have specified power gain for compliant 08.2, 7.4.1 Maximum nannel output power) nsertion loss (or gain) ht SS is within the for that channel must minimum mean input t. Here, with the three c has got lost in		
https://ww license. Create inf	ormative annex	6, and 17 in /ct/public/20_11/stassar_3 154A from the examples in /ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	1		SuggestedRemedy Add black link specifications in 154.7.3, preferably in Table 154-10, so that a black link w deliver the right power at TP3, giving effect to what G.698.2 says, "while the mean chanr output power at point SS [TP2] is within the specified limits, the channel insertion loss (o gain) of the black link for that channel must be such that the power level at point RS [TP2 is within the maximum and minimum mean input power limits". Different for amplified an non-amplified cases. Add associated PICS.						
					Response	, ,	Response Status C				
					ACCE	EPT IN PRINC	IPLE.				
					See re	esponse to co	mment I-42.				
					The re	esolution to co	omment I-42 was:				
						//www.ieee802	l, 15, 16, and 17 in 2.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_	_3ct_02b_201203	.pdf with editorial		
							annex 154A from the examples				

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3

	00 454 0.0	0444	140	"	0.454	00 454 0 44	D111					
C/ 154	SC 154.8.9	P114	L13	# I-85	C/ 154	SC 154.8.11	P114	L22	# 1-83			
Ghiasi, Al			um LLC,Inphi Co	prporation	D'Ambros	<i>.</i>		sp; l echnologies	s, U.S. Sub			
Comment	<i>J</i> 1 ² ²	Comment Status R			Comment	51	Comment Status A					
sampe	es are aquired with re	erences ITU 698.2, where eal time scope. A shorter			The use of "(193.6)" as part of the name of a parameter is potentially problematic in the future when a future Clause 193.6 is expected to come into existence							
	s than longer.				Suggested	dRemedy						
Suggestee	-				Modify	/ (193.6) to be (1	93.6 THz) in parameter name	es				
		receiver receiver will hav			Response		Response Status C					
	d as 13976 .	audrate of 27.9525 GBd th	ien number of s	amples in should be	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
Response		Response Status U			See re	esolution to comr	nent # i-65.					
		especially the statement	"A shorter captu	re will proivde more	The resolution to comment i-65 was:							
ITU-T	G.698.2 clearly spec	cifies a sample block size	of 1000.		The part (193.6) in the parameter name is intended to convey 193.6 THz is the calibration point of the requirement and not that it is only applicable at 193.6 THz. Concerns have been raised that 193.6 could refer to a future, not yet existing, clause of the							
		of a statement instead of dence that it would improv			802.3 standard. Adding THz on the other hand could even enforce the impression that it's only applicable at the 193.6 THz channel (even it's not even a used channel).							
Straw	poll:				Instea	d change the me	asurement bandwidth of 0.1	nm to 12.5 GHz	and remove 193.6 from			
l supp	orting rejecting the c	omment as proposed.			OSNR related parameters. Thus the unit in the relevant cells would be "dB (12.5 GHz)" instead of "dB (0.1 nm)".							
Yes -	6				With e	editorial license to	o update related other subcla	uses.				
No - 4					See a	lso resolution to	comment # i-42					
Absta	in - 5											
Thoro	was no consensus t	o make a change to the d	roft		The re	esolution to comm	nent i-42 was:					
There		o make a change to the d	ian.				5, 16, and 17 in g/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	3ct_02b_201203	.pdf with editorial			
					0							

Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.11

C/ 154	SC 154.8.11	P 114	L 24	# I-54	C/ 154	SC 154.8.12		P 114	L 30	# I-68	
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA			D'Ambros	ia, John	F	uturewei&n	bsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub	
Comment T	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	omment Status A			Comment		Comment Sta				
Inadequ	ately defined term.	This says "OSNR and O 098.2. G.698.2, 7.4.2, sa	SNR(193.6) are	defined in	Title c	f subclause does	not match the na	ame of the	parameter in Tat	ble 154-9	
(OSNR)) is the value of the	e ratio of the signal powe	er in the wanted	channel to the noise	Suggeste	dRemedy					
power d	lensity (referred to 0.	1 nm)" Not "to the r	noise power in 0	.1 nm". So it's power /	Add "	eceive" to subtitle	e after "average"				
		en would be dB/nm may wer"? Is it the average p			Response Response Status C						
		rror vector magnitude, h			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	E.				
mathem	natical manipulation f	from a measurement, bu	t I believe that C	OSNR existed before							
EVM, se	o that's probably a dif	fferent thing.			See re	esolution to comm	ient # 1-42.				
SuggestedF	Remedy				The re	solution to comm	ent i-42 was:				
Provide	an unambiguous de	finition of OSNR									
Response	Re	esponse Status C				ment slides 14, 15 //www.ieee802.org		1/stassar	3ct 02b 201203	s odf with editorial	
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.				licens		g/0/01/public/20_1	",otaooai_			
In this c	context signal power r	means average signal po	ower		Creat	e informative anne	ax 154A from the	examples	in		
	0									pdf with editorial license.	
See res	olution to comment #	# i-82.				_		_			
The res	olution to comment I-	-82 was:									
band O		NR and OSNR(193.6) is more generic to apply to	,								
		nent #i-42 and I-53 which the body in the body of		•							
The res	olution to comment I-	-42 was:									
		, and 17 in t/public/20_11/stassar_3	ct_02b_201203	pdf with editorial							
		i4A from the examples ir t/public/20_11/stassar_3		odf with editorial license.							
The res	olution to comment I	-53 was:									
	heading to read "Trar	to-noise ratio" after MFA nsmitter in-band optical s									
TYPE: TR/te	echnical required ER	R/editorial required GR/g	general required	T/technical E/editorial G	/general			C/ 1	54	Page 22 of 26	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.8.12 Page 22 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

CI 154 SC 154.8.12 P114 L31 # 1-80	C/ 154 SC 154.8.13 P114 L37 # 1-69							
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Sub							
Comment Type TR Comment Status A 154.8.12 and 154.8.13 both identify ampflied and non-amplfied scenarios for the average receive input power, but the references to these states should be deleted and instead point to the minimum OSNR that is being targeted	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Title of subclause does not match the name of the parameter in Table 154-9 SuggestedRemedy Add "receive" to subtitle after "average"							
SuggestedRemedy Reword 154.8.12 The average receive input power shall be within the limits given in Table 154-9. f. The average input power [amplified] defines the input power range over which the BER requirement must be met at the minimum OSNR defined by the OSNR(193.6) of the target black link.	Add receive to sublide after average Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment # i-42.							
Response Response Status C	The resolution to comment i-42 was:							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment # i-42	Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.							
The resolution to comment i-42 was:	Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial licens							
Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.	C/ 154 SC 154.8.14 P 114 L 46 # [-70 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Sub							
Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Title of subclause does not match the name of the parameter in Table 154-9 SuggestedRemedy Add "Receiver" before "OSNR"							
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
	See resolution to comment # i-42. The resolution to comment i-42 was: Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license. Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license							

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14	P 114	L 46	# I-44	C/ 154	SC 154.8.14	P 114	L 47	# I-81		
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televisi	on Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)	D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S.&r						
Comment Type E Ca In clause 154.8.14 the paran without indication that it is a is listed as "Receiver OSNR the text in Table 154.9. SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the par [amplified]" in order to match	receiver requirement. H (193.6) [amplified]", whic ameter (including the se	lowever, in Table ch makes that cl	e 154-9, the parameter ear but does not match	receive i to the av SuggestedR Reword The ave	, and 154.8.15 nput power, bu erage receive <i>emedy</i> 154.8.12 rage receiver C	Comment Status A both identify amplfied and no it the references to these stat input power that is being targ DSNR (193.6 THz) shall be w eing targeted by the black lin	tes should be de leted ithin the limits gi	eleted and instead point		
_	esponse Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
See resolution to comment #				See resolution to comment # i-42						
Implement slides 14, 15, 16, https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct license.	and 17 in	ct_02b_201203.	pdf with editorial	Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.						
Create informative annex 15 https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct	•		df with editorial license.	Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial I						

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14 Page 24 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.8.15	P115	L1	# I-45	C/ 154	SC 154.8.22	P115	L 45	# I-29		
chmitt, Mat	tthew	Cable Televis	ion Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)	Laubach, N	lark	IEEE memb	er / Self Employe	d		
omment Ty	/pe E Cor	mment Status A			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status R				
without in is listed a	ndication that it is a re	eceiver requirement. H 93.6) [unamplified]", v	lowever, in Tabl	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Table 8	-7 and Table 8-8 s -40 dB for NRZ	num Interferometric crossta 3 for class DP-DQPSK appl signals. Hopefully people	ications. In table	es 8-1 through 8-6, the		
uggestedRe	emedy				Suggestedl	Remedy					
		meter including the s n order to match Table		"Receiver			aces in this draft, change "l T G.698.2 for DP-DQPSK s				
Response	Res	ponse Status C			Response		Response Status C				
ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.				REJEC The rec		e values for crosstalk are d	irectly provided ir	n Subclause 154.7. So		
See resc	olution to comment # i	i-42.			there is	no need to mak	e more specific references	to the relevant va	alues in G.698.2		
The resc	olution to comment i-4	2 was:			C/ 154	SC 154.9.1	P 116	L 7	# I-16		
Implome	nt olidoo 14 15 16 c	and 17 in			Rolfe, Benj	amin	Blind Creek	Associates			
•	ent slides 14, 15, 16, a /ww.jeee802.ora/3/ct/r	oublic/20 11/stassar 3	3ct 02b 201203	.pdf with editorial	Comment 7	ype E	Comment Status D		Bucke		
license.	0 1						b be removed prior to SA ba				
Create ir	nformative annex 15/	A from the examples i	2		to P802	2.3cr.". Welcom	e to SA ballot. Stuff happen	ns - blame it on 2	:020 :-)		
				odf with editorial license.	Suggested	-					
C/ 154	SC 154.8.15	P115	L115	# I-71		Remove note Editor's note that was meant to be removed before SA ballot					
D'Ambrosia,				s, U.S. Sub	Proposed F	•	Response Status W				
Comment Ty		mment Status A	op, i connoiogio	s,anbop,e.e.anbop,eab	PROPO	DSED ACCEPT.					
		atch the name of the p	arameter in Tab	le 154-9							
SuggestedRe											
	ceiver" before "OSNR	2"									
Response	Res	ponse Status C									
•	T IN PRINCIPLE.										
See resc	olution to comment # i	i-42. Editor's note, she	ould be line 1.								
The resc	olution to comment i-4	2 was:									
	ent slides 14, 15, 16, a ww.ieee802.org/3/ct/p	and 17 in public/20_11/stassar_3	3ct_02b_201203	.pdf with editorial							
		A from the examples in public/20_11/stassar_3		odf with editorial license.							
YPF TR/te	chnical required FR/	editorial required GR/	general required	T/technical E/editorial (G/general		C/ 1	54	Page 25 of 26		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 Page 25 of 26 12/14/2020 12:57:18 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.9.5	P 116	L 46	# I-17	CI A	SC A	P 123	L	# I-24
Rolfe, Be	njamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Huber, Tl	homas	Nokia		
nation out of syster chang IEEE-	stem integrating a nal codes for the lin f scope of this stan m complies with ap ge after the publica -SA and 802.3.	Comment Status R 100GBASE-ZR PMD shall c nitation of electromagnetic in dard. It is the implementers oplicable codes, regulations, tion of this standard and all	nterference." is s s responsibility t and laws. All o	stating a requirement o assure that the f which are subject to	153.2 <i>Suggeste</i> Add a optica	x A does not cor 2.3.3.1 is making <i>dRemedy</i> an editing instruc al transport netwo	Comment Status D tain an editing instruction to a a reference to it tion to insert a reference for [E ork hierarchy equipment funct	8xx] ITU-T G.79	
Chang 100G	BASE-ZR PMD co tion of electromage	lementers responsibility to a mplies with applicable local netic interference. <i>Response Status</i> W			PROI Add a IEEE	Std 802.3ca-202	Response Status W I IN PRINCIPLE. tion to insert the following refe 20 "[Bxx] ITU-T G.798 - Chara unctional blocks".		
REJE This is stand	s identical with tex	t that appears in every optica	al PMD clause ir	n the in-force base	C/ A Trowbridg Comment	SC A ge, Stephen t Tvpe ER	P 123 Nokia Comment Status D	L11	# <mark>I-36</mark> bucket
Cl 154 Dawe, Pie Comment Black	tType E	NVIDIA Comment Status D	L1	# I-48 Bucket	Missi <i>Suggeste</i> Insert	ng addition of bit	98-Characteristics of optical to		
black Proposed	dRemedy link I Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PRO	I Response POSED ACCEP ⁻ response to comi	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. nent I-24.		

CI A SC A