C/ FM	SC FM	P <b>3</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 1-66	
D'Ambros	ia, John	Future	wei Techno	logies, U.S.8	nbsp;Sub
Comment	51	Comment Status			bucket
		lescribes the methodol cificatinon, it should be			Given its
Suggested	,				
	plack link" to list	of keywords			
•	Response	Response Status	W		
PROP	POSED ACCEPT	Г.			
C/ FM	SC FM	P13	L <b>47</b>	# [ <u>-</u> 38	
Issenhuth	, Tom	Issenh	uth Consulting, L	_C,Huawei Technol	ogies Co.,
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		bucket
Amen	dment ordering	has been changed with	802.3ct preceedi	ng 802.3cp	
Suggested	dRemedy				
Remo	ve 802.3cp from	the list			
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
PROF	POSED ACCEPT	г.			
C/ FM	SC FM	P <b>14</b>	L8	# 1-39	
Issenhuth	, Tom	Issenh	uth Consulting, Ll	C,Huawei Technol	ogies Co.,
Comment	Type E	Comment Status	D		bucket
Amen	dment ordering	has been changed with	802.3ct preceedi	ng 802.3cs	
Suggested	dRemedy				
Remo	ve 802.3cs from	the list			
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
	· · · · ·	_			

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.35b	P <b>23</b>	L <b>8</b>	# I-51
Dawe, Piers	; J G	NVIDIA		

# Comment Type T Comment Status D

1.4.70 10GBASE-W: An IEEE 802.3 physical coding sublayer for serial 10 Gb/s operation that is data-rate and format compatible with SONET STS-192c. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 49.)

1.4.31 100GBASE-P: An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using 100GBASE-R encoding and a PMD that employs pulse amplitude modulation with more than 2 levels. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 80.)

1.4.32 100GBASE-R: An IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices using 100GBASE-R encoding and a PMD that employs 2-level pulse amplitude modulation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 80.)

1.4.33 100GBASÉ-R encoding: The physical coding sublayer encoding defined in Clause 82 for 100 Gb/s operation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 82.)

DQPSK has a similarity with 100GBASE-P (2 bits/UI), but what the Clause 153 SC-FEC sublayer does is much the same as what the Clause 50 WAN Interface Sublayer does: it takes a 64B/66B encoded stream and puts it in a telecoms style wrapper. The SC-FEC is quite different to the "KR4" or "KP4" FEC. Also, this PHY uses a telecoms style clock domain. It doesn't work by "using 100GBASE-R encoding". While it may carry a 64B/66B stream, what it actually uses is SC-FEC framing. All in all, it's significantly different to "BASE-R" and should be named appropriately so that future projects and implementations with breakout options are not confused. Straw polls two years ago don't alter the technical issue.

# SuggestedRemedy

Change the name to 100GBASE-ZW

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

A similar comment was brought forward in D2.1, comment 10 which was rejected due to lack of support to make a change. As stated in the previous comment response, the -ZR nomenclature was adopted by the task force and reaffirmed without opposition.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 1 SC 1.4.35b Page 1 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:49 PM

C/ 1	SC 1.4.35b	P <b>23</b>	L <b>9</b>	# I-50
Dawe. Pi	ers J G	NVIDIA		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

What the Clause 153 SC-FEC sublaver does is much the same as what the Clause 50 WAN Interface Sublayer does: it takes a 64B/66B encoded stream and puts it in a telecoms style wrapper. The SC-FEC is quite different to the "KR4" or "KP4" FEC. Also, this PHY uses a telecoms style clock domain on the line. It doesn't work by "using 100GBASE-R encoding". While it may carry a 64B/66B stream, what it actually uses is SC-FEC framing, and is significantly different to all in-force BASE-R (or BASE-P) PHYs.

# SuggestedRemedv

Change "using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation" to "using 100GBASE-R encoding, GMP mapping, SC-FEC framing, and DP-DQPSK modulation". (If the group is ashamed of using all those things, it could change how the PHY works, but that would be more disruptive.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The commentor has not demonstrated how changing it would improve the quality of the draft. The same comment was submitted as technical, not required in D2.0, comment 139 and the working group modified the wording to the current definition.

Cl 1	SC 1.4.160a	P <b>23</b>	L <b>14</b>	# I-1
Rolfe, E	Beniamin	Blind Creek	Associates	

Rolfe, Benjamin

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The term should not be used in its own definition. [IEEE Standards Style Manual, clause 10.61

#### SuggestedRemedy

An approach where the input, output, and transfer characteristics of the uni-directional transmission path between TP2 to TP3 are specified, without specifying how the transmission path is implemented.

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modifv black link definiton to "A methodology where the input, output, and transfer characteristics of the uni-directional transmission path between TP2 to TP3 are specified. without specifying

how the transmission path is implemented."

C/ 1	SC 1.4.160a	P <b>23</b>	L15	# I-87
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporation		
<b>O</b> a manual m 4 T		Commont Ctature D		

Comment Type E Comment Status D

TP2 and TP3 are undefined terms that make this definition meaningless out of its context. A methodology should not be bound by such specific names.

In addition, the endpoints are defined for measurement purposes at the end of patch cords, and may not exist in any link. The transmission is between PHYs.

# SuggestedRemedy

Change "between TP2 and TP3" to "between two PHYs".

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

TP2 and TP3 are widely used and understood test points and are used to define the input and output of the black link in clause 154. Modify existing definition by adding "(See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 154. Figure 154-2)" which shows the location of TP2 and TP3.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.181a	P <b>23</b>	L <b>20</b>	# I-3
Rolfe, Benja	amin	Blind Creek A	ssociates	

#### Comment Type GR Comment Status D

Should not re-define "channel spacing". The usual (commonly used) definition is adequate for use in this standard, and redefining the term to be WDM specific is a bad idea. All terms defined in IEEE standards are incorporated into the IEEE-SA Standards Definitions Database. Which does not need further polluting with this sort of incorrect use of the definitions clause of a standard. If you really must have a DWM specific definition of channel spacing, create a new term such as "DWM channel spacing" or "DWDM channel spacing" which is also more consistent with the definition of DWDM channel, DMDM link, etc. However, "channel spacing" is a commonly used term generally understood by anyone skilled in the art of communications in multi-channel mediums, understood to be the spacing between channels, which is how you have defined it here. SO really, you don't need it, as you are restating (slightly obscurely) the obvious.

# SuggestedRemedy

Delete term from clause 1.4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The commentor has not shown how the definition is inconsistent with in-force ITU-T standards and industry usage.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a Page 2 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket       Comment Status       Signition         Abbreviation that needs expanding       SuggestedRemedy       Add entry for OSNR, here or in 154.8       Signition       Signition         SuggestedRemedy       Add entry for OSNR, here or in 154.8       W       registion       This discrete         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       Response Status       Suggested         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11       Modify heading to read "Transmitter in-band optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR)(193.6)"       Add to a FEC         Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [-8]       AdSF         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       aRSF       aRSF         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       aRSF         IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublayer").       aRSF       aRSF         E, g. "Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC Reed-Solomon decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In many places the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.       Proposed         SuggestedRemedy       Chart       Chart         Remove abbreviation IFEC and u	ficant material is m projects or amend does not directly aff ters likely need to l ase of clause 91 R se 152 Inverse RS- edRemedy the following (or eq CCorrectedBlocks ( CUncorrectableBloc ECBIPErrorCount ECBypassAbility ( ECBypassIndicati ECBypassIndicati ECBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati		to clause 152 may hal interface, but c is what needs to running across th FEC on the modu 52 and 153 equiva se 152 and 153 eq quivalent) uivalent) se 152 equivalent, quivalent) use 152 equivalent, d 153 equivalent)	y not be necessary as clause 153-related be visible in clause 30 fo ne C2M interface, with ale side. alent) quivalent)
Abbreviation that needs expanding       Signition         SuggestedRemedy       Add entry for OSNR, here or in 154.8       Signition         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       Regist         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Suggested       Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11       Add to clause         Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11       Add to clause       Add to clause         Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11       Add to clause       Add to clause         Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # I-8       Add to aFEC         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       ARSF       ARSF       ARSF         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       ARSF       ARSF         IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublayer").       BRSF       BRSF       BRSF         FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In many places the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.       Proposed       PROI         SuggestedRemedy       Chart       Chart       Mark       Mark         Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throughout.	ficant material is m projects or amend does not directly aff ters likely need to l ase of clause 91 R se 152 Inverse RS- edRemedy the following (or eq CCorrectedBlocks ( CUncorrectableBloc ECBIPErrorCount ECBypassAbility ( ECBypassIndicati ECBypassIndicati ECBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati ECCBypassIndicati	nissing from clause 30 wh dments. Material relating t ffect behavior at the exterr be added. A key decision RS FEC on the host board -FEC and clause 153 SC- quivalent) attrubites: (may need both Clause 15 cks (may need both Clause t (may need clause 152 eq ionAbility (may need clause (may need clause 152 eq ionAbility (may need clause (may need clause 152 eq ionEnable (may need clause (may need clause 152 en <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	to clause 152 may hal interface, but c is what needs to running across th FEC on the modu 52 and 153 equiva se 152 and 153 eq quivalent) uivalent) se 152 equivalent, quivalent) use 152 equivalent, d 153 equivalent)	y not be necessary as clause 153-related be visible in clause 30 fo ne C2M interface, with ale side. alent) quivalent)
Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11 modify heading to read "Transmitter in-band optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR)(193.6)"       Add to aFEC         Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11 modify heading to read "Transmitter in-band optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR)(193.6)"       Add to aFEC         Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       II-8         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       aRSF         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublayer").       aRSF         E. g. "Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC Reed-Solomon decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In many places the full term is used. In other places IFEC is used. An abbreviation is not really needed if the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.       Proposed         SuggestedRemedy Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throughout.       Chart	the following (or eq CCorrectedBlocks ( CUncorrectableBloc ECBIPErrorCount ECBypassAbility ( ECBypassIndicati ECBypassEnable ECBypassIndicati ECLaneMapping ( <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	(may need both Clause 15 cks (may need both Clause t (may need clause 152 eq ionAbility (may need clause e (may need clause 152 ec ionEnable (may need clause (may need clause 152 en may need clause 152 en <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	se 152 and 153 ed quivalent) uivalent) se 152 equivalent) quivalent) use 152 equivalen d 153 equivalent)	quivalent) ) it)
modify heading to read "Transmitter in-band optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR)(193.6)"       aFEC         C/1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # I-8       aFEC         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       aRSF         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       aRSF         IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublayer").       aRSF       aRSF         E. g. "Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC Reed-Solomon decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In many       aRSF         places the full term is used. In other places IFEC is used. An abbreviation is not really       neaded if the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.       PROI         SuggestedRemedy       Chart       mana         Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throughout.       Mana	CCorrectedBlocks ( CUncorrectableBloc FECBIPErrorCount FECBypassAbility ( FECBypassIndicati FECBypassEnable FECBypassIndicati FECLaneMapping ( <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	(may need both Clause 15 cks (may need both Clause t (may need clause 152 eq ionAbility (may need clause e (may need clause 152 ec ionEnable (may need clause (may need clause 152 en may need clause 152 en <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	se 152 and 153 ed quivalent) uivalent) se 152 equivalent) quivalent) use 152 equivalen d 153 equivalent)	quivalent) ) it)
		ddress what information ne		
	ager and develop re	required modifications to c	clause 30.	
Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2		L12	# 1-40
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Issenhut			Consulting, LLC,	Huawei Technologies Co
	51	Comment Status D GBASE-ER4 but 802.3cd i R4.	inserted 100GBAS	<i>buck</i> SE-CR2, KR2, SR2 and
	edRemedy nge to "insert after "	100GBASE-DR as inserte	ed by IEEE Std 80	)2.3cd-2018."
	l Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2

CI <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.133a.1	P <b>29</b>	L <b>30</b>	# I-88	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.1	33e.2	P <b>33</b>	L <b>39</b>	# <u>I-90</u>	
Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	ation		Ran, Ade	e		Intel Corpora	ition		
Comment T	ype E Com	ment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comme	nt Status D			
	ted" is not the right wor s use "correspond" whi			. Descriptions of other		orted" is not the ers use "corresp				. Descriptions of of	ther
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggeste	dRemedy					
	e "indicates the optical fi onding optical frequenc		supported" to "ind	icates the		ge "indicates the sponding optical			supported" to "ind	icates the	
Change index n	e "supported for each ch umber".	annel index number	" to "correspondir	ng to each channel		ge "supported for number".	r each chann	el index number	" to "correspondir	ng to each channel	I
Proposed R PROPC	Response Resp DSED ACCEPT.	onse Status W				Response POSED ACCEPT	,	e Status W			
CI <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.133e	P <b>33</b>	L19	# I-89	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.18	36aa.1	P <b>37</b>	L <b>32</b>	# <u>I-</u> 7	
Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	ation		Rolfe, Be	njamin		Blind Creek	Associates		
Comment T	ype E Com	ment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comme	nt Status D			
"Tx Rx o	different optical channe	l ability"			"Inver	se RS-FEC deco	oder" should	be "Inverse RS-I	FEC (IFEC) deco	der"	
	I that a bit name in the ' g of this bit can be mair			arts with "Tx". The	Suggester as inc	dRemedy licated in the con	nment				
SuggestedF	Remedy				Proposed	Response	Respons	e Status W			
Change	e "Tx Rx" to "Rx Tx", in <sup>-</sup>	Table 45.102o and in	1 45.2.1.133e.1		PROF	POSED REJECT					
	OSED REJECT.	onse Status W		/	1.220		IFEC contro			ponse, control regi part of a register n	
	low is always from the t or RX register.	ransmiller to the rec		ris an accurate name	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.18	36ah.2	P <b>42</b>	L <b>38</b>	# <b>I-</b> 6	
					Rolfe, Be	njamin		Blind Creek	Associates		
					Comment			nt Status D			bucket
							is snould be	spelled out at fir	st use, which app	ears to be here.	
					Suggeste spelle	<i>dRemedy</i> d out at first use					
						Response POSED ACCEPT	,	e Status W PLE.			
					The a	bbreviation is sp	elled out in it	ts first use in 45.	2.1.186ah.		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 S

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah.2 Page 4 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.186ao	P <b>48</b>	L <b>12</b>	# I-91	CI 80	SC 80.1.4	P <b>50</b>	L <b>54</b>	# I-4
Ran, Adee	e	Intel Corpora	ation		Rolfe, Be	njamin	Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment	Type T Comme	ent Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucke
	ter name says "corrected bit es" column has "uncorrected			3.2.5.4, but the		viations/acronyr .2.2.2 where it is	ns should be spelled out at f spelled out.	irst use, which ap	pears to be here (not
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
Chang	ge "uncorrected codewords"	to "corrected bits"	" (4 times).		spelle	ed out at first use			
,	Response Response	se Status WIPLE.			•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
See re	esponse to comment I-31.					1.4 modify "DP-I g (DP-DQPSK)"	DQPSK" to read "dual polariz	zation differential	quadrature phase shift
C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.186ao	P <b>48</b>	L <b>12</b>	# <mark>I-31</mark>	C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P51	L1	# 1-33
•	e, Stephen	Nokia		hughet	Trowbridd	e, Stephen	Nokia		
Comment Table	<i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> <i>Comme</i> 45–150am is for FEC correc	ent Status D		bucket	Comment		Comment Status D		
Suggested							sical Layer devices use claus e 152 Inverse RS-FEC	se 153 SC-FEC. (	Only some use clause
	ge "FEC uncorrected codewo	ords" to "FEC cor	rected bits" in the	Name column of all					
					Suggeste	dRemedy			
four ro Proposed	ows of the table	se Status W			Chan modu PMD	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI	ple PCS lanes (see Clause8 er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also	Clause82), the FE	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
four ro Proposed PROP	bws of the table Response Respons		L17	# [ <del>-32</del>	Chan modu PMD "Som	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI	er multiple PCS lanes (see 0 P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also	Clause82), the FE	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
four ro Proposed PROP	ws of the table Response Respons POSED ACCEPT.	se Status W			Chan modu PMD "Som Invers	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla <i>Response</i>	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	Clause82), the FE	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
four ro Proposed A PROP 7 78 Trowbridge Comment	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 e, Stephen Type TR Comme	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D	L17		Chan modu PMD "Som Invers	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	Clause82), the FE	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
four ro Proposed PROP 7 78 Frowbridge Comment Additic	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 re, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D	L17		Chan modu PMD "Som Invers	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla <i>Response</i>	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	Clause82), the FE	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read:
four ro Proposed i PROP 7 78 Frowbridge Comment Additic	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 e, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chan, modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT SC <b>80.1.4</b>	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T.	Clause82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: clause 91 and the
four ro Proposed J PROP 7 78 Frowbridge Comment Additic Suggested Add cl	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 e, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy lauses 91, 135 and 152 to th	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chan, modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing Di e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla Response POSED ACCEPT SC 80.1.4 nomas	Per multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T. P <b>51</b>	Clause82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: clause 91 and the #
four ro Proposed / PROP C/ 78 Trowbridge Comment Additic Suggestee Add cl Table	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 e, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy lauses 91, 135 and 152 to th 78-1	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR he list of relevant o	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chang modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF C/ 80 Huber, Th Comment	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT SC <b>80.1.4</b> nomas <i>Type</i> <b>E</b>	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> <b>51</b> Nokia	Clause82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: Clause 91 and the
four ro Proposed I PROP C/ 78 Frowbridge Comment Additio Suggestea Add cl Table Proposed I	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 e, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy lauses 91, 135 and 152 to th 78-1	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chang modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF C/ 80 Huber, Th Comment	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla Response POSED ACCEP SC 80.1.4 nomas Type E editing instruction	Per multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>P51</b> Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D	Clause82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: clause 91 and the #
four ro Proposed I PROP C/ 78 Frowbridge Comment Additio Suggestea Add cl Table Proposed I	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 re, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy lauses 91, 135 and 152 to th 78-1 Response Response	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR he list of relevant o	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chan, modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF C/ 80 Huber, Th Comment The e Suggester Chan	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla Response POSED ACCEPT SC 80.1.4 nomas Type E diting instruction dRemedy ge the editing ins	Per multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>P51</b> Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D	Cláuse82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C <i>L</i> 4 nsert a new row a	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: clause 91 and the # [ <u>1-18</u> bucke
four ro Proposed I PROP Cl 78 Trowbridge Comment Additio Suggestea Add cl Table Proposed	ows of the table Response Response POSED ACCEPT. SC 78.1.4 re, Stephen Type TR Comme onal clauses may be used for dRemedy lauses 91, 135 and 152 to th 78-1 Response Response	se Status W P <b>49</b> Nokia ent Status D or 100GBASE-ZR he list of relevant o	L <b>17</b> PHYs	# [ <u>1-32</u>	Chan, modu PMD "Som Invers Proposed PROF C/ 80 Huber, Th Comment The e Suggeste Chan, (as in	ge " over multi lation." to " ov implementing DI e 100GBASE-Z se RS-FEC of cla Response POSED ACCEPT SC 80.1.4 nomas Type E diting instruction dRemedy ge the editing ins	er multiple PCS lanes (see C P-DQPSK modulation." Char Physical Layer devices also ause 152." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T. <b>P51</b> Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> is missing the word 'Table' struction to read as follows: I	Cláuse82), the FE nge the following s use the FEC of C <i>L</i> 4 nsert a new row a	C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: clause 91 and the # [ <u>-18</u> bucked

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Page 5 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P53	L <b>44</b>	<b>#</b> I-34	C/ 153	SC 1	53.1.2	P <b>81</b>	L <b>34</b>	# I-67
Trowbridge, Stephen	Nokia			D'Ambrosi	ia, John		Futurew	ei Technologi	es, U.S. Sub
	Comment Status <b>D</b> his should be called 100GBA	ASE-Z					Comment Status D c to 100GBASE-ZR PH		<i>bucke</i> oted at the bottom of the
0	o 100GBASE-Z in the title o	f Figure 80-4a		Suggested Add "1			elow the box labeled "n	nedium" in Fig 153-1	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Respons OSED A		Response Status W		
conform to Clause 152, implementation conform This is stating a require the implementation, but outside the scope of thi been wrong. And BTW You should stop repeat sayin'. Alternately I sup	P77 Blind Creek A Comment Status R wrong: "The supplier of a pr Inverse RS-FEC sublayer, s nance statement (PICS) prof ment on the user of the stan for the implementer. The b s standard. I know, it has all totally unnecessary as 80.7 ing this invalid use of shall in pose we could amend the s rongly recommend against th	rotocol implemen shall complete th forma." idard. It is not sta ehavior of the im ways been that v says he same th the individual P cope of the stand	e following protocol ating a requirement for plementer is (still) vayand it has always ning, but correctly. ICS clauses. Just	RS-FE consis Suggested Revise destina Inverse	<i>Type</i> escription C, or PN tent. <i>IRemedy</i> e the last ation: Th e RS-FE0	T n of the s IA) and t sentenc ne FEC:IS C, or PM		h it sends informatio clude the Inverse Ra es are defined for i 20 parallel bit stream	= 0 to 19. The PCS, s to the SC-FEC
conforms to the style of	4.1 and 154.11.1. on detail when you reject this the base standard being am e air and shouting "it' tradition	nended" which is		lane, e Proposed	each at a	nominal e	parallel bit streams to th signaling rate of 5.156 <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	25 GBd.	FEC, or PMA, one per

Delete the paragraph "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 152, Inverse RS-FEC sublayer, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." here, in 153.4.1 and 154.11.1, and anywhere else it appears in this draft.

Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

This is boiler-plate text that appears in front of essentially every PICS table in the entire base standard.

This does not put a requirement on every implementer, only on those implementers that are claiming they conform to this clause.

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 D
 bucket

 In the description of when the SIGNAL\_OK is set to FAIL, the sentence should begin with "The" rather than "That" for consistency.
 SuggestedRemedy

L12

# 1-20

P82

Nokia

Revise the 3rd sentence, replacing 'That' with 'The': The SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the FEC:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive can take one of two values: OK or FAIL. The value is set to OK when the FEC receive function has identified codeword boundaries as indicated by fec\_align\_status equal to TRUE. The value is set to FAIL when the FEC receive function is unable to reliably establish codeword boundaries as indicated by fec\_align\_status equal to FALSE.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SC 153.2.1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 153	Page 6 of 25
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 153.2.1	12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ 153

Huber, Thomas

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ <b>153</b> S	C 153.2.3.2.4	P <b>84</b>	L <b>22</b>	# I-60	C/ 153	SC 153.2.3	.2.4	P <b>85</b>	L <b>2</b>	# I-56
Dawe, Piers J	G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers	s J G		NVIDIA		
comment Type		ent Status A			Comment T			ent Status A		
	mapper and SC-FEC er lence based on only the				"as des	cribed in 153.	2.3.2.4": we	are in 153.2.3.2.4	; where do you m	iean?
0		se sections, G.A.	J9 and G.709.2 Al	inex A.	SuggestedF	Remedy				
ggestedRem				. Is more defender <b>f</b> em a	Give a ı	nore specific	reference			
downloada to publish t	ted before, please provi ble file if it is larger that the beginning and end o ally is obvious.	n one would want	in the standard.	It may be acceptable		T IN PRINCI	,	se Status C		
	,				Change "as des	cribed in 153.	2.3.2.4"			
sponse	Respons N PRINCIPLE.	se Status U			To:					
	e SC-FEC codeword is	expected to be g	enerated and prov	/ided in the	"as sho	wn in Figure ?	53-3"			
http://stand	dards/ieee.org/download				C/ 153	SC 153.2.3	.2.6	P88	L <b>4</b>	# <u>1-</u> 47
2021_dowr	nioads.zip.				Dawe, Piers	s J G		NVIDIA		
	end of clause 153.2.3.2				Comment T	ype E	Comm	ent Status A		
	ile containing an examp lards.ieee.org/download		word is available a	،t						e, others don't. Thr not quite horizontal.
<b>153</b> S	C 153.2.3.2.4	P <b>84</b>	L <b>45</b>	<b>#</b> I-9	SuggestedF	Remedy				
lfe, Benjami	in	Blind Creek A	Associates		Tidy up					
mment Type	e <b>E</b> Comme	ent Status A			Response		Respon	se Status C		
Abbreviatio	ons/acronyms should be	e spelled out at fir	st use, which app	ears to be here (?)	ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	PLE.			
<i>ggestedRerr</i> spell out th	<i>nedy</i> le abbreviation at the fir	rst use.			See sug	gested reme	dy to accept	ed comment I-35.		
sponse	Respons	se Status C						e squiggles on the R (circled plus) at tl		. Add upward arrows
ACCEPT II Change:	N PRINCIPLE.				C/ 153	SC 153.2.3	2.6	P88	L <b>5</b>	# 1-35
	is the frame alignment	signal. This is sim	ilar in concept ."		Trowbridge	Stephen		Nokia		
			icept "		Comment T	•	Comm	ent Status A		
To:	e alignment signal (FAS	5) is similar in con								
To: "The frame For point 2	e alignment signal (FAS in the same list, Chang	ge:			Missing	arrowheads	on Figure 15	3-5		
To: "The frame For point 2 "The MFAS		ge:		"	•		on Figure 15	3-5		
To: "The frame For point 2 "The MFAS To:	in the same list, Chang	ge: ment signal. This t	field counts from .		SuggestedF Add rigl	Remedy It facing arrow	vs before the			. Add upward arrows
To: "The frame For point 2 "The MFAS To:	in the same list, Chang S is a multi-frame alignr	ge: ment signal. This t	field counts from .		SuggestedF Add rigl	Remedy It facing arrow	vs before the s to the XOF	e squiggles on the		. Add upward arrows

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.6 Page 7 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2	.6 P88	L <b>7</b>	# I-21	C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2	2.7 P88	L <b>44</b>	# 1-23
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			Huber, Thor	nas	Nokia		
Comment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	vpe ER	Comment Status D		bucke
figure.	There should be	clearly indicate the flow arrowheads on the tops gure is based, includes).		ons at the top of the (as figure 11-3 of ITU-T	153-6 as	to whether it	the parsing of the first se is discussing groups of e intended meaning clea	16 octets (as intende	
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
Add ar	rowheads pointir	ng into the three XOR fu	nctions on the vertic	al lines			vn: At each FEC frame b	oundary, the assignr	ment of 16-octet groups
Response		Response Status C				anes is rotated			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Proposed R	- <b>F</b>	Response Status W	1	
See er	agested reported	to accented comment l	25		PROPO	SED ACCEPT	Γ.		
See su	iggested remedy	to accepted comment I	-35.		C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.3	3.2 <i>P</i> 89	L <b>21</b>	# <b>I-2</b> 5
		before the squiggles or o the XOR (circled plus		s. Add upward arrows to	Huber, Thor	nas	Nokia		
					Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D		bucke
CI 153	SC 153.2.3.2	.7 P88	L <b>27</b>	# 1-49			second sentence in the p		
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA			used, m	odulo 20. This	s would be more clear if	the indication that the	e FAS was inserted
,					head a	- 1FO O O O T	was in noranthasas. The	orooo roforonoo io l	adaful but abauld aat
,	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket		n 153.2.3.2.7 \ rom the main i	was in parentheses. The idea.	e cross-reference is l	nelpful but should not
Comment	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> e usual font for fig			bucket	detract f	rom the main i		e cross-reference is l	nelpful but should not
Comment T Not the	e usual font for fig			bucket	detract f SuggestedR	rom the main i e <i>medy</i>	idea.		
Comment T Not the Suggested	e usual font for fig			bucket	detract f <i>SuggestedR</i> Revise t shown:	rom the main i <i>emedy</i> he second ser Гhe receive SO	idea. ntence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the ree	after 'lane number' al ceived FEC lanes ac	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane
Comment T Not the Suggested Change	e usual font for fig <i>Remedy</i> e to Arial			bucket	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: <sup>-</sup> number,	rom the main i <i>emedy</i> he second ser The receive S0 which is the 6	idea. ntence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the rea 5th octet of the FAS (inse	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3.	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane
Comment T Not the Suggested Change Proposed F	e usual font for fig <i>Remedy</i> e to Arial	gures Response Status W		bucket	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed R	rom the main i <i>emedy</i> he second ser The receive S0 which is the 6	idea. htence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the red Sth octet of the FAS (inse Response Status W	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3.	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response	gures Response Status W	L <b>40</b>	bucket # [ <u>-22</u>	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed R PROPO	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT	idea. htence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the red Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T.	after 'lane number' ai ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3.	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20.
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO Cl 153 Huber, Tho	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC <b>153.2.3.2</b> omas	gures Response Status W .7 P88 Nokia	L <b>40</b>		detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed R PROPO	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC <b>153.2.3</b>	idea. thence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the real th octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.5 P89	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3.	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO CI 153 Huber, The Comment T	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC <b>153.2.3.2</b> Dmas Type <b>E</b>	gures Response Status W .7 P88 Nokia Comment Status D		# [ <u>-22</u> bucket	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed Ro PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor	rom the main i emedy he second ser Fhe receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC <b>153.2.3.3</b> nas	idea. Intence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the rea Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>3.5</b> <i>P</i> 89 Nokia	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. / /	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u>
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO CI 153 Huber, Tho Comment T It would frame of	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wri doesn't do the di	gures Response Status W .7 P88 Nokia	gure 153-6 in the pa	# [ <u>-22</u> bucket	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed R PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first	rom the main i emedy he second ser Fhe receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC <b>153.2.3.3</b> nas <i>vpe</i> <b>E</b> sentence of th	idea. thence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the real th octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.5 P89	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. / <i>L</i> <b>49</b>	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> bucke
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO Cl 153 Huber, Tho Comment T It would frame of Suggested	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wri doesn't do the di Remedy	gures <i>Response Status</i> W .7 <i>P</i> 88 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D ite the sentence below fi stribution; its contents a	gure 153-6 in the pa re distributed)	# I-22 bucket ssive voice (the FEC	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: number, Proposed R PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first after 66l	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC <b>153.2.3.3</b> nas upe <b>E</b> sentence of th 3 blocks.	idea. Attence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the red Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>3.5</b> <i>P</i> <b>89</b> Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D	after 'lane number' a ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. / <i>L</i> <b>49</b>	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> bucke
Comment T Not the Suggested Change Proposed F PROPO Cl 153 Huber, Tho Comment T It would frame of Suggested Replac	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wri doesn't do the di Remedy e: The entire FE	gures <i>Response Status</i> W .7 <i>P</i> 88 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D ite the sentence below fi stribution; its contents a .C frame consisting of 4	gure 153-6 in the pa re distributed) 080 × 4 octets distrib	# <u>I-22</u> bucket ssive voice (the FEC outes 51 groups of 16	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: Proposed R PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first after 66l SuggestedR	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC 153.2.3.3 nas vpe E sentence of th 3 blocks. emedy	idea. Attence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the react Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>3.5</b> <i>P</i> 89 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D he paragraph would be n	after 'lane number' al ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. / <i>L</i> 49	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> <i>bucke</i> ed the words 'that was'
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO CI 153 Huber, Tho Comment T It would frame of Suggested Replac octets	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wri doesn't do the di Remedy e: The entire FE to each of the 20	gures <i>Response Status</i> W .7 <i>P</i> 88 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D ite the sentence below fi stribution; its contents a	gure 153-6 in the pa re distributed) 080 × 4 octets distrib oups of 16 octets ar	# <u>I-22</u> bucket ssive voice (the FEC outes 51 groups of 16 e distributed from the	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: Proposed R PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first after 66l SuggestedR Add 'tha of 66B b	rom the main i emedy he second ser Fhe receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC <b>153.2.3.3</b> nas upe <b>E</b> sentence of th 3 blocks. emedy t was' as show locks that was	idea. Attence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the react Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>3.5</b> <i>P</i> 89 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D he paragraph would be n	after 'lane number' an ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. / / / / 49 nore clear if it include extracts the deskewe	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> <i>bucke</i> ed the words 'that was' ed and serialized stream
Comment T Not the Suggested Change Proposed F PROPO Cl 153 Huber, The Comment T It would frame of Suggested Replac octets FEC fra	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wridoesn't do the dia Remedy se: The entire FE to each of the 20 ame (consisting	gures Response Status W .7 P88 Nokia Comment Status D ite the sentence below fi stribution; its contents a .C frame consisting of 4 ) FEC lanes. With: 51 gr	gure 153-6 in the pa re distributed) 080 × 4 octets distrib oups of 16 octets ar	# <u>I-22</u> bucket ssive voice (the FEC outes 51 groups of 16 e distributed from the	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: Proposed R PROPO C/ 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first after 660 SuggestedR Add 'tha of 66B b SC-FEC	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC 153.2.3.3 nas ype E sentence of th 3 blocks. emedy t was' as show locks that was frame	idea. Attence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the red Sth octet of the FAS (inse <i>Response Status</i> W T. <b>3.5</b> <i>P</i> 89 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D he paragraph would be n vn: The GMP demapper s inserted according to the	after 'lane number' an ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. <i>L</i> <b>49</b> nore clear if it include extracts the deskewe he process described	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> bucke ed the words 'that was' ed and serialized stream
Comment T Not the Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO Cl 153 Huber, The Comment T It would frame d Suggested Replac octets FEC fra Proposed F	e usual font for fig Remedy e to Arial Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 153.2.3.2 omas Type E d be better to wridoesn't do the dia Remedy se: The entire FE to each of the 20 ame (consisting	gures Response Status W 7 P88 Nokia Comment Status D ite the sentence below fi stribution; its contents a C frame consisting of 44 0 FEC lanes. With: 51 gr of 4080 x 4 octets) to ea Response Status W	gure 153-6 in the pa re distributed) 080 × 4 octets distrib oups of 16 octets ar	# <u>I-22</u> bucket ssive voice (the FEC outes 51 groups of 16 e distributed from the	detract f SuggestedR Revise t shown: ' number, Proposed R PROPO CI 153 Huber, Thor Comment Ty The first after 66l SuggestedR Add 'tha of 66B b SC-FEC Proposed R	rom the main i emedy he second ser l'he receive SC which is the 6 esponse SED ACCEPT SC 153.2.3.3 nas ype E sentence of th 3 blocks. emedy t was' as show locks that was frame	idea. Antence to add a comma a C-FEC shall order the rea- Sth octet of the FAS (inse Response Status W T. 3.5 P89 Nokia Comment Status D he paragraph would be n vn: The GMP demapper s inserted according to th Response Status W	after 'lane number' an ceived FEC lanes ac erted as per 153.2.3. <i>L</i> <b>49</b> nore clear if it include extracts the deskewe he process described	nd add parentheses as cording to the FEC lane 2.7) modulo 20. # <u>I-26</u> <i>bucke</i> ed the words 'that was' ed and serialized stream

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.5 Page 8 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4	P <b>91</b>	L <b>32</b>	# I-27	C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.2	P <b>92</b>	L <b>4</b>	# <mark>I</mark> -11
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Rolfe, Be	njamin	Blind Creek A	Associates	_

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The restart lock variable references a "5 BAD" state. The state diagram on p93 includes a 15 BAD state and transitions based on fas bad count being equal to or less than 15.

### SuggestedRemedy

Correct the text in the definition of restart lock to reference 15 BAD.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See suggested remedy to the accepted comment I-37.

Change 2nd sentence of restart lock description from: "It is set to TRUE when 5 FASs in a row fail to match (5 BAD state)" to "It is set to TRUE when 15 FASs in a row fail to match (15 BAD state)".

C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.2	P <b>92</b>	L <b>4</b>	# I-11
Rolfe, Benja	amin	Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment T	ype <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated." is an incorrect use of "shall". This is not stating a verifiable requirement: the "all possible" is an unbounded (infinite) set. There would need to be (likely is) a finite set of frame alignment positions that should be evaluated. To be a valid requirement, you would need to change "possible" to "defined" and then provide a reference to where the defined set of frame alignment positions is enumerated and defined. Then at least you have a valid statement of a requirement. Tho the prior sentence suggests such specification is out of scope of this standard (kind of what "not specified" means). Also, does the SLIP function evaluate every defined position every time, or as suggested by the first sentence, only the next one in the (undefined) list of valid positions? I can see why y'all decided to leave this "implementation dependent" :-).

# SuggestedRemedy

Delete "However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

While significant freedom is allowed regarding how an implementation finds the FAS pattern, and there is no expectation that an implementation test additional positions after the FAS pattern has been located, there is a requirement that an implementation can find FAS pattern in any possible position.

Change:

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

To:

"An implementation shall ensure that the FAS pattern can be detected in any possible position."

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.2

	SC 153.2.4.3	P <b>92</b>	L <b>20</b>	# I-12	C/ 154	SC 154.1	P <b>101</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 1-72
Rolfe, Ber	njamin	Blind Creek A	Associates		D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei&r	nbsp;Technolog	ies, U.S. Sub
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		
somet detern somet Suggested chang locatio	thing, it can illustra nine anything. A c thing, which is wh <i>dRemedy</i> je to: The synchro	ate diagram determines" rea ate something, it can even ir diagram an specify how the at I suspect you mean. Inization process determines gnment sequence in the rec	ndicate somethin synchronization when the SC-F	g, but it can not process determines EC has detected the	It is stated that the DWDM channel is specified using black link methodology, which specifies the parameters in Table 154-10. This table, however targets a DWDM chan with amplification. While this meets the objective of the project, it does not adequate address the reach requirements of the Cable/MSO distribution networks noted in the project's CSD response for Broad Market potential. Data submitted in https://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/18_05/schmitt_b10k_01a_0518.pdf highlights reach needs (citing data for <30km, <40km, <60km, <80km, and <120km), as well as noting that in the survey that a significant amount of optical channels were not amplificant.				
_					Suggested	Remedy			
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status W E.			Develo amplifie		ecifications that would addres	ss DWDM chanı	nels that do not include
Nume	rous other clause	s use similar wording, so in	orinciple, it could	be left as is without	Response		Response Status C		
	sk to implementat				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP	PLE.		
	ver, it is more acc	urate to Change: ate diagram determines whe	en the SC-FFC h	as detected the	See res	solution to com	iment #i-42.		
locatio		gnment sequence in the rec			The res	solution to com	iment i-42 was:		
PMA S	service interface.								
To: "The S	SC-FEC sublayer	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of				www.ieee802.o	15, 16, and 17 in org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_	_3ct_02b_2012(	03.pdf with editorial
To: "The S seque C/ 153	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC <b>153.4.1</b>	uses this process to detect t	f the PMA servic		https:// license Create	www.ieee802.o informative an			
To: "The S seque <i>CI</i> 153 Lewis, Da	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC <b>153.4.1</b> vid	uses this process to detect t ad bit stream on each lane of P <b>91</b>	f the PMA servic	e interface."	https:// license Create	www.ieee802.o informative an	prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_		
To: "The S seque C/ 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC <b>153.4.1</b> vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of P <b>91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> art_lock says it is set to true v	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> ] I to match (5_BAD	https:// license Create https:// C/ 154	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.1</b>	org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar nex 154A from the examples org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar	in _3ct_01_201203 	3.pdf with editorial license.
To: "The S seque C/ 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de state).	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC 153.4.1 vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta . However, the st	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of P <b>91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nt_lock says it is set to true v ate diagram in Fig 153-7 sho	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> ] I to match (5_BAD	https:// license Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Benj	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.1</b> amin	prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar nex 154A from the examples prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_ P <b>101</b>	in _3ct_01_201203 	3.pdf with editorial license.
To: "The S seque C/ 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de state). when t	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC <b>153.4.1</b> vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta . However, the st fas_bad_count =	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of P <b>91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nt_lock says it is set to true v ate diagram in Fig 153-7 sho	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> ] I to match (5_BAD	Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Benj Comment T	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC 154.1 amin Type TR atement "shall	prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar nex 154A from the examples prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar P101 Blind Creek Comment Status R be connected" is inappropriat	in _3ct_01_201203 <i>L</i> <b>11</b> Associates te in an overviev	3.pdf with editorial license. # <mark>I-13</mark> w subclause. This is a
To: "The S seque C/ 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de state). when the Suggestee Chang	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC 153.4.1 vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta . However, the st fas_bad_count = <i>dRemedy</i> ge 2nd sentence of	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of <b>P91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> art_lock says it is set to true v ate diagram in Fig 153-7 sho 15.	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai ows a transition t om: "It is set to T	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> I to match (5_BAD to the 15_BAD state RUE when 5 FASs in a	https:// license Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Benj Comment T The sta statem	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.1</b> amin <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> atement "shall ent of fact rele	prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar nex 154A from the examples prg/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar P <b>101</b> Blind Creek Comment Status <b>R</b>	in _3ct_01_201203 <i>L</i> <b>11</b> Associates te in an overviev	3.pdf with editorial license. # <mark>I-13</mark> w subclause. This is a
To: "The S seque Cl 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de state). when the Suggestee Chang row fa	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC 153.4.1 vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta . However, the st fas_bad_count = <i>dRemedy</i> ge 2nd sentence of	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of <b>P91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> Int_lock says it is set to true v ate diagram in Fig 153-7 sho 15.	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai ows a transition t om: "It is set to T	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> I to match (5_BAD to the 15_BAD state RUE when 5 FASs in a	Create https:// Iicense Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Benj Comment T The sta statem Suggested	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.1</b> amin <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> atement "shall ent of fact rele	nex 154A from the examples org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_ P101 Blind Creek <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> be connected" is inappropriativant to the purpose of the over	in _3ct_01_201203 <i>L</i> <b>11</b> Associates te in an overviev	3.pdf with editorial license. # <mark>I-13</mark> w subclause. This is a
To: "The S seque Cl 153 Lewis, Da Comment The de state). when the Suggestee Chang row fa	SC-FEC sublayer ence in the receive SC 153.4.1 vid <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> escription of resta . However, the st fas_bad_count = <i>dRemedy</i> ge 2nd sentence of ill to match (5_BA AD state)".	uses this process to detect t ed bit stream on each lane of <b>P91</b> Lumentum In <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> art_lock says it is set to true v ate diagram in Fig 153-7 sho 15.	f the PMA servic <i>L</i> 32 c. when 5 FASs fai ows a transition t om: "It is set to T	e interface." # [- <u>37</u> I to match (5_BAD to the 15_BAD state RUE when 5 FASs in a	Create https:// Iicense Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Benj Comment T The sta statem Suggested	www.ieee802.c informative an www.ieee802.c SC 154.1 amin Type TR atement "shall ent of fact rele Remedy	nex 154A from the examples org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_ P101 Blind Creek <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> be connected" is inappropriativant to the purpose of the over	in _3ct_01_201203 <i>L</i> <b>11</b> Associates te in an overviev	3.pdf with editorial license. # <mark>I-13</mark> w subclause. This is a

C/ 154 SC 154.1

C/ 154 SC 154.1	P <b>101</b>	L <b>46</b>	# I-74	C/ 154	SC 154.5.4	P <b>106</b>	L33	# <u>1-28</u>
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nb	sp;Technologies	, U.S. Sub	Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
The following is stated - The provided on how the link is o	onstructed,		0		OTE above the t	Comment Status <b>A</b> table and the footnote to the st st sentence in the note.	table are largely	redundant, with the only
configured or operated so th				Suggested	-			
It is noted that the DWDM c	hannel may contain one	or more optical	amplifiers.	Includ	e the first senter	nce from the NOTE in the foo	tnote to the table	and delete the NOTE.
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Delete text indicating that th	e DWDM channel may c	ontain one or m	ore optical amplifiers.		PT IN PRINCIPI	LE. Intent of clause 154.5.4 with		
PROPOSED REJECT. The current wording is appro- the possibility of optical amp the reader to understand the characteristics are defined. CI 154 SC 154.1.1 Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type TR C At line 40 and 44, "sufficient precise definition of "sufficient assures sufficient randomne clause is trying to specify a	Iffiers inside the black lir application spaces and P102 Blind Creek A omment Status R ly random" is cited in a r ntly random" nor do I un ss of bit errors on the m minimum performance re	hk, which is of cr the background <i>L</i> 40 ssociates equirement. I ca derstand how ar edium. I am no equirement for th	ucial importance for of how the black link # [-14 an't seem to find a implementation t sure but I *think* the ne implementation, not	to a fix SIGNA a valio NOTE	ed OK level. Fix L_DETECT from I signal is being	al detect function shall set the ring the value of n the PMD sublayer at OK al received, e.g., according to th bower is not a reliable indicati	llows upper layer he ability to acqu	s to determine whether ire frame alignment.
the physical world in which i	t will operate. However I	how this is verifie	ed is not at all clear.					
SuggestedRemedy Provide a reference to wher Alternatively, remove the su		defined and how	sufficiency is verified.					
-	sponse Status W							
Response Re	•							

"sufficiently random that this results in a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.275) of less than  $6.2 \times 10-10$  for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed by the FEC (Clause 153) and PCS (Clause 82). If the error statistics are not sufficiently random to meet this requirement, then the BER shall be less than that required to give a frame loss ratio of less than  $6.2 \times 10-10$  for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L43 # 1-57	C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L45 # [-59
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Requiring a receiver in an amplified link to declare signal detect OK when it's up to 14 dB below sensitivity is a bad requirement.       SuggestedRemedy         The limit in the "Receive conditions" column should be the minimum average input power [unamplified or amplified] according to whether the link is amplified or not. Formally, we can say that we tell that to the PMD through the management interface or otherwise, or we ask the receiver to report that the signal is above each of the limits (when it is) separately, without having to know. As the higher sublayers formally don't know either, the first way seems better. If unamplified ability becomes optional, SD for unamplified would be optional with it. With this change, implementers can do just as this draft allows, or do better if they wish.	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         A table with only one row isn't a table.       SuggestedRemedy         Reinstate the row "All other conditions"       Unspecified"         then it makes sense as a table and works the same way.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See resolution to comment #i-28.         Response to comment i-28 was:
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See resolution to comment #i-28.         Response to comment i-28 was:       Response to comment i-28 was:         Replace the current content of clause 154.5.4 with the following new text:       "The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK level. Fixing the value of         SIGNAL_DETECT from the PMD sublayer at OK allows upper layers to determine whether a valid signal is being received, e.g., according to the ability to acquire frame alignment. NOTE-Average input power is not a reliable indication of signal failure in an optically amplified system."	Replace the current content of clause 154.5.4 with the following new text: "The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK level. Fixing the value of SIGNAL_DETECT from the PMD sublayer at OK allows upper layers to determine whether a valid signal is being received, e.g., according to the ability to acquire frame alignment. NOTE-Average input power is not a reliable indication of signal failure in an optically amplified system."

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4

C/ 154	SC 154.6	P <b>107</b>	L <b>38</b>	# I-5	C/ 154	SC 154.6		P <b>107</b>	L <b>46</b>	<b>#</b> I-73
Rolfe, Ben	jamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		D'Ambrosia	a, John		Futurewei&nb	osp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
	I should be spell	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> led out at first use. Which ap	pears to be here	9.	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The following is stated - The black link is intentionally "black", implying that no details are provided on how the link is constructed,					ing that no details are
S <i>uggested</i> expand	<i>Remedy</i> I acronym at firs	t use			configu	ured or operated	d so that the end	d-to-end param	neter requireme	nts are met.
Response		Response Status C			This is parame		the draft by refe	erence to "amp	olified" and "una	mplified" channels /
ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			Suggested	IRemedy				
first us the doo Modify wavele	e of the full term cument, and the 1.4.35b to read ngth division mu	manual "Within text, the acro (the first time in the introduct n the first time in any annexes "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer s Iltiplexing (DWDM) PHY using	tion, then the firs s in which the ac specification for g 100GBASE-R	st time in the body of cronym appears)." 100 Gb/s dense encoding, DP-DQPSK	to Pag Preser scenar relatior	e 10 of https://v ntation to be sul rios are implied	ww.ieee802.org omitted with prop by the noted OS sters to amplified	g/3/ct/public/19 posed values. SNR specificat	9_07/stassar_3c Note - unampli tions. Generic 1	fied and amplified
		ent detection with reach up to	at least 80 km.	(See IEEE Std 802.3,	Response		Response S	Status C		
Clause	154.)				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
		e of 154.1 to read "This claus stated medium, which is a sing			See re	solution to com	mont #i_12			
							ment #1-42.			
wavele	ngth division mu	iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol	hich may contai	in one or more optical		solution to com				
wavele amplifi Cl <b>154</b>	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC <b>154.6</b>	Iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P <b>107</b>	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> 42	in one or more optical	The real Implem https://	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir		3ct_02b_201203	3.pdf with editorial
wavele amplifi C/ <b>154</b> D'Ambrosi	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC <b>154.6</b> a, John	Iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P <b>107</b>	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> 42	in one or more optical ." # <u>I-75</u>	The real	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir		3ct_02b_201203	3.pdf with editorial
wavele amplifi C/ <b>154</b> D'Ambrosi Comment	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC <b>154.6</b> a, John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> lowing is stated	Iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P <b>107</b> Futurewei&nb <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> - The black link is intentionall	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> 42 osp;Technologies	in one or more optical ." # [ <del>I-75</del> s, U.S. Sub	The rea Implem https:// license Create	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c e. e informative an	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t	)_11/stassar_3 the examples in	 n	3.pdf with editorial pdf with editorial license
wavele amplifi Cl <b>154</b> D'Ambrosi Comment The fol provide	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC 154.6 a, John Type TR lowing is stated ed on how the lin	Iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P <b>107</b> Futurewei&nb <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> osp;Technologies y "black", implyi	in one or more optical ." # [ <del>I-75</del> s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are	The rea Implem https:// license Create	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c e. e informative an	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t	)_11/stassar_3 the examples in	 n	
wavele amplifi D'Ambrosi Comment The fol provide configu	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC <b>154.6</b> a, John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> lowing is stated ed on how the lin ired or operated	Iltiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P107 Futurewei&nb <i>Comment Status</i> D - The black link is intentionall ik is constructed,	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> osp;Technologies y "black", implyi neter requiremen	in one or more optical ." # [ <u>I-75</u> s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are nts are met.	The real Implem https:// license Create https://	solution to com nent slides 14, 7 /www.ieee802.c e informative and /www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.6</b>	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t	)_11/stassar_3 the examples in )_11/stassar_3	n 3ct_01_201203. 	pdf with editorial license
wavele amplifi C/ <b>154</b> D'Ambrosi Comment T The fol provide configu It is no	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC 154.6 a, John Type TR lowing is stated ad on how the lin ured or operated ted that the DWI	Itiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P107 Futurewei&nb <i>Comment Status</i> D - The black link is intentionall k is constructed, so that the end-to-end param	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> osp;Technologies y "black", implyi neter requiremen	in one or more optical ." # [ <u>I-75</u> s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are nts are met.	The real Implem https:// license Create https:// C/ 154	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c e informative and /www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.6</b> ijamin	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t	0_11/stassar_3 the examples in 0_11/stassar_3 P <b>108</b> Blind Creek A	n 3ct_01_201203. 	pdf with editorial license
wavele amplifi Cl <b>154</b> D'Ambrosi Comment T The fol provide configu It is no Suggested	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC 154.6 a, John Type TR lowing is stated ad on how the lin ured or operated ted that the DWI Remedy	Itiplexing (DWDM) channel w ied using black link methodol P107 Futurewei&nb <i>Comment Status</i> D - The black link is intentionall k is constructed, so that the end-to-end param	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> osp;Technologies y "black", implyi neter requiremen or more optical	in one or more optical ." # [ <u>I-75</u> s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are nts are met. amplifiers.	The real Implem https:// license Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Ben Comment	solution to com nent slides 14, /www.ieee802.c e informative and /www.ieee802.c SC <b>154.6</b> ijamin <i>Type</i> <b>GR</b>	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t rg/3/ct/public/20	0_11/stassar_3 the examples in 0_11/stassar_3 <i>P</i> 108 Blind Creek A Status <b>R</b>	n 3ct_01_201203. <i>L</i> <b>34</b> Associates	pdf with editorial license
wavele amplifi C/ 154 D'Ambrosi Comment The fol provide configu It is no Suggested Delete Proposed /	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC <b>154.6</b> a, John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> lowing is stated d on how the lin ired or operated ted that the DWI <i>Remedy</i> text indicating the <i>Response</i>	Itiplexing (DWDM) channel wied using black link methodol P107 Futurewei&nb Comment Status D - The black link is intentionall k is constructed, so that the end-to-end param DM channel may contain one hat the DWDM channel may contain M	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> osp;Technologies y "black", implyi neter requiremen or more optical	in one or more optical ." # [ <u>I-75</u> s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are nts are met. amplifiers.	The real Implem https:// license Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Ben Comment G.694. Suggested	solution to com nent slides 14, 7 /www.ieee802.c e informative and /www.ieee802.c s. SC <b>154.6</b> .jamin <i>Type</i> <b>GR</b> .1 should listed <i>IRemedy</i>	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t rg/3/ct/public/20 <i>Comment</i> S in the bibliograp	0_11/stassar_3 the examples in 0_11/stassar_3 <i>P</i> 108 Blind Creek A Status <b>R</b>	n 3ct_01_201203. <i>L</i> <b>34</b> Associates	pdf with editorial license
wavele amplifi C/ 154 D'Ambrosi Comment The fol provide configu It is no Suggested Delete Proposed / PROP	ngth division mu ers and is specif SC 154.6 a, John Type TR lowing is stated ad on how the lin ired or operated ted that the DWI Remedy text indicating the Response OSED REJECT.	Itiplexing (DWDM) channel wied using black link methodol P107 Futurewei&nb Comment Status D - The black link is intentionall k is constructed, so that the end-to-end param DM channel may contain one hat the DWDM channel may contain M	hich may contai ogy (see 154.6) <i>L</i> <b>42</b> ssp;Technologies y "black", implyi neter requiremen or more optical contain one or m	in one or more optical ." # [I-75 s, U.S. Sub ng that no details are nts are met. amplifiers.	The real Implem https:// license Create https:// C/ 154 Rolfe, Ben Comment G.694. Suggested	solution to com nent slides 14, / /www.ieee802.c a informative and /www.ieee802.c <i>SC</i> <b>154.6</b> .jamin <i>Type</i> <b>GR</b> .1 should listed	ment i-42 was: 15, 16, and 17 ir rg/3/ct/public/20 nex 154A from t rg/3/ct/public/20 <i>Comment</i> S in the bibliograp	0_11/stassar_3 the examples in 0_11/stassar_3 P108 Blind Creek A Status R ohy (informative	n 3ct_01_201203. <i>L</i> <b>34</b> Associates	pdf with editorial license

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.6

C/ 154 SC 154.6	P <b>109</b>	L <b>41</b>	<b>#</b> I-84	C/ 154	SC 154.	7	P <b>109</b>	L <b>52</b>	# <u>I-77</u>
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nt	osp;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosi	a, John		Futurewei&nt	sp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре ТБ		Comment Status D		Bucke
ZR PMD over the sam	DWDM optical signals with ch black link is not covered by	this standard.		operat	154-7 and T ion on unar	nplified lir	-8 contain several param hks, which are not neces Jle-mode fiber.		
the same DWDM syst	ether this standard covers the	0,1		suppo 2. This doesn	meet broad rted. s specification t need to su	on is for a	otential of project - unam a single PHY, yet this sta tain parameters in differe	tement appears	
Change Note to				Suggested	lRemedy				
	DWDM links supporting 100G			Delte i	noted text				
	al signaling charateristics is no	ol covered by in	lis standard.	Proposed	Response	F	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACC	EPT.			
than the 100GBASE-2 with emphasis on the	hat "Coexistence of DWDM op IR PMD over the same black I "over the same black link". gested remedy reduces the qu	link is not covere	ed by this standard.",	C/ <b>154</b> Zhang, Bo <i>Comment</i>			P <b>110</b> Inphi Corpora Comment Status D	L <b>30</b> tion	# <mark>I-61</mark>
C/ <b>154</b> SC <b>154.7</b> D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type <b>E</b>	P <b>48</b> Futurewei&nb Comment Status <b>D</b>	L <b>48</b> psp;Technologie	# [ <mark>I-76</mark> es, U.S. Sub	Tx_op in Tab	tical chann	el_index'. ere is a s	ncy in Table 154-6 corres However, there is no vai similar variable in the MD renced it.	riable named Tx	optical channel index
Following is noted -				Suggested	Remedy				
specifications is consi 100GBASE-ZR PMD 1	he operating range requireme dered compliant (e.g., a that could operate over 90 km			variab		center fre	entence to 'The frequency equency'. The other option e 154-6'.		
requirement of 2 m to	80 km).			Proposed	Response	F	Response Status W		
This is obvious and ac	lds no value			PROP	OSED ACC	EPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy				Tho fr	oguonov in .	Cabla 15/	1–6 where the channel in	dox numbor oqu	uals the variable
Delete noted text					tical chann			aex number equ	
Proposed Response	Response Status W				—	-			
PROPOSED REJECT	•								

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1

C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P110	L <b>33</b>	# 1-63	C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P110	L <b>43</b>	# 1-65
Zhang, Bo	00 104.7.1	Inphi Corpora		<i>#</i> 1-00	Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corpora		# [-00
Comment Ty Paramete cell. SuggestedRe Suggest	er side-mode s emedy remove the co ameters in the	Comment Status <b>D</b> uppression ratio (SMSR) has mma after (SMSR) and befor	s an extra comm		Comment Transr defined mentio single relevan Suggested	Type <b>T</b> nitter in-band OS d frequencies. I soning the referen wavelength for t nt frequencies. IRemedy	Comment Status A SNR is a Tx parameter that no see in the 154.8 definition sec ce frequency of 193.6 THz. H his parameter. Instead, this p	eeds to be guar ction 154.8.11 s lowever, it cann arameter should	ubsection a note ot be only specified at a d be specified for all
C/ <b>154</b> D'Ambrosia,		P <b>110</b> Futurewei&nb	L <b>42</b> sp;Technologies	# I-82 s, U.S. Sub	not ad <i>Response</i>	d value.	removing (193.6) in several p <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> .E.	laces. Remove	the 'NOTE' as it does
SuggestedRe	ot defined in 80 emedy ition for OSNF	Comment Status D 22.3ct D3.0 or 802.3-2018 Response Status W			point c Conce 802.3	of the requirement of the requirement of the requirements of the r	parameter name is intended at and not that it is only applic aised that 193.6 could refer to g THz on the other hand could 193.6 THz channel (even it's r	able at 193.6 T a future, not ye d even enforce t	Hz. et existing, clause of the the impression that it's
PROPOS The curre band OS editorial I See also	SED ACCEPT ent definition fo NR(193.6). Ma icense. resolution to c	IN PRINCIPLE. or OSNR and OSNR(193.6) is ike it more generic to apply to comment #i-42 and I-53 which ise in the body in the body of	o other OSNR re	elevant definitions, with 1.5 and spells out	OSNR instead With e	related parame d of "dB (0.1 nm	o update related other subcla	ant cells would	
					The re	solution to comr	nent i-42 was:		

Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20\_11/stassar\_3ct\_02b\_201203.pdf with editorial license.

Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20\_11/stassar\_3ct\_01\_201203.pdf with editorial license.

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P110 L43 # [I-78	C/ 154 SC 154.7.2	<i>P</i> 111	L16	# 1-62
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Sub	Zhang, Bo	Inphi Corpora		# 1-02
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
No explanation of the unit dB (0.1nm).		e frequency in Table 154-6	corresponding to	o the variable
SuggestedRemedy		ex'. However, there is no su		
Editor should add reference to ITU-T G.698.2 Clause 7.4.2.	SuggestedRemedy			
roposed Response Response Status W	Suggest change the cell variable Channel center f	sentence to 'The frequency frequency' or simplify to 'Th	/ in Table 154-6 le frequencies s	corresponding to the hown in Table 154-6'.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement remedy with editorial license.	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 P111 L4 $\# 1-86$	The frequency in Table 1	54–6 where the channel ind	dex number equ	als the variable
Ghiasi, Ali     Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Inphi Corporation       Comment Type     TR       Comment Status     D	Tx_optical_channel_inde	ex.		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The conditions for receiver stress test such the target BER must be met is not defined.	C/ 154 SC 154.7.2	P111	L <b>20</b>	<b>#</b> I-46
uggestedRemedy	Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televis	sion Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)
uggesteurieuy	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
Recomend adding a new section defining stress trest conitions such as: - EVM 23%	In looking at Table 154-9	, it's not clear that "Average	e receive power	[amplified] (min)" is
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min	9, it's not clear that "Average ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplii use 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 e 154.8.13. This could lead	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplified	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> </ul> Proposed Response Response Status W	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplii use 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplified	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li><i>troposed Response</i> Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplii use 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplifiec to confusion wi larify these linka	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage th the actual ages. Alternately,
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li>roposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> <li>Furthermore the proposed remedy is not clear for which requirement/parameter this would apply.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of consider replacing or sup	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplit use 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 e 154.8.13. This could lead or notes to Table 154-9 to c	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplifiec to confusion wi larify these linka	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage th the actual ages. Alternately,
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li><i>troposed Response</i> Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> <li>Furthermore the proposed remedy is not clear for which requirement/parameter this would</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of consider replacing or sup what isn't.	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplituse 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 e 154.8.13. This could lead or notes to Table 154-9 to c oplementing the table with a <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplifiec to confusion wi larify these linka	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage th the actual ages. Alternately,
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> <li>Furthermore the proposed remedy is not clear for which requirement/parameter this would apply.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of consider replacing or sup what isn't. Response	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplituse 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 e 154.8.13. This could lead or notes to Table 154-9 to c oplementing the table with a <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplifiec to confusion wi larify these linka	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage th the actual ages. Alternately,
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> <li>Furthermore the proposed remedy is not clear for which requirement/parameter this would apply.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of consider replacing or sup what isn't. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplituse 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 e 154.8.13. This could lead or notes to Table 154-9 to cloplementing the table with a <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> 	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplifiec to confusion wi larify these linka	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage th the actual ages. Alternately,
<ul> <li>EVM 23%</li> <li>at min/max power</li> <li>at Min OSNR receiver must operate</li> <li>a sinosidal jitter mask with 2 MHz corner frequency (5UI@20 KHz-0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-20 dB/dec) assuming SJ can be added to the test instrumentaiton.</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED REJECT.</li> <li>The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.</li> <li>Furthermore the proposed remedy is not clear for which requirement/parameter this would apply.</li> </ul>	In looking at Table 154-9 intrinsically linked to "Red linkage by looking at clau power [unamplified] (min is only clarified by clause requirements. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a note of consider replacing or sup what isn't. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See resolution to comme The resolution to comme Implement slides 14, 15,	ceiver OSNR(193.6) [amplituse 154.8.12. The same sit )" and "Receiver OSNR(193 a 154.8.13. This could lead or notes to Table 154-9 to clopplementing the table with a <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>  ent # i-42. ent i-42 was:	fied] (min)"; you tuation exists wi 3.6) [unamplified to confusion wi larify these linka a graph that sho	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkag th the actual ages. Alternately, ws what is required an

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Page 16 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:55 PM

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b>	L <b>22</b>	# I-58	C/ 154	SC 154.	7.2	P111	L <b>23</b>	# 1-64
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA			Zhang, Bo	1		Inphi Corpor	ation	
there	s draft, the black is no correspond	Comment Status <b>D</b> link must comply with chroma ding spec on the receiver. Co	mpare G.698.2:	ax) and (min), but			er OSNR (1	, 0	ınit after 193.6. T	his applies to also two
These	e parameters de	minimum (residual) chromatic fine the maximum and minimu that the system shall be able t	im value of the o	ptical path end-to-end	Suggested Sugge		nit 'THz' aft	er 193.6 in three para	meters in the Rx	table.
		nething very important in trans c dispersion is contrary to all 8			Response ACCE	PT IN PRIN		ponse Status C		
Add a		the receiver to tolerate the ra ivity spec in any 802.3 SMF cl		dispersion, e.g. similar	See re	solution to	comment #	i-65.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			The re	solution to	omment i-6	35 was:		
The fi chrom None to chr chanr	natic dispersion i of recent in-forc romatic dispersic nel requirements	T. the comment reads "Not spec is contrary to all 802.3 SMF s e and draft receiver specificat n. Instead chromatic dispersi . Therefore it is very appropria ack link specifications.	becs since 2002. ions contain a re on requirements	' quirement for tolerance are provided in the	point o Conce 802.3 only a	of the require rns have be standard. A oplicable at	ement and i en raised th dding THz o the 193.6 T	not that it is only appli nat 193.6 could refer t on the other hand cou Hz channel (even it's	cable at 193.6 TH o a future, not ye ld even enforce t not even a used	t existing, clause of the he impression that it's
					OSNF instea	related par d of "dB (0.1	ameters. Tł nm)".	te related other subcla	vant cells would	
					See a	so resolutio	n to comme	ent # i-42.		
					The re	solution to	omment i-4	12 was:		

Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20\_11/stassar\_3ct\_02b\_201203.pdf with editorial license.

Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20\_11/stassar\_3ct\_01\_201203.pdf with editorial license.

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b>	L <b>25</b>	# I-55
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This draft lacks a sensitivity or stressed sensitivity spec, but has a spec for receiver OSNR tolerance(193.6), defined in 154.8.16 by reference to G.698.2, where 7.4.3 defines it as at: worst EVM\_RMS, IQ offset, optical return loss at point SS, receiver connector degradations and measurement tolerances, but excluding chromatic dispersion, non-linear effects, reflections from the optical path, PMD, PDL and optical crosstalk. This would need a great deal of interpretation to turn into an actual measurement, with too much opportunity for alternative choices and disagreement. 802.3 doesn't put measurement tolerances in parameter values like that; they are the measurer's problem not the standard's. Not specifying the receiver for tolerance to chromatic dispersion is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 2002. Not having a specific stressed sensitivity spec is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 1998. It is not clear that receiver OSNR tolerance(193.6) enforces the right receiver sensitivity for the unamplified link.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add clear, specific receiver sensitivity criteria, addressing signal strength, sinusoidal jitter, EVM\_RMS, IQ offset, chromatic dispersion, and for the amplified case, OSNR. Make the unamplified case a "major option" if it's more onerous than the amplified case. If it makes sense to specify tolerance to OSNR and some other things in one spec item, and chromatic dispersion and some others in another spec item, as G.698.2 does, do so. Because this PMD has its own clock domain, the sinusoidal jitter won't be the usual amount. Add associated PICS.

# Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.

Furthermore it is very similar to previously submitted comments #15 to D2.1 and #140 to D2.0 which were both rejected.

C/ <b>154</b>	SC 154.7.2	P111	L <b>29</b>	# I-15
Rolfe, Be	njamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates	

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

A table note (a note to a table) is informative. Thus "shall be able to tolerate" (stating a requirement) can not appear in a note to a table. The rquirement (3 dBm) is stated in the table (correctly). The note appears (I'm guessing) to be explanatory text (informative) explaining "damage threshold". For sure, "shall" in a table note is wrong.

# SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Damage threshold is the average optical signal average power level that is tolerated without damage."

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current wording is consistent with the wording in other in-force optical clauses. This is a Table Footnote rather than a Table Note, which according to IEEE-SA Style Guide is normative so "shall be able to tolerate" is correct verbage.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b>	L <b>31</b>	# I-41
Stassar, F	Peter	Huawei Techr	ologies Co., Ltd	

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Note b suggests that there are actually 2 PMDs, one for amplified and one for unamplified. Note b was included to express that the unamplified parameters are "informative" and not necessary for the 80 km DWDM project objective. It needs to be anambiguously clear that there is only one PMD specification for the Tx/Rx. If the unamplified receiver parameters become mandatory, we need to re-examine that the values are not too restrictive for the primary objective, potentially reducing yield.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete Note b.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment I-42.

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Page 18 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:56 PM

C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 P111 L31 # [-43	C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L # [-42				
Schmitt, Matthew Cable Television Laboratories Inc. (CableLabs)	Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd				
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The inclusion of note "b" in table 154-9 might be interpreted to imply that we're either defining two PHYs or that both data points are not mandatory, which was not the intent. It's also not necessary to convey the requirements accurately, and therefore could be removed.         SuggestedRemedy       Delete note "b" from Table 154-9.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See resolution to comment # i-42.	Stassar, Peter       Huawer rechnologies Co., Ltd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A         The black link characteristics in Table 154-10 are specifically to satisfy the project objec of 80 km over a DWDM link. This can only be done on by defining a black link "appropria for the inclusion of one or more optical amplifiers" (thus without actually requiring it). The fiber loss is not specified. The specification methodology is based upon that principle. Because of the intent to serve unamplified applications it would be useful to add one or more table(s) with an illustrative (thus informative) power budget for unamplified applications operating over shorter distances than 80 km. This illustrative power budget could contain an example of a fiber loss specification and the addition of an optical path (e.g. dispersion) penalty, without "destroying" the fundamental principle of black link specification methodology.				
C/     154     SC     154.7.2     P111     L32     #     I-79       D'Ambrosia, John     Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Sub	SuggestedRemedy A proposal for a new Table and associate informative content will be made in a presentation (pending)				
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status D         Note B appears to imply that a Rx may not need to support certain parameters for unamplified scenarios and appears to create a potential interoperability problem         SuggestedRemedy         Delete Note B         Proposed Response       Response Status W	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.         Create informative enney 154A from the examples in				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment I-42.	Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license.				

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3

C/ 154	SC 154.7.3	P <b>111</b>	L <b>45</b>	# I-52
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA		

### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

802.3 writes interoperability specifications. The definitions of transmitter, receiver and channel must each be independently complete enough so that any compliant transmitter, receiver and channel will interoperate. The transmitter and receiver have specified power ranges; the channel must have specifications that control the loss or gain for compliant transmitted signals so that the power window at TP3 is met. In G.698.2, 7.4.1 Maximum and minimum mean input power:

"This parameter (together with the maximum and minimum mean channel output power) also places a requirement on the maximum and minimum channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link.

The requirement is that while the mean channel output power at point SS is within the specified limits, the channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link for that channel must be such that the power level at point RS is within the maximum and minimum mean input power limits."

So in G.698.2, there is a channel insertion loss (or gain) requirement. Here, with the three pieces specified separately, the channel insertion loss (or gain) spec has got lost in translation, and a channel can be compliant with any amount of loss, even when obviously unusable.

# SuggestedRemedy

Add black link specifications in 154.7.3, preferably in Table 154-10, so that a black link will deliver the right power at TP3, giving effect to what G.698.2 says, "while the mean channel output power at point SS [TP2] is within the specified limits, the channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link for that channel must be such that the power level at point RS [TP3] is within the maximum and minimum mean input power limits". Different for amplified and non-amplified cases. Add associated PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment I-42.

C/ 154	SC 154.8.9	P <b>114</b>	L13	# I-85
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m LLC,Inphi C	orporation

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Error vector magnitude references ITU 698.2, where N pairs of in-phase and quadratures sampes are aquired with real time scope. A shorter capture will proivde more optimistic results than longer.

# SuggestedRemedy

It has been suggested that receiver receiver will have 2 MHz tracking BW, if one assumes 2 MHz tracking BW and Baudrate of 27.9525 GBd then number of samples N should be defiend as 13976.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment is not clear, especially the statement "A shorter capture will proivde more optimistic results than longer.".

The remedy is in the form of a statement instead of a proposal including a speculative suggestion without any evidence that it would improve the quality of the draft.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.9

/ 154	SC 154.8.11	P <b>114</b>	L <b>22</b>	# I-83	C/ 154 SC 154.8.11 P114 L24 # 1-54						
)'Ambrosia	ı, John	Futurewei&nt	osp;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA						
omment T	ype <b>ER</b> C	omment Status A			Comment Type TR Comment Status D						
		of the name of a param 193.6 is expected to co			Inadequately defined term. This says "OSNR and OSNR(193.6) are defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2. G.698.2, 7.4.2, says "optical signal-to-noise ratio						
uggestedF	Remedy				(OSNR) is the value of the ratio of the signal power in the wanted channel to the n power density (referred to 0.1 nm)" Not "to the noise power in 0.1 nm". So it's pow						
Modify (	(193.6) to be (193.6	THz) in parameter name	es		power_density. The units then would be dB/nm maybe? But they aren't. And, what do						
esponse	Re	esponse Status C			G.698.2 mean by "signal power"? Is it the average power, the OMA, or something else						
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.				see that 7.2.12, Maximum error vector magnitude, has a "signal power" derived after so mathematical manipulation from a measurement, but I believe that OSNR existed befor EVM, so that's probably a different thing.						
See res	olution to comment	# i-65.			SuggestedRemedy						
The res	olution to comment i	-65 was:			Provide an unambiguous definition of OSNR						
The par	t (193.6) in the nara	meter name is intended	to convey 193 (	THz is the calibration	Proposed Response Response Status W						
The part (193.6) in the parameter name is intended to convey 193.6 THz is the calibration point of the requirement and not that it is only applicable at 193.6 THz. Concerns have been raised that 193.6 could refer to a future, not yet existing, clause of the					PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment # i-82.						
		on the other hand could THz channel (even it's r			C/ 154 SC 154.8.12 P114 L30 # 1-68						
OSNR r instead	related parameters. <sup>-</sup> of "dB (0.1 nm)".	Thus the unit in the relev	vant cells would	z and remove 193.6 from be "dB (12.5 GHz)"	D'Ambrosia, John       Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Su         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         Title of subclause does not match the name of the parameter in Table 154-9						
With ed	litorial license to upd	ate related other subcla	uses.		SuggestedRemedy Add "receive" to subtitle after "average"						
See als	o resolution to comm	nent # i-42.									
The res	olution to comment i	-42 was:			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
		, and 17 in t/public/20_11/stassar_3	3ct_02b_201203	3.pdf with editorial	See resolution to comment # i-42.						
					The resolution to comment i-42 was:						
Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial licens				pdf with editorial license.	Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.						
					Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20 11/stassar 3ct 01 201203.pdf with editorial lice						

C/ 154 SC 154.8.12

C/ 154 SC 154.8.12 P114 L31 # 1-80	C/ 154 SC 154.8.13 P114 L37 # 1-69						
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Su Comment Type TR Comment Status A							
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> 154.8.12 and 154.8.13 both identify ampflied and non-amplfied scenarios for the average receive input power, but the references to these states should be deleted and instead point	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Title of subclause does not match the name of the parameter in Table 154-9						
to the minimum OSNR that is being targeted SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Add "receive" to subtitle after "average"						
Reword 154.8.12 The average receive input power shall be within the limits given in Table 154-9. f. The average input power [amplified] defines the input power range over which the BER requirement must be met at the minimum OSNR defined by the OSNR(193.6) of the target black link.	Response     Response Status     C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.     See resolution to comment # i-42.						
Response Response Status C	The resolution to comment i-42 was:						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment # i-42	Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.						
The resolution to comment i-42 was:	Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license.						
Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.	C/ 154 SC 154.8.14 P114 L46 # [-70						
Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license	D'Ambrosia, John       Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Su         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         Title of subclause does not match the name of the parameter in Table 154-9						
	SuggestedRemedy Add "Receiver" before "OSNR"						
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	See resolution to comment # i-42. The resolution to comment i-42 was:						
	Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.						
	Create informative annex 154A from the examples in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_01_201203.pdf with editorial license.						

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14 Page 22 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:56 PM

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14	P <b>114</b>	L <b>46</b>	# I-44	C/ 154	SC 154.8.14	P <b>114</b>	L <b>47</b>	# I-81
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televis	ion Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)	D'Ambrosia	, John	Futurewei&nl	osp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
Comment Type E Comm In clause 154.8.14 the parameter without indication that it is a recei is listed as "Receiver OSNR(193. the text in Table 154.9. SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the paramet [amplified]" in order to match Tab	iver requirement. F 6) [amplified]", whi er (including the se	lowever, in Table ch makes that cl	e 154-9, the parameter ear but does not match	receive to the a <i>SuggestedF</i> Reword The ave	4 and 154.8.15 input power, bu verage receive <i>Remedy</i> 154.8.12 rage receiver C	Comment Status <b>A</b> both identify amplfied and no it the references to these sta input power that is being targ DSNR (193.6 THz) shall be we being targeted by the black lin	tes should be de geted vithin the limits g	eleted and instead point
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
See resolution to comment # i-42	-			See res	olution to comn	nent # i-42		
Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/publ license.	The resolution to comment i-42 was: Implement slides 14, 15, 16, and 17 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3ct_02b_201203.pdf with editorial license.							
Create informative annex 154A fr https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/publ	•		df with editorial license.			ex 154A from the examples i g/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3		pdf with editorial license.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14

C/ 154	SC 154.8.15	P <b>115</b>	L <b>1</b>	<b>#</b> I-45	CI ·	154	SC 154.8.22	F	<sup>-</sup> 115	L <b>45</b>	<b>#</b> I-29
Schmitt, N	Matthew	Cable Televis	ion Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)	Lau	ubach, M	ark	IEE	EE membe	er / Self Employed	1
omment	Type E	Comment Status A			Cor	nment Ty	/pe <b>T</b>	Comment State	us <b>R</b>		
withou is liste	ut indication that it	parameter in question is call is a receiver requirement. FNR(193.6) [unamplified]", v 154.9.	lowever, in Tab	e 154-9, the parame	ter	Table 8-	7 and Table 8-8 -40 dB for NRZ		PSK appli	cations. In table	value of -25 dB in s 8-1 through 8-6, the rrong section in the
Suggestee	dRemedy				Sug	ggestedR	emedy				
		parameter including the s ed]" in order to match Table		"Receiver		As was "Recom	done in other pl mendation ITU-	laces in this draft, -T G.698.2 for DP-	change "F DQPSK s	ecommendation ignals" on line 45	ITU-T G.698.2" to
Response	9	Response Status C			Res	sponse		Response Statu	ıs C		
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE					REJECT					
See re	esolution to comme	ent # i-42.						e values for cross te more specific re			Subclause 154.7. So ues in G.698.2
The re	esolution to comme	ent i-42 was:			CI ·	154	SC 154.9.1	F	<sup>&gt;</sup> 116	L <b>7</b>	# <u>I-16</u>
Incula		10 and 17 in			Rol	fe, Benja	min	Blir	nd Creek A	Associates	
	ment slides 14, 15 //www.jeee802.org	, 16, and 17 in /3/ct/public/20 11/stassar 3	ct 02b 201203	.pdf with editorial	Cor	nment Ty	/pe E	Comment State	us <b>D</b>		Bucke
licens		'									e aligned with changes
Creat	e informative anne	x 154A from the examples i				to P802	.3cr.". Welcom	e to SA ballot. Stu	uff happen	s - blame it on 20	020 :-)
		/3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3		odf with editorial licer	nse. Sug	ggestedR	-				
C/ 154	SC 154.8.15	P115	L115	# I-71		Remove	e note Editor's n	ote that was mear	nt to be rei	moved before SA	ballot
						posed R	esponse	Response Statu	is W		
D'Ambros	,		sp, rechnologie	s, U.S. \$	auc	PROPO	SED ACCEPT.				
Comment	51	Comment Status A not match the name of the p	arameter in Tak	151-0							
		not materi the name of the p		ne 134-9							
00	<i>dRemedy</i> Receiver" before "(										
Response		Response Status C									
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE	1.									
See re	esolution to comme	ent # i-42. Editor's note, sho	ould be line 1.								
The re	esolution to comme	ent i-42 was:									
		, 16, and 17 in /3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3	ct_02b_201203	.pdf with editorial							
		x 154A from the examples in /3/ct/public/20_11/stassar_3		odf with editorial licer	ise.						
	/technical required	ER/editorial required GR/	neneral required	T/technical F/edito	rial G/general				C/ 1	54	Page 24 of 25

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 Page 24 of 25 12/3/2020 1:52:56 PM

C/ 154 SC 154.9.5	P <b>116</b>	L <b>46</b>	# <u>I-17</u>	C/ A	SC A	P <b>123</b>	L	# <mark>I-24</mark>
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	Associates		Huber, T	homas	Nokia		
national codes for the out of scope of this s system complies with	Comment Status <b>R</b> a 100GBASE-ZR PMD shall of a limitation of electromagnetic i tandard. It is the implementer applicable codes, regulations, ication of this standard and all	nterference." is a solution of the second seco	stating a requirement o assure that the f which are subject to	153.2 <i>Suggeste</i> Add a	ex A does not cor 2.3.3.1 is making ed <i>Remedy</i> an editing instruc	Comment Status <b>D</b> tain an editing instruction to a a reference to it tion to insert a reference for [E ork hierarchy equipment function	3xx] ITU-T G.7	
	mplementers responsibility to a complies with applicable local agnetic interference. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			PRO Add a IEEE	an editing instruc Std 802.3ca-202	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. tion to insert the following refe 20 "[Bxx] ITU-T G.798 - Chara unctional blocks".		
REJECT. This is identical with standard.	ext that appears in every optic	al PMD clause i	n the in-force base	CI A	SC <b>A</b> ge, Stephen	P <b>123</b> Nokia Comment Status <b>D</b>	L11	# [ <del>-36</del>
Cl 154 SC 154.11. Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E Black Link SuggestedRemedy black link Proposed Response	4.6 P122 NVIDIA Comment Status D	L1	# [ <u>-48</u> Bucket	Missi Suggeste Inser funct Proposed PRO	ng addition of bil edRemedy t [Bxx] ITU-T G.7 ional blocks d Response POSED ACCEP	98-Characteristics of optical to <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> Γ IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEP				See I	response to com	nent I-24.		

CI A SC A