C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a	P <b>23</b>	L <b>14</b>	# <u>I-1</u>	C/ <b>1</b>	SC 1.4.181a	P <b>23</b>	L <b>20</b>	# <u>1-3</u>
tolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Benj	jamin	Blind C	reek Associates	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Type <b>GR</b>	Comment Status	)	
The term should not be us 10.6]	sed in its own definition. [IE	EEE Standards S	tyle Manual, clause	for use	e in this standard	, and redefining the ter	m to be WDM specifi	d) definition is adequate c is a bad idea. All terms
SuggestedRemedy						rds are incorporated in not need further pollut		
	put, output, and transfer cl n TP2 to TP3 are specified n is implemented.			definiti channe	ons clause of a sel spacing, creat	standard. If you really e a new term such as ' more consistent with th	must have a DWM sp DWM channel spacin	pecific definition of Ig" or "DWDM channel
Proposed Response	Response Status W					el spacing" is a commo		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN				the spa	acing between c	rt of communications in hannels, which is how tating (slightly obscure)	ou have defined it he	ms, understood to be ere. SO really, you don't
	to "A methodology where directional transmission pa			Suggested	, <b>,</b>	3(3)	,	
without specifying			to in o are specified,	00	term from claus	e 1.4.		
how the transmission path	n is implemented."			Proposed I	Response	Response Status	N	
7 154 SC 154.6	P <b>108</b>	L <b>34</b>	# I-2	PROP	OSED REJECT.			
	P <b>108</b> Blind Creek A		# I-2				tion is inconsistent wi	th in force ITLL T
colfe, Benjamin			# [ <u>I-2</u>	The co		ot shown how the defin	tion is inconsistent wi	th in-force ITU-T
olfe, Benjamin comment Type <b>GR</b>	Blind Creek A	ssociates	# [-2	The co standa	ommentor has no ards and industry	ot shown how the defin usage.		-
colfe, Benjamin <i>Comment Type</i> <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in th	Blind Creek A Comment Status D	ssociates	# [-2	The co standa <i>Cl</i> <b>80</b>	ommentor has no ards and industry SC <b>80.1.4</b>	ot shown how the defin usage. P <b>50</b>	L <b>54</b>	th in-force ITU-T # <u>I-4</u>
olfe, Benjamin comment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in th	Blind Creek A Comment Status <b>D</b> ne bibliography (informative	ssociates	# [-2	The co standa <i>CI</i> <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj	ommentor has no ards and industry SC <b>80.1.4</b> jamin	ot shown how the defin usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C	L <b>54</b> reek Associates	# [-4
olfe, Benjamin comment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in th cuggestedRemedy Add G.694.1 to the bibliog	Blind Creek A Comment Status <b>D</b> ne bibliography (informative	ssociates	# <u>I-2</u>	The co standa <i>CI</i> <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj <i>Comment</i>	ommentor has no ards and industry SC <b>80.1.4</b> jamin <i>Type</i> <b>E</b>	ot shown how the defin usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C <i>Comment Status</i>	L <b>54</b> reek Associates D	# [ <u>-4</u> buck
olfe, Benjamin omment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in th uggestedRemedy Add G.694.1 to the bibliog roposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Blind Creek A Comment Status D ne bibliography (informative graphy Response Status W	ssociates e reference).		The co standa <i>CI</i> <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj <i>Comment</i> Abbrev	ommentor has no ards and industry SC <b>80.1.4</b> jamin <i>Type</i> <b>E</b>	ot shown how the define t usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C <i>Comment Status</i> Is should be spelled ou	L <b>54</b> reek Associates D	# [ <u>-4</u> buck
colfe, Benjamin <i>comment Type</i> <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in the <i>cuggestedRemedy</i> Add G.694.1 to the bibliog <i>proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJECT. The normative reference to	Blind Creek A Comment Status D ne bibliography (informative	ssociates e reference).		The co standa <i>CI</i> <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj <i>Comment</i> Abbrev	SC 80.1.4 jamin <i>Type</i> E viations/acronym 2.2.2 where it is	ot shown how the define t usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C <i>Comment Status</i> Is should be spelled ou	L <b>54</b> reek Associates D	# [ <u>-4</u> buck
Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in th SuggestedRemedy Add G.694.1 to the bibliog Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Blind Creek A Comment Status D ne bibliography (informative graphy Response Status W	ssociates e reference).		The co standa C/ <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj Comment Abbrev 153.3.2 Suggested	SC 80.1.4 jamin <i>Type</i> E viations/acronym 2.2.2 where it is	ot shown how the define t usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C <i>Comment Status</i> Is should be spelled ou	L <b>54</b> reek Associates D	# [ <u>I-4</u> buck
Colfe, Benjamin Comment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in the SuggestedRemedy Add G.694.1 to the bibliog Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. The normative reference to	Blind Creek A Comment Status D ne bibliography (informative graphy Response Status W	ssociates e reference).		The co standa C/ <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj Comment Abbrev 153.3.2 Suggested	ommentor has no ards and industry SC 80.1.4 jamin <i>Type</i> E viations/acronym 2.2.2 where it is <i>IRemedy</i> d out at first use	ot shown how the define t usage. P <b>50</b> Blind C <i>Comment Status</i> Is should be spelled ou	L <b>54</b> reek Associates <b>)</b> t at first use, which ap	# [ <u>-4</u> buck
Colfe, Benjamin Comment Type <b>GR</b> G.694.1 should listed in the SuggestedRemedy Add G.694.1 to the bibliog Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. The normative reference to	Blind Creek A Comment Status D ne bibliography (informative graphy Response Status W	ssociates e reference).		The co standa C/ <b>80</b> Rolfe, Benj Comment Abbrev 153.3.2 Suggested spelled Proposed I	ommentor has no ards and industry SC 80.1.4 jamin <i>Type</i> E viations/acronym 2.2.2 where it is <i>IRemedy</i> d out at first use	ot shown how the defin rusage. P50 Blind C Comment Status I s should be spelled out spelled out. Response Status	L <b>54</b> reek Associates <b>)</b> t at first use, which ap	# [ <u>-4</u>

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel Which may contain one or more optical amplifters and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."         Cl 45       SC 452.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # 16         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       which appears to be here.         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/krowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         DWDM should be spelled out at first use.       Which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy         expand acronym at first use       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DVVDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding. DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 164.)       C1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [8]         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode liber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DVVDM) channel which may contain nor or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.5).*       CI 4       SC 452.1.186eh.2       P42       L4       # [8]         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       IFEC asued in the draft text is an abbreviation in sot used consistently. In mark places the full term is used. In other places IFEC Cele sector.*       Needify the full term is used. In other places IFEC Cele sector.*       Needify the ful	C/ 154 SC 154.6	P <b>107</b>	L <b>38</b>	# <u>1-</u> 5	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.1	86aa.1	P <b>37</b>	L <b>32</b>	# <u>I-</u> 7
DWDM should be spelled out at first use.       Which appears to be here.         Suggested/Remedy expand acronym at first use       "Inverse RS-FEC decoder" should be "Inverse RS-FEC (IFEC) decoder"         Proposed Response       Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GRASE-R encoding. DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with read-up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Sc 15.5       P24       L4       # [a]         Cl 1       SC 15.       P24       L4       # [a]         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E       Comment Status D       E         Suggested/Remedy weelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifters and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       E       [c] "Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC (recoder". "Inverse RS-FEC (recoded"." "Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC (secoded"." "Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC (which I see interestion for inverse RS-FEC (which I see interestion for inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC (secoded"." "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder". "Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decoder"." Inverse RS-FEC decode	DWDM should be spelled out at first use.       Which appears to be here.         SuggestedRemedy expand acronym at first use       "Inverse RS-FEC decoder" should be "Inverse RS-FEC (IFEC) decoder"         Proposed Response the document is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       Response Status D         Modify the first smean with the ascronym appears).       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type E       Comment Status D         Cid 45       SC 45.2.1.166ah.2       P42       L38       # 16         Cid 45       SC 45.2.1.166ah.2       P42 <td>Rolfe, Benjamin</td> <td>Blind Creek A</td> <td>ssociates</td> <td></td> <td>Rolfe, Bei</td> <td>njamin</td> <td></td> <td>Blind Creek A</td> <td>ssociates</td> <td></td>	Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Bei	njamin		Blind Creek A	ssociates	
SuggestedRemedy expand acronym at first use       SuggestedRemedy as indicated in the comment         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Prote 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears).*       SuggestedRemedy as indicated in the comment         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100/GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [8]         Nodify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black time methodology (see 154.6).*       Cl 4       SC 45.2.1.186eh.2       P42       L38       # [6         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186eh.2       P42       L38       # [6       [7]       SuggestedRemedy         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       Bucket       SuggestedRemedy       Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throughout any places the full term is used everywhere (which   prefer). But if you have it, use it.       SuggestedReme	SuggestedRemedy expand acronym at first use       SuggestedRemedy as indicated in the comment         Proposed Response Proposed Response Trist use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears).*       SuggestedRemedy as indicated in the comment         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE.Face Ronding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [:6]         Nodify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black timk methodology (see 154.6)."       Cl 4       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [:6]         Ci 45       SC 45.2.1.186eh.2       P42       L38       # [:6]       Comment Type E       Comment Status D       D         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       bucket       Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, wavelength division utitiplexing (DWDM) channel which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy Remove abbreviation iFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently through Proposed	Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Commer	nt Status D		
expand acronym at first use         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbAS dense wavelength division multiplexing (OWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding. DP-ODPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3; Clause 154.)         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (OWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.5)."         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186eh.2       P42       L33       # [6]         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       Comment Type E       Comment Status D       D         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy       Remove abbreviation is not reall rule term is used everywhere (which 1 prefer). But if you have it, use it.         SuggestedRemedy specifies the tour at first use.       Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/cttpublic/20_11/hrowbridge_3c_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13 <t< td=""><td>expand acronym at first use       as indicated in the comment         Proposed Response Cept IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Prot the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control refiscues the full term (the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbASE-Re necoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control refiscues the full term is used control for inverse RS-FEC (without "subla", Clause 154.1         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       If SC 1.5       P24       L4       Image: Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe</td><td>DWDM should be spe</td><td>lled out at first use. Which ap</td><td>pears to be here</td><td></td><td>"Invei</td><td>rse RS-FEC dec</td><td>oder" should</td><td>be "Inverse RS-F</td><td>EC (IFEC) deco</td><td>der"</td></t<>	expand acronym at first use       as indicated in the comment         Proposed Response Cept IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Prot the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control refiscues the full term (the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbASE-Re necoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control refiscues the full term is used control for inverse RS-FEC (without "subla", Clause 154.1         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       If SC 1.5       P24       L4       Image: Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe Lawe	DWDM should be spe	lled out at first use. Which ap	pears to be here		"Invei	rse RS-FEC dec	oder" should	be "Inverse RS-F	EC (IFEC) deco	der"
Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE: R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # 18         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE: R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       D       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublayer wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) Channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # 18         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1186ah.2       P42       L38       # 16       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       D       bucket       Suggested/Remedy       Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throuyhou endice IFEC is ease Shetus <t< td=""><td>Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       Proposed Response Status       W         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbS dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control re 1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register and to an expandable acronym.         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbS dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control re 1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register and to an expandable acronym.         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublate and not an expandable acronym.         Cl 45       SC</td><td>SuggestedRemedy</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Suggeste</td><td>dRemedy</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       Proposed Response Status       W         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbS dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control re 1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register and to an expandable acronym.         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 GbS dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       See response to comment 1-8. After implementing the proposed response, control re 1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register and to an expandable acronym.         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublate and not an expandable acronym.         Cl 45       SC	SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."         Cl 4 S SC 45.2.1.186eh.2       P42       L38       # 16         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       No diff string sense Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from         Notes: Intermediation of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Per the 2020 SA style manual "Within text, the acronym or abbreviation should follow the first use of the full term (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-Re rencoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # 1-6         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"         Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	expand acronym at fire	st use			as inc	dicated in the co	nment			
If is tuge of the full ferm (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register in and not an expandable acronym.         Modify 1.4.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 1002GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Cl 1       SC 1.5       P24       L4       # [-8]         Nodify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       P24       L4       # [-8]         C/ 1       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # [-6]         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       Comment Type       E       Comment Status D         Comment Type       E       Comment Status D       Bucket         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy       Remove abbreviation IFEC and use the term "Inverse RS-FEC" consistently throughout these// suggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from htt	first use of the full ferm (the first time in the introduction, then the first time in the body of the document, and then the first time in any annexes in which the acronym appears)."       1.2200 is changed to "IFEC control register". In this context IFEC is part of a register and not an expandable acronym.         Modify 14.35b to read "IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DOPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.).       Cl 1 SC 1.5 P24 L4 # [1-8]         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation is not used consistently. In mark places the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.         Cl 45 SC 452.1.186ah.2       P42 L38 # [1-6]         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type E       Comment Status D         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use         spelled out at first use       Proposed Response         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from         Proposed Response       Response Status W         Proposed Response       Response Status W <tr< td=""><td>Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>e Status W</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	,			,	,		e Status W		
wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # 1-6         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       bucket         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13	wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         C/       45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # [-6]         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy         spelled out at first use       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       W       Adopt option 1 from       https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-	first use of the full tern	n (the first time in the introduc	tion, then the firs	t time in the body of	1.220	0 is changed to	"IFEC contro			
modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Notify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation is not used consistently. In many places the full term is used. In other places IFEC is used. An abbreviation is not reall meddent the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.         C/ 45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       #         Rolle, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       SuggestedRemedy         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Adopt option 1 from       https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13         Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154.)       Notify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       IFEC as used in the draft text is an abbreviation for inverse RS-FEC (without "sublate E.g."Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC decoder, "Inverse RS-FEC,					C/ 1	SC 1.5		P <b>24</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 1-8
Clause 154.) Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)." C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah.2 P42 L38 # I-6 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here. SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Clause 154.) Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)." Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah.2 P42 L38 # L6 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here. SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 45 Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC" consistently through the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it. SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use.	wavelength division m	ultiplexing (DWDM) PHY using	g 100GBASE-R	encoding, DP-DQPSK	Rolfe, Bei	njamin		Blind Creek A	ssociates	
Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       E. g. "Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC Reed-Solomon decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In many places the full term is used. In other places IFEC is used. An abbreviation is not reall needed if the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       #	Modify the first sentence of 154.1 to read "This clause specifies the 100GBASE-ZR PMD together with the associated medium, which is a single-mode fiber based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using black link methodology (see 154.6)."       E. g. "Inverse RS-FEC decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC Reed-Solomon decoder", "Inverse RS-FEC align status" and so on. Also, the abbreviation is not used consistently. In mar places the full term is used. In other places IFEC is used. An abbreviation is not readed if the full term is used everywhere (which I prefer). But if you have it, use it.         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.186ah.2       P42       L38       # I-6         Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates       bucket         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       bucket       Adopt option 1 from         SuggestedRemedy       spelled out at first use       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"         Proposed Accept IN PRINCIPLE.       N       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"			at least of kill.		Comment	Type E	Commer	nt Status D		
Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       SuggestedRemedy       Report of the status       W         Spelled out at first use       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	Rolfe, Benjamin       Blind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E         Comment Status       D         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       BudgestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Spelled out at first use         Proposed Response       Response Status         Proposed Response       Response Status         Proposed Response       Response Status         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	together with the asso wavelength division m	ciated medium, which is a sin ultiplexing (DWDM) channel w	gle-mode fiber b which may contai	ased dense n one or more optical	E. g. FEC : place	"Inverse RS-FE0 align status" and s the full term is	C decoder", "I so on. Also, used. In othe	nverse RS-FEC the abbreviation er places IFEC is	Reed-Solomon de is not used cons used. An abbre	ècoder", "Inverse ŔS- istently. In many viation is not really
Rolle, Benjamin       Bind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	Kolle, Benjamin       Bind Creek Associates         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.18	36ah.2 P42	L38	# I-6						
Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	Abbreviations/acronyms should be spelled out at first use, which appears to be here.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         SuggestedRemedy spelled out at first use       Adopt option 1 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Remo	ove abbreviation	IFEC and us	e the term "Invers	se RS-FEC" cons	sistently throughout.
Abbreviations actionly instructed by spelled out at hirst use, which appears to be here.         SuggestedRemedy         spelled out at first use         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Abbreviations/action/mis	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	•		•			
spelled out at first use       https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-13         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	spelled out at first use       https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20_11/trowbridge_3ct_01a_201116.pdf slides 5-         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Replace the current definition of IFEC in 1.5 with "inverse RS-FEC"	Abbreviations/acronyn	ns should be spelled out at firs	st use, which app	pears to be here.	PROF	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	YLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						•	org/3/ct/public	/20_11/trowbridg	e_3ct_01a_2011	16.pdf slides 5-13.
The abbreviation is spelled out in its first use in 45.2.1.186ah.	The abbreviation is spelled out in its first use in 45.2.1.186ah.					Repla	ace the current d	efintion of IFE	EC in 1.5 with "inv	verse RS-FEC"	
		The abbreviation is sp	elled out in its first use in 45.2	2.1.186ah.							

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4	P <b>84</b>	L <b>45</b>	# I <u>-</u> 9	C/ 152	SC 152.7.1	P <b>77</b>	L <b>6</b>	# <u>I-</u> 10
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Benja	amin	Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment Type E Commen	t Status D			Comment T	ype <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		
Abbreviations/acronyms should be a SuggestedRemedy spell out the abbreviation at the first Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Change: "The FAS is the frame alignment sign To: "The frame alignment signal (FAS) For point 2 in the same list, Change "The MFAS is a multi-frame alignment To: "The multi-frame alignment signal (I	s use. Status W LE. gnal. This is sim is similar in con s: ent signal. This t	ilar in concept ." cept" field counts from	".	conform implem This is the imp outside been w You shu sayin'. behavio Also (st FYI: the conform waving Suggestedf	n to Clause 15 entation confo stating a requi lementation, b the scope of rong. And BT ould stop repe Alternately I s or, but I would till) wrong in 19 e correct resol ns to the style your hands in Remedy	I) wrong: "The supplier of a p i2, Inverse RS-FEC sublayer, rmance statement (PICS) pri- rement on the user of the sta- but for the implementer. The this standard. I know, it has a W totally unnecessary as 80. ating this invalid use of shall uppose we could amend the strongly recommend against 53.4.1 and 154.11.1. ution detail when you reject th of the base standard being a the air and shouting "it' tradit	shall complete the oforma." Indard. It is not so behavior of the in always been that 7 says he same to in the individual F scope of the stant that solution . In somment is "the mended" which is ion".	he following protocol tating a requirement for nplementer is (still) wayand it has always thing, but correctly. PICS clauses. Just adard to include human his amendment s the IEEE-SA way of
				to Clau implem	se 152, Invers entation confo	e RS-FEC sublayer, shall col rmance statement (PICS) pro appears in this draft.	nplete the followi	ng protocol
				Proposed R	Response	Response Status W		
				PROPO	OSED REJEC	Т.		

This is boiler-plate text that appears in front of essentially every PICS table in the entire base standard.

This does not put a requirement on every implementer, only on those implementers that are claiming they conform to this clause.

C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.2	P <b>92</b>	L <b>4</b>	# <u>I-11</u>	C/ 153	SC 153.2.4.3	P <b>92</b>	L <b>20</b>	# <u>I-12</u>
Rolfe, Ben	jamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Ber	njamin	Blind Creek A	Associates	

#### Rolfe, Benjamin

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated." is an incorrect use of "shall". This is not stating a verifiable requirement: the "all possible" is an unbounded (infinite) set. There would need to be (likely is) a finite set of frame alignment positions that should be evaluated. To be a valid requirement, you would need to change "possible" to "defined" and then provide a reference to where the defined set of frame alignment positions is enumerated and defined. Then at least you have a valid statement of a requirement. Tho the prior sentence suggests such specification is out of scope of this standard (kind of what "not specified" means). Also, does the SLIP function evaluate every defined position every time, or as suggested by the first sentence, only the next one in the (undefined) list of valid positions? I can see why y'all decided to leave this "implementation dependent" :-).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete "However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

While significant freedom is allowed regarding how an implementation finds the FAS pattern, and there is no expectation that an implementation test additional positions after the FAS pattern has been located, there is a requirement that an implementation can find FAS pattern in any possible position.

#### Change:

"However, an implementation shall ensure that all possible frame alignment positions are evaluated."

To.

"An implementation shall ensure that the FAS pattern can be detected in any possible position."

Suggesteuremeay
change to: The synchronization process determines when the SC-FEC has detected the
location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream for a given lane
of the PMA service interface

Comment Status D

Proposed Response Response Status W

something, which is what I suspect you mean.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Numerous other clauses use similar wording, so in principle, it could be left as is without any risk to implementations.

"The synchronization state diagram determines" really isn't correct The diagram specifies

determine anything. A diagram an specify how the synchronization process determines

something, it can illustrate something, it can even indicate something, but it can not

#### However, it is more accurate to Change:

"The synchronization state diagram determines when the SC-FEC has detected the location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream for a given lane of the PMA service interface."

#### To:

Comment Type **TR** 

Suggested Demodu

"The SC-FEC sublaver uses this process to detect the location of the frame alignment sequence in the received bit stream on each lane of the PMA service interface."

C/ 154	SC 154.1	P <b>101</b>	L <b>11</b>	# I-13
Rolfe, Ben	jamin	Blind Creek As	sociates	
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		
		e connected" is inappropriate ant to the purpose of the overv		
Suggestea	IRemedy			

Change "shall" to "is".

Proposed Response Response Status W

### PROPOSED REJECT.

The current wording is boiler-plate text, consistent with the wording in other in-force optical clauses.

C/ 154	SC 154.1.1	P102	L <b>40</b>	# I-14	C/ 154	SC 154.9	9.1	P116	L <b>7</b>	# <b>I-16</b>
Rolfe, Be	njamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates		Rolfe, Ben	jamin		Blind Creek As	sociates	
Commen	tType TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		Bucke
preci assu claus	se definition of "su es sufficient rando e is trying to spec	iciently random" is cited in a ufficiently random" nor do I un omness of bit errors on the m ify a minimum performance r hich it will operate. However	derstand how ar nedium. I am no equirement for th	n implementation ot sure but I *think* the ne implementation, not	to P80 Suggested	)2.3cr.". We dRemedy	lcome	be removed prior to SA ball to SA ballot. Stuff happens ote that was meant to be rem	- blame it on	2020 :-)
Suggeste	dRemedy				Proposed	Response		Response Status W		
	de a reference to natively, remove th	where sufficiently random is one subclause.	defined and how	sufficiency is verified.	PROP	OSED ACC	EPT.			
	Response	Response Status W			C/ 154	SC 154.9	9.5	P <b>116</b>	L <b>46</b>	# <u>I-17</u>
, PRO	, POSED REJECT.	,			Rolfe, Ben	ijamin		Blind Creek As	sociates	
	•	boiler-plate text, consistent v	with the wording	in other in-force optical	Comment	Type TR		Comment Status D		
"suffi 6.2 × by th rando	erm "sufficiently ra ciently random tha 10-10 for 64-octe FEC (Clause 15 om to meet this rea	andom" is precisely specified at this results in a frame loss t frames with minimum interp 3) and PCS (Clause 82). If th quirement, then the BER sha frame loss ratio of less than 6	ratio (see 1.4.27 acket gap when e error statistics Il be less than	5) of less than additionally processed are not sufficiently	nation out of systen chang	al codes for scope of this n complies v	the lin s stand vith ap ublicat	100GBASE-ZR PMD shall con nitation of electromagnetic in dard. It is the implementers oplicable codes, regulations, tion of this standard and all o	terference." is responsibility and laws. All	s stating a requirement to assure that the of which are subject to
	num interpacket g				Suggested	dRemedy				
<i>Cl</i> <b>154</b> Rolfe, Be	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b> Blind Creek A	L <b>29</b>	# <mark>I-15</mark>	100G	BASE-ZR PN	ID coi	lementers responsibility to as mplies with applicable local a netic interference.		
Commen	•	Comment Status D	330018163			Response		Response Status W		
	51	a table) is informative. Thus	"shall be able to	tolerate" (stating a		OSED REJ	-ст			
requi table	rement) can not a (correctlly). The r	ppear in a note to a table. This note appears (I'm guessing) t eshold". For sure, "shall" in a	e rquirement (3 o o be explanatory	dBm) is stated in the text (informative)	This is			hat appears in front of essen	tially every PI	CS table in the entire
Suggeste	dRemedy				This d	oes not put a	a requ	irement on every implement	er. onlv on tho	se implementers that

Change to "Damage threshold is the average optical signal average power level that is tolerated without damage."

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

The current wording is boiler-plate text, consistent with the wording in other in-force optical clauses.

This is a Table Footnote rather than a Table Note, which according to IEEE-SA Style Guide is normative so "shall be able to tolerate" is correct verbage.

This does not put a requirement on every implementer, only on those implementers that are claiming they conform to this clause.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-17

Page 5 of 22 11/13/2020 11:19:25 AM

CI 80	SC 80.1.4	P <b>51</b>	L <b>4</b>	# <u>I-</u> 18
Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
<i>Comment</i> The e	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> is missing the word 'Table'		bucket
•	ge the editing inst	ruction to read as follows: In td 802.3cu-xx) as follows (un		
•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 153	SC 153.2.1	P <b>82</b>	L <b>7</b>	# I-19
Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucket
	EC, or PMA) and	sources from which the SC F the destinations to which it s		
Suggestee	dRemedy			
destin Invers sublay	ation: The FEC: e RS-FEC, or PN /er, each at a nor	ce of the paragraph to includ IS_UNITDATA_i primitives a <i>I</i> A continuously sends 20 pa ninal signaling rate of 5.1562 parallel bit stroame to the P0	re defined for i rallel bit stream 5 GBd. The SC	= 0 to 19. The PCS, s to the SC-FEC C-FEC sublayer

continuously sends 20 parallel bit streams to the PCS, Inverse RS-FEC, or PMA, one per lane, each at a nominal signaling rate of 5.15625 GBd.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 153	C/ 153 SC 153.2.1		P <b>82</b>	L12	# <u>I-20</u>
Huber, Tho	omas		Nokia		
Comment	Type	Е	Comment Status D		bucket

In the description of when the SIGNAL\_OK is set to FAIL, the sentence should begin with "The" rather than "That" for consistency.

### SuggestedRemedy

Revise the 3rd sentence, replacing 'That' with 'The': The SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the FEC:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive can take one of two values: OK or FAIL. The value is set to OK when the FEC receive function has identified codeword boundaries as indicated by fec\_align\_status equal to TRUE. The value is set to FAIL when the FEC receive function is unable to reliably establish codeword boundaries as indicated by fec\_align\_status equal to FALSE.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.6 P88 L7 # I-21 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D Figure 153-5 does not clearly indicate the flow into the 'XOR' functions at the top of the figure. There should be arrowheads on the tops of the vertical lines (as figure 11-3 of ITU-T G.709, on which this figure is based, includes). SugaestedRemedv Add arrowheads pointing into the three XOR functions on the vertical lines Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment I-35. CC 453 3 3 3 ----CI

C/ 153	SC 1	53.2.3.2.7	P88	3	L <b>40</b>	# 1-22	
Huber, Thom	nas		Nokia				
Comment Ty	/pe	E	Comment Status	D			bucket

It would be better to write the sentence below figure 153-6 in the passive voice (the FEC frame doesn't do the distribution; its contents are distributed).

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace: The entire FEC frame consisting of 4080 × 4 octets distributes 51 groups of 16 octets to each of the 20 FEC lanes. With: 51 groups of 16 octets are distributed from the FEC frame (consisting of 4080 x 4 octets) to each of the 20 FEC lanes.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.7	P88	L <b>44</b>	# I-23
Huber, Tho	mas	Nokia		
Comment 7	vpe ER	Comment Status D		bucket

There is ambiguity in the parsing of the first sentence of the second paragraph after figure 153-6 as to whether it is discussing groups of 16 octets (as intended) or 16 "octet groups". A hyphen will make the intended meaning clear.

# SuggestedRemedy

Add a hyphen as shown: At each FEC frame boundary, the assignment of 16-octet groups to FEC lanes is rotated..

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-23

Page 6 of 22 11/13/2020 11:19:25 AM

						•			
CI A	SC A	P <b>123</b>	L	# <u>1-24</u>	C/ 153	SC 153.2.4	P <b>91</b>	L <b>32</b>	# <u>1-</u> 27
Huber, Th	omas	Nokia			Huber, Thom	as	Nokia		
Comment		Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Ty	•	Comment Status D		
		ntain an editing instruction to a reference to it	add G.798, but th	ne NOTE in clause			ble references a "5_BAD" sta nsitions based on fas_bad_co		
Suggeste	dRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
		tion to insert a reference for ork hierarchy equipment fund		98, Characteristics of			definition of restart_lock to re	eference 15_BAD	).
	Response	Response Status W			Proposed Re	•	Response Status W		
,	,	T IN PRINCIPLE.				SED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. ment I-37.		
		tion to insert the following re			C/ 154	SC 154.5.4	P <b>106</b>	L <b>33</b>	# I-28
		20 "[Bxx] ITU-T G.798 - Cha functional blocks".	racteristics of opt	ical transport network	Huber, Thom	as	Nokia		<u></u>
					Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		
Cl 153 Huber, Th	SC 153.2.3. omas	3.2 <i>P</i> 89 Nokia	L <b>21</b>	# 1-25			table and the footnote to the rst sentence in the note.	table are largely i	redundant, with the only
Comment		Comment Status D		bucket	SuggestedR	emedy			
The m	nain point of the	second sentence in the para		6th octet of the FAS is		-	nce from the NOTE in the foc	tnote to the table	and delete the NOTE.
		is would be more clear if the			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
	t on 153.2.3.2.7 ot from the main	was in parentheses. The cro idea.	oss-reterence is h	ieipiul but snould not	•	•	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Suggeste							ontent of clause 154.5.4 with		
Revis	e the second se	ntence to add a comma afte					al detect function shall set the xing the value of	e state of SIGNA	
showr	n: The receive S	C-FEC shall order the receiv 6th octet of the FAS (inserted	ed FEC lanes ac	cording to the FEC lane	SIGNAL a valid s	_DETECT fro gnal is being	om the PMD sublayer at OK a received, e.g., according to t	he ability to acqui	ire frame alignment.
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				verage input   I system."	power is not a reliable indicat	ion of signal failu	re in an optically
PROF	POSED ACCEP	Т.			ampilled	i 3y3tem.			
C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.	3.5 P89	L <b>49</b>	# 1-26					
Huber, Th	omas	Nokia							
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		bucket					
	rst sentence of t 66B blocks.	the paragraph would be more	e clear if it include	ed the words 'that was'					
Suggeste	dRemedy								
		wn: The GMP demapper extr							
	3 blocks that wa EC frame	s inserted according to the p	rocess described	in 153.2.3.2.4 from the					
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROF	POSED ACCEP	Т.							
COMMEN	/technical requi IT STATUS: D/d DER: Comment	red ER/editorial required Gf lispatched A/accepted R/rej t ID	R/general required ected RESPO	d T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/w	general ritten C/closed	J/unsatisfied		nent ID 1-28	Page 7 of 22 11/13/2020 11

C/ 154 SC 154.8.22	P115	L <b>45</b>	# <u>1-</u> 29	Cl <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.18	6ao	P <b>48</b>	L12	# <mark>I-</mark> 31
aubach, Mark	IEEE member	/ Self Employed		Trowbridg	e, Stephen		Nokia		
Comment Type T Comme	nt Status D			Comment	Type ER	Comment	Status D		buck
In ITU-T G.698.2, maximum Interf				Table	45–150am is for	FEC corrected	bits		
Table 8-7 and Table 8-8 for class l value is -40 dB for NRZ signals. H	DP-DQPSK applic lopefully people w	ations. In tables a on't look at the wro	8-1 through 8-6, the	Suggeste	dRemedy				
ITU doc.			5			ted codewords	s" to "FEC cor	rected bits" in the	e Name column of all
SuggestedRemedy					ows of the table	_			
As was done in other places in this "Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2			'U-T G.698.2" to	,	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response S	Status W		
Proposed Response Respons PROPOSED REJECT.	se Status W			C/ 78	SC 78.1.4		P <b>49</b>	L17	# 1-32
The requirements for the values for				Trowbridg	e, Stephen		Nokia		
there is no need to make more spe	ecific references to	o the relevant value	es in G.698.2	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment	Status D		
C/ 30 SC 30	P <b>25</b>	L19	# I-30	Addit	onal clauses may	be used for 1	00GBASE-ZR	PHYs	
rowbridge, Stephen	Nokia			Suggeste	dRemedy				
Comment Type TR Comme	ent Status D			Add o Table	- ,	nd 152 to the li	st of relevant of	clauses for 100G	BASE-ZR PHYs in
Significant material is missing from other projects or amendments. Ma this does not directly affect behavi registers likely need to be added.	aterial relating to cl or at the external i	ause 152 may not nterface, but claus	be necessary as e 153-related	,	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response S	Status W		
the case of clause 91 RS FEC on clause 152 Inverse RS-FEC and c	the host board run	ning across the C	2M interface, with	C/ 80	SC 80.1.4		P <b>51</b>	L1	# <mark>I-33</mark>
SuggestedRemedy				0	e, Stephen		Nokia		
Add the following (or equivalent) a	ttrubites:			Comment	•••	Comment			
aFECCorrectedBlocks (may need aFECUncorrectableBlocks (may n	eed both Clause 1	52 and 153 equiva		All 100GBASE-Z Physical Layer devices use clause 153 SC-FEC. Only some use clause 91 RS-FEC and clause 152 Inverse RS-FEC				Only some use clause	
aRSFECBIPErrorCount (may need				Suggeste	dRemedy				
aRSFECBypassAbility (may need aRSFECBypassIndicationAbility (r aRSFECBypassEnable (may need aRSFECBypassIndicationEnable ( aRSFECLaneMapping (may need	may need clause 1 d clause 152 equiv (may need clause	52 equivalent) alent) 152 equivalent)		modu PMD "Som	lation." to " ove	r multiple PCS -DQPSK modu Physical Layer	lanes (see Cl ulation." Chang	ause82), the FE	plementing DP-DQPSK C of Clause 153, and a sentence to read: lause 91 and the
Proposed Response Response	se Status W			Proposed	Response	Response S	Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			•	POSED ACCEPT	,			
Charter an ad hoc to address what manager and develop required mo			to a network						

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P <b>53</b>	L <b>44</b>	# <u>I-34</u>	C/ 153 SC 153.4.1	P <b>91</b>	L <b>32</b>	# <u>1</u> -37
Frowbridge, Stephen	Nokia			Lewis, David	Lumentum I	nc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
By earlier convention	, this should be called 100GBA	ASE-Z			start_lock says it is set to true		
SuggestedRemedy				state). However, the when fas_bad_count	state diagram in Fig 153-7 sł = 15.	nows a transition t	to the 15_BAD state
Change 100GBASE-	R to 100GBASE-Z in the title o	f Figure 80-4a		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.				e of restart_lock description fi BAD state)" to "It is set to TRI		
C/ 153 SC 153.2.3	. <b>2.6</b> <i>P</i> 88	L <b>5</b>	# I-35	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Frowbridge, Stephen	Nokia			PROPOSED ACCEP			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D						
Missing arrowheads o	on Figure 153-5			C/FM SC FM	P <b>13</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 1-38
SuggestedRemedy				Issenhuth, Tom		onsulting, LLC,Hu	awei Technologies Co.,
	vs before the squiggles on the s to the XOR (circled plus) at th		Add upward arrows to	Comment Type E Amendment ordering	Comment Status <b>D</b> has been changed with 802.	3ct preceeding 80	bucke 2.3cp
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED ACCEP	Τ.			Remove 802.3cp from	n the list		
CIA SCA	P <b>123</b>	L11	# <mark>I-36</mark>	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
JA SCA					1.		
	Nokia						
rowbridge, Stephen	Nokia Comment Status D		bucket	C/FM SC FM	P <b>14</b>	L <b>8</b>	# <mark>I-39</mark>
Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER		G.798	bucket	C/ FM SC FM Issenhuth, Tom		-	# <mark>I-39</mark> iawei Technologies Co.,
Frowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER Missing addition of bil	Comment Status D	G.798	bucket			-	awei Technologies Co.,
Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER Missing addition of bil SuggestedRemedy Insert [Bxx] ITU-T G.7	Comment Status D			Issenhuth, Tom Comment Type E	Issenhuth C	onsulting, LLC,Hu	awei Technologies Co., bucke
Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER Missing addition of bil SuggestedRemedy Insert [Bxx] ITU-T G.7 functional blocks	Comment Status <b>D</b> bliographic reference to ITU-T 798-Characteristics of optical tr			Issenhuth, Tom Comment Type E	Issenhuth C Comment Status D	onsulting, LLC,Hu	iawei Technologies Co., bucke
Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER Missing addition of bil SuggestedRemedy Insert [Bxx] ITU-T G.7 functional blocks Proposed Response	Comment Status D bliographic reference to ITU-T 798-Characteristics of optical tr Response Status W			Issenhuth, Tom <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> Amendment ordering	Issenhuth C Comment Status D has been changed with 802.	onsulting, LLC,Hu	awei Technologies Co., bucke
Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER Missing addition of bil SuggestedRemedy Insert [Bxx] ITU-T G.7	Comment Status D bliographic reference to ITU-T 798-Characteristics of optical tr Response Status W			Issenhuth, Tom Comment Type E Amendment ordering SuggestedRemedy	Issenhuth C Comment Status D has been changed with 802.	onsulting, LLC,Hu	iawei Technologies Co., bucke

Issenhuth Comment		.2 P25	L12	<b>#</b> I-40
Comment	, Tom	Issenhuth Co	onsulting, LLC,Hu	awei Technologies Co.,
	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
	s insert after 100 ter 100GBASE-	OGBASE-ER4 but 802.3cd ins ER4.	serted 100GBASE	-CR2, KR2, SR2 and
Suggestee Chang		r 100GBASE-DR as inserted	by IEEE Std 802.	3cd-2018."
•	Response	Response Status <b>W</b> T.		
C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P111	L <b>31</b>	# I-41
Stassar, F	Peter	Huawei Tech	nnologies Co., Ltd	
Note I neces there becor	b was included t ssary for the 80 l is only one PME ne mandatory, v ry objective, pot	there are actually 2 PMDs, or o express that the unamplifie km DWDM project objective. O specification for the Tx/Rx. I ve need to re-examine that th entially reducing yield.	d parameters are It needs to be ana If the unamplified i	"informative" and not mbiguously clear that receiver parameters
Delete	e Note b.			
Proposed	Response			
•	POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROF	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROF		T IN PRINCIPLE.		

C/ 154	SC 154.7.3	P <b>111</b>	L	# 1-42
Stassar, Pe	eter	Huawei Techno	ologies Co., I	Ltd

# omment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The black link characteristics in Table 154-10 are specifically to satisfy the project objective of 80 km over a DWDM link. This can only be done on by defining a black link "appropriate for the inclusion of one or more optical amplifiers" (thus without actually requiring it). Then fiber loss is not specified. The specification methodology is based upon that principle. Because of the intent to serve unamplified applications it would be useful to add one or more table(s) with an illustrative (thus informative) power budget for unamplified applications operating over shorter distances than 80 km. This illustrative power budget could contain an example of a fiber loss specification and the addition of an optical path (e.g. dispersion) penalty, without "destroying" the fundamental principle of black link specification methodology.

#### SuggestedRemedy

A proposal for a new Table and associate informative content will be made in a presentation (pending)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For Task Force discussion.

#### Refer to presentation

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/20\_11/stassar\_3ct\_01\_201116.pdf.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.	P111	L <b>31</b>	# I-43
Schmitt, Matt	hew	Cable Telev	ision Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)
Comment Typ	be T	Comment Status D		
defining t	wo PHYs c	"b" in table 154-9 might be in r that both data points are not o convey the requirements ac	mandatory, whic	h was not the intent. It's

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete note "b" from Table 154-9.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment # i-42.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.14	P <b>114</b>	L <b>46</b>	# I <u>-</u> 44	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>1</b> 1	11	L <b>20</b>	# I <u>-</u> 46
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televisi	ion Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)	Schmitt, Mat	thew	Cable	Television La	boratories	Inc. (CableLabs)
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Ty	pe <b>T</b>	Comment Status	D		
In clause 154.8.14 the par without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN the text in Table 154.9. SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p	a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [amplified]", whic	lowever, in Tabl ch makes that cl	e 154-9, the parameter lear but does not match	intrinsica linkage b power [u is only cl requirem	Ily linked to "Re by looking at cla namplified] (mir arified by claus ents.	use 154.8.12. The s	) [amplified] (n same situation SNR(193.6) [ui	min)"; you n exists wit namplified	only learn about the th "Average receive d] (min)", whose linkage
[amplified]" in order to ma		,		SuggestedRo	-				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN					replacing or su	or notes to Table 15 pplementing the tab			iges. Alternately, ws what is required and
See resolution to commer	it # 1-42			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status	w		
C/ 154 SC 154.8.15	P <b>115</b>	L <b>1</b>	<b>#</b> I-45		SED ACCEPT I				
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televisi	ion Laboratories	Inc. (Cablel abs)	See reso	lution to comm	ent # i-42.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.	6 P88	3 1	L <b>4</b>	# I-47
In clause 154.8.15, the pa	rameter in question is calle	ed out as "OSNF	R(193.6) [unamplified]",	C/ <b>153</b> Dawe, Piers		6 P88 NVIDI		L <b>4</b>	# 1-47
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Table	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter		JG		A	L <b>4</b>	# <mark>1-47</mark>
In clause 154.8.15, the pa	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Table	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	JG pe E	NVIDI Comment Status	A D		# [-47 re, others don't. Three
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Table	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter	Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Ty</i> Some lin	J G pe <b>E</b> es that pass thi	NVIDI <i>Comment Status</i> rough squiggle-breal	A <b>D</b> ks have arrowl	heads the	
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Ty</i> Some lin	J G pe E es that pass thi ng up to (+) don	NVIDI <i>Comment Status</i> rough squiggle-breal	A <b>D</b> ks have arrowl	heads the	re, others don't. Three
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goit SuggestedR	J G pe E es that pass thi ng up to (+) don emedy	NVIDI <i>Comment Status</i> rough squiggle-breal	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing	heads the	re, others don't. Three
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s i]" in order to match Table Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goil SuggestedRe Tidy up Proposed Re PROPOS	J G pe E es that pass thi ng up to (+) don emedy	NVIDI Comment Status rough squiggle-breal I't have arrows. The Response Status N PRINCIPLE.	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing	heads the	re, others don't. Three
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s i]" in order to match Table Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goil SuggestedRe Tidy up Proposed Re PROPOS	J G pe E es that pass thi ng up to (+) don emedy esponse SED ACCEPT I	NVIDI Comment Status rough squiggle-breal 't have arrows. The 't have arrows. The Response Status N PRINCIPLE. ent I-35.	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing W	heads the	re, others don't. Three
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s i]" in order to match Table Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goil SuggestedRe Tidy up Proposed Re PROPOS See resp	J G pe E es that pass thing up to (+) don emedy esponse SED ACCEPT I sonse to comment SC 154.11.4.6	NVIDI Comment Status rough squiggle-breal 't have arrows. The 't have arrows. The Response Status N PRINCIPLE. ent I-35.	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing W	heads the g to p15 is	re, others don't. Three s not quite horizontal.
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s i]" in order to match Table Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goid SuggestedRe Tidy up Proposed Re PROPOS See resp Cl 154	J G pe E es that pass thing up to (+) don emedy esponse SED ACCEPT I sonse to comme SC 154.11.4.6 J G pe E	NVIDI Comment Status rough squiggle-breal I't have arrows. The Response Status N PRINCIPLE. ent I-35.	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing W 22 A	heads the g to p15 is	re, others don't. Three s not quite horizontal.
In clause 154.8.15, the pa without indication that it is is listed as "Receiver OSN match the text in Table 15 SuggestedRemedy Change the name of the p OSNR(193.6) [unamplified Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	rameter in question is calle a receiver requirement. H IR(193.6) [unamplified]", w 4.9. arameter including the s i]" in order to match Table Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	ed out as "OSNF lowever, in Tabl which makes that section title to	R(193.6) [unamplified]", e 154-9, the parameter t clear but does not	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Some lin lines goil SuggestedRe Tidy up Proposed Re PROPOS See resp Cl 154 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	J G pe E es that pass thing up to (+) don emedy esponse SED ACCEPT I sonse to comme SC 154.11.4.6 J G pe E sk emedy	NVIDI Comment Status rough squiggle-breal I't have arrows. The Response Status N PRINCIPLE. ent I-35. P12 NVIDI	A D ks have arrowl arrow pointing W 22 A	heads the g to p15 is	re, others don't. Three s not quite horizontal. # 1-48

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2	.7 P88	L <b>27</b>	# 1-49	C/ 1 SC 1.4.35	b P23	L <b>8</b>	# <u> -</u> 51
Dawe, Pi	iers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
Commen	nt Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Not t	the usual font for fig	gures				: An IEEE 802.3 physical coding	• •	•
00	edRemedy nge to Arial				Clause 49.) 1.4.31 100GBASE-I	I format compatible with SONET P: An IEEE 802.3 family of Phys	sical Layer devic	es using 100GBASE-I
,	d Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			(See IEEE Std 802. 1.4.32 100GBASE-	R: An IEEE 802.3 family of Phys	sical Layer devic	es using 100GBASE-
2/1	SC 1.4.35b	P <b>23</b>	L <b>9</b>	# <u>I-50</u>	802.3, Clause 80.)	D that employs 2-level pulse am		,
Dawe, Pi	iers J G	NVIDIA				R encoding: The physical coding ration. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Cla		ling defined in Clause
Commen	nt Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D				arity with 100GBASE-P (2 bits/U		Clause 153 SC-FEC
WAN telec this F 1000 FEC	N Interface Sublaye coms style wrapper PHY uses a teleco GBASE-R encoding framing, and is sig	C-FEC sublayer does is muc er does: it takes a 64B/66B e . The SC-FEC is quite diffe ms style clock domain on the g". While it may carry a 64B, nificantly different to all in-fo	ncoded stream a rent to the "KR4' e line. It doesn't /66B stream, what	and puts it in a ' or "KP4" FEC. Also, work by "using at it actually uses is SC-	takes a 64B/66B en quite different to the domain. It doesn't v stream, what it actu "BASE-R" and shou	ich the same as what the Clause coded stream and puts it in a te "KR4" or "KP4" FEC. Also, this vork by "using 100GBASE-R en ally uses is SC-FEC framing. A ild be named appropriately so th is are not confused. Straw polls	elecoms style wra s PHY uses a te iccoding". While i ill in all, it's signif nat future project	apper. The SC-FEC is lecoms style clock it may carry a 64B/66E ficantly different to s and implementations
suggeste	edRemedy				issue.			

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "using 100GBASE-R encoding, DP-DQPSK modulation" to "using 100GBASE-R encoding, GMP mapping, SC-FEC framing, and DP-DQPSK modulation". (If the group is ashamed of using all those things, it could change how the PHY works, but that would be more disruptive.)

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The commentor has not demonstrated how changing it would improve the quality of the draft. The same comment was submitted as technical, not required in D2.0, comment 139 and the working group modified the wording to the current definition.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the name to 100GBASE-ZW

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

A similar comment was brought forward in D2.1, comment 10 which was rejected due to lack of support to make a change. As stated in the previous comment response, the -ZR nomenclature was adopted by the task force and reaffirmed without opposition.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.3	P111	L <b>45</b>	# 1-52
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

802.3 writes interoperability specifications. The definitions of transmitter, receiver and channel must each be independently complete enough so that any compliant transmitter, receiver and channel will interoperate. The transmitter and receiver have specified power ranges; the channel must have specifications that control the loss or gain for compliant transmitted signals so that the power window at TP3 is met. In G.698.2, 7.4.1 Maximum and minimum mean input power:

"This parameter (together with the maximum and minimum mean channel output power) also places a requirement on the maximum and minimum channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link.

The requirement is that while the mean channel output power at point SS is within the specified limits, the channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link for that channel must be such that the power level at point RS is within the maximum and minimum mean input power limits."

So in G.698.2, there is a channel insertion loss (or gain) requirement. Here, with the three pieces specified separately, the channel insertion loss (or gain) spec has got lost in translation, and a channel can be compliant with any amount of loss, even when obviously unusable.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add black link specifications in 154.7.3, preferably in Table 154-10, so that a black link will deliver the right power at TP3, giving effect to what G.698.2 says, "while the mean channel output power at point SS [TP2] is within the specified limits, the channel insertion loss (or gain) of the black link for that channel must be such that the power level at point RS [TP3] is within the maximum and minimum mean input power limits". Different for amplified and non-amplified cases. Add associated PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment I-42.

C/ 1	SC 1.5	P <b>23</b>		L <b>5</b>	<b>#</b> I-53
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	NVIDIA	۱		
Commen Abbre	<i>t Type</i> <b>E</b> eviation that nee	Comment Status	D		bucket
00	edRemedy entry for OSNR,	here or in 154.8			
,	l Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE.	W		

Add "OSNR - optical signal to noise ratio" after MFAS in sublcause 1.5 and in 154.8.11 modify heading to read "Transmitter in-band optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR)(193.6)"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

 C/
 154
 SC
 154.8.11
 P114
 L24
 # [1-54]

 Dawe, Piers J G
 NVIDIA

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Inadequately defined term. This says "OSNR and OSNR(193.6) are defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2. G.698.2, 7.4.2, says "optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) is the ... value of the ratio of the signal power in the wanted channel to the ... noise power density (referred to 0.1 nm) ..." Not "...to the noise power in 0.1 nm". So it's power / power\_density. The units then would be dB/nm maybe? But they aren't. And, what does G.698.2 mean by "signal power"? Is it the average power, the OMA, or something else? I see that 7.2.12, Maximum error vector magnitude, has a "signal power" derived after some mathematical manipulation from a measurement, but I believe that OSNR existed before EVM, so that's probably a different thing.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Provide an unambiguous definition of OSNR

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment # i-82.

Comment ID 1-54

Page 13 of 22 11/13/2020 11:19:26 AM

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b>	L <b>25</b>	# <u>1-</u> 55
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

### Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This draft lacks a sensitivity or stressed sensitivity spec, but has a spec for receiver OSNR tolerance(193.6), defined in 154.8.16 by reference to G.698.2, where 7.4.3 defines it as at: worst EVM\_RMS, IQ offset, optical return loss at point SS, receiver connector degradations and measurement tolerances, but excluding chromatic dispersion, non-linear effects, reflections from the optical path, PMD, PDL and optical crosstalk. This would need a great deal of interpretation to turn into an actual measurement, with too much opportunity for alternative choices and disagreement. 802.3 doesn't put measurement tolerances in parameter values like that; they are the measurer's problem not the standard's. Not specifying the receiver for tolerance to chromatic dispersion is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 2002. Not having a specific stressed sensitivity spec is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 1998. It is not clear that receiver OSNR tolerance(193.6) enforces the right receiver sensitivity for the unamplified link.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add clear, specific receiver sensitivity criteria, addressing signal strength, sinusoidal jitter, EVM\_RMS, IQ offset, chromatic dispersion, and for the amplified case, OSNR. Make the unamplified case a "major option" if it's more onerous than the amplified case. If it makes sense to specify tolerance to OSNR and some other things in one spec item, and chromatic dispersion and some others in another spec item, as G.698.2 does, do so. Because this PMD has its own clock domain, the sinusoidal jitter won't be the usual amount. Add associated PICS.

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment does not provide a specific proposal or provide evidence that the suggested change will improve the quality of the draft.

Furthermore it is very similar to previously submitted comments #15 to D2.1 and #140 to D2.0 which were both rejected.

C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2.4	P <b>85</b>	L <b>2</b>	# I-56
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
_				

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"as described in 153.2.3.2.4": we are in 153.2.3.2.4; where do you mean?

# SuggestedRemedy

Give a more specific reference

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"as described in 153.2.3.2.4"

To:

"as shown in Figure 153-3"

C/ 154	SC 154.5.4	P <b>106</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 1-57
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA		
	_			

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Requiring a receiver in an amplified link to declare signal detect OK when it's up to 14 dB below sensitivity is a bad requirement.

# SuggestedRemedy

The limit in the "Receive conditions" column should be the minimum average input power [unamplified or amplified] according to whether the link is amplified or not. Formally, we can say that we tell that to the PMD through the management interface or otherwise, or we ask the receiver to report that the signal is above each of the limits (when it is) separately, without having to know. As the higher sublayers formally don't know either, the first way seems better. If unamplified ability becomes optional, SD for unamplified would be optional with it. With this change, implementers can do just as this draft allows, or do better if they wish.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment #i-28.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P <b>111</b>	L <b>22</b>	# I-58
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

In this draft, the black link must comply with chromatic dispersion (max) and (min), but there is no corresponding spec on the receiver. Compare G.698.2:

"7.3.2 Maximum and minimum (residual) chromatic dispersion

These parameters define the maximum and minimum value of the optical path end-to-end chromatic dispersion that the system shall be able to tolerate."

This draft has lost something very important in translation. Not specifying the receiver for tolerance to chromatic dispersion is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 2002.

# SuggestedRemedy

Add a requirement for the receiver to tolerate the range of chromatic dispersion, e.g. similar to the stressed sensitivity spec in any 802.3 SMF clause.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The final sentence of the comment reads "Not specifying the receiver for tolerance to chromatic dispersion is contrary to all 802.3 SMF specs since 2002." None of recent in-force and draft receiver specifications contain a requirement for tolerance to chromatic dispersion. Instead chromatic dispersion requirements are provided in the channel requirements. Therefore it is very appropriate to include the chromatic dispersion requirements in the black link specifications.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	P106	L <b>45</b>	# I <u>-</u> 59	C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P	°110	L <b>30</b>	# <u>I-</u> 61
awe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Zhang, Bo		Inpl	ni Corporat	ion	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Statu	is <b>D</b>		
A table with only one	row isn't a table.					equency in Table 15			
	l other conditions Unspecified			in Table	154-6. There				_optical_channel_inde: 54-2 however the cell
	as a table and works the same	way.		SuggestedR	emedy				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP See resolution to com				variable		er frequency'. The o			corresponding to the le cell to 'The
V 153 SC 153.2.3	.2.4 P84	L 22	# I-60	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Statu	s W		
awe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		" 100	PROPO	SED ACCEP	IN PRINCIPLE.			
comment Type TR	Comment Status D			The freq	iency in Tabl	e 154–6 where the c	hannel ind	lev number equ	als the variable
21	d SC-FEC encoder are far too	complicated to b	e implemented with		al_channel_ir				
	d on only these sections, G.70			C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	<b></b>	°111	L16	# 1.00
uggestedRemedy					30 154.7.2	-			# 1-62
	please provide a sample SC-F			Zhang, Bo	_		ni Corporat	ion	
	is larger than one would want in ing and end of the frame, omitt			Comment Ty		Comment Statu		orrooponding to	the verieble
omitted really is obvio		ing most of the p	ayload II what is			The frequency in Tal ndex'. However, ther			
				SuggestedR	emedv	,			
roposed Response	Response Status W								
PROPOSED ACCEP An example SC-FEC	T IN PRINCIPLE. codeword will be generated ar			Suggest	change the c				corresponding to the nown in Table 154-6'.
PROPOSED ACCEP An example SC-FEC http://standards/ieee.	T IN PRINCIPLE. codeword will be generated ar org/downloads/802.3/, with the			Suggest	change the c Channel cent		plify to 'The		
An example SC-FEC	T IN PRINCIPLE. codeword will be generated ar org/downloads/802.3/, with the			Suggest variable Proposed Re	change the c Channel cent esponse	er frequency' or sim	plify to 'The		

		P110	L33	# 1-63	C/ 154	SC 154.7	.1	P110	L <b>43</b>	# 1-65
Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corpora			Zhang, Bo			Inphi Corpora	ation	<u> </u>
Comment Ty Paramet cell. SuggestedRe Suggest	ter side-mode si Remedy t remove the cor arameters in the	Comment Status <b>D</b> uppression ratio (SMSR) has nma after (SMSR) and befo	s an extra comm		Comment Transn defined mentio single relevar Suggested	nitter in-band d frequencie ning the refe wavelength nt frequencie <i>Remedy</i>	I OSNR is a T s. I see in the rence frequer or this parame s.	ent Status <b>D</b> x parameter that n 154.8 definition se locy of 193.6 THz. H eter. Instead, this p	needs to be guara action 154.8.11 su However, it canno parameter should	ubsection a note ot be only specified at a l be specified for all
/ <b>154</b> hang, Bo	SED ACCEPT.	P <b>111</b> Inphi Corpora	L <b>23</b> tion	# [ <u>1-64</u>	in sect not add Proposed I PROP	ion 154.8.11 d value. R <i>esponse</i> OSED ACCI	by removing Respor	(193.6) in several p ose S <i>tatus</i> <b>W</b>		orresponding changes the 'NOTE' as it does
more pa SuggestedR Suggest Proposed Re	ter Receiver OS arameters in the <i>Remedy</i> t add the unit 'Th esponse	Hz' after 193.6 in three parar Response Status W			The pa point o Conce 802.3 only ap	f the require rns have bee standard. Ac oplicable at t	the parameter ment and not en raised that ding THz on the ne 193.6 THz	that it is only applie 193.6 could refer to ne other hand coul channel (even it's	cable at 193.6 TH o a future, not ye ld even enforce tl not even a used	t existing, clause of the he impression that it's channel).
	SED ACCEPT I olution to comm				OSNR instead With e	related para d of "dB (0.1 ditorial licens	meters. Thus nm)".	the unit in the rele elated other subcla	vant cells would	and remove 193.6 fron be "dB (12.5 GHz)"
					C/ FM	SC FM		P <b>3</b>	L8	# 1-66

D'Ambrosia, John		Futurewei Technologies,	U.S. Sub
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D	bucket

The term "black link" describes the methodology to describe the DWDM channel. Given its importance in this specificatinon, it should be added to the list of keywords

SuggestedRemedy

Add "black link" to list of keywords

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 153	SC 153.1.2	P <b>81</b>	L <b>34</b>	# <u>I-</u> 67	C/ 154
D'Ambros	ia, John	Futurewei&nb	sp;Technologies	s, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosi
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment
	s clause is specifi am in 153-1.	c to 100GBASE-ZR PHYs, th	nis should be no	ted at the bottom of the	Title o
Suggeste					Suggestee
00	2	elow the box labeled "mediu	n" in Fia 153-1.		Add "I
	Response	Response Status W			Proposed
•	POSED ACCEPT.				PROP See re
C/ 154	SC 154.8.12	P <b>114</b>	L <b>30</b>	# <b>I-68</b>	C/ 154
D'Ambros	ia, John	Futurewei&nb	sp;Technologies	s, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosi
Comment		Comment Status D			Comment
Title o	of subclause does	not match the name of the p	arameter in Tab	ble 154-9	Title o
Suggeste Add "	<i>dRemedy</i> receive" to subtitle	e after "average"			Suggested Add "F
PROF	Response POSED ACCEPT ment remedy with	Response Status <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. editorial license and make c	onsistent with re	esolution to comment #	Proposed PROP Impler i-42.
C/ 154	SC 154.8.13	P114	L <b>37</b>	# 1-69	C/ 154
D'Ambros	ia, John	Futurewei&nb	sp;Technologies	s, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosi
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment
Suggeste		not match the name of the p after "average"	arameter in Tab	ole 154-9	It is st specifi with a addres
PROF Imple	Response POSED ACCEPT ment remedy with	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. editorial license and make c	onsistent with re	esolution to comment #	projec https:/ reach noting
i-42.					Suggested Devel amplif
					Proposed

C/ 154	SC 1	54.8.14	P	114	L <b>46</b>	# <u>1-</u> 70
D'Ambrosia	a, John		Futu	urewei&nł	osp;Technologies	, U.S.
Comment T Title of		<b>ER</b> se does ne	<i>Comment Statu</i> ot match the nam		parameter in Tab	le 154-9
Suggested Add "R	-	before "O	SNR"			
	OSED A		<i>Response Statu</i> I PRINCIPLE. nt # i-42.	s W		
C/ 154	SC 1	54.8.15	P	115	L115	# I-71
D'Ambrosia	a, John		Futu	urewei&nl	osp;Technologies	, U.S.
Comment	Type	ER	Comment Statu	is <b>D</b>		
		se does n	ot match the nan	ne of the	parameter in Tab	le 154-9
Proposed I PROP Implen	Respons	CCEPT IN	Response Status		consistent with re	esolution to commen
Proposed I PROPO Implen i-42.	Respons OSED A nent rem	e CCEPT IN edy with e	Response Status I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license al	nd make		
Proposed I PROP Implen i-42.	Respons OSED A nent rem SC 1	e CCEPT IN edy with e	Response Status I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license ar	nd make	L <b>9</b>	# <mark>I-72</mark>
Proposed I PROPO Implen i-42.	Respons OSED A nent rem SC 19 a, John	e CCEPT IN edy with e	Response Status I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license ar	nd make 2 <b>101</b> urewei&nt	L <b>9</b>	
Proposed I PROPO Implen i-42. Cl 154 D'Ambrosia Comment T It is sta specific with an addres project https:// reach r	Respons OSED An nent rem SC 11 a, John Type ated that es the pa nplification s the rea 's CSD r 's CSD r 's CSD r 's CSD r	e CCEPT IN edy with e 54.1 TR the DWDM arameters on. While ach require esponse fi e802.org/3 iting data f	Response Statu I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license an <i>P</i> Futu <i>Comment Statu</i> M channel is spe- in Table 154-10. this meets the ol or Broad Market 3/B10K/public/18 for <30km, <40kr	nd make <b>101</b> urewei&nl urewei&nl offied usir This tab bjective o ble/MSO potential. _05/schm n, <60km	L9 osp;Technologies ng black link mett le, however targe f the project, it do distribution netwo Data submitted nitt_b10k_01a_05 , <80km, and <12	# [ <u>1-72</u> s, U.S. nodology, which ets a DWDM channe bes not adequaltely orks noted in the in 18.pdf highlights the 20km), as well as
Proposed I PROPO Implen i-42. Cl 154 D'Ambrosia Comment T It is sta specific with an addres project https:// reach r	Respons OSED Athent rem SC 19 a, John Type ated that es the pa nplification s the reative 's CSD r www.iee needs (ci that in the	e CCEPT IN edy with e 54.1 TR the DWDN arameters on. While ach require esponse for e802.org/3 iting data f he survey t	Response Statu I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license an <i>P</i> Futu <i>Comment Statu</i> M channel is spe- in Table 154-10. this meets the ol or Broad Market 3/B10K/public/18 for <30km, <40kr	nd make <b>101</b> urewei&nl urewei&nl offied usir This tab bjective o ble/MSO potential. _05/schm n, <60km	L9 osp;Technologies ng black link mett le, however targe f the project, it do distribution netwo Data submitted nitt_b10k_01a_05 , <80km, and <12	# <u>1-72</u> s, U.S. nodology, which its a DWDM channe bes not adequaltely orks noted in the in 518.pdf highlights the
Proposed I PROPU Implem i-42. C/ 154 D'Ambrosia Comment T It is sta specific with an addres project https:// reach r noting Suggested	Respons OSED Athent rem SC 19 a, John Type atted that es the pa nplification s the reation 's CSD r www.iee needs (ci that in the Remedy p black I	e CCEPT IN edy with e 54.1 TR the DWDM arameters on. While ach require esponse for e802.org/3 iting data for the survey t	Response Status I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license an <i>P</i> Futu <i>Comment Statu</i> M channel is sper in Table 154-10. this meets the ol ements of the Ca or Broad Market 3/B10K/public/18 for <30km, <40kr hat a significant	nd make of <b>101</b> urewei&nt offied usin This tab bjective o ble/MSO potential. _05/schm n, <60km amount o	L9 osp;Technologies ng black link meth le, however targe f the project, it do distribution netwo Data submitted nitt_b10k_01a_05 , <80km, and <12 f optical channels	# [ <u>1-72</u> s, U.S. nodology, which ets a DWDM channe bes not adequaltely orks noted in the in 18.pdf highlights the 20km), as well as
Proposed I PROPO Implem i-42. C/ 154 D'Ambrosia Comment It is sta specifik with an addres project https:// reach r noting Suggested Develo	Respons OSED A hent rem SC 1! a, John Type ated that es the pa nplification s the rea 's CSD r www.iee heeds (ci that in th <i>Remedy</i> p black I ers.	e CCEPT IN edy with e 54.1 TR the DWDN arameters on. While ach require esponse fr e802.org/3 iting data f he survey t	Response Status I PRINCIPLE. ditorial license an <i>P</i> Futu <i>Comment Statu</i> M channel is sper in Table 154-10. this meets the ol ements of the Ca or Broad Market 3/B10K/public/18 for <30km, <40kr hat a significant	nd make <b>101</b> urewei&nt urewei&nt offied usir This tab bjective o ble/MSO potential. _05/schm n, <60km amount o ld addres	L9 osp;Technologies ng black link meth le, however targe f the project, it do distribution netwo Data submitted nitt_b10k_01a_05 , <80km, and <12 f optical channels	# [-72 s, U.S. hodology, which its a DWDM channe bes not adequaltely orks noted in the in 518.pdf highlights the 20km), as well as s were not amplified

V 154 SC 154.6	P107	L <b>46</b>	# <u>1-73</u>	C/ 154	SC 15	54.6		P107	L <b>42</b>	# <u>1-</u> 75
'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nbs	p;Technologies,	p;U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosia	a, John			Futurewei&n	osp;Technologies	, U.S. Sub
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Гуре	TR	Commen	t Status D		
provided on how the	ed - The black link is intentionally link is constructed, ed so that the end-to-end parame			provide	ed on how	w the lin	k is construc	cted,	lly "black", implyi meter requiremer	ng that no details are its are met.
This is contradicted parameters.	in the draft by reference to "ampli	fied" and "unamplified	d" channels /	It is no Suggested			DM channel ı	may contain on	e or more optical	amplifiers.
SuggestedRemedy				•••			at the DWD	M channel may	contain one or m	ore optical amplifiers.
	black model, based on Black Lir			Proposed I		-		Status W		
to Page 10 of https:	/www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/19_ ubmitted with proposed values. N	07/stassar_3ct_02_0	719.pdf.	•	OSED RI		Response			
scenarios are implie	d by the noted OSNR specification neters to amplified and unamplified	ons. Generic text to c	describe	The en optical	nphasis i amplifiei	s on "m rs inside	the black lir	nk, which is cruc		bility that there may be to understand and
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 154	SC 15	54.7		P <b>48</b>	L <b>48</b>	# I-76
PROPOSED ACCE See resolution to co				D'Ambrosia	a. John			Futurewei&n	osp:Technologies	, U.S. Sub
	11111ent #1-42.			Comment	,	E	Commen	t Status D	1, 3	,
X 154 SC 154.1	P101	L <b>46</b>	# I-74		ng is not					
'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nbs	p;Technologies,	p;U.S. Sub						ent while meeting	all other optical
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D						ered complia		n would meet the	operating range
The following is stat provided on how the	ed - The black link is intentionally link is constructed,	"black", implying that	no details are		ment of					
configured or operat	ed so that the end-to-end parame	eter requirements are	met.	This is	obvious	and add	ds no value			
It is noted that the D	WDM channel may contain one c	or more optical amplifi	ers.	Suggested	Remedy					
SuggestedRemedy	, ,			Delete	noted te	xt				
<u>,</u>	g that the DWDM channel may co	ontain one or more op	tical amplifiers.	Proposed I	Response OSED RI		Response	Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W						consistent w	ith the wording	in other in-force o	otical clauses.
PROPOSED REJE	CT. is appropriate because the emph ical amplifiers inside the black linl	k, which is of crucial in				5		J		

C/ 154	SC 154.7	P109	L <b>52</b>	# <u>1-77</u>	C/ 154	SC 154.8.12	P <b>114</b>	L <b>31</b>	# <u>1-</u> 80
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei&nbs	;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei&r	nbsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
operation up to at	ted - 154-7 and Table on on unamplific t least 80 km of	Comment Status <b>D</b> 154-8 contain several parame ed links, which are not necessa single-mode fiber.			receive to the <i>Suggestea</i>	12 and 154.8.13 input power, b minimum OSNF	Comment Status <b>D</b> both identify ampflied and u ut the references to these st that is being targeted		
support 2. This	neet broad mark ted. specification is	et potential of project - unampl for a single PHY, yet this state certain parameters in different	ment appears		The av averag require black l	rerage receive in le input power [a ment must be r ink.	nput power shall be within th amplified] defines the input p net at the minimum OSNR o	ower range over	which the BER
SuggestedF Delte n Proposed F	oted text	Response Status W					Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ment # i-42		
	OSED ACCEPT	•			C/ 154	SC 154.8.14	P <b>114</b>	L <b>47</b>	# I-81
C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P <b>110</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 1-78	D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei&r	nbsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
Suggestedl	Type <b>TR</b> lanation of the u Remedy should add refer	Comment Status D	-	⊧s, U.S. Sub	receive to the <i>Suggestea</i> Rewor The av	e input power, b average receive <i>Remedy</i> d 154.8.12 rerage receiver (	both identify amplfied and i ut the references to these st input power that is being ta OSNR (193.6 THz) shall be being targeted by the black l	tates should be d rgeted within the limits g	eleted and instead point
		IN PRINCIPLE. editorial license.			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P111	L <b>32</b>	# I-79	See re	solution to com	ment # i-42		
D'Ambrosia	ı, John	Futurewei&nbs	;Technologie	es, U.S. Sub					
	appears to impl	Comment Status <b>D</b> ly that a Rx may not need to su and appears to create a potent							
S <i>uggestedI</i> Delete	-								
		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. nent I-42.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1	P110	L <b>42</b>	# 1-82	C/ 154	SC 154.6	P109	L <b>41</b>	# <u>1-</u> 84
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei&nb	sp;Technologies	s, U.S. Sub	D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei&	nbsp;Technologie	s, U.S. Sub
Comment Type <b>TR</b> OSNR not defined in 802.	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 3ct D3.0 or 802.3-2018				ote states -	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy add definition for OSNR						DWDM optical signals with black link is not covered l		ther than the 100GBASE-
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.					s the "black link" is just a m em is similar or not.	ethodology, and v	what is contained within
band OSNR(193.6). Make	OSNR and OSNR(193.6) is t more generic to apply to			,	is not clear wh ng targeting the	ether this standard covers t two OSNRs.	he coexistence of	100GBASE-ZR PMD
editorial license. See also resolution to con	nment #i-42 and I-53 which	h adds OSNR to	1.5 and spells out	Suggested	Remedy			
abbreviation in its first use			n 154.8.11.	Coexis		DWDM links supporting 100 al signaling charateristics is		
/Ambrosia, John			# <mark>I-83</mark> s, U.S. Sub	Proposed I	<b>o</b> .	Response Status W	not covered by th	lis stanuaru.
Comment Type ER The use of "(193.6)" as pa future when a future Claus SuggestedRemedy				lt's ess than th with er	e 100GBASE-Z	hat "Coexistence of DWDM R PMD over the same blac "over the same black link" gested remedy reduces the	k link is not cover	ed by this standard.",
Modify (193.6) to be (193.	6 THz) in parameter name	es		C/ 154	SC 154.8.9	P <b>114</b>	L13	# 1-85
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Ghiasi, Ali	00 104.0.0		ntum LLC,Inphi C	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See resolution to commer				Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		orporation
	it # 1-03.			Error v sampe	ector magnitude	e references ITU 698.2, whe ith real time scope. A short		
				Suggested	Remedy			
				2 MHz		that receiver receiver will h ad Baudrate of 27.9525 GBo		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					OSED REJECT	ear. especially the stateme	nt "A shorter capt	ure will proivde more

The comment is not clear, especially the statement "A shorter capture will proivde more

optimistic results than longer.". The remedy is in the form of a statement instead of a proposal including a speculative suggestion without any evidence that it would improve the quality of the draft.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P111	L <b>4</b>	# I <u>-</u> 86	C/ 45	SC 4	45.2.1.13	3a.1	P <b>29</b>	L30	# <mark>I-</mark> 88
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quante	um LLC,Inphi Co	rporation	Ran, Adee	;			Intel Corpora	ition	
Comment 1	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	Е	Commen	t Status D		
		iver stress test such the targ	et BER must be	met is not defined.					the meaning of more appropria		r. Descriptions of other
Suggested					Suggested						
- EVM - at mi		w section defining stress tre	st conitions such	as:	Change "indicates the optical frequencies that are supported" to "indicates the corresponding optical frequencies".						licates the
- a sin	osidal jitter masł	with 2 MHz corner frequences J can be added to the test in		0.05UI@ 2 MHz with-	•	ge "supp number"		each chann	el index number	" to "correspondi	ng to each channel
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Respon	se	Response	e Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤		
	OSED REJECT.				PROF	OSED A	ACCEPT.				
change	e will improve the	provide a specific proposal quality of the draft.	00	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 4	45.2.1.13	3e	P <b>33</b>	L19	# 1-89	
Further apply.	rmore the propos	sed remedy is not clear for w	hich requiremen	/parameter this would	Ran, Adee	•			Intel Corpora	ition	
	so resolution con	nment # i-55.			Comment	Туре	Е	Commen	t Status D		
2/1	SC 1.4.160a	P <b>23</b>	L15	# 1-87	"Tx R	differer	nt optical	channel abil	ity"		
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporat	tion								tarts with "Tx". The
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status D				0		be maintain	ed with swappin	ig Tx and Rx.	
		fined terms that make this de		less out of its context.	Suggestee	-	•				
A meth	odology should	not be bound by such specif	ic names.			•		Tx", in Table	e 45.102o and ir	n 45.2.1.133e.1	
		its are defined for measurem y link. The transmission is b		the end of patch cords,	Proposed PROF		se REJECT.	Response	e Status W		
Suggestedi Change	•	and TP3" to "between two P	HYs".				always fro ( register.		mitter to the rec	ceiver so TX to R	K is an accurate name
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W									
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.									
and out	tput of the black	y used and understood test p link in clause 154. Modify e Figure 154-2)" which shows	xisting definition	by adding "(See IEEE							

Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.1	33e.2	P <b>33</b>	L <b>39</b>	# <u>1-</u> 90	
Ran, Adee			Intel Corpora	tion		
Comment T	уре Е	Comme	ent Status D			
			or the meaning of is more appropria		. Descriptions of othe	er
Suggested	Remedy					
corresp Change	onding optical	l frequencies	".	supported" to "ind " to "correspondir	icates the ng to each channel	
Proposed R PROPC	Response DSED ACCEP	,	se Status W			
C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.1	86ao	P <b>48</b>	L <b>12</b>	# I-91	
Ran, Adee			Intel Corpora	tion		
Comment T	уре <b>Т</b>	Comme	ent Status D		bu	cket
			s" as does the va codewords" inst	riable name in 15 ead.	3.2.5.4, but the	
SuggestedF	Remedy					
Change	e "uncorrected	codewords"	to "corrected bits	" (4 times).		
Proposed E						
,	Response DSED ACCEP	,	se Status W PLE.			