C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 22	L 40	# R1-1	C/ 1	SC 1.4.160a	P 22	L14	# R1-5
Stassar,	Peter	Huawei Techi	nologies Co., Ltd		Trowbrid	ge, Stephen	Nokia		
can	cerns have been ra	Comment Status D ised about the actual need for heric and not specific. One por d need a rewrite				51	Comment Status D ethod of link specification, r	not the link itself.	
Dele	edRemedy te the definition for d Response DPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			spec trans the tr Figur	fication of the input mission path betwe ansmission path is e 154–3)".	or "black link". In its place, in t, output, and transfer charac en TP2 to TP3 for a given E implemented. (See, for exa "black link" used as a noun	cteristics of the u WDM channel, mple, IEEE Std	uni-directional without specifying hov 802.3, Clause 154,
For t	task force discussio	on.				re that all instances	s of "black link" as an adject		
ommer	SC 1.4.35b Thomas Int Type E e this is the first us	P 22 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D e of DP-DQPSK it should be	L 9 expanded	# R1-16	Proposed PRO	nethodology" (rathe <i>I Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ask force discussio) throughout the	oran.
Expa DQF ropose		dual polarization differential o Response Status W	quadrature phase	e shift keying (DP-	not a <i>Suggeste</i> Char	<i>t Type</i> T 3.0 comment 87 sa t the PMD <i>edRemedy</i> ige "between TP2 to	P22 NVIDIA Comment Status D id, the path between PMDs o TP3" to something else e. ween transmitter and receive	g. "between PMI	
					Proposed	l Response POSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W		

For task force discussion.

C/ **1** SC **1.4.160a**

C/ 1 SC 1.4.160a	P 26	L 14	# R1-50	C/ 1	SC 1.4.181a	P 26	L 21	# R1-61	
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei Te	chnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei	D'Ambros	sia, John	Futurev	vei Technologies, U.S	6. Subsidiary of Huawei	
Comment Type TR Comment Type The term Black Link is used to methodology to describe the intransmission path between TP2 specifying how the transmission It is felt that this will cause future SuggestedRemedy Modify term Black Link to Black methodology, and change definition characteristics of the uni-direction DWDM channel within a DWDM implemented. (See, for example	ment Status D represent the aggreg put, output, and trans 2 to TP3 for a given I n path is implemented re readers confusion (Link Methodology to nition to - the specifi- ional transmission part M Link, without speci e, IEEE Std 802.3, Co onse Status W	gate of DWDM C sfer characteristi DWDM channel a d. o focus on the sp cation of the inpu ath between TP2 fying how the tra	hannels, as well as the cs of the uni-directional are specified, without becification at, output, and transfer to TP3 for a given nsmission path is	Comment Type GR Comment Status D This is a pile-on to comment I-3 Commenter agrees with CRG that comment I-3 should be rejected, but does not fully a with the provided reasoning for rejecting the comment as being too limited. I-3 commenter argues that the term "channel spacing" is adequate for use in this stand and redefining the term to be WDM specific is a bad idea. Commenter also points at the IEE-SA Standards Definition. The current and only definition of "channel spacing" provided in the IEEE Standards Dictionary Online is - "The difference between the center frequencies of two nonoverlap and adjacent channels of the radio transmitter." It is clear that the current definition is applicable, as it is specific to a radio transmitter. As noted in A.2 Item C of the 2020 IEEE SA Standards Style Manual - New definitions serve to add a new definition to an existing term(s) of the same name should be different enough from the other term(s) so as to justify the addition. Having more that two or three acceptable definitions for any term is discouraged. Therefore, as noted, in the comment, this definition is an industry accepted term and the definition is referenced back to ITU-T G.694.1 SuggestedRemedy Make no change to document.					
				C/ 1 Trowbridg Comment Since behar Suggeste Add a and tu for a Proposed PROI	"DWDM channel vior of a DWDM c dRemedy a sentence to the cansfer characteris	P22 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> C " will replace most inst- hannel is specified usin end of the definition of stics of the uni-direction re specified using the b <i>Response Status</i> V IN PRINCIPLE.	ances of "black link" a ng the black link meth "DWDM channel" to n nal transmission path plack link methodolog	read "The input, output, between TP2 and TP3	

C/ 1 SC **1.4.237a**

C/ 1	SC 1.4.237a	P 26	L 31	# R1-47	C/ 1	SC 1.4.237b	P 26	L34	# R1-48
D'Ambros				. Subsidiary of Huawei	-	osia. John			Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment	Type E	<i>Comment Status</i> D annel can be improved.			<i>Commer</i> The	, -	omment Status D	U	,
The tr	<i>dRemedy</i> ransmission path b <i>r</i> ing (TP3).	etween a DWDM PHY trans	mitting (TP2) to	another DWDM PHY	Suggest Cha	edRemedy nge definition of DWDM			
	Response POSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			optic	DM Link – an aggregate a call fiber per direction		-	-
For ta <i>C</i> / 1	ask force discussio SC 1.4.237b	n. P 22	L 34	# R1-7	for a link	effectively changes the Il channels, from Black li used to describe everyth nodology) should be repla	nk to DWDM link - the ing between TP2 and	erefore all instance TP3 (and not the	es of the term Black
Trowbridg	ge, Stephen	Nokia			Propose	d Response Res	sponse Status W		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D				POSED ACCEPT IN PF	•		
	DM link" is an unne nel" where it is use	cessary term, which usually d in the draft.	has identical m	eaning to "DWDM	For	task force discussion.			
Suggeste	dRemedy				C/ 1	SC 1.4.237c	P 22	L 37	# R1-8
Remo comm		or DWDM link. Related chan	ges to the draft	are covered in other		lge, Stephen	Nokia		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Commer	·)]· ·	omment Status D	с	
PROF	POSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				DM PHY is an unnecessa PHY (TP2 and TP3) are a			
For task force discussion.					the PHY (TP2 and TP3) are single-channel reference points with no DWDM present. fact that the transmission paths (DWDM channels) used by multiple PHYs may be combined using DWDM in the middle of the link doesn't need to be reflected in how t PHY is named.				
					Suggest	edRemedy			
						nove the definition DWDN ughout the draft.	/I PHY. Replace "DWI	OM PHY" with "10	0GBASE-ZR PHY"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For task force discussion.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.237c

C/ 1 SC 1.4.237d P22 L40 # R1-9	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P29 L8 # R1-11
Frowbridge, Stephen Nokia	Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia
Comment Type ER Comment Status D	Comment Type ER Comment Status D
"DWDM System" is an unnecessary term.	Remove deleted definition "DWDM system" from explanation of 100GBASE-ZR aMAUType
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Delete the definition for "DWDM System". Related changes covered in other comments.	Change "100GBASE-R PCS/100GBASE-ZR PMA over a DWDM system PMD with reach
Proposed Response Response Status W	up to at least 80 km as specified in Clause 154" to "100GBASE-R PCS/100GBASE-ZR PMA over a PMD with reach up to 80km as specified in Clause 154"
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
For task force discussion.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
For task force discussion.	
C/ 1 SC 1.4.237d P26 L40 # R1-49	For task force discussion.
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L13 # R1-17
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Huber, Thomas Nokia
The proposed modification of DWDM Link will impact the definition of DWDM System.	Comment Type E Comment Status D buck
SuggestedRemedy	Missing an 's' in supports
SuggestedRemedy Delete definition if DWDM Link is modfied.	
	SuggestedRemedy
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified.	
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion.	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI"
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. C/ 1 SC 1.4.400a P2 L45	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. C/ 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Frowbridge, Stephen Nokia	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. Cl 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. H SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 rowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. C/ 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. C/ 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment I of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does not support RS-FEC at the MDI.
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modified. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. C/ 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI to: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # <u>R1-18</u> Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. Cl 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Frowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss SuggestedRemedy Change the definition of polarization dependent loss to "The variation of insertion loss due	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does not support RS-FEC at the MDI. SuggestedRemedy
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. Cl 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 Image: Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss SuggestedRemedy Change the definition of polarization dependent loss to "The variation of insertion loss due to variation of the state of polarization of an optical signal over all states of polarization within the channel frequency or wavelength range."	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does not support RS-FEC at the MDI. SuggestedRemedy Add text to clarify - either indicate the value that the attribute takes for PHYs that don't
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. Cl 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss SuggestedRemedy Change the definition of polarization dependent loss to "The variation of insertion loss due to variation of the state of polarization of an optical signal over all states of polarization within the channel frequency or wavelength range."	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does not support RS-FEC at the MDI. SuggestedRemedy Add text to clarify - either indicate the value that the attribute takes for PHYs that don't support RS-FEC at the MDI, or indicate that the attribute doesn't apply to such PHYs.
Delete definition if definition of DWDM Link is modfied. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion. Cl 1 SC 1.4.400a P22 L45 # R1-10 Trowbridge, Stephen Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status D The definition of DWDM link is removed by another comment, but isn't really necessary for the definition of polarization dependent loss SuggestedRemedy Change the definition of polarization dependent loss to "The variation of insertion loss due to variation of the state of polarization of an optical signal over all states of polarization within the channel frequency or wavelength range." Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that support RS-FEC at the MDI" Change from: "A read-only value that indicates if a PHY that supports RS-FEC at the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28 P28 L14 # R1-18 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status D There should be a clear specification of what value the attribute takes for a PHY that does not support RS-FEC at the MDI. SuggestedRemedy Add text to clarify - either indicate the value that the attribute takes for PHYs that don't support RS-FEC at the MDI, or indicate that the attribute doesn't apply to such PHYs. Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.28

30 3	SC 30.5.1.1.29	P 28	L 30	# R1-19	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.3	0	P 28	L 48	# R1-22
luber, Thoma	as	Nokia			Huber, The	omas		Nokia		
Comment Typ	be E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Type TR	Comment	t Status D		
Missing a	n 's' in supports	3						n of what value	the attribute take	s for a PHY that does
uggestedRei	medy					pport RS-FEC at	the MDI.			
Change fr	rom: "A read-or	nly value that indicates if a F	PHY that support	RS-FEC at the MDI"	Suggestea					
	-	at indicates if a PHY that su	pports RS-FEC a	at the MDI…"						or PHYs that don't bly to such PHYs.
roposed Res	,	Response Status W			Proposed			Status W		.,
PROPOS	ED ACCEPT.				,	OSED ACCEPT	•			
30 3	SC 30.5.1.1.29	P 28	L 31	# R1-20						
uber, Thoma	as	Nokia				end of BEHAVIO pport RS-FEC at				e "For a PHY that doe
omment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status D							••	
		specification of what value	the attribute takes	s for a PHY that does	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.1.1	33a.1	P33	L 30	# R1-23
	ort RS-FEC at th	ne MDI.			Huber, The		_	Nokia		
lggestedRei					Comment	51		t Status D		
Add text to	o clarify - either	r indicate the value that the	attribute takes fo	r PHYs that don't	A char	nnel index corres	ponds to a si	ngle (center) fre	equency	
sunnort R	S_FEC at the N	/II)I or indicate that the attr	ihiite doesn't ann	ly to such PHVs						
		IDI, or indicate that the attri	ibute doesn't app	ly to such PHYs.	Suggestea	-				
roposed Res	sponse	Response Status W	ibute doesn't app	ly to such PHYs.	Chang	e from: "The cha				
roposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			Chang to: "Th	ge from: "The cha ne channel index i	number indic	ates the corres		
roposed Res PROPOS At the end	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOU	Response Status W	dd new sentence		Chang to: "Th Proposed I	ge from: "The cha ne channel index i	number indic <i>Response</i>			
oposed Res PROPOS At the enc not suppo	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOU	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at he MDI, this attribute is not	dd new sentence		Chang to: "Th Proposed I	ge from: "The cha ne channel index i <i>Response</i>	number indic Response	ates the corres		
PROPOS At the enc not suppo	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at he MDI, this attribute is not	dd new sentence applicable."	"For a PHY that does	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP	e from: "The cha he channel index in <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1	number indic Response	ates the corres	ponding optical fr	equency."
PROPOS At the end not suppo 30 Suber, Thoma	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section a ne MDI, this attribute is not P28	dd new sentence applicable."	"For a PHY that does	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP CI 45	e from: "The cha he channel index i <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas	number indic <i>Response</i> 33e.2	Status W	ponding optical fr	equency."
At the end not suppo	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section a ne MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D	dd new sentence applicable."	"For a PHY that does # R1-21	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Huber, The Comment	e from: "The cha he channel index i <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment	ates the corres Status W P37 Nokia t Status D	ponding optical fr	equency."
At the enc not suppo	sponse ED ACCEPT IN of BEHAVIOU ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as oe E n 's' in supports	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section a ne MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D	dd new sentence applicable."	"For a PHY that does # R1-21	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Huber, The Comment	e from: "The cha he channel index in <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 45.2.1.1.1 omas <i>Type</i> E nnel index corresp	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment	ates the corres Status W P37 Nokia t Status D	ponding optical fr	equency."
roposed Res PROPOS At the end not suppo 7 30 (30 (uber, Thoma omment Typ Missing al uggestedRel Change fr	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as de E in 's' in supports ormedy rom: "A read-or	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section a ne MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggested Chang	e from: "The cha he channel index i <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas <i>Type</i> E nnel index corresp <i>Remedy</i>	number indic <i>Response</i> 33e.2 <i>Comment</i> ponds to a si nnel index nu	P 37 Nokia <i>t Status</i> D ngle (center) fro	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>
roposed Res PROPOS At the end not suppo 7 30 Uber, Thoma omment Typ Missing at uggestedRet Change fr to: "A read	sponse ED ACCEPT IN of BEHAVIOU of RS-FEC at the SC 30.5.1.1.30 as oe E n 's' in supports omedy rom: "A read-or d-only value tha	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section a the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D S	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Chang to: "Th Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggested Chang	e from: "The cha he channel index in <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas <i>Type</i> E nnel index corresp <i>iRemedy</i> ge from: "The cha he channel index in	number indic <i>Response</i> 33e.2 <i>Comment</i> ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic	P 37 Nokia <i>t Status</i> D ngle (center) fro	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>
At the end not support 30 Weber, Thoma omment Typ Missing an uggested Rea Change fr to: "A read opposed Res	sponse ED ACCEPT IN of BEHAVIOU of RS-FEC at the SC 30.5.1.1.30 as oe E n 's' in supports omedy rom: "A read-or d-only value tha	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D s	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Cl 45 Huber, The Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggestee Chang to: "Th	e from: "The cha he channel index in <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas <i>Type</i> E nnel index corresp <i>iRemedy</i> ge from: "The cha he channel index in	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic Response	P37 Nokia t Status D ngle (center) fro umber indicates tates the corres	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>
roposed Res PROPOS At the enc not suppo 7 30 30 Muber, Thoma omment Typ Missing at uggestedRea Change fr to: "A read roposed Res	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as be E in 's' in supports medy rom: "A read-or d-only value that sponse	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D s	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Cl 45 Huber, The Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggestee Chang to: "Th	e from: "The cha he channel index in Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas Type E nnel index corresp iRemedy je from: "The cha he channel index in Response	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic Response	P37 Nokia t Status D ngle (center) fro umber indicates tates the corres	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# R1-24
Proposed Res PROPOS At the enc not suppo 30 Suber, Thoma Comment Typ Missing an Suggested Rea Change fr to: "A read	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as be E in 's' in supports medy rom: "A read-or d-only value that sponse	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D s	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Cl 45 Huber, The Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggestee Chang to: "Th	e from: "The cha he channel index in Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas Type E nnel index corresp iRemedy je from: "The cha he channel index in Response	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic Response	P37 Nokia t Status D ngle (center) fro umber indicates tates the corres	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>
roposed Res PROPOS At the enc not suppo 30 (30 (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as be E in 's' in supports medy rom: "A read-or d-only value that sponse	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D s	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Cl 45 Huber, The Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggestee Chang to: "Th	e from: "The cha he channel index in Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas Type E nnel index corresp iRemedy je from: "The cha he channel index in Response	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic Response	P37 Nokia t Status D ngle (center) fro umber indicates tates the corres	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>
Proposed Res PROPOS At the enc not suppo 30 Suber, Thoma Comment Typ Missing an Suggested Rea Change fr to: "A read	sponse ED ACCEPT IN d of BEHAVIOL ort RS-FEC at th SC 30.5.1.1.30 as be E in 's' in supports medy rom: "A read-or d-only value that sponse	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. JR DEFINED AS: section at the MDI, this attribute is not P28 Nokia Comment Status D s	dd new sentence applicable." <i>L</i> 47 PHY that support	"For a PHY that does # <u>R1-21</u> <i>bucket</i> RS-FEC at the MDI"	Cl 45 Huber, The Cl 45 Huber, The Comment A char Suggestee Chang to: "Th	e from: "The cha he channel index in Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.1.1 omas Type E nnel index corresp iRemedy je from: "The cha he channel index in Response	number indic Response 33e.2 Comment ponds to a si nnel index nu number indic Response	P37 Nokia t Status D ngle (center) fro umber indicates tates the corres	ponding optical fr <i>L</i> 39 equency s the correspondin	# <u>R1-24</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1.133e.2

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.186aa	P 41	L 22	# R1-78	C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2	.4	P 88	L 30	# R1-85
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pier	rs J G		NVIDIA		
	EC decoder does not indica				Comment Type E Comment Status D Some text in Fig 153-3 is too small.					
there are	e no errors to be indicated	I - until I saw that	this was a RO bit	in a control register.	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedR	Remedy				00		(MFAS and co	lumn numbers	s) the same size a	as the other text (this
	e this clearer, please chan					ke row 0 deeper			-,	
	C decoder does not indica C decoder indicates errors				Proposed I	Response	Response S	tatus W		
to		•			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE			
	C decoder does not indicat		;							
	C decoder indicates any F	EC errors				all rows in the fig sed to at least 7p			the point size for	MFAS can be
Proposed R	,						ne size of FAS (6	octets) and MFAS		
PROPO	DSED REJECT.								on or misinterpre	
	ors that occurred coming ad ally do you want the FEC (SC 80.2.2					<i>Type</i> E a file containing		C-FEC codew	ord is published a	at in complete, it needs
Comment Ty		ent Status D								sistency with the draf
,	82 PCSs transfer the er	ncoded data to the	e PMA.				a file would nee	a to be re-iss	ued and reviewed	l again.
SuggestedR	Romody				Suggested					
00	82 PCSs transfer the er	ncoded data to the	e PMA or FEC			a draft file for re same time at the		ie P802.3ct w	eb area, before tr	ne penultimate draft o
Proposed R	esponse Respons	se Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response S	tatus W		
PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE			
					See re	sponse to comm	nent R1-62.			
					The re-	sponse to comm	ient R1-62 was	:		
					If some	eone is able to c	arry out the wo	rk to create a	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codewor a subsequent ba	d prior to the

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.5 Page 6 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:54 AM

Dawe, Piers J G	P 91	L37	# R1-76	C/ 153	SC 153.2.3.2	.7 P 92	L 40	# R1-25
Jawe, Tiers 5 O	NVIDIA			Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Comment Type E Comm	ment Status D			Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		buck
While the hyperlink appears to p	oint to the right plac	ce, it doesn't seer	n right	Missin	g an article befoi	re FEC frame		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Correct the hyperlink						ps of 16 octets are distribut	ed from FEC fram	ne." to: "51 groups of 16
Proposed Response Respo	nse Status W					om the FEC frame."		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.			Proposed		Response Status W		
See response to comment R1-6	0			PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
See response to comment R1-0	Ζ.			C/ 154	SC 154.1	P105	L 8	# R1-26
The response to comment R1-6	2 was:			Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Delete the note including the UR	L at the end of sub	-clause 153.2.3.2	5.	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		Buck
If someone is able to carry out the	he work to create a	suitable codeword	d prior to the	Duplic	ation of "fiber ba	sed", and missing a hyphen	1	
completion of SA ballot, the note	could be added in	a subsequent bal	llot round.	Suggested	lRemedv			
C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.5	P100	L 37	# R1-62	00		a single-mode fiber based	fiber based dense	e wavelength division
)'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei Te	chnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei			hannel." to: "which is a sing	gle-mode fiber-bas	sed dense wavelength
Comment Type ER Comm	ment Status D					WDM) channel."		
Note reads - A file containing an		codeword is avail:	able at	Proposed	•	Response Status W		
http://standards.ieee.org/downlo	ads/802.3/			PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
There is no file at the provided li	nk. It is understood	I that this note wa	as added in anticipation	C/ 154	SC 154.1	P105	L 8	# R1-31
of a document being provided.				Schmitt, M	latthew	Cable Telev	ision Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)
				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy								Buck
If no contribution is provided to b	be used at the URL	- then the note ar	nd link will need to be	The pl	rase "fiber base	d" is repeated in the first se	ntence of the sub	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted		- then the note ar	nd link will need to be	to a co	py/paste error: '	d" is repeated in the first se 'fiber based fiber based der	ntence of the sub rse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to b deleted Proposed Response Respo	nse Status W	- then the note at	nd link will need to be	to a co (DWD	ppy/paste error: ˈ M) channel"	d" is repeated in the first se 'fiber based fiber based der	ntence of the sub nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted	nse Status W	- then the note ai	nd link will need to be	to a co (DWD Suggested	opy/paste error: ˈ M) channel" /Remedy	'fiber based fiber based der	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete the note including the UR	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub-	-clause 153.2.3.2	5.	to a co (DWD <i>Suggested</i> Delete	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>IRemedy</i> one instance of	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to to deleted Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRING Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out th	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>IRemedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i>	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete the note including the UR	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>IRemedy</i> one instance of	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
deleted Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out th	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed PROP	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>IRemedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i>	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out the	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed PROP See re	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>IRemedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. nent R1-26.	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to to deleted Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRING Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out th	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed PROP See re The re	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>Remedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT esponse to comm	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. hent R1-26.	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCE Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out the	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed PROP See re The re	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>Remedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sponse to comm sponse to comm	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. nent R1-26. nent R1-26 was:	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due
If no contribution is provided to be deleted roposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete the note including the UR If someone is able to carry out th	nse Status W CIPLE. RL at the end of sub- he work to create a s	-clause 153.2.3.2 suitable codeword	5. d prior to the	to a co (DWD Suggested Delete Proposed PROP See re The re	ppy/paste error: ' M) channel" <i>Remedy</i> one instance of <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sponse to comm sponse to comm	"fiber based fiber based der "fiber based" in that senten <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. nent R1-26. nent R1-26 was:	nse wavelength di	clause, most likely due

C/ 154 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 154.1 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 7 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:54 AM

C/ 154	SC 154.1	P 114	L 8	# R1-38	C/ 154	SC 154.1	P1	15	L16	# R1-45
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	on		D'Ambros	ia, John	Futur	ewei Teo	chnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei
Suggested	based" repeated R <i>emedy</i>			Bucket	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Fig 154-1 is wrong. The line between the bottom of the physical layer in relation to the Ethernet stack is incorrectt. The bottom of the physical layer should be at the MDI / medium border SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy					
change	"fiber based fib	er based" to "fiber based"								
Proposed R PROPC	•	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Redraw Fig 154-1 where the line at the bottom of the physical layer lines up with the medium border					
See res	sponse to comm	nent R1-26.			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status PLE.	С		
The res	sponse to comm	nent R1-26 was:			This c	omment does r	ot apply to the substa	ntivo cha	anges between l	EEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT.				IEEE	P802.3ct/D3.0	or the unsatisfied nega the scope of the recirc	tive com	ments from the	
C/ 154	SC 154.1	P114	L 8	# R1-51	Howe	ver, the change	s suggested are an im			nat would otherwise
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei Teo	hnologies, U.S	Subsidiary of Huawei	need	to be made in N	laintenance.			
Comment T	51	Comment Status D		Bucket	Implement remedy with editorial license.					
Redund	dant wording - w	hich is a single-mode fiber ba	sed fiber based	l dense wavelength	C/ 154	SC 154.4	P1	08	L 47	# R1-94
S <i>uggestedF</i> deleted		ce of "fiber based"			Dawe, Pie		NVIE Comment Status			Bucke
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			Comment Type E Comment Status D Bu String search doesn't find Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability					Backe
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested			_	-	
See res	sponse to comm	nent R1-26.				table, adjust c	olumn widths to conter	its. The	re's a menu iten	n in Frame for doing
The res	sponse to comm	nent R1-26 was:			Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT				PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			
					IEEE Hence Howe need t	P802.3ct/D3.0 e it is not within ver, the change to be made in N	or the unsatisfied nega the scope of the recirc s suggested are an im	tive com ulation b	nments from the pallot.	

C/ 154 SC 154.4	P108	L 47	# R1-67	C/ 154	SC 154.5.4	P119	L 34	# R1-39	
ssenhuth, Tom	Issenhuth Co	onsulting, LLC,Hu	uawei Technologies Co.,	Ran, Adee)	Intel Corpora	ation		
Comment Type E C The PMA/PMD register nan optical channel control regis		otical channel ab	<i>Bucket</i> ility" is incorrect as "Rx	layers	the value of S	Comment Status A SIGNAL_DETECT from the PM whether a valid signal is being			
SuggestedRemedy				acquire	e frame alignin	ieni.			
Replace the PMA/PMD regi	ister name with "Tx Rx d	lifferent optical cl	hannel ability".	This sentence does not make sense. The upper layers can "determine whether a v					
Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.			signal is being received" regardless of the value of SIGNAL_DETECT; reason that SIGNAL_DETECT is fixed to OK. In fact, in this PHY the S upper layer) is required to make this determination, as specified in 153 acquire frame alignment" is not a mere example.					
This comment does not app IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the Hence it is not within the sc However, the changes sugg need to be made in Mainter	unsatisfied negative con ope of the recirculation I gested are an improveme	nments from the ballot.	previous ballots.	The ch signal Suggested	hange of this s detect function IRemedy	ubclause from the previous dr nality is the responsibility of th			
Implement remedy.				0	e the quoted s				
7 154 SC 154.5	P109	L 32	# R1-93	•		alid signal is determined only	by the SC-FEC s	ublayer (see 153.2.1)".	
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C			
Comment Type T C 154.5, PMD functional spec status variables	Comment Status D ifications, should introdu	uce or define all t	the PMD control and			vith editorial license.			
uggestedRemedy				C/ 154	SC 154.6	P111	L17	# R1-27	
Add text for the missing PM	ID control and status var	riables such as		Huber, The	omas	Nokia			
Tx_optical_channel_index, Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_abilit	Rx optical channel index		ty_i,	Comment Missin	<i>Type</i> E g a comma	Comment Status D		Buci	
PROPOSED REJECT.	esponse Status W				e from: "also	o referred to as a DWDM char DM channel, which is defined.'		ied" to::" .also	
This comment does not app IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the Hence it is not within the sc	unsatisfied negative con	nments from the		Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEF	Response Status W			
The variables mentioned in		0							

C/ 154	SC 154.6		P111	L 21	# R1-2	C/ 154	SC	154.6	P 111	L 22	# <u>R1-28</u>
Stassar, P	eter		Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd		Huber, Th	nomas		Nokia		
Comment	Type ER	Comme	ent Status D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
				ed to add some tex		Secor	nd parag	graph wo	uld read better if the first se	entence was split in	nto two.
•		ately the edito	r omitted to do tha	at. Need to add tex	t	Suggeste	dRemed	dy			
Suggested						Change from: "Because in this application DWDM technology is used to transport multiple DWDM					
the mu 111 in inside Proposed	ulti-channel fil 154.6 and ac the black link <i>Response</i>	er inside the ditionally add is not preclud <i>Respon</i>	"Bi-directional trai led" to Figure 154 se Status W	nsmission over the	ote on line 43 of page multi-channel fiber	chanr specil chanr	nels ove fication nel in a v	er a single of the (si way that	cation DWDM technology is a fiber, a black link specific ngle channel) DWDM the effects of other DWDM he link, have been taken in	ation methodology i channels, simultar	is used to allow
PROP	OSED ACCE	PT IN PRINC	IPLE.			to:					
https://	nent the recon /www.ieee802 al license.			218/stassar_3ct_0	1_210218.pdf with	chanr specit	nels ove fication	er a single of the (si	WDM technology is used to e fiber. A black link specific ngle) DWDM channel in a s that may be simultaneous	ation methodology way that takes into	is used to allow account the effects of
						Response	9		Response Status C		
						ACCE	EPT IN I	PRINCIP	LE.		
						"Beca chanr specil chanr	nels ove fication nel in a v	this applie er a single of the (si way that	cation DWDM technology is e fiber, a black link specific ngle channel) DWDM the effects of other DWDM he link, have been taken in	ation methodology i channels, simultar	is used to allow
						to:					
						chanr (singl	nels ove e) DWD	er a single DM chann	NDM technology is used to be fiber. A black link approace lel in a way that takes into a simultaneously present on	h is used to allow s account the effects	specification of the of other DWDM

C/ 154	SC 154.6	P111	L 27	# R1-29	C/ 154 SC 154.6
Huber, Thon	nas	Nokia			Maki, Jeffery

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Third and fourth paragraphs would read better if they were combined and reorganized.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the paragraphs with this text: Figure 154-3 shows a generic example of a DWDM channel specified using the black link methodology and identifies the location of the single channel interfaces at TP2 and TP3. The DWDM channel includes wavelength division multiplexing and demultiplexing supporting simultaneous transport of a maximum of n DWDM channels on a single fiber, and may also include optical amplification. The grey-shaded box in Figure 154-3 is used to indicate that the implementation details of the DWDM channel are outside the scope of this clause. The arrangement of (DWDM) elements within the figure is not intended to place constraints on the construction of the link.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment R1-2.

The indicated text should be rewritten to improve clarity. New text: TBD With editorial license.

C/ 154	SC 154.6	P 111	L 27	# R1-37
Maki, Jeffe	ery	Juniper Networks,	Inc.	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The project objectives include, "Provide a physical layer specification supporting 100 Gb/s operation on a single wavelength capable of at least 80 km over a DWDM system." The draft defines DWDM system. See "Clause 1.4.237d DWDM system: An aggregate of DWDM links optically multiplexed and demultiplexed onto and off either a single optical fiber or a single optical fiber per direction." The text on line 27 of page 111 says, "Figure 154-3 shows a generic example of a black link." However, upon inspection, the example is not generic with respect to the definition of DWDM system. It depicts only one of the two cases. It depicts the case of "single optical fiber per direction." The case of "single optical fiber," which would correspond to bi-direction propagation over a single fiber, is not depicted. Accommodation is made in the draft for the Tx and Rx wavelengths of the PMD to be different to support bi-directional propagation with distinct wavelengths.

SuggestedRemedy

Expand Figure 154-3 to include the case of a DWDM system using a "single optical fiber" for both directions in addition to the single optical fiber per direction that is already depicted. Expand test describing Fig. 154-3 to cover the addition.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment R1-2.

The response to comment R1-2 was:

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement remedy with editorial license.

C/ 154	SC 154.6	P 111	L 27	#	R1-12
Trowbridge	, Stephen	Nokia			

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

"black link" will have been changed to "DWDM channel" by an earlier comment. The term "DWDM link" is removed by another comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Functions carried out by the DWDM link are ." to "Functions that may be contained within the DWDM channel include ."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the changes on slides 2 and 3 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/ct/public/tf_interim/21_0218/issenhuth_3ct_02_210218.pdf with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 154	Page 11 of 30
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 154.6	2/18/2021 11:07:55 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

	SC 154.6	D444	1.20	# 04.40		SC 154.6	D440	1.22	# 04.45
Cl 154 Troubrida		P 111 Nokia	L 38	# R1-13	C/ 154		P 112 Nokia	L 22	# <u>R1-15</u>
	le, Stephen				0	e, Stephen			
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
The te	erm DWDM link i	s proposed to remove by ano	ther comment				n following Figure 154-3 to avo	oid the use of de	eted terms "DWDM
Suaaestei	dRemedy				link" aı	nd "DWDM syst	em"		
00		to "DWDM channel"			Suggestea	Remedy			
Chan					Chang	e the paragraph	n following Figure 154-3 to rea	ad:	
Response)	Response Status C					PMD is specified for operation		NDM channel. An
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			individ	ual DWDM char	nnel operates on a frequency	selected from th	e DWDM frequency
							154-5, which shows the mapp		
•	0	s on slides 2 and 3 of					annel center frequencies. This		
	//www.ieee802.oi ial license.	rg/3/ct/public/tf_interim/21_02	18/issenhuth_30	t_02_210218.pdf with			l with 100 GHz spacing define ture may support between 1 a		
eulloi	lai license.						cy. For a given DWDM channe		
C/ 154	SC 154.6	P112	L15	# R1-14			annel, and the 100GBASE-ZF		
Trowbridg	le, Stephen	Nokia				el center freque		-	
-	•	Comment Status D			Response		Response Status C		
Comment	51					PT IN PRINCIP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Figure	e 154-3 inconsist	ent with terminology update re	esulting from oth	er comments.	AUOL				
Suggeste	dRemedy				Implen	nent the change	es on slides 2 and 3 of		
Remo	ve the words "Bl	ack link" from the grey box in	Figure 154-3. Cl	nange the figure title to	https://	/www.ieee802.o	org/3/ct/public/tf_interim/21_02	218/issenhuth_3	ct_02_210218.pdf with
		ple configuration"	5	5 5	editoria	al license.			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
	POSED ACCEPT	,							
FRUF	OSED ACCEPT								
See re	esponse to comn	nent R1-2.							
	•								

C/ 154	SC 154.6	P 112	L 28	# R1-30	C/ 154	SC 154.6	P 113	L 31	# R1-83
Huber, Th	nomas	Nokia			Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
	rid that is defined	Comment Status A I for use by 100GBASE-ZR is			<i>Comment</i> What	<i>Type</i> E variable?	Comment Status D		Bucket
thus h	have larger than 1 he infrastructure s	spacing. While a deployment 100 GHz spacing, there is no supports			Tx_op	e add cross-refe	erence to 154.5 (new section(s ndex, Rx_optical_channel_ind	<i>,,</i>	
Reco maxin	mmendation ITU- num of 48	responds to the DWDM freque T G.694.1. The 100GBASE-2 M system, supporting betwee	ZR PMD specific	ation covers a		Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
	ng of at least	vi system, supporting betwee			IEEE Hence	P802.3ct/D3.0 c e it is not within f	ot apply to the substantive ch or the unsatisfied negative cor the scope of the recirculation	nments from the ballot.	previous ballots.
is def		to a subset of the DWDM free endation ITU-T G.694.1. The nnels.				ver, the changes to be made in M	s suggested are an improvem aintenance.	ent to the draft t	hat would otherwise
Response ACCE	9 EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C _E.				1.6 include a refe ial license.	erence to the definition of the	mentioned varial	bles in 154.5. With
"Thes Recor chanr specif	mmendation ITU- nel index numbers fication covers a l	<i>I</i> channels operate on a DWI T G.694.1. Table 154–5 show s to the optical channel cente maximum of 48 channels ove nnels with center frequencies	ws the mapping our frequencies. The range of the second seco	of the 100GBASE-ZR ne 100GBASE-ZR PMD t link that supports					

CI 154	SC 154.6	P 121	L 7	# R1-40	C/ 154	SC 154.6	P 122	L35	# R1-41
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	on		Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	ion	
Comment 1	Type E	Comment Status A			Comment 7	vpe T	Comment Status A		
(Subclu	uase number is fi	rom the clean document - it a	ppears as 154.	in the diff document)	(Subclu	lase number is	from the clean document - it a	ppears as 154.	7 in the diff document)
channe specific DWDM	els over a single f cation of the (sing	ation DWDM technology is us fiber, a black link specificatior gle channel) DWDM channel taneously present on the mul	n methodology i in a way that the	s used to allow e effects of other	DWDM 100 GH	system, suppo lz."	PMD specification covers a orting between 1 and 48 chann s if a 100GBASE-ZR link can	els, with a chan	nel spacing of at least
This is	a long and awkw	vard statement. With all the re	lative clauses	commas and	system	. I assume this	is not the intent.		
parenth		It to understand the logic and			channe	l, and a 100GB	ink, the combination of a 1000 ASE-ZR Rx are configured to		
Suggested	Remedy				frequer	icy.			
Change	e this sentence to	0				ould probably r ot just supporte	ead 100GBASE-ZR link, and t	he same center	frequency should be
		DM technology is used to tran			Suggestedl				
DWDM	o specity a single l channels simult cation methodolo	e DWDM channel in a way the aneously present on the mult ov is used."	i-channel part c	for effects of other f the link, a black link	••	e the quoted se	ntences to		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI				a DWD ZR link	M system, whe , the 100GBAS	PMD can use one of 48 channe re the channel spacing is at le E-ZR Tx, the associated DWD ave the same channel center f	ast 100 GHz. Ir M channel, and	a working 100GBASE
See res	sponse to comm	ent R1-28.			Response	•	Response Status C		
The res	sponse to comme	ent R1-28 was:			ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI			
Change		E. ation DWDM technology is us	ed to transport	nultiple DWDM	IEEE P Hence	802.3ct/D3.0 o it is not within t	ot apply to the substantive cha r the unsatisfied negative com he scope of the recirculation b suggested are an improveme	ments from the allot.	previous ballots.
specific	cation of the (sing	fiber, a black link specificatior gle channel) DWDM	0,			be made in Ma			
		e effects of other DWDM cha e link, have been taken into a		eously present on the	0	e the quoted se			
to:					directio the ass	n of transmission ociated DWDM	SE-ZR link the PMD operates on over a pair of DWDM chan I channel, and the 100GBASE	nels. The 100Gl	BASE-ZR near end Tx,
channe (single)	els over a single f) DWDM channe	DM technology is used to ena fiber. A black link approach is I in a way that takes into acco imultaneously present on the	used to allow s ount the effects	pecification of the of other DWDM	the san	ne channel cen	ter frequency."		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.6 Page 14 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:55 AM

CI 154 SC 154	1.7 P120	L 39	# R1-46	C/ 154	SC 154.7	Р	121 L45	# R1-54
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurew	ei Technologies, U.S	Subsidiary of Huawei	D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futu	ırewei Technologies,	U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment Type T	R Comment Status A			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Statu	s D	
for the PHY, which Text in 154.7 rea	this subclause has essentially ch disagrees with the defintion ads - The medium associated	1.4.237a DWDM cha	annel. ZR PMD is also	accordi inside.	ing to the draft	is not intended to pla best way to not plac		nk approach - which n the implementation the contents would be to
	DWDM channel which is define lency (referred to either by cha			Suggestedl	Remedy			
	defined frequency grid betwee			Label ir	nside the box "	DWDM Link" in white	e font.	e the blox to solid black.
medium to be Th	heading of the subclause has B DWDM Channel over a blac reement to change the definiti	k link. The medium		as show The cu	wn, but then sh rrent Fig 154-4	lowing a second figur	re with Tx / Rx on bot example of the types of	nals going in one direction h sides of the DWDM link. of DWDM links supported,
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed F	Response	Response Status	5 W	
1. In Figure 154-	1, modify "ZR = PMD FOR DV	VDM CHANNEL OVE	ER A BLACK LINK" to	PROPO	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
	DWDM CHANNEL"	NA - 6 11		It is as	sumed the corr	ment is about Figure	e 154-3 instead of 154	1_1
	ng of 154.7 back to "The DWI sentence in comment to "The		vith the 100GBASE-ZR	11 15 45		intent is about Figure		r- 1 .
PMD is also refe	rred to as a DWDM			See res	sponse to com	ment R1-2.		
channel which is (referred to eithe	defined as the transmission p	ath on a single wave	length/frequency					
channel index nu	imber or channel center freque	ency) on a defined fre	equency grid between a					
DWDM PHY	nother DWDM PHY.							
0								
	t in #3 is based on D3.1. Con ress refinement of defintion of ve.							
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.							
	nanges on slides 2 and 3 of 802.org/3/ct/public/tf_interim/2	21_0218/issenhuth_3	ct_02_210218.pdf with					

editorial license.

		_										
C/ 154	SC 154.	7	P122	L30	# R1-55	C/ 154	SC 1		P122		L37	# <u>R1-52</u>
D'Ambros	sia, John			chnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei	D'Ambrosia	a, John		Future	vei Tec	hnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment	Type EF	C	omment Status D			Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status)		
"The where prese Three 1. Re 2. App suppo	e on the mult nt, each sou e issues place DWDM propriate ope ort multiple D	channel p rced by a s I system w ration of 1 WDM cha	specified on the assum art inside the black link separate 100GBASE-ZI with DWDM link 00GBASE-ZR could be nnels be improved	multiple DWDM o R transmitter."	pptical signals are	1. A 10 implem "config need to channe	0GBĂS ientatio ured" is o select el. er migh ASE-ZI	SE-ZR PI n could s problem the PHY nt interpre R Tx.	e has several problems HY is required to suppor support only a single wa natic for these types of / that supports the wav et the 100GBASE-ZR F	aveleng PHY de elength	th. Therefore, evices. In this in that matches t	the use of the word nstance the user would he desired DWDM
Suggeste	dRemedy						-		The channel center fre	auency	of the 100GB	ASE-7R transmitting
Rewo Each	rd paragrapl DWDM chai	inel in a D	WDM link supports unio			and 10	0GBAS	E-ZR re	ceiving should be select M channel to which the	ted to s	support the sam	ne channel center
will re	quire two D	VDM chan	ull duplex operation bet nels. Each DWDM ch , which shows the map	annel operates or	a DWDM frequency	Proposed F PROP	,		Response Status N	V		
DWD freque shoul	M frequency ency of the 1 d be selecte	grid define 00GBASE to suppo	channel center frequer ed by Recommendation -ZR PMD transmitting a rt the same channel ce R PMDs are connected	1TU-T G.694.1. and 100GBASE-Z nter frequency as	The channel center R PMD receiving	The ref The wo	erred s ord "con	ubclause ifigured"	sentence the comment e 154.7 is not correct as only occurs in subclaus sentence in the remedy	s well as se 154.6	s the line numb 6.	
Proposed	Response	Re	sponse Status W			This co	mment	does no	t apply to the substant	ve chai	nges hetween l	EEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and
PROF	POSED ACC	EPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.			IEEE P	802.3c	t/D3.0 or	r the unsatisfied negative the scope of the recircul	/e comr	ments from the	
See r	esponse to o	omment R	81-41.			Howev	er, the o	changes	suggested are an impr			at would otherwise
The re	esponse to c	omment R	1-41 was:									
PROF	POSED ACC	ept in Pf	RINCIPLE.			PMDs	operatir	ng only a	i4 supports various imp at one specific center fro d to the frequency of ch	equency		
IEEE Hence Howe	P802.3ct/D3 e it is not wit	.0 or the unin the sco nges sugge	ly to the substantive cha insatisfied negative con ope of the recirculation l ested are an improvement ance.	nments from the p ballot.	revious ballots.	"The cł receive	nannel r shoul	d be sele	equency of the 100GBA	upport	the same chan	nel center frequency as

For TF discussion. Section will need some rewording to clarify intents. With editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.7 Page 16 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:55 AM

CI 154 SC 154.7 P123 L48 # R1-43	C/ 154 SC 154	4.7.1 P114	L 3	# R1-84
Ran, Adee Intel Corporation	Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T	R Comment Status R		
(Subcluase number is from the clean document - it appears as 154.8 in the diff document)		3.0 comment 58, tolerance to		
"The operating range for the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is defined in Table 154-7. A 100GBASE-		isually have something like TD after chromatic dispersion to en		
ZR compliant PMD operates over a black link meeting the specifications in Table 154- 12."	EVMrms does no	ot do this, so is there a gap that on provide the necessary prote	t needs to be filled?	
Does it make sense to define the operating range of the PMD when the black link	SuggestedRemedy			
methodology is used? a black link can work over ranges larger than 80 km too.	Ensure that the osignal.	combination of transmitter and	max / min dispersio	n will deliver a usable
Note that the 80 km in Table 154-7 does not make sense for an unamplified link with a	Response	Response Status U		
maximum transmit power of 0 dBm (assuming this is what "Average channel output power" means).	REJECT.			
SuggestedRemedy	The commenter	has not provided any evidence	that the specification	on is allowing devices
If the text and table in the body of this subclause is kept, please clarify what the range	passing the requ DWDM black lin	irements while not operating n	ot satisfactorily in th	e field for the range of
means (I can't provide a detailed remedy).		generally used for DP-DQPSK	modulated devices	inherently have an
Consider deleting the text and table (keeping only the subclause hierarchy below 154.7).		olerance to chromatic dispersion ters. The dispersion limits spec		
Proposed Response Response Status W		condition in combination with the		
PROPOSED REJECT.	C/ 154 SC 154	4.7.1 <i>P</i> 114	L8	# R1-69
This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and	Zhang, Bo	Inphi Co		# 1(1-09
IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the previous ballots.	Comment Type T	•	poration	
Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.	21	e 154-7 transmit characteristics	lack the important	Tx iitter specification.
The operating range of the PMD is necessary to establish appropriate limits for the		e interoperability cannot be gua		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
specification of the DWDM black link in 154.8.	SuggestedRemedy			
		ow the jitter spec methodologie e refer to an earlier contributior		
		802.org/3/ct/public/tf_interim/2		
	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	PROPOSED RE	JECT.		
		oes not apply to the substantiv		
		3ct/D3.1 or the unsatisfied neg vithin the scope of the recirculat		n the previous ballot.
	The jitter on tran	smitter specifications on existin	ng PMDs for amplitu	
		has been controlled via the eye e transmitter jitter is controlled		
	eye mask or TDI	ECQ specifications.	. .	C C
		contribution mentioned in the r cations not applicable to the op		
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial			/ 154	Page 17 of 30

I Y PE: IR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/154Page 17 of 30COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC154.7.12/18/2021 11:07:55 AMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P 114	L 29	# R1-88	C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	P 124	L 24	# R1-42
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	NVIDIA			Ran, Adee	9	Intel Corpora	tion	
Comment "Pow		Comment Status D stween X-Y polarizations": What	's an X minus Y	Bucket polarization?	Comment (Subcl	51	Comment Status D s from the clean document - it	appears as 154	.8.1 in the diff document)
Call it		nce between polarizations", alig for "Skew between X-Y polariza		2 which provides the	should	n't it be the trai	eristics in Table 154-7 include nsmitter power (at TP2)? The t WDM channel (to which the tr	term "channel o	utput" intuitively reads
PROI This of IEEE	comment does P802.3ct/D3.0	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. not apply to the substantive cha or the unsatisfied negative com	ments from the		which measu (as do	is in 53.9.2 "Op irements shall l	erage channel output power" r tical power measurements", a be made through a short patch o this measurement is at TP2. ht.	nd 53.9 states t cable, betweer	hat "All optical 1 2 m and 5 m in length."
Howe		the scope of the recirculation b es suggested are an improveme Maintenance.		at would otherwise	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	-	out power" to "output power", b	ooth in Table 15	4-7 and in 154.9.3.
		ame to "Power difference betwe d Y polarizations"	een X and Y pol	arizations" and also	Proposed	0	son to keep the word "channel" <i>Response Status</i> W Γ.	", state explicitly	in the table "at TP2".
					IEEE F	P802.3ct/D3.0 d	ot apply to the substantive ch or the unsatisfied negative con the scope of the recirculation I	nments from the	
					ITU-T is relev	G.698.2 and th vant because o	"channel output power" is con ere is no technical need to ma f the inherent connection to D\ s are specified at TP2 by defa	ike a change. Th WDM channels.	ne reference to channel

CI 154 SC	154.7.1	P 124	L 42	# R1-63	C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P11	5 <i>L</i> 18	# R1-86
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporat	ion		Dawe, Pie	rs J G	NVIDIA	A	
Comment Type	T Co	mment Status D		Bucket	Comment	Туре т	Comment Status	4	
(Subcluase n	umber is from th	ne clean document - it a	appears as 154.	8.1 in the diff document)		,	ASE-ZR receive chara	,	
Error vector r G.698.2 as an The definition mention EVM SuggestedRemed Change "Erro EVM_RMS (s Proposed Respon PROPOSED This commer IEEE P802.3 Hence it is no However, the need to be m In 154.9.14 a	nagnitude (max) n RMS value. 1_RMS (which is dy or vector magnitu subscript) everyunse Res ACCEPT IN PR nt does not apply ct/D3.0 or the ur ot within the scop changes sugge ade in Maintena nd 154.9.16 cha	a can be interpreted as a not include RMS either what should be used e ude" to "Error vector may where. Sponse Status W INCIPLE. Y to the substantive chansatisfied negative compose of the recirculation b sted are an improvemence.	a peak value. B r. However, 154 verywhere). agnitude (RMS)' nges between I ments from the allot. nt to the draft th I", which is com	ut EVM is defined in 4.9.14 and 154.9.16 " and EVM to EEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and previous ballots.	Receiv tolerar 154.9. The av define met at 154.9. The R power 154.9. Receiv Recon As the OSNR they a receiv interfe stands OSNR <i>Suggested</i> Combi In Tab chang 154.9. Table receiv <i>Response</i> ACCE See re The re REJEC	ver sensitivity (m ince (not max or r 13, Average receive p the range of ave the values of mi 15, Receiver OS range specified 16, Receiver OSNR si range specified 16, Receiver OSNR toleration mendation ITU- receiver can't cl (min)" are not s re conditions for re cosNR), similar rence tolerance t	ax) (informative), Recent min). eive power, says: ower shall be within the grage receiver input por inimum OSNR defined SNR, says: hall be within the limits in Table 154-8. OSNR NR tolerance, says: nce shall be within the T G.698.2 hoose the OSNR it rec pecifications for the re- average receive power at to the conditions of a test for other PMDs. The IIIs" in 154.7.1 and 154 (154.9.15 and make the e "Receiver OSNR (mir NR" to "minimum OSN I.9.15. clarified, e.g. with a foo boutting these rows next <i>Response Status</i> of LE. hent R1-73. hent R1-73 was:	iver OSNR (min), and e limits given in Table wer over which the Bl in Table 154-8. given in Table 154-8 is defined in 154.9.1 limit given in Table 19 every to meet, as the or (or average receiver stressed receiver se he "shall" in 154.9.15 8 for Transmitter in-b e terminology consist of Transmitter in-b e terminology consi	d Receiver OSNR a 154-8. These limits ER requirement must be over the average receive 1. 54-8 and is defined in htries for "Receiver PICS puts it, but that power are conditions for nsitivity test or a receiver is unworkable as the text band OSNR (min) and tent, e.g.: R at TP3". In 154.9.13, DSNR is defined in receive power and or the receiver to meet, i the DWDM black link.
					Straw	poll was taken:			
								o	
				l T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/w				C/ 154 SC 154.7.2	Page 19 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:55 A

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 154.7.2 2/18/2021 11:07:55 AM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

I support the proposed response (R1-73): Yes: 11 No: 3

There was no consensus to make a change.

See response to comment R1-99.

The response to comment R1-99 was:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement remedy with editorial license.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P115	L18	# R1-73
Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corporation		

Zhang, Bo

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Receiver OSNR (min) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are redundant information as already specified in table 154-9. For example, 35dB min OSNR for average receive power < -16dBm is already specified in line#45 in table 154-9, and 19.5dB min OSNR for power >-16dBm is already specified in line #47-48 in the same table on page 115. Furthermore, these specs are really link requirements and the naming should be indicating 'link-delivered OSNR' instead of 'Receiver OSNR'

SuggestedRemedy

Recommend completely remove 'Receiver OSNR (min') line specs in table 154-8

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The receiver OSNR (min) is an essential performance parameter for the receiver to meet, specified at its input TP3.

This requirement is not only a requirement for the output at TP3 of the DWDM black link. Removing it from the list of receiver parameters will not improve the quality of the draft.

Straw poll was taken:

I support the proposed response (R1-73): Yes: 11 No: 3

There was no consensus to make a change.

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P 115	L 21	# R1-3
Stassar, F	Peter	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
inform	native. Because R	nt i-42 it was agreed to make leceiver OSNR tolerance is e eceiver OSNR tolerance infor	equivalent to Rec	
Suggested	dRemedy			
In Tab	ole 154-8 make Re	eceiver OSNR tolerance "info	ormative" by add	ing words to note b.
Response	•	Response Status C		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
"shall		l license. Also make equival ts". Add new sentence "OSN red."		
"shall compl	be within the limit	ts". Add new sentence "OSN		
"shall	be within the limit liance is not requi SC 154.7.2	ts". Add new sentence "OSN red."	IR tolerance is in	formative and
"shall compl <i>Cl</i> 154	be within the limit liance is not requi SC 154.7.2 Eric	ts". Add new sentence "OSN red." P115	IR tolerance is in	formative and
"shall compl <i>Cl</i> 154 Maniloff, E <i>Comment</i>	be within the limit liance is not requi SC 154.7.2 Eric Type T	ts". Add new sentence "OSN red." P 115 ciena	IR tolerance is ir	formative and # <mark>R1-96</mark>
"shall compl <i>Cl</i> 154 Maniloff, E <i>Comment</i>	be within the limit liance is not requi SC 154.7.2 Eric <i>Type</i> T ptical power for R	ts". Add new sentence ["] OSN red." <i>P</i> 115 ciena <i>Comment Status</i> D	IR tolerance is ir	formative and # <mark>R1-96</mark>
"shall compl Cl 154 Maniloff, E Comment The of Suggested	be within the limit liance is not requi	ts". Add new sentence ["] OSN red." <i>P</i> 115 ciena <i>Comment Status</i> D	IR tolerance is in	formative and # <u>R1-96</u> Bu
"shall compl Cl 154 Maniloff, E Comment The of Suggested Add a Proposed	be within the limit liance is not requi	ts". Add new sentence "OSN red." P115 ciena Comment Status D receiver OSNR Tolerance is r ver OSNR Tolerance must be Response Status W	IR tolerance is in	formative and # <u>R1-96</u> Bu

C/ 154	SC 154.7.2	P 126	L18	# R1-64	C/ 154	SC 15	4.8	P	115	L 49	# R1-32
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	on		Schmitt, M	latthew		Cat	le Televis	sion Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)
Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D			Comment	Type I	E	Comment Statu	s D		
Table 1 "shall" s toleranc	54-10 has "Rec so it seems that se) a characteris	rom the clean document - it a eiver OSNR (min)" and the as the receiver is required to so tic of the receiver? from the o	sociated defini mething. But is	tion in 154.9.15 has a OSNR (not OSNR	and "C value it seer	Optical pat in other	th pena words ese two	Ity (max), for OSNF the, the OSNR pen	R at TP3 > alty is the	= 35dB (12.5 G same regardles	3 < 35 dB (12.5 GHz)" Hz)" have the same is of the OSNR level nto a single parameter
out of th	ne receiver's con	ntrol.			Suggested	lRemedy					
other the	an ÓSNR tolera	SNR tolerance (in 7.4.3). Is a ince?	nything require	d from the receiver	"Optic	al path O	SNR pe		elete the		3 (12.5 GHz)" to be Optical path penalty
SuggestedF					Proposed	Response	9	Response Statu	s W		
	he requirements	s in 154.9.15, or remove Rece	eiver OSNR (m	in) from the table and	,	OSED RE					
Proposed R REJEC	т.	Response Status Z				y, in contr					hich is an optical power NR penalty due to the
	mment was with	THDRAWN by the commenter	ſ.		C/ 154	SC 15	4.8	P	115	L 51	# R1-71
C/ 154	SC 154.8	P115	L 40	# R1-70	Zhang, Bo			Inpl	ni Corpora	ation	
Zhang, Bo	_	Inphi Corporat	lion	5 / /	Comment	Туре -	т	Comment Statu	s D		Bucke
Comment T <u>j</u> for chan		Comment Status D e spec, the value and unit are	swapped.	Bucket	confus	ed with th	ne optic		alty for AS	E limited link. T	his new parameter as
SuggestedF	•							ould be viewed as a data support, and c			SNR penalty. Also, the sion to converge.
		e column and set GHz under t	ne Unit column	l.	Suggested	IRemedy					
Proposed R PROPC	•	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.						ne naming of 'optica ensitivity penalty' to			oath power penalty' or
IEEE P8	802.3ct/D3.0 or	t apply to the substantive cha the unsatisfied negative com	ments from the		Proposed PROP	•		Response Statu IN PRINCIPLE.	s W		
Howeve		e scope of the recirculation b suggested are an improveme intenance.		hat would otherwise	Chang	e "optical	path p	enalty" to "optical p	ath powe	r penalty"	
Impleme	ent remedy.										

7 154 SC 154.8 P116	L 7	# R1-72	C/ 154	SC 154.81	P 124	L 47	# R1-56
hang, Bo Inphi Corpo	oration		D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei	Technologies, U.S	. Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status D			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		
The unit of fiber chromatic dispersion slope at ch	annel center freque	encies (min) is incorrect.		nsmit character	stics 1)Average launch po ned in 154.10	ower of OFF transn	nitter and 2) transmitter
uggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(ni	m2*km)'		Suggested	Remedy			
			add def	finitions.			
roposed Response Response Status W			Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJECT.			'	DSED REJECT			
IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfied negative c Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculatio However, the changes suggested are an improve need to be made in Maintenance. The unit for the slope of fiber chromatic dispersio force Clauses, e.g. in the 2018 version of the IEE	n ballot. ment to the draft th n is completely co	hat would otherwise nsistent with existing in-	IEEE P Hence These j definitio	802.3ct/D3.0 or it is not within th parameter name ons. ge launch powe	t apply to the substantive the unsatisfied negative of e scope of the recirculation es are used in several in-fro- off transmitter" is a varia	comments from the on ballot. orce optical PMD C	e previous ballots. Clauses, without specific
/ 154 SC 154.8 P116	L18	# R1-90					
			CI 151	SC 154 8 2	D125	/ 31	# D1 57
awe, Piers J G NVIDIA			C/ 154	SC 154.8.2	P 125	L 31	# R1-57
omment Type T Comment Status D			D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei		# R1-57 Subsidiary of Huawei
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 7	a, John Type TR		Technologies, U.S	5. Subsidiary of Huawei
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or wh	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 7	a, John <i>Type</i> TR ceive characteris	Futurewei Comment Status D	Technologies, U.S	5. Subsidiary of Huawei
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or wh example application of 40 channels").	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 7 The rec	a, John Type TR ceive characteris Remedy	Futurewei Comment Status D	Technologies, U.S	5. Subsidiary of Huawei
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or wh example application of 40 channels"). uggestedRemedy	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 1 The rec Suggestedf	a, John Type TR ceive characteris Remedy finition	Futurewei Comment Status D	Technologies, U.S	5. Subsidiary of Huawei
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or wh example application of 40 channels"). uggestedRemedy Please clarify.	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 7 The rec Suggested add def Proposed F	a, John Type TR ceive characteris Remedy finition	Futurewei <i>Comment Status</i> D stic receiver reflectance is	Technologies, U.S	5. Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a c comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or wh example application of 40 channels").	compliant 100GBA	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to	D'Ambrosia Comment 1 The rec Suggestedf add def Proposed F PROPC This co	a, John Type TR Seive characteris Remedy finition Response DSED REJECT. mment does no	Futurewei Comment Status D stic receiver reflectance is Response Status W t apply to the substantive	Technologies, U.S not defined in 154 changes between	-10 IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 an
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or whether and comple application of 40 channels"). uggestedRemedy Please clarify. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the substantive of the subs	compliant 100GBA nether a subset is a changes between l	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to allowed (154A.2 has "an IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and	D'Ambrosia Comment 1 The rec Suggested/ add def Proposed F PROPC This co IEEE P	a, John <i>Type</i> TR ceive characteris <i>Remedy</i> finition <i>Response</i> DSED REJECT mment does no 802.3ct/D3.0 or	Futurewei Comment Status D stic receiver reflectance is Response Status W	Technologies, U.S not defined in 154 changes between comments from the	-10 IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 an
omment Type T Comment Status D This says "The applicable channel center frequer shows 48 channels, but it is not clear whether a comply for all 48 channels, as implied here, or whether and comple application of 40 channels"). uggestedRemedy Please clarify. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED REJECT.	compliant 100GBA nether a subset is a changes between l omments from the	in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to allowed (154A.2 has "an IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and	D'Ambrosia Comment 1 The rec Suggestedh add def Proposed R PROPC This co IEEE P Hence	a, John <i>Type</i> TR peive characteris Remedy finition Response DSED REJECT. mment does no 802.3ct/D3.0 or it is not within the rameter name i	Futurewei Comment Status D stic receiver reflectance is Response Status W t apply to the substantive the unsatisfied negative of	Technologies, U.S not defined in 154 changes between comments from the on ballot.	5. Subsidiary of Huawei -10 IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 an previous ballots.

anges between I nments from the ballot. ent to the draft th <i>L</i> 5 does not have to	IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 a previous ballots. hat would otherwise # <u>R1-97</u> But b be met in the presen	cket Comment This s Suggeste Reco group Proposed PROF and This of IEEE Henc Howe need Chan C/ 154 Dawe, Pir	<i>Type</i> E says "DGD (max) <i>dRemedy</i> ncile. E.g., chan delay, DGD_ma <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT comment does no P802.3ct/D3.0 o e it is not within t ever, the changes to be made in Ma ge to "Maximum SC 154.9.15 ers J G	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. In PRINCIPLE. In apply to the substantive of the unsatisfied negative con he scope of the recirculation suggested are an improver aintenance. differential group delay, DG P116 NVIDIA	, DGD (max)" to " changes between omments from the n ballot. ment to the draft t	Maximum differential IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and previous ballots.
nments from the ballot. ent to the draft th <i>L</i> 5 does not have to	IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 a previous ballots. hat would otherwise # <u>R1-97</u> But b be met in the presen	This s Suggeste Reco group Proposed PROF and This of IEEE Henc Howe need Chan C/ 154 Dawe, Pio	Arrow Says "DGD (max) dRemedy ncile. E.g., chan delay, DGD_ma <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT comment does no P802.3ct/D3.0 o e it is not within t ever, the changes to be made in Ma ge to "Maximum SC 154.9.15 ers J G	" while many other clauses ge "Differential group delay, x" <i>Response Status</i> W " IN PRINCIPLE. bt apply to the substantive c r the unsatisfied negative co he scope of the recirculation suggested are an improver aintenance. differential group delay, DG <i>P</i> 116 NVIDIA	, DGD (max)" to " changes between omments from the n ballot. ment to the draft t	ay DGD_max. Maximum differential IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and e previous ballots. that would otherwise
nments from the ballot. ent to the draft th <i>L</i> 5 does not have to	e previous ballots. hat would otherwise # <u>R1-97</u> But o be met in the presen	Reco group Proposed PROF and This of IEEE Henc Howe need Chan C/ 154 Dawe, Pir	ncile. E.g., chan o delay, DGD_ma <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT comment does no P802.3ct/D3.0 o e it is not within t ever, the changes to be made in Ma ge to "Maximum SC 154.9.15 ers J G	x" Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. bt apply to the substantive of r the unsatisfied negative con- he scope of the recirculation suggested are an improver aintenance. differential group delay, DG P116 NVIDIA	changes between omments from the n ballot. ment to the draft t D_max"	IEEE P802.3ct/D3.1 and e previous ballots. that would otherwise
nments from the ballot. ent to the draft th <i>L</i> 5 does not have to	e previous ballots. hat would otherwise # <u>R1-97</u> But o be met in the presen	and Proposed PROF This of IEEE Henc Howe need Chan C/ 154 Dawe, Pio	POSED ACCEPT comment does no P802.3ct/D3.0 o e it is not within t ever, the changes to be made in Ma ge to "Maximum SC 154.9.15 ers J G	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. In PRINCIPLE. In apply to the substantive of the unsatisfied negative con he scope of the recirculation suggested are an improver aintenance. differential group delay, DG P116 NVIDIA	omments from the n ballot. ment to the draft t D_max"	e previous ballots. that would otherwise
nments from the ballot. ent to the draft th <i>L</i> 5 does not have to	e previous ballots. hat would otherwise # <u>R1-97</u> But o be met in the presen	This of IEEE Henc Howe need Chan C/ 154 Dawe, Pio	P802.3ct/D3.0 o e it is not within t ever, the changes to be made in Ma ge to "Maximum SC 154.9.15 ers J G	r the unsatisfied negative co he scope of the recirculation suggested are an improver aintenance. differential group delay, DG P116 NVIDIA	omments from the n ballot. ment to the draft t D_max"	e previous ballots. that would otherwise
does not have to	Buc be met in the presen	C/ 154 Cket Dawe, Pie	SC 154.9.15 ers J G	P116 NVIDIA	-	# <u>R1-92</u>
	Buc be met in the presen	cket Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA	L19	# R1-92
	be met in the presen	Cket				
snould clarify th	nat it does not have to	This f betwe	irst sentence "Die een the fractions	Comment Status D fferential Group Delay (DGE of a pulse that were transmi cal signal" has been in the d	itted in the two pri	incipal states of
			d be used to it by			
vity does not hav Black Link.	ve to be met in the	Suggeste	-			
			e this first senten			
		,	Response	Response Status W		
		This o	P802.3ct/D3.0 o	ot apply to the substantive c r the unsatisfied negative co	omments from the	
		Imple	menting the prop	osed remedy will not improv	ve the quality of t	he draft.
			IEEE Henc	IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 o Hence it is not within t	IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfied negative of Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation	This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. Implementing the proposed remedy will not improve the quality of t

C/ 154 SC 154.9.	15 P119	L 13	# R1-95	C/ 154	SC 154.9.	I5 <i>P</i> 119	L 13	# R1-99
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Maniloff, E	ic	ciena		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Bucket	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> Т	Comment Status A		Buck
OSNR qualification	atement as to whether signals fo include chromatic dispersion, in	terferometric cro	stalk, reflections or		tement does delivered by	not make clear that this is an C the link.	SNR tolerance,	rather it sounds like it's
	nalty may be 3 dB, this seems li le 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (bl			Suggestedl	Remedy			
ones should be inclu	uded. D3.0 comment 58 pointed				"The Receive >= this value	r OSNR shall be within" to "The	e Receiver shall	be able to tolerate an
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro	nore fully how this measuremer	nt would be done	e.g. that it should be	•	T IN PRINCI	'		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Implem	ent remedy v	vith editorial license.		
PROPOSED ACCE	,			C/ 154	SC 154.9.	I6 P119	L18	# R1-100
				Maniloff, El	ic	ciena		
See response to co	mment R1-99.			Comment 1		Comment Status D		
The response to cor	mment R1-99 was:			This se	ction does no	e black link optical impairments		es not need to be met
PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested	Remedy			
Implement remedy	with editorial license.			Add tex	t ti this section	on indicating that this OSNR To k Link impairments.	lerance does not	t need to be met in the
C/ 154 SC 154.9.	15 P119	L 13	# <u>R</u> 1-98	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
Maniloff, Eric	ciena			PROPO	DSED REJEC	, ст.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		Bucket					
This section should impairments.	clearly state that this OSNR tole	erance must be r	net after the black link	include	s the stateme	es a reference to Recommenda ent "The receiver OSNR toleran tic dispersion, non-linear effects	ce does not have	e to be met in the
SuggestedRemedy						crosstalk; these effects are sp		
	er OSNR shall be within the limi ver range specified in Table 154 nts.			maxim	im optical pa	th OSNR penalty." o comment R1-4.	·	
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.							
	d an an a data state a d'An at al 12 a conserv							

Implement proposed remedy with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.9.16 Page 24 of 30 2/18/2021 11:07:55 AM

	P 119		# R1-4	C/ 154	SC 154.9.16	P 119	L 22	# R1-79
Stassar, Peter	Huawei Techn	ologies Co., Ltd		Dawe, Piers	IG	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		
the OSNR tolerance has t parameters, and could be Clause 154. The paramet case EVM. Therefore the	-86 it was agreed to add "th o be met with worst case E a precedent to unnecessar er definitions in G.698.2 are Note should be deleted	VM." However, this ily copy material fr	s would apply to all rom G.698.2 into	in the EV scope, ur worst dist receivers	Vrms definition like other 80: ortion/noise b will react diffe	omment 85 about jitter bandw on, although it is done in a wa 2.3 optical clauses. "worst-ca out little jitter or worst jitter but erently to these alternatives.	y that is very spe se values of EVI	ecific to a real-time Mrms" could mean
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	-			
Delete the Note to Receiv	er OSNR tolerance in 154.9	9.16				in the definition of receiver OS led, analogous to the stressed		
	Response Status W				ents in other			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W		
For TF discussion.				PROPOS	ED REJECT			
				samples I Therefore P802.3ct/ previous I Hence it i The propervarious di This would would new somethin, because i Related of signal stra amplified support n Y - 19 N - 5 A - 3 As a resu documen Because applies to	N in EVM tes this commen D3.0 and IEE ballot. s not within the osed remedy fferent cases d imply that vice d to be spece g the Task For t would be vice omment I-55 ength, sinuso case, OSNR ot making an It it was conce t on commen OSNR tolera low OSNR to	comment 85 IEEE P802.3ct/D ting and not about jitter bandw nt does not apply to the subst EP802.3ct/D3.1 or the unsat he scope of the recirculation b would imply that the receiver of worst case EVM and thus various transmitter impairmen ified separately for impact on brce has not agreed to do for the to D3.0 to add clear, specific idal jitter, EVM_RMS, IQ offset, was rejected by the Task For y changes to the draft based of luded that there was no conset t 1-55. Ince is a parameter similar to a conditions (lower than 35 dB) is a conditions (equal to or larger	vidth. antive changes b cisfied negative of pallot. performance wo for all kinds of d ts, for instance lo the specification the specification the worst case co receiver sensitive t, chromatic dis rece after a straw on this comment ensus to make a receiver sensitivi n contrast to rec	between IEEE comments from the uld be specified for ifferent impairments. Q offset, IQ skew, jitte formance, which is of OSNR tolerance, ndition. vity criteria, addressing persion, and for the poll was taken: "I t."

C/ 154 SC 154.9.19	P 119	L 36	# R1-87	C/ 154	SC 154.12.4.	6	P 139	L 22	# R1-65
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Ran, Adee			Intel Corporat	ion	
Comment Type TR Comment	t Status D			Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment S	Status D		Buck
Need to specify what receiver would	be used.					rom the clean	document - it a	appears as 154.	13.4.6 in the diff
SuggestedRemedy				docume	nt)				
Is it the reference receiver in Annex	A of G.698.2?				k link requireme	nt", black shou	ıld be capitaliz	ed as a first wor	d (though not in the
Proposed Response Response	Status W			title).					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	-E.			SuggestedR	2				
Modify first sentence of 154.9.19 to:				Per com	iment.				
"The optical path penalty shall be wi apparent reduction of receiver sensit transmission over the black link, usir	thin the limit given tivity due to distor	rtion of the signa	al during its	Proposed R PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response S	tatus W		
Recommendation G.698.2."	ig the reference i	eceiver as delli	ieu in Annex A oi	C/ 154	SC 154-7		P 121	L 7	# R1-53
C/ 154 SC 154.10	P 127	L 26	# R1-58	D'Ambrosia	John		Futurewei Teo	chnologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei
				Comment T	/pe TR	Comment S	Status D	-	·
D'Ambrosia, John		inologies, U.S.	Subsidiary of Huawei	This sta	tement is probl	ematic -			
	t Status D						chnology is us	ed to transport n	nultiple DWDM
The black link characteristic Fiber c frequencies is not defined in 154-10		on slope at cha	nnel center	channel	s over a single	liber			
SuggestedRemedy									ngle fiber - 100 Gb/s
add definition					t is full duplex - ⁄o channels ma				DM channels, and
	Status W			SuggestedR					
Proposed Response Response				Ouggesteur					
Proposed Response Response PROPOSED REJECT.					-				
PROPOSED REJECT.				Propose DWDM	d revision- technology allo				over a single fiber. Fo
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the				Propose DWDM commu	d revision- technology allo nication betwee	n two 100GBA	SE-ZR PHYs 1	two channels wil	I be required - one
PROPOSED REJECT.	ed negative comn	nents from the p		Propose DWDM commun channel	ed revision- technology allo nication betwee in each directio	n two 100GBA	SE-ZR PHYs t ion. These tw	two channels wil o channels may	l be required - one reside on a single
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfie Hence it is not within the scope of th	ed negative comn ne recirculation ba	nents from the p Illot.	previous ballots.	Propose DWDM commu channel optical f specifica	d revision- technology allo nication betwee in each directio ber or a single aiton	n two 100GBA on of transmiss fiber per direct	SE-ZR PHYs t ion. These tw ion. A black li	two channels wil o channels may nk methodology	I be required - one reside on a single is used to allow
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfie Hence it is not within the scope of th Fiber chromatic dispersion slope is a	ed negative comn ne recirculation ba a common fiber p	nents from the p illot. arameter, not re	orevious ballots. equiring a definition.	Propose DWDM commun channel optical f specifica Add two	d revision- technology allo nication betwee in each directio ber or a single aiton figures showin	n two 100GBA on of transmiss fiber per direct g single directi	SE-ZR PHYs to ion. These tw ion. A black li on or bidirection	two channels wil o channels may nk methodology	l be required - one reside on a single
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfie Hence it is not within the scope of th	ed negative comn ne recirculation ba a common fiber p	nents from the p illot. arameter, not re	orevious ballots. equiring a definition.	Propose DWDM commun channel optical f specifica Add two Proposed R	d revision- technology allo nication betwee in each direction ber or a single aiton figures showin esponse	n two 100GBA on of transmiss fiber per direct g single direction <i>Response</i> S	SE-ZR PHYs t ion. These tw ion. A black li on or bidirection tatus W	two channels wil o channels may nk methodology	I be required - one reside on a single is used to allow
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment does not apply to the IEEE P802.3ct/D3.0 or the unsatisfie Hence it is not within the scope of th Fiber chromatic dispersion slope is a Furthermore this parameter is used	ed negative comn ne recirculation ba a common fiber p	nents from the p illot. arameter, not re	orevious ballots. equiring a definition.	Propose DWDM commun channel optical f specifica Add two Proposed R	d revision- technology allo nication betwee in each directio ber or a single aiton figures showin	n two 100GBA on of transmiss fiber per direct g single direction <i>Response</i> S	SE-ZR PHYs t ion. These tw ion. A black li on or bidirection tatus W	two channels wil o channels may nk methodology	I be required - one reside on a single is used to allow

C/ 154A SC 154A	P 131	L 9	# R1-81	C/ 154A	SC 154A.1	P 131	L 40	# R1-75
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corp	oration	
Comment Type T This (welcome) annex is	Comment Status D not about applications.				ure 154A-1 is	Comment Status D a black link requirement and cks TP3 test point and could		
	where "black link" could als	so be omitted as	shown by square	parame Suggested		eceive OSNR tolerance, et	0.	
	-ZR compliant black links" ples with OSNR at TP3 be	tween 19.5 dB (1	2.5 GHz) and 35 dB			the Figure 154A-1 title to '1 P3 versus link-delivered pov		requirements for link-
For any [black link] distar Specifically in an example						Y axis naming to 'link-delive X axis naming to 'link-delive		
154A.3 [Black link] exam four examples with OSNF	ple with OSNR at TP3 grea R at TP3	ater than or equa	to 35 dB (12.5 GHz)	Proposed F	,	Response Status W		
point-to-point Ethernet lin	k, but rather a single chann k where el example [black link] with	-				T IN PRINCIPLE.	5 GHz)"	
Proposed Response	Response Status W		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C/ 154A	SC 154A.2	P 132	L33	# R1-89
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.			Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		
Change title of Annex 154A to: "Examples of 100GBASE-ZR compliant DWDM black links". Furthermore the text under 154A.3 already includes the statement "The example in Table 154A-5 is a separate case, because the black link does not contain an optical multiplexer				must b 34 dB ເ	otal black link e between -8 t	Comment Status D passive loss of 34 dB, Tx -8 o 0 dBm so the amplificatio knows or reacts appropriate 2 dB.	n must be between	34-8 = 26 and 34-0 =
	so that the fiber plant inside nannel link, and therefore a			Suggested	Remedy			
	IDs at TP2 and TP3 are co			Provide examp		ation as to how this is to wo	rk, or change to the	e simple conservative
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
				PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
				Madifi	4h a			

Modify the relevant sentence to:

"This suggests an amplification between 18 dB per channel (for maximum difference between black link input and output power of 16 dB) and 42 dB per channel (for a maximum difference between black link input and output power of -8 dB)."

Exactly how optical amplifiers react to varying operating conditions (power, number of channels, etcetera) is the responsibility of the black link designer and not within the scope of Clause 154.

C/ 154a	SC 154a.2	P 145	L18	# R1-59
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewei Te	chnologies, U.S	. Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status D		
	rding "The black tion space.	link in this operation space.	" can be misint	erpretted to mean the
Suggested	Remedy			
The DV	VDM link design	ed for this region of operatio	n	
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
		IN PRINCIPLE. editorial license and accord	ing TF agreeme	nts on terminology.
			ing TF agreeme L 24	nts on terminology. # <u>R</u> 1-60
Implem	ent remedy with SC 154a.2	editorial license and accord P 145	L 24	
Implem	ent remedy with SC 154a.2 a, John	editorial license and accord P 145	L 24	# R1-60
Implem Cl 154a D'Ambrosia Comment T	ent remedy with SC 154a.2 a, John <i>ype</i> ER	editorial license and accord <i>P</i> 145 Futurewei Te	L 24 chnologies, U.S	# R1-60 . Subsidiary of Huawei
Implem Cl 154a D'Ambrosia Comment 7 It is und Suggested	ent remedy with SC 154a.2 a, John <i>Type</i> ER clear what is me	P145 Futurewei Te Comment Status D ant by - "needs to be dimens	L 24 chnologies, U.S	# R1-60 . Subsidiary of Huawei

C/ 154A SC 154A.3	P 133	L 6	# R1-35
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televis	sion Laboratorie	s Inc. (CableLabs)
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		

It may be worth noting that these examples are all assuming worst case impairments: the receiver sensitivity without impairments is 30 dBm, but in the presence of the worst case optical impairments that the system is required to tolerate there is a maximum 3dB optical path penalty, resulting in the quoted "Average Receive Power" of 27 dB. That is probably the correct figure to use in the calculations, but there could be value in making it clear to the reader -- since it is an example -- that this is a worst case scenario, so to speak.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the following sentences:

"The achievable distances across the multi-channel fiber between the optical multiplexer and demultiplexer will be determined by the total loss from TP2 to TP3, less the total loss of optical multiplexer and demultiplexer, and the loss of potentially present patch panel connectors. The maximum allowable loss over the black link can be calculated from the difference between the minimum average receive power (at TP3) and the minimum transmitter average channel output power (at TP2), which is 19 dB."

To read as follows:

"The achievable distances across the multi-channel fiber between the optical multiplexer and demultiplexer will be determined by the total loss from TP2 to TP3, less the total loss of optical multiplexer and demultiplexer, the loss of potentially present patch panel connectors, and the optical path penalty due to impairments. The maximum allowable loss over the black link can therefore be calculated from the difference between the minimum average receive power (at TP3) and the minimum transmitter average channel output power (at TP2), which is 19 dB."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement remedy with editorial license.

CI 154A SC 154A.3	P 133	L 29	# R1-34	C/ 154A SC 154A.3		P 133	L 29	# R1-33
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televis	ion Laboratories	Inc. (CableLabs)	Schmitt, Matthew		Cable Televis	sion Laboratorie	s Inc. (CableLabs)
The use of the term "4:1 op				<i>Comment Type</i> T The values chosen f		iplexer, optica		
implies that each table/calc key difference between eac				Table 154A-2 all see from a variety of mar loss of 5 dB for a 40	nufacturers from t	his product sp	bace, for exampl	e it was agreed that a
I would suggest modifying t specific table.	hose entries to match wh	at is actually be	ing used in that		atch panel) was m	ore than suff	icient. As a resu	It, while admittedly an
SuggestedRemedy				example with suitabl underestimates what				each significantly
In Table 154A-2, change "A		ptical multiplexe	er" to read "Allocation			innoniy poool		
for loss of 40 channel optica				The same patch pan should be updated to	o remain consister	nt, while the n		
In Table 154A-2, change "A for loss of 40 channel optica		plical demultiple	exer to read Allocation	evaluated as well (as	s they may also be	e high).		
				l can bring a contribu	ution to address th	is point in the	e future.	
In Table 154A-3, change "A for loss of 16 channel optica		ptical multiplexe	er" to read "Allocation	SuggestedRemedy				
In Table 154A-3, change "A for loss of 16 channel optica	llocation for loss of 4:1 c	ptical demultiple	exer" to read "Allocation	Modify the multiplexe to 5 dB, and modify calculations as appro	the patch panel lo			able 154A-2 from 6 dB late the resulting
In Table 154A-4, change "A for loss of 4 channel optical		ptical multiplexe	er" to read "Allocation	Also modify the patc 4, and 154A-5.	h panel loss figure	e from 2 dB to	o 1 dB for each o	of Tables 154A-3, 154A
In Table 154A-4, change "A for loss of 4 channel optical		ptical demultiple	exer" to read "Allocation	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response S PT IN PRINCIPLE			
In Table 154A-5, remove th "Allocation for loss of 4:1 op the example.				Add a note to the se values lead to other				rvative and that other
Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.							
Cut-and-paste error in the T Implement remedy with edit								

C/ 154A SC 154A.3	P 133	L 35	# R1-36	C/ 154A	SC 154A.3	P 133	L 46	# R1-74		
Schmitt, Matthew	Cable Televis	sion Laboratories	s Inc. (CableLabs)	Zhang, Bo		Inphi Corpora	tion			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D				
a fiber attenuation figure dB/km. However, in subo dB/km.	3, 154A-4, and 154A-5, the of 0.275 dB/km, and one fo clause 154A.2, the text ma	or a fiber attenua kes reference to	ation figure of 0.21 using a figure of 0.25	title fro for los	om 40 channels s of 4:1 optical are reduced fi	A-2, 154A-3, 154A-4, while one s, down to 16 channels and the multiplexer/demultiplexer' rema rom 6, to 4 and then 2dB, which	n 4 channels, th ain the same in	e line items 'allocation description yet the		
	y wrong per se since the ack of consistency in the te			Suggestea	IRemedy					
sections more aligned.						the description name 'allocatior				
SuggestedRemedy						exer', and adjust their values ac upler would incur 3.x dB loss).	cordingly to ma	ke practical sense (for		
	ible, including (but not limit			Proposed	,	Response Status W				
1. Modifying the text in 1: 154A.3:	54A.2 to refer to the same	range of values a	as used in the tables in	PROP	OSED ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPLE.				
2. Modifying the 0.275 dE one of the values being u	3/km value to 0.25 dB/km in ised aligns with the text in 154A.3 to all use a single	154A.2; or		See re	sponse to com	nment R1-34.				
	Response Status W			The response to comment R1-34 was:						
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	•			PROP	OSED ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPLE.				
Modify examples in 154A	.3 to refer to 0.25 dB/km fi	ber loss. With ec	ditorial license.		id-paste error i nent remedy w	n the Tables. ith editorial license.				
				C/ A	SC A	P 140	L 14	# R1-66		
				Ran, Adee)	Intel Corporat	tion			
				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D				
						J-T G.698.2 is referenced multi list (in the current 2018 revision		not included in the		
				S <i>uggestea</i> Add IT	IRemedy ⁻ U-T G.698.2.					
				Proposed	Response	Response Status W				

PROPOSED REJECT.

ITU-T G.698.2 is already listed as a normative reference on page 21, line 45 of D3.1.

C/ A SC A