| C/ 1 SC 1.4 | P 22 | L 40 | # R1-1 | C/ 154 | SC 154.9.16 | P119 | L 21 | # <u>R</u> 1-4 |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Stassar, Peter | Huawei Techr | ologies Co., Ltd | | Stassar, Pe | eter | Huawei Tecl | hnologies Co., Ltd | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | |
| can be regarded as ge or alternatively we wo SuggestedRemedy | | | | the OS parame Clause | NR tolerance ha eters, and could 154. The paran | nt i-86 it was agreed to add as to be met with worst case be a precedent to unneces neter definitions in G.698.2 he Note should be deleted | e EVM." However, sarily copy materia | this would apply to all al from G.698.2 into |
| Delete the definition for | or DWDM system | | | Suggested | Remedv | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | 00 | , | eiver OSNR tolerance in 15 | 4.9.16 | |
| | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| C/ 154 SC 154.6 | P111 | L 21 | # R1-2 | | | | | |
| Stassar, Peter | Huawei Techr | ologies Co., Ltd | | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.160a | P 22 | L14 | # R1-5 |
| operation. Unfortanate SuggestedRemedy Add "Furthermore bi-d the multi-channel fiber | Comment Status X omments to D3.0 it was agree ely the editor omitted to do that lirectional transmission over r inside the black link is not pre- tionally add "Bi-directional tran | t. Need to add text ecluded." to the No | te on line 43 of page | Suggested Remov | Type ER ink" refers to a r Remedy e the definition t | Nokia Comment Status X method of link specification, for "black link". In its place, | insert "black link n | |
| | not precluded" to Figure 154- | | | | | ut, output, and transfer char /een TP2 to TP3 for a given | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | the tran Figure | nsmission path i 154–3)". | s implemented. (See, for ex of "black link" used as a nou | ample, IEEE Std 8 | 302.3, Clause 154, |
| C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 | P 115 | L 21 | # R1-3 | Ensure | | es of "black link" as an adje | | |
| Stassar, Peter | Huawei Techr | ologies Co., Ltd | | link me | thodology" (rath | er than "black link approach | n") throughout the | draft. |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | |
| informative. Because | ent i-42 it was agreed to make Receiver OSNR tolerance is e Receiver OSNR tolerance infor | quivalent to Receiv | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | Receiver OSNR tolerance "info | ormative" by adding | words to note h | | | | | |
| | | manve by adding | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | | | |

| C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237a | P 22 | L 31 | # <u>R</u> 1-6 | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237d | P 22 | L 40 | # R1-9 |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|
| rowbridge | e, Stephen | Nokia | | | Trowbridg | je, Stephen | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status X | | | Comment | tType ER | Comment Status X | | |
| | | will replace most instances | | | "DWE | OM System" is an | unnecessary term. | | |
| | | nannel is specified using the l | black link metho | dology. | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| Suggested | | | | | Delet | e the definition for | "DWDM System". Related | changes covered | I in other comments. |
| and tra | ansfer characteris | end of the definition of "DWDI stics of the uni-directional tran re specified using the black lin | smission path b | etween TP2 and TP3 | Proposed | l Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status O | | | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.400a | P 22 | L 45 | # R1-10 |
| | | | | | Trowbridg | je, Stephen | Nokia | | |
| C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237b | P 22 | L 34 | # R1-7 | Comment | Type ER | Comment Status X | | |
| rowbridge | e, Stephen | Nokia | | | | | A link is removed by another | comment, but is | n't really necessary fo |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status X | | | | • | ation dependent loss | | |
| "DWD | M link" is an unne | ecessary term, which usually | has identical me | eaning to "DWDM | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| | el" where it is use | | | 0 | Chan | ge the definition o | f polarization dependent los | s to "The variatio | n of insertion loss due |
| Suggested | lRemedy | | | | to var | iation of the state | of polarization of an optical | signal over all sta | |
| 00 | | or DWDM link. Related chan | ues to the draft a | are covered in other | within | the channel freq | uency or wavelength range." | | |
| comm | | | ,00 10 110 1101 | | Proposed | Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | C/ 30 | SC 30.5.1.1.2 | 2 P 29 | L 8 | # R1-11 |
| 2/1 | SC 1.4.237c | P 22 | L37 | # R1-8 | Trowbridg | je, Stephen | Nokia | | |
| rowbridae | e, Stephen | Nokia | | | Comment | tType ER | Comment Status X | | |
| Comment | • | Comment Status X | | | Remo | ove deleted definit | ion "DWDM system" from ex | xplanation of 100 | GBASE-ZR aMAUTy |
| | 51 | ecessary and misleading defir | nition The refere | ence points adjacent to | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| | |) are single-channel reference | | | | | PCS/100GBASE-ZR PMA | over a DWDM sv | stem PMD with reach |
| combi | ned using DWDM | on paths (DWDM channels) u I in the middle of the link does | | | up to | at least 80 km as | specified in Clause 154" to reach up to 80km as specifie | "100GBASE-R P | CS/100GBASE-ZR |
| PHY is | s named. | | | | | l Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| Suggested | , | | | | | - | | | |
| | ve the definition E hout the draft. | OWDM PHY. Replace "DWDN | A PHY" with "10 | 0GBASE-ZR PHY" | | | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

| C/ 154 SC 154.6 | P111 | L 27 | # <u>R</u> 1-12 | C/ 154 | SC 154.6 | P 112 | L 22 | # <u>R</u> 1-15 |
|---|--|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| Frowbridge, Stephen | Nokia | | | Trowbridge | , Stephen | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type ER | Comment Status X | | | Comment 7 | Type ER | Comment Status X | | |
| | e been changed to "DWDM char oved by another comment. | nel" by an earlie | r comment. The term | | d the paragraph d "DWDM syst | n following Figure 154-3 to a em" | void the use of de | leted terms "DWDM |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Change "Functions contained within the | carried out by the DWDM link and DWDM channel include …" | re" to "Functio | ns that may be | "The 10 | 00GBASE-ZR F | n following Figure 154-3 to re PMD is specified for operation | n over a single D | WDM channel. An |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | grid def numbei | fined by Table rs to optical cha | nnel operates on a frequenc 154-5, which shows the map annel center frequencies. Th | ping of 100GBAS | E_ZR channel index ds to a subset of the |
| 7 154 SC 154.6 | P 111 | L 38 | # R1-13 | | | l with 100 GHz spacing defir ture may support between 1 | | |
| rowbridge, Stephen | Nokia | 200 | # [(1-10 | on a dif | fferent frequend | cy. For a given DWDM chan | nel, the 100GBAS | E-ZR Tx, the |
| Comment Type ER | Comment Status X | | | | ated DWDM cha al center freque | annel, and the 100GBASE-2 | ZR Rx are configu | red to support the sam |
| | ik is proposed to remove by ano | other comment | | Proposed F | | Response Status O | | |
| uggestedRemedy | k" to "DWDM channel" | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.35b | P 22 | L 9 | # R1-16 |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | Huber, Tho | mas | Nokia | | |
| | | | | Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment Status X | | |
| / 154 SC 154.6 | P 112 | L15 | # R1-14 | Since the | his is the first u | se of DP-DQPSK it should I | be expanded | |
| rowbridge, Stephen | Nokia | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Comment Type ER Figure 154-3 incons | Comment Status X | esulting from oth | er comments. | Expand DQPSk | | o dual polarization differentia | l quadrature phas | e shift keying (DP- |
| uggestedRemedy | | - | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| Remove the words ' | "Black link" from the grey box in ample configuration" | Figure 154-3. Cł | nange the figure title to | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | C/ 30 | SC 30.5.1.1 | | L13 | # R1-17 |
| | | | | Huber, Tho | | Nokia | | |
| | | | | Comment 7 Missing | <i>Гуре</i> Е g an 's' in suppo | Comment Status X | | |
| | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | -only value that indicates if a that indicates if a | | |

| | SC 30.5.1.1.28 | P 28 | L 14 | # <u>R</u> 1-18 | C/ 30 | SC 30.5.1.1. | 30 P28 | L 47 | # <u>R</u> 1-21 |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Huber, Tho | omas | Nokia | | | Huber, Th | iomas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status X | | |
| | | specification of what value | the attribute take | es for a PHY that does | Missi | ng an 's' in suppo | orts | | |
| • | pport RS-FEC at th | ie MDI. | | | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| Suggested | • | | | | | | only value that indicates | | |
| | , | indicate the value that the IDI, or indicate that the attr | | | | 2 | that indicates if a PHY th | at supports RS-FEC | at the MDI…" |
| Proposed I | | Response Status O | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status O | | |
| | | | | | C/ 30 | SC 30.5.1.1. | 30 P28 | L 48 | # R1-22 |
| C/ 30 | SC 30.5.1.1.29 | | L 30 | # <u>R</u> 1-19 | Huber, Th | iomas | Nokia | | |
| luber, Tho | | Nokia | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | |
| Comment T Missing | <i>Type</i> E g an 's' in supports | Comment Status X | | | | e should be a clea upport RS-FEC a | ar specification of what va t the MDI. | alue the attribute take | es for a PHY that does |
| Currented | Remedy | | | | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| Suggestea | ntoniouy | | | | | | | | |
| Chang | e from: "A read-on | ly value that indicates if a f it indicates if a PHY that su | | | | | ner indicate the value tha MDI, or indicate that the | | |
| Chang | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha | | | | suppo | | | | |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed I | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha | t indicates if a PHY that su | | | suppo | ort RS-FEC at the | e MDI, or indicate that the <i>Response Status</i> O | | |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed I | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> SC 30.5.1.1.29 | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O | upports RS-FEC | at the MDI" | suppo Proposed | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1. | e MDI, or indicate that the <i>Response Status</i> O | e attribute doesn't ap | ply to such PHYs. |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed F | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 30.5.1.1.29 pmas | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O P 28 | upports RS-FEC | at the MDI" | suppo Proposed Cl 45 | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1 . | e MDI, or indicate that the <i>Response Status</i> O 133a.1 <i>P</i> 33 | e attribute doesn't ap | ply to such PHYs. |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed I C/ 30 Huber, Tho Comment 7 There s | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> SC 30.5.1.1.29 omas <i>Type</i> TR should be a clear s | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O P28 Nokia Comment Status X specification of what value | L31 | at the MDI" # <u>R1-20</u> | suppo Proposed CI 45 Huber, Th Comment | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1. nomas Type E | MDI, or indicate that the <i>Response Status</i> O 133a.1 <i>P</i> 33 Nokia | e attribute doesn't ap <i>L</i> 30 | ply to such PHYs. |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed P C/ 30 Huber, Tho Comment 7 There s not sup | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 30.5.1.1.29 omas <i>Type</i> TR should be a clear s pport RS-FEC at th | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O P28 Nokia Comment Status X specification of what value | L31 | at the MDI" # <u>R1-20</u> | suppo Proposed Cl 45 Huber, Th Comment A cha Suggeste | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1. nomas Type E nnnel index corres dRemedy | MDI, or indicate that the Response Status O 133a.1 P33 Nokia Comment Status X sponds to a single (cente | e attribute doesn't ap <i>L</i> 30 r) frequency | ply to such PHYs. # <u>R1-23</u> |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed I C/ 30 Huber, Tho Comment T There s not sup Suggested | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> SC 30.5.1.1.29 omas <i>Type</i> TR should be a clear s pport RS-FEC at th <i>IRemedy</i> | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O P28 Nokia Comment Status X specification of what value the MDI. | <i>L</i> 31 | at the MDI" # <u>R1-20</u> es for a PHY that does | suppo Proposed Cl 45 Huber, Th Comment A cha Suggeste Chan | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1. Nomas Type E Innel index corres dRemedy ge from: "The cha | e MDI, or indicate that the Response Status O 133a.1 P33 Nokia Comment Status X sponds to a single (cente annel index number indic | e attribute doesn't ap <i>L</i> 30 r) frequency ates the correspondi | ply to such PHYs. # <u>R1-23</u> ing optical frequencies" |
| Chang to: "A r Proposed I Cl 30 Huber, Tho Comment 7 There s not sup Suggested Add te: | e from: "A read-on read-only value tha <i>Response</i> SC 30.5.1.1.29 omas <i>Type</i> TR should be a clear s pport RS-FEC at th <i>IRemedy</i> ext to clarify - either | t indicates if a PHY that su Response Status O P28 Nokia Comment Status X specification of what value | <i>L</i> 31 the attribute takes for | at the MDI" # <u>R1-20</u> es for a PHY that does or PHYs that don't | suppo Proposed CI 45 Huber, Th Comment A cha Suggeste Chan to: "T | ort RS-FEC at the Response SC 45.2.1.1. Nomas Type E Innel index corres dRemedy ge from: "The cha | MDI, or indicate that the Response Status O 133a.1 P33 Nokia Comment Status X sponds to a single (cente | e attribute doesn't ap <i>L</i> 30 r) frequency ates the correspondi | ply to such PHYs. # <u>R1-23</u> ing optical frequencies" |

| C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1 | .133e.2 P37 | L 39 | # <u>R</u> 1-24 | C/ 154 SC 154.6 | P111 | L17 | # <u>R</u> 1-27 |
|--|--|-----------------|------------------------|---|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | |
| A channel index corre | esponds to a single (center) fre | equency | | Missing a comma | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| | nannel index number indicates x number indicates the corres | | | 5 | referred to as a DWDM chanr M channel, which is defined | | ed" to::"also |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| C/ 153 SC 153.2.3 | 2.7 P92 | L 40 | # R1-25 | C/ 154 SC 154.6 | <i>P</i> 111 | L 22 | # R1-28 |
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | |
| <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing an article bef | Comment Status X ore FEC frame | | | Comment Type T Second paragraph w | Comment Status X sould read better if the first sent | ence was split in | to two. |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| | ups of 16 octets are distribute ted from the FEC frame…" | d from FEC fram | e" to: "51 groups of | Change from: "Because in this appl | ication DWDM technology is u | sed to transport r | nultiple DWDM |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | specification of the (s | e fiber, a black link specification ingle channel) DWDM the effects of other DWDM ch | annels, simultan | |
| C/ 154 SC 154.1 | P105 | L 8 | # R1-26 | | the link, have been taken into | account. | |
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | to: | | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | "In this application, D | WDM technology is used to er | able the transpo | rt of multiple DWDM |
| Duplication of "fiber b | ased", and missing a hyphen | | | | e fiber. A black link specificatio | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | other DWDM channe | ingle) DWDM channel in a way Is that may be simultaneously | | |
| | is a single-mode fiber based f channel" to: "which is a sin | | | link." | | | |
| division multiplexing (| | gie-mode moet-b | aseu uense wavelenglit | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |

Proposed Response F

Response Status 0

| / 154 SC | C 154.6 | P111 | L 27 | # R1-29 | C/ 154 | SC 1 | 154.1 | P105 | L 8 | # <u>R</u> 1-31 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| uber, Thomas | | Nokia | | | Schmitt, M | Matthew | | Cable Televisio | on Laboratories | s Inc. (CableLabs) |
| omment Type | TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | t Type | Е | Comment Status X | | |
| uggestedReme | edy | phs would read better if they with this text: Figure 154–3 | | - | to a c (DWE | copy/past DM) chan | e error: inel" | ed" is repeated in the first sente "fiber based fiber based dense | | |
| | | the black link methodology a | | | Suggeste | - | | | | |
| | | 2 and TP3. The DWDM ch plexing supporting simultane | | | | | | "fiber based" in that sentence | | |
| DWDM chai shaded box | nnels on a si in Figure 15 | ngle fiber, and may also inc 4-3 is used to indicate that t ide the scope of this clause | lude optical amp he implementati | lification. The grey- on details of the | Proposed | l Respon | se | Response Status O | | |
| | | e is not intended to place co | | | C/ 154 | SC 1 | 154.8 | P115 | L 49 | # <u>R</u> 1-32 |
| roposed Respo | onse | Response Status 0 | | | Schmitt, M | Matthew | | Cable Televisio | on Laboratories | s Inc. (CableLabs) |
| | | | | | Comment | t Type | Е | Comment Status X | | |
| uber, Thomas comment Type | TR | Nokia Comment Status X | | # <u>R1-30</u> | value it see | in othe | er words hese two | alty (max), for OSNR at TP3 >= the, the OSNR penalty is the parameters could be consolic | same regardles | s of the OSNR level - |
| | | or use by 100GBASE-ZR is | | | Suggeste | dRemed | y | | | |
| thus have la that the infra | arger than 10 astructure su | acing. While a deployment 0 GHz spacing, there is no i pports | | | "Optio | cal path (| ⊃SNR p€ | SNR penalty (max), for OSNR enalty (max)", and delete the ta 23 >= 35dB (12.5 GHz)". | | |
| uggestedReme | , | | | | Proposed | | | Response Status 0 | | |
| Recommene maximum of | dation ITU-T If 48 /er a DWDM at least | sponds to the DWDM freque G.694.1. The 100GBASE-Z system, supporting betweer a subset of the DWDM freq | R PMD specific | ation covers a nels, with a channel | | | | | | |

| | A.0. D400 | 1.00 | # 54.00 | | 00 4544.0 | D400 | 1.00 | # 54.94 | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| C/ 154A SC 154 | | L 29 | # <u>R</u> 1-33 | C/ 154A | SC 154A.3 | P 133 | L 29 | # <u>R</u> 1-34 | | |
| Schmitt, Matthew | Cable Televis | sion Laboratories | Inc. (CableLabs) | Schmitt, Ma | atthew | Cable Televis | ion Laboratories | Inc. (CableLabs) | | |
| Table 154A-2 all from a variety of a loss of 5 dB for a excessive compa distribution frame example with suit underestimates w The same patch should be update | Comment Status X en for the optical multiplexer, optic seem excessive. In an activity at manufacturers from this product sp 40 channel mux or demux was as ared to modern equipment. Similar (patch panel) was more than suff table disclaimers, the resulting exa what is most likely commonly poss panel values are also used in Table d to remain consistent, while the r (as they may also be high). | CableLabs involv pace, for example safe value to use rly, a value of 1 d icient. As a resul ample distance re ible. les 154A-3, 154A | ing representatives e it was agreed that a , and was probably B for an optical lt, while admittedly an ach significantly -4, and 154A-5 and | Comment Type E Comment Status X The use of the term "4:1 optical multiplexer" in Tables 154A-2, 154A-3, 154A-4, and 154A-implies that each table/calculation is using the exact same optical multiplexer; whereas the key difference between each table is the use of a different optical multiplexer. I would suggest modifying those entries to match what is actually being used in that specific table. SuggestedRemedy In Table 154A-2, change "Allocation for loss of 4:1 optical multiplexer" to read "Allocation for loss of 40 channel optical multiplexer". In Table 154A-2, change "Allocation for loss of 4:1 optical demultiplexer" to read "Allocation for loss of 4:1 optical demultiple | | | | | | |
| I can bring a cont S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Modify the multip | tribution to address this point in the lexer and demultiplexer allocation lify the patch panel loss from 2 dB | loss figures in Ta | | for loss In Tabl for loss In Tabl | s of 40 channel o le 154A-3, chang s of 16 channel o le 154A-3, chang | optical demultiplexer". ge "Allocation for loss of 4:1 o optical multiplexer". ge "Allocation for loss of 4:1 o optical demultiplexer". | optical multiplexe | r" to read "Allocation | | |
| Also modify the p 4, and 154A-5. | oatch panel loss figure from 2 dB to | o 1 dB for each o | f Tables 154A-3, 154A- | | | ge "Allocation for loss of 4:1 o otical multiplexer". | optical multiplexe | r" to read "Allocation | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | | | ge "Allocation for loss of 4:1 o otical demultiplexer". | optical demultiple | xer" to read "Allocation | | |
| | | | | | ation for loss of 4 | ve the entries for "Allocation : :1 optical demultiplexer" to re | | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

| C/ 154A SC 154A.3 | P 133 | L 6 | # R1-35 | C/ 154A | SC 154A.3 | P 133 | L 35 | # <u>R</u> 1-36 | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Schmitt, Matthew | Cable Televis | ion Laboratories | Inc. (CableLabs) | Schmitt, Matthew Cable Television Laboratories Inc. (CableLabs | | | | | | |
| Comment Type E C | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status X | | | | |
| It may be worth noting that a receiver sensitivity without in optical impairments that the path penalty, resulting in the the correct figure to use in the the reader since it is an e SuggestedRemedy Modify the following sentence | mpairments is 30 dBm, l system is required to to e quoted "Average Rece he calculations, but then xample that this is a w | but in the preser plerate there is a ive Power" of 27 e could be value | nce of the worst case maximum 3dB optical dB. That is probably in making it clear to | a fiber dB/km dB/km While t appare sectior | attenuation figu However, in s his isn't technic nt disconnect o as more aligned | A-3, 154A-4, and 154A-5 re of 0.275 dB/km, and o ubclause 154A.2, the tex ally wrong per se since r lack of consistency in th | ne for a fiber attenu t makes reference to they are all called o | ation figure of 0.21 o using a figure of 0.25 out this creates an | | |
| "The achievable distances a and demultiplexer will be de of optical multiplexer and de connectors. The maximum a difference between the mini transmitter average channe | termined by the total los emultiplexer, and the los allowable loss over the b mum average receive po | s from TP2 to T s of potentially p black link can be ower (at TP3) an | P3, less the total loss resent patch panel calculated from the id the minimum | 1. Mod 154A.3 2. Mod one of | Il options are po ifying the text ir s; ifying the 0.275 the values bein | ssible, including (but not 154A.2 to refer to the sa dB/km value to 0.25 dB/ g used aligns with the tes i in 154A.3 to all use a sin | ame range of values km in the 4 tables ir tt in 154A.2; or | 154.3, so that at least | | |
| To read as follows: | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status 0 | | | | |
| "The achievable distances a and demultiplexer will be de | | | · · | C/ 154 | SC 154.6 | P111 | L27 | # R1-37 | | |

and demultiplexer will be determined by the total loss from TP2 to TP3, less the total loss of optical multiplexer and demultiplexer, the loss of potentially present patch panel connectors, and the optical path penalty due to impairments. The maximum allowable loss over the black link can therefore be calculated from the difference between the minimum average receive power (at TP3) and the minimum transmitter average channel output power (at TP2), which is 19 dB."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X The project objectives include, "Provide a physical layer specification supporting 100 Gb/s

operation on a single wavelength capable of at least 80 km over a DWDM system." The draft defines DWDM system. See "Clause 1.4.237d DWDM system: An aggregate of DWDM links optically multiplexed and demultiplexed onto and off either a single optical fiber or a single optical fiber per direction." The text on line 27 of page 111 says, "Figure 154–3 shows a generic example of a black link." However, upon inspection, the example is not generic with respect to the definition of DWDM system. It depicts only one of the two cases. It depicts the case of "single optical fiber per direction." The tax on Zingle fiber, is not depicted. Accommodation is made in the draft for the Tx and Rx wavelengths.

SuggestedRemedy

Expand Figure 154-3 to include the case of a DWDM system using a "single optical fiber" for both directions in addition to the single optical fiber per direction that is already depicted. Expand test describing Fig. 154-3 to cover the addition.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

| C/ 154 SC 154.1 | P 114 | L 8 | # <u>R</u> 1-38 | C/ 154 | SC 154.6 | P 121 | L 7 | # <u>R</u> 1-40 |
|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Ran, Adee | Intel Corporat | tion | | Ran, Adee | | Intel Corporat | ion | |
| Comment Type E Comment | Status X | | | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status X | | |
| "fiber based" repeated twice | | | | (Subcl | uase number | is from the clean document - it | appears as 154. | 7 in the diff documen |
| SuggestedRemedy change "fiber based fiber based" to " Proposed Response Response 3 | | | | chann specifi DWDN | els over a sing cation of the (| plication DWDM technology is u gle fiber, a black link specification single channel) DWDM channe multaneously present on the mu | on methodology I in a way that th | is used to allow ne effects of other |
| C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 Ran, Adee | P 119 Intel Corporat | L 34 tion | # R1-39 | parent | | wkward statement. With all the i ficult to understand the logic an | | |
| Comment Type T Comment "Fixing the value of SIGNAL_DETEC layers to determine whether a valid s acquire frame alignment." This sentence does not make sense. signal is being received" regardless of reason that SIGNAL_DETECT is fixe upper layer) is required to make this acquire frame alignment" is not a me | T from the PMI ignal is being ro . The upper layor of the value of S ed to OK. In fac determination, | eceived, e.g., ac ers can "determi SIGNAL_DETEC t, in this PHY the | cording to the ability to ine whether a valid CT; this is not the e SC-FEC (a specific | "In this fiber. 7 DWDN | e this sentend application, o specify a s d channels sin cation method | ce to DWDM technology is used to tra ingle DWDM channel in a way t nultaneously present on the mu dology is used." <i>Response Status</i> O | hat the accounts | for effects of other |

The change of this subclause from the previous draft requires a clear statement that the signal detect functionality is the responsibility of the SC-FEC sublayer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to

"The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the SC-FEC sublayer (see 153.2.1)".

Proposed Response Res

Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

| C/ 154 SC 154.6 | P 122 | L 35 | # <u>R</u> 1-41 | C/ 154 | SC 154.7.1 | P 124 | L 24 | # <u>R</u> 1-42 | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Ran, Adee | Intel Corporation | on | | Ran, Adee | | Intel Corporati | on | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status X | | | |
| (Subcluase number is from | the clean document - it a | ppears as 154. | 7 in the diff document) | (Subcl | uase number is | from the clean document - it a | appears as 154. | 8.1 in the diff document) | |
| "The 100GBASE-ZR PME DWDM system, supporting 100 GHz." | | | | The transmit characteristics in Table 154–7 include "Average channel output power", shouldn't it be the transmitter power (at TP2)? The term "channel output" intuitively reads as the output of the DWDM channel (to which the transmitter is not responsible). | | | | | |
| This sentence reads as if a system. I assume this is no | ot the intent. | | | which i measu | is in 53.9.2 "Op irements shall l | erage channel output power" ro tical power measurements", al pe made through a short patch | nd 53.9 states th cable, between | nat "All optical 2 m and 5 m in length." | |
| "In a working DWDM link, t channel, and a 100GBASE | | | | | this amendme | o this measurement is at TP2. I ht. | Sut it is not clea | | |
| frequency." | | | | Suggested | | | | | |
| This should probably read | 100GBASE-7R link and th | he same center | frequency should be | 00 | - | out power" to "output power", b | oth in Table 154 | -7 and in 154.9.3. | |
| used, not just supported. | | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | 0 | son to keep the word "channel" | , state explicitly | in the table "at TP2". | |
| Change the quoted senten | ces to | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | | |
| "The 100GBASE-ZR PMD a DWDM system, where th ZR link, the 100GBASE-ZF | e channel spacing is at lea R Tx, the associated DWD | ast 100 GHz. In M channel, and | a working 100GBASE- | C/ 154 Ran, Adee | SC 154.7 | P 123 Intel Corporati | L 48 on | # R1-43 | |
| are all configured to have t | | requency." | | Comment | | Comment Status X | | | |
| Proposed Response R | Response Status O | | | | 51 | from the clean document - it a | appears as 154.8 | 8 in the diff document) | |
| | | | | ZR cor 154–12 Does i | npliant PMD op 2." t make sense t | for the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is berates over a black link me o define the operating range of ? a black link can work over rar | eting the speci the PMD when | fications in Table the black link | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | um transmit po | n Table 154–7 does not make s ower of 0 dBm (assuming this is | | | |
| | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | | | | | the body of this subclause is I e a detailed remedy). | kept, please clar | ify what the range | |

Consider deleting the text and table (keeping only the subclause hierarchy below 154.7).

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

| C/ 154 | SC 154.1 | P 115 | L 16 | # R1-45 | C/ 154 | SC 154.7 | P 120 | L 39 | # <u>R</u> 1-46 | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Ambrosia | a, John | Futurewei Tec | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | D'Ambrosi | a, John | Futurewei | Technologies, U.S | . Subsidiary of Huawei | | | |
| omment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | | | | |
| Ethern | | he line between the bottom of rectt. The bottom of the phys | | | Updated text for this subclause has essentially modified the defintion / name of the medi for the PHY, which disagrees with the defintion 1.4.237a DWDM channel. | | | | | | | |
| Jggested | IRemedy | | | | Text in 154.7 reads - The medium associated with the 100GBASE-ZR PMD is also | | | | | | | |
| | w Fig 154-1 whe m border | ere the line at the bottom of the | e physical layer | lines up with the MDI / | referred to as a DWDM channel which is defined as the transmission path on a single wavelength/frequency (referred to either by channel index number or channel center frequency) on a defined frequency grid between a DWDM PHY transmitting to another | | | | | | | |
| oposed i | Response | Response Status 0 | | | DWDM PHY over a black link." | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Furthermore, the heading of the subclause has now been modified and indicates the new medium to be The DWDM Channel over a black link. The medium is the DWDM channel. There was no agreement to change the definition. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | | | |
| | | | | "ZR = 2. Cha 3. Moc PMD i: chann (referr chann DWDM transm | PMD FOR DW ange heading of dify noted sente s also referred el which is defin ed to either by el index numbe M PHY hitting to anothe | odify "ZR = PMD FOR DWD DM CHANNEL" f 154.7 back to "The DWDM noce in comment to "The m to as a DWDM ned as the transmission pa r or channel center frequer er DWDM PHY. | 1 channel" edium associated w h on a single wave cy) on a defined fr | with the 100GBASE-ZR elength/frequency equency grid between a | | | | |
| | | | | | comm | | refinement of definition of D | | | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status O | | | | | |
| | | | | | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237 | a P 26 | L 31 | # <u>R</u> 1-47 | | | |
| | | | | | D'Ambrosi | a, John | Futurewei | Technologies, U.S | . Subsidiary of Huawei | | | |
| | | | | | Comment Definit | 51 | Comment Status X Channel can be improved. | | | | | |
| | | | | | Suggested | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ansmission patl ing (TP3). | h between a DWDM PHY t | ansmitting (TP2) to | o another DWDM PHY | | | |

| C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237b | P 26 | L 34 | # <u>R</u> 1-48 | C/ 1 | SC 1.4.160a | P 26 | L14 | # <u>R</u> 1-50 | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| D'Ambro | sia, John | Futurewei Te | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | D'Ambros | sia, John | Futurewei Te | echnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | | |
| Commen | nt Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Commen | t Type TR | Comment Status X | | | | |
| | definition of DWDN e term DWDM Link | / Link should not include the | e DWDM PHYs to | o align with ITU-T use | The term Black Link is used to represent the aggregate of DWDM Channels, as well as methodology to describe the input, output, and transfer characteristics of the uni-directio transmission path between TP2 to TP3 for a given DWDM channel are specified, withou | | | | | | |
| 00 | edRemedy nge definition of D\ | VDM Link to | | | | | ismission path is implement | | are specified, without | | |
| | | egate of DWDM channels ov | er either a single | optical fiber or a single | It is felt that this will cause future readers confusion. | | | | | | |
| optic | al fiber per directio | n | | | Suggeste | edRemedy | | | | | |
| for a link ເ meth | Il channels, from B used to describe ev nodology) should b | s the naming of the "gray bo lack link to DWDM link - the verything between TP2 and T e replaced with term DWDM | refore all instanc TP3 (and not the | es of the term Black | meth chara DWE | odology, and cha acteristics of the u M channel within | to Black Link Methodology nge definition to - the specif ni-directional transmission p a DWDM Link, without spec example, IEEE Std 802.3, | ication of the inpl ath between TP2 ifying how the tra | ut, output, and transfer to TP3 for a given Insmission path is | | |
| -roposed | d Response | Response Status O | | | • | Response | Response Status O | | , | | |
| C/ 1 | SC 1.4.237d | P 26 | L 40 | # R1-49 | C/ 154 | SC 154.1 | P114 | L 8 | # 04.54 | | |
|)'Ambro | sia, John | Futurewei Te | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | | | | | # <u>R1-51</u> | | |
| Commen | nt Type TR | Comment Status X | | | D'Ambros | | | echnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | | |
| The | proposed modifica | tion of DWDM Link will impa | ct the definition of | of DWDM System. | Comment Type E Comment Status X | | | | | | |
| Suggeste | edRemedy | | | | Redu | indant wording - w | hich is a single-mode fiber l | based fiber based | dense wavelength | | |
| Dele | te definition if defir | nition of DWDM Link is modfi | ied. | | 00 | edRemedy | | | | | |
| Proposed | d Response | Response Status O | | deleted second instance of "fiber based" | | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | Proposed | l Response | Response Status 0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2/ 154 | SC 154.7 | P 122 | L 37 | # <u>R</u> 1-52 | C/ 154 | SC 154.7 | | P 121 | L 45 | # <u>R</u> 1-54 |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
|)'Ambrosia | , John | Futurewei To | echnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | D'Ambrosia | a, John | | Futurewei Teo | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei |
| Comment T | | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Type TR | Commer | nt Status X | | |
| The following sentence has several problems 1. A 100GBASE-ZR PHY is required to support 1 to 48 channels, so therefore, a valid implementation could support only a single wavelength. Therefore, the use of the word "configured" is problematic for these types of PHY devices. In this instance the user would need to select the PHY that supports the wavelength that matches the desired DWDM channel. 2. A user might interpret the 100GBASE-ZR Rx to be in the same PHY device as the 100GBASE-ZR Tx. | | | | | accord inside. not sho Suggested Delete Label i | ing to the dra Therefore, t ow anything v <i>Remedy</i> all content w nside the boy | ft is not intendent the best way to within the box. thin the gray be "DWDM Link" | ox area of Fig 15 in white font. | onstraints on the c nstraints on the c 4-4. Change the | |
| uggestedl | Remedy | | | | | | | | | es of the DWDM link. |
| and 100 |)GBASE-ZR re | The channel center frequer ceiving should be selected t M channel to which the two | o support the sam | e channel center | and co | uld be moved | | as an example o tion annex 154A. | | VDM links supported, |
| Proposed F | , | Response Status O | TUUGBAGE-ZIN P | TT's are connected. | Proposed I | Response | Response | e Status O | | |
| / 154 | SC 154-7 | P121 | L7 | # R1-53 | C/ 154 | SC 154.7 | | P 122 | L 30 | # R1-55 |
| | | | - | | D'Ambrosia | a, John | | | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawe |
| 'Ambrosia comment T | | Comment Status X | crinologies, 0.3. | Subsidiary of Huawei | Comment | 51 | Commer | nt Status X | | |
| Becaus channe While it Etherne | ls over a single is true that mu et is full duplex vo channels ma | ation DWDM technology is u | happen over a sir vill utilize two DW | ngle fiber - 100 Gb/s | "The 1 where presen Three 1. Rep 2. Appi suppor | on the multic t, each sourc issues lace DWDM ropriate opera t multiple DV | hannel part insi ed by a separa system with DV | de the black link te 100GBASE-ZF /DM link ASE-ZR could be | multiple DWDM R transmitter." | art of a DWDM syster optical signals are I, and does not have |
| | - | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| communication betwe channel in each direc optical fiber or a singl specificaiton | | we the transport of multiple en two 100GBASE-ZR PHYs on of transmission. These f fiber per direction. A black ng single direction or bidirec <i>Response Status</i> O | two channels wil wo channels may link methodology | l be required - one reside on a single is used to allow | Each E of 1000 will req grid, de index r DWDM frequet should | GBASE-ZR F uire two DW efined by Tab numbers to th 1 frequency g ncy of the 10 be selected | MDs. Full dup DM channels. le 154–6, whicl e optical chann rid defined by F DGBASE-ZR PI to support the s | lex operation betw Each DWDM chann I shows the map lel center frequent Recommendation MD transmitting a | ween a pair of 10 annel operates o ping of the 100G icies. This grid o ITU-T G.694.1. and 100GBASE-2 nter frequency as | hission between a pain NGBASE-ZR PMDs n a DWDM frequency BASE-ZR channel corresponds to the The channel center ZR PMD receiving the DWDM channel |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response | e Status O | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

| | | | | | • | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|------------------------|
| C/ 154 | SC 154.81 | P 124 | L 47 | # <u>R1-56</u> | C/ 154a SC 154a.2 | P 145 | L18 | # <u>R</u> 1-59 |
| D'Ambrosia | a, John | Futurewei Tec | hnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | D'Ambrosia, John | Futurewei Te | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type ER | Comment Status X | | |
| | ansmit characteri ance are not defi | istics 1)Average launch powe ned in 154.10 | r of OFF transm | itter and 2) transmitter | The wording "The bla application space. | ack link in this operation space. | " can be misin | terpretted to mean the |
| S <i>ugg</i> ested add de | <i>IRemedy</i> efinitions. | | | | <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> The DWDM link desi | gned for this region of operatio | n | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| C/ 154 | SC 154.8.2 | P125 | L 31 | # R1-57 | C/ 154a SC 154a.2 | P145 | L 24 | # <u>R</u> 1-60 |
| D'Ambrosia | a, John | Futurewei Tec | hnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | D'Ambrosia, John | Futurewei Te | chnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei |
| Comment The re | 21 | <i>Comment Status</i> X stic receiver reflectance is not | defined in 154- | 10 | Comment Type ER It is unclear what is r | Comment Status X neant by - "needs to be dimens | sioned" | |
| S <i>uggested</i> add de | | | | | SuggestedRemedy change dimensioned | l to designed | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| C/ 154 | SC 154.10 | P127 | L 26 | # <u>R1-58</u> | | | | |
| D'Ambrosia | a, John | Futurewei Tec | hnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | | | | |
| | 51 | Comment Status X ristic Fiber chromatic dispers red in 154-10 | sion slope at cha | annel center | | | | |
| Suggested add de | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | | | | | |

| C/ 1 SC 1.4.181a | P 26 | L 21 | # <u>R</u> 1-61 | C/ 154 | SC | 154.7.1 | P 124 | L 42 | # <u>R</u> 1-63 |
|---|--|------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|
|)'Ambrosia, John | Futurewei Tec | hnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | Ran, Adee | | | Intel Corpor | ation | |
| Comment Type GR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status X | | |
| | ment I-3 1 CRG that comment I-3 shoung for rejecting the comment | | | , | | | rom the clean document - (max) can be interpreted a | | |
| I-3 commenter argues and redefining the term | hat the term "channel spacing to be WDM specific is a bad | g" is adequate f | or use in this standard, | G.698 | .2 as ar | n RMS val | lue. | · | |
| IEE-SA Standards Defi | | | | | | | 1 does not include RMS eit hich is what should be use | | 4.9.14 and 154.9.16 |
| The current and only de Dictionary Online is - "] | efinition of "channel spacing" The difference between the ce | provided in the | IEEE Standards | Suggested | IRemed | ly | | | |
| and adjacent channels | of the radio transmitter." It is ific to a radio transmitter. | clear that the c | current definition is not | Chang EVM_ | e "Erro RMS (s | r vector m ubscript) | nagnitude" to "Error vector everywhere. | magnitude (RMS) | " and EVM to |
| serve to add a new def | of the 2020 IEEE SA Standa nition to an existing term(s) o | f the same nam | ne should | Proposed | Respor | ISE | Response Status 0 | | |
| | n the other term(s) so as to ju definitions for any term is dis | | on. Having more than | C/ 154 | SC | 154.7.2 | P 126 | L 18 | # R1-64 |
| | - | - | and the second | Ran, Adee | | | Intel Corpor | ation | |
| definition is referenced | the comment, this definition i back to ITU-T G.694.1 | is an industry a | ccepted term and the | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status X | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | (Subcl | uase n | umber is f | rom the clean document - | it appears as 154 | .8.2 in the diff documer |
| Make no change to doo | ument. | | | Table | 154–10 | has "Rec | eiver OSNR (min)" and the | e associated defir | ition in 154.9.15 has a |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | "shall" tolerar | so it se nce) a c | ems that | the receiver is required to stic of the receiver? from the | something. But is | OSNR (not OSNR |
| C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2 | | L 37 | # R1-62 | | | defines O | SNR tolerance (in 7.4.3). Is | s anything require | d from the receiver |
|)'Ambrosia, John | | hnologies, U.S. | Subsidiary of Huawei | Suggested | | | | | |
| Comment Type ER Note reads - A file cont http://standards.ieee.or | Comment Status X aining an example SC-FEC c g/downloads/802 3/ | odeword is avai | lable at | Clarify | | uirement | s in 154.9.15, or remove R | eceiver OSNR (m | in) from the table and |
| | rovided link. It is understood | that this note w | as added in anticipation | Proposed | Respor | ise | Response Status O | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| If no contribution is pro deleted | vided to be used at the URL - | then the note a | and link will need to be | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | | | | |

| | | | 2 | · | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| C/ 154 SC 154.12 | .4.6 P139 | L 22 | # <u>R</u> 1-65 | C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 | P117 | L 7 | # <u>R</u> 1-68 |
| Ran, Adee | Intel Corporation | on | | Issenhuth, Tom | Issenhuth Co | nsulting, LLC,Hu | awei Technologies Co., |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | |
| i i | s from the clean document - it a | ppears as 154. ⁻ | 13.4.6 in the diff | Missing space in "ce | nterfrequency" | | |
| document) | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| In "black link require | ment", black should be capitalize | ed as a first wor | d (though not in the | Change to "center fr | equency" | | |
| title). | | | | Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | , , | | | |
| Per comment. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 | P 114 | L 8 | # R1-69 |
| | | | | Zhang, Bo | Inphi Corpora | ation | |
| CIA SCA | P 140 | L14 | # 04.00 | Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | |
| | | | # R1-66 | | 4-7 transmit characteristics lac eroperability cannot be guarant | | x jitter specification, |
| Ran, Adee | Intel Corporati | on | | | eroperability cannot be guarant | .eeu. | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| | U-T G.698.2 is referenced multi list (in the current 2018 revision | | not included in the | Tx tables. Please ref | he jitter spec methodologies de er to an earlier contribution for org/3/ct/public/tf_interim/20_09 | more backgrour | d and details. |
| SuggestedRemedy Add ITU-T G.698.2. | | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | 01_200011.put |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | | |
| | | | | C/ 154 SC 154.8 | P 115 | L 40 | # R1-70 |
| 7 154 SC 154.4 | P108 | L47 | # R1-67 | Zhang, Bo | Inphi Corpora | ation | |
| ssenhuth. Tom | | | awei Technologies Co., | Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | outing, EEO, Ita | and realinelegies ee., | for channel spacing l | ine spec, the value and unit ar | e swapped. | |
| 21 | ter name for "Tx Rx different opt | ical channel abi | lity" is incorrect as "Rx | SuggestedRemedy set 100 under the va | lue column and set GHz under | the Unit column | |
| SuggestedRemedy | 0 | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| , | /ID register name with "Tx Rx dif | ferent optical ch | annel ability". | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | | |
| repeace neaponse | Nesponse Status U | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

| | | | | 0 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| C/ 154 SC 154.8 | P115 | L 51 | # R1-71 | C/ 154A | SC 154A.3 | | P 133 | L 46 | # <u>R</u> 1-74 |
| Zhang, Bo | Inphi Corpora | ition | | Zhang, Bo | | | Inphi Corpora | tion | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | | Comment T | ype T | Comment | Status X | | |
| confused with the opti the way it is defined c | a newly defined parameter in ical path OSNR penalty for AS could be viewed as a superset e data support, and could requ | E limited link. The of optical path C | his new parameter as SNR penalty. Also, the | title fror for loss | n 40 channels, of 4:1 optical r are reduced fro | , down to 16 ch multiplexer/den | nannels and the nultiplexer' rem | en 4 channels, the ain the same in o | the change of the tabl e line items 'allocation description yet the e sense for a 4 channe |
| | the naming of 'optical path pe | path power penalty' or | SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | | |
| | ensitivity penalty' to be more | | | | | | | n for loss of 4:1 o | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | xer', and adjus pler would incu | | ccordingly to ma | ke practical sense (for |
| | | | | Proposed R | esponse | Response | Status O | | |
| 7 154 SC 154.8 | P 116 | L 7 | # R1-72 | | | | | | |
| hang, Bo | Inphi Corpora | ition | | C/ 154A | SC 154A.1 | | P 131 | L 40 | # R1-75 |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | | Zhang, Bo | | | Inphi Corpora | | |
| The unit of fiber chron | natic dispersion slope at chan | | | Enang, bo | | | mpm corpora | | |
| | natio dispersion slope at onan | nei center freque | encies (min) is incorrect. | Comment T | vne T | Comment | Status ¥ | | |
| | | nei center freque | encies (min) is incorrect. | Comment T | | <i>Comment</i> | | ne current title co | uld be confusing |
| SuggestedRemedy | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 | | encies (min) is incorrect. | The figu the Y as | re 154A-1 is a kis naming lach | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi | uirement and th int and could co | ne current title co onfuse reader as | ould be confusing. compared to other |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2l | | | encies (min) is incorrect. | The figu the Y as | re 154A-1 is a kis naming lach | a black link requ | uirement and th int and could co | ne current title co onfuse reader as | ould be confusing. compared to other |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2l | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 | | encies (min) is incorrect. | The figu the Y as | tre 154A-1 is a tis naming lack ters such as re | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi | uirement and th int and could co | ne current title co onfuse reader as | ould be confusing. compared to other |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2ł Proposed Response | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 Response Status O | 2*km)' | | The figu the Y ax parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recom | re 154A-1 is a kis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ | uirement and th int and could cc olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link ı | ould be confusing. compared to other requirements for link- |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2ł Proposed Response | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 Response Status O P 115 | 2*km)' <i>L</i> 18 | # R1-73 | The figu the Y ax parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recom | re 154A-1 is a kis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link ı | compared to other |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2P Proposed Response C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Chang, Bo | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O P 115 Inphi Corpora | 2*km)' <i>L</i> 18 | | The figu the Y az parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recomu delivere Sugges | rre 154A-1 is a kis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t d OSNR at TP t change the Y | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ P3 versus link-d ′ axis naming to | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r · at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (| compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2P Proposed Response C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Chang, Bo Comment Type T | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 Response Status O P 115 Inphi Corpora Comment Status X | 2*km)' <i>L</i> 18 | # <u>R1-73</u> | The figu the Y az parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recom delivere Sugges Sugges | rire 154A-1 is a tis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the X | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ 23 versus link-d 4 axis naming to 4 axis naming to | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r at TP3' | compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' |
| iuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2l proposed Response 154 SC 154.7.2 hang, Bo comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS coffied in line#45 in table 154-9 | L 18 L 18 tion SNR for average and 19.5dB mi | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- | The figu the Y az parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recomu delivere Sugges | rire 154A-1 is a tis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the X | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ P3 versus link-d ′ axis naming to | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r · at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (| compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2 Proposed Response 2/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Chang, Bo Comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe 16dBm is already spe | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS coffied in line#45 in table 154-9 coffied in line#47-48 in the sar | L 18 L 18 tion SNR for average and 19.5dB mi ne table on page | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- e 115. | The figu the Y az parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recom delivere Sugges Sugges | rire 154A-1 is a tis naming lack ters such as re <i>Remedy</i> nend change t d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the X | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154 23 versus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 24 varsus link-d 25 varsus link-d 26 varsus link-d 27 varsus link-d 28 varsus link-d 29 varsus link-d 20 varsus | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r · at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (| compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2P Proposed Response 2/ 154 SC 154.7.2 hang, Bo Comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe 16dBm is already spe Furthermore, these sp | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS coffied in line#45 in table 154-9 | L 18 L 18 tion SNR for average and 19.5dB mi ne table on page | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- e 115. | The figu the Y az parame SuggestedF Recom delivere Sugges Sugges Proposed R | SC 153.2.3 . | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154 23 versus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 24 varsus link-d 25 varsus link-d 26 varsus link-d 27 varsus link-d 28 varsus link-d 29 varsus link-d 20 varsus | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered <i>Status</i> O | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (I power at TP3 (c | compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' dBm)' |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2P Proposed Response C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 chang, Bo Comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe 16dBm is already spe Furthermore, these sp 'link-delivered OSNR' | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS coffied in line#45 in table 154-9 coffied in line#47-48 in the sar pecs are really link requiremer | L 18 L 18 tion SNR for average and 19.5dB mi ne table on page | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- e 115. | The figu the Y az parame <i>SuggestedF</i> Recom delivere Sugges Sugges <i>Proposed R</i> | Internet 154A-1 is a tris naming lack ters such as re Remedy nend change t d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the X esponse SC 153.2.3. J G | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154 23 versus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 23 varsus link-d 24 varsus link-d 25 varsus link-d 26 varsus link-d 27 varsus link-d 28 varsus link-d 29 varsus link-d 20 varsus | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 91 NVIDIA | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (I power at TP3 (c | compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' dBm)' |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2k Proposed Response C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 Chang, Bo Comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe 16dBm is already spe Furthermore, these sp 'link-delivered OSNR' SuggestedRemedy | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS coffied in line#45 in table 154-9 coffied in line#47-48 in the sar pecs are really link requiremer | L 18 L 18 tion E redundant infor SNR for average and 19.5dB mi ne table on page ts and the namin | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- e 115. ng should be indicating | The figure the Y az parame SuggestedF Recomm delivere Sugges Sugges Proposed R CI 153 Dawe, Piers Comment T | In ref 154A-1 is a tis naming lack ters such as referenced Remedy nend change the d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the Y t change the X esponse SC 153.2.3.2 J G type E | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ 3 versus link-d 4 axis naming to 6 axis naming to 7 axis naming to 8 axis nam | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered <i>Status</i> O P91 NVIDIA <i>Status</i> X | onfuse reader as GBASE-ZR link r at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (I power at TP3 (c | compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' dBm)' # <u>R1-76</u> |
| SuggestedRemedy change from 'ps/nm2ł Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.7.2 Zhang, Bo Comment Type T Receiver OSNR (min) specified in table 154- 16dBm is already spe 16dBm is already spe furthermore, these sp 'link-delivered OSNR' SuggestedRemedy | km' to 'ps/nm2/km' or 'ps/(nm2 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 115 Inphi Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X) specs (35dB and 19.5dB) are -9. For example, 35dB min OS scified in line#45 in table 154-9 scified in line#47-48 in the sample pecs are really link requiremer instead of 'Receiver OSNR' | L 18 L 18 tion E redundant infor SNR for average and 19.5dB mi ne table on page ts and the namin | # <u>R1-73</u> mation as already receive power < - n OSNR for power >- e 115. ng should be indicating | The figu the Y az parame SuggestedF Recom delivere Sugges Sugges Proposed R CI 153 Dawe, Piers Comment T While th SuggestedF | Arren 154A-1 is a tris naming lack ters such as re- Remedy mend change the d OSNR at TP t change the Y t change the X esponse SC 153.2.3.2 J G ype E me hyperlink ap | a black link requ ks TP3 test poi eceive OSNR to the Figure 154/ 3 versus link-d 4 axis naming to 6 axis naming to 7 axis naming to 8 axis nam | uirement and th int and could co olerance, etc. A-1 title to '1000 delivered power o 'link-delivered o 'link-delivered <i>Status</i> O P91 NVIDIA <i>Status</i> X | GBASE-ZR link r GBASE-ZR link r at TP3' I OSNR at TP3 (I power at TP3 (<i>L</i> 37 | compared to other requirements for link- dB/12.5GHz)' dBm)' # <u>R1-76</u> |

| C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.5 P91 L37 # R1-77 | C/ 154 SC 154.9.16 P119 L22 # R1-79 |
|--|--|
| | |
| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA | Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA |
| Comment Type E Comment Status X Before a file containing an example SC-FEC codeword is published at http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802.3/ and before this project can complete, it needs to be reviewed. If reviewers do not agree on its correctness and consistency with the draft, one or both of draft and file would need to be re-issued and reviewed again. SuggestedRemedy | Comment Type TR Comment Status X With respect to D3.0 comment 85 about jitter bandwidth: there is a jitter bandwidth implie in the EVMrms definition, although it is done in a way that is very specific to a real-time scope, unlike other 802.3 optical clauses. "worst-case values of EVMrms" could mean worst distortion/noise but little jitter or worst jitter but little distortion/noise. Different receivers will react differently to these alternatives. |
| Upload a draft file for review, e.g. in the P802.3ct web area, before the penultimate draft or | SuggestedRemedy |
| at the same time at the latest. Proposed Response Response Status O | Clarify the signal jitter in the definition of receiver OSNR tolerance. It may be that two conditions will be needed, analogous to the stressed sensitivity/RITT and jitter tolerance requirements in other clauses. |
| | Proposed Response Response Status O |
| C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa P41 L22 # <u>R1-78</u> | 1 |
| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type E Comment Status X "1 = IFEC decoder does not indicate errors" gave me the impression that it meant that there are no errors to be indicated - until I saw that this was a RO bit in a control register. | CI 80 SC 80.2.2 P 56 L 2 # R1-80 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X |
| SuggestedRemedy | Clause 82 PCSs transfer the encoded data to the PMA. |
| To make this clearer, please change: 1 = IFEC decoder does not indicate errors 0 = IFEC decoder indicates errors | SuggestedRemedy Clause 82 PCSs transfer the encoded data to the PMA or FEC |
| to 1 = IFEC decoder does not indicate any FEC errors 0 = IFEC decoder indicates any FEC errors | Proposed Response Response Status O |
| Proposed Response Response Status O | |

| C/ 154A SC 154A | P 131 | L 9 | # R1-81 | C/ 154 | SC 154.6 | P 113 | L 31 | # <u>R</u> 1-83 |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | | Dawe, Pie | rs J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Type T This (welcome) anne | <i>Comment Status</i> X ex is not about applications. | | | <i>Comment</i> What | <i>Type</i> E variable? | Comment Status X | | |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Change to the follow brackets, by the way Examples of 100GB/ | ÁSE-ZR compliant black links" | | | Please add cross-reference to 154.5 (new section(s)) where variables such as Tx_optical_channel_index, Rx_optical_channel_index and Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability introduced/defined. | | | | |
| (12.5 GHz) For any [black link] d | | etween 19.5 dB (1 | 2.5 GHz) and 35 dB | Proposed | Response | Response Status O | | |
| | xample with OSNR at TP3 gre | ater than or equa | l to 35 dB (12.5 GHz) | C/ 154 | SC 154.7.1 | P 114 | L 3 | # R1-84 |
| four examples with C is not a multi-channe | SNR at TP3 I link, but rather a single chani | nel link, and there | efore a conventional | Dawe, Pie | rs J G | NVIDIA | | |
| point-to-point Ethern | et link where | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status X | | |
| | annel example [black link] with Response Status 0 | OSNR (TP3) >= | 35 dB (12.5 GHz) | | | comment 58, tolerance to cl y have something like TDP | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | optica the tra EVMri | l clauses usuall insmitted after o ms does not do | | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | UOSNR (TP3) >= | 35 dB (12.5 GHz) # <u>R1-82</u> | optica the tra EVMn spectr Suggested | l clauses usuall Insmitted after on S does not do al excursion pro IRemedy | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enf this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | optica the tra EVMn spectr Suggested Ensur | l clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>lRemedy</i> e that the comb | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum |
| Proposed Response Cl 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T | Response Status O | L15 | # <u>R1-82</u> | optica the tra EVMn spectr Suggested | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>IRemedy</i> e that the comb | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enf this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum |
| Cl 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD | Response Status O la P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X | L15 | # <u>R1-82</u> | optica the tra EVMrn spectr Suggestec Ensur signal | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>IRemedy</i> e that the comb | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum |
| Proposed Response 27 1 SC 1.4.160 Pawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD SuggestedRemedy Change "between TF | Response Status O Pa P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X 7 said, the path between PMDs P2 to TP3" to something else e | L 15 s is not from TP2 .g. "between PMI | # <u>R1-82</u> to TP3 because TP2 is | optica the tra EVMrn spectr Suggestec Ensur signal | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>IRemedy</i> e that the comb | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n <i>Response Status</i> O | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum |
| Proposed Response D/ 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD SuggestedRemedy Change "between TF "between PHYs" or " | Response Status O Pa P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X 7 said, the path between PMDs P2 to TP3" to something else e between transmitter and receiv | L 15 s is not from TP2 .g. "between PMI | # <u>R1-82</u> to TP3 because TP2 is | optica the tra EVMri spectr Suggested Ensur signal Proposed | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ns does not do al excursion pro <i>Remedy</i> e that the comb <i>Response</i> SC 153.2.3 | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n <i>Response Status</i> O | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? nax / min dispersio | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum n will deliver a usable |
| Proposed Response C/ 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD SuggestedRemedy Change "between TF "between PHYs" or " | Response Status O Pa P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X 7 said, the path between PMDs P2 to TP3" to something else e | L 15 s is not from TP2 .g. "between PMI | # <u>R1-82</u> to TP3 because TP2 is | optica the tra EVMrn spectr Suggested Ensur signal Proposed C/ 153 Dawe, Pie Comment | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>Remedy</i> e that the comb <i>Response</i> SC 153.2.3 rs J G | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n <i>Response Status</i> O .2.4 <i>P</i> 88 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? nax / min dispersio | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum n will deliver a usable |
| Proposed Response C/ 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD SuggestedRemedy Change "between TF "between PHYs" or " | Response Status O Pa P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X 7 said, the path between PMDs P2 to TP3" to something else e between transmitter and receiv | L 15 s is not from TP2 .g. "between PMI | # <u>R1-82</u> to TP3 because TP2 is | optica the tra EVMrn spectr Suggested Ensur signal Proposed C/ 153 Dawe, Pie Comment | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro <i>Remedy</i> e that the comb <i>Response</i> SC 153.2.3 rs J G <i>Type</i> E text in Fig 153- | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n <i>Response Status</i> O .2.4 <i>P</i> 88 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? nax / min dispersio | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum n will deliver a usable |
| Proposed Response Cl 1 SC 1.4.160 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T As D3.0 comment 87 not at the PMD SuggestedRemedy Change "between TF | Response Status O Pa P22 NVIDIA Comment Status X 7 said, the path between PMDs P2 to TP3" to something else e between transmitter and receiv | L 15 s is not from TP2 .g. "between PMI | # <u>R1-82</u> to TP3 because TP2 is | optica the tra EVMri spectr Suggested Ensur signal Proposed Cl 153 Dawe, Pie Comment Some Suggested Make | I clauses usuall insmitted after of ms does not do al excursion pro- <i>Remedy</i> e that the comb <i>Response</i> SC 153.2.3 rs J G <i>Type</i> E text in Fig 153- <i>Remedy</i> the smallest text | y have something like TDP chromatic dispersion to enfo this, so is there a gap that ovide the necessary protect ination of transmitter and n <i>Response Status</i> O .2.4 <i>P</i> 88 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X | or TDECQ involvin orce good transmit needs to be filled? ion? hax / min dispersio | ng a measurement of ter behaviour. I believe Does the maximum n will deliver a usable # <u>R1-85</u> |

| C/ 154 SC 154.7.2 | P 115 | L18 | # <u>R</u> 1-86 | C/ 154 SC 154.7. | 1 <i>P</i> 114 | L 29 | # <u>R</u> 1-88 |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Dawe, Piers J G N | VIDIA | | | Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Type T Comment Sta | ntus X | | | Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | |
| In Table 154-8, 100GBASE-ZR receive | | | | "Power difference b | etween X-Y polarizations": W | hat's an X minus Y | polarization? |
| Receiver sensitivity (max) (informative), tolerance (not max or min). | Receiver OS | NR (min), and F | Receiver OSNR | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| 154.9.13, Average receive power, says: | | | | Call it "Power differe | ence between polarizations", | aligning with G.698 | .2 which provides the |
| The average receive power shall be with | nin the limits g | iven in Table 15 | 54–8. These limits | definition. Similarly | for "Skew between X-Y polar | izations". | |
| define the range of average receiver inp met at the values of minimum OSNR de | | | requirement must be | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| 154.9.15, Receiver OSNR, says: | | . 104 0. | | | | | |
| The Receiver OSNR shall be within the | | | | C/ 154A SC 154A. | 2 P 132 | L33 | # R1-89 |
| receive power range specified in Table 154.9.16, Receiver OSNR tolerance, sa | | | 94.9.11. | | | 233 | # 1-09 |
| Receiver OSNR tolerance shall be within | | en in Table 154- | -8 and is defined in | Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2 | | | | Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | |
| As the receiver can't choose the OSNR | it receives, it | seems the entri | es for "Receiver | | x passive loss of 34 dB, Tx -8 to 0 dBm so the amplificatio | | |
| OSNR (min)" are not specifications for t | he receiver to | meet, as the P | ICS puts it, but that | 34 dB unless the lin | k knows or reacts appropriate | | |
| they are conditions for average receive | power (or ave | | wer are conditions for | channel not 18 to | 42 dB. | | |
| | e of a stresse | d receiver sensi | itivity test or a receiver | | | | |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI | | | 5 | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and | Ds. The "shall | " in 154.9.15 is | unworkable as the text | Provide more expla | nation as to how this is to wo | k, or change to the | simple conservative |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). | Ds. The "shall | " in 154.9.15 is | unworkable as the text | Provide more explai example | | k, or change to the | simple conservative |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and | Provide more expla | nation as to how this is to wo <i>Response Status</i> O | k, or change to the | e simple conservative |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: | Provide more explai example | | k, or change to the | e simple conservative |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "Μ | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, | Provide more explai example | | k, or change to the <i>L</i> 18 | · |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in | Provide more explained example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 | Response Status 0 P116 | | e simple conservative # <u>R1-90</u> |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linl | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average red | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in | Provide more explan example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G | Response Status 0 P 116 NVIDIA | | · |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linl s next to each | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average red | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in | Provide more explai example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X | L18 | # <u>R1-90</u> |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linl s next to each | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average red | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in | Provide more explai example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center frequer but it is not clear whether a d | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linl s next to each | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average red | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explan example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center frequer but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linl s next to each | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average red | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in | Provide more explai example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center frequer but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linit s next to each <i>tus</i> O | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average rec other. | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explai example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application SuggestedRemedy | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center frequer but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154.8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linit s next to each tus O P 119 VIDIA | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average rec other. | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explan example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center freque but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w of 40 channels"). | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linit s next to each tus O P 119 VIDIA htus X | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average rec other. | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explai example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application SuggestedRemedy | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center frequer but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta C/ 154 SC 154.9.19 Dawe, Piers J G Ni Comment Type TR Comment Sta Need to specify what receiver would be | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linit s next to each tus O P 119 VIDIA htus X | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average rec other. | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explan example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center freque but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w of 40 channels"). | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |
| receiver OSNR), similar to the condition interference tolerance test for other PMI stands, unlike the "shalls" in 154.7.1 and OSNR at TP3 (min). SuggestedRemedy Combine 154.9.13 and 154.9.15 and ma In Table 154-8, change "Receiver OSNF change "minimum OSNR" to "minimum 154.9.11.". Delete 154.9.15. Table 154-8 could be clarified, e.g. with receiver OSNR, or by putting these rows Proposed Response Response Sta C/ 154 SC 154.9.19 Dawe, Piers J G N Comment Type TR Comment Sta | Ds. The "shall d 154.8 for Tra ake the termin R (min)" to "M OSNR at TP3 a footnote linit s next to each <i>tus</i> O P 119 VIDIA <i>htus</i> X used. | " in 154.9.15 is ansmitter in-ban nology consisten inimum OSNR a 3", and add "OS king average rec other. | unworkable as the text nd OSNR (min) and nt, e.g.: at TP3". In 154.9.13, NR is defined in ceive power and | Provide more explan example Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.8 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T This says "The appl shows 48 channels, comply for all 48 ch example application SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. | Response Status 0 P116 NVIDIA Comment Status X icable channel center freque but it is not clear whether a c annels, as implied here, or w of 40 channels"). | L 18 ncies are specified compliant 100GBAS | # <u>R1-90</u> in Table 154-5", which SE-ZR black link has to |

| C/ 154 SC 154.9 | 0.15 <i>P</i> 116 | L10 | # <u>R</u> 1-91 | C/ 154 SC 154.4 | P 108 | L 47 | # <u>R</u> 1-94 |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | | Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | |
| This says "DGD (m | nax)" while many other clauses a | nd footnote b say | / DGD_max. | String search doesn | 't find Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ab | oility | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| Reconcile. E.g., ch group delay, DGD_ | nange "Differential group delay, E _max" | DGD (max)" to "N | laximum differential | Select table, adjust just that. | column widths to contents. The | ere's a menu iten | n in Frame for doing |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | Proposed Response | Response Status O | | |
| C/ 154 SC 154.9 | .15 <i>P</i> 116 | L19 | # R1-92 | C/ 154 SC 154.9. | 15 P119 | L13 | # R1-95 |
| Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | | Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type TR | Comment Status X | | |
| | ptical signal" has been in the def | finitions (1.4.228) |) for years; readers | | nalty may be 3 dB, this seems | | |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy | t by now. | finitions (1.4.228) |) for years; readers | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu | halty may be 3 dB, this seems e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe | plack link) match, | I would think the maj |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen | t by now. | finitions (1.4.228) |) for years; readers | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe nore fully how this measureme | black link) match, ad out this or a sir | I would think the majo nilar issue. |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O | | | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe nore fully how this measureme | black link) match, ad out this or a sir | I would think the maj nilar issue. |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response | t by now. ntence. <i>Response Status</i> O | linitions (1.4.228) |) for years; readers # <mark>R1-93</mark> | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe nore fully how this measurement matic dispersion. | black link) match, ad out this or a sir | I would think the majo nilar issue. |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O | | | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe nore fully how this measuremen matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> O | black link) match, ad out this or a sir | I would think the majo nilar issue. : e.g. that it should be |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T 154.5, PMD functio | t by now. ntence. <i>Response Status</i> O 5 <i>P</i> 109 NVIDIA | L 32 | # <u>R1-93</u> | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro <i>Proposed Response</i> | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe nore fully how this measuremen matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> O | black link) match, d out this or a sir nt would be done | I would think the majo nilar issue. |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O P109 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X | L 32 | # <u>R1-93</u> | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro Proposed Response | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe more fully how this measurement matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 <i>P</i> 115 | black link) match, d out this or a sir nt would be done | I would think the maj nilar issue. : e.g. that it should be |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T 154.5, PMD functio status variables SuggestedRemedy | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O P109 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X onal specifications, should introdu | L 32 uce or define all t | # <u>R1-93</u> | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 154 <i>SC</i> 154.7. Maniloff, Eric <i>Comment Type</i> T | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe more fully how this measurement matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 115 ciena | black link) match, ed out this or a sir nt would be done | I would think the maj nilar issue. : e.g. that it should be |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T 154.5, PMD functio status variables SuggestedRemedy Add text for the mis | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O P109 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X onal specifications, should introdu ssing PMD control and status var | L 32 Lce or define all t | # <u>R1-93</u> he PMD control and | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 154 <i>SC</i> 154.7. Maniloff, Eric <i>Comment Type</i> T The optical power for | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe more fully how this measurement matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 115 ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X | black link) match, ed out this or a sir nt would be done | I would think the majo nilar issue. : e.g. that it should be |
| should be used to it SuggestedRemedy Delete this first sen Proposed Response Cl 154 SC 154.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T 154.5, PMD functio status variables SuggestedRemedy Add text for the mis Tx_optical_channel | t by now. Itence. <i>Response Status</i> O P109 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X onal specifications, should introdu | L 32 Lce or define all t | # <u>R1-93</u> he PMD control and | the numbers in Tabl ones should be inclu SuggestedRemedy Please clarify. Preferably, explain r after max / min chro Proposed Response C/ 154 SC 154.7. Maniloff, Eric Comment Type T The optical power for SuggestedRemedy | e 154-8 (receiver) and 154-9 (b ided. D3.0 comment 58 pointe more fully how this measurement matic dispersion. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 115 ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X | black link) match, ed out this or a sir nt would be done <i>L</i> 21 not stated. | I would think the majo nilar issue. e.g. that it should be # <u>R1-96</u> |

| C/ 154 | SC 154.9.14 | <i>P</i> 119 | L 5 | # <u>R</u> 1-97 | C/ 154 | SC 154.9.16 | | L18 | # <u>R</u> 1-100 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Maniloff, Er | | ciena | | | Maniloff, E | | ciena | | |
| Comment 7 | | Comment Status X | | | Comment | | Comment Status X | | |
| of dispe | ersion or reflection | ver Sensitivity states that it "d ons from the optical path". It a ack Link Impairments. | | | in the p | presence of the | indicate that the Receiver OS black link optical impairment | | es not need to be me |
| | | ack Link impairments. | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Modify Sentence to clarify that the Receiver Sensitivity does not have to be met in the presence of any of the impairments defined for the Black Link. | | | | | | ce of the Black | indicating that this OSNR To Link impairments. | plerance does not | t need to be met in the |
| | • | • | DIACK LITIK. | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status O | | | | | | | |
| C/ 154 | SC 154.9.15 | P119 | L13 | # R1-98 | | | | | |
| Maniloff, Er | | ciena | 210 | # 111-50 | | | | | |
| Comment 7 | | Comment Status X | | | | | | | |
| | ction should clea | arly state that this OSNR tole | rance must be r | net after the black link | | | | | |
| average | "The Receiver C | SNR shall be within the limit range specified in Table 154 | | | | | | | |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status O | | | | | | | |
| C/ 154 | SC 154.9.15 | P119 | L13 | # R1-99 | | | | | |
| Maniloff, Er | ic | ciena | | | | | | | |
| Comment T | Гуре Т | Comment Status X | | | | | | | |
| The sta | 51 | t make clear that this is an O link. | SNR tolerance, | rather it sounds like it's | | | | | |
| Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | | | | | |
| , | "The Receiver C >= this value" | SNR shall be within" to "The | Receiver shall | be able to tolerate an | | | | | |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |