# IEEE P802.3ct D3.3 100 Gb/s over DWDM systems 3rd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ <b>1</b>	SC 1.4.160a	P <b>23</b>	L	# R3-4
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporation		

## Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The definition of an approach should not be specific to test points, especially when these do not have definitions in Clause 1. Stating the test points TP2 and TP3 in the definition makes it hard to understand.

This has been suggested in a previous comment I-87, which was resolved with AIP, but the remedy did not address the comment at all. Other comments since then show that the identification of TP2 with the MDI creates problems. Therefore the comment is submitted again with a specific change.

An acceptable alternative to the proposed change would be to delete "from TP2 to TP3".

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "from TP2 to TP3" to "from a transmitter to a receiver".

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
1 1000000 1 100000100		

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

The use of TP2 and TP3 in definitions has been discussed at length during the review of comments on D3.2 with relation to comment R2-13, for which the resolution was:

## REJECT.

As noted by the commenter this same change was proposed in D3.0 comment 87 and 3.1 comment 82. In both cases the wording of the definition was modified but the use of TP2 and TP3 was maintained. As consistent with existing IEEE language, the draft states the optical transmit signal is defined at the output end of a single-mode fiber patch cord TP2)" and "the optical receive signal is defined at the output of the fiber optic cabling (TP3) at the MDI" so the supporting medium which in this case is a DWDM channel, has to be from TP2 to TP3.

Furthermore the proposed modifications will not improve the quality of the draft.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.237b	P <b>23</b>	L <b>16</b>	# R3-3
Ran Adee		Intel Corporatio	n	

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

\*\*\* Comment submitted with the file image.png attached \*\*\*

Joining unsatisfied comment R2-13.

The definition of the DWDM channel is between DWDM PHYs. The boundary of a DWDM PHY is its MDI, which coincides with TP3 at the receiver, but does not necessarily coincide with TP2 at the transmitter, as seen by Figure 154-2.

Text parentheses should clarify the main text, but here the terms "(TP2)" and "(TP3)" are only adding confusion. The definition would be clear without them.

## SuggestedRemedy

Delete the parenthesized terms in this definition.

Proposed Response Response Status W

## PROPOSED REJECT.

The use of TP2 and TP3 in definitions has been discussed at length during the review of comments on D3.2 with relation to comment R2-13, for which the resolution was:

## REJECT.

As noted by the commenter this same change was proposed in D3.0 comment 87 and 3.1 comment 82. In both cases the wording of the definition was modified but the use of TP2 and TP3 was maintained. As consistent with existing IEEE language, the draft states the optical transmit signal is defined at the output end of a single-mode fiber patch cord TP2)" and "the optical receive signal is defined at the output of the fiber optic cabling (TP3) at the MDI" so the supporting medium which in this case is a DWDM channel, has to be from TP2 to TP3.

Furthermore the proposed modifications will not improve the quality of the draft.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.237b IEEE P802.3ct D3.3 100 Gb/s over DWDM systems 3rd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ 1	SC 4 4 9974	P23	1.25	# [22.5]	C/ 154	SC 154.2	P108	L <b>25</b>	# 02 7
Dawe, P	SC 1.4.237b	NVIDIA	L <b>35</b>	# R3-5	Dawe. Pie		P108 NVIDIA	L <b>23</b>	# R3-7
,					,				
and	03.0 comment 87, D 154.11 say, TP2 is	Comment Status <b>D</b> 3.1 comment 82 and D3.2 c not at the PHY/MDI. It is im s done correctly for all optica	portant that read	ers are not misled so	one of	egard to D3.0 two values: O	Comment Status D comment 59: this says "Th K or FAIL", consistent with state of the SIGNAL_DE	ne SIGNAL_DETEC n comment 59's requ	iest. However, 154.5.4
Suggest	edRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Quick fix but not consistent with other optical clauses: change: The transmission path from a transmitting DWDM PHY (TP2) to a receiving DWDM PHY (TP3) to: The transmission path from TP2 after a transmitting DWDM PHY to a receiving DWDM PHY (TP3) and in 154.11, change: The 100GBASE-ZR PMD is coupled to the DWDM black link medium at the MDI, being the interface between the PMD and the medium. At the transmitter output the MDI coincides with TP2 and at the receiver input with TP3, as shown in Figure 154-2.					Recon	icile			
					Proposed	Response	Response Status W	1	
					PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ct/D3.3 an IEEE P802.3ct/D3.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the previous ballots. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the changes suggested are an improvement to the draft that would otherwise need to be made in Maintenance.				
to: The 100GBASE-ZR PMD is coupled to a patch cord at the MDI then to the DWDM black link medium at TP2. At the transmitter output the MDI is before TP2 and at the receiver input the MDI coincides with TP3, as shown in Figure 154-2. and in 154A.4, change: where the PMDs at TP2 and TP3 are connected					Change "The SIGNAL_DETECT parameter can take on one of two values: OK or FAIL. When SIGNAL_DETECT = FAIL, the rx_symbol parameters are undefined." to "The SIGNAL_DETECT parameter takes a fixed value of OK."				
to: whei	re the PMDs are co	nnected			C/ 154	SC 154.7.1	1 P115	L <b>23</b>	# <u>R</u> 3-6
		VDM channel" consistent wit			Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
		154.1, the "channel" as in s			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
7, Fiber optic cabling model or Figure 151-7, Fiber optic cabling model, and with "link segment" (see 1.4.309), so that it extends from MDI to MDI - fixing 1.4.237b, 154.11 and 154A.4 another way.							e current draft as it relates 7 and 95 against D3.1.	s to my comment 58	against D3.0, and
Propose	d Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Remedy			
The com REJ As n com and optic and	ments on D3.2 with ECT. oted by the comme ment 82. In both ca TP3 was maintained al transmit signal is "the optical receive " so the supporting i	3 in definitions has been dis relation to comment R2-13, nter this same change was ses the wording of the defin d. As consistent with existing defined at the output end o signal is defined at the outp medium which in this case is	for which the responsed in D3.0 tition was modifie g IEEE language f a single-mode to ut of the fiber op	comment 87 and 3.1 d but the use of TP2 , the draft states the iber patch cord TP2)" tic cabling (TP3) at the	This c draft. The co	OSED REJEC	Response Status W CT. posed to be rejected, beca v states his satisfaction wit omments 79, 84, 87 and 95	ause no proposal is h previous resolutior	-
Furth	nermore the propos	ed modifications will not imp	prove the quality	of the draft.					
		•	. ,						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 Page 2 of 3 4/26/2021 1:42:35 PM

## IEEE P802.3ct D3.3 100 Gb/s over DWDM systems 3rd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ 154	SC 154.11	P <b>122</b>	L <b>36</b>	# R3-1	C/ <b>154</b>	SC 154.12.3	P <b>124</b>	L <b>8</b>	# R3-2	
Ran, Adee	e	Intel Corpora	tion		Ran, Ade	е	Intel Corpora	ition		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D			
	e transmitter outp n in Figure 154-2"	out the MDI coincides with TP '	2 and at the rece	eiver input with TP3, as	imple	menters to certify	nd TP4 state that TP1 and T / component conformance" a is is only an option.			
cord ir	n between. "The I	y shows that TP2 does not content of the NOTETransmitter complian at the MDI" also informs that	ce testing is perf	ormed at TP2 as			D clauses, the corresponding d TP4, but in 154.5.1 it seem			
The quoted sentence does not appear in other optical PMD clauses (which have common definitions of TP2, TP3, and MDI). Its inclusion here creates a contradiction which must be						The suggested remedy is to add similar text in 154.5.1. Alternatively, the PICS items can be removed.				
elimina	ated.				Suggeste	Romody				

This sentence adds to the confusion about where the DWDM channel's end points are. There are several unsatisfied comments about that including #R2-13, so this should be considered in scope.

For a possible remedy, consider that the definition of TP2 is given in 154.5.1 as "the output end of a single-mode fiber patch cord (TP2), between 2 m and 5 m in length", and in a deployed system, that patch cord may not exist (or may be of a different length); these are indeed cases where the MDI and TP2 will coincide.

Therefore the suggested remedy is to change the quoted sentence to state that the MDI may coincide with TP2 when the optical transmitter's output is not tested for compliance. In that case, the channel starts at the MDI (which is TP2).

Alternatively. if the task force decides that the patch cord leading to TP2 always exists and is not part of the channel, then the MDI should be redefined as the end of the patch cord (although this is different from previous optical PHYs). In that case, Figure 154-2 needs to be modified to move the left "MDI" to align with TP2; and 154.11 needs to be rewritten to state that the MDI cannot be a "PMD receptacle", and the NOTE should be deleted.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change from

"At the transmitter output the MDI coincides with TP2 and at the receiver input with TP3, as shown in Figure 154-2"

#### to

"As shown in Figure 154-2, at the receiver input the MDI coincides with TP3. At the transmitter output, the MDI may coincide with TP2 when the output is not tested for compliance, and in that case any medium connected to the MDI is considered part of the DWDM channel".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the sentence "At the transmitter output the MDI coincides with TP2 and at the receiver input with TP3, as shown in Figure 154-2".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 154 SC 154.12.3 Page 3 of 3 4/26/2021 1:42:35 PM

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following paragraph at the end of 154.5.1:

"TP1 and TP4 are informative reference points that may be useful to implementors for testing components (these test points will not typically be accessible in an implemented system)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ct/D3.3 and IEEE P802.3ct/D3.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the previous ballots. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the changes suggested are an improvement to the draft that would otherwise need to be made in Maintenance

Add the following paragraph at the end of 154.5.1:

"TP1 and TP4 are informative reference points that may be useful to implementers for testing components (these test points will not typically be accessible in an implemented system).", with editorial license.