

Chief Editor's opening report and comment resolution agenda

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, P802.3ct Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3ct Task Force, 1 October 2020 Interim Teleconference Meeting

Editorial team

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 80, 82, Annex A

Steve Trowbridge, Nokia

- Editor for Clauses 152, 153, Annex 83C, Annex 135A

Peter Stassar, Huawei

- Editor for Clause 154

Status

P802.3ct D2.2 working group review


- Opened on September 11, 2020, closed on September 26, 2020
- 15 day comment period
- Thanks to all those that commented
- Proposed responses posted

	D2.2		
Voters	195		
Approve	130	97%	>= 75%
Disapprove	3		
Abstain	15	10%	< 30%
Returns	148	75%	> 50%


Description	Clause	TR	T	ER	E	Total
Front Matter	0					0
Introduction	1				1	1
Mgmt	45		1		3	4
Introduction 40/100	80					0
PCS	82					0
Inverse RS-FEC	152					0
100GBASE-ZR PMA	153	1				1
100GBASE-ZR PMD	154					0
Annex	A/83C/135A					0
Total Comments		1	1	0	4	6

- One in scope new negative, TR comment #1, was submitted

Comment Distribution



Comment History



Clause Comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
MDIO	45		
40/100Gb Intro	80		
PCS	82		
Inverse RS-FEC	152		
SC-FEC	153	1	1
Optical Channels	154		
Annexes	83C, 135A		

Withdrawn Comments

C/A	Comment #	Count
1, 45	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	5

Comment Resolution Process

- Resolve comments against Draft 2.2
 - Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.
 - TRs and ERs require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied
- If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response the comment will be closed as proposed.
- If there is disagreement, a straw poll on the area of concern will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.
 - The commenter is not allowed to disagree.
 - If the straw poll contains more than 2 choices, the choice with the lowest number of supporters will be removed. This process will continue until there are only 2 choices.

Comment Resolution Process

- The order in which the comments are reviewed on a given call are subject to change
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
- Where a comment number is colored orange it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments with underline (e.g., 59) have associated hyperlinked presentations

Comment Resolution Process (.3ck,.3cu,.3ct)

- Baseline Terminology
 - “Open” comments – comments that Task Force have not agreed upon a remedy
 - “Closed” comments – comments that Task Force have agreed upon a remedy
 - “Final” comments – Task Force has approved motion to adopt the responses to the closed comments
- Post updated comment database after each meeting
 - Allow individuals (other than commenter) two business days (AoE) to request on the reflector reconsideration of a “Closed” comment from the prior meeting
 - Individual needs to be present at next meeting to address
 - Normal procedures within the group will apply to determine if comment will be re-opened
- After all comments closed – normal procedures to adopt responses and generate next draft will be followed
 - Comments can not be re-opened after meeting where responses are adopted by TF

Note about Comment Resolutions Going Forward

- Consensus building will be key
 - Rule #1 – Use the Reflector
 - Rule #2 – See Rule #1
- Reference: IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines (<https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>)
 - Multiple reasons possible for rejecting comment given –
 - a statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment;

Comment Resolution – October 1

- Resolve the following comment

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
MDIO	45		
40/100Gb Intro	80		
PCS	82		
Inverse RS-FEC	152		
SC-FEC	153	1	1
Optical Channels	154		
Annexes	83C, 135A		

Thanks!