|  | P 35   | L <b>3</b>       | # 1                      | C/ 140   | SC 140.6.1   | P <b>41</b>                      | L <b>40</b>  | # <u>3</u>   |  |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Cole, Chris                            | II-VI  |                  |                          | Cole, Chris  |  | II-VI                            |  |  |  |
| Comment Type E                         | Comment Status X   |                  |                          | Comment Ty   | rpe T  | Comment Status X                 |  |  |  |
|  | criptive name for SECQ to be u                                 |                  |                          | There is   | no fast corne  | r limit                          |  |  |  |
| in time as it is out of                | te, cannot make similar name o<br>scope).                      | change for 100G  | BASE-DR at this point    | SuggestedR   | emedy  |                                  |  |  |  |
| SuggestedRemedy                        | 1 /  |                  |                          | Add Transmitter over/under-shoot (max) spec with 12% value for both FR4 and LR4-6. Ad<br>c footnote for both transition time and new spec wich states: " Using NRZ test pattern; |  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Replace SECQ with                      | TECQ throughout Sub-clause                                     |                  | SE-FR1 and               |  |  | over-shoot in 120.5.11.2.3, 12   |  |  |  |
| 100GBASE-LR1 only                      | Proposed Re  | esponse          | Response Status <b>0</b> | · · ·  | ,  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Response                      | Response Status <b>O</b>                                       |                  |                          |  |  |                                  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                  |                          | C/ <b>140</b>  | SC 140.6.1   | P41                              | L <b>54</b>  | # 4  |  |
| C/ 140 SC 140.6.1                      |  | L 35             | # 2                      | Cole, Chris  |  | II-VI                            |  |  |  |
| Cole, Chris                            | II-VI  |                  |                          | Comment Ty   | rpe E  | Comment Status X                 |  |  |  |
| Comment Type T                         | Comment Status X   |                  |                          | DR nam   | e constrasts v   | ith FR1 and LR1 names            |  |  |  |
|  | q) is a problematic spec. Imple<br>100GBASE-LR1 only (Note, ca |                  |                          | SuggestedR   | emedy  |                                  |  |  |  |
|  | is point in time as it is out of so                            |                  | al change for            | Add e fo   | otnote which   | states: "100BASE-DR to 100       | GBASE-DR1 na   | me change will be  |  |
| SuggestedRemedy                        |  | • /              |                          | consider   | ed in future M   | aintenance Project"              |  | Ū  |  |
| Make the following ch                  | hanges to Table 140-6:<br>in the row "TDECQ -10log10(          | Ceq)" for 100GB  | ASE-FR1 and              | Proposed Re  | esponse  | Response Status 0                |  |  |  |
|  | low "TDECQ -10log10(Ceq)" ca                                   | alled "TECQ" wit | h no entry for           | C/ 140   | SC 140.6.2   | P 42                             | L 30   | # 5  |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v                      | with values of 3.0 and 2.5dB fo                                | r 100GBASE-FR    | 1 and 100GBASE-LR1       | Cole, Chris  |  | II-VI                            | 200  | "  |  |
| respectively.                          | row below "TECQ" called "TDE                                   | CQ-TECQ"with r   | no entries for           | Comment Ty   | vpe T  | Comment Status X                 |  |  |  |
|  | with values of 2.0dB and 2.5dB                                 | for 100GBASE-    | FR1 and 100GBASE-        | ,  |  | table is cumbersome. Make F      | Receiver Sensitiv  | vity (RS) a normative  |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v                      |  |                  |                          | spec for both 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 (Note cannot make similar change fo  |  |                                  |  |  |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v<br>LR1 respectively. |  |                  |                          |  |  |                                  |  | alle similar shange to   |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v                      | Response Status 0  |                  |                          | 100GBA   | SE-DR at this  | point in time as it is out of sc |  | and official officinge for   |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v<br>LR1 respectively. | Response Status <b>O</b>                                       |                  |                          |  | SE-DR at this  |                                  |  | and onnial onlyinge to   |  |
| 100GBASE-DR and v<br>LR1 respectively. | Response Status 0  |                  |                          | 100GBA<br>SuggestedR<br>Replace<br>4.5dBm<br>with the<br>informat<br>sensitivi<br>referenc   | SE-DR at this<br>emedy<br>equations for<br>and -6.1 dBn<br>following text<br>ive and is defi<br>ty (OMAouter)<br>e transmitter v |                                  | ope).<br>er) (max) in Tab<br>ely. Replace foot<br>iter) (max) for 10<br>ilue of SECQ up<br>nd 100GBASE-L<br>4 dB. For values | le 140-7 with values of<br>note c in Table 140-7<br>0GBASE-DR is<br>to 3.4 dB. Receiver<br>R1 is defined for a<br>of TECQ greater than |  |

Comment ID 5

| 140 SC 140.6.2 P42 L47 # 6   | C/ 140 SC 140.7.9 P43 L46 # 7   |
|--|---|
| le, Chris II-VI  | Cole, Chris II-VI   |
| mment Type E Comment Status X  | Comment Type T Comment Status X   |
| DR name constrasts with FR1 and LR1 names                                    | Make Receiver Sensitivity (RS) a normative spec for both 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBA  |
| ggestedRemedy  | LR1 (Note cannot make similar change for 100GBASE-DR at this point in time as it is c<br>of scope).   |
| Add g footnote which states: "100BASE-DR to 100GBASE-DR1 name change will be | SuggestedRemedy   |
| considered in future Maintenance Project"                                    | Make the following changes to this section.   |
| oposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>                                     |   |
|  | Change the sentence on page 43 and line 50 from:  |
|  | "Receiver sensitivity is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ. to:   |
|  | "Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR is informative and is defined for a transmitter wit   |
|  | value of SECQ"  |
|  | Change the sentence on page 44 and line 1 from:   |
|  | "Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-FR1 should meet Equation (140–2), which is illustra  |
|  | in<br>Figure 140–5."  |
|  | to:   |
|  | "Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-FR1 is defined for a transmitter with a value of TEC<br>up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity should meet Equation (140–2), which is illustrated in |
|  | Figure 140-5"   |
|  | Change the sentence on page 44 and line 6 from:   |
|  | "Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-LR1 should meet Equation (140–3), which is illustration<br>in  |
|  | Figure 140–5."  |
|  | to:<br>"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-LR1 is defined for a transmitter with a value of TEC(   |
|  | up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity should meet Equation (140–3), which is illustrated in  |
|  | Figure 140-5"   |
|  | Change the sentence on page 44 and line 16 from:  |
|  | "The normative requirement for receivers is stressed receiver sensitivity" to:  |
|  | The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-DR receiver is stressed receiver   |
|  | sensitivity. The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 receivers is both recevier sensitivity and stressed receiver sensitivity."                             |
|  | Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>  |

| C/ 151 SC 151  | P 53  | L1                                     | # 8                          | C/ 151 SC 151.7.1  | P 61   | L 36   | # <u>1</u> 1   |  |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cole, Chris  | II-VI   |  |                              | Cole, Chris  | II-VI  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b><br>There is a more descr  | Comment Status X                                      |  |                              | Comment Type <b>T</b><br>There is no fast corne  | Comment Status X   |  |  |  |  |
| SuggestedRemedy<br>Replace SECQ with T<br>Proposed Response  | ECQ throughout Sub-clause<br>Response Status <b>O</b> | 151                                    |                              | SuggestedRemedy<br>Add Transmitter over/under-shoot (max) spec with 12% value for both FR4 and LR4-6. Ad<br>c footnote for both transition time and new spec wich states: " Using NRZ test pattern;<br>defined for transition, over-shoot in 120.5.11.2.3, 120.5.11.2.4, respectively" |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                              | Proposed Response  | Response Status <b>O</b>   | .0.0.11.2.4, 100p                                      |  |  |  |
| C/ 151 SC 151.7.1  | P 61  | L <b>30</b>                            | # 9                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cole, Chris  | II-VI   |  |                              | C/ 151 SC 151.7.2  | P 62   | L <b>29</b>  | # 12   |  |  |
| Comment Type <b>T</b><br>TDECQ -10log10(Ceq  | Comment Status X<br>a) is a problematic spec.         |  |                              | Cole, Chris<br>Comment Type <b>T</b>   | II-VI<br>Comment Status X  |  |  |  |  |
| SuggestedRemedy  |   |  |                              | 21   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remove TDECQ -10k  | og10(Ceq), Replace with TEC                           | Q, values 3.0 an                       | d 2.5 dB for FR4 and         | Equation use in spec spec.   | . table is cumbersome. Make f  | Receiver Sensitiv                                      | vity (RS) a normative  |  |  |
| Remove TDECQ -10lc<br>LR4-6, respectively  |   | Q, values 3.0 an                       | d 2.5 dB for FR4 and         | spec.<br>SuggestedRemedy   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remove TDECQ -10kc<br>LR4-6, respectively<br>Proposed Response   | Response Status O                                     | Q, values 3.0 an<br><i>L</i> <b>32</b> | d 2.5 dB for FR4 and<br># 10 | spec.<br>SuggestedRemedy<br>Replace equations w<br>footnote c in Table 15<br>lane (max) is defined   | ith -4.6 and -6.8 dBm value for<br>51-8 with the following text "R<br>for a reference transmitter wit<br>.4 dB, see equation (151-1) for       | FR4 and LR4-6<br>eceiver sensitivi<br>h a value of TEC | , respecitvely. Replace<br>ty (OMAouter), each<br>Q up to 1.4 dB. For  |  |  |
| Remove TDECQ -10lc<br>LR4-6, respectively<br>Proposed Response<br>Cl 151 SC 151.7.1<br>Cole, Chris<br>Comment Type T | Response Status <b>O</b>                              |  |                              | spec.<br>SuggestedRemedy<br>Replace equations w<br>footnote c in Table 19<br>lane (max) is defined<br>TECQ greater than 1  | ith -4.6 and -6.8 dBm value for<br>51-8 with the following text "R<br>for a reference transmitter wit<br>.4 dB, see equation (151-1) for       | FR4 and LR4-6<br>eceiver sensitivi<br>h a value of TEC | , respecitvely. Replace<br>ty (OMAouter), each<br>2Q up to 1.4 dB. For |  |  |
| Remove TDECQ -10lc<br>LR4-6, respectively<br>Proposed Response<br>Cl 151 SC 151.7.1<br>Cole, Chris<br>Comment Type T | Response Status O<br>P61<br>II-VI<br>Comment Status X |  |                              | spec.<br>SuggestedRemedy<br>Replace equations w<br>footnote c in Table 18<br>lane (max) is defined<br>TECQ greater than 1<br>for 400GBASE-LR4-6  | th -4.6 and -6.8 dBm value for<br>51-8 with the following text "R<br>for a reference transmitter wit<br>.4 dB, see equation (151-1) for<br>3." | FR4 and LR4-6<br>eceiver sensitivi<br>h a value of TEC | , respecitvely. Replace<br>ty (OMAouter), each<br>2Q up to 1.4 dB. For |  |  |

|   |  |                      | "                      |                |            |                         | D 10  |                  |                      |
|---|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|
| C/ 151 SC 151.8   |  | L <b>34</b>          | # 13                   | C/ 140         |            | 140.6                   | P 40  | L 19             | # 14                 |
| Cole, Chris   | II-VI  |                      |                        | Kimber, M      |            |                         | Semtech   |                  |                      |
| Comment Type T  | Comment Status X   |                      |                        | Comment        | Туре       | E                       | Comment Status X  |                  |                      |
| Make Receiver Ser<br>LR4-6.                               | nsitivity (RS) a normative spec fo   | or both 400GBAS      | E-FR4 and 400GBASE-    | attenu         | ation is   | s required              | operability should be clarified<br>between DR, FR1 and LR1 F  | MDs. The state   | ement on             |
| SuggestedRemedy   |  |                      |                        |                |            |                         | d from Clause 122.7 (802.3c                                   |                  |                      |
| Replace the senter<br>"For 400GBASE-FI<br>a value of      | LR8 have the same Tx power and no attenuation is required to interoperate. The other interoperability between PMDs is for Erx to FRx or LRx. It is standard to have attenuation for ERx type PMDs. |                      |                        |                |            |                         |   |                  |                      |
| SECQ up to 3.4 dB   | ."   |                      |                        | Suggested      | dReme      | dy                      |   |                  |                      |
| with:   |  |                      |                        | Chang          | ge word    | ding from "             | provided that the channel rec                                 | quirements for 1 | 00GBASE-DR are met." |
| "For 400GBASE-FI<br>up to 3.4 dB."                        | R4, receiver sensitivity is define   | d for a transmitte   | r with a value of TECQ |                |            |                         | perability requirements of the<br>GBASE-DR are met." This als |                  |                      |
| Replace the senter<br>"For 400GBASE-LF<br>with a value of | nce:<br>R4-6, receiver sensitivity is infor  | mative and is defi   | ned for a transmitter  | Proposed       | Respoi     | nse                     | Response Status O   |                  |                      |
| SECQ up to 3.5 dB   |  |                      |                        | C/ 140         | SC         | 140.6.1                 | P <b>41</b>   | L <b>29</b>      | # 15                 |
| with:   |  |                      |                        | Dawe, Pie      | re         |                         | Mellanox  |                  |                      |
| "For 400GBASE-LF<br>TECQ up to 3.5 dB                     | R4-6, receiver sensitivity is defir  | ned for a transmit   | ter with a value of    | Comment        |            | Е                       | Comment Status X  |                  |                      |
|   |  |                      |                        |                |            |                         | it characteristics, the two row                               |                  | ECO could be         |
|   | nce on page 69 and laine 28:   |                      |                        |                |            |                         | ub-rows). Similarly for the "a                                |                  |                      |
| "The normative req<br>with:                               | uirement for receivers is stresse  | ed receiver sensiti  | ivity."                | 140-8          | , illustra | ative link p            | ower budgets.   | ·                |                      |
|   | uirement for receivers is receive  | er sensitivity and s | stressed receiver      | Suggested      | dReme      | dy                      |   |                  |                      |
| Proposed Response   | Response Status O  |                      |                        | Proposed       | Respo      | nse                     | Response Status 0   |                  |                      |
|   |  |                      |                        | C/ <b>140</b>  | SC         | 140.10                  | P 49  | L <b>34</b>      | # 16                 |
|   |  |                      |                        | Dawe, Pie      | ers        |                         | Mellanox  |                  |                      |
|   |  |                      |                        | Comment        |            | т                       | Comment Status X  |                  |                      |
|   |  |                      |                        | There<br>betwe | is guid    | lance for ii<br>GBASE-L | nteroperation between 100GE<br>R1 and 100GBASE-FR1, but       |                  |                      |
|   |  |                      |                        | <b>•</b> • •   |            |                         |   |                  |                      |

## SuggestedRemedy

Even if there are no special requirements, add the subclause and say what the situation is.

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

| 7 151      | SC 151.7.1                              | P 61  | L 32              | # <u>1</u> 7           |
|------------|---|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| awe, Pier  | S                                       | Mellanox  |                   |                        |
| Comment 3  | Type <b>TR</b>                          | Comment Status X  |                   |                        |
| concer     | n the receiver wh                       | ECQ – TECQ, or chromatic<br>ether the penalty came from<br>erations in this spec are no | n the transmitter | or from chromatic      |
| Suggested  | Remedy                                  |   |                   |                        |
| Explair    | n why this new sp                       | ec is needed or remove the  | row, 151.8.6, ai  | nd associated text.    |
| Proposed I | Response                                | Response Status 0   |                   |                        |
|            |   |   |                   |                        |
| / 151      | SC 151.7.1                              | P 61  | L <b>30</b>       | # <u>1</u> 8           |
| awe, Pier  | S                                       | Mellanox  |                   |                        |
| Comment    | Type <b>TR</b>                          | Comment Status X  |                   |                        |
| place t    | hat limits ~average<br>to protect again | 9 proposes an overshoot m<br>ge overshoot, and proposes<br>st bad signals (with too muc | removing TDEC     | CQ-10log10(Ceq), which |
| Suggested  | Remedy                                  |   |                   |                        |
| Find or    | ut what if anything                     | g apart from the typical over   | shoot is a proble | em for receivers. E.a. |

Proposed Response Response Status **0**