IEEE P802.3cu D2.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 140	SC 140.7.5c	P 46	L 38	# 21029	C/ 140	SC 14	0.6.1	P 41	L51	# 21030		
Dawe, Piers	awe, Piers Mellanox					Dawe, Piers Mellanox						
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status R		peak-to-peak power	Comment	Туре 1	ſR	Comment Status R		10logCe		
The positive and negative peaks of an optical signal can be very different. An obvious example is a directly modulated laser, but other transmitters are not symmetric also. A receiver O to E circuit is not necessarily symmetrical either - the optical input is naturally "single ended". Therefore, the positive and negative peaks must be limited separately.						Although the relative and absolute overshoot limits catch some bad transmitters that the K limit would catch, they don't catch all of them. P802.3ct and P802.3cw have the equivalent of a K limit, so it's not unnecessary. The motivation for removing it was poor accuracy of the TDECQ method.						
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy						
Change "Transmitter peak-to-peak power" which is Pmax - Pmin to "Transmitter power excursion", defined as max(Pmax-Paverage, Paverage-Pmin). Take 3 dB off the limits in Table 140-6.					Reinstate the K limit for 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1, 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6. For these PMDs, apply it at TP2 as well as at TP3, same as TECQ. Improve the accuracy of the TDECQ method.							
Make si	imilar changes ii	n Clause 151.			Response			Response Status U				
Response		Response Status U			REJEC	CT.						
REJECT	Т.											
The measurement methodology and associated limits in D2.1 are based on measured data presented in rodes_3cu_01_032420 and associated presentations.					This is a similar comment to #59, #62, #68, #69, and #87 against D2.0. These five comments were rejected by the task force due to an earlier decision to remove 10logCeq and replace it with overshoot limits.							
	Changing the test methodology and limits would require supporting data. There is no consensus to make the proposed change at this time.				The response to #87 is included here for reference.							
		F F - 200 - 110 - 110 - 11			Force to rem (includ	consensu: ove "TDE(s was t CQ-10I	Straw Poll #1 taken at the 3 o maintain the decision mad Log10(Ceq) and to clean up changes to remove "SECQ-	e at the 802.3cu the draft to corre	TF meeting in Geneva ctly reflect this decision		
						Straw Poll #1: With regards to the inclusion of TDECQ-10log(Ceq) parameter, I support: a) Full removal from both Tx and Rx tables: 27						

b) Reinstate for both Tx and Rx tables: 9

(17 Abstain)

Comment ID 30

IEEE P802.3cu D2.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 151	SC 151.7.1	P 63	L 29	# 20059	C/ 140	SC 140.6.1	P 41	L32	# 20069
Dawe, Pie	ers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Tx 10logCeq	Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status R		Tx 10logCed
but it i TDEC limit, v contin were/a	is still needed to p Q limit or the ove which was introdu uued presence is i	10log10(Ceq) (also known as protect the receiver from the b ershoot limit. All other optical uced a long time ago, in July 2 needed to protect equalizers, ing on it. Particularly 400GB/ sting SMF PMD.	oad signals that a PAM4 transmitte 2018 (P802.3cd/I receivers and re	are not caught by the er specs have such a D3.4), and its eceiver designs that	but it is TDECQ limit, wh continue	still needed to limit or the ove ich was introdu	10log10(Ceq) (also known as protect the receiver from the l ershoot limit. All other optical uced a long time ago, in July 2 needed to protect equalizers, ring on it.	oad signals that PAM4 transmitte 2018 (P802.3cd/	are not caught by the er specs have such a D3.4), and its
K prot	tects receiver bac	ation, we need different limits k end, TDECQ protects recei t over-emphasised signals no	To summarize the situation, we need different limits to exclude different kinds of bad signal K protects receiver back end, TDECQ protects receiver front end and optical budget, overshoot spec against over-emphasised signals not caught by the other specs, and so on. We need them all, but K and TDECQ come off the same measurement, so not an						

against over-emphasised signals not caught by the other specs, and so on. We need them all, but K and TDECQ come off the same measurement, so not an extra cost.

SuggestedRemedy

Restore the limits for TDECQ - 10log10(Ceq) as before (3.4 dB for 400GBASE-FR4 and 3.5 dB for 400GBASE-LR4-6, same as the TDECQ limits).

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #87

on. We need them all, but K and TDECQ come off the same measurement, extra cost.

SuggestedRemedy

Restore the limit for TDECQ - 10log10(Ceq) for 100GBASE-FR1 100GBASE-LR1, as before (3.4 dB, same as the TDECQ limit).

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #87