Cl **FM**	*SC* **FM**	*P* **1**	*L* **30**	# 43

Marris, Arthur   Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 IEEE Std 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cq-2002 have now been approved

SuggestedRemedy

 Change 802.3cm-20XX to 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cq-20XX to 802.3cq-2020 throughout the draft

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**	*SC* **0**	*P* **12**	*L* **1**	# 49

Maguire, Valerie   The Siemon Company

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 802.3cg has published.

SuggestedRemedy

 Replace, "802.3cg-20xx" with, "802.3cg-2019"

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **FM**	*SC* **FM**	*P* **12**	*L* **1**	# 44

Marris, Arthur   Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 IEEE Std 802.3cg-2019 has been approved

SuggestedRemedy

 Change 802.3cg-20XX to 802.3cg-2019 throughout the draft

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **FM**	*SC* **FM**	*P* **12**	*L* **1**	# 2

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 802.3cg was approved in 2019

SuggestedRemedy

 Change 20xx to 2019

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**	*SC* **0**	*P* **12**	*L* **1**	# 10

Lewis, Jon   Dell EMC

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 IEEE Std 802.3cg-20xx should be 2019.

SuggestedRemedy

 Change 20xx to 2019

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **FM**	*SC* **FM**	*P* **12**	*L* **13**	# 99

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 802.3cm project is complete

SuggestedRemedy

 Change 20xx to the appropriate date.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **1**	*SC* **1**	*P* **17**	*L* **16**	# 1

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

 The "important Notice" is no longer required according to IEEE.

SuggestedRemedy

 Delete lines 16 through 26: IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not intended to ensure safety, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.
 This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents."
 They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Topic* **bucket**   Page 1 of 8
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn   3/13/2020 2:03:08 PM
SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl **45**	*SC* **45.2.1.7.4**	*P* **20**	*L* **51**	#	3

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Because you are showing a new row in the same table you are changing a row in, the text in the new row should be underlined to clearly show that this is new.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline all text in the last row of the table, including the cross-reference.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**	*SC* **45.2.1.7.5**	*P* **21**	*L* **15**	#	4

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Because you are showing a new row in the same table you are changing a row in, the text in the new row should be underlined to clearly show that this is new.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline all text in the last row of the table, including the cross-reference.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**	*SC* **45.2.1.8**	*P* **21**	*L* **34**	#	5

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Because you are showing a new row in the same table you are changing a row in, the text in the new row should be underlined to clearly show that this is new.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline all text in the last row of the table, including the cross-reference.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **116**	*SC* **116.1.4**	*P* **33**	*L* **10**	#	7

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Straddle the two Clause 151 labels to be in a single cell as is done for 117. (This should be done for 122 as well.)

SuggestedRemedy

Make the change defined in the comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **116**	*SC* **116.1.4**	*P* **33**	*L* **28**	#	26

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

The "O" and "M" for new rows must be underline.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline all text in new rows for 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **116**	*SC* **116.1.4**	*P* **33**	*L* **28**	#	45

Marris, Arthur   Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

There needs to be more underlining in Table 116-4

SuggestedRemedy

Underline the table entries for the new PMDs in Table 116-4

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **116**	*SC* **116.1.4**	*P* **33**	*L* **28**	#	6

Wienckowski, Natalie   General Motors

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

All M's and O's in the 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6 rows should be underlined as these are added text.

SuggestedRemedy

Add underlined as defined in the comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **bucket**

Page 2 of 8
3/13/2020 2:03:08 PM

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140**　　　　　*P* **36**　　*L* **7**　　　# 27

Brown, Matt　　　　　　　　Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

This is not an editing instruction, but this information is normally part of an editing instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Clause 140 was added to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 by IEEE Std 803.3cd-2018". Change instruction at top of page to: "Change the title of Clause 140 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018) as follows".

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140.1**　　　　*P* **36**　　*L* **15**　　　# 28

Brown, Matt　　　　　　　　Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

The word "three" here is not necessary. For future amendments, let's avoid unecessary words that might have to be revised in the future.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "three".

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140.1**　　　　*P* **37**　　*L* **1**　　　# 117

Zimmerman, George　　　　　CME Cons./ADI, Cisco, Commscope, Marvell, SenTe

Comment Type **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

If it is only the title and header of Table 140-1, say that and don't show all the rows. (usual is "unchanged rows not shown")

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to "Change Title and second column header of Table 140-1 as shown (unchanged rows not shown)"
Delete unchanged rows beginning at first body row.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140.6.2**　　　*P* **42**　　*L* **11**　　　# 11

Lewis, Jon　　　　　　　　Dell EMC

Comment Type **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

"defined in Table 140-7 per the definitions in 140.7." This just reads oddly given that the Table number and the subsequent clause are the same (140-7 and 140.7). It took me a moment to realize that the definitions weren't in the Table but in the clause 140.7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "defined in Table 140-7 per the definitions in 140.7." to "defined in Table 140-7 per the definitions in clause 140.7."

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change
"defined in Table 140-7 per the definitions in 140.7."
to
"in Table 140-7 per the definitions in clause 140.7."

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140.6.2**　　　*P* **43**　　*L* **12**　　　# 35

Trowbridge, Steve　　　　　Nokia

Comment Type **ER**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

Since this is a single-lane interface, there is only one wavelength

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Wavelengths (range) to "Wavelength (range)"

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**　　*SC* **140.6.3**　　　*P* **44**　　*L* **16**　　　# 55

Maniloff, Eric　　　　　　　Ciena

Comment Type **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　*Bucket*

Channel Insertion loss for 100GBASE-DR is referencing the incorrect sub-clause. The loss for this is in 140.9. Note that 802.3ct had the correct sub-clause referenced for the channel loss for 100GBASE-DR.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference for 100GBASE-DR channel insertion loss to 140.9

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **Bucket**　　　Page 3 of 8
3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **44**   *L* **18**   # 100

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Incorrect reference in table 140-8

SuggestedRemedy

Change the maximum discrete reflectance from "see 140.10.3" to "see 140.10.2.2

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**   *SC* **140.7.9**   *P* **45**   *L* **50**   # 86

Lewis, David   Lumentum

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

There is underlining required in the paragraph at line 50 for changes from the original text in 802.3cd.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline "the 100GBASE-DR " and add a strikeout "s" after receiver. Underline all of the second sentence.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**   *SC* **140.7.11**   *P* **46**   *L* **30**   # 65

Dawe, Piers   Mellanox

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Don't put the subclause for Transmitter over/under-shoot after the receiver-related subclauses when all other transmitter-related subclauses in 140.7 are before.

SuggestedRemedy

Because it's so closely related to T(D)ECQ measurement, put it after Transmitter eye closure for PAM4 (TECQ) and before Extinction ratio.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move subclause 140.7.11 to subclause 140.7.5b after the newly inserted 140.7.5a.

Cl **140**   *SC* **140.10.2.2**   *P* **49**   *L* **45**   # 46

Slavick, Jeff   Broadcom

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Editors direction for modiying the sub-clause is not proper font

SuggestedRemedy

Change to proper font for providing directions to the editorial team

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **140**   *SC* **140.11.4.4**   *P* **54**   *L* **25**   # 41

Trowbridge, Steve   Nokia

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

This table hasn't been incorporated into the P802.3cu draft, however once the missing measurement method in 140.7.11 for over/undershoot is provided, this clause/table should be brought into the draft and an OM10 PICs item should be added to point to 140.7.11.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring the table from P802.3cd clause 140.11.4.4 into the draft and add an OM10 PICS item to point to 140.7.11.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #91.

Cl **140**   *SC* **140.11.4.6**   *P* **54**   *L* **28**   # 91

Nicholl, Gary   Cisco Systems

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Missing PICS items for Overshoot, TECQ and Receiver Sensitivity tests

SuggestedRemedy

Insert Section 140.11.4.4 from 802.3cd before Section 140.11.4.6, and amend to include new PICS itens for Overshoot, TECQ and Receiver Sensitivity. Make this PICS items mandatory for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 only.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Topic* **bucket**   Page 4 of 8

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn   3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM

SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl **140**	*SC* **140.11.4.6**	*P* **54**	*L* **40**	#	107

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

The requirements for the maximum discrete reflectance in table 140-12 don't apply to LR1/FR1 which are given in Table 140-14

SuggestedRemedy

Change the PICs to match the requirements.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change Value/Comment for OC2 to "Meets requirements specified in 140.10.2.2".

Cl **151**	*SC* **151**	*P* **55**	*L* **1**	#	118

Zimmerman, George   CME Cons./ADI, Cisco, Commscope, Marvell, SenTe

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Insert instruction for clause 151 is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add new editing instruction before header to clause 151 - "Insert new clause 151 in numeric order as follows"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**	*SC* **151.1**	*P* **55**	*L* **30**	#	31

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Use proper terminology. See Annexes 120B, 120C, 120D, 120E.

SuggestedRemedy

Change as follows.
"Chip-to-chip 400GAUI-16" to "400GAUI-16 C2C"
"Chip-to-module 400GAUI-16" "400GAUI-16 C2M"
"Chip-to-chip 400GAUI-8" to "400GAUI-8 C2C"
"Chip-to-module 400GAUI-8" to "400GAUI-8 C2M"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**	*SC* **151.5.1**	*P* **58**	*L* **45**	#	50

Maguire, Valerie   The Siemon Company

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Extra spaces between paragraphs.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove carriage returns on lines 45 and 46.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**	*SC* **151.5.4**	*P* **59**	*L* **53**	#	18

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The reference should be to 151.2 rather than 116.3. It is correct that 116.3 provides the default architecture, 151.2 points to 116.3 and provides additional information for mapping SIGNAL_DETECT.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to "161.3" to "151.2".

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change reference from "116.3" to "151.2".

Cl **151**	*SC* **151.5.4**	*P* **60**	*L* **12**	#	108

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The condition for signal detect fail is Average Optical power <=-30dBm. The Average launch power of OFF transmitter is -16dBm in table 151-7. i.e. an OFF transmitter will not cause signal detect to negate.

SuggestedRemedy

Change -30dBm to -16dBm

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Topic

Topic **Bucket**

Page 5 of 8

3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.7**   *P* **62**   *L* **23**   *#* 33

Lusted, Kent   Intel

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The references to G.657.A1 and G657.A2 are assumed to be ITU-T G.657, 2009 from the base standard because no other version is referenced in this draft specification. However, a newer version of ITU-T G.657 published 2016 makes numerous changes to the SMF specifications that may be relevant to this draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the Normative Reference in Clause 1.3 from the base specfication (IEEE 802.3-2018) with the updated ITU-T G.657 document.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Import subclause 1.3 from 802.3-2018 and:

Replace
ITU-T Recommendation G.657, 2009
with
ITU-T Recommendation G.657, 2016

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.7**   *P* **62**   *L* **23**   *#* 32

Lusted, Kent   Intel

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The references to G.652.B and G652.D are assumed to be ITU-T G.652, 2009 from the base standard because no other version is referenced in this draft specification. However, a newer version of ITU-T G.652 published 2016 makes numerous changes to the SMF specifications that may be relevant to this draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the Normative Reference in Clause 1.3 from the base specfication (IEEE 802.3-2018) with the updated ITU-T G.652 document.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Import subclause 1.3 from 802.3-2018 and:

Replace
ITU-T Recommendation G.652, 2009
with
ITU-T Recommendation G.652, 2016

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.7.2**   *P* **64**   *L* **3**   *#* 20

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The specifications are not defined in Table 151-8, they are listed there; the specifications are defined within subclause 151.8.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "defined" twice.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.2**   *P* **66**   *L* **42**   *#* 110

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Table 151-5 does not specify SMSR

SuggestedRemedy

Change the table reference to 151-7

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.5.1**   *P* **67**   *L* **50**   *#* 22

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The acronym RIN has not been defined in the Clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "RIN" to "relative intensity noise (RIN)".

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.5.4**   *P* **68**   *L* **28**   *#* 24

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

In figure 151-4, Insconsistent font type and size.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all to Arial 8pt.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
All text except "Polarization rotator" is already Arial 8pt.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Topic* **Bucket**   Page 6 of 8
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn   3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM
SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.5.1**   *P* **69**   *L* **7**   # 23

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

The acronym DGD has not been defined in the Clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "DGD" to "differential group delay (DGD)".

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.11**   *P* **71**   *L* **38**   # 112

Dudek, Mike   Marvell.

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

There are only two different patterns allowed

SuggestedRemedy

Change "any" to "either"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.8.5.4**   *P* **72**   *L* **28**   # 25

Brown, Matt   Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

In figure 151-7, insconsistent font type and size.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all to Arial 8pt.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Most of the font in figure 151-7 is Arial 9pt. One text block is Arial 10pt (Stress conditioning), and another is Arial 8pt (Pattern trigger). Propose to change all to Arial 9pt.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.9.1**   *P* **73**   *L* **52**   # 12

Lewis, Jon   Dell EMC

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

P802.3cr has centralized the general safety references in Annex J. P802.3cr is in a recirculation WG ballot and is likely to complete prior to P802.3cu. TF Chairs should discuss the order of the amendments as that would determine if this change should happen in P802.3cu or P802.3cr.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1." to "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in J.2". Add Editor's Note to be removed prior to SA ballot to align text with changes to P802.3cr.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.9.1**   *P* **73**   *L* **52**   # 14

Carlson, Steven   HSD/Bosch/Ethernovia

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

P802.3cr is harmonizing general safety references across all of IEEE 802.3 in Annex J. P802.3cr is in the 1st WG ballot recirculation and is likely to complete the ballot cycle prior to P802.3cu. Coordination between TFs and the P802.3cr project should be maintained to keep this material in sync.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1." to "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in J.2". Add Editor's Note to be removed prior to SA ballot to align text with changes to P802.3cr.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.11.1**   *P* **76**   *L* **7**   # 51

Maguire, Valerie   The Siemon Company

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

Extra spaces between paragraphs.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove carriage returns on lines 7 and 8.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Topic* **Bucket**   Page 7 of 8
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn   3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM
SORT ORDER: Topic

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.13.4.5**   *P* **82**   *L* **24**   # 98

Nicholl, Gary   Cisco Systems

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

Missing PICS items for Overshoot, TECQ and Receiver Sensitivity tests

SuggestedRemedy

Add new PICS items for Overshoot, TECQ and Receiver Sensitivity tests

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.13.4.5**   *P* **82**   *L* **44**   # 40

Trowbridge, Steve   Nokia

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *bucket*

If the over/undershoot measurement mechanism mentioned in a related comment on clause 151.8.12 had been specified, you woud need a PICS to point to it.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an OM10 PICS item to this table pointing to the over/undershoot measurement method to be added to 151.8.12.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #98.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.13.4.6**   *P* **83**   *L* **6**   # 15

Carlson, Steven   HSD/Bosch/Ethernovia

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

If Annex J is inserted in 151.9.1 then the PICs require updating.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Conforms to IEC 60950-1" to "Conforms to J.2"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **151**   *SC* **151.13.4.6**   *P* **83**   *L* **6**   # 13

Lewis, Jon   Dell EMC

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Bucket*

If Annex J is inserted in 151.9.1 then the PICs needs to be updated also.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Conforms to IEC 60950-1" to "Conforms to J.2"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Topic* **Bucket**   Page 8 of 8
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn   3/13/2020 2:03:09 PM
SORT ORDER: Topic