

P802.3cu D3.1

Comment Resolution Agenda

P802.3cu Editorial Team

IEEE P802.3cu Task Force Conf Call, 9 November 2020

Comment Resolution Process

Goal is to resolve all comments against Draft 3.1

Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.

If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response, the comment will be closed as proposed.

If there is disagreement on the final proposed response from anyone other than the commenter, a measure of consensus will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.

Comment Resolution Process

Editors have identified non-controversial comments as candidates for a bucket motion.

- The initial list of comments for the bucket motion are shown later in this deck (and also identified as “topic=bucket” in the comment reports)
- Please review this and ask for a comment to be taken off the list if you don’t agree with the proposed response.
- These comments will not be reviewed individually, but will be resolved as proposed via a single motion

Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic.

Where a comment is underlined it contains the proposed response for the group of comments.

The order in which the comments are reviewed and the schedule are subject to change.

Comment Resolution Process

Baseline Terminology

- “Open” comments – comments that Task Force have not agreed upon a remedy
- “Closed” comments – comments that Task Force have agreed upon a remedy
- “Final” comments – Task Force has approved motion to adopt the responses to the closed comments

Post updated comment database after each meeting

- Allow individuals (other than commenter) two business days (AoE) to request on the reflector a “Closed” comment from the prior meeting only be considered for re-opening
- Individual needs to be present at next meeting to address
- Normal procedures within the group will apply to determine if comment will be re-opened

After all comments closed – normal procedures to adopt responses and generate next draft will be followed

- Comments cannot be re-opened after meeting where responses are adopted by TF

Editorial Team

Editor	Clauses/Annexes
Gary Nicholl Editor-in-Chief	FM, 1, 30, 45, 78, 80, 91, 116
David Lewis	140, 151
Mark Kimber	Advisor and reviewer for optical clauses

Clause 140 (100G optical) comments

Clause	Topic	Comment #	Count
140	TECQ description (spell it out in full)	11	1
140	Wavelength reference in table footnote	10	1
140	Over/Under-shoot	7	1
140	Reinstate 10Log(Ceq)	12	1
	Total		4

Legend: [##,##,##] = process together, ## = pivot comment, ##* = cross-clause comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Clause 151 (400G optical) comments

Clause	Topic	Comment #	Count
151	Extra space	17	1
151	Spelling on “Thompson” (missing p)	14	1
151	Comma (extra, missing, misplaced)	5, 6, 19	3
151	Missing “the”	18	1
151	Unnecessary text	4,13	2
151	Reorder PICS table	20	1
	Total		9

Legend: [##,##,##] = process together, ## = pivot comment, ##* = cross-clause comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Cross-Clause comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
New Framemaker Template	00	3	1
Wording change from “devices” to “interfaces” and “lanes”	140, 151	15, 16	2
Change “guidelines for interoperation” to “recommendations for interoperation”	140,151	9	1
Power excursion	140,151	1, 2, 8, rodes_3cu_01_110920	3
Total			7

Legend: [##,##,##] = process together, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Bucket comments

Clause	Comment #	Count
00		
FM		
1		
30		
45		
78		
80		
91		
116		
140		
151		
	Total	0

Requests to remove:

Legend: ##* = updated proposed response (also identified as "Topic=bucket (updated mmdd)" where mm=month and dd=day

Withdrawn comments

Clause	Comment #	Count
00		
FM		
1		
30		
45		
78		
80		
91		
116		
140		
151		
	Total	0