C/ 00	SC 0)	P 0)	L 0	# 2		C/ 00	SC ()	P1	<i>L</i> 1	# 36		
Ran, Adee			Intel					Yseboodt,	, Lennart		Signify				
Comment 7	Гуре	E	Comment Status	Α				Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status R				
Copyright year is 2019 in multiple places in the draft. I assume this amendment will be published in 2020 so you don't need "202x".								Many of the editing instructions deviate from the required format.							
Suggested	Remedy	,						These	e are:						
Change	e to 202	0 everywh	ere, in both text ar	nd templates.				The e	diting ins	structions	s contained in this amendme	nt define how to	merge the material		
Response ACCEF	PT.		Response Status	esponse Status C					contained therein into the existing base standard and its amendments to form the comprehensive standard.						
C/ 00	SC 0	1	P 0		L 0	# 1			The	editing i	nstructions are shown in bold	l italic. Four editi	ing instructions are		
Ran, Adee			Intel			_		used:	change,				· - -		
Comment Type E Comment Status A								and replace. Change is used to make corrections in existing text or tables. The editing instruction specifies							
Document title in PDF metadata is "IEEE P802.3xx name of Task Force", this shows up as the document name in PDF readers.								the location of the change and describes what is being changed by using strikethrough (to remove old mate-rial) and underscore (to add new material). Delete removes existing material. Insert adds new material with-							
SuggestedRemedy															
	-		ne and draft numb	er.				insert			al witn- g the existing material. Deleti	ons and insertio	ns may require		
Response Response Status C								renumbering. If so, renumbering							
ACCEPT.			ricoporios Gialas	J				ahana	instr	ructions	are given in the editing instru	ction. Replace is	s used to make		
								Criang			equations by e existing figure or equation a	nd replacing it w	vith a new one. Editing		
								instru	ctions, cl	-					
						ings, and this NOTE will not be carried over into future editions because the changes will be incorporated into the base standard.									
								Suggeste	dRemed	y					
								Checl - Inse - Cha - Dele - Rep	rt nge ete	ng instru	ctions and make sure they s	art with any of			
								Response)		Response Status C				

REJECT.

Comment remedy contains insufficient detail for each specific change. Please provide complete resolution.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **1**

Page 1 of 13 1/21/2020 10:03:10 AM

C/ FM SC FM P**7** L4 # 37 C/ FM SC FM P11 L 27 Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A "The following individuals were officers and members of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group at 802.3ck is not listed in the amendment list. Not knowing whether cv will be published the beginning of the IEEE P802.3xx Working Group ballot." before ck, it may be wise to have these projects refer to each other. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change P802.3xx to P802.3cv. Add a paragraph for 802.3ck based on D1.0: Response Response Status C IEEE Std 802.3ck™-20xx ACCEPT. This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 161 through Clause 163. C/ FM SC FM P11 L 10 # Annex 120F, Annex 120G, and Annex 162A through Annex 162D. This amendment includes Physical Ran, Adee Intel Layer specifications and management parameters for 100 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s, and 400 Gb/s Comment Type Ε Comment Status R electrical interfaces based on 100 Gb/s signaling Order of unpublished amendments may change. The number should be added when they Response Response Status C are published. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy The suggestion will be forwarded to the 802.3 Chief Editor Delete "Amendment X--" for all unpublished amendments. Response Response Status C C/ FM SC FM P13 REJECT. Ran, Adee Intel Comment Status A Comment Type E Order of this came from the 802.3 Chief Editor. It will be updated as documents ratify. Deleting this text leaves a hole that has to be filled later and may get missed if deleted. In all amendment documents there is an internal title page following the table of contents that lists the meaning of editing instructions (per style manual 18.2). See page 20 of 802.3bt-2018. This page is missing here. SugaestedRemedy Add internal title page based on the one in 802.3bt. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Ιi

Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.4 P17 # 7 Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.4 P18 L 4 # 49 L 36 Ran. Adee Intel Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A In the definition of PSEAllocatedPowerValue, "Integer that indicates the PSE allocated There is a mistake in the changes to PSE_NEW_VALUE, the wrong sentence is removed. power value in the PSE." should not be deleted (based on previous definitions). // means crossed out \\ __ means underline __ SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the strikethough from this sentence. Line 3 through 7 needs to become: Response Response Status C ACCEPT. PSE NEW VALUE: C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.4 P18 L4 The new max imum power value that the PSE expects the PD to draw. // Actual power Ran, Adee Intel represented using an integer value that is encoded according to Equation (79-2). Comment Type Comment Status A where X is the decimal value of PSE_NEW_VALUE. \\ The sentence "The new max power value that the PSE expects the PD to draw." is deleted Power numbers are represented using an integer value in units of 0.1 W. here - but it should be retained. Response Response Status C The second sentence "Actual power numbers are... where X is the decimal value of ACCEPT. PSE NEW VALUE" should be deleted, as in the previous paragraphs. C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.4 P18 L 11 Similarly in the next paragraph - "The new max power value that the PD wants to draw" should be retained, and the next sentence should be deleted. Yseboodt, Lennart Signify SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Format these 2 paragraphs per comment. There is a mistake in the changes to PD_NEW_VALUE, the wrong sentence is removed. // means crossed out \\ Response Response Status C __ means underline ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy OBE 49.50 PD NEW VALUE: The new max imum power value that the PD wants to draw. // Actual power numbers are represented using an integer value that is encoded according to Equation (79-1), where X is the decimal value of PD_NEW_VALUE.\\ Power numbers are represented using an integer value in units of 0.1 W. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 18

Page 3 of 13 1/21/2020 10:03:11 AM

Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 3 # 38 Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instrustion: "Change the last paragraph of 79.3.2 as follows:" This paragraph is modified by 802.3bt. SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Change the last paragraph of 79.3.2. as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bt, as follows:" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to "Change the last paragraph of 79.3.2, as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018, as follows:" Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L6 # Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The paragraph that is shown here as deleted does not exist in the base document - it was added in 802.3bt. IT should be noted to help readers understand what's going on. SuggestedRemedy In the editorial instruction, change "79.3.2" to "79.3.2 (As amended by 802.3bt-2018)". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by 38 Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 16 # 9 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status A There are 3 instances of "Figure 79-3" that are not cross-references. I assume they refer to the figure that was added in 802.3bt. If it is not modified by this amendment, the references should be in external Xref format (forest green).

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Format these 3 instances as External Xref (forest green).

Response Status C

Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L23 # 39

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify
Comment Type E Comment Status A

- 1. The note on page 19, line 23 is not formatted as a note, and is also not underlined (it is new text).
- 2. There is no editing instruction to insert a new Table.
- 3. The Table number is not right, I suspect it needs to be 79-1a.
- 4. The paragraph on lines 43-47 is new and needs to be underlined.
- 5. The note does not end with a period.

SuggestedRemedy

Resolutions are obvious per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change editing instruction to: "Delete last paragraph of 79.3.2 as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018."

after deleted paragraph, add editing instruction: "Insert the following text after the last paragraph of 79.3.2:"

makes changes from comment as necessary:

- 1. The note on page 19, line 23 is not formatted as a note, and is also not underlined (it is new text).
- 2. There is no editing instruction to insert a new Table.
- 3. The Table number is not right, I suspect it needs to be 79-1a.
- 4. The paragraph on lines 43-47 is new and needs to be underlined.
- 5. The note does not end with a period.

Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 23 # 11 Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 31 # 41 Ran. Adee Intel Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A The paragraph starting with "Note: some implications"... In Table 79-2 (soon to be 79-1a), we list "Type 1-2" and "Type 3-4". This use of the "-" symbol violates the style guide. 1. is new, so should be underlined. SuggestedRemedy 2. is a note, so per the style manual (16.1) should start with "NOTE—" (using em dash). Change to "Type 1, Type 2" and "Type 3, Type 4". 3. should be terminated by a period Response Response Status C The paragraph below the table is also new and should be underlined. It also looks like a ACCEPT. NOTE. SuggestedRemedy Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 43 Change per comment. There may be a paragraph style for NOTE in FrameMaker. Ran. Adee Intel Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. According to the style manual (10.2.2): "The word can is used for statements of possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal (can equals is able to)"; and "The word OBE by 39 may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)" Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P19 L 26 # 40 This paragraph describes two permissible ways of of determining the partner type, so it Yseboodt. Lennart Signify should use "may". Comment Status A Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy There is no reference to Table 79-2 (==>79-1a). Change "can" to "may", twice. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add the following sentence at the end of the note on line 27: "Table 79-1a lists the recommended Power via MDI TLV formats for each permutation of REJECT. power entity Types." These are statements of possibility and capability. "Can" is the proper word. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.1 P22 / 15 Ran. Adee Intel SC 79.3.2 Cl 79 P19 L 29 # 10 Comment Type T Comment Status R Ran. Adee Intel "shall not apply a voltage greater than V_Off to the PI for at least T_dbo" is ambiguous - it Comment Status A Comment Type T can be understood as if it is permitted to apply a higher voltage for a shorter period. This table is labeled 79-2, but the base document already has "Table 79-2—IEEE 802.3 SugaestedRemedy auto-negotiation support/status". Change to "shall apply a voltage lower than V_off to the PI for at least T_dbo". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Re-label this table as 79-2a (a future revision project will renumber all tables sequentially). REJECT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The suggested remedy imposes new requirements. The CRG disagrees that the text is ambiguous and it states exactly the desired behavior. OBE by 39

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **22**

Page 5 of 13

Li 15

1/21/2020 10:03:11 AM

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.1 P22 L 16 # 14 C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P 22 L 40 # 17 Ran. Adee Intel Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A V off and T dbo should be formatted with subscripts. "Add" is not a recognized editorial instruction. It should be "Insert". SuggestedRemedy This appears in many other similar instructions. Format per comment. Also, unlike "change", text inserted using "Insert" should not be underlined. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change "add" to "insert" in all editorial instructions that include it across the draft. Format the text following these instructions with underline off, as necessary. C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.1 P 22 L 22 # 15 Response Response Status C Ran, Adee Intel ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Comment Type Ε All table references in this paragraph are not newly inserted, so should not be underlined OBE by 42 (just use forest green for external references). C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P 22 SuggestedRemedy L 43 Format per comment. Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status A Response Response Status C Editing instructions "Add variable xyz..." should say "Insert variable xyz at <some place>." ACCEPT. If "Insert" is used, it isn't needed to underline text. # 16 C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.3 P 22 L 27 SuggestedRemedy Change "Add variable" to "Insert variable" and remove underline for Ran. Adee Intel ac_measurement_completed, pd_autoclass_canceled, pse_ready_pri, pse_ready_sec. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Response "Modify" is not a recognized editorial instruction. It should be "Change". Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This appears in many other similar instructions. Change "Add variable" to "Insert variable" and remove underline for SuggestedRemedy ac measurement completed, pd autoclass canceled, pse ready pri, pse ready sec. Change "modify" to "change" in all editorial instructions that include it across the draft. Editor given license to search document for other instances and correct. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P 23 L6 # 18 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Т Comment Status R The variable mapping is listed in Table 145-38, it is not "assigned through" the table. Since this table just maps aLldpXdot3RemAutoclassRequest to MirroredPDAutoclassRequest, as stated in the previous sentence, the phrase "and assigned through Table 145-38" seems to be redundant. SuggestedRemedy Delete "and assigned through Table 145-38". Response Response Status C REJECT. no concensus for change # 43 C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P 23 L8 Yseboodt. Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status A The variable is named "pd_autoclass_canceled", the descriptive sentence below uses "... whether the PD cancelled Autoclass ...". Both spellings are correct. There are 9 instances in 802.3 of "cancelled" and zero of "canceled". SuggestedRemedy Change "pd_autoclass_cancelled" to "pd_autoclass_cancelled". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P 23 L 19 # 51 Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type T Comment Status A New variables pse_ready_pri and _sec mention the "primary link segement" and "secondary link segment".

SuggestedRemedy

There is no such thing.

Replace "primary link segment" ==> "Primary Alternative" Replace "secondary link segement" ==> "Secondary Alternative"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.6 P 23 L 36 # 19

Ran. Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The editorial instruction refers to do_cxn_chk, but the text is changing another variable do autoclass measure; and the existing text (from 802.3bt) is not shown.

Also, it looks like a variable is defined within the function definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Separate to two editorial instructions:

1. "Change definition of do autoclass measure This function measures PAutoclass as defined in 145.2.8.2. This function returns the variable P Autoclass."

Move the P Autoclass variable definition from here to 145.2.5.4.

2. "Change definition of do cxn chk..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pautoclass is not a variable, it is a return parameter and therefore located properly in the document. Rejecting remedy part 1.

change: "Modify do_cxn_chk..." to: "Change definition of do cxn chk..."

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.6 P 23 L 39

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type E Comment Status A

The new do autoclass measure function return a variable "P Autoclass" on line 39, but the the state diagram uses "Pautoclass".

SuggestedRemedy

Harmonize to Pautoclass.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Harmonize to Pautoclass, where 'autoclass' is subscript.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 23 1i 39

Page 7 of 13 1/21/2020 10:03:11 AM

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.6 L 43 # 20 P 23 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status A In 145.2.7 "connection check" is not capitlized. SuggestedRemedy change "Connection" to "connection". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.7 P 24 L 2 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status A

Normally figures are replaced, not modified. This one looks like a local change that is worth an exception to the rule, but it should be made clear what changes are made.

The suggested remedy assumes the figure labels are changed per another comment. If they are not, the instruction should be "Inthe the third part of Figure 145-13..." instead.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the editorial instruction:

In the resulting Figure 145-13c, change the text inside state CLASS_EV1_LCE as follows:

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add to the editorial instruction:

In Figure 145-13, change the text inside state CLASS EV1 LCE as follows:

Cl 145 SC 145.2.5.7 P24 L2 # 21

Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type E Comment Status R

The editorial instruction refers to Table 145-13. In 802.3bt, there are six figures with this number (continued figure). This looks like the third one.

It would be good to have a separate label for each of the three figures, to enable better referencing by readers. (This has precedence for example in clause 36 PCS Receive state diagram, which is separated to Figure 36–7a, Figure 36–7b, and Figure 36–7c).

Another comment refers to the specific change.

SuggestedRemedy

I suggest the instruction:

Change the six parts of Figure 145–13 to create separate labels Figure 145–13a through Figure 145–13f (figures with no changes other than re-labeling are not shown).

Response Status C

REJECT.

You are suggesting a modification to 802.3 style. This needs taken up with 802.3. The CRG has no issue dealing with a multipage figure. No concensus for change.

CI 145 SC 145.2.5.7 P25 L1 # 45

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Figure 145-14 (Autoclass state diagram on the PSE side) has been extensively modified. The editing instruction says "Modify Figure 145-14 as follows".

There are missing underlines in the MEASURE_ACS_DONE state.

SuggestedRemedy

Describing changes to a Figure are tricky using just underlines and strikethroughs. When new states are added, I think it is much better to say "Replace Figure 145-14 as follows" and not have underlines/change instructions in there.

This is much easier and not ambiguous when the time comes to merge this into a new base standard.

Change editing instruction to "Replace" and remove underlines in Figure 145-14.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The modifications were made in underline and strikethrough to illuminate the changes. Replacing the figure forces a reader to compare the old and new figures, which could lead to missing some of the modifications. No concensus for change.

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.7 P 25 L 1 # 23 C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 P 27 L 1 Ran, Adee Intel Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The change to Figure 145-14 is a major one - new state is added in addition to text Equations should be replaced, not modified, as changes can't be marked. changes. The figure should be replaced, not changed. SuggestedRemedy Change the instruction to "Replace Equation 145-4 with the following equation:" The suggested remedy describes the changes for the benefit of readers, but as this description will not affect the revision document, it may be omitted. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editorial instruction to "Replace Figure 145-14 with the following figure, which includes additional state EVAL ACS and changes in states IDLE ACS and C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 P 27 L4 MEASURE ACS DONE". Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Response Response Status C

Comment Type E

REJECT. "Modify Equation 145-4 as shown:" The modifications were made in underline and strikethrough to illuminate the changes. The change is not visible.

Replacing the figure forces a reader to compare the old and new figures, which could lead to missing some of the modifications. No concensus for change.

impossible. C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 P 26 # 24 L 42 SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Replace Equation 145-4 as follows:" Ran. Adee Intel

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Response Status C It is difficult to locate the changed paragraph based on the editorial instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

"Change the text in the 3rd paragraph below equation 145-3 as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change instruction to

Change: "Modify paragraph in 145.2.8 as follows:"

"Change the tenth paragraph in 145.2.8 as follows:"

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by 25

Comment Status A

Like with figures, maybe even more so, marking up changes in equations is nigh

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 27

Page 9 of 13 1/21/2020 10:03:11 AM

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8.1 P27 L14 # 52

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify
Comment Type T Comment Status R

"The PSE shall limit class event currents to I Class_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to I Mark LIM."

I assume this to mean the total current. That would make sense. What about a PSE doing classification of a dual-sig PD in parallel? It might get close to hitting 90mA total current if both pairsets are showing class signature 4.

This requirement seems not to have considered that particular case. I'm not sure we even want to touch this.

SuggestedRemedy

IF a fix is needed, we'll need some ugly language to describe parallel classification.

P 27

Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 145

No specific remedy provided by commentor. No concensus for change.

Yseboodt, Lennart Signify

SC 145.3.3.3.2

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editing instruction "add" should say "Insert".

Suggested Remedy

Per comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "Add" to: "Insert"

Cl 145 SC 145.3.3.3.5 P28 L1 # 27

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

It seems that the only change in figure 145-25 is in the text in state DO_CLASS_EVENT_AUTO.

If this is correct, it should be noted in the editorial instruction. If there are other changes, please list them in addition.

Consider replacing the figure it there are changes in anything other than text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editorial instruction to "In the resulting Figure 145-25a, change the text inside state DO_CLASS_EVENT_AUTO as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Small changes to large diagrams are hard to find with a figure replacement. The CRG has decided to highlight the changes with modification instructions for ease of balloting. The figures will be replaced with the 'clean' version for the first recirculation of SA Ballot.

Editor granted license to add this note to the draft. No other changes result from this comment.

Cl 145 SC 145.3.3.5 P28 L1 # 26

Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type E Comment Status R

In figure 145-25, as noted in another comment, it would be good to use separate labels for the two parts.

Another comment refers to the specific change.

SuggestedRemedy

I suggest the instruction:

Change the two parts of Figure 145–25 to create separate labels Figure 145–25a and Figure 145–13b (figures with no changes other than re-labeling are not shown).

Response Status C

REJECT.

You are suggesting a modification to 802.3 style. This needs taken up with 802.3. The CRG has no issue dealing with a multipage figure. No concensus for change.

L 40

Cl 145 SC 145.3.3.5 P29 L1 # 28

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Is there any change in the second part of the figure? If not, including it will likely confuse many readers.

Intel

SuggestedRemedy

Ran. Adee

List the changes in the editorial instruction, or omit the second figure.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to confirm no changes to figure on page 29. Delete if no changes. If changes, add change bars and editing notation.

C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.5 P30 L1 # 29

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The change to Figure 145-26 is a major one - new states are added. The figure should be replaced, not changed.

The suggested remedy describes the changes for the benefit of readers, but as this description will not affect the revision document, it may be omitted.

If there are additional changes they should also be listed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editorial instruction to "Replace Figure 145-26 with the following figure, which includes additional states CANCEL1 ACS and CANCEL2 ACS."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to add underline/strikethrough as necessary to 145-26 (similar to 145-14).

On line 1, change: "Modify Figure 145-14"..." to "Change Figure 145-14...

Cl 145 SC 145.3.3.5 P31 L1 # 30

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

For Figure 145-27, apply changes per other comments (re-label continued figure, indicate specific text changes in editorial instruction, use "replace" instead of "change" if there are changes other that in text)

SuggestedRemedy

Implement with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "Modify Figure 145-27..." to: "Change Figure 145-27..."

Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.2 P31 L50 # 31

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The added text is confusing. I assume it is a description of a condition and its meaning (indication) but it can be read as if there should be some external indication.

Suggested remedy is a rephrasing assuming I understood correctly. If not, please rephrase as required.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the added text to:

If a PD draws less than Class 1 power during the period bounded by T_AUTO_PD1 and T_AUTO_PD2, the PSE should determine the power allocation by the assigned Class, instead of using the Physical Layer Autoclass procedure.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the text:

"A PD that draws less than Class 1 power during the period bounded by TAUTO_PD1 and TAUTO_PD2 indicates to the PSE that the power allocation should be determined by the assigned Class. By doing this the PD can abort the Physical Layer Autoclass procedure."

With:

"A PD that draws less than PClass_PD (ed note: subscript 'Class_PD') max for Class 1 during the period bounded by TAUTO_PD1 and TAUTO_PD2 indicates to the PSE that the power allocation should be determined by the assigned Class. By doing this, the PD can abort the Physical Layer Autoclass procedure."

C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.2.5 P34 L 2 # 32 C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.3.1 P36 **L8** # 48 Ran. Adee Intel Yseboodt, Lennart Signify Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A All text changes in Figure 145–41 should be listed in the editorial instruction. Changes to pd_initial_value are not indicated with underline/strikethrough. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editorial instruction to Fix per comment. "In Figure 145-41, change the text in state IDLE and the text in the transition from Response Response Status C MEASURE to AUTOCLASS as follows:" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Indicate changes to pd initial value with underline/strikethrough. Change: Fix formatting of column heading as an equation. "Modify Figure 145-41 to add assignment of ac_measurement_completed as follows:" C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.3.1 P36 L 10 "Change Figure 145-41 as follows:" Ran. Adee Intel C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.2.5 P35 L1 # 33 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A "used in the PD state diagrams; defined in Figure 145-25" - it is one state diagram in Ran. Adee Intel multiple figures, not the other way around, and the semicolon is out of place. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A I could not identify what has changed in Figure 145-42. Looking at the figure, there is no mention of pd initial value in it - the definition is only here, based on the variables which are defined in 145.3.3.3.2. The reference to the figure is The existing text is barely readable. unnecessary and confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please list all text changes in Figure 145-42 in the editorial instruction, or change the Change to "used in the Single-signature PD state diagram (Figure 145-25a)" instruction to "replace" if necessary. Or delete this phrase altogether. Consider increasing the font size. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

......

Add change bar to figure to point to the change (which is on the transition from PSE_POWER_REVIEW to UCT).

change editing instruction from:
"Modify Figure 145-42 as shown:"
to: "Change Figure 145-42 as shown:"

We follow the IEEE style guide for minimum font sizes. No change.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Page 12 of 13 1/21/2020 10:03:11 AM

Change to "used in the Single-signature PD state diagram (Figure 145-25)"

Cl 145 SC 145.5.3.3.1 P36 L15 # 35

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The newly inserted text "* !pd_acs_cancel" should be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

Format per comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by 48

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line