C/FM SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L <b>2</b>	# 151		C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L <b>25</b>	# 153
Grow, Robert	RMG Consu	ılting			Grow, Rob	pert	RMG	Consulting	
Comment Type E IEEE Std 802.3-2022 is b SuggestedRemedy				bucket	referer D2.1 is	amendments nced by year; a s produced mig	ght also be able to be li	d 802.3dd-2022 is appr are all at RevCom and o	<i>bucket</i> roved and can be depending on when your of 2022. Amendment 6
Change all instances of 8		(headers and dra	aft text).			Amendment 7	is cz.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W I PRINCIPLE.				Suggested Update in the	e list order and	d years as appropriate. arting on page 10.	Make the same edits t	o the list of amendments
See response to commer	nt 1				Proposed		Response Status	w	
C/ FM SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L 10	# 152		PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Grow, Robert	RMG Consu	Ilting			See re	sponse to con	nment 21		
Comment Type E I think P802.3cw is currer	Comment Status D	ient 8		bucket	C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 25	# [1
SuggestedRemedy					Hajduczer	iia, Marek	Char	ter Communications	
Fill in assigned amendme	ent number				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	bucket
					"IEEE	Std 802.3-202	2x" is no lomnger correct	t - we know it will be 20	)22 release
	Response Status W				Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.				Chang	e all dated ref	erences to 802.3 from 2	202x to 2022	
See response to commer	nt 21				Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
C/FM SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L 23	# 21		PROP	OSED ACCEF	•		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	esign Systems			C/ FM	SC FM	P 2	L <b>3</b>	# 410
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			bucket	Dawe, Pie		Nvidi		" -10
Change 802.3-202x to 80	2.3-2022 and correct list	of amendments			Comment		Comment Status		
SuggestedRemedy			=		for ope	eration over D	WDM systems - not. Fi		"PMD FOR DWDM
Change to "This draft is a 802.3dd-2022, IEEE Std 8							DWDM BLACK LINK"		
IEEE Std 802.3de-202x, I					Suggested	-			
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉					e "for operatio	on over DWDM systems	s" to "for DWDM operat	ion"
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response Status	С	
Males the susception and an		len mussenikeed be			REJE	CT.			
Make the amendment orc chair and update their des editorial license.					"Stand	lard for Ethern		,	t title per the PAR is 00 Gb/s Operation over
							elength division multiple		-
					The sa	ame language	is used 802.3ct-2021 a	mendment title and abs	stract.
TYPE: TR/technical required	ER/editorial required GR atched A/accepted R/rejo							C/ FM SC FM	Page 1 of 127 9/15/2022  4:39:49 P

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC FM 9/15/2022 4:39:49 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM	P 3	L 18	# 154	C/ FM	SC FM	P 10	L <b>44</b>	# 373
Grow, Robert	RMG	Consulting		Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Motors	i	
	rent mandatory front matte	-	<i>bucket</i> egal disclaimers and	Comment 802.30	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> Id has been ap	Comment Status D		
notices it should b	be current.			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Replace mandato	ry frontmatter with that in th	ne current IEEE SA tem	plates.		e: IEEE Std 8 EE Std 802.3c	02.3dd(TM)-202x dd(TM)-2022		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status CEPT.	W		Proposed PROP	,	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
CI FM SC FM	P 7	L 18	# 155	See re	sponse to com	nment #21.		
Grow, Robert	RMG	Consulting		C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L <b>3</b>	# 368
Comment Type E	Comment Status	D	bucket	Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Motors	i	
	llot group is now inown, and oper presentation.	d can be inserted so pai	ticipants can review	Comment	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> MA is physical medium attachmer	nt ner 802.3-2	bucket
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	•			
lines 5 through 16	the P802.3cw ballot group (	(removing the officer na	mes already listed in	Chang	e: Physical Me	edia Attachment (PMA) n Attachment (PMA)		
Proposed Response	Response Status	W		Proposed	-	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACC	EPI.			•	OSED ACCEF	,		
C/ FM SC FM	P 10		# 22	C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 11	L 20	# 156
Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	Caden Comment Status	ice Design Systems	bucket	Grow, Rob	pert	RMG Consulting	g	
Section 9 goes up		0	Buckel	Comment P802.3	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> or designated as Amendment 5.		bucket
through Annex 15 symmetric and as Gb/s channels. C using all four pair associated annex	on Nine—Includes Clause 1 4A. Clause 141 through Cla ymmetric operation of Ethe ause 145 and associated a s in the structured wiring pla es specify Physical Layers	ause 144 and associate ernet passive optical net innexes specify increase ant. Clause 146 through for 10 Mb/s, 2.5 Gb/s, 5	d annexes specify works over multiple 25 ed power delivery Clause 149 and 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s	Ameno Proposed	nber and move dment 5. Reor Response	e to Amendment 6. P802.3de/D3. der and number IEEE Std 802.3d <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	single balanced pair of conc			See re	sponse to com	ment 21		

See response to comment 21

Proposed Response Response Status W

additional 400 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications. Clause 153 and Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM channels. Clause 157 through Clause 160 include 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Physical Layer specifications."

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM Page 2 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 21	# 23	C/ <b>FM</b>	SC FM	P 11	L 32	# 370
Marris, Ar	thur	Cadence Des	ign Systems		Wienckov	vski, Natalie	General Mot	ors	
<i>Comment</i> Swap	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> cx and de and a	Comment Status D dd cz			<i>Comment</i> Missir	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ng ammendment	Comment Status <b>D</b> 7		bucket
802.30 layer s	802.3de amend cz -202x Amend specifications an	ment 5 and 802.3cx amendme ment 7 - This amendment to II d management parameters fo on optical fiber for use in auto	EEE Std 802.3-2 r 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/	022 adds physical /s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s	Amen Claus	IEEE Std 802.3c dment 7—This a e 166. This amer	z™-202x mendment includes changes ndment adds 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/ ations and management par	s, 10 Gb/s, 25 G	b/s and 50 Gb/s
	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			,	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
See re	esponse to comr	nent 21			See re	esponse to comn	nent 21		
C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 30	# 369	C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 33	# 158
Wienckow	/ski, Natalie	General Moto	rs		Grow, Ro	bert	RMG Consu	ting	
<i>Comment</i> The de	51	Comment Status D loesn't match D3.0 of P802.3c	×.	bucket	<i>Comment</i> I belie	<i>J</i> 1 <sup>2</sup> -	Comment Status D s been designated Amendme	ent 8.	bucket
To: tr Proposed	ge: transmit and	receive path delays ive path data delays <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			Proposed	•	ent designations from the W <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	G Chair.	
C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 32	# 157	See re	esponse to comn	nent 21		
Grow, Rot Comment	pert Type E	RMG Consulti Comment Status D signated Amendment 7.		bucket	C/ <b>FM</b> Wienckov Comment	SC <b>FM</b> vski, Natalie <i>Typ</i> e <b>E</b>	P 11 General Mot Comment Status D	L <b>35</b> ors	# 371
Suggested	Remedy	Ū				ammendment 8			
expec	ted following Se	from the current P802.3cz dra ptember interim).	ft (D2.3 soon to l	be released, with D3.0		ge: Ammendmer	nt x		
'	Response POSED ACCEP1	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
See re	esponse to comr	nent 21				esponse to comn			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ FM	Page 3 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC FM	9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 37	# 411	CI <b>00</b>	SC O	P 1	L <b>2</b>	# 34
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Ade	e	Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment		Comment Status D		bucke
for operation over DW CHANNEL OVER A D	DM systems - not. Figure 15 WDM BLACK LINK"	6-1 has it right: "	PMD FOR DWDM	P802. 2022.	3 was approved	l as a revision standard by	the IEEE SA Stand	dards Board on 13 May
SuggestedRemedy Change "for operation This should match the	over DWDM systems" to "for	DWDM operatio	n".	P802. 2022.	3dd was approv	ved as a new standard by t	he IEEE SA Standa	ards Board on 16 June
	1 0			Suggested	dRemedy			
Response REJECT.	Response Status <b>C</b>			Chan	ge "IEEE Std 80	2.3™-202x" to "IEEE Std	802.3™-2022" in th	e page header.
See response to comr	ment 410			Chang	ge "IEEE Std 80	2.3dd-202x" to "IEEE Std	802.3dd-2022" on I	ine 25.
C/00 SC 0	Р	L	# 582	Apply	in other places	across the document as a	ppropriate, with edi	torial license.
		L	# 302	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			PROF	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Type E 8 could be p = 4, 8, or	Comment Status D 16 as in Figure 120A-8. Or j	ust 4		See re	esponses to cor	nments 1 and 21		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 1	SC 1.4.144	b P 18	L 9	# 412
				Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.					encoding" doesn't represe		
Review supporting pre	esentation, for comment resol	ution group (CRO	G) consideration.			but what is actually used i n and detection. But we w		
	P		,	anywa	ay, whatever co	ding technology it used. T	he definitions for B/	
	-	L	# 372	discus	ss coding, they	adress medium, reach or v	vavelength.	
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Suggested	dRemedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Chang				
802.3 has been appro	ved					-Z: IEEE 802.3 family of Pl -mode optical fiber. (See I		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	-	Response Status W		
Change: IEEE Std 80 To: IEEE Std 802.3-2 throughout the docum	022			,	EPT IN PRINCIP	'		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			See re	esponse to com	ment 170		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	- <b>F</b>							
See response to comr	ment 1							

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b	P 18	L 9	# 413	C/ 1	SC 1.4.144	<i>P</i> 18	L 9	# 170
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuture	ewei, US Subsidiary	of Huawei
	Comment Status A r devices" is misleading, as o it's unnecessary: any futu ne facts change.			it - the	400GBASE-ZF	Furhtermore, while it le	ASE-ZR PCS, and is	the only device that uses ASE-R PCS, it is not
SuggestedRemedy Delete "family of"				Suggested Delete	<i>IRemedy</i> 1.4.144b			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status ( LE.	2	
See response to comme	ent 170			Delete	e 1.4.144b. Rep	ace 400GBASE-Z with	400GBASE-ZR thro	ughout draft.
C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b	P 18	L 9	# 347	C/ 1	SC 1.4.144	с Р <b>18</b>	L 12	# 171
Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	lting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma	D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuture	ewei, US Subsidiary	of Huawei
of the "family" described in error. I only find it in functional block diagran The figure itself calls thi else. Suggest this defir SuggestedRemedy	seems to only once in the s l in this definition. Further, connection with Figure 155 n of the 400GBASE-Z PCS s the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, ition may be left over from on. Alternatively, add text to embers	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be e sentence "A n in Figure 155-2". ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggested Modify IEEE multip quadr modul km. (S	Remedy definition to 802.3 Physical I lexing (DWDM) ature amplitude ation (DP-16QA See IEEE	HY is not encoded with ayer specification for 4 PHY using 400GBASE M) modulation, and col and Clause 156.)	00 Gb/s dense wave ZR encoding, dual	elength division
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status	0	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				Chang "400G divisio polariz detect	n multiplexing ation 16-state ion with reach ι		)GBASE-ZR PCS a )P-16QAM) modula	

C/ 1 SC **1.4.144c** 

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c	P 18	L 13	# 414	C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 1	8	L <b>23</b>	# 340
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Zimmerma	in, George	CME	Consulti	ng/APL Group, C	isco, Commscope, Ma
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	R		
detection" is highly misle	ing 400GBASE-R encodir ading. The BASE-R enco	ded signal is trai	nsported, but what is			in IEEE Std 802.3 and expansion in the draft.	is a well	understood term	. This is only used in
	C-FEC, SD-FEC DP-16QA debatable whether GMP is			Suggested	Remedy				
	on we need to say something			delete	inserted abbre	eviation			
neither are BASE-R, but	we don't need the detail.			Response		Response Status	С		
SuggestedRemedy				REJEC	CT.				
modulation (DP-16QAM) encoding, GMP, strong F	SE-R encoding, dual polar modulation, and coheren FEC , dual polarization 16- , and coherent optical sign	t detection" to "us state quadrature	sing 400GBASE-R			sed in the base standa viation list so consensi			
Response	Response Status W	5		C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 1	8	L 24	# 415
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	,			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidi	а		
See response to comme	nt 171			Comment As the		Comment Status ses PAM2, PAM4, PAM		16, DSQ128, QAI	M8, QAM16 and
C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 18	L <b>21</b>	# 339	QAM1	28				
Zimmerman, George			Cisco, Commscope, Ma	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	-	AM16 and DP-16QAM	to DP-Q	AM16 throughou	t
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Response		Response Status		5	
ADC is already used in I comments about use in t	EEE Std 802.3 and is a we his draft as well	ell understood te	m. See later	REJEC	CT.	Response Status	C		
SuggestedRemedy delete inserted abbreviat	ion			16QAN technic		M is commonly used ir	the indu	stry for this optic	al modulation
Response	Response Status C			C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 1	8	L 30	# 149
REJECT.				Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel	Corporati	ion	
The term "ADC" is used	in the base standard as w	ell as this docum	ent but is not in the	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status			
	on list so consensus of th			The te	rm "GMP" is lo	sed 42 times in the dra posely defined in 155.1 155.2.4.3 (p38, line 8)	.3 item c	as "Generic map	
				Suggested Add "O	-	mapping procedure" to	the entri	es.	
				Response REJE0	Ū	Response Status			
				"GMP"	is included in	1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3	2022		
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp					U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 1 SC 1.5		Page 6 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 F

					Ŭ	•		
C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 18	L 30	# 148	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	2 <i>P</i> 19	L 17	# 24
Lusted, Kent	Intel Corporat	tion		Marris, A	rthur	Cadence De	esign Systems	
	C" is used 59 times in the draft and			Comment MAU	51	Comment Status <b>A</b> ention the medium		
	s SC-FEC to mean "staircase forw	ard error correcti	on".	Suggeste	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Add "SC-FEC: si	taircase forward error correction" to	o the entries.			ge to "400GBASE n as specified in C	E-ZR PCS/PMA over single- Clause 156"	-mode fiber PMD	<i>w</i> ith reach up to at least
Response	Response Status C			Response	e	Response Status W		
REJECT.				ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
	ided in 1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022		# [100	divisi	on multiplexing (D	medium is stated as a single WDM) channel which may	contain one or mo	
C/ 30 SC 30.		L <b>12</b>	# 196	and is	s specified using a	a black link approach (see 1	156.6).	
Huber, Thomas Comment Type E	Nokia Comment Status D		bucket		ge to "400GBASE 80 km as specifie	E-ZR PCS/PMA over a DWI ed in Clause 156".	OM channel PMD	with reach up to at
	AUType are alphabetized by rate in 400GBASE-VR4 that 802.3db add		0GBASE-ZR should	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1	P 20	L 14	# 374
SuggestedRemedy				Wienckov	wski, Natalie	General Mo	tors	
Change SR16 to	VR4 in the editing instruction			Comment	t <i>Туре</i> Е	Comment Status D		bucket
Proposed Response	Response Status W			syle				
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
	nstruction to "Insert 400GBASE-ZR			Add a 1.825	an elipses in the fi 5 through 1.899.	rst blank row in Tagle 45-3.	Delet the blank r	ow after the row for
202x) as follows"	of 30.5.1.1.2 after 400GBASE-VR	4 (as inserted by	TEEE Sta 802.3ab-		Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
				C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.9	P 21	L <b>32</b>	# 159
				Grow, Ro	bert	RMG Consu	ulting	
				Comment Incor	t <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> rect subclause nu	Comment Status D mber.		bucke
					<i>dRemedy</i> ge to 45.2.1.22			
				,	- <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

Page 7 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	22.13 P 22	L <b>1</b>	# 160	C/ 45 SC	C 45.2.1.150.1	P <b>22</b>	L 11	# 161	
Grow, Robert	RMG Co	onsulting		Grow, Robert		RMG Consu	Ilting		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	Ū	bucket	Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status D	0	k	bucket
Incorrect insert point	t, subclauses are in decrea	sing register bit num	ber order.	The subcla	use title for this subcla	ause number and	the following text	is: Tx optical chan	nel
SuggestedRemedy				index (1.80	0.5:0)				
	e 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1	.22.1b (as inserted b	y IEEE Std 802.3db-	SuggestedRem	edy				
202x) as follows:		·		Correct title	e as in 802.3-2022.				
Renumber subclaus		_		Proposed Resp	onse Respon	se Status W			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSE	D ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			
PROPOSED ACCER	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Change sul	bclause title to "Tx opt	ical channel index	x (1.800.5:0)"		
See response to cor	nment 25				C 45.2.1.150.1	P 22	L 17	# 440	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	22.13 P 22	L 1	# 25		45.2.1.150.1			# 416	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence	e Design Systems		Dawe, Piers	<b>F</b> Comm	Nvidia			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D	5,	bucket	Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status R	ans diffor in more	wave than that one	•
21	modification made by 802.3	3db and change para	agraph number to		hannels than the othe			ways than that one	6
45.2.1.22.1aa				SuggestedRem	edy				
SuggestedRemedy					TEThese two tables	are significantly d	ifferent?		
0 0	ction to: "Insert new subcla	ause 45.2.1.22.1aa a	fter 45.2.1.22.1 and	_					
		00.046.0000) f-ll		Response	Respon	se Status C			
	(as inserted by IEEE Std 8		ows:"	Response REJECT.	Respon	se Status C			
Proposed Response	Response Status W		ows:"	REJECT.					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER	Response Status W		ows:"	REJECT. The referen	Respon		essary to understa	and how they are	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instri	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcl	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different.	, aced tables provide the	e information nece			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instri	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different.		e information nece P 23	L <b>4</b>	and how they are # 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcla t 802.3db-2022) as follows	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different. C/ 45 So Law, David	c 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcla t 802.3db-2022) as follows	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type	C 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A	L <b>4</b> kard Enterprise	# 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie	Response Status       W         PT IN PRINCIPLE.       weight of the subclust of th	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro	# 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie	Response Status       W         PT IN PRINCIPLE.       weight of the status         uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows       1802.3db-2022) as follows         1150       P 22         General       Comment Status       D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively	C 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro uivalent for index v	# 221 ough 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through	63,
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E	Response Status       W         PT IN PRINCIPLE.       weight of the status         uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows       1802.3db-2022) as follows         1150       P 22         General       Comment Status       D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23).	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx inde	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro uivalent for index v	# 221 ough 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through	63,
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6	Response Status       W         PT IN PRINCIPLE.       weight of the status         uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows       1802.3db-2022) as follows         1150       P 22         General       Comment Status       D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx inde edy	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy	Response Status       W         PT IN PRINCIPLE.       weight of the status         uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows       1802.3db-2022) as follows         1150       P 22         General       Comment Status       D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> <b>15</b>	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5 Proposed Response	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 '. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'.	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not values 48 throug	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through Response	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'. Respon	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5 Proposed Response	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through Response	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 '. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'.	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not values 48 throug	<i>L</i> <b>4</b> kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a Page 8 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.153	.1a	P <b>23</b>	L 31	# 376	C/ <b>45</b>	SC	45.2.1.153	8.1a	P <b>23</b>	L 37	# 222
Wienckowsk	ki, Natalie		General Moto	ors		Law, Dav	id			Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment	Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре	E	Comment S	tatus A		
	53.1a is not beir 53a in this spec	0.	nder 45.2.1.153.	1 in the base sp	ec, it should be under	incluc	les the t	text 'For 40	0GBASE-ZR s	ee Table 15	gh 63 (1.804.0 thi 56–4.' at the end c	of the subclause.
SuggestedR	emedy											ster (Register 1.824)' of the subclause. Since
To: 45.2	: 45.2.1.153.1a 2.153a.1 he instructions o	on P22L19.				Tx inc 400G	dex abili BASE-Z	ity 0 througl ZR, as well	h 47 and Rx in	dex ability 0 E-ZR, sugge	through 47 will n	
Proposed Re	esponse	Response	Status W			Suggeste			0			
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I		LE.									e added to the draft.
See resp	ponse to comme	ent 162				'For 1	00GBA		e Table 154–5.			subclauses that reads see Table 154–5, for
C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.153	.1a	P 23	L 35	# 198	Response			Response St	atus C		
Huber, Thon Comment Ty			Nokia Status A					PRINCIPLE				
SuggestedRo Change "Bits 1.8 respectiv to	.04.1 through 1.8	304.15 indic	ate the equivale	nt for for index v	alues 48 through 63,	400G 400G is liste 400G	BASE-Z BASE-Z ed in Ta BASE-Z	ZR see Tab ZR the spec able 156–4.' ZR the spec	ble 156–4." In cific optical free " In 45.2.1.15	45.2.1.150. quency corro 4.1 add a ne quency corro	w second to last	entence "For n channel index number
"Bits 1.8 respectiv		304.15 indic	ate the equivale	nt for for index v	alues 49 through 63,	C/ <b>45</b>	SC	45.2.1.153	a	P 22	L 19	# 197
Response	voly.	Resnonse	Status C			Huber, Th				Nokia		
ACCEPT	Т.	reeponeo				Comment		Е	Comment S	tatus D		bucke
						guide		ubclause ur				nsistent with the style d be numbered as .1
						Suggeste Chan			o 45.2.1.153a.	1		
						Proposed PROF	•		Response St			

See response to comment 162

	# 162 0	C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.157a	P <b>24</b>	L 19	# 199
row, Robert RMG Consulting		Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
omment Type E Comment Status D Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.153.	bucket 0			the editing instr		<i>buck</i> nsistent with the style ld be numbered as .1
uggestedRemedy Insert 45.2.1.153a and 45.2.1.153.1a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows:		rather than 1a.				
roposed Response Response Status W		SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.15	7.1a to 45.2.1.157a	a.1		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follo		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response S EPT IN PRINCIPL			
new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a.1 after 45.2.1.153a as follows	," 	See response to c	omment 163			
	# 377	CI 78 SC 78		P <b>26</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 35
/ienckowski, Natalie General Motors omment Type E Comment Status D	bucket	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
45.2.1.157.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.157.1 in the base spec, it sl 45.2.1.157a in this spec. uggestedRemedy Change: 45.2.1.157.1a	hould be under		in current high-spe	to support EEE eed Ethernet a	oplications is pra	actically non-existent.
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3.		features to new PC never used is a bu	CSs that are added	I for these PHY	s. Having optior	to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status <b>W</b>	S	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy	CSs that are added rden for readers an	l for these PHY nd implemente	s. Having optior	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3.	s	features to new PC never used is a bu	CSs that are added rden for readers an	l for these PHY nd implemente	s. Having optior	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status <b>W</b>	5	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy	CSs that are added rden for readers ar from this amendn	l for these PHY nd implementer nent.	's. Having optior rs.	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163	# <u>163</u>	features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in	CSs that are added rden for readers an from this amendn the 400GBASE-Z	l for these PHY nd implementer nent. R row for EEE	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5.	to add LPI specific hal features that are S specifications in
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting comment Type E Comment Status D		features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers	CSs that are added rden for readers an from this amendn the 400GBASE-Z and functions rela	I for these PHY nd implemented nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC	nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting omment Type E Comment Status D Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.157.	# 163 bucket	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers clause 155. Implement addition Proposed Response	CSs that are added rden for readers and from this amendin the 400GBASE-Z and functions relation nal changes as new <i>Response</i> S	I for these PHY nd implemented nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I cessary with ed Status <b>W</b>	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC	nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting comment Type E Comment Status D	# 163 bucket	features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers clause 155. Implement addition	CSs that are added rden for readers and from this amendin the 400GBASE-Z and functions related nal changes as new <i>Response S</i> EPT IN PRINCIPL	I for these PHY nd implementer nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I cessary with ed Status <b>W</b> E.	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC litorial license.	nal features that are

CI 78 SC 78

CI <b>78</b>	SC 78.1.4	P <b>26</b>	L 16	# 172	C/ 116	SC 116.1.3	P 27	L <b>22</b>	# 418
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewe	i, US Subsidiary of	Huawei	Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Clause PCS fu	lauses point to t 118 is an exten unctions. So it n 2120 is not part	Comment Status <b>D</b> he respective PCS, PMA, der sublayer but the DTE, hay be ok to leave - but th of the 400GBASE-ZR stat	PHY XS sublayers is has never been c	s, which are essentially	this tab <i>Suggestedl</i> Change	nal BASE-R PI le up to now. Remedy	Comment Status A HYs use the same Clause 120 This one is different. 156)" to "(see Clause 155 and	·	not been mentioned in
Change 155, 15	e entry in Claus 56	e field to:			Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
Proposed F	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			See res	ponse to com	ment 173		
		sentation, for comment re	solution group (CR	G) consideration.	C/ <b>116</b> D'Ambrosia	SC <b>116.1.3</b> a, John	P <b>27</b> Fuuturewei, l	L <b>22</b> JS Subsidiary of	# <u>173</u> Huawei
This Pł Suggestedl Either, strong	Type <b>TR</b> an earlier comme HY and its codin Remedy change "using 4 FEC, dual polar ete "using 400GE	P 27 Nvidia Comment Status A ent: just saying "using 400 g is very different to norm 400GBASE-R encoding" to ization DP-16QAM, and c BASE-R encoding". Peopl	al BASE-R. o "using 400GBASE oherent optical sign	E-R encoding, GMP, halling",	encode Suggested/ modify 400 Gb specific with rea Response	DGBASE-ZR P d. Re <i>medy</i> description ent /s PHY using 4 ed channel on a	Comment Status A HY leverages the 400GBASE ry of Table 116-2 to: 100GBASE-ZR encoding capa a defined DWDM grid in each st 80 km (see Clause 155 and <i>Response Status</i> C LE.	ble of transmiss direction of trans	ion over a
	PT IN PRINCIPL sponse to comn				"400 G over a s	specified chan	able 116-2 to 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PM nel on a defined DWDM grid i ) km (see Clauses 155 and 15	n each direction	

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3

C/ 116	SC 116.1.3	P 27	L <b>22</b>	# 419	C/ 116	SC '	116.1.4	P 28	L 8	# 4	
Dawe, Piers	;	Nvidia			Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei			
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status R			Comment T	Туре	ER	Comment Status	۱.		
rather, tl (then, ba	hey are like 100 ased on SONE	cribed in this draft don't desc GBASE-W. An Ethernet sig T, here, based on OTN).	nal is packed into	a telecoms wrapper	400GB	ASE-Z	optical P	n the defined margins. If PHYs. Note that 400GB/ ASE-Z as defined in 1.4	ASE-ZR is part of the		
The combination is clumsy and messy. Starting from Ethernet building blocks, one would not engineer it like this. I understand that the rationale is because those designs were					Suggested	Remed	У				
already this sche	there, and the o eme. But that o	cost of a clean design was the calls "broad market potential act the market for this.	nought to outweig		with ap type ar	propria	ite editori se correla	16-5 to "PHY type and al instruction and chang ation (400GBASE-Z opt 16-5 in D2.0 with only th	ge formating. Insert i ical)" and include the	new Table 116-2 e row for 400GE	x "PHY
SuggestedR	lemedy				Response	naoa m		,	,		
I can think of three options:					Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
		ing out GMP and FAW and s an Ethernet PHY;	simplifying the tra	aining sequence and				16-5 to "PHY type and rom the draft. With edit	(	00GBASE-R op	otical)"
	this project, and ' maintenance;	d encourage those interested	d to feed their lea	rnings into OIF's	Insert new Table 116-x "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-ZR optical)" and include the row for 400GBASE-ZR as provided in Table 116-5 in D2.0 with only the						
		00GBASE-ZW, which is mor			necess	sary col	umns. S	ee response to comme	nt 174.		
ZR" nam be found		any future native Ethernet P	HY, should the b	road market potential	C/ 116	SC ·	116.1.4	P 28	L 10	# 164	1
esponse		Response Status U			Grow, Rob	ert		RMG C	onsulting		
, REJEC1	г.	,			Comment 7	Туре	TR	Comment Status	L		
No cons	ensus within th	ne CRG to change the name	of the 400GBAS	E-ZR PHY		BASE-S	SR4 PMD	t. P802.3db/D3.2 insert ) is missing). The colur			3.3

# Add column for 400GBASE-SR4 PMD under Clause 157 as found in the latest version of P802.3db (or if approved or published IEEE Std 802.3db).

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 10	# 36	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 28	L <b>42</b>	# 174
Ran, Adee	Cisco			D'Ambros	a, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment Type E Table 116-5 has been its two PHYs).	Comment Status <b>A</b> changed in 802.3db to have	one column grou	p for clause 167 (with	120F,	ble notes the fo and 120G. The	Comment Status A Illowing clauses as optional se layers are not directly use the use of the 400GMII Ext	d as part of the 4	
Also, the table ruling s	should be cleaned up.			Suggested	Remedy	-		
	h 802.3db D3.2 and apply forr	natting as require	ed to match the original	Make and 12		ollowing clauses blank: 119, 1	20, 120B, 120C,	120D, 120E, 120F,
table structure.				Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Eor th		R row in Table 116-5 delete "o	o" (optional) in fo	llowing clauses (110
See response to com	ment 4				20B – 120G)			nowing clauses (119,
C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P 28	L <b>42</b>	# 175					
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei					
Comment Type <b>TR</b> While the 400GMII Ex PHY, and not within th	Comment Status <b>D</b> tender is optional, it may only ne PHY itself.	be used above t	he 400GBASE-ZR					
SuggestedRemedy								
Add note C to entry fo Note C - The 400GMI PCS.	or Clause 118. I Extender SHALL only be use	ed between the R	S and 400GBASE-ZR					
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z							
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.						

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 28	L <b>43</b>	# 223
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the

PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4 levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing q levels, where p and q are implementation dependant.

This all seems to preclude the physical instantiation of the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA as a 400GAUI. This is because [1] the PMA service interface doesn't support alignment markers and lane numbers allowing multiplexing and de-multiplexing to different widths; [2] the PMA service interface width on the receive path is implementation dependant; and [3] the PMA service interface operates as a synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation, requiring a skew between the bits of less than one 400GBASE-ZR frame DP-16QAM symbol time (~17.3 ps) which I don't believe a 400GAUI would meeting. This seems to be confirmed by the one example given in annexe 120A.6 'Partitioning example supporting 400GBASE-ZR' which only shows a 400GAUI 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and not 'below'.

Based on the above, add footnotes to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 to note the 400GAUI is only supported 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 that reads '400GAUI only supported as a physical instantiation of the 400GMII Extender (see 118.1.3).'.

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.	

See response to comment 174

C/ 116	SC 116.2.3	P 28	L 53	# 5
Brown, Mat	it	Huawei		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b, not 400GBASE-R. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Rather than changing the first paragraph, add the following new paragraph at the end of 116.2.3: "The term 400GBASE-Z refers to a specific family of Physical Layer devices using 400GBASE-R encoding, a combination of phase and amplitude modulation, and coherent detection. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS defined in Clause 155 performs encoding of data from the 400GMII, applies FEC, and transfers the encoded data to the PMA."

### Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete existing text in D2.0 for 116.2.3

Add a new last paragraph to 116.2.3

"The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PCS specified in Clause 155. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS performs encoding of data from the 400GMII to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface."

C/ 116	SC 116.2.3	P 29	L 1	# 176
D'Ambros	sia, John	Fuuturewei,	JS Subsidiary of	f Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.3 recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 116	Page 14 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 116.2.3	9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

		L <b>2</b>	# 400	CL 11C	00 446 0 4	D 20	/ 40	# 6
C/ 116 SC 116		L <b>Z</b>	# 420	C/ 116	SC 116.2.4	P 29	L <b>12</b>	# 6
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Brown, Ma		Huawei		
implementations Clause 155 and t	erm 400GBASE-R refers to a spec based upon the 64B/66B coding i the PMA specifications defined in	method specified	in Clause 119 or		0GBASE-ZR is d in 1.4.144b. Th	Comment Status A not a 400GBASE-R PMA, b ne editorial changes in 116.2		
are two distinctly	different "families".				-	structions to modify the cont	topt of 116 2 4 or	follows
SuggestedRemedy						e of the first paragraph a par		
Revert this text a	nd add a separate paragraph intro	oducing 400GBA	SE-W			agraph with the previous para		
Response	Response Status W					at the end of 116.2.4 as follo MA, which is a 400GBASE-		l in Clause 155."
ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			Response		Response Status <b>C</b>	,	
See response to	comment 5			•	PT IN PRINCIPL	,		
C/ 116 SC 116	5.2.3 P 29	L 6	# 421	In 116	2.4 change edit	ing instruction to "Replace 1	16.2.4 with"	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			• With th	e following text			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R Comment Status A						( // DOO /	
155 PCS, which	ummarizing the PCS needs a new does clock domain translation and nich is a BASE-R FEC			range	of physical medi			
SuggestedRemedy						I 400GBASE-R PMAs perfor the PCS and PMA via the P		
Add new sentend	ce.					nsmit and receive data strea		
Response	Response Status W			PMD s	ervice interface.	In addition, the PMA perform	ms retiming of the	e received data stream
ACCEPT IN PRI	•			and op	tionally provides	onally provides data loopbac s test pattern generation and re specified in Clause 120.		
See response to	comment 5			400GL	AGE-IN FIMAS a	re specified in Clause 120.		
C/ 116 SC 116	5.2.4 <i>P</i> 29	L 10	# 177	The 40	0GBASE-ZR PI	HY uses the PMA specified i	n Clause 155"	
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei	US Subsidiary o		With e	ditorial license			
Comment Type T		00 00000000, 9 0						
	he base text are incorrect as 4000	GBASE-ZR is no	t a member of					
SuggestedRemedy								
	: in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.4 xt will be provided in a follow-up p	presentation.						
Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Response Status C							
See response to								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 Page 15 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

	16.2.4 <i>P</i> 2		# 422	C/ 116	SC 116.2.5	P 29	L 19	# 7
Dawe, Piers	Nvidi			Brown, Ma		Huawei		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TR Comment Status			Comment T		Comment Status A		
"all 400GBASE type R PMA.	-R PMAs other than 400GB/	ASE-ZR" is making my p	oint that this is not a			ot a 400GBASE-R PM e editorial changes in 1		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
	tence to the first paragraph e ling, no loopback).	explaining what the Claus	se 155 PMA does - it's	Add the	e following senter	tructions to modify the nce: "The 400GBASE-2	ZR PMD, which is a	
Response	Response Status	W			esponding media	is specified in Clause		
ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.			Response		Response Status <b>C</b> -		
See response t	to comment 6			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	<b>_</b> .		
C/ 116 SC 1	16.2.4 P 2	29 L 12	# 200	Delete	existing 116.2.5	D2.0 text		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia	a		Add as	new last paragra	aph:		
· · · <b>/</b>	E Comment Status			"The 40	00GBASE-ZR PN	ID and its corresponding	ng media is specified	d in Clause 156."
	troducing a second PMA for a an 400GBASE-ZR are specif			C/ 116	SC 116.4	P <b>29</b>	L 27	# 8
	400GBASE-R PMAs beside			Brown, Ma	tt	Huawei		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment T		Comment Status D		bu
	st sentence to read "The 200 an 400GBASE-ZR are specifi		GBASE-R PMA for			n, statement "unchang erted, not changed.	ed rows not shown"	is incorrect since the
Response	Response Status	С		Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.			Change	e "unchanged ro	ws not shown" to "some	e unchanged rows no	ot shown".
See response t	to comment 6			Proposed F	•	Response Status N	I	
C/ 116 SC 1	16.2.5 P 2	29 L 18	# 178	PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.			
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuut	urewei, US Subsidiary of	Huawei	C/ 116	SC 116.4	P <b>29</b>	L 30	# 179
Comment Type	TR Comment Status	Α		D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuture	wei, US Subsidiary c	of Huawei
	the base text are incorrect	as 400GBASE-ZR is not	a member of	Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		
400GBASE-R f	family.					R is not a member of 4		also noted that per
SuggestedRemedy				1.4.21	5, the bit time is t	he reciprocal of the bit	rate.	
	ext in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.5 text will be provided in a follo	our up proceptation		Suggested	,			
					beginning of note OGBASE-R and 4			
Response ACCEPT IN PF		C		Proposed F				
AUGEFTINP				•	SED ACCEPT I	Response Status <b>N</b>	1	
See response t	to comment 7			FNUE		INTINUI LE.		
				Review	v supporting pres	entation, for comment	resolution group (CR	RG) consideration.
TYPE: TR/technica	I required ER/editorial requi	red GR/general required	T/technical F/editorial C	/deneral		C	2/ 116	Page 16 of
				3010101		C C		, ugo 10 01

Page 16 of 127 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 116 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 116.4 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

7 116 SC 116.4	P <b>29</b>	L 35	# 37	C/ 116	SC 116.5	P 30	L 30	# 180
n, Adee	Cisco			D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei	, US Subsidiary o	f Huawei
mment Type T Co 4688 pause_quanta equals either BT and ns column or The precedence (e.g. in 153	pause_quanta column	should be change	ed.	The sk - Uncle	Further review it is ew variation is the ear that there are	Comment Status <b>D</b> not clear how Table 116- ed to 400GBASE-R - 3RD PCS lanes in 400GBASE 5-5 are relevant to 400GB	column -ZR	
that result from it.				service	e interfaces that a	re defined for 400GBASE	-ZR	
lggestedRemedy				Suggested	-			
Change maximum in BT from 6000.64. Also change in 155.6. roposed Response Re	m 2400000 to 2400256 sponse Status W	and maximum in	ns from 6000 to	Propos 1. Dele 2. Crea 3. A sk	sed remedy at thi ete Table 116-8 ir ate new skew cor kew points diagra	n P802.3cw - not relevant.t nstratint table m for 400GBASE-ZR is ne		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P				Proposed F PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Review supporting presenta			,	Review	v supporting pres	entation, for comment res	olution group (CR	G) consideration.
116 SC 116.4	P 29	L 35	# 183	C/ 119	SC 119	P 31	L <b>1</b>	# 201
Ambrosia, John		US Subsidiary of	Huawei	Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia		
mment Type <b>TR</b> Co	omment Status D			,				
Note a and b far Table 116	7 only provide reenactiv	a defiintione for (		Comment 7	Tvpe E	Comment Status A		
Note a and b for Table 116-			400GBASE-R.	Comment T The ch 802.3-2	ange indicated to	Comment Status A be made to the NOTE in	119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
			400GBASE-R.	The ch	nange indicated to 2022		119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
iggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi oposed Response Re	nitions for 400GBASE-2		400GBASE-R.	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested	nange indicated to 2022 Remedy		119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
iggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi	nitions for 400GBASE-2		400GBASE-R.	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested	nange indicated to 2022 Remedy	be made to the NOTE in	119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
rggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi oposed Response Re	nitions for 400GBASE- sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	ZR.		The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response	nange indicated to 2022 Remedy	b be made to the NOTE in d all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
ggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi pposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Review supporting presenta	nitions for 400GBASE- sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	ZR.		The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCE	hange indicated to 2022 <i>Remedy</i> ve clause 119 (an	b be made to the NOTE in ad all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.	119.2.5.7 has alr	eady been made in
ggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi pposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Review supporting presenta 116 SC 116.5 Ambrosia, John	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol <i>P</i> <b>30</b> Fuuturewei,	ZR. lution group (CRG	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCE	hange indicated to 2022 <i>Remedy</i> ve clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI	b be made to the NOTE in ad all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.	119.2.5.7 has alm	eady been made in # 165
ggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi pposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Review supporting presenta 116 SC 116.5 Ambrosia, John mment Type TR Co	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol P 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D	ZR. Iution group (CRG	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCEI See re	ange indicated to 2022 <i>Remedy</i> ve clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to common SC <b>119</b>	b be made to the NOTE in ad all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165	L 1	
ggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi oposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Review supporting presenta 116 SC 116.5 Ambrosia, John	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol P 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D	ZR. Iution group (CRG	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCER See re C/ 119	ange indicated to 2022 Remedy ve clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to commu- SC <b>119</b> pert	b be made to the NOTE in ad all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165 <i>P</i> <b>31</b>	L 1	
ggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide defi poosed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Review supporting presenta 116 SC 116.5 Ambrosia, John mment Type TR Ca 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol P 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D	ZR. Iution group (CRG	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCER See re C/ 119 Grow, Rob Comment	ange indicated to 2022 Remedy ve clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to comm SC <b>119</b> Pert Type <b>E</b>	b be made to the NOTE in d all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165 <i>P</i> <b>31</b> RMG Cons	L 1 ulting	# 165
ggestedRemedy         Modify notes to provide defi         oposed Response       Re         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P         Review supporting presenta         116       SC 116.5         Ambrosia, John         mment Type       TR         400GBASE-ZR has no PCS	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol P 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D B lanes -	ZR. Iution group (CRG <i>L</i> <b>9</b> US Subsidiary of	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCER See re C/ 119 Grow, Rob Comment	ange indicated to 2022 //Remedy /e clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to common SC 119 pert Type E rikethrough text d	b be made to the NOTE in d all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165 <i>P</i> <b>31</b> RMG Cons <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	L 1 ulting	# 165
ggestedRemedy         Modify notes to provide defi         oposed Response       Re         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P         Review supporting presenta         116       SC 116.5         Ambrosia, John         omment Type       TR         400GBASE-ZR has no PCS         ggestedRemedy         all of these notes need to re	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation, for comment resol P 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D B lanes -	ZR. Iution group (CRG <i>L</i> <b>9</b> US Subsidiary of	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCER See re C/ 119 Grow, Rob Comment T The str Suggested	ange indicated to 2022 //Remedy /e clause 119 (an PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to common SC 119 pert Type E rikethrough text d	b be made to the NOTE in d all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165 <i>P</i> <b>31</b> RMG Cons <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> loes not appear in the pub	L 1 ulting	# 165
ggestedRemedy         Modify notes to provide defi         oposed Response       Re         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P         Review supporting presenta         116       SC 116.5         Ambrosia, John         omment Type       TR         400GBASE-ZR has no PCS         ggestedRemedy         all of these notes need to re	nitions for 400GBASE-2 esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ition, for comment resol <i>P</i> 30 Fuuturewei, omment Status D B lanes - emove any references to esponse Status W	ZR. Iution group (CRG <i>L</i> <b>9</b> US Subsidiary of	G) consideration. # [ <u>195</u> ]	The ch 802.3-2 Suggested Remov Response ACCER See re C/ 119 Grow, Rob Comment T The str Suggested	Ange indicated to 2022 Remedy ve clause 119 (and PT IN PRINCIPLI sponse to commu- SC <b>119</b> Sert Type <b>E</b> rikethrough text do Remedy	b be made to the NOTE in d all subclauses) <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ent 165 <i>P</i> <b>31</b> RMG Cons <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> loes not appear in the pub	L 1 ulting	# 165

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 119
 Page 17 of 127

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 119
 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 119
 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

CI 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L 8	# 2	C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 3 # 126				
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	munications		Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems				
Comment Type E Text of the editorial inst	Comment Status D ruction should be bolded and	d italics	bucke	Comment Type TR Comment Status D PMA description This is a single clause that covers both the PCS and PMA sublayers. Section 155.1 includes a summary of the PCS functions (in section 155.1.3). For consistency with				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				previous standards I think this section should also include a summary of the PMA functions				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Add a new sub-section after 155.1.3 and before 155.1.4, to include a summary of the PMA functions.				
C/ 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L 30	# 3	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.				
Comment Type E Missing space between	Comment Status D		bucke	C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 10 # 9				
				Brown, Matt Huawei				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Comment Type E Comment Status D bucke PHY name breaks across two rows.				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy In 400GBASE-ZR change hyphen to non-breaking hyphen ([ESC],[-],[h]). Same for "DP-16QAM" on line 18.				
C/ 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L <b>43</b>	# 581	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b>			PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
two 400GMII and 400G	AUI-8 interfaces			C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 10 # 125				
SuggestedRemedy				Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems				
Only one 400GAUI-8 int	terface			Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucke				
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR"				
PROPOSED ACCEPT				SuggestedRemedy Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" throughtout document				
Review supporting pres	entation, for comment resolution	ution group (CRC	j) consideration.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1

C/ <b>155</b> SC	155.1.1	P 32	L 14	# 26	C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L <b>29</b>	# 38
Marris, Arthur		Cadence Des	ign Systems		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type Missing spac	E ce	Comment Status D		bucket	<i>Comment T</i> Clause	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> in this amendment.		bucke
SuggestedReme Change "cha	•	e" to "characters. The"			SuggestedF Make "	•	active cross reference.		
Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W			Proposed R PROPC	esponse SED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC	155.1.1	P 32	L <b>14</b>	# 423	C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 39
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		PCS description	Comment T	ype E	Comment Status D		buck
		ranscoded to 256B/257B end			Superfl	uous comma b	efore "and"		
different.		error correction (FEC)": that's	what true 400G	BASE-R does. This is	SuggestedF Delete	R <i>emedy</i> the comma			
SuggestedReme					Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
		nslation, addition of a CRC, t rambling, interleaving and a s		irward error correction		SED ACCEPT			
Response		Response Status W			C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 378
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPL	E.			Wienckows		General Mo		" 570
Replace 155	5.1.1 with				Comment T	,	Comment Status D		buck
"This slaves		a a mhuaisal an dina au blauan (			A comr	na is not neede	ed after "and" when it is a lis	t of only 2 items.	
		he physical coding sublayer ( ayer for the physical layer im			Suggested	Remedv			
ZR. The 400	GBASE-ZF	R PCS and 400GBASE-ZR P	MA are sublayer	s of the 400GBASE-		•	ward error correction (SC-FI	EC), and soft dec	sion forward error
		116–2. The term 400GBASE hich uses the PCS and PMA			correcti				
		D 00	/ 47	# 400			error correction (SC-FEC) a	ind soft decision f	orward error correction
	155.1.1	P 32	L 17	# 169	Proposed R	SED ACCEP	Response Status <b>W</b>		
Maguire, Valerie		Copperopolis			FROFU				
Comment Type	T ming conv	Comment Status R	umant amplava	PCS description					
		ention in the 802.3-2022 doc AM (e.g, 16-QAM). See 45.2							
SuggestedReme									
00		M" with "16-QAM" and "DP-1	6QAM" with "DF	P-16-QAM".					
		Response Status <b>C</b>							
Response		· · · · · · · · · · · ·							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 Page 19 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 186	C/ 155	SC 155.1.3	P 33	L <b>40</b>	# 127
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Syste	ems	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		references
SC-FEC is used through	out the draft, but is not deta	iled in 1.5		Item d docum		ences to "ITU-T G.709 Ann	ex D". Is this a pu	blically available
SuggestedRemedy								
add abbreviation SD-FE0	C - staircase forward error c	orrection		Suggested	2	for algoritization		
Proposed Response	Response Status W					for clarification.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN				Proposed I	,	Response Status W		
Add to the list of abbrevia SC-FEC staircase forwa	ations in 1.5 and entry for: ard error correction			G.709		IN PRINCIPLE. Ist of normative references It:	at 1.3. The lates	st version, including
C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 33	L 18	# 181					
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	https://	/www.itu.int/rec/	T-REC-G.709/en		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			C/ 155	SC 155.1.3	P 33	L <b>42</b>	# 128
	ottom of the stack should in	clude a label tha	at is the PMD.	Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Syste	ems	
Reference Figure 124-1	for a similar diagram.			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Add 400GBASE-ZR und similar diagram.	er the box labeled "MEDIUM	1". Reference F	Figure 124-1 for a	Item e sectior	, ,	n SC-FEC, but there is no de	efiniton of "SC-FE	C" in the definitions
-	Desmanas Otatus IN			Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Add a	definition for "S	C-FEC" into section 1.4 (unl	ess it was added	by a previous project).
FROFOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
C/ 155 SC 155.1.3	P 33	L 36	# 379			IN PRINCIPLE.		
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Motor	rs				ment #186, which adds SC- 2 is a normative reference at		appreviations at 1.5.
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket					
wording					definition at 1.4	: ward error correction using	512 x 510 staircas	so codos os dofinad in
SuggestedRemedy					G.709.2 Annex			
	om 66-bit blocks to (from) 2 it blocks to (from) 257-bit bl							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

C/ 155 SC 155.1.3

	129 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 425
Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
omment Type ER Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
This section is under "overview" and is titled "Inter-sublayer interfaces" . How	
mentions the inter-sublayer interfaces above and below the PCS. Shouldn't the also cover the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces ?	is section SuggestedRemedy
Suggested Remedy	Something like:
Add a description of the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces to this section.	The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal transfer rate rate at the 8-wide PMA service interface of 59.84375 x (28/29) Gtransfers/s +/- 20 ppm for a total of ~462.2414
Proposed Response Response Status W	Gtransfers/s.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered	
7/155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 52 #	182 Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.
D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 41
omment Type E Comment Status D	Ran, Adee Cisco
When using an Extender, the PCS is connecting to the 400GMII in theory. T	his sentence Comment Type E Comment Status D
does not express this -	The letter x should be replaced by the multiplication sign ? (twice)
Optionally the upper interface may connect to a 400GMII Extender, defined in which then	Clause 118, SuggestedRemedy
connects to the Reconciliation Sublayer.	Change per comment, and apply across the draft (search for "x" as a whole word)
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Delete noted sentence.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 42
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consi	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consi	Iteration.     Ran, Adee     Cisco       Image: Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D     PCS desc       Image: Ran, Adee     Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D     PCS desc       Image: Ran, Adee     The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered on the support of	Iteration.     Ran, Adee     Cisco       424     Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D     PCS desc       The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here.     PCS desc
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration.     Ran, Adee     Cisco       424     Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D     PCS desc       424     The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC       clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here.       Consistency is preferable.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered on the support of	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         424       The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered of the support of	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         424       The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       D       PCS desc         SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).       Proposed Response       Response Status       W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the comment resolution for the comment resolution and the comment resolution a	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:       The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal rate at the PMA service interface of 8 x 59.84
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration.       Ran, Adee       Cisco         (424       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PCS desc         (424       The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.       D       PCS desc         SuggestedRemedy       Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:       Change a nominal rate at the PMA service interface of 8 x 59.84

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 155
 Page 21 of 127

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 155.1.4
 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 155.1.4
 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 40	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 15 # 184
Ran, Adee Cisco	D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> PCS of The nominal rate is a specific number, and should not include range (in ppm).	description Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Missing word "The" at beginning of first sentence.
Also in 155.3.2.	SuggestedRemedy add "The" at the beginning of the sentence.
Suggested Remedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Either delete "+/- 20 ppm" or delete "nominal", in both subclauses.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 16 # 185
At 155.1.4, delete +/- 20 ppm.	D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei
At 155.3.2, delete +/- 20 ppm in two places.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D
2/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 32 L 15 # 27	The inclusion of the word FEC in this sentence implies that the only encoding is FEC - The PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of FEC encoded data between the PCS
Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems	and PMA sublayer.
Comment Type E Comment Status D	bucket There is also the 64B/66B encoding.
Missing word "The"	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	delete the word FEC.
Change to "The PMA service interface"	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.
	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 17 # 381
	0 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
Vienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket
Comment Type E Comment Status D wording	<i>bucket</i> grammar, you are talking about 2 sublayers, not 1 sublayer.
	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change: PMA service interface	Change: between the PCS and PMA sublayer. To: between the PCS and PMA sublayers.
To: The PMA service interface	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 Page 22 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.1.4.2	P 34	L 17	# 187	C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L <b>3</b>	# 130
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of I	Huawei	Nicholl, Gary	,	Cisco Systems	3	
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		cross references	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		Block diagrams
The linl	k for 155.3 does	PMA service interface is defir not go to a PMA service inte		ð.	overview		unctional block diagram of the CS and PMA sub-layers, so I		
Suggested	•				SuggestedRe		613.		
Pointer	r should be to 15	5.3.2.			00	,	55-2 to include the PMA functi	one or add a e	oparato functional
Proposed F PROP(	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					00BASE-ZR PMA.		
C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L 1	# 427			e delete section 155.1.5, and A under sections 155.2 and 1		0
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
Comment 7 This P0		Comment Status <b>D</b> cated for just a "directive" spe	ecification. We n	PCS description eed examples.			IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment resolution	ution group (CF	RG) consideration.
Suaaestedl	•				C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L 13	# 426
	Remedy	. FEC and other blocks befor	e and after codir	. Smallish ones can	Cl <b>155</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 155.1.5	P <b>35</b> Nvidia	L <b>13</b>	# 426
Create go in th	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all o	FEC and other blocks befor can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA.	tory that IEEE pr		Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	pe E		L 13	# 426 bucket
go in th	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all o They might nee	can be uploaded to the direc	tory that IEEE pr		Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcoo	pe E de	Nvidia	L 13	
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO	Remedy examples of e.g. te document, all They might nee Response OSED REJECT.	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA.	tory that IEEE pr	ovides for these	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod	pe E de emedy e	Nvidia Comment Status D		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The su	Remedy examples of e.g. te document, all They might nee Response OSED REJECT.	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	tory that IEEE pr	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the	pe E de e <i>medy</i> e e figures for ca	Nvidia Comment Status D		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The su	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> <b>35</b>	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup Cl 155 Brown, Mat Comment 1	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all of They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> does not propose specific ch	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de e <i>medy</i> e e figures for ca	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup Cl 155 Brown, Mai Comment 1 "400GE Suggested	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E BASE-Z" should the Remedy	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> <b>35</b> Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup C/ 155 Brown, Mai Comment 7 "400GE Suggested	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E BASE-Z" should the Remedy	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> <b>35</b> Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> be "400GBASE-ZR".	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		

C/ 155 SC 155.1.5

C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P	35	L 25	# 428	C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 55	L 3	# 338
Dawe, Piers Nvi	dia			Zimmerma	n, George	CME Co	onsulting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma
Comment Type E Comment Statu "SC-FEC adapt & encoding", "SC-FEC de is interleaving here as well as below.		apt" - it would ł	elp to know that there	400GB	ntence says 40 ASE-ZR PCS s	Comment Status A OGBASE-Z PCS sublay ublayer (also the "R" ge	er, but the figure is la	
uggestedRemedy				encodi	ng used here.)			
"SC-FEC adapt, encoding and interleavin	g", "SC-FEC	de-interleving,	decoding & adapt" ?	Suggested	•			
roposed Response Response Statu	s W			U U	155.1.5, page	34 line 3, to "400GBASI	2	to agree with the ligure
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change text in transmit direction from: "SC-FEC adapt & encoding"					PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> .E.		
to "SC-FEC adapt, encoding & interleaving"				C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P <b>36</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 43
Change text in receive direction from: "SC-FEC decoding & adapt"				Ran, Adee		Cisco		
to "SC-FEC de-interleaving, decoding & ada	pt"				ntence "The PC	Comment Status D S . can operate in nrom raph. These modes are	al mode or in test-pa	
155 SC 155.1.5 P	35	L <b>43</b>	# 429	Suggested		aph. mese modes are	only discussed in the	tillita paragrapit.
awe, Piers Nvi	dia					e of the first paragraph t	o a separate paragra	ph before the current
omment Type E Comment Statu					ragraph.	1 3 1	1 1 3	
"PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication": the like a leftover from Clause 119 where two different reason), and not explained until I	widths are p	ossible, but for	not usual (so it looks a known and	Proposed I PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status V	I	
uggestedRemedy				C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P <b>36</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 44
Add an informative NOTE saying why it's subclause.	m-1 not 7, ar	nd referring to t	he appropriate	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
oposed Response Response Statu	s W			Comment	уре Е	Comment Status D		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a note to Figure 155-2: "The PMA service interface in the receive 8, and is implementation dependent. This	direction has			is "tran "chann	smit channel", a	asmit and PCS Receive and line 35 "receive cha aded term, it is not defin	nnel".	
decision decoder and needs higher precis				Suggested	Remedy			
155.3.3.8."					e "transmit char /e function".	nel" to "Transmit proce	ss", 3 times. Change	"receive channel" to
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛	I	
				PROP	DSED ACCEPT			
/PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial req	vine d. CD/max		The device I The difference I				/ 155	Page 24 of 127

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 12	# 188	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	l	P <b>36</b>	L 14	# 430
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei, L	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
When	llowing is stated communicating v	with the PMA in the transmit of					Comment St ns of digitally enco		∂QAM symbols" w	e need an explanation
provide	es eight digital la	nes, which the PMA encodes	into two stream	is of 16QAM symbols.	Suggested	Remedy				
What a	are eight digital la	anes? Isn't this just the PMA	Service Interfac	e	Add se	entence explai	ning that m is an i	mplementati	on choice, for SD	-FEC.
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed I	Response	Response St	atus <b>W</b>		
PMA:IS	nit data-units are	e sent to the PMA service inte request primitive. The PMA t		e data into two streams	The pr PMA s	ervice interfaction unecessary t	nse to comment 4 e is m lanes wide	in the receiv	/e direction, and p	2 explaining why the pointing to 155.3.3.8. It m-bits is used in the
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			uocun					
	OSED ACCEPT				C/ 155	SC 155.2.	I	P 36	L 20	# 431
Review	v supporting pres	sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CF	(G) consideration.	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 13	# 202	Comment	51	Comment St			GMP mappe
Huber, The		Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>		PCS description			/ or useful? 100GI I. There is spare s			ring the raw BER, this
directio	is inconsistency on between the F	wording between Figure 155- PMA and PCS), the text in 15	5.2.1 (which indi	m lanes in the receive cates two streams of	Suggested If GMF	•	ider changing 20 r	nearer to 50		
	symbols), and tex Is digitized to m-	t in 155.2.5.1 and in 155.3 2 bit resolution).	(both of (which	reference DP-16QAM	Proposed	,	Response St	atus <b>W</b>		
Suggested	•					OSED REJEC	uggested remedy	do not propo	ose a specific cha	nge to the draft.
receive to "When	o communicating es two streams o o communicating	with the PMA in the receive of f digitally encoded m-bit 16Q with the PMA in the receive of led m-bit DP-16QAM symbols	AM symbols." direction, the 40							
	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CF	RG) consideration.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 Page 25 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

Cl 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 20	# 16	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1		P 36	L <b>22</b>	# 190
Gorshe, St	eve	Microchip Tee	chnology		D'Ambrosi	a, John		Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comme	ent Status D		PCS description
ppm of		to "the +/- 100ppm 257-bit blo emselves. Rather it is the blo erance.			The tra	ansmit data is o	encoded with	codes reversed - n a concatenated r de and an outer H	forward error corr	rection (CFEC) code D-FEC.
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	lRemedy				
		ny other occurances, referen d be changed to "block strea		ency or frequency	The tra	noted sentend ansmit data is o	encoded			
	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			code a	concatenated t and an inner ning code SD-F		r correction (CFE0	C) code consisting	g of an outer SC-FEC
?100 p to	anscoded blocks pm 257-bit block	s are then mapped into a 400 s being mapped into a ?20p	pm timing doma	in."		<i>Response</i> OSED ACCEP le response to	, T IN PRINC			
		have a frequency tolerance with a frequency tolerance of			C/ 155	SC 155.2.1		P 36	L <b>22</b>	# 433
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 20	# 45	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
		Cisco	20	# 43	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status D		PCS description
Ran, Adee Comment 1 Missing	Гуре Е	Comment Status <b>D</b> "20" and the unit "ppm".		bucket	consis	ting of an inne	SC-FEC co	concatenated forw ode and an outer H se of inner and ou	lamming code SI	on (CFEC) code D-FEC": this is intuitive
Suggested	Remedv				Suggested	Remedy				
Insert a	a space.	D			transm	nit data is enco		oncatenated forwa		
Proposed F	SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Respons	se Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
	OSED ACCELLI					OSED ACCEP				
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>21</b>	# 432	See th	e response to	comment 20	).		
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia								
Comment 7 Marker		Comment Status D		bucket						
S <i>uggestedl</i> marker	,									
Proposed F	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W								

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>22</b>	# 20	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P <b>36</b>	L 25	# 131
Sustlin, Mark	Cisco			Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Syster	ms	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> C	omment Status D		pcs description	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		
The use of inner and outer I standards.Two industry boo and Error Control Coding (F as the outer, and the 2nd co you look at a diagram of the the locaiton of the cods in th	ks on FEC are: Error co Peter Sweeney), both ref ode in a concatenation a FEC codes, though it c	ontrol coding (Shu fere to the first coo as the inner. This r	Lin/Daniel Costello) de in a concatenation makes sense when	primitiv service <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ve." I presume v e interface and r Remedy	are sent to the service interface when we say "service interface not the PCS service interface	e here" we are re	
SuggestedRemedy				From: "Trans	mit data-units a	re sent to the service interfac	e via the PMA:IS	UNITDATA i.reques
Reverse the usage to: "an on Hamming code SD-FEC"	uter SC-FEC code" and	1 "an inner		primitiv To:			to for a side that	
	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤					re sent to the PMA service in .request primitive."	iterface via the	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Change: "consisting of an inner SC to		r Hamming code	SD-FEC."	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. esentation. For comment res	olution group (CR	G) consideration.
"consisting of an outer SC	-FEC code and an inne	r Hamming code	SD-FEC."	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 29	# 46
/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>22</b>	# 434	Ran, Adee		Cisco	2.25	// <del>4</del> 0
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment		Comment Status D		pcs descriptio
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>C</i> As interleavers are a signific	<i>comment Status</i> <b>D</b> cant feature of this sche	eme	PCS description	The so	51	attern defined in 119.2.4.9 ca	nnot be used here	, ,
SuggestedRemedy Mention the interleavers in t	he transmit direction. (	There is one men'	tion in the receive	Suggested Add a	•	based on 119.2.4.9 but spec	ific to this clause,	and refer to it instead
direction.) Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W			Proposed PROP	,	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Note the proposed response Change:		is included in this	proposed response.	A cont	ribution with the	proposed test pattern is nee	ded.	
"The transmit data is encod				C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 31	# 435
consisting of an inner SC-F to	EC code and an outer H	lamming code SD	-FEC."	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
"The transmit data is encod consisting of an outer SC-F	EC code and an inner H	lamming code SD	-FEC. Between the	<i>Comment</i> Sudde	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> It receiver without warning - h	ard to understand	<i>buck</i> d at first.
SC-FEC output and the SD- interleaver."	FEC input, there is a so	rambler followed	by a convolutional	Suggested Insert	<i>Remedy</i> "in the receive c	direction,"		
					Response			

		0	•	0			5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched	A/accepted F	R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open	W/written C/closed	U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.2.1	9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause,	page, line						

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>32</b>	# 436	C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 47
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E PCS Synchronization	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E "SC-FEC blocks of 510 Lassume is it the numb	Comment Status D ? 512" er of bits (otherwise, what is	: it2)	bucket
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
PCS synchronization				Add "bits" after "510 ? 5	512".		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP1	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 35	# 28	C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 439
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems		Dawe. Piers	⊬ <b>38</b> Nvidia	L 30	# 439
Comment Type <b>T</b> Should this be "128 bi	Comment Status D		pcs description	Comment Type E SC-FEC blocks	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "12 line 37.	28-symbol" to "128 bit symbol	". Similar issue wi	th "119-symbol" on	SuggestedRemedy SC-FEC codewords (as	on line 39)		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Change: "decodes a stream c	of 128-symbol codewords."			C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 438
to "decodes a stream o	of 128-bit codewords."			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Change: "the resulting 119-sy	rmbol codewords "			Comment Type T SC-FEC blocks of 510 >	Comment Status D		PCS description
to "the resulting 119-bi				SuggestedRemedy whats? bits? bytes?			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 35	# 437	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			PROPOSED ACCEPT Change:	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Type E PCS Receive process	Comment Status D			"blocks of 510 ? 512 a to			
SuggestedRemedy PCS Receive function	or PCS receive process			"blocks of 510 ? 512 b	bits are."		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Change "Receive proc	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sess" to "receive process"						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 Page 28 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>40</b>	# 224	C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.1	P <b>36</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 48
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
(page 38	ns 'overhead fie	Comment Status <b>D</b> elds' (page 36, line 40) and '0 DH blocks' on the next line, a hangeable.				blocks"		Comment Status <b>D</b> istent with "257-bit blocks" as abbrevations in coding s		is not used to denote
SuggestedR	emedy	-			Similar	ly "66b"	, "120b",	and other instances in this	draft.	
	-	t term, 'overhead field' seem	is to be the most o	common.	Suggested					
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Chang	e "257B	" to "257	-bit" across the draft except	where it is part of	of "256B/257B".
PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					ige "66b" ecessary	to "66-bit" in 155.2.2, "120	o" to "120-bit" in	155.2.4.3, and similar
		55.2.4.3, change: "carry OH		the overhead field"	Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status W		
At the la "details	ist sentence of the encoding	the 3rd paragraph of 155.2.4 of the GMP overhead"	.3, change:		PROP	USED P	CCEPT.			
to	-				C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.4	P 37	L 8	# 132
		of the GMP justification cor overhead field"	itrol bytes that are	carried in the	Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisco Syste	ms	
40000					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		PCS description
"The AN to	2.4.4, change: 1, pad and OH f				frame	(Figure	155-3), 4	n reading the descriptions a 00GBASE-ZR OH frame (F ed and aligned ?		
"The AM	l, pad and over	head fields are"			Suggested	Remedy	/			
C/ <b>155</b> Marris, Arthi	SC <b>155.2.1</b> ur	P <b>36</b> Cadence Des	L <b>41</b> sian Systems	# 29				agram to indicate how the vand aligned (if indeed they a		ctures described in the
Comment Ty		Comment Status D	.g. eyetette	pcs description	Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status W		
,		ord to use here?			PROP	OSED A	CCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedR	emedy				A cont	ribution	with the s	suggested diagram and des	cription is neede	ed.
		ch 400GBASE-ZR frame" to ans in this context. Perhaps								
Change "The PC each 40 to "The PC the rece	SED ACCEPT S then remove 0GBASE-ZR fra	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. s the alignment markers and ame and passes the data to s the alignment marker, pad asses the remaining payloa	the GMP de-map	ber." ds from						

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4

C/ <b>155</b> S	C 155.2.4	P 37	L 8	# 225	C/ 155	SC 15	5.2.4.3		P 37	L <b>29</b>	# 226
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, Davi	b		Н	ewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
155.2.4.9 ' PCS receiv check and	hall' statement re Frame synchronc /e path (155.2.5) error marking'. M nts and other mar	omment Status <b>D</b> egarding the PCS transmous scrambler', similarly is in subclause 155.2.5. landatory PCS transmit ndatory requirements ne	the only 'shall' st .3 'Descrambler' a requirements, ma	atement regarding the and 155.2.5.6 'CRC32 andatory PCS receive	<ul> <li>Comment Type TR Comment Status D GM.</li> <li>Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says that 'The GMP mapper inserts the serializ stream of 257B blocks into the payload area of a 400GBASE-ZR frame.' and that '' frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical transm order of left to right, top to bottom.'. This seems to imply that the stream of 257B blockserted into one 400GBASE-ZR frame at a time.</li> <li>Subclause 155.2.4.3 however then says that 'The Payload area of a four-frame mu</li> </ul>						me.' and that 'The logical transmission eam of 257B blocks is
	oonse Re D ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE. list where PCS mandato	ory requirements	are described.	is divio word is accore	led into 1 s either fil ling to 15	0 220 GN ed with d 5.2.4.2)	1P words of 4 x lata (the logical	257 = 1028 ly serialized to imply tha	bits.' and that 'E 257B encoded : t the 257B block:	Four-frame multi-frame Each 1028-bit GMP stream produced s are inserted into four
Cl <b>155</b> S Huber, Thomas Comment Type		P <b>37</b> Nokia omment Status <b>D</b>	L <b>12</b>	# 203	'The s seems	tream of 4	00GBAS	E-ZR frames, i	llustrated in	Figure 155-3, pr	AS) insertion' then says rovide the input' d does not reference
21		2.4.1 jump back and for	th between 66b a	,	Suggested	Remedy					
	ould confuse a re	ader who is unfamiliar w						multi-frame, po is mapped to th			w 257B blocks are
Rewrite the The transm signals rec Figure 119 of each blo 256B/257E bits contair the 400GB	e text as follows: hit PCS generate: eived from the 40 -14. One 400GM ick are contained transcoder. tx_con the block payloa ASE-ZR PCS be	s 66-bit blocks based up DOGMII, as specified in t II data transfer is encod in a vector tx_coded<6 coded<1:0> contains the ad. The rate matching of cause the mapping of th	the transmit state ed into one 66-bi 5:0>, which is pa sync header and described in 119.3 ne transcoded blo	a diagram showni in t block. The contents ssed to the 64B/66B to d the remainder of the 2.4.1 is not required for bock stream into the	A cont 257-bi of the a total	OSED AC ribution w t blocks fr rate differ	CEPT IN ith proposion om the tr ence, bet words a	tween 10,214 a	eded. rouped into nd 10,218 p	olus between 6 a	GMP words. Because nd 2 stuffing words, for along with the AM,
domains.	-ZR frame struct	ture performs clock com	ipensation betwe	en the two clock	C/ 155	SC 15	5.2.4.3		P 37	L <b>29</b>	# 440
Replace th "The transi signals rec Figure 119 of each blo 256B/257E bits contain the 400GB	D ACCEPT IN P e text at 155.2.4. mit PCS generate eived from the 40 -14. One 400GM ck are contained transcoder. tx_con the block paylog ASE-ZR PCS be		the transmit state ed into one 66-bi 5:0>, which is pa sync header and described in 119.2 ne transcoded blo	a diagram shown in t block. The contents ssed to the 64B/66B to d the remainder of the 2.4.1 is not required for bock stream into the	Proposed PROP	Type I Remedy t, many p Response OSED AC		Comment Star ompare base do Response Stat N PRINCIPLE.	oc. "256B/2 us W	257B" can stay. re used in "256B	/257B".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.2.4.3
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Page 30 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

CI 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 30	# 49	C/ <b>155</b> SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L <b>49</b>	# 442
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D			Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		buck
	s illustrated as a str				16 x 120b m	arkers			
	257B blocks of payl			5140 bits of overhead e 155-3"	SuggestedReme 120-bit	edy			
	ould be clearly defi		just "illustrated" in	a figure.	Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W		
	be made shorter an	nd clearer.				ACCLI I.			
SuggestedReme					C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 1	# 386
	quoted text to: s a structure that co	ntains 5140 bits of	overhead followed	d by 10 220 257-bit	Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
blocks of pay		illustrated in Figure	e 155-3, with trans	mission order from	Comment Type Section 155	<b>E</b> 5.2.4.5 defin	Comment Status D es/describes how the OH wo	rks	buck
Proposed Respo	nse Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			SuggestedReme	edy			
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.				Change "dis	cussed" to '	'described"		
C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 31	# 392	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status W		
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom			PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status D		257b blocks	C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 1	# 30
We traditional inferred as 25		o blocks as 257-bit	blocks not 257B b	locks (which could be	Marris, Arthur	155.2.4.5	Cadence Desi	-	# [30
SuggestedRemed	dy				Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		buck
Change the s	seven instances of	257B block to 257-l	bit block		Define OH a	cronym as i	t is the first use in the Clause	Э	
Proposed Respo	nse Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			SuggestedReme				
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.				Ū.		overhead (OH) bytes"		
C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L <b>44</b>	# 441	Proposed Respo		Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D		bucket					
	e": undefined term r		, rogue capitals						
SuggestedReme	dy	,							
Change to "fr									
Proposed Respor	nse Respo	nse Status W							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Page 31 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

SC 155.2.4.3

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L <b>2</b>	# 204	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4
			L <b>Z</b>	# 204	
Huber, Th Comment				CMP manner	Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR
The de 1280 I Since	escription of the 2 bit field (which is la	0-bit pad says it is inserted ater described as four chun alks about 66b blocks or 25	ks of 320 bits tha	cks, but the OH is a t are interleaved).	in item 5 it refes to the indexing that begins 0 SuggestedRemedy
Suggested	dRemedy	Nokia T Comment Status D GMP mapped of the 20-bit pad says it is inserted after the OH blocks, but the OH is a which is later described as four chunks of 320 bits that are interleaved). the text talks about 66b blocks or 257 blocks, it is probably better to refer t her than blocks. bit pad of all zeros is added after the OH blocks" to "A 20 bit pad of all zeros he 1280 OH bits." The Response Status W		Change "column 514	
			OH blocks" to "A	A 20 bit pad of all zeros	of row 0 and ending Proposed Response
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCER
PROF	POSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 5	# 50	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4
Ran, Ade	Э	Cisco			Law, David Comment Type <b>E</b>
Comment "starti	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> I of row 0 and ending at col	umn 10 280 of rc	<i>GMP mapper</i> w 255, using GMP"	Comment Type E The antepenultimate introduction to the G
no no 155 th	need to use anoth le columns denote ayload area ends	nentioned in preceding text ner term (and possibly creat e octets). simply at the end of the fran	e confusion, sind	e in the related Clause	Suggest that the ant be moved to be the Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER
	•	to "from bit 5141 to the end	l of the frame, us	ing GMP"	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4
Proposed	-	" across this description. Response Status W			Slavick, Jeff <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> I could not find a Cla GMP
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 5	# 227	SuggestedRemedy
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Change 9.4.3.2 to 19
the on Suggested Sugge	ause 155.2.4.3 sa ily use of the term <i>dRemedy</i> est that the text 'Th	Comment Status D ys 'The 400GBASE-ZR PC '400GBASE-ZR PCS paylo ne 400GBASE-ZR PCS pay S payload of the serialized	oad' in the draft. load is mapped	' is changed to read	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER See response to cor
Proposed		Response Status W			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

in item 5	it refes to the	PCS payload beginr 1, but Table 155-1 a	ning at colu	umn 5141 whi	ch would be true for a ndexing that begins with
SuggestedR	emedy				
		or row 0 and ending collumn 10 279 of rc		10 280 of rov	/ 255" to "column 5140
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status	w		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT				
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 3	8	L 8	# 228
Law, David		Hewl	ett Packar	d Enterprise	
Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status	D		
		aragraph of subclaus P and would be bette			
SuggestedR	emedy				
		enultimate paragrapl t paragraph of subcl			3 'GMP mapper' should
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status	w		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT				
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 3	8	L 11	# 393
Slavick, Jeff		Broa	dcom		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	D		references
l could n GMP	ot find a Claus	e 9.4.3.2 in ITU-T G	.709 but I	did find a 19.4	.3.2 that talks about
SuggestedR	emedy				
Change	9.4.3.2 to 19.4	.3.2			
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status	w		
	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
oral					Dage 22 of 127
ieral	1/	7 /	C/ 155		Page 32 of 127

SC 155.2.4.3

P 38

Comment Status D

Broadcom

L 6

# 394

row and column numbering

9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC	C 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 11	# 205	C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.4	.3	⊃ 38	L <b>12</b>	# 229
Huber, Thomas		Nokia			Law, David	t	H	ewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
aligns with 4 400ZR IA). used in 400 SuggestedReme Change The principl details of the to:	3.2 of ITU-T 400ZR, mayb ITU-T G.709 ZR and 4000 edy es of the GM e encoding o	Comment Status D G.709 does not discuss GM e it is better to point to 155 and G.709.x don't specific BASE-ZR P mapper are described in f the GMP overhead in ITU P mapper are described in	i.2.4.5.3 (which the cally discuss the cally discuss the cally discuss the call of the cal	hen points to the OIF GMP encoding that is 6/2020) Annex D, with 9.4.3.2.	of the G.709/ REC-C referer ITU-T Suggested	Ause 155.2.4.3 encoding of the (Y.1331 (06/20 6.709-202006-1 nce should hav G.709, althoug ( <i>Remedy</i> ) to the reference	GMP overhead in 20) <https: www.itu<br="">&gt;, there doesn't se</https:>	The prin ITU-T G.7 Lint/rec/re em to be a e 19.4.3.2 o address ead in ITU-	09 Clause 9.4.3.2 commendation.as subclause 9.4.3. 'Generic mapping the justification o	g procedure (GMP)' in
Details of th Proposed Respo PROPOSEI	onse	encoding for 400GBASE-Zf Response Status W	R are in 155.2.4.5	5.3.		OSED ACCEP sponse to com SC 155.2.4		⊃ 38	L 14	# 382
Dawe, Piers Comment Type	<b>E</b> 9 Clause 9.4.	P <b>38</b> Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 3.2	L 11	# 443	Comment Payloa Suggested	id should not b	Comment Stat e capitalized.	eneral Mot us D	tors	bucke
SuggestedReme ITU-T G.709	edy 9 Clause 19.4	1.3.2 ?			0	ne payload area		ıs <b>W</b>		
		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. nt 205			PROP	OSED ACCEP	т.			

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3

C/155 S	C 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 15	# 150	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.3	P 38	L 17	# 444
usted, Kent		Intel Corpora	tion		Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		
difficult to f "stuff" to m	me reader of th ollow. It took r ean non-data l	Comment Status <b>D</b> his section, the term "stuff" ne a while to understand v blocks or stuffing blocks. improvements to make it	what "stuff" was. The last two para	In this case, I interpret agraphs of the sub-		l.1 says "T d data car		Comment Status <b>D</b> e matching described in 11 a rate of 401.5625 Gb/s +/		
uggestedRem	nedy					•	5 to 401	.542892 mention both		
"Each 1028 stream pro	3-bit GMP word	graph, change: d is either filled with data (i r stuff, which is transmitted				, DSED RE.		Response Status W s not clear.		
"Each 1028 encoded st	ream produce							is before insertion of the a efore AM insertion is: (163		
receipt."	0 155.2.4.2) 0	r stuffing blocks, which is t	ransmitted as ze	ero and ignored on	C/ 155	SC 155	2.4.3	P 38	L 18	# 445
In the leaf r	oaragraph, cha	1200			Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		
"While the application only five ca	GMP mechani result in	sm is generic, the particul he positions of data and s				ock rate of		Comment Status <b>D</b> OGBASE-ZR frame (GMP ervice interface rate	clock domain) is r	<i>GMP mappe</i> not given, although
application	result in	sm is generic, the particul			Suggested Deffine		rate in	the PCS section		
only five ca computed.'		he positions of data block	s and stuffing blo	ocks to be pre-	Proposed F PROP	,	EPT II	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
"GMP stuff	0	ions in 400GBASE-ZR fra	me"		presen	tation of th	e GMP	ble of the line rate of 59.84 rate requires a table show		
"GMP word locations"	5-1, change co I numbers of s	olumn header from: tuff			GMP C	lock and th	ie line (	CIOCK.		
to "GMP word locations"	I numbers of s	tuffing block								
		olumn header from: ation starting bits"								
	mn) of stuffing	block starting location"								
roposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse D ACCEPT.	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Page 34 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 1	55.2.4.3	P 38	L 20	# 446	C/ 155	SC 1	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 30	# 53
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Ran, Adee	9		Cisco		
Comment Type	E Com	ment Status D			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		
~10 214.684 -e								umn seems redundant with t and "column" is not defined		umbers. Also, "rows" is
SuggestedRemedy			41		Suggestea	Remed	v			
names are bad	•	aces inside indivsible	e inings such as n	umpers or variable		-		ird column. Otherwise, chan	ige "column" to	"bit #".
Proposed Respons	se Resp	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status W	-	
PROPOSED R	,				, PROP	, OSED /	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
The comment	does not sugges	st a change to the dr	aft.		5.1.1			T 455 4		
The style man	ual. section 16.3	.2 dictates the space	e between everv 3	Brd digit for numbers	Delete	the 3rd	column fi	rom Table 155-1.		
with 5 or more			<b>,</b>	5	C/ 155	SC 1	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 30	# 52
C/155 SC 1	55.2.4.3	P 38	L 20	# 51	Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Comment		т	Comment Status D		GMP mappe
Comment Type	E Com	ment Status D						vord numbers start from 1 w		rows start from 0.
51		rator in numbers with	n fractional digits i	s unusual and		•		consistent, it should at least	be explicit.	
confusing.	·		Ū		Suggested			fter "GMP word numbers".		
		ers with three fractio			Proposed	0	,	Response Status W		
		s are then bounded	by integer values.					N PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy		l and ~10 217.136" t	a "hatwaan 10.01	1 and 10 010"				he 2nd column of Table 155 stuff locations" to "GMP wo		rting from 1) of stuffing
Change betwe	een ~10 214.004		o between 10 21	4 and 10 2 10 .		ocation			iu numbers (sta	
Alternatively ke	eep the fractions	and delete the space	ce separators.		C a a th					
Proposed Respons	se Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			See In	le respo	rise to cor	nment 150.		
PROPOSED A	CCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			C/ 155	SC 1	155.2.4.3	P 38	L <b>42</b>	# 447
Change "betwe	een ~10 214.684	l and ~10 217.136" t	o "between 10 21	4 and 10 218"	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
0					Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		bucke
					Blank	line				
					Suggestea	Remed	У			
					Remov	ve				
					Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED /	ACCEPT.			

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3

C/ 155

SC 155.2.4.4.1

C/ <b>155</b>	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	9	L 6	# 54
Ran, Adee			Cisco	1		
	) bit ro		- the number is part	of a co	mpound noun so	a hyphen should be
SuggestedF Change	Type       E       Comment Status       D         70 bit row aligned" - the number is part of a compound noun so a hyphen should. The separator is not helpful in this case.       dRemedy         ge to "10970-bit row aligned".       dResponse       Response Status         W       POSED ACCEPT.       V         SC 155.2.4.3       P 39       L 7       # 55         re       Cisco       Cisco       Cisco					
Proposed R PROPC	IRemedy ge to "10970-bit row aligned". Response Response Status W OSED ACCEPT. SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 7 # Cisco Type E Comment Status D M field, containing am_mapped<1919:0> is transmitted LSB first, i.e.					
C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	9	L <b>7</b>	# 55
Ran, Adee			Cisco			
	to "10970-bit row aligned". esponse Response Status W DSED ACCEPT. SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 7 # 5 Cisco ype E Comment Status D A field, containing am_mapped<1919:0> is transmitted LSB first, i.e. pped<0> first, and am_mapped<1919> last"	first, i.e.				
This	POSED ACCEPT. SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 7 # 55 ee Cisco t Type E Comment Status D AM field, containing am_mapped<1919:0> is transmitted LSB first, i.e. mapped<0> first, and am_mapped<1919> last" phrasing is awkward (am_mapped has already been defined in the first parage					
	dee Cisco	the first paragraph)				
and red SuggestedF Change	lundar Re <i>med</i> e to "Tl	ıt. <i>Iy</i>	d (am_mapped has ssion order of am_n			
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Respon	it. /y he transmi :1919>".		napped		
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Resport OSED	nt. /y he transmi x1919>". ose	ssion order of am_n	napped W		
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Respon DSED SC	nt. he transmi :1919>". bse ACCEPT.	ssion order of am_n Response Status	napped W	is from am_map	ped<0> to
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R	lundar Remea to "Ti pped< Resport OSED SC mas	nt. he transmi :1919>". bse ACCEPT.	ssion order of am_n Response Status P <b>3</b>	w B	is from am_map	ped<0> to
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC Cl 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream	lundar Remede to "Ti pped< Respon OSED SED SC mas ype tt coul-	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". ose ACCEPT. <b>155.2.4.4</b> <b>T</b> d be clarifi 7b blocks)	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert	N W B D ing from of the 4	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma	ped<0> to # 206 PCS description
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC Cl 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream payload	lundar Remea e to "Ti pped< Respor DSED SC mas ype kt could of 25 I block	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". se ACCEPT. 155.2.4.4 T d be clarifi 7b blocks) is are alrea	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert to the clock domain	N W B D ing from of the 4	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma	ped<0> to # 206 PCS descriptio
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPO C/ 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream payload SuggestedF Rewrite	lundar Remed e to "Tl pped< Respon DSED SC mas SC mas SC sc t coult of 25 d block Remed e as fol s has r	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". <i>ise</i> ACCEPT. <b>155.2.4.4</b> <b>T</b> d be clarifin 7b blocks) is are alrea /y llows: The	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert to the clock domain ady aligned to the pa	w w B D of the 4 ayload c elds are	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma t00GBASE-ZR fi lock. populated after	ped<0> to # 206 PCS description in of the payload rame. Presumably the

Slavick, Jeff       Broadcom         Ald be       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The name of the section include 400GBASE-ZR, why?       Cl119 uses "for 200GBASE-R" and "for 400GBASE-R" and "for 400GBASE-R" and "for 400GBASE-R" include 41035 don't attach the rate to it's section heading         SuggestedRemedy       Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         C/       155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155.4."       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155.4".       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-fr
Idd be       The name of the section include 400GBASE-ZR, why? Cl119 uses "for 200GBASE-R" and "for 400GBASE-R" since it has two different methods done for the different rates. But this is only 1 rate clause and Clause 91 and 135 don't attach the rate to it's section heading         SuggestedRemedy       Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4".       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".       Lange to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Lange to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".       Lange to "The 400GBASE
SuggestedRemedy         Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:         Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         CI 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status D       "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 "         aph)       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Ing       Change elsewhere as appropriate.
Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         CI 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status D       "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4 "         aph)       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         Cl 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4"       "There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         C/ 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4".       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.       SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.         Cl 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4"       "There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".
Cl 155       SC 155.2.4.5       P 39       L 16       # 56         Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4"       "There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 "       "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 "         There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         escription       Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         ing       Change elsewhere as appropriate.
aph)       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4 "       There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         escription         d         bly the         In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
<ul> <li>"The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4 "</li> <li>There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).</li> <li>Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".</li> <li>Change "byte" to "octet" globally.</li> <li>In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".</li> <li>In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".</li> </ul>
aph)       frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 "         There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).         Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         escription         d         ln 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         ln 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         Change elsewhere as appropriate.
<ul> <li>(especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined; "frame" is an overly overloaded term).</li> <li>Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".</li> <li>Change "byte" to "octet" globally.</li> <li>In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".</li> <li>In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".</li> </ul>
term).       Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" instead.         SuggestedRemedy       Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         escription       Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         change elsewhere as appropriate.
instead. SuggestedRemedy Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40- octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4". Change "byte" to "octet" globally. d bly the In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence". In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH". Change elsewhere as appropriate.
Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40- octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4". Change "byte" to "octet" globally. In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence". In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH". Change elsewhere as appropriate.
octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4".         escription       Change "byte" to "octet" globally.         d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         ing       Change elsewhere as appropriate.
d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         ing       Change elsewhere as appropriate.
d       In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".         In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".         ing       Change elsewhere as appropriate.
In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".
ing Change elsewhere as appropriate.
ASE- Implement with editorial license.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response

P 38

L 50

# 387

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P	39 <i>L</i> 16	# 397	C/ 155	SC 155	2.4.5.1	P 39	L <b>40</b>	# 58
Slavick, Jeff Bro	adcom		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status The OH section of the 400GBASE-ZR frar that OH is only a 40-byte is only 320 bits of	ne is 1280 bits in size. Th	OH description is intro sentence states		•••		ent Status <b>D</b> ounter, but figure 1	155-4 shows only	<i>OH descriptior</i> 2 bits. This can
SuggestedRemedy			Suggestedl	Remedy				
Remove 155.2.4.5.4 and update 155.2.4.5	as follows (retaining Figu	ıre 155-4):				that is incrementer on each 40-octet		"It is an auto-wrapping )H block".
155.2.4.5 Overhead (OH)			Proposed F	Response	Respor	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
The 400GBASE-ZR frame contains a 1286 four 320- bit structures. The 40-byte overhouse 220 bit structure.	ead frame described in 1	55.2.4.5.1 is the first		OSED REJ eds more	ECT. work to explain	correctly.		
such 320-bit structure. The second, third, four 320-bit structures are 10-bit interleave 155.2.4.5.1 40-byte overhead frame			400GB			igure 155-4 are in and 40 octets are i		l field of a first ext 400GBASE-ZR
The 40-byte overhead frame is a 40-byte frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 and desc			The su	ggested re	medy sounds a SE-ZR frame.	as though the four	rows are going int	to the same OH field of
The contents of the 40-byte overhead fran			C/ 155	SC 155	2.4.5.1	P 39	L <b>41</b>	# 59
MFAS (see 155.2.4.5.1.1) 155.2.4.5.1.1 Multi-frame alignment signal	(MFAS)		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
The MFAS is in the first byte of the 40-byte incremented each frame to provide a 256- G.709.1 Clause 9.2.1.	e overhead frame. It is a v	vrapping counter that is ice as defined by ITU-T	Comment 7 ITU-T (	G.709.1 se	ems to be a no	ent Status <b>D</b> rmative reference. G.709.2; these are		<i>references</i> ar in the list in 1.3 (the ents).
Renumber 155.2.4.5.2 and 155.2.4.5.3 to unchanged for those sections.	155.2.4.5.1.2 and 155.2.4	.5.1.3 keeping the text	S <i>uggestedl</i> Add a r	R <i>emedy</i> reference ii	า 1.3.			
Proposed Response Response Status	w		Proposed F	Response	Respor	se Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Include the suggested remedy and apply e		ause numbers and			EPT IN PRINO 3 as follows:	CIPLE.		
accepted wording changes from other con C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P	38 <i>L</i> 38	# 189	ITU-T F	Recommer	dation G.709.1	- Flexible OTN sh	nort-reach interfac	es
D'Ambrosia, John Fuu	turewei, US Subsidiary of	Huawei						
Comment Type E Comment Status MFAS is not listed in abbreviations	B D							
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Add to 1.5 MFAS Multi-frame alignment signal								
Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.	W							
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial requ	ired GR/general required	T/technical E/editorial G/c	peneral			C/ 1	55	Page 37 of 127

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 Page 37 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.	4.5.1 <i>P</i> 39	L <b>41</b>	# 448	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4	1.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 230
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Law, David				kard Enterprise	
Comment Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		references	Comment		Comment	Status D		Link status monitoring
G.709.1 is not a nor	mative reference								s was detected by the
SuggestedRemedy Remove GMP, defir	ne the 256-frame multi-frame s	equence here, or	add the reference	mappe	ed from the it is		the SIGNAL_O	eems to imply th K parameter of	nat the RPF bit is the
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggested	IRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.								itive, replace the second
See response to co	mment 59								he bit is set based on SIGNAL.indication
· ·		1.00	" [222					value was FAIL	
C/ 155 SC 155.2.		L <b>32</b>	# 390	If the F	RPF bit is not r	mapped from th	e PMA:IS_SIG	NAL.indication r	primitive, please define
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		D // "					it is set and cle	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Reserved bit	Proposed I	Response	Response	Status <b>W</b>		
	the status field as having 4 dif .5.2. The RES in the figure ap			PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.		
field.	Ū I			See re	sponse to con	nment 449			
SuggestedRemedy				00010		innent 445.			
Remove the RES te	ext from Figure 155-4 and chan	ge the color of the	e box to be grey					aph of 155.2.4.5	
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉							SIGNAL_OK pa value was OK a	and "1" if the value was
PROPOSED ACCE	PT.			FAIL."					
				C/ 155	SC 155.2.4	4.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 450
				Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
				Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment	Status D		Link status monitoring
								ed by the remot et RF do that jo	e 400GBASE-ZR b?
				Suggested	IRemedy				
								ntinue to transm unidirectional o	it data while its input is peration
				Proposed I	Response	Response	Status W		
				PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.		
									ced it from FlexO d if not, we can make it

a reserved bit.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 449	C/ 155	SC 155.2	452	P 39	L 50	# 232
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	2 40	" 445	Law, David				kard Enterprise	11 232
Comment T		Comment Status D		Link status monitoring	Comment		Com	ment Status D		Link status monitoring
"signal upstrea 1.4.586 link. Ap which e	fail status was de im direction". But i upstream: In an plicable to netwo end of a link is clo	tected by the remote 400	sion away from t indication in eacl	ve function in the he subscriber end of the	Subcla indicat definiti <i>Suggestea</i>	ause 155.2.4. Te a remote 4 ion of a 400G <i>Remedy</i>	5.2 'Link sta 00GBASE-2 BASE-ZR F	itus monitoring and	ation' however th aft.	RPF is set to "1" to here appears to be no
SuggestedF	Remedy				Proposed	Response	Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
The RP		400GBASE-ZR PHY to in	ndicate to its link	partner the signal fail		OSED ACCE sponse to co				
	at its receive func				C/ 155	SC 155.2	.4.5.2	P 39	L <b>51</b>	# 389
Proposed R	(esponse DSED ACCEPT II	Response Status W			Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
Change		N PRINCIPLE.			Comment	Type TR	Com	ment Status D		RPF field location
"The RI receive	PF bit indicates si	gnal fail status was detec ostream direction"	ted by the remote	e 400GBASE-ZR		gure 155-4 th location 1.	e RPF field	is in bit location 0 o	f the Status Octe	ect. But the Text states
	PF bit is used by at its receive function	a 400GBASE-ZR PHY to tion"	indicate to its lin	c partner the signal fail	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e "in bit 1" to	"the first bit			
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.5.2		L <b>49</b>	# 231	Proposed	Response OSED ACCE	,	onse Status W		
Law, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		PROP	USED ACCE	PT.			
Comment T	уре Е	Comment Status D			C/ 155	SC 155.2	.4.5.2	P <b>40</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 60
		eceive function in the ups			Ran, Adee	)		Cisco		
		e receive path. And since to be qualified by 'in the u			Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status D		
SuggestedF								nterface signal" and	"MDI" signal" me	ean?
Sugges	st that ' 400GBA	SE-ZR receive function ir receive function ir	the upstream di	rection and' should		nals, are the		be "link partner"? als received by the 4	100GAUI C2M (w	hich is optional) and
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			Suggestea	Remedy				
	DSED ACCEPT I					e rephrase to	clarify.			
See res	sponse to comme	nt 449.			Proposed	•	,	onse Status <b>W</b>		
					•	OSED ACCE	,			
								i. For comment res	olution group (CI	RG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 Page 39 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 1	55.2.4.5.2	P <b>40</b>	L 5	# 451	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.2 P 4	10	L 10	# 452
lawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvid	ia		
	both called "Link s	<i>ent Status</i> <b>D</b> status monitoring a 'in the received ST		y different things about	Comment T "the rea	51	Comment Status yte in the receive dire			Link status monitoring
have the equiv	,				Suggested	•				
uggestedRemedy Add extra word be needed		ntext clear. "in the	transmitted" wou	ld help, but more may	receive byte in	d status the receive dire	e of RD in STAT<6> ection" to "then the va received STAT<6>"?	alue of RD i		in STAT<6> of the itted STAT<6> is set to
roposed Respons	se Respon	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w		
PROPOSED A	CCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	Γ.			
In the first sen	tence of the 4th pa	aragraph of 155.2.4	4.5.2 change:		C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.3 P 4	40	L 17	# 62
"If there is an a	adjacent PHY 400	GXS sublayer then	the value of RD	in STAT<6> is equal."	Ran, Adee		Cisc	0		
to: "If there is an a STAT<6> is eq		GXS sublayer then	the value of RD	in the transmitted	Comment 7 OIF-4		Comment Status rch 10, 2020, subclau			references
155 SC 1	55.2.4.5.2	P <b>40</b>	L 9	# 61			ative reference docu	<b>`</b>		,
an, Adee		Cisco				duced2.pdf.	ument in https://www	.onorum.co	m/wp-conten	t/uploads/OIF-400ZR-
Also in 155.2.5	an adjacent PHY 4	ent Status D 400GXS sublayer"			https://	www.oiforum.co		the subcla	use number :	ntenance, seems to have changed. on or to the up-to-date
uggestedRemedy			blaver" (2 places	<b>\</b>	Prefera	bly provide a U	IRL to the specific do	cument.		
		nt PHY 400GXS su	ibiayer (z piaces	).	Suggested	Remedv				
	CCEPT IN PRINC					-	with either dated or	undated ve	rsion, prefere	bly with a URL.
	ting presentation.	For comment reso	olution group (CF	RG) consideration.			he subclause text, he erence in a footnote)		55.2.4.6 (if a	dated version is used,
aw, David		Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise		Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w		
omment Type	E Comm	ent Status D		bucket	PROP	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
21	connected to a N	MAC-RS ' should	d be changed to i		Curren See:	OIF website h	as the same version	There ma	y be an upda	ted version there soon.
uggestedRemedy See comment	/				https://	www.oiforum.co	om/technical-work/im	plementatio	on-agreemen	ts-ias/
Proposed Respons PROPOSED A	,	nse Status W								

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P <b>40</b>	L 17	# 453	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.3 <i>P</i> 40	L <b>24</b>	# 57
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	e	Cisco		
Reference to OIF-400ZR-0 subject to active maintenan		bclause 8.9. Not	<i>references</i> e that this document is	l assui	) and CnD(t) are me they are def	Comment Status <b>D</b> e used but not defined. ined in an external reference, there is no need for this text		GMP descritption
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	-			
If feasible, write the specific and detailed enough, add a	normative reference. F			Prefera	ably add the det	ailed definitions from the refe entire last paragraph.	renced docume	nt.
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Add a reference to the lates 8.9.2 "GMP overhead enco	t version of OIF-400ZR	. The correct ref	erence is to subclause	Proposed PROP	Response	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P 40	L 22	# 396	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.3 <i>P</i> 40	L 25	# 207
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment Type ER C Everywhere else uses the v	<i>comment Status</i> <b>D</b> word four not the numbe	r	bucket	<i>Comment</i> The 'n	51	Comment Status D uld be subscripted		
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "4-frame multi-fran	ne" to "four-frame multi-f	frame"		Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> le the nD to sub	script.		
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P <b>40</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 17	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.4 <i>P</i> 40	L 30	# 348
Gorshe, Steve	Microchip Te	chnology		Maniloff, E	Fric	Ciena		
Comment Type E C	comment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
It seems worthwhile to prov				A figur	e showing the in	nterleaving of the 4 OH instan	ices would help	clarify the OH structure.
SCn(t). Although G.709 pr statement somewhat.	ovides the details, it ma	y be worthwhile e	expanding this	Suggested	Remedy			
					•	he interleaved OH mapping		
SuggestedRemedy			h. INIsta that Ora(t)	Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
I suggest adding the followi indicates the number of 102 multi-frame, with SCnD(t) n plus SCnD(t) values across serial stream rate as the nu multi-frame."	28-bit GMP data words t ominally indicating the r multiple multi-frames, t	hat will be transm unning remainde he average repre	nitted during the next r. Averaging the Cm(t) sent the incoming	, PROP	, OSED ACCEPT	Figure 14 of the 400ZR IA.		

# Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

P <b>40</b> Hewlett Packa nment Status <b>D</b>	L <b>32</b> rd Enterprise	# 247	C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.4.6</b> Ran, Adee	5 <i>P</i> 40 Cisco
nment Status D	rd Enterprise		Ran, Adee	Cisco
leaver isn't specified.		OH mapping	Comment Type E "mapped to 5 success	Comment Status D ive SC-FEC blocks"
				than 10 in general text sh
NCIPLE.			Change "5" to "five". Implement similar char	nges, and write numbers (
P <b>40</b> Hewlett Packa	L <b>37</b> rd Enterprise	# 248	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W
and multi-block alignm x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 244 664 information bit 5-5). In addition, based	664 bits.', but is s, 32 CRC bits, on figure 155-5	n <sup>'</sup> t an input SC-FEC 6 MBAS bits, and 34	Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> Subclause 155.2.4.6 'C	Hewlett P Comment Status D CRC32 and multi-block ali
	Hewlett Packa nment Status D and multi-block alignme x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 244 664 information bit 5-5). In addition, based	INCIPLE. 8 P 40 L 37 Hewlett Packard Enterprise nment Status D and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isi 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, '	INCIPLE.         8         P 40       L 37       # 248         Hewlett Packard Enterprise         nment Status       D       SC-FEC blocks         and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that         x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC         244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34         5-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause	bonse Status W INCIPLE. 8 P 40 L 37 # 248 Hewlett Packard Enterprise mment Status D SC-FEC blocks and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34 5-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the information bits for the calculation of SC-FEC input blocks. To conform with the format of the input SC-FEC block, 119 rows from the stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames are mapped to the information bits in 5 successive SC-FEC input blocks. Each SC-FEC input block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 information bits.'.

[2] The text '... cvclic redundancy code is calculated over 244 664 input bits as ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over the 244 664 information bits as ...'.

[3] The term 'SC-FEC block' be changed to read 'SC-FEC input block' in subclause 155.2.4.6.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

"mapp	ped to 5 successiv	e SC-FEC blocks"			
isolate	ed numbers less th	han 10 in general text shou	uld be spelled out.		
Suggestee	dRemedy				
Chang	ge "5" to "five".				
	ment similar chang nent as necessary	ges, and write numbers gr /.	eater than 9 in digi	its, across the	
,	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.6	P 40	L <b>42</b>	# 249	
Law, Davi	id	Hewlett Pag	ckard Enterprise		

L 39

# 63

Comment Status D CRC32 and MBAS

32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says 'The re placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit...', however, it doesn't specify where. In addition, it also says, 'Following the CRC32 a 6-bit MBAS is added.', without specifying the bit order. Finally, the CRC is referred to as a field (page 40, line 44) whereas the MBAS is referred to as overhead.

# SuggestedRemedy

### Suggest that:

[1] The text '... the CRC value are placed with ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... the CRC value are placed immediately after the information bits in the SC-FEC input block with ...'.

[2] The first sentence of the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be moved to the end of the paragraph and changed to read 'The 6 bits of the MBAS field are placed immediately after the CRC with the most significant bit as the left-most bit of the MBAS field and the least significant bit as the right-most bit of the MBAS field. The bits of the MBAS are transmitted in the order of most significant bit first. least significant bit last.'.

[3] The two instances of 'MBAS overhead' should be changed to read 'MBAS field'.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 Page 42 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:50 PM

C/ 155 SC 15	55.2.4.6	P <b>40</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 64	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6	6 P <b>40</b>	L 50	# 455
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
51	E the CRC v	Comment Status <b>D</b> value are placed with the x3	31 term as the le	ft-most bit of the	Comment Type <b>T</b> between source and si	Comment Status D		CRC32 and MBAS
		erm as the right-most bit of						
Thoro is no illus	stration of	the CRC32 block, so "right	t" and "loft" are n	ot roally mooningful:	SuggestedRemedy eh? Change to the usu	ual terminology		
		e defines the transmission			Proposed Response			
redundant.					PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy					Delete the words "betw			
Delete the quot	ted senten	ce.			C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7	P 41	L <b>1</b>	# 251
Proposed Response	se i	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			Law, David		ckard Enterprise	# 251
PROPOSED A	CCEPT.				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		SC-FEC blocks
	55.2.4.6	P 40	L <b>49</b>	# 250	21	e 155.2.4.7 be retitled 'SC-	FEC adapt and en	
Law, David	_		ard Enterprise		SuggestedRemedy	ure 155-2.		
		Comment Status D		bucket	Suggesteurreineuy			
••	E 3 doesn't s	pecify implementations.		Bucker	See comment.			
IEEE Std 802.3	3 doesn't s			buchel		Response Status W		
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '	3 doesn't s ,		s' should read		See comment.	,		
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '	3 doesn't s , staircase	pecify implementations.	s' should read		See comment. Proposed Response	,	L 11	# 252
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that '	3 doesn't s staircase se	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses	s' should read		See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 41	<i>L</i> 11 ckard Enterprise	# 252
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' '. Proposed Response PROPOSED A	3 doesn't s , staircase se ,CCEPT.	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W		' staircase FEC uses	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E	P <b>41</b> Hewlett Pa Comment Status D	ckard Enterprise	
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' '. Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15	3 doesn't s staircase se	Pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40	s' should read		See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4	P <b>41</b> Hewlett Pa <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 00GBASE-ZR frame to SC	ckard Enterprise	says ' which are
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC C/ 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers	3 doesn't s staircase  .CCEPT. 55.2.4.6	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia		' staircase FEC uses # 454	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE	P 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> D 00GBASE-ZR frame to SC SE-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type	3 doesn't s staircase         	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS	P 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> D 00GBASE-ZR frame to SC SE-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure	3 doesn't s staircase         	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy	P 41 Hewlett Pa <i>Comment Status</i> D 00GBASE-ZR frame to SC SE-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' '. This seems to b itle of the reference	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC C/ 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure MBAS	3 doesn't s staircase ce  CCEPT. 55.2.4.6 T showing th	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 00GBASE-ZR frame to SC E-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' .'. This seems to b itle of the reference C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure	3 doesn't s staircase  .CCEPT. 55.2.4.6 T showing th	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D he 400GBASE-ZR frame re	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 000GBASE-ZR frame to SC E-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' This seems to b itle of the reference C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure MBAS SuggestedRemedy Please add a fig	3 doesn't s staircase         	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D he 400GBASE-ZR frame re	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 000GBASE-ZR frame to SC E-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' This seems to b itle of the reference C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure MBAS SuggestedRemedy Please add a fig	3 doesn't s staircase staircase  	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D he 400GBASE-ZR frame re	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 000GBASE-ZR frame to SC E-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' This seems to b itle of the reference C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' Proposed Response PROPOSED AC CI 155 SC 15 Dawe, Piers Comment Type Needs a figure MBAS SuggestedRemedy Please add a fig Proposed Response	3 doesn't s staircase staircase Staircase staircase 	pecify implementations. FEC implementation uses Response Status W P 40 Nvidia Comment Status D he 400GBASE-ZR frame re	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '4 added to the 400GBAS '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FE	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Par <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 000GBASE-ZR frame to SC 3E-ZR SC-FEC frame as C frame' is used and the ti C encoded frames'.	ckard Enterprise C-FEC adaptation' This seems to b itle of the reference C-FEC adaptation'	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term

				# 253	C/ 155		7	F (8	L 12	# 400
CI 155 SC	C 155.2.4.7	P <b>42</b>	L 5	# 233	0/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	./	P <b>42</b>	L 1 <b>Z</b>	# 400
Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise		Slavick, Jeff			Broadcom		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		SC-FEC blocks	Comment Ty	pe E	Comme	ent Status D		
the 400GBA	ASE-ZR SC-F	of how the 8 parity blocl EC encoded frames.	s are mapped into	bits 10280 to 10970 of		ght edge of a				grey box. Should be the last one isn't part of
SuggestedRem	2				SuggestedR					
		subclause 155.4.7 to sp of the 400GBASE-ZR S				-	e of the grey	boxes that repres	sne the CRC+M	BAS.
Proposed Resp PROPOSEI		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEP	•	se Status W		
This require	es a contributi	ion.			C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	.7	P <b>42</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 388
C/ <b>155</b> SC	C 155.2.4.7	P <b>42</b>	L 11	# 254	Slavick, Jeff			Broadcom		
Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise		Comment Ty	pe TR	Comme	ent Status D		SC FEC fram
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D			Figure 1	55-6 does no	t show the 6	x119b pad		
			narked with an aste	SC-FEC blocks	Ū					
Both instantis meant to	nces of block 7 reference a for nat the CRC32	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app	ly the information b	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re	e <i>medy</i> at the end of	the i+119 rc <i>Respons</i>	·	he CRC+MBAS	labeled 6x119b PAD
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRemo Add a new	nces of block 7 reference a f nat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO: Cl 155	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC <b>155.2.4</b> .	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T.	bow to the right of t se Status W P <b>43</b>	he CRC+MBAS	labeled 6x119b PAD # <u>391</u>
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRemo Add a new	nces of block 7 reference a f hat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to from block 7.	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC <b>155.2.4</b> .	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T. <b>8</b>	bow to the right of t se <i>Status</i> W <i>P</i> 43 Broadcom		# 391
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRem Add a new MBAS bits t Proposed Resp	aces of block 7 reference a f nat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to from block 7. boonse	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r ootnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp 11 and add a suitable for	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff Comment Ty	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC <b>155.2.4</b> .	f the i+119 ro Respons T. .8 Comme	bow to the right of t se Status W P 43 Broadcom ent Status D		
Both instan is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRema Add a new   MBAS bits f Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Add a new   "The block I the MBAS b	nces of block 7 reference a finat the CRC32 needy paragraph to from block 7. bonse D ACCEPT IN paragraph to labeled 7.11 i bits and a 34-	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r ootnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp 11 and add a suitable for <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	aly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping of otnote to figure 155- an added 72 bits co ation bits of 7.11 are	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and -6. ontaining the CRC32, e a part of the 244 664	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff Comment Ty What is SuggestedR	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC 155.2.4. pe TR the contents emedy	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T. 8 <i>Comme</i> of the PAD?	bow to the right of t se Status W P 43 Broadcom ent Status D	L <b>4</b>	# [391

	P <b>43</b>	L 9	# 456	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P <b>43</b>	L 12	# 461
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E sequence 65 535	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type <b>T</b> is row 1 the first or seco	Comment Status <b>D</b> ond row?		scrambler
SuggestedRemedy sequence length 65 535	5?			SuggestedRemedy ?			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. No suggested remedy.	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P <b>43</b>	L 9	# 65	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 12	# 398
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom	- · <b>-</b>	" 550
	Comment Status D scrambler of sequence 65 53 vith sequence length of 6553		scrambler	Comment Type E Extra "."	Comment Status D		bucket
65535 bits of that period	l creates a periodic sequenc dic sequence starting from th		71, so is it the first	SuggestedRemedy Remove the . After the	1 in the equation		
SuggestedRemedy							
				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Rewrite as appropriate.				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	,		
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 43	L 12	# [459
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details.	/ 10	# 460	PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers	P <b>43</b> Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE.	L 10	# 460	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 43	L 12	# 459 scrambler
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43	L 10	# 460 scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	P <b>43</b> Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> <b>155</b> <i>SC</i> <b>155.2.4.9</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> which end goes first?	P <b>43</b> Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed are examples of scramb	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> <b>155</b> <i>SC</i> <b>155.2.4.9</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> which end goes first? <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> <i>Proposed Response</i>	P <b>43</b> Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> <b>155</b> <i>SC</i> <b>155.2.4.9</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> which end goes first? <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	P <b>43</b> Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b>	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed are examples of scramb	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T which end goes first? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	P <b>43</b> Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b>	L 12	

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P <b>43</b>	L 12	# 458	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P <b>43</b>	L 14	# 31
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	sign Systems	
Comment Type T x	Comment Status D		scrambler	Comment Type T Comm Is resetting the scrambler a func	ent Status <b>D</b> tional requirement	?	scramble
SuggestedRemedy define x				SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "resets" to "s	hall be reset"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	<i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nse Status W		
See response to comme	ent 65,			C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 14	# 66
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P <b>43</b>	L <b>12</b>	# 457	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			51	ent Status D		scramble
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	The definition of the scrambler is direction, and the point from whi			
SuggestedRemedy				Scrambler specifications typicall portion of the sequence for clarit		iagram of an LFS	R and sometimes a
ITAUC							
italic Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF).	gure 49-8) and sor	ne portion of the s	sequence following the
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie	P <b>43</b> General Motor	L <b>13</b> s	# 383	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF).	nse Status W	ne portion of the s	sequence following the
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E	P <b>43</b> General Motor Comment Status D		# 383	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC	nse Status W	ne portion of the s	sequence following the
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be	P <b>43</b> General Motor Comment Status D		# 383	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respor PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINO See response to comment 65.	nse Status W CIPLE.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy	P 43 General Motor <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered.	s	# <u>383</u>	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC See response to comment 65. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff	Dise Status W CIPLE.		# [399
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P <b>43</b> General Motor Comment Status D	s	# 383	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC See response to comment 65. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff	Dise Status W CIPLE. P 43 Broadcom eent Status D	L 16	# [ <u>399</u> scarmble
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to	P 43 General Motor <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	s	# <u>383</u>	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC See response to comment 65. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Comm The scrambler stops advancing	Dise Status W CIPLE. P 43 Broadcom eent Status D	L 16	# [399 scarmble
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P 43 General Motor <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	s	# <u>383</u>	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC See response to comment 65. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Comm The scrambler stops advancing 0's or all 1's?	Dise Status W CIPLE. P 43 Broadcom tent Status D during the PAD bits attern or change "t	L 16 s? So the 714b o the scrambling sta	# <u>399</u> scarmble f PAD will be either all ate advances during
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P 43 General Motor <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	s	# <u>383</u>	Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Fi initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC See response to comment 65. CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Comm The scrambler stops advancing 0's or all 1's? SuggestedRemedy Define the pad to be a random p each bit of the five SC-FEC bloc bit"	Dise Status W CIPLE. P 43 Broadcom tent Status D during the PAD bits attern or change "t	L 16 s? So the 714b o the scrambling sta	# <u>399</u> scarmble f PAD will be either all ate advances during

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.10 <i>P</i> 43	L 20	# 255	C/ 155	SC '	155.2.4.10	P <b>43</b>	L 21	# 68
Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ackard Enterprise		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status D		convolutional interleave
Suggest that ' SC-6 SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	ncoder' should read ' S <i>Response Status</i> W T.	SC-FEC encoder'.		The tex interlea If it isn' text an Suggested	t in this ver fun t fully d d figure	s subclause action. lefined (def e. y	eaver is described in ITU-T e and figure 155-7 are insuf fined only in an external doo	ficient to une	derstand/implement the n there is no need for this
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.10 <i>P</i> 43	L <b>21</b>	# 67				ed definitions from the refer ole subclause except for th		
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status W		
Comment Type T ITU-T G.709.3 seem	Comment Status D to be a normative reference	ce.	references						
SuggestedRemedy Add a reference in 1.	3.						tive reference. se except for the first 2 sen	tences.	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			C/ <b>155</b> Law, David		155.2.4.10	P <b>43</b> Hewlett Packa	L <b>22</b> ard Enterpris	# 256
C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.4</b> Dawe, Piers	10 P 43 Nvidia	L <b>21</b>	# 462	Comment T IEEE S		<b>T</b> .3 doesn't s	Comment Status <b>D</b> specify implementations.		convolutional interleave
Comment Type <b>TR</b> G.709.3 is not a norm SuggestedRemedy Add the content loca	Comment Status D native reference		references	convolu paralle read 'T	t, base utional delay he con	ed on the in interleaver lines that a volutional in	a subclause 155.2.4.9 above is described in ITU-T G.709 ire accessed sequentially for nterleaver shall be functiona cribed in ITU-T G.709.3 sub	9.3 subclaus r each block ally equivale	te 15.4.3. It contains 16 ( of 119 bits.' is changed to nt to the convolutional
the definition access Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF See response to com		uous		Proposed F PROP	Respon DSED /	se	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		

C/ 155 SC 155.2	2.4.10 F	244 L 30	# 208	C/ 155 SC 155.2	.4.11 P 44	L <b>36</b>	# 463
Huber, Thomas	No	kia		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The convolutional figure 155-7 indica	nterleaver and Hammi	<i>us</i> <b>D</b> ng encoder are working	convolutional interleaver g with 10976 rows, but	Comment Type <b>TR</b> generic operation . terms.	Comment Status D in ITU-T G.709.3 Annex D: I	but that contains un	SD-FEC encode defined symbols and
SuggestedRemedy Change 10970 to	10976 in Fgiure 155-7.			SuggestedRemedy As it seems it is no	t very long, write it out cleanly	v here	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	<i>Response Statu</i> EPT.	s W		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	<i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> EPT.		
C/ 155 SC 155.	2.4.11 F	°44 L 36	# 32	C/ 155 SC 155.2	.4.11 <i>P</i> 44	L 37	# 69
Marris, Arthur	Ca	dence Design Systems	3	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E 119b	Comment Statu	is D	bucket		Comment Status <b>D</b> tion of the Hamming SD-FEC	scheme is specifie	<i>SD-FEC encoder</i> d in ITU-T G.709.3
SuggestedRemedy Change "119b" to	'119-bit"			function.	clause is insufficient to under		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	<i>Response Statu</i> EPT.	s W		details in the secor	d (defined only in an external nd paragraph.	document) then the	ere is no need for the
			# 057	SuggestedRemedy	detailed definitions from the r	oforonood dooumon	.4
C/ 155 SC 155.		244 L 36	# 257		he second paragraph.		ιι.
Law, David		wlett Packard Enterpris		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
		', '119-bit block' and '11	<i>SD-FEC encoder</i> 9-bit message' h subclause 155.2.5.1.	PROPOSED ACCE See reponse to cor	EPT IN PRINCIPLE. nment 463		
SuggestedRemedy							
Suggest that:							
		volutional interleaver ar	e encoded' is changed eaver are encoded'				
	ach of the 10 976 119- 76 119-bit messages a		is changed to read ' '				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	<i>Response Statu</i> EPT.	s W					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

2/ 155	SC 155.2.4.11	P 44	L <b>40</b>	# 258	C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.12	P 45	L 33	# 465	
aw, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia			
Comment T The 128	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> eferenced in subclause 155	.2.4.11 'Hamming	<i>SD-FEC encoder</i> SD-FEC encoder' is	<i>Comment</i> hamm		Е	Comment Status D			bucke
subclau	use 155.3.3.2 (pag	word' in Figure 155-8, subc ge 53, line 36). Suggest the amming SD-FEC encoder'.			<i>Suggested</i> Hamm		ly				
uggestedF	Remedy				Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status W			
Sugges	st that:				PROP	OSED	ACCEPT.				
		10 796 128-bit blocks.' be o	changed to read '	results in 10 796	C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.12	P 45	L <b>50</b>	# 259	
128-DIt	128-bit SD-FEC codewords.'.					d		Hewlett P	ackard Enterprise		
[2] The text ' is encoded to the 128-bit code word' be changed to read ' is encoded to the 128-bit SD-FEC codeword'.					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		Transmit b	it ordering
FEC co Proposed R	odewords are'.	code words are' should b Response Status W	be changed to rea	id 'The 128-bit SD-	service update	e interfa ed to no /IA whei	ice. In add te that the re the Gra	t code word from the SD ition, the fourth paragrap 128-bit code word is pa y mapping and polarizati	h of subclause 155 sed across the PM	.3.3.1 should b A service inter	е
PROPU	JSED ACCEPT.				00			A service interface be a	ded to Figure 155-8	3. To do this su	agest
2/ <b>155</b> Dawe, Piers	SC 155.2.4.11	P <b>44</b> Nvidia	L <b>45</b>	# 464	[1] Suggest that the PMA service interface be added to Figure 155-8. To do this suggest that the label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request' be added to the leftmost arrow at the bottom of the figure, with the label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_1.request' and						
omment T		Comment Status D		SD-FEC encoder	'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_2.request' staggered above on the next two arrows to the right. The label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' should be added to the rightmost arrow. As an						
This sa	51	155.2.1 says two streams	of 4-bit data.					gure 119-10 '200GBASI			
uggestedF	Remedy							paragraph of subclause ssed across the 8 lane F			
The diff	ference may matt	er when we are discussing	Skew limits					ach representing a DP-			
roposed R	Response	Response Status W						ast group of 8 bits are c1			ough the
Change		N PRINCIPLE. are sent as 8-bit symbols			MSB or each group of 8 bits mapped in order to the tx_symbol parameter of the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request through the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request primitive respectively (see Figure 155-8).'.					e	
to:		are sent as two streams of			[3] Suggest that the text 'Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder c = [c0, c1,,c127], is mapped' in the fourth paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.1 should be changed						
					to read service	d <sup>'</sup> Each	128-bit co ace as des	de word from the SD-FE cribed in 155.2.4.11. Eac	C encoder is passe	d across the P	MA
					Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			
								N PRINCIPLE. entation. For comment r	acclution group (CE		~ ~

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12 Page 49 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12	P 45	L 52	# 133	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P <b>46</b>	L 12	# 260
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	ms		Law, David		Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise	
Comment Type E Com	ment Status D			Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		
The format of the text in Figure constant font for all text in figure		e place. I know in	802.3df we are using a	use the s	ymbols I <subs< td=""><td>rences to the in-phase and cript&gt;X, Q<sub t&gt;, and Q<subscript>Y<td>script&gt;X<td>ipt&gt;,</td></td></subscript></sub </td></subs<>	rences to the in-phase and cript>X, Q <sub t&gt;, and Q<subscript>Y<td>script&gt;X<td>ipt&gt;,</td></td></subscript></sub 	script>X <td>ipt&gt;,</td>	ipt>,
SuggestedRemedy Update Figure 155-8 to use a c	onstant font for all to	ext.		51, line 2 instances	8 and subclaus s where the X a	e 155.3.3, page 52, line 9) nd Y are not in subscript, c	. There, however,	seem to be a few
	onse Status W			are rever SuggestedRe				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.					-	they are referencing the sa	me signals inleas	
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 Dawe. Piers	P <b>46</b> Nvidia	L 11	# 467	I <subscri< td=""><td>pt&gt;X<td>t&gt;, Q<subscript>X</subscript> in the following location</td><td>ipt&gt;, I<subscript></subscript></td><td></td></td></subscri<>	pt>X <td>t&gt;, Q<subscript>X</subscript> in the following location</td> <td>ipt&gt;, I<subscript></subscript></td> <td></td>	t>, Q <subscript>X</subscript> in the following location	ipt>, I <subscript></subscript>	
,	ment Status D		SD-FEC decoder	Subclaus	se 155.2.5.1, pa	nge 46 line 12		
"Logic described generically in doesn't address FEC decoding	ITU-T G.709.3 Anne			Table 15 Table 15	5-3, page 55, liı 5-4, page 56, liı	ne 38 ne 35		
SuggestedRemedy						ne 5 through 16		
Write out what you need to say	, here			Proposed Re	SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				SED ACCEPT.			
PROPOSED REJECT.				C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P <b>46</b>	L 14	# 11
There is no suggested remedy	I need text to put i	n the document.		Lewis, Jon		Dell Technol	ogies	
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 11	# 466	Comment Ty		Comment Status D		bucket
Dawe, Piers	F <b>46</b> Nvidia	L 11	# 400		0 1	ace between "Annex" and "	'D"	
	ment Status D		SD-FEC decoder	SuggestedRe				
"The Hamming SD-FEC decod		decoder"	0072000000		breaking space			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Re	,	Response Status W		
What requires this? a sensitivit	y / OSNR tolerance	spec? Please re	fer to wherever the		SED ACCEPT.			
reason is given.				C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P <b>46</b>	L 16	# 468
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
PROPOSED REJECT.				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		bucket
This is part of the baseline arch	itecture adopted by	the task force		interleave	er			
·				SuggestedRe	emedy			
				Missing f	ull stop			
				Proposed Re PROPOS	<i>sponse</i> SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.2.5.1 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.3	P <b>46</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 384	C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.5.	5 P 46	L 36	# 209
Wienckows	ki, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Comment T You she	ype E ould refer to the	Comment Status D equation.			<i>Comment</i> Missin	51	Comment Status D second sentence		bucket
	e: polynomial giv	/en in 155.2.4.9. ∕ Equation (155-1).			<i>Suggested</i> Chang bits."	•	ng block 10976 x 119 bits." to	e "Each incoming	g block of 10976 x 119
Proposed R PROPC	<i>esponse</i> )SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5	P 46	L 36	# 70	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.	5 P 46	L <b>43</b>	# 210
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		SC-FEC decoder	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
		unction is described in ITU-			Missin	g a subscript in	Bi_corrected.		
The tex functior		se is insufficient to understa	nd/implement the	e SD-FEC decoder	Suggested	Remedy			
		fined only in an external do	cument) then the	ere is no need for the	Make	the i in Bi subsc	ripted.		
details i	in the first parag	raph.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedF	,				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	- ''		
	,	iled definitions from the refe st two paragraphs, retaining				00 455 0 5	- D 40	1.40	# -
Proposed R	-	Response Status W	The quoted sent	ence.	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.		L <b>46</b>	# 71
•	SED ACCEPT I	,			Ran, Adee		Cisco Comment Status D		
Since G	6.709.2 Annex A	is 25 pages, it's better to re			degrad	ird paragraph "T de for use by ne	The 400GBASE-ZR PCS prov twork equipment"		nd signaling of link
					Suggested	Remedy			
C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.5.5	P <b>46</b>	L 36	# 469	Delete	the third paragi	aph.		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Comment T	<i>ype</i> E Ig block 10	Comment Status D			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	-		
SuggestedF	•	?							
	Pesponse DSED ACCEPT I Sponse to comm								

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5	P <b>46</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 401	C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.5.5	P <b>46</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 408
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
Last paragraph of this sec MDIO mapping provided in SuggestedRemedy Add references to the MD	n the text to indicate it's st IO registers to control and Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	atus bits or coor	trol of thresholds	ratio is exist b rates a Suggested Replac The 40 receiv FEC_0 enable When the PC conse 155.4. are de increa FEC_0 155.5. FEC_0 either	st paragg s used to out refere and FEC <i>IRemedy</i> ce the la 0000GBA ed signa degrade degrade continent 2.1). If the tected by sed by S degrade the degrade fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the fect	o indicate ence 119.3 codeword st paragra SE-ZR P( l. The pro- d_SER_al eassertion egraded_S PCS cour phe SC-FE y the CRC d_SER_ad . At the end d_SER_dd graded_S	Comment Status D as that the link degrade func- this. But in the MDIO mapp 2.5.3 which specifies the thr ds. aph of 155.2.5.5 with the foll CS may optionally provide the esence of this option is indice bility_variable (see 155.4.2.7) in of the FEC_degraded_SER SER_enable is asserted, add the the number of bits correct ping SC-FEC frames of FEC C decoder determines that a C32 check (see 155.2.5.6), the When the number of bit err ctivate_threshold (see 155.5.5) and of each interval, if the nume eactivate_threshold, the FEC SER_ability or FEC_degraded the section of the se	ing (Table 155-8 esholds in terms owing: he ability to signa ated by the asse 1). When the op R_enable variabl ditional error mou sted by the SC-F C_degraded_SEF a codeword is ur he number of sy ors exceeds the 5.1), the FEC_de mber of symbol of C_degraded_SE	al degradation of the ertion of the tion is provided it is e (see 155.4.2.1). hitoring is performed by EC decoder in R_interval (see icorrectable or errors mbol errors detected is threshold set in graded_SER bit (see errors is less than R bit is cleared. If
				Bring i Bring i	in 45.2.3 in 45.2.3	6.61.1 and 6.61.3 and	add "155.2.5.5" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to the see add "155.2.5.5" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to th	list list	
				Proposed			Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

L 9	# 471
L 9	# 72
L 14	# 73
1	
<del>.</del>	
picted by the	state diagram in Figure
	L 14 I.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 Page 53 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 403	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 473
Slavick, Jeff Broadcom	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         cross reference           Reference is to 155.4 which is all the FSM blocks, call out the specific AM lock one.         Comment Status         D         Cross reference	Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 seems to be identical to Figure 155-4
SuggestedRemedy Change 155.4 to Figure 155-16	SuggestedRemedy Remove it, refer to 155-4 instead
Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 261	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 395
Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D cross reference
Suggest a direct reference to the Alignment marker lock state diagram is provided in	Figure 155-9 is identical to 155-4 and is not referenced
subclause 155.2.5.7.	
	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC       155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       P 47       L 19       # 211	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         CI 155       SC 155.2.5.7         P 47       L 19         Huber, Thomas       Nokia         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       D         OH description         Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 155-9 is an orphan
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/ 155       SC 155.2.5.7         P 47       L 19         Huber, Thomas       Nokia         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       D         OH description         Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 155-9 is an orphan       SuggestedRemedy         Reference it or remove it. See another comment.
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/ 155       SC 155.2.5.7         P 47       L 19         Huber, Thomas       Nokia         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Consider to reference of the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 155-9 is an orphan       SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/ 155       SC 155.2.5.7         P 47       L 19         Huber, Thomas       Nokia         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       D         OH description         Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 155-9 is an orphan       SuggestedRemedy         Reference it or remove it. See another comment.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W
SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/ 155       SC 155.2.5.7         P 47       L 19         Huber, Thomas       Nokia         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl       155       SC 155.2.5.7.1       P 47       L 33       # 472         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 155-9 is an orphan       SuggestedRemedy         Reference it or remove it. See another comment.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 Page 54 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 5 #	4 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 22 # 476
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Link sta upstream, downstream	remonitoring Comment Type T Comment Status D Link status monitoring framing of frame or multi-frame loss - eh?
SuggestedRemedy Rx, Tx. Compare base doc.	SuggestedRemedy In the case of a loss of 400GBASE-ZR frame sync or multi-frame sync?
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The RPF bit indicates, in the upstream direction, that" to "The RPF to its link partner, that"	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. t indicates See response to comment 212
	CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 23 # 74
Change: "are defined to indicate to the downstream 400GBASEZR PHY the to	ality" Ran, Adee Cisco
"are defined to indicate to the link partner the quality"	Comment Type T Comment Status D Link status monitoring
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 9 #	"LF ordered sets" are not defined in this draft.
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	I assume it is the "Local Fault" RS ordered set.
Comment Type E Comment Status D detailed in 155.2.5.7.2 - but this is 155.2.5.7.2	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Local Fault ordered sets (see 81.3.4)".
SuggestedRemedy	(or another ordered set if so intended)
?	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P 48 L 36 # 19
Replace 155.2.5.7.2 with 155.2.4.5.2.	Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 21 # [	2 Comment Type E Comment Status D
Huber, Thomas Nokia	This sentence appears to incorrectly imply that the CRC8 is the sole protection against
Comment Type E Comment Status D	errors in JC1-3. Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this statement somewhat.
It looks like there is an 'of' that should be 'or' - I think the intent is that if the rec	ver can't SuggestedRemedy
frame to the DSP frame, or the 400ZR frame or multiframe, it inserts LF	In conjunction with the change proposed in the previous comment, add the following
SuggestedRemedy	sentence to the end of the paragraph: "The JC1-2 field information is also protected by limits on how the JC1-2 fields can change in successive multi-frames and the coding
Change "In the case of a DSP framing of 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame the case of a DSP framing loss or 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss."	technique for indicating these changes, which combine with the CRC8 in JC3 to provide
Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b>	error correction capability for bit and burst errors impacting JC1-3."
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8 Page 55 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8	P 48	L 36	# 18	C/ 155 S	SC 155.3.1	P <b>49</b>	L 3	# 135
Borshe, Steve	Microchip Te	chnology		Nicholl, Gary		Cisco Syster	ns	
omment Type ER Co The sentence incorrectly con Specifically, it says that the C CRC8 is located in JC3 and	CRC8 is found in JC1-3	3 and the CRC4 i		155.1. lt a	everal sub-seo ppears that th	Comment Status <b>D</b> stions of 155.3.1appear to r s overview information for on for the PMA sublayer is	the PCS sublaye	
uggestedRemedy		000.		SuggestedRen			11 100.0.	
Change the last sentence of detection coverage for the in error detection coverage for the	formation in JC1-JC3 a	and the CRC4 va	lue in JC4 provides	I would pro	opose to delet n into either th	e section 155.1., and put a e PCS section (155.2) or th Response Status W		
roposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ponse Status W			PROPOSE	ED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
X 155 SC 155.2.5.10	P 48	L 53	# 477	Move scop	be of PMA from	n 155.3.1.1 to end of 155.1	.1, as modified b	y other comments.
<b>,</b>	Nvidia mment Status D		PCS decoder	·		the 400GBASE-ZR sublay		
The PCS receives decode bl	ocks			Move sum	mary of functi	ons from 155.3.1.3 to the e	end of 155.1.3 - c	ontinue list after "h)".
uggestedRemedy The PCS receive function de	codes blocks ?					rom "Physical Coding Subl sical Medium Attach Subla		Physical Coding
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			C/ 155 S	SC 155.3.1.1	P <b>49</b>	L 9	# 262
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Law, David		Hewlett Pacl	ard Enterprise	
				Comment Type	e E	Comment Status X		
				transmit ar ZR PCS (s transmitter	nd receive fun specified in 15 r and receiver	of 156.5 'PMD functional s ction, and [2] to parallel the 5.2)', suggest that ' me specified in Clause 156.' s 400GBASE-ZR PMD (spec	e text 'The PMA a edia-independent hould be changed	llows the 400GBASE- way to a coherent
				SuggestedRen	nedy			
				See comm	nent.			
				Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W		
						N PRINCIPLE. his text will move.		
				Change ' Clause 15		endent way to a coherent t	ansmitter and re	ceiver specified in

Page 56 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49	L 11	# 478	C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 4	9	L <b>23</b>	# 75
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco	D		
omment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The interfaces for the inputs of		PMA description	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> PMA description The term "symbol" seems to be overloaded in the PMA subclause, sometimes meaning bit					
uggestedRemedy The interfaces of ?				es an element AM symbol).	of the set {-3, -1, +1	, +3}, and oth	er times a pa	air of such elements
roposed Response Response Status W			This is co	onfusing.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRe	medy				
See proposed response to comment 135.			Define a it across		ogy (e.g. bits, quater	nary symbols,	, DP-16QAM	l symbols) and apply
155 SC 155.3.1.2 P 49	L 16	# 481	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status	w		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			PROPOS	, SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
omment Type E Comment Status D relationship with			Add a ne	w paragraph a	t the start of 155.3.1	:		
uggestedRemedy relationship to Also 156.1 roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			the receiv represent signal in   level sign	ve direction the tations of pairs phase, Ix or Iy als are repres	e PMA converts ana of 16QAM symbols , and a four-level sig	log sigals from . Each 16QAl nal with quadi m the set {-3, ·	n the PMD si M symbol is rature phase	two polarizations. In ublayer into digital coded as a four-level a, Qx or Qy. The four- and are represented
Change at page 49 line 16 and also at page 73 line 4	·6:		C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 4	9	L 51	# 344
"with other" to "to other"			Zimmerman,	George	CME	Consulting/Al	PL Group, C	isco, Commscope, Ma
					Comment Status ated from the text wh	_	it, by the int	PMA block diagram ervening description
			SuggestedRe	medy				
					ve the figure 155-10 g a page break befo		3.1.3 and bet	fore 155.3.2 (one way
			Proposed Re PROPOS		Response Status	w		
			Agree on	the need to ke	eep the figure before	e 155.3.2 PMA	service inte	erface.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.3.1.3	P 51	L <b>3</b>	# 479
Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
Comment T	51	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram
"m is	. the number of b	its of resolution of the DP-1	6QAM symbols	1
Suggested	•			
ls a sy	mbol for one pola	risation or both? Is this off I	by 2?	
Proposed F	•	Response Status W		
	OSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE. on of the DP-16QAM symbo	ls" to "hits of re	solution of the nair of
		ed on the X and Y polarization		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 51	L 13	# 480
Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
Comment T		Comment Status D		PMA block diagram
Align C	CFEC and FAW/T	S symbols (X) remove		·
Suggested	Remedy			
		FAW/TS symbols (X) ?		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
•	Response OSED ACCEPT II	•		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
PROP See re	OSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.		# [045
PROP See re: C/ 155	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. <i>P</i> <b>51</b>	L 26	# [345
PROP See re C/ <b>155</b> Zimmerma	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult		Cisco, Commscope, Ma
PROP See re Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment 7	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult Comment Status D	ing/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma PMA block diagram
PROP See re Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> gure is supposed fare no characteris	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. <i>P</i> <b>51</b> CME Consult <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest
PROP See re Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T Comment T This fig There a thing ir	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> gure is supposed f are no characteris in the text is 155.3.	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 160	ing/APL Group, jram, not an imp ned in the spec QAM encode ar	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers.
PROP See rea Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howey	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 800	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 160 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and
PROP See rea Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed for are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 80 ire no specific req	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 160	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and
PROP See rea Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed f are no characteris in the text is 155.3 er, most other 800 ire no specific req ich to making a fu	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P <b>51</b> CME Consult <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 166 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and
PROPO See re: Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a approa Suggested Prefera	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed f are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 800 ire no specific req inch to making a fu Remedy ably, delete the "D	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P 51 CME Consult Comment Status D to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 160 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c nctional block diagram. AC" blocks from Figure 155	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D deleting the bloc 5-10 (going strai	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and the seems the right ght to the output is fine)
PROPO See re: Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a approa Suggested Prefera Alterna	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed f are no characteris in the text is 155.3 er, most other 800 ire no specific req ich to making a fu Remedy ably, delete the "D titvely, Relabel "10	N PRINCIPLE. ent 267. P 51 CME Consult Comment Status D to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 160 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c nctional block diagram. AC" blocks from Figure 155 60AM Encoder and Signal I	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D deleting the bloc 5-10 (going strai	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and the seems the right ght to the output is fine)
PROPO See res Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a approa Suggested Prefera Alterna since y	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed if are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 80 ire no specific req icch to making a fu Remedy ably, delete the "D tively, Relabel "10 you show I&Q path	N PRINCIPLE. P 51 CME Consult Comment Status D to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 166 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c nctional block diagram. PAC" blocks from Figure 155 60AM Encoder and Signal I ns)	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D deleting the bloc 5-10 (going strai	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and the seems the right ght to the output is fine)
PROPO See res Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing in Howev there a approa Suggested Prefera Alterna since y Proposed F	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed if are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 80 ire no specific req icch to making a fu Remedy ably, delete the "D tively, Relabel "10 you show I&Q path	N PRINCIPLE. P 51 CME Consult Comment Status D to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 166 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c nctional block diagram. AC" blocks from Figure 155 60QAM Encoder and Signal I ns) Response Status W	ing/APL Group, gram, not an imp ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D deleting the bloc 5-10 (going strai	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and the seems the right ght to the output is fine)
PROPO See rev Cl 155 Zimmerma Comment T This fig There a thing ir Howev there a approa Suggested Prefera Alterna since y Proposed H PROPO Delete	OSED ACCEPT II sponse to comme SC 155.3.1.3 in, George Type TR gure is supposed f are no characteris in the text is 155.3. er, most other 80 ire no specific req ich to making a fu Remedy ably, delete the "D tively, Relabel "11 rou show I&Q path Response OSED ACCEPT II the 4 blocks labe	N PRINCIPLE. P 51 CME Consult Comment Status D to be a functional block diag stics for the DAC blocks defi 3.4 which are called the 166 2.3 PHY clauses leave out s uirements in 155.3.3.4, so c nctional block diagram. AC" blocks from Figure 155 60QAM Encoder and Signal I ns) Response Status W	ing/APL Group, ing/APL Group, ined in the spec QAM encode ar signal drivers, D deleting the bloc 5-10 (going strai Driver" (probabl s under Pilot ins	Cisco, Commscope, Ma <i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and eks seems the right ght to the output is fine) y drawing as 2 blocks

	C/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 50	D L 1	# 263
	Law, Davi	d	Hewle	ett Packard Enterpris	e
k diagram air of	sent a PMA:I Furthe symbo 155.3. to PM FEC o levels PMA f	ause 155.2.4.11 ' is 8-bit symbols to S_UNITDATA_0. er, subclause 155 ols are digitized to 3.5) and provided A:IS_UNITDATA lecoder is a soft of for each of the si functional block d	o the 400GBASE-ZR .request to PMA:IS_L 5.2.5.1 'Hamming SD- b an m-bit resolution I d to the PCS receive _m-1.indication inter- decision decoder and ignals XI, XQ, YI, and	ncoder' says that 'Th PMA sublayer on the JNITDATA_7.reques FEC decoder' says ' by the PMA sublayer direction by PMA:IS sublayer signals.' an so requires a higher I YQ.'. Finally, Figure plementation depend	PMA service interface e 128-bit code words are t inter-sublayer signals.'. The incoming DP-16QAM receive direction (see _UNITDATA_0.indication d that 'The Hamming SD- resolution than 2 bits / 4 e 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR dent and is the number of
k diagram	and la into m the PI symbo case o repres Y pola	ne numbers that I lanes, it appears MA operates as a ol during each oper er' that says ' 40 of the transmit pa senting the 4 leve arizations. In the o	enable the original da s the 400GBASE-ZR in n-bit synchronous of eration. This seems to 00GBASE-ZR frames th, the DP-16QAM sy ls for each of the in-p	ata to be restored or PMA service interface data path, transferrin to be confirmed by su are not mapped to ymbols are encoded hase and quadrature ath, the DP-16QAM s	e components of the X and symbols are encoded as p
cope, Ma k diagram agram. losest	throug doesn the 40 primiti synch	h reference to the 't support the fea 0GBASE-ZR PM ve, with a tx_sym ronous data path	e lane-based PMA se tures of a lane-based	ervice interface defin service interface. B e defined using a sin arameter respective	ased on this, suggest that gle .request and .indicate
a. e, and ght		fy the 400GBASE	E-ZR PMA as a single bol parameter respec		te primitive, with a
it is fine)			ances of 'PMA:IS_UN lest' in subclause 155		

- Change subclause 155.1.4.2 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) service interface' to read as follows:

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface provided by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 58 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.3.2	9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is defined in 155.3.2.

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' to read:

The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit encoded DP-16QAM symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer using sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.request messages.

- Change the text '... by PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' to read '... by the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication primitive.' in subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder'.

- Change subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface', adding new subclauses 155.3.2.1 through 155.3.2.2.3, to read:

#### 155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The inter-sublayer 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The inter-sublayer service interface primitives are defined as follows:

PMA\_UNITDATA.request PMA\_UNITDATA.indication PMA\_SIGNAL.indication

The PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA. The PMA\_UNITDATA.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS. The PMA\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of signal status from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.1 PMA\_UNITDATA.request

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the tx\_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA.

155.3.2.1.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA\_UNITDATA.request (tx\_symbol)

During transmission, the PMA\_UNITDATA.request simultaneously conveys 8 bits of a 128bit code word generated by the SD-FEC encoder (see 155.2.4.11) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the PMA. The encoding used for the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarization is defined in subclause 155.3.3.1.

155.3.2.1.2 When generated

The PCS generates sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.request messages for each 128-bit code word from the PCS SD-FEC encoder. The messages convey the least significant octet C<7:0> first, most significant octet C<127:120> last, with code word bits C<n+7:n> mapped to tx\_symbol<7:0>. The nominal rate of PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.1.3 Effect of receipt

The PMA continuously forms the tx\_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages into 128-bit code words that are passed to the PMA Gray mapping and polarization distribution function (see 155.3.3.1).

155.3.2.2 PMA UNITDATA.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the rx\_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.2.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA\_UNITDATA.indication (rx\_symbol)

During reception, the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication simultaneously conveys m bits of an nbit code word generated by the symbol de-interleaving function (see 155.3.3.8) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS where m is implementation dependent, representing the number of bits of the encoded DP-16QAM symbol, and n = 16 x m.

155.3.2.2.2 When generated

The PMA generates sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages for each n-bit code word generated by the PMA symbol de-interleaving function. The messages convey the least significant m bits of the n-bit code word first. The nominal rate of PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS continuously forms the rx\_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages into n-bit code words that are passed to the PCS Hamming SD-FEC decoder function (see 155.2.5.1).

155.3.2.3 PMA\_SIGNAL.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of the status of the PMA receive process in the SIGNAL\_OK parameter from 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 59 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

## 155.3.2.3.2 When generated

The PMA generates a PMA\_SIGNAL.indication message whenever there is change in the value of the SIGNAL\_OK parameter (see 155.3.3.9).

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS Synchronization process monitors the PMA\_SIGNAL.indication primitive for a change in the SIGNAL\_OK parameter (see 155.2.1).

- Move the last paragraph of the current subclause to a new subclause 155.3.3.9 titled 'Signal Indication Logic (SIL)'.

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.8 'Polarization combining and symbol deinterleaving' to read:

The sixteen encoded DP-16QAM symbols are transferred to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer as m-bit DP-16QAM symbols using sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages.

- Change 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication' to read ' PMA\_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-2 'Functional block diagram'.

- Change 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication' to read ' PMA\_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155	SC 15	5.3.2		P <b>50</b>	L 3	# 264
Law, Davi	d		н	ewlett P	ackard Enterprise	
	21	155.3.2 c			rimitives, a cross re	eference to where they
Suggestee	dRemedy					
Sugge to rea	∍st that 'Th d 'The 400	e 400GBA GBASE-Z	ASE-ZR PMA R PMA servic	service i e interfa	nterface is provide ice (see 155.1.4.2)	d' should be changed is provided'.
Proposed	Response	F	Response Stat	us W		
			PRINCIPLE. service interfa	ace (see	155.1.4.2) is provi	ded'
C/ <b>155</b>	SC 15	5.3.2		P 50	L 11	# 76
Ran, Ade	Э		С	isco		
Comment	Туре 1	r i	Comment Sta	tus <b>D</b>		PMA service interfac
			d for i = 0 to 7, ed digitized DF			e m is the number of bit
			the nominal signal signal here. Bd in the rece			y 57.78 Gb/s in the
					so with this definition a quarter of the tra	on, the rate of the Insmit direction bit rate.
Altern	atively m s	should be	the number of	bits of r	esolution per bit of	information.
chang	jed e.g. if t	he tx_sym		ed as G	clear in this subclau ray-coded PAM4 sy ments).	
Suggestee	dRemedy					
			necessary such the meaning.		he meaning of tx_s	ymbol and rx_symbol is
Proposed	Response	F	Response Stat	us W		
	OSED AC	CEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
FILOF		aaraab wit	h.			
	ce the para	ayiapii wi				

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 60 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

Daws, Piras       Nuklia       PMA service interface         Comment Type       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         SuggestedRemedy       Social Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         SuggestedRemedy       Social Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         SuggestedRemedy       Class Status       W         Nichol, Gary       Class O Status       D         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       W         Mithol, Gary       Class O Status       M       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Social the resonance is a construction of the status       D       PAA service interface         With is the approximate sign used in the turn* (512/5111) x (5488/5140) x (6488/548) x (1281119) - constructional black diagram that is unitabled.       SuggestedRemedy         This is more of a question of clarification ?       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       SuggestedRemedy         This is more of a question of clarification ?       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Remove the +/- 20 ppm in intwo placesc	C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 482	C/ 155 SC 155.	3.2 <i>P</i> 51	L 18	# 266
<ul> <li>*-60.212875 Gb/s: - too vague, signaling rate should be in GBd</li> <li>Suggestelfamedy</li> <li>Specify the rate without approximation</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>See the response to 138.</li> <li>Cr 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 136</li> <li>Cr 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 136</li> <li>Cr 157 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 136</li> <li>Cr 158 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 136</li> <li>Cr 159 T Connent Status D PMA service interface</li> <li>Why is the approximate sign used in the term * (S12/S111) x (S488/S140) x (S488/S48) x</li> <li>I (28119) × C0 2012875 Gb/s : 20 ppm *: Inst the noninal signaling rate (row measure)?</li> <li>I and the moninal signaling rate?</li> <li>Suggestelfamedy</li> <li>This is more of a question of clarification ?</li> <li>Proposed Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.</li> <li>Subclause IS 2, 29 /S 18, 4375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 58, 84375 GB/, where we have an accurate optical line rate of 58, 84375 GB/, where we have an accurate optical line rate of 59, 84375 GB/, where we have a accurate optical line rate of 59,</li></ul>	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Law, David	Hewlet	tt Packard Enterprise	
SuggestedRemedy         Specify the rate without approximation         Proposed Response Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See the response to 138.         C/1 155 SC 165.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [36         Dammert Status D         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See the response to 138.         C/1 157 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [36         C/1 157 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 19 # [15]         Proposed Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Comment Status D         (1217) 10 x > 50 212875 Gb/s 220 ppm / isn't the nominal signalling rate known exactly?         (135 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 19 # [15]         This is more of a question of clarification ?         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Remove the r4- 20 ppm in two places.         Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 Gb/s, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 Gb/s After that it's all zeroes.         C/1 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 205         C/1 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 205         Subclause 155.3 2 say sende sight parallel bit streams to the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 Gb/s + 720 pprot (-57.78 Gb/s)' should read50.21287	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMA service interface	Comment Type E	Comment Status	D	
Suggestad/Remedy Specify the rate without approximation Proposed Response Neasponse Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See the response to 13. C1 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 138 Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA service interface to the approximate sign used in the term " (512/511) x (5485/5140) x (5488/5485) x (128/119) x -50.212875 Gbis '20 ppm', lish the nominal signaling rate known exactly? 1 don't remement Status D PMA service interface Suggestad/Remedy This is more of a question of clarification ? Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places. Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 703 1 GBd. After that its all zeross. C1 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Comment Type T Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places. Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 703 1 GBd. After that its all zeross. C1 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Comment Type T Comment Status D P AMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Comment Type T Comment Status D P AMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Comment Type T Comment Status D P AMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 says'sends elight parallel bit streams to the PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [285 Subclause 155.3.2 says'sends elight parallel bit streams to the PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 Says'sends elight parallel bit streams to the PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 Says'sends elight para	* ~50.212875 Gb/s: ~ too	vague, signaling rate sho	ould be in GBd		There is a rectang	le to the right of the 'Carrie	r phase recovery', 'PM	D equalizer' and
Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See the response to 13.         C/ 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # [36]         Sinder Response to 13.       Cisco Systems       Cisco Systems       Cisco Systems         C/ 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # [36]         Comment Type       Comment Status D       PMA service interface         Yet the approximate's sign used in other IEEE standards when refering to the nominal signaling rate known exactly?       Ci 155       SC 155.3.2       P 51       L 19       # [16]         Suggested/Renedy       This is more of a question of clarification ?       Comment Type E       Comment Status D       Empty box without any fuction         Suggested/Renedy       This is more of a question of clarification ?       Proposed Response       Response Status W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.       Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.       PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface rates as (28/2		oproximation			10 '400GBASE-ZF			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See the response to 13.6. 27 165 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # [36 Comment Type T Comment Status D PAA service interface Why is the approximate sign used in the term ** (512/511) x (5485/5140) x (5488/5485) x (128/119) x -50.212875 Gbs 20 pm*. In the normal signaling rate known exactly 1 dort remember seeing the *approximate 'sign used in other IEEE standards when refering to the normial signaling rate known exactly This is more of a question of clarification ? ************************************								
Set the response to 130.         C/1 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 126         Nicholl, Gary       Cisco Systems       PMA service interface       See response to comment 15.         Comment Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface       For Sol 220 pm <sup>-1</sup> . Isn't the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is ign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signalling rate is the unit of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface subclause 155.32.2 P 50       L 16       PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.         C/1 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       PMA service	, , .	•				0		
Cl 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 136         Nicholl, Gary       Cisco Systems       Cisco Systems       Cisco Systems       See response to comment 15.         Comment Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface       Bruckman, Leon       Hauwei         Counter type T       Comment Status V       P 50       L 16       # 15         SuggestedRemedy       This is more of a question of clarification ?       Proposed Response       Response Status W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Remove the +1-20 ppm in two places.       Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (2029) × 59.84375 – 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zerces.       P 50       L 16       # 265         Comment Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface rates as (2029) × 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (2029) × 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (2029) × 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface       Subclause 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 265         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise       Hewlett Packard Enterprise       E       Comment Status D       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Subclause 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 265       E       E       E       E       E       E	See the response to 136.					•	W	
comment Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface         Why is the approximate sign used in the term " (512/511) x (548/548/545) x       (548/548/545) x       (748/14) x (548/548/545) x         (128/14) x 5-00 12875 Cb5 v20 ppm (: Is that he nominal signaling rate?       Bruckman, Loon       Huawei         Suggested/Remedy       This is more of a question of clarification ?       Bruckman, Loon       Huawei         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.       Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 CBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zerces.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         C/155       S C 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 265         Law, David       Hewtet Packard Enterprise       PMA service interface       Suggested/Remedy         Suggested/Remed /       T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface         Sub columnent Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface         Suggested/Remedy       Suggested/Remedy       Suggested/Remedy         Suggested/Remedy       PMA service interface       Suggested/Remedy         Suggested/Remedy       Proposed 75.32 Says " sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).	C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 136				
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         Why is the approximate sign used in the term * (512/511) x (5485/5140) x (5488/545) x       Bruckman, Leon       Huawei         (128/119) x -50.212875 Gb/s 720 ppm *. Isn't the nominal signaling rate?       E       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         SuggestedRemedy       This is more of a question of clarification ?       Bruckman, Leon       Huawei       Comment Status       D         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.       Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.       PAM service interface       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         Subclause 155.32       P 50       L 16       265         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Hewlett Packard Enterprise       PMA service interface       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy <td>Nicholl, Gary</td> <td>Cisco Syster</td> <td>ns</td> <td></td> <td>C/ 155 SC 155.</td> <td>3.2 <i>P</i> 51</td> <td>L 19</td> <td># 15</td>	Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	ns		C/ 155 SC 155.	3.2 <i>P</i> 51	L 19	# 15
Why is the approximate sign used in the term ' (512511)x (5485/5140) x (5485/5140)	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PMA service interface	Bruckman, Leon	Huawe	ai	
Suggested/Remedy       Suggested/Remedy         This is more of a question of clarification ?       Remove empty fbox from figure 155-10         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.       Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.       PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C/ 155       SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # [265]         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of, Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy       Suggest Hat', ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s), 'should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s), 'should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s /-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),'should read '.	(128/119) x ~50.212875 G I don't remember seeing t	b/s ?20 ppm" . Isn't the n he "approximate" sign use	ominal signallin	ng rate known exactly ?	Comment Type E Empty box without	Comment Status		
This is more of a question of clarification ? Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places. Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes. C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 265 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd) (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	5					6 6 455 40		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places. Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes. C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 265 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph). Suggest dRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd). (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		of clarification ?				0		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.         Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.         Cl 155 SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 265         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type T       Comment Status D       PMA service interface         Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).         SuggestedRemedy       Suggest that' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 p						,	W	
Remove the +/- 20 ppm in two places.         Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.         C/ 155 SC 155.3.2       P 50       L 16       # 265         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type T       Common Status D       PMA service interface         Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).       SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s),' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (Norther the is a plus-minus symbol).       Proposed Response         Proposed Response       Response Status W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		•			PROPOSED ACC	EPI.		
Since we have an accurate optical line rate of 59.84375 GBd, we can express the PMA service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.760 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes.								
service interface rates as (28/29) x 59.84375 = 57.780 172 413 793 1 GBd. After that it's all zeroes. Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 265 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of', Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Remove the +/- 20 ppm in	two places.						
Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       PMA service interface         Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).       SuggestedRemedy         Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GB/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GB/s).' shoul	service interface rates as							
Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA service interface Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 265				
Subclause 155.3.2 says ' sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).  SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol).  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	₋aw, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise					
signaling rate of'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph). SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		PMA service interface				
Suggest that ' ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read ' ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	signaling rate of'. Since	this is a signalling rate, the						
GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		、	,					
	Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤						
			olution group (C	RG) consideration.				
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 155 Page 61 of 1							0, 1	Page 61 of 12

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 61 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 28	# 267	C/ 155	SC 15	5.3.2	P 51	L 31	# 12
aw, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Lewis, Jon	1		Dell Technolo	gies	
omment T	ype T	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram	Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		
		says that 'All of the coherer			Text a	nd arrow ir	ntersec	et.		
		d for the Tx signal. This is b g which signal based on the			Suggested	Remedy				
seems t	that the in-phas	e and quadrature-phase co	mponents of the X	and Y polarizations	Remov	ve intersec	tion of	text and arrow to make the fi	gure more legi	ible.
listed in	Table 155-7.	eceive PMD service interfa			Proposed I PROP	Response OSED AC	CEPT.	Response Status W		
PMA ree	ceive path attai	.3.3.7 'FAW, TS, and PS sy ns alignment lock to the 22-	symbol FAW that	is transmitted on each	C/ 155	SC 15	5.3.2	P 51	L 31	# 385
		e-phase lanes.' and igned to the super-	Wienckow	ski, Natali	е	General Motor	S			
		emoved'. As a result,	Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D				
it seems	lt's har	d to see th	ne text	with the line through it.						
•		s after the FAW lock function	on.		Suggested	Remedy				
uggestedRemedy [1] Suggest that the labels 'IX', 'QX', 'IY' and 'QY' be removed from below the 'ADC' block					Add a	box aroun	d "400	GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer" so	o the line is "be	ehind" it.
	e 155-10.			DEIOW THE ADC DIOCK	Proposed I	Response		Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
	neat that the Dil	at romaval (X) Dilat romava	(V) block be rom	avad from Figure 155	PROP	OSED AC	CEPT.			
[2] Sugę 10.	Jest mat the Fir	ot removal (X) Pilot remova		oved from Figure 155-	C/ 155	SC 15	5.3.2	P 51	L <b>48</b>	# 268
[3] Sug	gest that the lab	el 'Align CFEC and FAW/T	S symbols (X) ren	nove' be changed to	Law, David	t		Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise	
read:	-	0	,	Ũ	Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		
FAW ali	ignment e FAW, PS, TS	symbols			Suggest that ' through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports' should read ' through a signal indication logic (SIL) function that reports'.					
		2			Suggested	Remedy				
[4] Sugo read:	gest that the lab	el 'Align CFEC and FAW/T	S symbols (Y) ren	nove' be changed to	See co	omment.				
reau.					Proposed I	Response		Response Status W		
	ignment e FAW, PS, TS	symbols			PROP	OSED AC	CEPT.			
Remove		Response Status W								
Remove Proposed R	esponse									

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2

Cl 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L <b>49</b>	# 77
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		PMD:IS_SIGNAL

Signal health should not be "based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer" because this indication is always OK.

### SuggestedRemedy

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL indication as input to the SIL.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL indication completely.

C/ 155 SC	C 155.3.2	P 51	L <b>49</b>	# 269
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram

Comment Status D Comment Type TR

Subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface' savs that 'The PMA:IS SIGNAL indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.' however subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' and that 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).'. In addition, subclause 155.2.1 says 'The PCS Synchronization process continually monitors PMA:IS SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL OK). When SIGNAL OK indicates OK, then the PCS synchronization process accepts the streams of symbols via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive.'.

Based on the signal indication logic (SIL) contained in the PMA sublayer described in subclause 155.3.2, and subclause 155.2.1 describing only the use of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter in the PCS sublayer, it doesn't seem correct to say in subclause 156.5.4 that a valid signal is determined only by the PCS sublayer. And based on subclause 156.5.4 setting the SIGNAL DETECT parameter of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication to a fixed 'OK' value, it doesn't seem correct to say that the SIL will report signal health based on the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication primitive since it is fixed.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD: IS SIGNAL indication primitive is disconnected from the SIL box in figure 155-10 and is shown as not used by the PMA sublayer.

[2] In subclause 155.3.2 the text '... reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal ...' be changed to read '... reports signal health based on data being processed successfully by the signal ...'.

[3] In subclause 156.5.4 the text 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).' should be changed to read 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the SIL function in the PMA (see 155.3.2).'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 63 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 53	# 233	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	P <b>52</b>	L 5	# 234
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David		Hewlett	Packard Enterprise	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status	)	PMA description
SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' the SIG	neter that is passed by the P GNAL_OK primitive has the v rameter has the value FAIL.'.	alue FAIL.' should	·	optiona There,	lly to provide t however, does	unctions within the PMA' est signals and loop-bac n't appear to be any sub PMA) sublayer, type 400	k.'. clauses under subcla	use 155.3 'Physical
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Review supporting pres	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CR0	G) consideration.		,	defining test signals and 55.3.3.	l loop back within the	PMA or remove this
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3	P <b>52</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 213	Proposed F	,	Response Status V	v	
Huber, Thomas	Nokia					T IN PRINCIPLE. esentation. For commer	nt resolution aroun (CE	RG) consideration
Comment Type E Awkward grammar in th	Comment Status D			C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 5	# 214
SuggestedRemedy				Huber, Tho	mas	Nokia		
Change ". adapt betwe	en the PCS layer digital syml PCS layer digital signals to a			<i>Comment 7</i> In the r	51	Comment Status <b>E</b> oopback is not hyphenate	-	bucke
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			<i>Suggestedl</i> Change	Re <i>medy</i> e loop-back to	loopback		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3	P 52	L <b>5</b>	# 483	Proposed F PROPO	esponse SED ACCEP	Response Status <b>V</b> T.	v	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		DMA description					
Comment Type T I don't see any loopbac	Comment Status <b>D</b> k here. The only test signal	comes from the P	PMA description CS.					
SuggestedRemedy Delete "and optionally t	o provide test signals and loo	op-back"						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3

C/ 155 S	SC 155.3.3	P <b>52</b>	L 9	# 235	C/ 155	SC 1	55.3.3.1	P <b>52</b>	L 20	# 79
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
QX, IY, or Subclause to the in-p	e 155.3.3 'Fun QY,', refer e 155.3.3.1 'G hase (I) comp nts' of a DP-1	Comment Status <b>D</b> actions within the PMA' says ' encing IX, QX, IY, and QY as ray mapping and polarization bonent of the X-polarization o 6QAM symbol.	'elements' of a distribution' say	DP-16QAM symbol. s '- (c8i, c8i+1) maps	Suggestea Per co Proposed	coded si <i>Remedy</i> mment	e	Comment Status D buld be "Gray-coded symbols Response Status W	5 <sup>°</sup> .	bucket
00		ment' or 'component' be used DP-16QAM symbol.	consistently to o	describe IX, QX, IY,	C/ 155	SC 1	55.3.3.1	P 52	L 21	# 484
Proposed Res PROPOSI Change: - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i+2, c - (c8i+4, c - (c8i+6, c to: - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i+2, c - (c8i+4, c	ED ACCEPT +1) maps to th +3) maps to 8i+3) maps to 8i+5) maps to 8i+7) maps to th 1) maps to th 8i+3) maps to 8i+5) maps to	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. The in-phase (I) component of the quadrature-phase (Q) co the in-phase (I) component to the quadrature-phase (Q) co the lx element of si the Qx element of si the ly element of si the Qy element of si	omponent of the of the Y-polarization	X-polarization of si tion of si	Dawe, Pie Comment This sa Suggested Remov Proposed PROP	rs Type ays the F <i>Remedy</i> ve lines 2 Respons OSED A	TR PMA does 20-25, add e	Nvidia Comment Status D Gray de-mapping then it say apprpriate material to PCS Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.	rs it doesn't th	PMA description
Ran, Adee Comment Typ It is not clo process - SuggestedRen Consider of	ear how the "( the subseque <i>medy</i> defining the G	P 52 Cisco Comment Status D Gray-coded symbol" defined I nt DP-16QAM mapping is de Gray code mapping as a funct	fined in terms of ion from bit-pairs	bits, not symbols. s to bit-pairs, instead						

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the last sentence of 155.3.3.1 to the beginning, and remove the next two paragraphs.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 Page 65 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155

SC 155.3.3.1

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P <b>52</b>	L 27	#	80
Ran, Adee		Cisco			
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status	D		Gray mapping

"Note that the receive process mapping of Gray-coded signals is applicable only after the SD-FEC decoder process in the 400GBASE-ZR PCS"

This means that the Gray de-mapping function is not part of the PMA but part of the PCS; indeed, the service interface of the PMA is based on ADC samples, not bits, and the Gray de-mapping does not appear in Figure 155-10, because it cannot be performed until SD-FEC decoding (in the PCS) is completed.

Similarly, the Gray mapping in the Tx direction logically belongs in the PCS, because its output is Gray-coded symbols.

## SuggestedRemedy

Possibly, move the content of the Gray mapping function to the PCS (retaining the polarization distribution in the PMA).

Or find another way to cleanly separate these functions.

# Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove "gray mapping" from Figure 155-10. Change the title of 155.3.1 to Polarization distribution.

Move the gray mapping description in the first 3 paragraphs of 155.3.3.1 to new subclauses in 155.2.4 (transmit) and 155.2.5 (receive).

0/155	30 155.3.3.1	P 5	02	L 20	# 342
Zimmerman,	George	CME	Consulting/	APL Group	, Cisco, Commscope, I
digital cor	eived symbol sig nverters (ADC)	in the PMA sublay	into more tha er and the nu	an 4 discret umber of bi	Gray mapping and pol of te levels by the analog ts for each signal is m/- ate for an interoperabilit
standard. suggested the draft (	If some descr d in the remedy (I searched). If	iption is needed, of . Further, it appea it is used somewh	ne could rew ars that the "r ere, please p	rite this mo m/4 bits" is provide a po	
SuggestedRe	emedy				
Alternativ sampled a	ely, change the and quantized i	dicated sentence. indicated sentenc in the PMA sublaye mewhere, provide	er."	ne received	l symbol signals are
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status	w		
	ED ACCEPT I				
Delete the	e sentence star	ting "The received	symbol sign	als are"	
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P 5	52	L 32	# 236
Law, David		Hew	lett Packard	Enterprise	
Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status	D		
(e.g., pag used inter example, interleave says ' th	e 52, line 44) a rchangeably in subclause 155 ed' yet the fol	nd 'Gray mapped' the subclauses of .3.3.2 Symbol inter lowing subclause ray mapped, interle	symbols (e.g 155.3.3 'Fun leaving' says 155.3.3.3 'Ins	i., page 54, ctions withi s 'The DP-1 sert FAW, 1	, 'Gray-coded signals' , line 29) seem to be in the PMA'. For 16QAM symbols are tin FS and PS symbols' t, however, appears the
SuggestedRe	medy				
Suggest t	that a consisten	nt terminology shou	Id be used fo	or DP-16Q/	AM symbols.
Proposed Res PROPOS	s <i>ponse</i> ED ACCEPT II	<i>Response Status</i> N PRINCIPLE.	w		
Need a co	ontribution with	proposed terminol	ogy.		

P 52

L 28

# 342

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P 52	L 32	# 81
Ran, Ade	е	Cisco		
	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> rd from the SD-FEC encoder mbols (S)"	c = [c0, c1,.,c12	Symbol distribution 27], is mapped to
		be aligned with the SD-FEC		
interfa	0	ction in terms of 128-bit code		
lf not, arbitra		at the 128-bit blocks start poir	nt within the SD	-FEC codeword is

A similar question holds for the Rx direction (based on the text in 155.3.3.8) - is the alignment of SD-FEC defined as a PMA function or a PCS function?

### SuggestedRemedy

From 155.3.3.2 it seems that alignment is necessary, so the service interface should be defined with 128-element vectors (instead of lanes), and perhaps use tx\_word instead of tx\_symbol and rx\_word instead of rx\_symbol.

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Good idea - but this would require a contribution to work out the details of what to change in the draft.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P <b>52</b>	L 32	# 237
--------	--------------	-------------	------	-------

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

## Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The terms '128-bit code word' (e.g., page 52, line 32), 'FEC codeword' (e.g., page 52, line 44), SD-FEC codewords (e.g., page 53, line 36), 'Hamming code words' (e.g., page 52, line 53), and just 'code word' (page 53, line 32) seem to be used interchangeably to describe the 128-bit code word that is passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8

## SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the term 'SD-FEC codeword' be used consistently in subclause 155.3.3 to describe the 128-bit code word passed across the PMA service interface.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC	155.3.3.2	P 52	L <b>53</b>	# 238
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	T Com	ment Status D		PMA description

Doesn't the symbol interleaving operate on groups of sixteen DP-16QAM symbols, mapped from the 128-bit SD-FEC codewords passed across the PMA service interface, as described in subclause 155.3.3.1.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of symbols from Hamming code words ...' be changed to read 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of groups of sixteen symbols mapped from SD-FEC codewords ...'.

## Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.2	P 5	2 L 5	4	# 239
Law, David		Hewle	ett Packard Ente	rprise	
Comment Ty	/ре Т	Comment Status	D		PMA description

On page 52, line 54, the symbol number is in normal font whereas it is in subscript font in the remainder of subclause 155.3.3.2.

# SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that, based on page 52, line 54, the symbol number should be in normal rather than subscript font in the rest of the subclause to make it clear the two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word. Alternatively, perhaps it should be stated that two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word.

# Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Page 67 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2	2 P 53	L 33	# 240	C/ 155	30 15	5.3.3.3		P <b>54</b>	L 27	# 241
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David				Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMA description	Comment 7	ype <b>T</b>	R	Comme	ent Status D		DSP fram
array of DP-16QAM sy	Gray mapping and polarizati mbols (page 52, line 35). As ]' (page 52, line 54) a total	ymbols from eight	There is no specification of how the output from PAM symbol interleaving function is mapped into the payload fields of the sub-frame of a super-frame.							
	d by Figure 155-11 'Eight-way			Suggested	-					
shows symbols S0,0 t	hrough S7,15 which is 128 sy	mbols.							e PAM symbol ir of a super-frame.	terleaving function is
SuggestedRemedy									or a super-manne.	
Suggest the text 'Whe symbol buffer is full	n the 64-symbol buffer is full .	' be changed to	read 'When the 128-	Proposed F PROPO			IN PRINC	se Status W IPLE.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W									ls m<0:175 615> in the
PROPOSED ACCEPT								er, as the comm and the payload		is no information on
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.	2 P 53	L 34	# 215	Паррії	y betwee		iteneavei	and the payload	i symbols.	
Huber, Thomas	Nokia								Is from the interle	eaver are mapped directly
				to the f	rst 128 p	ositions	s of the pa	yload symbols:		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		symbol interleaving							
The intended interleav the second symbol, et	Comment Status <b>D</b> ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11)	ent with that - S(			ver outpu b: m<0:12		,0 S1,0 :	S6,15 S7,15		
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0,	ing is that first symbol of each	ent with that - S(	s is transmitted, then	maps to	o: m<0:12	27>.			n<128:255>, etc	
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist	ent with that - S(	s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne	o: m<0:12	27>. erleave			n<128:255>, etc	
The intended interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11).	ent with that - S(	s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne With ec	b: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice	27>. erleave ense.	er output s	ymbols map to r		
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	ent with that - S(	s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte	27>. erleave ense.	er output s	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b>	L 31	# 242
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	ent with that - S( <sup>^</sup>	is is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow	maps to The ne With ec	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC <b>15</b> 8	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3	er output s	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b>		# 242
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> 2 <i>P</i> <b>54</b>	ent with that - S(	s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclai	o: m<0:12 tt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 ype T use 155.3	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3	comme Comme	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b> Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr	<i>L</i> <b>31</b> ckard Enterprise nbols' however s	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> 2 <i>P</i> <b>54</b> Nokia	ent with that - S( <sup>*</sup>	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined	o: m<0:12 tt 128 inte litorial lice SC 155 ype T use 155.3 as a set	27>. erleave ense. <b>5.3.3.3</b>	Comme Sert FAW 888 symb	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b> Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of th	<i>L</i> <b>31</b> ackard Enterprise mbols' however s be X and Y polari	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is zations including'.
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 3.3.3 'In of 181 e super-	Comme sert FAW 888 symb	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b> Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of th each of the X a	<i>L</i> 31 ackard Enterprise mbols' however s the X and Y polari nd Y polarization	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> 2 <i>P</i> <b>54</b> Nokia	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a be 16Q	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 3.3.3 'In of 181 e super-	Comme sert FAW 888 symb	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b> Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of th	<i>L</i> 31 ackard Enterprise mbols' however s the X and Y polari nd Y polarization	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is zations including'.
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li 155-11	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ <b>155</b> Law, David Comment 7 Subcla defined Since a be 16Q Suggested	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 SC 155 use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 5.3.3.3 3.3.3 'In of 181 e super- pols rath	Comme sert FAW 888 symb -frame for her than D	ymbols map to r P <b>54</b> Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of th each of the X a P-16QAM symb	<i>L</i> 31 Inckard Enterprise Inbols' however s The X and Y polari and Y polarization pols.	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is zations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclai defined Since a be 16Q Suggested/ Suggested/ Suggest	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy t that the polariza	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 3.3.3 'In of 181 e super- pols rath text 'A tions in	Comme sert FAW 888 symb -frame for her than D super-fra	ymbols map to r <i>P</i> 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of the each of the X a IP-16QAM symbol me is defined as 75 616 payload	<i>L</i> 31 ackard Enterprise mbols' however s a X and Y polari nd Y polarization pols. s a set of 181 88 symbols and 627	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is zations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to 8 symbols in each of the 2 additional symbols.' be
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li 155-11 SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D ne missing between the secon Response Status W	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a be 16Q Suggested/ Sugges	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy t that the y polariza d to read	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 3.3.3 'In of 181 e super- bols rath text 'A tions in 'A super rization	Comme sert FAW 888 symb -frame for her than D super-fra cluding 1 er-frame is	ymbols map to r <i>P</i> 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status <b>D</b> , TS and PS syr ols in each of th each of the X a <i>P</i> -16QAM symbol me is defined as 75 616 payload as s defined as a so	<i>L</i> 31 ackard Enterprise mbols' however s re X and Y polari nd Y polarization pols. s a set of 181 88 symbols and 627 et of 181 888 160	# 242 DSP fram ays 'A super-frame is zations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to 8 symbols in each of the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 155 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 155.3.3.3

Page 68 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

	es . ". This is unusual termino nes (and not -sub-frames). Tl	olgy as a super-fi	rame (or mutli-frame)		ents of the		Comment Status D	kard Enterprise	DSP fram
The sentence states " Ea made up of 49 sub-frame is usually made of n fram "super-frame" is used ins	ach super-frame is es . ". This is unusual termino nes (and not -sub-frames). Tl		rame (or mutli-frame)	The conte	ents of the			D11E and out fr	20
made up of 49 sub-frame is usually made of n fram "super-frame" is used ins	es . ". This is unusual termino nes (and not -sub-frames). Tl		rame (or mutli-frame)			sub-fr	rame () between D1 and I	D11E and aub fr	
•	stead of the more usual "mu	his also begs the Iti-frame"				ot defi	ined in Figure 155-12.		ame 1 and 48 between
		ill-frame		P115 is 3	1. A sub-fi	ame i	s 3712 symbols long, an	d there are 116 F	S symbols, and since
Propose changing "supe	r-frame" to "multi-frame" and /e would be to use "frame" a		'frame" throughout				easonable to assume tha out this needs to be speci		mbols after every PS
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT II	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			31, howe	ver, after F	9115 it	ber of symbols shown in i is 32. Similarly, for sub- is 42, after P1 is 31, and	frame 48, the nu	mber of symbols shown
Change: "super-frame" t	o "multi-frame" and "sub-fran	ne" to "frame" thr	roughout 155.3.3.3	to make a		tion al	bout the number of symb		
V 155 SC 155.3.3.3	P 54	L 37	# 243	SuggestedRe	emedy				
₋aw, David	Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise			ne contents P2 and P1		e sub-frame 0 between F	P4 and P115, and	sub-frame 1 and 48
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		DSP frame	Proposed Re			Deenenee Statue W		
first sub-frame of a supe	f subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert r-frame includes 76 reserv cification of what 16QAM syr	ed symbols (rsv	d<0:75>)',	•	•		Response Status W PRINCIPLE.		
reserved symbols.							4 and P115 of sub-frame l6:3456> and 1 pilot sym		
	ol to be transmitted for these	76 reserved syr	nbols.	Add a ca	ption betwe	een P2	2 and P115 of sub-frame	1: "repeating see	quence of 31 pavload
Proposed Response	Response Status W						3540:7042> and 1 pilot s		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.			Correct th	ne payload	after	P115 of sub-frame 1 fror	m "m<7042:7073	>" to "m<7043:7073>".
,	"These symbols should be ra e selected from 16QAM mod		bid strong tones.	Correct th 030:172 (		before	e P1 of sub-frame 48 fro	m "m<172 030:1	72 061>" to "m<172
For Ethernet we need to symbols. A contribution	define what the sequence sh is needed.	nall be for these 7	76 reserved		ne payload )51:172 08		een P1 and P2 of sub-fra	me 48 from "m<	172 062:172 093>" to
				Correct th 585:175 (		after	P115 of sub-frame 48 fro	om "m<175 584:1	75 615>" to "m<175
					ption betwe				

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 Page 69 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 15	55.3.3.3		P 55	L 10	# 245	C/ 155	SC 15	55.3.3.3		P 55	L 11	# 270
Law, David				Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Law, David				Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment	Status D		DSP frame	Comment T	уре -	т	Comment S	tatus <b>D</b>		DSP fram
'The ne: symbols through for sub- 31 syml	xt 48 su s [P0, .,I i 48 are frame 1 bols afte	b-frames P115], and all the sar , yet 42 sy er P1 for s	of the super d 3586 payl me formats. ymbols after sub-frame 1,	r-frame have a oad symbols.' Figure 155-12 P0 for sub-fra yet 32 symbo	2, however, shows ame 48. Similarly, l Is after P1 for sub-	s<0:10>), 116 PS ply that sub-frames 1 31 symbols after P0 Figure 155-12 shows frame 48. And if sub-	this anr 16QAM each po frame fe	notation. I symbol olarizatio	In additi has fou on, the st uitable fo	ion, it isn't clea r components, tream of Gray	r what the 3 but subclaus mapped, inte	to 0 signifies, perh se 155.3.3.3 (page erleaved symbols a	mes 0 doesn't have haps that each DP- e 54, line 29) says 'For are assembled into a a sperate frame for
frame 1 through		o-frame 4	8 are differe	ent formats, wh	nat are the formats	for sub-frames 2	Suggested	Remedy					
-		after P0	shown for s	ub-frame 1 in	Figure 155-12 are	ts<0:10>, but P0		emove th the mean		) annotation fo	r sub-frames	1 and 48 or add to	o sub-frames 0 and
						21 bits resulting in a	Proposed Response Response Status W						
	total of 31 bits. The 42 symbols after P0 shown for sub-frame 48 in Figure 155-12 are ts<0:10>, but P0 overlaps ts<0>, so this is 10 bits, followed by m<172 030:172 061> which is 32 bits, resulting in a total of 42 bits. The 31 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 1 in Figure 155-12 are m<3509:3539>, the 32 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 48 in						PROPO	DSED AC	ССЕРТІ	IN PRINCIPLE	-		
is 32 bit Figure 1							Remove the 3 to 0 annotation.						
		172 062:1	72 093>.					e the Figu larizatior		to: nission frame a	ind sub-fram	e"	
SuggestedF							C/ 155	SC 15	55.3.3.3		P 55	L 25	# 271
						b-frames are in what correct number of bits.	Law, David		5.5.5.5			kard Enterprise	# 271
Proposed R	esponse	e	Response S	Status W			Comment T	уре -	т	Comment S	tatus <b>D</b>		DSP fram
PROPC	OSED A	CCEPT IN	N PRINCIPL	E.									per-frame and sub-
					e the response to o -frame 48 of Figure	comment 244, which e 115-12.	'Transn	nission fr	rame an		ganization a	ver the title of Figu nd bit ordering' and	re 155-12 d there doesn't seem
same va as a pilo	alue as ot symb	the corres	sponding PS	S symbol, i.e.,		S, i.e., ts<0> has the zation and is counted	organiz [2] Sug	gest the ation and	d bit ord t the trar	ering'. nsmission orde	-	o read 'Super-fram frame and sub-frai	ne and sub-frame mes to from a super-
in order	to emp	nasize thi	s lact, move	e me 4m parag	graph to after the fil	ist paragraph.	Proposed R			-	-4		
						ence: "Note that ts<0> he first sub-frame."	•	DSED AC		Response St	alus VV		
ts<0> a		re a single				sentence: "Note that ls for each of the next							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3

Dawe, Piers Comment Type split table (ne	E Comment	Nvidia <i>Status</i> <b>D</b>			Law, David	l	Hewlet	t Packard Enterprise	
	E Comment	Status D							
split table (n					Comment 7	• •	Comment Status		PS generator
	ot properly indicated).	Also Table 155-	6-PS				'Pilot sequence (PS)'		
SuggestedReme	dy					ed value?	n't it the generator that	is reset at the start of	every sub-frame using
	_				Suggested	Remedy			
Make sure the	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI nat tables 155-3 and 15		o that when they	split across pages, the	' be c	st that the text " changed to read so that the sam		e start of every sub-fran lized using the seed at	ne, so that the same t the start of every sub-
split is corre	ctly indicated.				Proposed F	Response	Response Status	W	
C/ <b>155</b> SC	155.3.3.3.3	P 57	L <b>3</b>	# 82	PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
Ran, Adee		Cisco							
Comment Type	T Comment	Status D		PS generator					
Also it is und SuggestedReme Rewrite to cl	arify.	ed to the I and		155-6.					
Proposed Respo	,	Status <b>W</b>							
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI		pped to 16QAM s	ymbols."					
"There are ty	wo separate PRBS10 se polarizations."	equences with o	different seed valu	ies, one for each of					
polarization	55-6 into two tables, on PS. Include the values and Qy in the Y polariz	for Ix and Qx ir							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.3.3.3	.3 P 57	L 8	# 273	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 10	# 274
Law, Dav	/id	Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise		Law, Davi	id		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status D		PS generator	Comment	Type E	Comment	Status D		bucke
From	review of Table 1	n of how the PRBS10 seque 55-6 it appears that the gene	erator in Figure 15	55-13 is used to		the abbreviation		equence' the te	ext ' PS sequend	ce' expands to '
		even bits are mapped to the			Suggested	dRemedy				
		ed to the quadrature-phase of a 1 mapped to a '3'.	component of the	TOQAIN SYMDOL, WITH	Sugge	est the text ' t	he complete PS	sequence is	.' be changed to r	read ' the complete
	edRemedy				PS is	'.				
00		d paragraph of subclause 1	55 3 3 3 3 be char	nged to read.	Proposed	Response	Response	Status <b>W</b>		
00	, ,			0	PROF	POSED ACCEF	РТ.			
,P1	15] are inserted in	e start of every sub-frame, so to every sub-frame of the sa	ame polarization.	For each polarization	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 12	# 275
X an symt	, 0	produces 232 bits PRBS[23	1:0] that are map	ped to 116 16QAM	Law, Davi	id		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Synn	J015,				Comment	Туре Е	Comment	Status D		
[P	0,,P115]					n arrow head to tor symbol.	o the line from F	98, P4 and P3 v	where they conne	ct to the XOR logic
wher	e for i = 0 to 115,				Suggested	dRemedv				
- PSI	R[2i] maps to the	in-phase (I) component of t	he 160AM symbo	l [Pi] for the		omment.				
resp	ective polarization	, .			Proposed	Response	Response	Status W		
	BR[2i+1] maps to t espective polarizat	he quadrature-phase (Q) co ion	mponent of the 16	6QAM symbol [Pi] for	•	POSED ACCEF	•	Status VV		
and	where,				C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 14	# 486
- 0 m	ans to -3 for the re	espective 16QAM symbol co	mpopent		Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
		espective 16QAM symbol co			Comment	Туре Е	Comment	Status D		
					Missir	ng arrowheads	on 3 vertical pat	ths		
	generator polynom ence is shown in 1	ial and seed values are liste Table 155-6	ed in Table 155-6 a	and the complete PS	Suggested	dRemedy				
		Response Status W			Add th					
•	i nesponse	,			Proposed	Response	Response	Status W		
Proposed										
Proposed	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			PROF	POSED ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPL	.E.		

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3	P 57	L <b>32</b>	# 487	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4	P 5	8 L 32	# 138
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco	o Systems	
Comment Type E Comn	nent Status D			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	D	signals per polarization
Table 155-6PS SuggestedRemedy Use whole words. Pilot sequen	се			analog QY,	signals per sym	bol: IX, QX, IY, and sound like that they	ation, the stream of s	ymbols is converted to four als per symbol per
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	nse Status <b>W</b> CIPLE.							ation (the X polarization) ation (the Y polarization).
See response to comment 276.				Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3	P 57	L 33	# 276					gnals (IX, QX, IY, QY) for
Law, David Comment Type E Comn	Hewlett Pac nent Status <b>D</b>	kard Enterprise		analog		per symbol for the >		out instead there are two analog signals (IY, QY)
There appear to be two separate generator polynomial and seed				Proposed I	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w	
[2] Suggest that the title of the s 'Pilot sequence'. Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤	o snoulo de change	u nom PS to read	QY, ac to: "On ea symbo	ch polarization, I: IX and QX for	apping in Table 155 the stream of symbo the X polarization, ar	Is is converted to two	Y polarization. Mapping of
See response to comment 82.				C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4	.1 <i>P</i> 5	8 L 38	# 83
Change title of both PS tables to	o spell out "pilot se	quence".		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4	P 58	L 30	# 277	Comment		Comment Status	D	symbol mapping
Law, David	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise					lanes", but in the tex	t it is "coherent signal to
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comn	nent Status D		PMA description	physic	al lane mapping	5".		
The title of subclause 155.3.3.4 IEEE P802.3cw specifies a physical see any text related to signal drive reference the DAC (see Figure 2).	sical instantiation o ivers in subclause	f the PMD service 155.3.3.4. Perhaps	interface, and I don't it would be better to	Suggested Chang	Remedy e "All of the coh			"All options for symbol y.
SuggestedRemedy	aa 155 2 2 4 ia aha	nand to road 1160	Manage and DAC	Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w	
Suggest that the title of subclau Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nse Status W			Chang	OSED ACCEPT e "All of the cohing to physical la	IN PRINCIPLE.		"All options for symbol
				Chang	e title of Table 1	55-7 to "Options for s	symbol mapping to pl	hysical lanes".
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/ed						·	C/ 155	Page 73 of 127

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.3.3.4.1 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4.1	P 58	L 39	# 191	C/ 155
D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	f Huawei	Zimmerm
Note t essen	entence appears to inc hat interleaving of sign	als by polarization is n		bucket	Comment "The ADC appro optica
,	dRemedy y sentence to hat interleaving of sign:	als by polarization is n	ot allowed.		is use ADC. fine a
Proposed PROP	Response Res POSED ACCEPT.	ponse Status W			<i>Suggeste</i> Chan On lir Chan
Cl <b>155</b> Nicholl, G	SC 155.3.3.4.1	P <b>58</b> Cisco Syster	L <b>42</b>	# 139	samp Repla
Comment	,	mment Status D	113		Proposed
	ist sentence states ". w		e inter-sublaver s	ianals	PRO
PMD:I sublay	IS_UNITDATA_0.reque /er signals below the Pl	st". I presume in thi MA (PMD service inte	s case we are ta		For C
	the PMA. (PMA servic	e interface).			C/ 155
			, ,	ng referred to are below ctly.	Zimmerm <i>Commen</i> t
	Response Res POSED ACCEPT IN PR w supporting presentati		olution group (Cł	RG) consideration.	"The ADC appro optica is use

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.3	3.3.5	P 58	L <b>45</b>	# 341	
Zimmerman	, George		CME Consulti	ng/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma	
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comme	nt Status D		PMA desciption	
"The sig	nals are sa	ampled by an Al	DC on each lane a	it a sampling ra	te." "The details of the	
400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

ADC . are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling. On line 50, Delete "by an ADC" Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific." Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For CRG discussion.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.5	P 58	L <b>45</b>	# 343
Zimmerman, George		CME C	onsulting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	)	PMA description

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC . are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling. On line 50, Delete "by an ADC" Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific." Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5 Page 74 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       but         The hyphen in "-12" should be an en-dash (or minus sign).       SuggestedRemedy       D       D         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment       Per comment       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       D       D         Cl       155       SC 155.3.3.7       P 59       L 41       # [278]         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise       D       D         Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'.       SuggestedRemedy
The hyphen in "-12" should be an en-dash (or minus sign).  SuggestedRemedy Per comment  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.7 P 59 L 41 # 278 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise  Comment Type E Comment Status D be Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'.  SuggestedRemedy
Per comment         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl 155       SC 155.3.3.7       P 59       L 41       # 278         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       b.         Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'       SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       P59       L 41       # 278         Cl 155       SC 155.3.3.7       P 59       L 41       # 278         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       b.         Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'.       SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl 155       SC 155.3.3.7       P 59       L 41       # 278         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       but suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'         SuggestedRemedy
Cl 155       SC 155.3.3.7       P 59       L 41       # 278         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       but should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'         SuggestedRemedy
Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       be         Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'       be         SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status D bu Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'. SuggestedRemedy
Suggest that ' frames with minimum interpacket' should read ' frames with a minimum interpacket'. SuggestedRemedy
minimum interpacket'. SuggestedRemedy
•
See comment.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.7 P 59 L 42 # 279
Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise
Comment Type E Comment Status D b
Subclause 155.3.3.6 'Receive signal processing' says 'Implementations are required to have a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.275) of less than 1.7 x 10-12 for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed according to this clause.'. It's no clear what the additionally processed is in reference to as there is no other processing referenced.
SuggestedRemedy
Suggest that ' when additionally processed according to this clause.' should read ' when processed according to this clause.'.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
ge

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3	B.3.8 P 60	L <b>4</b>	# 87	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L <b>26</b>	# 280
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise	
Comment Type T "comprising sixtee than 8 bits"	Comment Status <b>D</b> n symbols encoded as shown in	Table 155-2 but	<i>Pol combining</i> at a higher resolution		Comment Status <b>D</b> boolean variable, suggest this other boolean variables.	should be noted in	<i>pma_align_status</i> the variable
SD-FEC codeword into output symbol	s are by definition 128 bits; and s.	table 155-2 show	vs mapping of bit tuples	SuggestedRemedy Suggest that 'A var	able set by the' should read	d 'A boolean variabl	le set by the'.
Also, according to samples, not code	the next paragraph, the output o words.	of the process is a	a single stream of	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
	specify that the input to the dec		our streams of samples	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L 29	# 281
(combinations of X	/Y and I/Q) with more than two	oits per sample.		Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		pma_enable_deskew
Rewrite to clarify.				The description of t	he 'pma_enable_deskew' vari	able says 'A boolea	n variable that enables
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			and disables the PI output of the Figure anywhere else.	MA deskew process.'. Is this c 155 15 'PMA deskew state d	orrect as 'pma_ena iagram' that doesn'i	ble_deskew' is an t appear to be used
Change:				SuggestedRemedy			
"The message syn FEC codewords co	nbols from the X and Y polarizat omprising sixteen symbols enco- nan 8 bits in order to aid the SD-	ded as shown in <sup>-</sup>	Table 155-2 but at a	Suggest the descrip 'A Boolean variable	otion of the 'pma_enable_desk that set to true when deskew ed symbols may be discarded	is enabled and set	to false when deskew
process." to:				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
"The digitized sign	als from the X and Y polarization D-FEC decoder. The codeword	n streams are con	mbined to form an input	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L 30	# 282
	Is encoded at a higher resolutio						# 202
to aid the SD-FEC	error detection and correction p	rocess."		Law, David		ickard Enterprise	
C/ 155 SC 155.4 Ran, Adee	I.2 P 60 Cisco	L <b>22</b>	# 88	Comment Type E Since Boolean is na (and not boolean).	Comment Status D amed after George Boole, I be	lieve that it should	<i>bucket</i> always be Boolean
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			SuggestedRemedy			
51	rarchy below "State variables" is	unnecessary ar	nd includes subclauses		es of 'boolean' to 'Boolean'.		
	state variables (155.4.2.2 through			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED ACCE	,		
		he hierarchy (to h	raccome 55.4.2 through	T NOT OBED ACCE	.1 1.		
SuggestedRemedy	I move its subclauses upper in t	ne merarchy (to t	coome oo.4.2 through				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 76 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

PMA lanes

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L 34	# 140
Nicholl, Ga	ry	Cisco Systems		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Definiton of "pma\_alignment \_valid" variable. Reading the previous text it is not clear exactly what constitues a PMA lane, and how many PMA lanes there are, and how each PMA lane is assigned a unique lane number ? The definition also refers to "PMA lanes are deskewed". I don't see any mention of PMA lane deskew in the functional block diagram in Figure 155-10.

### SuggestedRemedy

Maybe this is all clearly defined earlier in the document. If so then the editors can reject this comment with a reference to the appropriate section of text. If not then the variable description needs to be updated to better refelct thefunctional descriptions earlier in this clause. This comment also applies to other variables defined in 155.4.2.1, that refer to "PMA lanes".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 S	C 155.4.2.1	P 60	L <b>40</b>	# 283
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		state variables

The description of the 'reset' variable says that it is 'A boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS and PMA sublayers' and that 'It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO ... and when the MDIO has put the PCS and PMA sublayers into low-power mode.'.

The PMA and PCS are separate MMDs (see Table 45-1). The PMA/PMD reset bit is 1.0.15 and the low power bit is 1.0.11, both found in PMA/PMD control 1 register. The PCS reset bit is 3.0.15 and the low power bit is 3.0.11, both found in the PCS control 1 register. Since these registers are in separate MMDs, and since their state is not communicate across the PMA service interface, the PMA and PCS resets can operate independently.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma\_reset'.

[2] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-15 'PMA deskew state diagram' to be 'pma\_reset'.

[3] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs\_reset'.

[4] Rename the 'reset' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma\_reset' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PMA sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PMA sublayer into low-power mode.

[5] Add a definition of the 'pcs\_reset' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' with the description 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PCS sublayer into low-power mode.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L <b>44</b>	#	285
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

state variables

Subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' says 'The PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on ... symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.'. The SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is, however, used to derive the signal\_ok variable (page 60, line 45) which is used as an 'open arrow' entry condition to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of the Figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram.

As a result, it appears that if the SIGNAL\_OK parameter is ever set to FAIL, setting 'signal\_ok' to FALSE, the figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram will enter the 'LOCK\_INIT' state. I assume this will mean that symbols will not be sent to the PCS since the PMA will not have FAW alignment. This in turn will mean the condition 'symbols being sent to the PCS' for the SIL to set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter to OK will not be met.

The PMA will then be locked in this condition permanently. The SIL cannot set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter to OK until symbols are sent to the PCS. Yet symbols won't be sent to the PCS until the SIGNAL\_OK parameter is set to OK.

### SuggestedRemedy

Please clarify the operation of the signal indication logic. Suggest, based on Figure 155-10, and the dotted line from the 'Carrier phase recovery block to the SIL, that the 'signal\_ok' variable used by the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram should be based on the status of the blocks below the 'Pilot removal' blocks while the SIGNAL\_OK parameter sent to the PCS should also use the FAW alignment status.

See also my other comment suggest separate 'pma\_signal\_ok' and 'pcs\_signal\_ok' variables.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At 155.3.2, change the 5th paragraph from:

"The PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes. When these conditions are met, the SIGNAL\_OK parameter sent to the PCS via the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive has the value OK. Otherwise, the SIGNAL\_OK primitive has the value FAIL."

to:

"The PMA:IS\_SIGNAL indication primitive is generated by all of the signal processing below the pilot removal blocks in Figure 155-10 through a signal indication logic (SIL).

Signal health is based on data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions. When these conditions are met, the SIGNAL\_OK parameter sent to the PCS via the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive has the value OK. Otherwise, the SIGNAL\_OK primitive has the value FAIL."

C/ 155	SC	155.4.2.1	P 60	L <b>44</b>	# 284	
Law, David	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise					
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		signal_ok	
The description of the 'signal, ok' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set based on the						

The description of the 'signal\_ck' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received value of PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL\_OK).' however that is generated by the PMA, see last paragraph of subclause 155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR 'PMA service interface'.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma\_signal\_ok'.

[2] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs\_signal\_ok'.

[3] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pcs\_signal\_ok' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is true if the value was OK and false if the value was FAIL.'.

[4] Add a new variable 'pma\_signal\_ok' with the description 'A Boolean variable that is set by the signal indication logic (see 155.3.2.). It is true when symbols received from the PMD are being processed successfully by the signal processing, false otherwise.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L 51	# 405
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status D		restart_lock

Definition of restart\_lock begins by talking about how it affects all lanes, then states it activates when 15 FAWs fail to match, but doesn't clearly define that's 15 failures in a row on a single PMA lane.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change "fail to match" to "fail to match on a given PMA lane"

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 78 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4.2.1	9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

mmmnt Type       TR       Comment Status       D       faws_lock         Definition of variable "faws_lock <x>". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that       "receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a       faws_lock         given lane on the PMA service interface. ". There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface above the PMA subjayer) as the FAW is inserted/removed by the PMA subjayer itself. I think what is meant here is the "PMD service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "PMA service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "PMA service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock<x>" boolean variable when according to section 155.3.3.3 the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock<x>" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization)         opposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Suggest that this is also addressed in the description should be changed to readiate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the faw_valid' variable description should be changed to readiate. The sequence is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the faw_valid' variable.         Cor</x></x></x>	/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L <b>3</b>	# 141	C/ 155	SC	C 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 11	# 287
Definition of variable "raws, lock-xxx". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that         "receive has detected the location of the FAW for a         given lane on the PMA service interface a." There is no "FAW" on the "PMA" service         Interface "(i.e. the interface allow the PMA subjayer) as the FAW bit inserted/removed by         suggests that there are four service interface." There is no "FAW" on the "PMA" service interface" and not         the PMA subjayer itself. Units what is meant here is the "PMD" service interface" and not         the PMA service interface. PMA service interface and not the "PMD" service interface" and not         the PMA service interface. PMA wis inserted per polarization, so one         the reserved to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are of "taws, lock-xxx" boolean variables when according to section 153.3.3.3.1 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y and the service interface, where x = 0.3.**         optication)       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Change:       Response Status W         "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW or a given has existed in Table 155-3.**         for       "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence interface, where x = 0.3.**         for       "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence inter	licholl, Gar	у	Cisco System	s		Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
<ul> <li>"receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface." Increases there is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface acoust interface. There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface acoust interface. There is no "FAW" on the "SAW" on the resist in "PMO service interface." An object interface acoust interface acoust interface acoust interface acoust interface. The sequence is a valid by the service interface. The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits matching the 44 known bits of the FAW partice description of the S3.3.3 and Figure 155-10 there is only a single FAWs inserted per polarization, so one FAW for X polarization and one FAW for Y polarization.</li> <li>gestedRamedy</li> <li>Correct the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are "faws lock-xo" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization) and one for Y polarization are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization and one for Y polarization and one for Y polarization are only two FAWs (one for X polarizet be a valid the location of the FAW or a given lane on the PMA service interface where x = 0.3."</li> <li>to:</li> <li>This: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence and the FAW sequence and the table 155-3." and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-r</li></ul>	comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D		faws_lock	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		faw_valio
correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock-xs" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization) opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where $x = 0.3$ ." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where $x = 0.1$ . There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." <b>155</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # $142$ choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <b>135</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # $142$ choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <b>135</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # $142$ choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <b>135</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # $142$ choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <b>135</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # $142$ Correct cross-references.	"receiv given lar interface the PMA the "PM suggests 155.3.3. FAW for	ver has detecte ne on the PMA e" (i.e. the interf A sublayer itself A service interf as that there are 3 and Figure 1 r X polarization Remedy	d the location of the FAW for service interface .". There is face above the PMA sublayer . I tihnk what is meant here is ace"? Secondly the description four separate FAWs being Io 55-10 there is only a single Fa and one FAW for Y polarizat	a no "FAW" on th ) as the FAW is the "PMD servon states "who ocked to, where AWs inserted p tion.	he "PMA service s inserted/removed by vice interface" and not ere x=0:3". This as according to section er polarization, so one	listed i match listed i interfau 'faw_vs referer bits ma symbo	n Tab the 4 n Tab ce, ai alid a ://ww ncing atchir atchir lls (w	ble 155-3.' b 44 known bit ble 155-3, ar re both 22 D unalysis' from w.ieee802.o a 'QPSK FA ng the 44 kn hich form th	ut then 'The sequence is co s of the FAW pattern descri nd the candidate sequences IP-16QAM symbols, not 44 n Mike Sluyski rg/3/cw/public/22_0523/sluy AW' value of 44 in the sprea own bits should be to 36 16 e 22 DP-16QAM symbol FA	nsidered to be va bed in 155.3.3.3.1 received over the bits. Based on slid rski_3cw_01a_220 dsheet, I assume QAM symbols ma W sequence), de	lid if at least 36 bits 1.'. The sequence e PMD service de 4 of the contribution 0523.pdf#page=4> the reference to 36 atching the 44 16QAM fined in Table 155-3.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change:       Suggest that the 'faw_valid' variable description should be changed to read:         "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3."       A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3."       A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X polarization, as listed in Table 155-3."       Get 155.4.2.1       P 61       L 11       # 142         Tobal (Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D       faw_valid       faw_valid       The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.       ggestedRemedy         Correct cross-references.       Correct cross-references.       Correct cross-references.       Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.	correct) section	orrect) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock <x>" boolean variables when according to ection 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y</x>				candid mappe	ate 2 ed to 1	2 symbol bl	ock received over the four-lates in any of eight ways defin	ane PMD service ed in Table 155-7	interface can be ?? If that is the case,
Change:       "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3."       A Boolean variable that is set to true if the candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence interface is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-7. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is considered to be a valid FAW sequence is least 36 of its compared to the FAW sequence position, and the fAW symbol block is of the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3.         155       SC 155.4.2.1       P 61       L 11       I 142         choll, Gary       Cisco Systems       Faw_valid         Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.       Faw_valid         ggestedRemedy       Correct cross-references.       Correct cross-references.	roposed Re	posed Response Response Status W					Rem	edy			
<ul> <li>"A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3."</li> <li>to:</li> <li>"A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3."</li> <li><b>155</b> SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142</li> <li>choll, Gary Cisco Systems</li> <li><i>mment Type</i> ER Comment Status D faw_valid</li> <li>Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.</li> <li><i>ggestedRemedy</i></li> <li>Correct cross-references.</li> </ul>	PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Sugge	st tha	at the 'faw_v	alid' variable description she	ould be changed t	to read:
155       SC       155.4.2.1       P 61       L 11       # 142         choll, Gary       Cisco Systems       Faw_valid       PROPOSED ACCEPT.         periniton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.       faw_valid         rggestedRemedy       Correct cross-references.       Correct cross-references.	Change: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3." to: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the				ed the location of the There are two FAWs,	over th 16QAN consid The ca least 3	ne fou M syn ering andida 6 of i	ur-lane PMD nbol block is all permitte ate 22 DP-1 its compone	service interface is a valid l compared to the FAW seq d PMD service interface lan 6QAM symbol block is cons nt 16QAM symbols match, i	FAW sequence. T uence defined in es mappings defin idered to be a val n value, sequence	The candidate 22 DP- Table 155-3, ned in Table 155-7. lid FAW sequence if at e position, and the 44
choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. active cross-references. active cross-references.			• •			•			,		
Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. active cross-references. arggestedRemedy Correct cross-references.	155	SC 155.4.2.1			# 142	PROP	OSE	D ACCEPT.			
Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <i>aggestedRemedy</i> Correct cross-references.	icholl, Gar	У	Cisco System	s							
active cross-references. <i>iggestedRemedy</i> Correct cross-references.	omment Ty	ype ER	Comment Status D		faw_valid						
Correct cross-references.		_		5-3" and sectio	n "155.3.3.3.1" are not						
	uggestedR	Remedy									
oposed Response Response Status W	Correct	cross-reference	es.								
	oposed Re	esponse	Response Status W								

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 79 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 11	# 288	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	P.1 P 61	1 <i>L</i> 14	# 13	
Law, Dav	rid	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Bruckman	, Leon	Huaw	rei		
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       faw_valid         The definition of the 'faw_valid' variable says ' set to true if the received 22-symbol block is a valid FAW.'. According to the super-frame format defined in subclause 155.3.3.3 the 22 FAW symbols are transmitted over a total of 23 symbols, as Pilot Sequence index P1 is inserted between the symbols faw<20> and faw <21> (see figure 155-12). As a result, a valid FAW will never be found in a received 22-symbol block, only in a received 23-symbol block after the 22nd symbol is deleted.         SuggestedRemedy       If needed, clarify the definition of the 'faw_valid' variable to account for the P1 symbol inserted between the faw<20> and faw <21> symbols.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       W					Comment Clause Suggested For cc the 44 consid pattern Proposed PROP	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> e 155.3.3.3.1 d <i>Remedy</i> onsistency repla known bits of lered to be vali n described in <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEF	Comment Status efines FAW as a 22 syn ace: "The sequence is o the FAW pattern descri d if at least 18 symbols	D mbols sequence, " considered to be va bed in 155.3.3.3.1 match the 22 know	faw_valid bits" are not mentioned there alid if at least 36 bits match .", with: "The sequence is wn symbols of the FAW	
See i propo "The notin					C/       155       SC       155.4.2.1       P 61       L 18       #       289         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       state variables         Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says that 'A super-frame is defined as including 175 616 payload symbols and 6272 additional symbols.' and that 'The first sub-frame of a super-frame includes a 22-symbol FAW (faw<0:21>) and 3488					
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 14	# 404	payloa				he FAW is not considered	
Slavick,	Jeff	Broadcom			Suggested	Remedy				
The r	Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D         bucket           The reference to 155.3.3.3.1 is not hyperlinked in faw_valid					Since the title of subclause 155.3.3.3.1 'Frame alignment word (FAW) sequence', suggest that the four instances of ' FAW payload' (page 61, lines 16, 18, 20 and 23) be changed to read ' FAW sequence'.				
make Proposed	dRemedy it a link I Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed PROF	Response POSED ACCEF	Response Status PT.	w		

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

current pmal

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 19	# 290	
Law Dav	id	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The description of the variable 'current pmal' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.' and the description of the variable 'pma lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Subclause 155.3.3.3.1, nor Table 155-3, provide any lane numbers.

The PMA lane number is not referenced outside the state diagrams, other than in Table 155-9 where pma lane mapping<x> is mapped to register 3.400 through 3.403, which doesn't seem correct as these are PCS lane registers, not PMA lane registers (see my other comment on this). As a result, rather than add PMA lane numbers to subclause 155.3.3.1 and/or Table 155-3, suggest references to 'PMA lane numbers' be changed to 'PMA lane identifiers' with the values 'Ix', 'Qx', 'Iy' and 'Qy'. The state diagram can compare PMA lane identifiers to see if they match and can test for a unique PMA lane identifier for each PMA lane as easily as it can for PMA lane numbers.

In addition, the description of the 'faw valid' variable says 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.'. The description of the variable 'current pmal' however says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.1.'. Similarly, the description of the variable 'pma lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Neither mention the '36 out 44' approach used for the 'faw valid' variable.

The 'current pmal' description could imply a requirement for a full match to a column of Table 155-3, and the 'pma lane' description requires a full match to a column of Table 155-3. Since the entry into states where 'current pmal' is used is based on faw valid = TRUE, doesn't this mean that the use of the '36 out 44' approach, which permits 8 16QAM symbols to not match, needs to be considered when determining 'current pmal' and 'pma\_lane'. As a worst-case example, couldn't a faw valid = TRUE result from eight 16QAM symbols not matching due to errors on just one phase of just one of polarization. This would seem to imply that the compare for the values received on a lane with the columns of Table 155-3 also needs to permit eight values not matching.

In the case of 'current pmal' and 'pma lane', as there are only 22 values in a column of Table 155-3, it would seem a match would have to be valid if at least 14 values received on the lane match the 22 known values defined in a column to address the worst-case of all eight errors on one phase of one of polarization. It seems there may, however, be another approach to determine 'current pmal' and 'pma lane'. Doesn't the PMD lane mapping row selected from Table 155-7 to achieve faw valid = TRUE inherently provide the 'current pmal' and 'pma lane' values (see my comment on faw valid)?

Finally, as this variable is used by a state diagram within the PMA, which sits above the PMD, the text '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMA service interface.' should read '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface.'.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the description of the first pmal variable to read as follows (note my other comment to change the coherent signal labels in Table 155-7 would impact this item if accepted):

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the first FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the next FAW payload that is tested. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

#### Values:

Ix: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XI.

Qx: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XQ.

ly: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YI.

Qv: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YQ.

[2] Change the description of the current pmal variable to read as follows:

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the current FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the variable first pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

### Values:

See first pmal.

[3] Change the description of the pma lane variable to read as follows:

pma lane

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier received on lane x of the PMA service interface when faws lock<x> = TRUE. The PMA lane identifier is determined by matching the received 22-symbol FAW sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 81 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

•	<b>•</b> • •									
See	e first_pmal.				C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	2.1	P 62	L <b>1</b>	# 349
[4] CI	hange all instances	of ' PMA lane number	' to ' PMA lane	identifier'.	Maniloff, I	Eric		Ciena		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Comment	Туре Т	Commer	t Status D		cw_bad
PRO	POSED ACCEPT.					CW can be de hould be clarifi			rs after FEC dec	oding or by CRC errors.
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 28	# 143	Suggester					
Nicholl, C	Gary	Cisco Syster	ns			•	the definition o	of cw_bad: An ur	ncorrected codew	ord is detected if either
Comment	t Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		PMA lanes				or if the CRC32		
numb	tion of variable "pm pers on the PMA se MA sevice interface	,	Response POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W					
suspect the editor meant "PMD service interface (i.e. the interface below the PMA sublayer) and not the PMA service interface (the interface above the PMA sublayer).					C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	2.1	P 68	L 26	# 409
Cubic				i i ili i cubia jorj.	Slavick, J	eff		Broadcom		
Also the reference to Table 155-3 is not an active cross reference.					Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Commer	t Status D		MDIO mapping
Suggeste	edRemedy				FEC ł	high SER is not	t a feature of 4	00GBASE-ZR		
Chan	ige "PMA service in	terface" to "PMD service in	terfce".		Suggestee	dRemedy				
Fix th	ne cross-reference t	o Table 155-3.			Remo	ve the FEC hig	gh SER row fro	mo Table 155-9	)	
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response	e Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
	POSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE. entation. For comment rese	olution group (CF	(G) consideration.	PROF	POSED ACCEF	PT.			
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 33	# 291						
Law, Dav	vid	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise							
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status D								
	e are nine instances one term is used co	of 'super-frame' and two in nsistently.	nstances of 'DSF	super-frame'. Suggest						
Suggeste	edRemedy									
		tances of ' DSP super-fra o read ' super-frame'.	ime' (page 61,	line 33 and page 63						
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W								
	POSED ACCEPT II response to comme									

Cl 155	SC 155.4.2.2	P 62	L 28	# 292	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	2.4 <i>P</i> 60	L <b>48</b>	# 286	
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David		Hewlett F	Packard Enterprise		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		FAW_COMPARE	Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		restart_loc	
that 'If o Since fa the FAV the line	current_pmal ar aw_valid ' is c N pattern'. I a	FAW_COMPARE' function ir d first_pmal both found a ma onsidered to be valid if at lea assume rather than a 'match', 6 symbols of the current rece pattern'.	tch and faw_m st 36 bits match th this really should	atch is set to true. <sup>'</sup> . he 44 known bits of I say something along	frame a lanes. l restart_	lignment wor t is set to TR lock variable 155-14, it is a	e 'restart_lock' variable say rd (FAW) lock process to re UE when 15 FAWs in a rov is used in the frame alignn ilso used in the Alignment i	eset the synchronizat v fail to match (15_B nent word (FAW) loc	ion process on all PMA AD state).'. While the k process described in	
It howe	ver seems simr	ler to just add faw, valid is Tf	RIF as a conditio	n to enter the COMP	SuggestedF	Remedy				
It however seems simpler to just add faw_valid is TRUE as a condition to enter the COMP state, which would become 'faw_counter_done * faw_valid', and have a path from the 'COUNT_2' state to the 'INVALID_FAW' state if 'faw_counter_done * !faw_valid' is FALSE. This would also mirror the similar use of the 'FAW_COMPARE' function in the 'COMP_2ND' state where the condition to transition to the state is 'faw_counter_done * faw_valid' and 'faw_counter_done * !faw_valid' results in a transition to the 'FAW_SLIP'						<ul> <li>[1] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma_restart_lock'.</li> <li>[2] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs restart lock'.</li> </ul>				
state.					(0) <b>D</b>			455 4 6 4 8 4 5 4 4		
SuggestedRemedy						ame restart_ estart lock'.	lock' variable in subclause	155.4.2.1 Variables	to be	
[1] Change the text 'If current_pmal and first_pmal both found a match and indicate the same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true' in the description of the					• =	—	of the 'pcs_restart_lock' var	iable to subclause 15	5.4.2.1 'Variables'.	
FAW_COMPARE function to read 'If current_pmal and first_pmal indicate the same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true'.				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉				
	Inder, law_inat	in is set to true.			PROPC	SED ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Figure <sup>-</sup>		n on the transition from the ' alignment word (FAW) lock st w_valid'.					nces of the 'restart_lock' va W) lock state diagram' to be		155-14 'Frame	
[3] Add 'Frame !faw_va	alignment word	n the 'COUNT_2' state to the (FAW) lock state diagram' th	'INVALID_FAW' at reads 'faw_cou	state in Figure 155-14 unter_done *			nces of the 'restart_lock' va agram' to be 'pcs_restart_lo		155-16 'Alignment	
Proposed R		Response Status W				ame 'restart_ estart_lock'.	lock' variable in subclause	155.4.2.1 'Variables'	to be	
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.3	P 62	L <b>40</b>	# 293	[4] Add follows:		of the 'pcs_restart_lock' var	iable to subclause 15	5.4.2.1 'Variables' as	
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise				that is set by the alignment			
	use 155.4.2.3 'C	Comment Status <b>D</b> counters' defines the 'cw_bad ere else in the draft.	_count' counter, h	nowever this counter			cess on data from the SC-F ow fail to match (5_BAD sta		oders. It is set to TRUE	
SuggestedF	Remedy									
Delete	the 'cw_bad_co	unt' counter definition.								
	Response	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 Page 83 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L <b>4</b>	# 14	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 1	# 89
Bruckman	n, Leon	Huawei			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
for eac	on FAW synchroni ch lane, for a tota	Comment Status <b>D</b> ization seems to imply that t I of 4 independent FAW syn	chronization proc	esses. Actually there		ate diagram has	Comment Status D several blocks in which te igh room to prevent that.	ext of assignment s	statements wraps to th
	,	tion processes, one per pol	arization (see figu	re 115.10 and clause	Suggested	Remedy			
	155.3.3.7) IggestedRemedy					blocks (changin	g layout if required) to pre	event wrapping line	es.
Repla	ce: "The synchror	nization process operates in s operates independently or			Proposed F PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.	,							
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L 7	# 294					
_aw. Davi	b	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise						
Chang Proposed	dRemedy ge the text ' the Response POSED ACCEPT.	PMA service interface.'. to r Response Status W	ead ' the PMD	service interface.'.					
/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L 12	# 295					
.aw, Davi	id	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise						
comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		state diagrams					
marke each 4 Figure 'CRC3	er lock process as 400GBASE-ZR fra e 155-2 (page 35, 32 checking' block	tate diagrams' says that 'The shown in Figure 155-16 to i ame by observing data from line 20) shows the 'AM/OH and subclause 155.2.5.7 'A 2, MBAS, and pad,'.	dentify the AM so the SC-FEC dec detect & removal	equence at the start of oder output.', however ' block after the					
Suggested									
		by observing data from the data from the CRC32 chec							
roposed	Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 3	# 296

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

20

FAW lock state diagram

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Based on the description of the 'faw\_valid' variable, and slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluyski

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_0523/sluyski\_3cw\_01a\_220523.pdf#page=4> referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44, it seems a valid FAW sequence can only be detected across all four lanes. As a result, it will only be possible to achieve FAW lock on all lanes, or no lanes. There is no case where some lanes can be FAW locked, and others are not. There, therefore, seems no need to have four instances of the Frame alignment word lock state diagram (page 63, line 3). If there were, they wouldn't operate independently on each lane (page 63, line 5), and instead would operate in lock step.

It therefore seems that the four Frame alignment word lock state diagram can be collapsed in to one if the first\_pmal and current\_pmal variables hold the mapping number found in table 155-7 to achieve faw\_valid rather than the lane number. The PMA deskew state diagram can then be removed.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Delete the variables 'pma\_alignment\_valid', 'all\_locked', and PMA\_lane\_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.

[2] Change the description of the 'faws\_lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

#### faws lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

[3] Change the description of the faw\_valid as suggested in my comment about faw\_valid.

[4] Change the description of the first\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first\_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current\_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first\_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

[6] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane mapping number ...'.

[7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw\_counter' description.

[8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.

[9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.

[10] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.

[14] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= TRUE' to the '2\_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.

[15] Delete Figure 155-15.

[16] Change the 'Value/Comment' filed of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.

[17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[1] Delete the variables 'pma\_alignment\_valid', 'all\_locked', and PMA\_lane\_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.

[2] Change the description of the 'faws\_lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

#### faws\_lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

[3] Change the description of the faw\_valid as per the proposed resolution of comment 287.

[4] Change the description of the first\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first\_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current\_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first\_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 85 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4.2.4	9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

[6] Change all instances of '.	. PMA lane number	' to ' PMA lane mapping number'.
--------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------

[7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw\_counter' description.

[8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.

[9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.

[10] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.

[14] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= TRUE' to the '2\_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.

### [15] Delete Figure 155-15.

[16] Change the 'Value/Comment' field of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.

[17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 6	4	L 15	# 217				
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia	а						
Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         FAW lock state diagram           In the GET_BLOCK state, the variable slip_done should be faw_slip_done         Factors         Factors         Factors									
SuggestedRemedy Change slip_done to faw_slip_done									
Proposed F PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w						

Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The 'slip_done' variable assigned to FALSE in the GET_BLOCK statualignment word (FAW) lock state diagram is not defined. Suspect it s'faw_slip_done's othat it is set to FALSE before the FAW_SLIP funct TRUE, is called in the FAW_SLIP state.         SuggestedRemedy       Change the text 'slip_done <= FALSE' in the GET_BLOCK state in F'faw_slip_done <= FALSE'.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	hould read
The 'slip_done' variable assigned to FALSE in the GET_BLOCK state alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram is not defined. Suspect it s 'faw_slip_done' so that it is set to FALSE before the FAW_SLIP func TRUE, is called in the FAW_SLIP state. SuggestedRemedy Change the text 'slip_done <= FALSE' in the GET_BLOCK state in F 'faw_slip_done <= FALSE'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nu corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0 With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal' variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	e of the Frame hould read
alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram is not defined. Suspect it s 'faw_slip_done' so that it is set to FALSE before the FAW_SLIP func TRUE, is called in the FAW_SLIP state. SuggestedRemedy Change the text 'slip_done <= FALSE' in the GET_BLOCK state in F 'faw_slip_done <= FALSE'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nu corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0 With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	hould read
Change the text 'slip_done <= FALSE' in the GET_BLOCK state in F 'faw_slip_done <= FALSE'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nu corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0 With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
'faw_slip_done <= FALSE'.  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status D The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nu corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and ' With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states.  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4       P 64       L 19         Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nuccorresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and 'v	gure 155-14 to read
Law, David       Hewlett Packard Enterprise         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nuccorresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by the 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and 'v	
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nuccorresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0' With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy	# 299
The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it ' the PMA lane nu corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0 With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
corresponds to the first FAW payload' however, it is updated by th 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and '0 With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_ 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to e 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, SuggestedRemedy Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	state diagra
Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from 'GOOD_FAW' states. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	the '2_GOOD' and
Remove the assignment 'first, pmal <= current, pmal' from the 'GOOI	
	EANA/ state
Remove the assignment 'PMA_lane_mapping <x> &lt;= current_pmal' f state.</x>	J_PAW State.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P <b>64</b>	L <b>19</b>	# 298	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L <b>24</b>	# 301
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		state variables	Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		state diagrams
155-14 'Frame alignment to the 'prev_pmal' variabl SuggestedRemedy	ne 'prev_pmal' variable use word (FAW) lock state dia e elsewhere in the IEEE P prev_pmal <= prev_pmal +	agram', and there 802.3cw draft.	is no use or reference	the state OR cond will be e are evalu 21.5.3),	e diagram to tra ditions in the 'o xecuted, but si uated continuo on exit the stat	ble is set to TRUE on entry insition to the 'LOCK_INIT pen arrow' entry to that stance 'restart_lock' remains usly whenever any state is e diagram will loop back to cked in this loop permaner	' state because 'res ite. The actions in t set to TRUE, and 'o evaluating its exit to the 'LOCK_INIT' s	start_lock' is one of the the 'LOCK_INIT' state open arrow' transitions conditions (see
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			SuggestedR	emedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						action 'restart_lock <= FA		
C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L <b>22</b>	# 300		estart_lock' be NIT' state.	deleted and a 'UCT' be ad	ded from the '15_B	AD' state to the
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W		
	Comment Status <b>D</b> unters' defines the 'faws_b					IN PRINCIPLE. lock <= FALSE' to the 'LO	CK_INIT' state.	
'Frame alignment word (F	AW) lock state diagram' u	ises 'faw_bad_co	ount' ('faw' vs 'faws').	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 41	# 302
SuggestedRemedy				Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
Suggest that:				Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D		bucket
	e 'INVALID_FAW' state to	the '15_BAD' sta	te be changed to read	Complet	e the line unde	r '2_GOOD'.		
'faws_bad_count = 15'. [2] The transition from the read 'faws_bad_count <	e 'INVALID_FAW' state to 15'.	the 'COUNT_2' s	tate be changed to	<i>SuggestedR</i> See com	•			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Re	•	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				PROPO	SED ACCEPT			
				C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.4.2.4		L <b>42</b>	# 303
				Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
				Comment Ty		Comment Status D		
				(FAW) lo	ock state diagra	e_mapping' in the 2_GOO am should read 'pma_lane age 61, line 34).		
				SuggestedR	emedy			
						lane_mapping <x> &lt;= curr ne_mapping<x> &lt;= currer</x></x>		GOOD state in Figure
				Proposed Re				

SC 155.4.2.4

Page 87 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L <b>48</b>	# 304	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L 11	# 306
Law, David	Hewlett Pacl	kard Enterprise		Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pa	ackard Enterprise	
Comment Type E C	omment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		state diagram
Since the title of Figure 155 added to the title of Figure 2						S alignment marker lock st wever that variable is gene		
SuggestedRemedy	155-14 and FCS to the		-10.			lock state diagram, and it		
Suggest that:						A to the PCS. As a result, i		be used in the figure
Suggest that.				155-1	PCS alignmen	t marker lock state diagran	1.	
<ul> <li>[1] The title of Figure 155-14 (FAW) lock state diagram'.</li> <li>[2] The title of Figure 155-10 diagram'.</li> </ul>	C	SIĞŇ, comm	AL_OK paramete	gn_status' being 'TRUE' be er of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL. the PMA service interface er, is already used as an 'o	indication primitive . Since 'signal_ok'	to OK and therefore , derived from the		
Proposed Response Response Status W				of the figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram, 'pma_align_status' can be				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						dition from that state.		
				Suggested				
C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L 8	# 305			atus' being 'TRUE' as a con L.indication primitive to Oh		
Law, David	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise			ervice interface	•	In subclause 155.	.3.2 400GDA3E-ZR
Comment Type <b>T</b> C	omment Status D		state diagrams	[2] De	lete that exit con	dition 'pma_align_status' f	rom the LOCK_INI	T state in figure 155-16.
There are two instances of amps_lock and one of amps_lock <x> in figure 155-16 Alignment marker lock state diagram. Since subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says ' 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes', and since subclause</x>				Proposed PROF	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
155.4.2.1 'Variables' defines should read 'amps lock'.	s amps_lock without an	index, it seems t	hat amps_lock <x></x>	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L 18	# 307
SuggestedRemedy				Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pa	ackard Enterprise	
Change 'amps_lock <x> &lt;=</x>	FALSE' in the LOCK IN	NIT state to read '	amps lock <= FALSE'.	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
	esponse Status W					be amp based on cour	nter definition, see	page 62, line 37.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Suggested	IRemedy			
					•	ps bad count <= 0' to rea	d 'amp bad count	: <= 0' in the

Change the action 'amps\_bad\_count <= 0' to read 'amp\_bad\_count <= 0' in the 'GOOD\_AM' state of the Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L <b>24</b>	# 308	C/ 155	SC '	55.5	P 67	L <b>3</b>	# 310
Law, David	d	Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment The 're state of conditi be exe evalua exit the be lock Suggested Sugge or the	Type <b>T</b> estart_lock' varial diagram to transit ions in the 'open ecuted, but since ated continuously e state diagram v ked in this loop p <i>IRemedy</i> est that either the	Comment Status <b>D</b> ble is set to TRUE on entry to ion to the 'LOCK_INIT' state I arrow' entry to that state. The 'restart_lock' remains set to T whenever any state is evalua vill loop back to the 'LOCK_IN	the '5_BAD' sta because 'restart actions in the 'L 'RUE, and 'open ting its exit conc IIT' state. The st E' be added to th	lock' is one of the OR OCK_INIT' state will arrow' transitions are litions (see 21.5.3), on ate diagram will then he 'LOCK_INIT' state	Comment Strictly protoc Suggested Since sugge read 'T Proposed	Type speaki ol speci Remed the title st that ti he follo Respon OSED	fic 'objec / of subcla ne text 'T wing reg	Comment Status D col agnostic management 'd ts' defined in IEEE Std 802.3 ause 45.2 in IEEE Std 802.3 'he following objects apply' isters apply'. Response Status W	bjects' are defii 3.1 and IEEE S -2022 is 'MDIO	td 802.3.2. Interface registers',
	_ Response	Response Status W			Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
	OSED ACCEPT				Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		
C/ <b>155</b> ∟aw, David Comment Compl Suggested	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> lete the line unde	Hewlett Packa Comment Status D	L 39 ard Enterprise	# 309 bucket	'impler <i>Suggested</i> Sugge	nented' <i>Remed</i> st that i IO inter	about th ⁄ n subclai face is p	e 155.5.1 'PCS and PMA ME e MDIO interface. use 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR P rovided' is changed top re	CS and PMA m	anagement' the text 'If
Proposed I	omment. <i>Response</i>	Response Status W			,	, OSED /	ACCEPT	Response Status W		
PROP Cl 155 Dawe, Pie Comment		P <b>67</b> Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 488	C/ <b>155</b> Dawe, Pie Comment in 45	rs Type	E	P 67 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 9	# 489
	llowing objects a	pply to: objects?			Suggested in Clau			green when line 4 has black	?	
PROP	-					, OSED / should	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. since it's a reference to a c	omplete clause	that is not part of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 Page 89 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

/ 155 SC 1	155.5.1	P 67	L 9	# [22	C/ 155	80	155.5.1	P 67	L 28	# 490
	155.5.1			# 33			155.5.1		L <b>28</b>	# 490
Aarris, Arthur	_	Cadence Desig	in Systems		Dawe, Pie			Nvidia		
<i>comment Type</i> Insert correct of	_	Comment Status D				egrade		Comment Status D tivate threshold register shou		
uggestedRemed	У							it's for Clause 119 PCS RS(54 in this draft.	44,514) FEC all	
Replace 45 wi	ith a subclus	e number or a cross refere	nce to Clause 4	5	Suggested					
Proposed Respon	se F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			00			graded SER rows		
	external to the	PRINCIPLE. is amendment, so the refer ment document.	ence is highligh	nted in green and does	Proposed PROP	,	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
The only claus	se 45 subcla	uses in 802.3cw are those	with changes fr	om the base standard.	C/ 155	SC	155.5.1	P 67	L 37	# 145
155 SC 1	155.5.1	P 67	L 15	# 144	Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisco System	IS	
	100.0.1			// 144	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		SD FEC error coun
Vicholl, Gary         Cisco Systems           Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         FEC degrade           In Table 155-8 there are several MDIO control variables associated with "FEC degraded SER" processing, but I can find no description of FEC degraded SER processing in the draft ? For 400GBASE-R the FEC degrade SER processing is associated with the RS544					Table 155-9 provides FEC coorected and uncorrected codeword counts for the SC-FEC ? Should there be similar monitoring for the SD-FEC ? This is missing in the current draft ? SuggestedRemedy Define FEC monitoring for the SD-FEC.					
FEC and base	ed on monito	ring for RS symbol errors v	ithin a given tir	ne interval (as	-					
described in se	ection 119.2	.5.3).	-	·	•		REJECT.	Response Status W		
		g similar for 400GBASE-ZF oring a combination of the						at the SD-FEC is not able to one of it's allowed 119-bit code		
This appears t	to be comple	etely missing from the curre	nt draft.					and correction by the SC-FEC	C decoder, which	n is able to keep a
uggestedRemed	y				count	of corr	ected and	uncorrected errors.		
Define a FEC section 119.2.		nitoring scheme for 400GB BASE-R).	ASE-ZR (simila	ar to what was done in						
roposed Respons PROPOSED A	-	Response Status <b>W</b> PRINCIPLE.								
A contribution	is needed.									

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1

AM lock

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 67	L 37	# 146	
Nicholl, Ga	iry	Cisco Systems			

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 155-9 has a MDIO variable called "SC-FEC AM lock, which referes to a PCS/PMS variable "amps\_locked". However when I look in section 155.4.2 (state variables), "amps\_lock" is based on locking onto the aignment marker (AM). But then in Figure 155-2 it appears that the "AM detect" block appears after the "SC-FEC decoding" block, so how can "amps\_lock" be used to lock onto the SC-FEC frame ? Are the AM frames and the SC-FEC frames aligned, and is the AM used by the SC-FEC decoding block to lock onto the SC-FEC frame .

### SuggestedRemedy

This is simply a question for clarification. Depending on the answer changes may or may not be requred in the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

### PROPOSED REJECT.

The AM field is part of the 400GBASE-ZR frame, which is not aligned with particular SC-FEC blocks, except by the fact that every five SC-FEC blocks become 119 rows in the flow of 400GBASE-ZR frames. This is why we need a PCS AM lock process (Fig 155-16). Other comments pointed out that the PCS AM lock process takes place after the CRC32 error check and marking block, not directly at the output of the SC-FEC decoder.

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 67	L <b>46</b>	# 406
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		MDIO mapping

The MDIO references for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters only point to the Clause 45 register, which then points you back to Clause 153 for the definition of the counter. In Clause 153 it refers to "fec\_align\_status" which does not exist in Clause 155.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x FEC\_corrected\_cw\_counter

A corrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The FEC\_corrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter

An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not completely corrected.

The FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We should make clear that these are SC-FEC codewords.

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x SC-FEC\_corrected\_cw\_counter

A corrected SC-FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The SC-FEC\_corrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y SC-FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter

An uncorrected SC-FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including SC-FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gen	eral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 91 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.5.1	9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

completely corrected.

The SC-FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected SC-FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155. Add the required modifications to those clauses in 802.3cw clause 45, with editorial license.

C/ <b>155</b> Slavick, J	SC 155.5.1	P <b>67</b> Broadcom	L <b>46</b>	# 407	Proposed PROF	•
Comment		Comment Status D		MDIO mapping	C/ 155	SC
	orrected bit and to use 155 now.	otal bit MDIO registers refer	to Clause 153 or		Nicholl, G	
					Comment	Туре
	ne following sub-c .1.x FEC_total_bit				Table in an o status	earlier
See 1	53.2.5.3 for the d	efinition of this counter.			<i>Suggested</i> The d	
	.1.y FEC_correcte 53.2.5.4 for the de	ed_bits_counter efinition of this counter.			Define sectio	
Bring clause		45.2.1.230 and add appro	priate references	to these new sub-	Proposed PROF	•
•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			A con descri	
	ne following sub-c .1.x FEC_total_bit					

Reference 153.2.5.3 for the definition of this counter.

155.5.1.y FEC\_corrected\_bits\_counter

Reference 153.2.5.4 for the definition of this counter.

Bring in 45.2.1.229 and 45.2.1.230 and add appropriate references to these new subclauses, with editorial license.

C/ 155	sc ·	155.5.1	Pe	7	L <b>47</b>	# 491
Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvid	а		
Comment : broken	• •	E e names	Comment Status	D		bucke
<i>Suggested</i> Widen			width until they fit			
Proposed I PROP	•	se ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
C/ 155	sc ·	155.5.1	Pe	8	L 1	# 147
Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisc	o Syst	ems	
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	D		FEC degrade
in an e		omment th			FEC degraded SER' iption as to how the	', but as pointed out "FEC degraded SER"
Suggested	Remed	y				
The de	scriptio	n for "FE	C degraded SER" is	miss	ing from the draft.	
			nonitoring scheme 0GBASE-R).	for 40	0GBASE-ZR (similar	to what was done in
Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status	w		
PROP	OSED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
A conti descrip		is needeo	d. The description v	vould	become part of the S	C-FEC decoder

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1

MDIO mapping

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 68	L <b>27</b>	# 312
Law, Dav	id	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Register bits 3.52.3:0 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.25) are PCS lane alignment lock status registers, yet they are mapped to PMA lane alignment lock variables (faw\_lock<3:0>). Similarly, register bit 3.50.12 is the PCS alignment status, yet it is mapped to the PMA alignment status variable (pma\_align\_status).

If there was a 400GBASE-ZR framing issue on a link where the PMA framing was operating correctly, the faws\_lock<3:0> bits and the pma\_align\_status would all be true based on the respective frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagrams, while the PCS would not be aligned based on the alignment marker lock state diagram. In that case, the current register mapping would indicate that all the PCS lanes were aligned, and the overall PCS was aligned, when in fact this is not the case. This would seem to be misleading information to provide in the management registers in such a case.

Further, register 3.400 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.49) through 3.419 are the 'PCS lane mapping registers, lanes 0 through 19' and these registers report the PCS lane number provide by the alignment marker for the respective PMA service interface lane. Table 155-9, however, maps these PCS lane mapping registers to the PAM lane mapping variable 'pma\_lane\_mapping<x>' output by Figure 155-14, the 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The first 1920 bits of the frame contain alignment markers (AM).' and that 'These are identical to the 16 x 120b markers defined for 400GBASE-R in 119.2.4.4.2.'. Since the 16 different 400GBASE-R PCS lane alignment markers are all placed in a single 400GBASE-ZR alignment marker (see 155.2.4.4.1) it seems that 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes. This seems to be confirmed in subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' which says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes across the PMA service interface, therefore there is no PCS lane alignment lock status nor PCS Lane mapping.

Finally, register bits 3.52.3:0, 3.50.12, and 3.400 through 3.403, which are all PCS register bits defined for MMD 3 (see IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Table 45-1), are mapped to variables found in the PMA. As illustrated in Figure 120A-9 (page 103), MMD 3 does not have access to the PMA (or PMD) as they are in MMD 1.

Based on the above, suggest that two new subclauses are added to say that registers 3.52, 3.53 and 3.400 through 3.403 are not used by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface. Require all PCS lane alignment bits to be set to zero. The content of the PCS lane mapping registers does not need to be defined because their content is only valid when the respective PCS lane alignment bit is set to one. In addition, suggest that the PCS lane alignment status bit be mapped from the 'amps\_lock' variable generated by the Figure 155-16, the PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

### Suggested changes:

[1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.

[2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

[3] Change the variable 'pma\_align\_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps\_lock'.

[4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.

[5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

[1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.

[2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

[3] Change the variable 'pma\_align\_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps lock'.

[4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.

[5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

### SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 Page 93 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 68	L 30	# 194	C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L <b>20</b>	# 192
D'Ambros	a, John	Fuuturewei, L	JS Subsidiary of	Huawei	D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
400G	there a reference to BASE-ZR PHY	<i>comment Status</i> <b>D</b> a PCS lane alignment s	tatus? There are	<i>MDIO mapping</i> e no PCS lanes in the	These	iated clauses clauses are r	Comment Status A include the 400GBASE-R PCS eferenced via the extender sub		
Suggested					Suggester	-			
		d to be PMA lane alignm	ent status				Clause 119, 120, and all AUI re	elated clauses.	
	OSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation. For comment resc	olution group (CF	(G) consideration.		PT IN PRINC			
C/ 155	SC 155.7.4.1	P 70	L 24	# 346		ment page 10 //www.ieee802	of 2.org/3/cw/public/22_09/dambrc	osia 3cw 01a 22	209.pdf
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consult	ing/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma					
Comment	Type <b>TR</b> C	comment Status D		PICS		ment page 11 //www.ieee802	ot 2.org/3/cw/public/22_09/dambro	osia 3cw 01a 22	209.pdf
this is	where it became app	on the requirements. I a arent. The style of IEEE	SA standards (	and IEEE Std 802.3) is		editorial licens			·
		erm "shall". Each PICS /e a PICS. However, 15			C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L 33	# 90
the mo	ost part. Further, look	king at the subclauses, th	ney are largely w	ithout "shalls". Most of	Ran, Ade	е	Cisco		
shall.	They use "is" or othe	descriptive of an implem r descriptive language. of those functional block	The PICS are a	list of the functional	Comment Font s	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> size mismatch	Comment Status D in "120C"		bucket
particu	Ilar implementation ("	an implementation or, w an implementation shall n carefully considering w	"). What needs t	to happen is that the	Suggestee Redue	5	ch surrounding text, here and e	lsewhere if neces	ssary
interoj job, ar begun	perability, and deleting nd, in my opinion, mea initial working group	g the unnecessary imple ans the draft is not techn ballot. I truly regret havi ple of why we have work	mentation descri nically complete, ng to make a co	iption. This is a big and should not have mment like this, but l		Response POSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Suggested	0	pie of with we have work	ang group ballots	5 11 002.	Corre	ct the font as i	equired with editorial license		
	•	o far from complete that	I cannot propose	e a specific remedy for	C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L 48	# 492
the sy	stematic problem. I c	an suggest that the TF I	ook at each subl	block, determine what	Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
staten Additio	nents in the subclause onally, this will highlig	etermine which parts ma es. Then those shall sta ht where there is implem	tements can be lientation descrip	made as PICS.	<i>Comment</i> Claus	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> e 116 and the	Comment Status D		bucket
Proposed	Response Re	, restart working group b esponse Status <b>W</b>	allot.		Suggester comm	•			
With e		RINCIPLE. out the suggested reme nem "shall" statements ir		interoperability	,	Response POSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
					Chan	ne "Clause 11	6 and the purpose" to "Clause 1	116. and the purp	oose

Change "Clause 116 and the purpose" to "Clause 116, and the purpose

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156	Page 94 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.1	9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

/ 156 SC 156.1 P 92 L 44 # 557	C/ 156 SC 156.1.1	P 74	L 39	# 493
awe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
omment Type E Comment Status D Should be under 156.9.10	Comment Type E PMA (Clause 155)	Comment Status D		
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy PMA (155.3)			
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status <b>W</b> L		
It is common to point to locations outside the same subclause for additional information,	C/ 156 SC 156.1.1	P 74	L 41	# 313
see 156.9.3 as an example.	Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 39 # 91	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
lan, Adee Cisco		t error ratio' says ' for 64-oc		
omment Type T Comment Status D		processed by the CFEC (Clar o imply a function but isn't CF		
"The bit error ratio (BER) when processed by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA (Clause 155) shall be less than 1.25 × 10^–2…"		le consisting of an inner SC-F		
The output of the PMA is not bits but samples that are fed into the SD-FEC in the PCS. A	SuggestedRemedy			
BER cannot be defined at this interface before SD-FEC decoding, so this normative requirement is meaningless.	additionally processed	for 64-octet frames with min I by the CFEC (Clause 155).' : inimum interpacket gap after (	should be chang	ged to read ' ' for 64
Maybe the intent was after the SD-FEC decoder (which is in the PCS)?	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Perhaps the PMD/PMA BER should not be specified for this PHY.	PROPOSED ACCEP	,		
uggestedRemedy	C/ 156 SC 156.1.1	P 74	L <b>41</b>	# 314
Consider removing this requirement and defining only the PCS output frame loss ratio.				# 314
	Law, David		ard Enterprise	
Otherwise, rewrite to create a well-defined requirement.	Comment Type E	Comment Status D s with minimum interpacket	' should road '	from on with a
roposed Response Response Status W	minimum interpacket	•	should read	. Itallies with a
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy			
Change the 1st paragraph of 156.1.1 to:	See comment.			
"The symbol error ratio (SER) after processing by the PMA (155.3) shall be less than 1x10 1 provided that the error statistics are sufficiently random that this results in a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.275) of less than $1.7 \times 10-12$ for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed by the CFEC (Clause 155)."	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
In the 2nd paragraph change "BER" to "SER".				
See response to comment 493.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.1.1

C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 74	L <b>52</b>	# 315	CI 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 13	# 94	_
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Ran, Adee	Cisco			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D			
	A entity that resides just above t er that resides just above the P				he PMA sends digital symbols streams" (which is an undefine		npled) from a set of 4	
SuggestedRemedy				Also applies to 156	.5.2 which contains very similar	toxt		
See comment.					.5.2 WHICH COMAINS VELY SIMILAR	lexi.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy Change "In the tran PMD"	smit direction, the PMA continu	iously sends four	analog streams to the	;
Review supporting p	presentation, for comment resolu	ution group (CRG	) consideration.	to "In the transmit dire to the PMD".	ection, the PMA continuously se	nds four streams	of quaternary symbol	s
C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L <b>3</b>	# 92					
Ran, Adee	Cisco			v	then converts these four analog	ງ streams"		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			to "The PMD then cor	verts these streams of symbols	5".		
	e of this PMD is not consistent ware analog signals, not streams		,	Apply in 156.5.2, if	,			
				Proposed Response	Response Status W			
SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the text with	out referring to 116.3 (or make	it "similar to 116.3	3 but")	PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Review supporting	presentation, for comment reso	lution group (CRG	3) consideration.	
Review supporting p	presentation, for comment resolu	ution group (CRG	i) consideration.					
C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 11	# 93					
Ran, Adee	Cisco							
Comment Type E "The 400GBASE-ZR	Comment Status <b>D</b> R PMD has four analog streams	in which case i =	= 0 to 3."					
why "in which case"	?							
SuggestedRemedy								
change "in which ca	se" to "hence".							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 156 SC 156.2

	SC 156.2	P 75	L 14	# 316	C/ <b>156</b>	SC 156.2	P 75	L 14	# 494	
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			
Comment Ty	ype <b>T</b> Con	nment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D			
	use '155.3.3 Functions				3, 1, -	1, and -3				
	etween the PCS layer ise 155.3.3.4 '16QAM (				Suggested	Remedy				
converte 400GBA	ed to four analog signa ASE-ZR PMD sublayer	als' and that 'The ar over the PMD:IS_UN	nalog signals are s IITDATA 0.reques	sent to the st to	Please and 15		ds in the usual way: -3, -1, 1, ar	nd 3, and in next	paragraph and 156.5.2	
PMD:IS	_UNITDATA_3.reques interface is a set of an	st sublayer signals.'. It	t, therefore, appea	ars that the PMD	Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
	he PMD service interfa		y, Figure 155-10 s	STOWS & DEC DIOCK	PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
	use 156.2 'Physical Me				Review	w supporting p	resentation, for comment resolu	ution group (CRC	G) consideration.	
	smit direction, the PM/ alues of 3, 1, -1, and -				C/ 156	SC 156.2	P 75	L 18	# 96	
correct t	to say ' with binary v	alues'.			Ran, Adee	e	Cisco			
SuggestedR	Remedy				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D			
•	ations with the values o		e 39) the text ' X	and Y polarizations	"Analog streams" is an undefined term and is not used in other clauses (previous instances of this term have been removed by 802.3dc and earlier revision projects). Also applies to 156.5.3 which contains very similar text.					
with bina	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a	and -3.' should be cha	inged to read ' X	and Y polarizations		••	5.3 which contains very similar t	text.		
with bina with the	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and	and -3.' should be cha I -3.'.	inged to read ' X	and Y polarizations	Suggested	Remedy	·			
with bina with the Proposed Re	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and	and -3.' should be cha -3.'. bonse Status W	inged to read ' X	and Y polarizations	Suggested Chang the sig	Remedy	ontinuously sends four analog s		MA, corresponding to	
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. ponse Status W NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P	<i>Remedy</i> ge "the PMD co gnals received	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to	treams to the PN		
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and <i>esponse Resp</i> DSED ACCEPT IN PRI	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. ponse Status W NCIPLE. on, for comment resolution <i>P</i> <b>75</b>	-		Suggested Chang the sig to "the P	<i>Remedy</i> ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to	treams to the PN		
with bina with the Proposed Ro PROPO Review Cl 156 Ran, Adee	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and <i>Response</i> DSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC <b>156.2</b>	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. bonse Status W NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu P <b>75</b> Cisco	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed	IRemedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI".	treams to the PN		
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review C/ <b>156</b> Ran, Adee Comment Ty	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and <i>Response</i> DSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC <b>156.2</b>	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu <i>P</i> <b>75</b> Cisco nment Status <b>D</b>	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed PROP	Remedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response OSED ACCEF	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI". <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	treams to the PN the PMA, corres	sponding to the optical	
with bina with the Proposed Ro PROPO Review Cl 156 Ran, Adee Comment Ty The valu	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and esponse Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC 156.2 Type T Con	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu <i>P</i> <b>75</b> Cisco nment Status <b>D</b>	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed PROP	Remedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response OSED ACCEF	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI". <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	treams to the PN the PMA, corres	sponding to the optical	
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review C/ <b>156</b> Ran, Adee Comment Ty The valu Also app	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and esponse Resp DSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC 156.2 Type T Con ues listed are not binant plies in 156.5.2	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu <i>P</i> <b>75</b> Cisco nment Status <b>D</b>	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed PROP	Remedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response OSED ACCEF	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI". <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	treams to the PN the PMA, corres	sponding to the optical	
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review C/ 156 Ran, Adee Comment Ty The valu Also app	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and response Resp DSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC 156.2 Type T Con ues listed are not binar plies in 156.5.2 Remedy	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu <i>P</i> <b>75</b> Cisco nment Status <b>D</b>	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed PROP	Remedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response OSED ACCEF	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI". <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	treams to the PN the PMA, corres	sponding to the optical	
with bina with the Proposed Re PROPO Review Cl 156 Ran, Adee Comment Ty The valu Also app SuggestedR	ary values of 3, 1, -1, a values of 3, 1, -1, and esponse Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI supporting presentation SC 156.2 Type T Con ues listed are not binant plies in 156.5.2 Remedy 'binary''.	and -3.' should be cha  -3.'. NCIPLE. on, for comment resolu <i>P</i> <b>75</b> Cisco nment Status <b>D</b>	ution group (CRG)	) consideration.	Suggested Chang the sig to "the P signal Proposed PROP	Remedy ge "the PMD co gnals received MD continuous received from Response OSED ACCEF	ontinuously sends four analog s from the MDI" sly sends four analog signals to the MDI". <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	treams to the PN the PMA, corres	sponding to the optical	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.2 Page 97 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

2	156.2		P 75	L 22	# 495	C/ <b>156</b>	SC	156.3.1	P 75	L 35	# 497
			Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
	-		nment Status <b>D</b> T parameter": 156.5	.4 says it's a para	meter, this and that	Comment 2048 t	<i>Type</i> pit times	T S	Comment Status D		
	ole ly					Suggested 8192 t	<i>Remed</i>				
b	le					Proposed	Resnon	se	Response Status W		
	ise ACCEP <sup>-</sup>	'	oonse Status W NCIPLE.			,	'		IN PRINCIPLE.		
se	e to com	ment 318	3						2048 bit times (4 pause_qua ee_quanta or 20.48 ns)"	anta or 20.48 ns	)" to "no more than
2	156.2		P <b>75</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 97	C/ 156	SC	156.3.2	P 75	L 41	# 98
			Cisco			Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
	т	Corr	nment Status D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		
ea	ht intens ly DTE.	ity and th	he PMD does not de	tect bits.		separa variati Is ske	ate logic on can't w variat	: This ma exist, e.g	ned as operating in one cloc y be worth mentioning (as o l. 140.3.2). oposed to static skew) releva	done in other cas	ses where skew
	ise	,	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			output	?				
			.3-2022 clause 154 a	and was specifical	ly added to clarity		e is no s ed at al		ation between SP2 and SP3	then skew varia	tion need not be
				•		Suggested	Remed	'y			
2	156.2		P 75	L <b>26</b>	# 496	Add a	statem	ent that th	at there is no skew variatior	at TP2.	
			Nvidia			lf skev	v variati	on betwe	en the PMDs isn't relevant, o	hange also the	text about skew
	Т		nment Status D						P4, as in 140.3.2.	inange alee the	
		ovide su eter is fix	fficient light for a SIC	GNAL_DETECT =	OK": this note isn't						
	ly					Proposed			Response Status W		
	•	kplain the	e situation			PROP	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
or	ise	Resp	oonse Status W			Review	v suppo	orting pres	entation, for comment resol	ution group (CR	G) consideration.
or D	nse REJECT ng is col	Resp		ises in IEEE Std 8	02.3-2022 and	Review	v suppo	orting pres	entation, for	comment resol	comment resolution group (CR

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.3.2 Page 98 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

CI 156 SC 156.3	3.2 P 75	L <b>44</b>	#	<sup>±</sup> 193	C/ 156	SC 1	56.3.2	P 75	L <b>46</b>	# 317
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	f Huawei		Law, Davi	d		Hewlett P	ackard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
	kew constraints need to be revi ly, but current pointer is to 80-8			s not part of	lanes	is kept w	ithin limits s		on the FEC lanes of	can be reassembled by
SuggestedRemedy								ause 155, 400GBASE Jbclause 155.2.4.3 'GN		
Revisit skew const The diagram refere	raints as needed. ence should be 116-4.				frames	s are not	mapped to	16 PCS lanes'. As vord that describes an	far as I can see, the	e 8-bit PMA service
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	Response Status <b>W</b> EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				interfa	ce which	has four la	155-2. As a result, the ines which carry four a ase component of the t	nalogue streams re	presenting the in-
Review supporting	presentation, for comment reso	olution group (CR	G) consi	deration.	Table	156-6 sp	ecifies a m	aximum polarization sl	kew of 5 ps (page 8	2, line 45) and a
C/ 156 SC 156.3		L <b>44</b>	#	99	maxim The S	num quad kew at S	drature skev P3 (the trar	w is 0.75 ps (page 83, nsmitter MDI) shall be	line 6). Subclause less than 54 ns and	156.3.2, however, says I the Skew Variation at
Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>T</b>	Cisco Comment Status D				assum	ning no re		suspect that the form the PMD, the other valu		
	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The d	iagram for skew p	points for	400GBASE-R		t either.				
PHYs is in Figure 2	116–5.				Suggested	,		sp't soom to support F	EC lange and save	s it doesn't support PCS
Also, there SP0 an	d SP7 are not defined for 400G	BASE-R PHYs.						use 156.3.2 is deleted		
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed	Respons	e F	Response Status W		
Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11	nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Figure 6–5".	e 80-8" to "at the p	points SF	P1 to SP6	PROP	OSED A	CCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W				Review	w suppor	ting presen	tation, for comment re	solution group (CR	G) consideration.
PROPOSED ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 156	SC 1	56.3.2	P 75	L <b>52</b>	# 498
Review supporting	presentation, for comment reso	olution aroup (CR	G) consi	deration	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
			<i>c) c</i>			ese Ske	v and SV li	Comment Status <b>D</b> mits plausible? What d ds new numbers.	does the PMA need	l? This is a hybrid of
					Suggested Revise	,		opropriate to DP-16PA	M technology and t	he channel
					Proposed	Respons	e F	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.		
					Review	w suppor	ting presen	tation, for comment re	solution group (CR	G) consideration.

C/ 156 SC 156.3.2 Page 99 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 156 SC 18	6.4	P 76	L 38	# 318	C/ <b>156</b>	SC 156.4	P	76	L <b>40</b>	# 319
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, Davi	ł	Hev	vlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	r Con	nment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Statu	s D		
subclause 156.	, should be dr	the PMD_global_sig iven. Subclause 156 s set to a fixed OK va	5.4 'PMD global s	ignal detect function'	Tx_ind in the chann	lex_ability_63 draft. What ha el index regist	nces to describe the u and Rx_index_ability_ appens if a value is se er (page 76, line 25) o	0 to Rx_i lected in T orrespond	ndex_ability_63 d Fx optical channel ding to an index v	efined in Table 156 I index or Rx optical alue in the Tx index
SuggestedRemedy							ability 63 or Rx index false. Is the write to th			
Suggest that:					index	egister ignore	ed and operation conti ransmission of recept	nues on th	ne existing value?	Or is the value
				38) should be deleted. ect (1.10.0)' be added	Suggester				o, ao ino inaon na	
to the draft that 45.2.1.9.7.	adds 'This bit	is not supported by th	ne 400GBÁSE-ZR	PMDs.' to subclause			t paragraph of 164.5, channel_index be upd			
Proposed Response		oonse Status W					channel_index interac and Rx_index_ability_		/	-
PROPOSED A	CEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
		EE Std 802.3-2022 s t resolution group (CI			PROF	OSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
		<b>5</b> 1 (			In clau	se 45.x.x.x				
					ability	registers. A P	nel indices of the PM/ MA/PMD may ignore as not advertised in th	writes to th	he PMA/PMD cha	annel index bits that

With editorial license.

-				
C/ 156	SC 156.4	P 79	L <b>52</b>	# 325
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucket
		o the variable 'Tx_optical_freque annel_index', see page 76, line		his subclause should
Suggested	dRemedy			

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ <b>156</b>	SC 156.4	P <b>79</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 324	C/ 156	SC 156.5.1	P 77	L 30	# 499
Law, Davi	id	Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise		Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	• •	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		buck
		variable 'Rx_optical_frequency		on page 81 line 44	blank	line(s)			
		cal_channel_index', see page 7	o, ine 25.		Suggested	dRemedy			
Suggested	omment.				Remo	ve			
		Designed Office M			Proposed		Response Status W		
	Response	Response Status <b>W</b> T IN PRINCIPLE.			PROF	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			Remo	ve any blank line	es with editorial license		
Impler	ment suggested	remedies with editorial license	9		C/ 156	SC 156.5.2	P 77	L 35	# 100
C/ <b>156</b>	SC 156.4	P 79	L 53	# 326	Ran. Ade		Cisco	2 33	# 100
Law, Davi	id	Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise		Comment		Comment Status D		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucket		51	use practically repeats a para	agraph in 156.2	
		/ariable 'Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_a _ability', see page 76, line 44.	ability' should be	to		rly for 156.5.3.			
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
See c	omment.				Apply	any changes to	these two paragraphs in 156	.2 to these subcl	auses too.
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			PROF	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Impler	ment suggested	remedies with editorial license	e		Revie	w supporting pre	esentation, for comment resol	lution group (CR	G) consideration.
C/ 156	SC 156.5.1	P 77	L 18	# 320					
Law, Davi	id	Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise						
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D							
signal value.	detect function	5.4 'PMD global signal detect f shall set the state of the SIGN n correct to show the SIGNAL re 156-2 'Block diagram for 40	AL_DETECT par DETECT emana	ameter to a fixed OK ting from the 'Optical					
Suggested	-	<b>0</b>							
		_DETECT be removed from F	igure 156-2.						
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
	•	T IN PRINCIPLE.							
See re	esponse to com	ment 318							
	,	- · · -							

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2

C/ 156	SC 156.5.2	P 77	L 35	# 321	C/ 156	SC 156.5.	2 P 77	7 L 39	# 218
.aw, David		Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise		Huber, Th	nomas	Nokia	I	
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D	
		ted by the PMD service in			"Binai	ry values 3, 1,	-1, -3" doesn't seem to b	pe correct since there	e are four values listed.
		service interface, either fro tion, abstract service inter			Suggeste	dRemedy			
primitiv	es. In the case of	the inter-sublayer service	interface primitives	s defined in	Chan	ge "binary valu	es" to "symbol values".		
116.3.3	.1.1) and rx_symb	ed by IEEE P802.3cw, the ool (see 116.3.3.2.1).	ese parameters are	e tx_symbol (see	,	Response	<i>Response Status</i> PT IN PRINCIPLE.	W	
SuggestedF	-								
Sugges	it:				Revie	w supporting p	resentation, for commer	nt resolution group (C	CRG) consideration.
		ansmit function shall conv			C/ 156	SC 156.5.	2 P 77	7 L 40	# 219
		ace messages PMD:IS_U equest into' (page 77, lir			Huber, Th	nomas	Nokia	l	
PMD TI	ransmit function sl	hall convert the four analo	g streams from the		Comment	51	Comment Status	-	bucke
		e in the tx_symbol parame equest to PMD:IS_UNITDA		nitives into '	Table	155-2 is mapp	oing the value of a pair o	f FEC-encoded bits t	o the symbol values.
					Suggeste	•			
[2] The	text ' The PMD Re	eceive function shall conve alog streams for delivery t	ert the composite of the PMD service	optical signal received			tence of the paragraph t n Table 155-2."	o read "The mapping	of FEC bits to symbol
messag	ges PMD:IS_UNIT	DATA_0.indication to PM	D:IS_UNITDATA_3	3.indication, all	•	Response	Response Status	14/	
shall co	nvert the composi	ne 45) should be changed ite optical signal received service interface to the PM	from the MDI into	four analog streams		POSED ACCE		vv	
PMD:IS	_UNITDATA_0.in	dication to PMD:IS_UNITI	DATA_3.indication	primitives, all	C/ 156	SC 156.5.	2 P 77	7 L 40	# 500
accordi	ng'.				Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia	a	
		signals are sent to the 400			Comment		Comment Status		bucke
		equest to PMD:IS_UNITDA le 58, line 33) is changed t			The n	napping of the	analog values to the syr	nbol amplitudes is lis	ted in Table 155-2.
passed	across the PMD s	service interface to the PM equest to PMD:IS_UNITDA	ID in the tx_symbo	ol parameters of the	Suggeste	dRemedy			
[4] The	text 'Four coherer	nt signals IX, QX, IY, and (	OY are supplied by	the receive function	Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
of the 4	00GBASE-ZR PN	ID and input to the 400GB	ASE-ZR PMA ove	r the	PROF	POSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
155.3.3 receive rx_sym	5 (page 58, line 4 d by the PMD are bol parameters of	dication to PMD:IS_UNITI 17) is changed to read 'For passed across the PMD s the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_	ur coherent signals service interface to	s IX, QX, IY, and QY	See r	esponse to cor	nment 219		
		dication primitives.							
Proposed R	SED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W							

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 Page 102 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 F	P77 L41	# 322	C/ 156 SC 156.6	P 78	L <b>49</b>	# 323
Law, David He	wlett Packard Enterprise	)	Law, David	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment Type T Comment State Subclause 156.5.2 'PMD transmit functio symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155- the mapping between the 128-bit digital of phase (I) and quadrature-phase (Q) comp	n' says 'The mapping of -2.'. Is this correct, Table code word from the SD-F	155–2 seems to provide EC encoder to the in-	associated with the 40 frequency'. Dpoesr	Comment Status A DWDM channel over a DWI 00GBASE-ZR PMD, over wh 't the PHY to operate over tw channel ability is true?	ch the PHY operat	tes at a single optical
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
Change reference if required. Proposed Response Response Statu	ıs W			over which the PHY opera hanged to read ' over which		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 219			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
	P78 L3 ridia us D	# 501		th the PHY operates at a sing avelength) on a defined frequ		
No SD!			C/ 156 SC 156.6	P 79	L 10	# 328
SuggestedRemedy			Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type <b>ER</b>	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status <b>R</b>	tum/Marvell	
Proposed Response Response Statu	·		• •	figure 156-3 to also add TP2	_0, TP2_n, TP3_0	), and TP3_n
Proposed Response Response Statu PROPOSED REJECT.	75 <b>VV</b>		SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, T	P3_0, and TP3_n		
Comment unclear and no suggested rem	edy provided		Response REJECT.	Response Status U		
				connecting to TP2 and TP3 a figure in IEEE Std 802.3-20		diagram. Figure
			C/ 156 SC 156.6	P 79	L 18	# 502
			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
			Comment Type E misuse of TP2	Comment Status R		
			SuggestedRemedy			
			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
			Comment unclear and	l no suggested remedy provi	ded	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.6 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

	C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 1 # 505
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket blank line	Comment Type E Comment Status D but blank lines 1 to 3
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Remove any blank lines with editorial license	Remove any blank lines with editorial license
C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 48 # 101	C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 7 # 506
Ran, Adee       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         "Tx" and "Rx" should not be used as abbreviations of the terms "transmitter" and "receiver" (except in variable and register names, in diagram labels, or as qualifiers).       Bucket         SuggestedRemedy       Comment Status       D       Comment Status	Dawe, Piers Nvidia <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> f not defined <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>
Change to "transmitter" and "receiver" here and in other places as appropriate. <i>oposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Change "Tx" to "transmitter" and change "Rx" to "receiver" through the document. With editorial license.	fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figu 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022
/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 52 # 504	A straw poll was taken:
	I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed
Dawe Piers Nvidia	
	Yes: 16 No: 2
omment Type E Comment Status D bucket Rx_optical_frequency_index Tx_optical_frequency_index Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability	
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Rx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability         uggestedRemedy       Tables       156-2, 3 and a later sentence have       Tx_optical_channel_index	No: 2
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Rx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability         suggestedRemedy       Tables       156-2, 3 and a later sentence have       Tx_optical_channel_index         Rx_optical_channel_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability         proposed Response       Response Status       W	No: 2 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Rx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability         SuggestedRemedy       Tables       156-2, 3 and a later sentence have       Tx_optical_channel_index         Rx_optical_channel_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability	No: 2         CI 156         SC 156.6         P 80         L 28         # 507           Dawe, Piers         Nvidia           Comment Type         E         Comment Status         R
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Rx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability         SuggestedRemedy       Tables       156-2, 3 and a later sentence have       Tx_optical_channel_index         Rx_optical_channel_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	No: 2 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R square or round brackets
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       bucket         Rx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_optical_frequency_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ability         SuggestedRemedy       Tables       156-2, 3 and a later sentence have       Tx_optical_channel_index         Rx_optical_channel_index       Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ability         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	No: 2         Cl 156       SC 156.6       P 80       L 28       # 507         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       R         square or round brackets       SuggestedRemedy         Response       Response Status       C

C/ 156 Page 104 of 127 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.6 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7	P 84	L <b>22</b>	# 334	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 23	# 509
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
	erate 26 dB OSNR and meet t min) of 29 dB provides	the requried error	r rate, it is not clear	Why +/-20 ppm?			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Need discustions on t	the intent				<b>-</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJECT	•			PROPOSED REJEC	1.		
				Values per adopted b	paselines and no suggested re	emedy	
	ance is measured without line er OSNR which includes line in			C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 82	L <b>23</b>	# 508
156 SC 156.7	P 84	L 24	# 333	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Shiasi. Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell		Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Why 59.84375?			
• ·	nce is not defined at point till of	one reads sectior	n 156.9.24	SuggestedRemedy			
	•			59.84375			
CurrentedRemedy				59.64375			
SuggestedRemedy Please add reference	to 156.9.24			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
					,		
Please add reference	to 156.9.24 Response Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	,	emedy	
Please add reference Response REJECT. All specifications in Ta	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	T. baselines and no suggested re	emedy L 27	# 510
Please add reference esponse REJECT. All specifications in Ta in 156.9 which is after	Response Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted b	T. baselines and no suggested re	•	# <u>510</u>
Please add reference esponse REJECT. All specifications in Ta in 156.9 which is after 2022.	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted t Cl 156 SC 156.7.1	T. paselines and no suggested re <i>P</i> 82	•	# [510
Please add reference esponse REJECT. All specifications in Ta in 156.9 which is after 2022.	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted b C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia Comment Status D	•	# 510
Please add reference REJECT. All specifications in Ta in 156.9 which is after 2022. 15 SC 156.7.1	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted to Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia Comment Status D	•	# 510
Please add reference REJECT. All specifications in Ta in 156.9 which is after 2022. <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> Ran, Adee <i>comment Type</i> <b>E</b>	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with P 82	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted to Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out SuggestedRemedy Average launch powe	T. paselines and no suggested re <i>P</i> 82 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> put power er as for single-wavelength du	L 27	
Please add reference Please add reference REJECT. All specifications in Tain 156.9 which is after 2022. If <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> Ran, Adee	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with P 82 Cisco	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted B C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out SuggestedRemedy Average launch powe DR, 100GBASE-FR1	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D put power er as for single-wavelength du , and 100GBASE-LR1	L 27	
Please add reference esponse REJECT. All specifications in Tain 156.9 which is after 2022. / <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> tan, Adee omment Type <b>E</b> "+/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with P 82 Cisco	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted to Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out SuggestedRemedy Average launch powe DR, 100GBASE-FR1 Proposed Response	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia Comment Status D put power er as for single-wavelength du , and 100GBASE-LR1 Response Status W	L 27	
Please add reference Response REJECT. All specifications in Tain 156.9 which is after 2022. 7 <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>E</b> "+/- 20ppm"	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with P 82 Cisco Comment Status D	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted B Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out SuggestedRemedy Average launch powe DR, 100GBASE-FR1 Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia Comment Status D put power er as for single-wavelength du , and 100GBASE-LR1 Response Status W T.	L 27	such as 100GBASE-
Please add reference REJECT. All specifications in Tain 156.9 which is after 2022. <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>E</b> "+/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156–7 SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C ables 156-7, -8 and -9 includin r the tables but consistent with P 82 Cisco Comment Status D	multiple clauses	s in IEEE Std 802.3-	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC Values per adopted B Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Average channel out SuggestedRemedy Average launch powe DR, 100GBASE-FR1 Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	T. paselines and no suggested re P 82 Nvidia Comment Status D put power er as for single-wavelength du , and 100GBASE-LR1 Response Status W	L 27	such as 100GBASE-

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 Page 105 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

IEEE P802.3cw D2.0 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems Initial Working Group ballot comments
---

				·				
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	I P 82	L 30	# 353	C/ 156 SC	156.7.1	P 82	L 35	# 329
Maniloff, Eric	Ciena			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Marvell	
	Comment Status <b>D</b> annel crosstalk penalty require o ensure this, adjustable powe				dced for 1st time	<i>iment Status</i> <b>A</b> in table 156-6 with n	ot reference	
SuggestedRemedy Add an entry "Adjust	able Range of Tx Output Powe	er" with Min limite	d to -13 to -9 dBm	SuggestedRemed Add referenc	e to 156.9.4			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN	,	onse Status <b>C</b>		
Review supporting p	resentation, for comment resol	ution aroup (CR	3) consideration	See response	e to comment 103	3		
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1		L <b>30</b>	# 354		156.7.1	P 82	L <b>35</b>	# 103
Maniloff, Eric <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> When adding the Tx	Ciena Comment Status D output power tuning, its accura	acy should be de	fined as well	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> "RRC Roll-O		Cisco Iment Status A is unclear what it me	ans in this contex	t.
Max = 1.0 dB	mit output power control absolu	ite accuracy" wit	n Min = -1.0 dB and	The spectral		in 156.9.4 - reading a parameter values		becomes clear that the
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Instead of lis point to the s		are meaningless wit	hout reading the s	subclause text, simply
Review supporting p	resentation, for comment resol	ution group (CR	G) consideration.	SuggestedReme	dy			
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	I P 82	L 35	# 511	Change "Val	ue" to "See 156.9	.4" and use em-dash	for "Unit" in both	rows.
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status A			Response ACCEPT.	Resp	onse Status C		
RRC Roll-Off				C/ 156 SC	156.7.1	P 82	L <b>48</b>	# 337
SuggestedRemedy				Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Marvell	
? Response	Response Status <b>C</b>				perability using E	nment Status <b>R</b> VM may need additio ay_3cw_01a_220523		sed on the data in
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			SuggestedReme	—	ay_00w_01a_220020	,	
See response to con	nment 103				•	EVM will provide the	IEEE level of inte	roperability
				Response REJECT.	Resp	onse Status U		
				No suggeste	d remedy provide	d		
	ired ER/editorial required GR					C/ 1	56	Page 106 of 127

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.7.1 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Co Several things with max and doesn't define its sign SuggestedRemedy	Nvidia o <i>mment Status</i> <b>A</b> I min, others without. De	ofinition of 156 0	
Several things with max and doesn't define its sign		finition of 156 0	
5			14 in I-Q phase error
Response Re. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status <b>C</b>		
In table 156-6 delete "I-Q ph	nase error (min)", change	e "I-Q phase erro	r (max)" to "I-Q phase
		•	
With editorial license			
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 82	L <b>54</b>	# 514
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E Co	omment Status D		bucket
bottom line of table			
SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.		
01 450 00 450 7 4	P 83	L 8	# 104
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1			
C/ <b>156</b> SC <b>156.7.1</b> Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Ran, Adee	Cisco omment Status D		
Ran, Adee			
Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> Co dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit.			
Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>T</b> Co dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit. Also in Table 156–7.	omment Status D	otion or add a foo	otnote to explain if
Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>T</b> Co dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit. Also in Table 156–7. SuggestedRemedy Change to dB and move the necessary.	omment Status D	otion or add a foo	otnote to explain if
	In table 156-6 delete "I-Q pr error magnitude (max)" with With editorial license <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.7.1</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Cu</i> bottom line of table <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> <i>Proposed Response Re</i> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Remove any blank lines with	In table 156-6 delete "I-Q phase error (min)", change error magnitude (max)" with a value of 5. With editorial license CI 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D bottom line of table SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license	In table 156-6 delete "I-Q phase error (min)", change "I-Q phase error error magnitude (max)" with a value of 5. With editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 54 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D bottom line of table SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.7.1 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 8	# 352	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 16	# 331
Maniloff, Eric	Ciena			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell	
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> In-band should not be ca	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type TR Transmit ouptut power	Comment Status D stability max=1 dB does not	define the time i	nterval
uggestedRemedy change In to in roposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Is the time interval 1 us	, 1 ms, 1 s, or 1 hour. Sugg ptical power is sampled eve	est that the powe	er stability is measur
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 8	# 515	PROPOSED REJECT. Power stability is indep	endent of time interval.		
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status D		bucket	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 18	# 332
Transmitter In-band OSI				Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell	
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
Change In to in					absolute accuracy has to be rent with power stability?	in dBm. Also no	ot clear if this line
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy Need discustions on the	. ,		
See response to comme	ent 352			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
C 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 16	# 330	PROPOSED REJECT.			
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell			Accuracy is measured i	n dB not dBm.		
<i>comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> Transmit output power s	Comment Status <b>D</b> tability can't be negative			C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 20	# 106
uggestedRemedy				Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Remove the negative lin	e			Comment Type <b>T</b> RIN average and RIN p	Comment Status <b>D</b> eak are not designated as n	naximum. I asssı	ume they should be.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy Add "(max)" in both des	C C		,
See responses to comm	ents 353 and 354			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1

	SC 156.7.2	P 83	L 16	# 105	C/ <b>156</b>	SC 156.8		P 84	L 33	# 517
an, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Pie	ers	Ν	lvidia		
omment Ty	ype T Co	omment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Sta	tus <b>R</b>		
		x)" does not depend or			Are the	ese specs for "	black link" or for "D	WDM char	nnel"?	
output. S	So it can't be a receiv	ver specification (as the	e text above the ta	able states).	Suggested	Remedy				
Maybe if	t should be "Average	e receive power tolerand	ce (min)"?							
Similarly	y for "Average receiv	e power (min)" which m	nay be a tolerance	e requirement.	Response REJE		Response Sta	tus C		
Similarly value).	y for Receiver OSNR	(also defined in Table	156-8 for the chai	nnel, with the same	No su	ggested remed	y provided			
ggestedR	Remedy				C/ 156	SC 156.8		P 84	L <b>34</b>	# 327
Change	parameter names a	nd/or add explanations	in footnotes.		Law, Davi	d	F	lewlett Pacl	kard Enterprise	
Conside	er moving parameters	s to the black link chara	acteristics in Table	e 156-8 or deleting	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Sta	tus A		
duplicate				č						eristics' says 'Some
posed Re	esponse Res	sponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤								ative Annex 156A,
PROPO	SED REJECT.						compliant DWDM b quirements in Table			n't appear to be an
							pliant DWDM black		annexe 130A, just	two examples of
		x)" is a receive charact				-				
				blo 167 0	Suggester					
subclau	ses including rable i	151-8, Table 154-8 and	1802.3db D3.2 Ta	ble 167.8.	Suggested		'Some clarification	of the real	urements in Table	156_8 is provided
subclaus	SC 156.7.2	P <b>84</b>	<i>L</i> <b>24</b>	ble 167.8. # <u>5</u> 16	Sugge	est that the text	'Some clarification 6A, as well as exa			e 156–8 is provided lack links.' in
	SC 156.7.2				Sugge inform subcla	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c	6A, as well as exa changed to read 'Se	mples of co	ompliant DWDM bl	
<b>156</b> we, Piers	SC <b>156.7.2</b>	P 84			Sugge inform subcla provid	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15	6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So 6A.'.	mples of co ome examp	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links.' in
<b>156</b> we, Piers <i>mment T</i> y	SC <b>156.7.2</b> s ype <b>E</b> Co	P 84 Nvidia	L <b>24</b>	# 516	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i>	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15	6A, as well as exa changed to read 'Se	mples of co ome examp	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links.' in
<b>156</b> we, Piers <i>mment Ty</i> says tha	SC 156.7.2 s ype E Co at receiver OSNR tole	P 84 Nvidia omment Status D	L <b>24</b>	# 516	Sugge inform subcla provid	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15	6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So 6A.'.	mples of co ome examp	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links.' in
I56 ve, Piers nment Ty says tha gestedR Table ne	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sc provide the second secon	P 84 Nvidia omment Status D erance "is informative a ample of current wordin	L 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei	# 516	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i>	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15	6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So 6A.'.	mples of co ome examp	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links.' in
I <b>56</b> we, Piers <i>ment Ty</i> says tha gestedR Table ne (OMAou	SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa uter) (max) for 100GE	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia omment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a ample of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Receind is defined for a	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC <b>156.8</b>	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i>	mples of co ome examp tus <b>C</b>	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links. <sup>'</sup> in )WDM black links a
156 we, Piers nment Ty says tha gestedR Table ne (OMAou value of	SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa uter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB.	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia omment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a ample of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Receind is defined for a sensitivity for 1000	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The	Sugge inform subcla provid Response ACCE C/ 156	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC <b>156.8</b> ers	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i>	mples of co ome examp <i>tus</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 84 Ividia	ompliant DWDM bl	lack links. <sup>'</sup> in )WDM black links a
156 we, Piers mment Ty says tha ggestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of	SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa tter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB.	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia <i>mment Status</i> <b>D</b> erance "is informative a mple of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i>	mples of co ome examp <i>tus</i> C <i>P</i> 84 Ividia <i>tus</i> D	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D L 35	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 we, Piers nment Ty says tha gestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1),	SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa tter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. Sensitivity for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a mple of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity foi n Figure 140-9. The noi	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Some	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E clarification of	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i> <i>N</i> <i>Comment Sta</i>	mples of co ome examp <i>tus</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>84</b> Ividia <i>tus</i> <b>D</b> n Table 156	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 we, Piers nment Ty says tha gestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1), DR rece	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa uter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in eiver is stressed rece	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a ample of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for a Figure 140-9. The no- iver sensitivity.	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Some	est that the text lative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E clarification of as well as examples	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i> <i>Comment Sta</i> the requirements in	mples of co ome examp <i>tus</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>84</b> Ividia <i>tus</i> <b>D</b> n Table 156	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 we, Piers mment Ty says tha ggestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1), DR rece posed Re	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa atter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in piver is stressed rece esponse Res	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for h Figure 140-9. The not iver sensitivity. sponse Status <b>W</b>	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>Cl</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Some 156A, <i>Suggested</i>	est that the text lative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E clarification of as well as example IRemedy	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i> <i>Comment Sta</i> the requirements in	mples of co ome examp tus C P 84 Ividia tus D n Table 156 DWDM bla	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir ack links.	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 we, Piers mment Ty says tha ggestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1), DR rece posed Re	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa uter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in eiver is stressed rece	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for h Figure 140-9. The not iver sensitivity. sponse Status <b>W</b>	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Some 156A, <i>Suggested</i> Leftov	est that the text lative Annex 15 lause 156.8 be c ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 rs Type E clarification of as well as exam Remedy er from 100GB.	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i> <i>Comment Sta</i> the requirements in mples of compliant ASE-ZR (154.8).	mples of co ome examp tus C P 84 Ividia tus D n Table 156 DWDM bla Delete? refe	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir ack links.	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 we, Piers mment Ty says tha ggestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1), DR rece posed Re PROPO Add note	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa ter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in eiver is stressed rece esponse Res SED ACCEPT IN PE	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a mple of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for h Figure 140-9. The not iver sensitivity. Sponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. Receiver OSNR tolerar	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR s rmative requirement	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation ent for the 100GBASE-	Sugge inform subcla provid <i>Response</i> <i>ACCE</i> <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Some 156A, <i>Suggested</i> Leftov <i>Proposed</i>	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be of ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E clarification of as well as exan Remedy er from 100GB. Response	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Sta</i> <i>Comment Sta</i> the requirements in mples of compliant	mples of co ome examp tus C P 84 Ividia tus D n Table 156 DWDM bla Delete? refe	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir ack links.	lack links.' in DWDM black links a # <u>518</u>
156 mment Ty says tha ggestedR Table ne (OMAou value of receiver value of (140-1), DR rece pposed Re PROPO Add note and com	SC 156.7.2 SC 156.7.2 Sype E Co at receiver OSNR tole Remedy eeds a footnote. Exa ter) (max) for 100GE SECQ up to 3.4 dB. SECQ up to 3.4 dB. which is illustrated in viver is stressed rece esponse Res SED ACCEPT IN PF e in Table 156-7 for F	<i>P</i> 84 Nvidia mment Status <b>D</b> erance "is informative a mple of current wordin BASE-DR is optional ar 140.7.12.1 Receiver s BASE-DR is optional ar Receiver sensitivity for h Figure 140-9. The not iver sensitivity. Sponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. Receiver OSNR tolerar	<i>L</i> 24 and compliance is ng from 140: Recei nd is defined for a sensitivity for 1000 nd is defined for a r 100GBASE-DR s rmative requirement	# <u>516</u> not required" iver sensitivity transmitter with a GBASE-DR The transmitter with a should meet Equation ent for the 100GBASE-	Sugge inform subcla provid Response ACCE Cl 156 Dawe, Pie Comment Some 156A, Suggested Leftov Proposed PROP	est that the text ative Annex 15 ause 156.8 be of ed in Annex 15 PT. SC 156.8 ers Type E clarification of as well as exan Remedy er from 100GB. Response	i6A, as well as exa changed to read 'So i6A.'. <i>Response Stat</i> <i>Comment Stat</i> the requirements in mples of compliant ASE-ZR (154.8). I <i>Response Stat</i> T IN PRINCIPLE.	mples of co ome examp tus C P 84 Ividia tus D n Table 156 DWDM bla Delete? refe	bmpliant DWDM bl bles of compliant D <i>L</i> <b>35</b> 6-8 is provided in ir ack links.	lack links.' in DWDM black links # 518

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.8
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Page 109 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

Dawe, Piers Comment Type E DGD-max SuggestedRemedy Is there a spec to make Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. No consensus to make 156.9.23. Cl 156 SC 156.8 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W e a change. This requirement P 85 Nvidia Comment Status D tion Response Status W	t in the specificat	tions defined in # [ <u>521</u>
DGD-max SuggestedRemedy Is there a spec to make Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. No consensus to make 156.9.23. CI 156 SC 156.8 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response	e the Rx tolerate it? <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> e a change. This requirement <i>P</i> 85 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> tion <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		
Is there a spec to make Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. No consensus to make 156.9.23. Cl 156 SC 156.8 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response	Response Status W e a change. This requirement P 85 Nvidia Comment Status D tion Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJECT. No consensus to make 156.9.23. Cl 156 SC 156.8 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response	e a change. This requirement P 85 Nvidia Comment Status D tion Response Status W		
156.9.23. <i>Cl</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.8</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> Adjacent channel isolat <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> ? see G.671 <i>Proposed Response</i>	P 85 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D tion <i>Response Status</i> W		
Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> Adjacent channel isolat <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> ? see G.671 <i>Proposed Response</i>	Nvidia Comment Status D tion Response Status W	L 28	# 521
Comment Type E Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response	Comment Status D tion Response Status W		
Adjacent channel isolat SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Proposed Response	tion Response Status W		
? see G.671 Proposed Response	,		
	,		
No suggested remedy	provided		
C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 29	# 522
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E Interferometric crosstal	Comment Status D lk at TP3		
SuggestedRemedy			
?			
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
PROPOSED REJECT.			
	provided		
	SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.8
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Page 110 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:51 PM

C/156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 35 # <u>523</u>	C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 45 # 107
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status A Only relevant	Comment Type E Comment Status D buck "+/-"
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change to "±" (symbol) across the table
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
In footnote d change:	Change symbol as suggested throughout the document. With editorial license
"Only relevant with implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical a	id- C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 525
drop multiplexers present."	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
to	Comment Type E Comment Status R Scrambled idle encoded by CFEC
"Applicable to implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical add-di multiplexers present."	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 44 # 524	and not SD-FEC? Response Response Status C
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
	REJECT.
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75?	REJECT. Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC"
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75?       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Proposed Response       Response Status       Z	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC"
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 108
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 108 Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35 #</b> 108 Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant.
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35</b> <i>#</i> 108 Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1.
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35</b> # 108 Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35 #</b> 108 Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1".
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35</b> <i>#</i> <u>108</u> Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1". <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b>
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35 #</b> <u>108</u> Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1". <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment Type E Comment Status D why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" <i>CI</i> <b>156</b> <i>SC</i> <b>156.9.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>86</b> <i>L</i> <b>35 #</b> <u>108</u> Ran, Adee Cisco <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1". <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

/ 156 SC 156.9.1	P 86	L <b>42</b>	# 526	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 8	# 357
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
omment Type E valid 400GBASE-R	Comment Status A			Comment I-Q is a	51	Comment Status A ame for this spec		
uggestedRemedy 400GBASE-ZW				<i>Suggested</i> Chang	•	o "I-Q Offset per Polarizatio	on (Max Instantaned	ous)"
esponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
In table 156-11 chang	e "400GBASE-R" to "400GBA	SE-ZR". With e	ditorial license.	See re	sponse to com	ment 350		
/ 156 SC 156.9.1	P 86	L <b>42</b>	# 109	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 10	# 358
an, Adee	Cisco			Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
omment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status A I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec				
	e parameters have pattern "va							
have only 5 (which is t measurement of all pa	the only test pattern defined ir arameters).	n this clause, and	I sufficient for	Suggested	Remedy			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Chang	e spec name to	o "I-Q Offset per Polarization	on (Mean)	
	ignal" is inadequate here - 40 19 PCS; but ZR is a special c			Response		Response Status C		
processed by the full 2				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	LE.		
uggestedRemedy				See re	sponse to com	ment 351		
Change pattern to eith	ner "5" in all rows, or "valid 40	0GBASE-ZR sigr	nal" in all rows.	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 13	# 527
Consider removing the	e pattern column and just stat	ing in text that al	parameters are	Dawe, Pie		P 87 Nvidia	L 13	# 527
specified with test path	tern 5.			Comment		Comment Status A		
roposed Response	Response Status W			I-Q phase error (max), I-Q phase error (min)				
PROPOSED ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested		, · <b>· · ·</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.				00		age receive power		
		0	,	Response		0		
				•	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
				See re	sponse to com	ment 513		

C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 25 # 528 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 1 # 530 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status A Is Average receive power a kind of sensitivity/overload? If not, why not any 400GBASE-ZW As this mask is a normative spec signal? Same for Ripple? which is a channel (black link) property SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Write out the frequency-domain equations for a RRC response with a damping factor of 0.4 Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REJECT. See response to comment 359 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. P 88 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 L 8 # 531 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 87 L 52 # 529 Comment Status A Comment Type E Nvidia Dawe Piers set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Compliant transmitters ... are required to ... by applying minimum and maximum masks to the spectrum acquired using an optical spectrum analyzer. set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset for an RRC SuggestedRemedv Response Response Status C Not ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Change "is set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC with ß of 0.05." to "is set at -9 dB up to PROPOSED REJECT. 30.8 GHz offset and follows a RRC ß of 0.05 for higher frequencies." No suggested remedy provided C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 40 # 532 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 P 88 L 1 SC 156.9.4 # 110 Comment Type Е Comment Status D bucket Ran, Adee Cisco Blank line Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket SuggestedRemedy The damping factor is denoted by the German "Eszett" symbol ß, it should be the Greek "beta" β. Remove SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace to the  $\beta$  character (Greek beta) here and elsewhere as necessary. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Remove any blank lines with editorial license PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change character as suggested. Replace through the document as required. With editorial licesne.

IEEE P802.3cw D2.0 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems Initial Working Group ballot comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156	Page 113 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn SC 156.9.4	9/15/2022 4:39:52 P
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

ΡM

	SC 156.9.5	P 88	L 1	# 359	Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 48 # 534
Maniloff, E	Fric	Ciena			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment Type E Comment Status D
This cl include		e transmit mask as following	a RRC. The RR	C definition should be	frequency noise
Suggested					SuggestedRemedy
Add ar	n equation to 156	6.9.4 defining the RRC functi nition elsewhere in 802.3	on and Beta use	ed to define the mask,	Proposed Response Response Status W
Response		Response Status C			PROPOSED REJECT.
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Е.			No suggested remedy provided
		Roll-Off "Root raised cosine		are root of the raised	C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 50 # 111
		ted as" (see piecewise-defin n/wiki/raised-cosine filter)	ned function at		Ran, Adee Cisco
•	, ,	, _ ,			Comment Type T Comment Status D
	1.3.1.2.3 for pose	sible RRC formula.			"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resoluti between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest"
× 156	SC 156.9.5	P 88	L 45	# 533	The mask is not the measured noise; it is the specified maximum.
Dawe, Pie		F 88 Nvidia	L <b>4</b> 3	# 555	The paragraph is not phrased in typical standard language and can be improved. Th
,		Comment Status A			in the suggested remedy may be used (or corrected if it contains any error).
<i>Comment</i> within	the limits	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy
Suggested	IRemedy				Change the first paragraph from
	the limit?				"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resoluti between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest. The frequency sweep relat
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			the laser center frequency shall be from less than 100 Hz to fbaud/2. With the excep
,	PT IN PRINCIPL	,			spurs, the measured frequency noise at any frequency shall be below the mask form interpolating between the points listed in Table 156–12 and illustrated in Figure 156–
Delete	156.9.5.				to "The laser frequency noise mask is the maximum allowed laser frequency noise and
	.9.4 Change				formed by interpolating between the points listed in Table 156–12 and illustrated in F 156–5. The mask frequencies are relative to the laser center frequency from less the
In 156					Hz to fbaud/2. Measurement resolution should be between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the
	tral content abov	e 40.4 GHz is limited to -20	dB."		frequency of interest. With the exception of spurs, the measured frequency noise at frequency shall be below the mask"
	tral content abov	e 40.4 GHz is limited to -20	dB."		frequency shall be below the mask".
"Spect to		e 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 e 40.4 GHz is limited to -20		al floor."	frequency shall be below the mask".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 Page 114 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 51 # 535	C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 537
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
the frequency of interest	1-sided noise power spectral density [Hz^2/Hz]
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
	but noise power should be in watts, or dBc. Figure title has "spectral power density"
Proposed Response	Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED REJECT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
No suggested remedy provided.	See response to comment 168
C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 52 # 112	C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 168
Ran, Adee Cisco	Abbott, John Corning Incorporated
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
"fbaud" is not defined in this clause.	Table 156-12 and figure 156-6. Table 93-8 for example has units of V^2 / Hz and just
SuggestedRemedy	want to check that the power density here really has units of Hz <sup>2</sup> / Hz. I think this is the first time a one-side spectral power density with these units shows up in 802.3
Either define it (with a numberical value) or use the numerical value here.	standard, but this is not my area and I'm just trying to help. Thank you!
Proposed Response	SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Check that correct units are Hz^2 / Hz and maybe consider explaining the units if indeed
Change "fbaud" to "half the operating baud rate"	this is the first time such units appear in 802.3 standard. Proposed Response Response Status W
C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 52 # 536	PROPOSED REJECT.
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Comment Type E Comment Status D	The power spectral density of frequency noise has units of Hz <sup>2</sup> / Hz
fbaud	Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 166
SuggestedRemedy	Abbott, John Corning Incorporated
	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket
Proposed Response Response Status W	IN TABLE 156-12 Everywhere else in the 802.3 standard "1-sided" is spelled out as "one-
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sided". For example table 93.8, table 110-11, table 136-18, table 137 -6, table 83D-6, table 93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 120D-8.
	SuggestedRemedy
See response to comment 112	Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sided" IN TABLE 156-12
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Page 115 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM

2/ 156 SC 156.9.6	P 89	L 20	# 113	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	D F	<b>90</b>	L 13	# 114
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee	)	Ci	sco		
<i>comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Com</i> Figure 156-5 is cluttered.	nment Status D			Comment T The ab	51	Comment State		it is used.	
This figure does not add any ir whereas the figure is an illustra uggestedRemedy		ble 156-12 (which	is normative,		"(EVM") after tl	ne first instance of " ased on another co		r magnitude" (wł	nich may be in a
Remove the marker labels (e.g the y axis label.	j. "X:1 x 10^4, Y: 1 x	10^9") and chang	∋ "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in	Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Statu PLE.	ıs C		
Alternatively, delete the figure. Proposed Response Res	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			state "e	error vector ma		all other us	sages in the docu	oody of the document ument replace "error
				C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	D F	<sup>&gt;</sup> 90	L 20	# 115
Retain table 156-5 and change	"Hz2" to "Hz^2" in th	e y axis label.		Ran, Adee	)	Ci	sco		
156 SC 156.9.6	P 89	L <b>20</b>	# 167	Comment	Туре Т	Comment State	us D		
Nbbott, John Comment Type E Com	Corning Inco Inment Status D	porated	bucket			efines EVMmax, but em to be the same t		ied value in Tabl	e 156-6 is for EVM
FIGURE 156-6 Everywhere el			•	Should	the specificat	on be for EVMmax	(max)?		
sided". For example table 93.8 93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 1	, ,	136-18, table 137	-6, table 83D-6, table	Suggested	Remedy				
uggestedRemedy	200-0.					aph (containing the the specifications to			
Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sid	led" in FIGURE 156-6	ì.		Proposed I	Response	Response Statu	ıs W		
Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sid	led" in FIGURE 156-6 onse Status W	3.		,	,	Response Statu T IN PRINCIPLE.	ıs <b>W</b>		

C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 24 # 361	C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 116
Ianiloff, Eric Ciena	Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type T Comment Status A Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement	Comment TypeEComment StatusDbucketFont size is inconsistent in the text, also in 156.9.12.
SuggestedRemedy Add the following Specification:	SuggestedRemedy Make it consistent.
IQoffset(Max) = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
with a measurement interval of 1 us	Ensure consistent font in 156.9.11 and 156.9.12. With editorial license
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 117
Change 156.9.11 to "The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is calculated as lqoffset = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal] with a measurement interval of 1 us. The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is the maximum value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in Table 156–6."	Ran, Adee Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A The definition of I-Q (max instantaneous) is unclear. "peak value" of what per polarization? is it peak power?
With editorial license	Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it be "Max instantaneous power per polarization"?
C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 24 # 360	
Maniloff, Eric Ciena	Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status A I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec	Consider renaming this parameter.
SuggestedRemedy	Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed. Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition.
Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)"	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change spec name to "Instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization"	See response to comments 361
	C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 538
	Dawe, Piers Nvidia <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> I-Q (max instantaneous)
	SuggestedRemedy ?
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	See response to comment 350
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/v	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.9.11 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 28 # 362	
Maniloff, Eric Ciena	Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec	The definition of I-Q (mean) is unclear. "mean value" of what per polarization? is it mean power?
SuggestedRemedy Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean)	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it be "mean power per polarization"?
"Mean I-Q offset per polarization"	What does "averaged over <=1 us" mean? Is averaging over only 1 ps acceptable? Should it perhaps be measured over at least 1 us?
C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 28 # 363	In clause 154 there is a parameter with a different name, "I-Q offset (max)", and its
Maniloff, Eric Ciena	definition refers to ITU-T G.698.2. This may create further confusion.
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language.
Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Add the following Specification:	Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed. Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition.
IQoffset(Mean) = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See responses to comments 362 and 363
See response to comment #362. Change 156.9.12 to "The mean IQ offset is calc	
Iqoffset(mean) = 10log10[ (Imean <sup>2</sup> + Qmean <sup>2</sup> )/Psignal]. The mean I-Q offset pe	r Ran Adee Cisco
polarization is the mean value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in	n Table Comment Type T Comment Status D buck
156–6. "	"<=" should be a symbol
With editorial license.	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 364	change to the ≤ symbol
Maniloff, Eric Ciena	Proposed Response Response Status W
Comment Type T Comment Status A	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
≤ 1us measurement interval applies to Max, not mean	
SuggestedRemedy	
Remove reference to ≤ 1 us from 156.9.12	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
See response to comment 363	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 Page 118 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12	P 90	L 30	# 539	C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 P 90 L 41 # 542
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E I-Q (mean)	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Comment Status D local oscillator
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy ?
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status <b>C</b>			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
See responses to comme	ents 351 and 363			Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided
C/ 156 SC 156.9.13	P 90	L 35	# 540	C/ 156 SC 156.9.15 P 90 L 45 # 543
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E I-Q amplitude imbalance	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> (mean)			Comment Type E Comment Status D ditto. why is this separate?
SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude dif	ference?			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
Comment unclear and no	suggested remedy provide	ed		Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided
C/ 156 SC 156.9.14	P 90	L <b>40</b>	# 541	C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 3 # 545
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E *proportional* phase diffe	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D shall with no PICS
SuggestedRemedy ?				SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment unclear and no	suggested remedy provide	ed		Add "Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR)" to 156.13.4.4. With editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.9.17	7 P 91	L 3	# 544	C/ 156 SC 15	6.9.18	P <b>91</b>	L 15	# 547
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
	Comment Status <b>D</b> ct on this "shall"? Black link, a		ole 156-8. 156.8 has	Comment Type E in-band OSNR	E Comment	Status <b>D</b>		
the necessary "shall". SuggestedRemedy	Don't write in the passive vo	ice.		SuggestedRemedy Define in-band				
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W				CEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
No suggested remedy 802.3-2022 154.9.11	/ provided. Current language	matches similar l	anguage in IEEE Std		2022. Clause 156 a			OSNR consisent with out-of-band OSNR.
C/ 156 SC 156.9.17	7 <i>P</i> 91	L <b>4</b>	# 365	C/ 156 SC 15	6.9.21	P 91	L 36	# 548
/aniloff, Eric	Ciena			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type	E Comment	Status D		
	of-band OSNR use the same			No verb				
refers to this as average These should be the s	ge signal power, 156.9.19 ref same.	ers to this as the	total signal power.	SuggestedRemedy				
uggestedRemedy								
Change Average to To	otal on line 4			Proposed Response		Status <b>W</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED RE	JECT.			
PROPOSED ACCEPT	I IN PRINCIPLE.			No suggested re	emedy provided			
	verage signal power" to "ratio	of the total signa	I power within the	C/ 156 SC 15	6.9.22	P 91	L <b>41</b>	# 549
Change "ratio of the a signal's –20 dB spectr	ral mask points".			Dawe Piers		Nvidia		
signal's –20 dB spectr	·	L 5	# 546	Dawe, Piers Comment Type	Comment	Nvidia Status <b>D</b>		
signal <sup>r</sup> s –20 dB spectr / <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b>	·	L 5	# 546	Comment Type	E Comment	Status D	s given in Table 1	56-7.
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr C/ <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers	7 P 91	L 5	# 546	Comment Type E The average rec		Status D	s given in Table 1	56-7.
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr 2/ <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> maximum spectral exc	7 P 91 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 5	# 546	Comment Type E The average rec SuggestedRemedy Average output	eive power shall be	Status <b>D</b> within the limits	-	
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr 7 <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> maximum spectral exc <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	7 P 91 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 5	# 546	Comment Type The average rec SuggestedRemedy Average output be here	eive power shall be power at TP3, Table	Status <b>D</b> within the limits 156-8? sensiv	-	56-7.
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr / <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers <i>omment Type</i> <b>E</b> maximum spectral exc <i>uggestedRemedy</i> unused / undefined	7 P <b>91</b> Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D cursion	L 5	# 546	Comment Type E The average rec SuggestedRemedy Average output be here Proposed Response	eive power shall be power at TP3, Table	Status D within the limits 156-8? sensiv Status W	-	
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr 1 <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers <i>comment Type</i> <b>E</b> maximum spectral exc <i>uggestedRemedy</i> unused / undefined <i>troposed Response</i>	Response Status W	L 5	# 546	Comment Type E The average rec SuggestedRemedy Average output be here Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	eive power shall be power at TP3, Table <i>Response S</i> CEPT IN PRINCIPL	Status <b>D</b> within the limits 156-8? sensiv Status <b>W</b> E.	vitity and overload	l? "shall" should not
signal <sup>T</sup> s –20 dB spectr Cl <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.17</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>E</b> maximum spectral exc SuggestedRemedy unused / undefined Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Comment Type E The average rec SuggestedRemedy Average output be here Proposed Response PROPOSED AC Same language	eive power shall be power at TP3, Table <i>Response</i> 3	Status <b>D</b> within the limits 156-8? sensiv Status <b>W</b> E. tical power in I	vitity and overload	<ol> <li>"shall" should not</li> <li>022 clause 154.</li> </ol>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.22 Page 120 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM

	P <b>92</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 552	C/ 156	SC 156.9.24	P <b>92</b>	L 9	# 120
lawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
omment Type E Co	mment Status D			Comment Typ	е Т	Comment Status D		
pre-FEC BER level lower that	n the CFEC threshold			"OSNR to	lerance is inf	formative and compliance	is not required."	
uggestedRemedy which is? and the SD-FEC?						I not appear in normative closes on the second s		id the work of removin
Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PF Change "while maintaining a		vor than the CEE	C threehold" to "while		counters an	to be loosely defined and d test patterns are not spe		
maintaining a pre-FEC BER comment #525.	as defined in 156.1.1"	Only applies to (	CFEC, see response to	this paran	neter is retair	SNR" parameter have nam ned, the name should be c inel impairments"		
X 156 SC 156.9.24	P 92	L 5	# 551	SuggestedRe	medy			
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				-	parameter (subclause text	and table).	
	mment Status D							
has to be met with a worst-ca	ase compliant transmit	ter, but it does no	ot have to be met			"informative" paragraph to be more meaningful.	make it a recomm	endation, and change
uggestedRemedy				Proposed Res		Response Status W		
					-	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤							
PROPOSED REJECT.						n group (CRG) considerat E Std 802.3-2022 154.9.16		ative or optional
Statement "but it does not ha	ive to be met" applies	to the line impair	ments which are listed					
and not the transmitter					SC 156.9.25		L 13	# 553
7 156 SC 156.9.24	P 92	L 9	# 550	Dawe, Piers	_	Nvidia		
Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>E</b> Co	Nvidia mment Status D			Comment Typ insertion I		Comment Status D		
				SuggestedRei	medy esponse?			
see earlier for table footnote	and optional			channel re				
see earlier for table footnote	and optional				•	Response Status W		
see earlier for table footnote	and optional			Proposed Res	•	Response Status W		
see earlier for table footnote uggestedRemedy	ponse Status W			Proposed Res PROPOS	ponse ED REJECT			
see earlier for table footnote	ponse Status W			Proposed Res PROPOS	ponse ED REJECT		vided	

C/ 156 SC 156.9.26	P 92	L 18	# 554	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 92 L 49 # 558
Dawe. Piers	Nvidia			Dawe. Piers Nvidia
<i>Comment Type</i> E [Optical path OSNR pe	Comment Status <b>D</b> enalty, defined in Recommen	dation ITU-T G.6	98.2, qv]	Comment Type E Comment Status D Connect the 400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter to
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy The 400GBASE-ZW transmitter is connected to
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment unclear, no s with IEEE Std 802.3-20	suggested remedy provided a	and reference to	ITU-T is consistent	Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.
	-	L 33		C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 8 # 561
C/ <b>156</b> SC <b>156.9.29</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>92</b> Nvidia	L 33	# 555	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		14	Comment Type E Comment Status D b Calibrated Coherent Receiver
EuggestedRemedy	ation, defined in Recommend	ation 110-1 G.67	I, qv]	SuggestedRemedy Calibrated coherent receiver and so on, also in other figures
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment unclear no s	suggested remedy provided a	and reference to	ITLLT is consistent	In 156.10 ensure correct capitialization with editorial license
with IEEE Std 802.3-20				C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 8 # 562
C/ 156 SC 156.9.30 Dawe, Piers	P <b>92</b> Nvidia	L 38	# 556	Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Digital Signal Processing
Comment Type E [Interferometric crossta SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> alk at TP3, defined in Recom	mendation ITU-T	G.698.2, qv]	SuggestedRemedy A to D and analysis? 156.10.1.2 says it's Offline
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
PROPOSED REJECT.	1			No suggested remedy provided
Comment unclear, no s with IEEE Std 802.3-20	suggested remedy provided a 022.	and reference to	ITU-T is consistent	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 Page 122 of 127 9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM

156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L 9	# 560	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2 P 94 L 3 # 563				
awe. Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia				
omment Type E TX	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket				
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> Tx				SuggestedRemedy				
oposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Change "TX" to "Tx"				Remove any blank lines with editorial license				
156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L <b>9</b>	# 559	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.2 P 94 L 36 # 564				
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia				
omment Type E It would be helpful to sh	Comment Status <b>D</b> now the patch cord, between	Tx and TP2		Comment Type TR Comment Status D Need a bigger block size for at least one of these, to go with the jitter corner frequency				
IggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
-	DI point to figure 156-6 simila	-		No suggested remedy provided				
<b>156</b> SC <b>156.10.1</b> . <sup>-</sup> hiasi, Ali	1 P 93 Ghiasi Quant	L 44	# 336	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 44 # 121 Ran, Adee Cisco				
omment Type TR	Comment Status D							
Assuming just 4 bits EN	NOB from 10 MHz to 29.9 MI real receiver that has typical			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> "3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2"				
about 4 bits at high free				This is an uncommon way to specify a filter, and it is unclear.				
ggestedRemedy		-		RRC seems to stand for is root raised cosine (0.2 may be the roll-off parameter beta), but				
	bring a fragunav dependent							
If there is interest I can	bring a frequncy dependent Response Status W	ENOB mask		this filter is not "super Gaussian" and it's unclear what "3rd-order" means for a raised cosine. Or is it a different filter?				
If there is interest I can	bring a frequncy dependent Response Status W	ENOB mask						
If there is interest I can oposed Response	Response Status W	ENOB mask		cosine. Or is it a different filter?				
If there is interest I can oposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W	ENOB mask		cosine. Or is it a different filter? Also, the cutoff frequency is not specified. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/techni	al E/editorial G/general	C/ 156	Page 123 of 127
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STAT	JS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.10.1.2.4	9/15/2022 4:39:52 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 565	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.5 P 94 L 47 # 568
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D 3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2	Comment Type E Comment Status D buck IQ Offset
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy IQ offset (twice)
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment 121	Change "IQ Offset" to "IQ offset" with editorial license
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 566	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 L 3 # 569
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
super Gaussian https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian_function#Higher-	FIR filter with 15 real taps
order_Gaussian_or_super-Gaussian_function	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Where is the cursor?
	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED REJECT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
See response to comment 121	No suggested remedy provided
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 L 4 # 570
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 567	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Comment Type E Comment Status A	using the signal with additive white Gaussian noise considering the Receiver OSNR(min)
RRC	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	do what?
	Proposed Response Response Status W
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED REJECT.
	No suggested remedy provided
See response to comment 359	

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6

C/ <b>156</b>	SC 15	6.10.1.2	.6	P 95	L <b>3</b>	# 335	C/ 156	SC 15	6.10.1.2	.6	P 95	L <b>9</b>	# 366	
Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quar	ntum/Marvell		Maniloff, E	ric			Ciena			
Comment 7 Improv	Type	<b>TR</b> on of the	Comment S FIR	Status <b>D</b>			<i>Comment</i> Editor	<i>Type</i> s Note sh	E Iould be I	<i>Comment</i> S removed	Status <b>D</b>			bucke
	gnal is eq				Γ spaced equalize lowed to varry fro	r with real taps. The	Suggested Remov	<i>IRemedy</i> ve Note						
Proposed F	Response	; ;	Response S N PRINCIPLI	Status <b>W</b>				OSED A	CCEPT I	Response Si N PRINCIPLE				
Change	e the first	sentenc	e of 156.10.	1.2.6 to "The	signal is equalized	d using an FIR filter	See re	esponse t	o comme	ent 122				
			lizer with rea m tap 1 to ta		um of all taps is e	qual to 1 and the main	C/ <b>156</b> Ran, Adee		6.10.1.2		P 95 Cisco	L 17	# 123	
C/ <b>156</b> Ran, Adee		6.10.1.2	.6	P <b>95</b> Cisco	L 9	# 122	Comment The ec		E bel forma	<i>Comment</i> S at seems unu		instead of en das	sh, spaces).	bucke
Suggestedi Delete Proposed F	see any T Remedy the edito	r's note.	Comment S Response S	_		bucket	Suggested Use th Proposed I PROP	IRemedy le standal Response OSED A	rd equation e CCEPT II	on style. <i>Response S</i> N PRINCIPLE	tatus <b>W</b>	as the equation.		
Suggested	omas <i>Type</i> <b>I</b> ditor's note		Comment S FBDs is no lo	P <b>95</b> Nokia Status <b>D</b> nger relevant	L 9	# 220 bucket	C/ <b>156</b> Dawe, Pie Comment	SC 15 rs Type Id be bett	56.10.1.2 E		P 95 Nvidia Status D	L 20	# 572	
	•	CEPT I	Response S N PRINCIPLI ent 122					<i>Response</i> OSED RI ggest rem	EJECT.	Response S	tatus <b>W</b>			

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>20</b>	# 571	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>45</b>	# 575
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
Comment Type E Comment Status D define k and K			Comment Type E Comment Status D n and eta are the same thing? Why not k?		
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration	n.		No suggest remedy provided		
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>25</b>	# 573	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>49</b>	# 576
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
comment Type E Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D		
I_delta and Q_delta not norm then norm			starting at 0		
uggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
No suggest remedy provided			No suggest remedy provided		
E/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>31</b>	# 574	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L <b>51</b>	# 577
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           Do what with alpha_peak?         add equation         Image: Comment Status         D			Comment Type E Comment Status D N vs K vs 1000		
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
No suggest remedy provided			No suggest remedy provided		

Jawa Diara			# 578	C/ 156 SC 156.13.4.2	P 100	L 28	# 580
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> blank line	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Com PMD_global_transmit_disable	<i>ment Status</i> <b>D</b> _variable Tx_Rx_	_diff_opt_channel	buck_ _abili ty variable
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy rogue underscore, column widt	hs		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status <b>W</b> PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Response	onse Status W NCIPLE.		
Remove any blank lines v	vith editorial license			Correct underscore and column	n widths, with editoria	I license	
C/ 156 SC 156.11.1	P 96	L 35	# 124	C/ 156 SC 156.A.1	P 104	L <b>45</b>	# 367
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Maniloff, Eric	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type T Com	ment Status D		
The text here does not mathe 2022 revision.	atch the common text for th	ie "General safe	ty" subclauses across	Black Link examples should be Demux devices that would sati			ions for Mux and
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change the text in this su general safety requirement	bclause to "Equipment sub nts in J.2."	ject to this claus	e shall conform to the	Add a table to 156.A.1 includin https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/p			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Response	onse Status W NCIPLE.		
C/ 156 SC 156.12	P 97	L <b>41</b>	# 579	Review supporting presentation	n, for comment resolu	ution group (CRG	i) consideration.
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia						
Comment Type E (compare 156A)	Comment Status A						
SuggestedRemedy Make it clear that there is fibre between mux/demux	one fibre per direction at th	ne MDI even if th	ere is bidirectional				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status <b>C</b>						