C/FM SC FM	P 1	L 2	# 151		C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 25	# 153
Grow, Robert	RMG Consu	Iting			Grow, Rob	pert	RMG	Consulting	
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D is both approved and publish of 802.3-202x to 802.3-2022		aft text)	bucket	referer D2.1 is	amendments	and cs, db, ck, and de a ght also be able to be li	D d 802.3dd-2022 is appro are all at RevCom and d sted with approval year	epending on when your
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT See response to comr	Response Status W				Suggested Update in the	<i>Remedy</i> e list order and introduction st	d years as appropriate. arting on page 10.	Make the same edits to	the list of amendments
· ·		/ 10	# 450	L	Proposed	,	Response Status PT IN PRINCIPLE.	w	
C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert	P 1 RMG Consu	L 10 Iting	# 152			esponse to con			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D rrently identified as Amendm	ent 8		bucket	C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 25	# 1
	menting identified as Ameridin	ent o.			Hajduczer	iia, Marek	Chart	er Communications	
SuggestedRemedy	Imant number				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	bucket
Fill in assigned amend					"IEEE	Std 802.3-202		t - we know it will be 202	22 release
Proposed Response	Response Status W				Suggested	Remedy	-		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.						erences to 802.3 from 2	202x to 2022	
See response to comr	ment 21				Proposed		Response Status		
C/FM SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L 23	# 21		•	OSED ACCER	•		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	sign Systems			C/ FM	SC FM	P 2	L 3	# 410
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			bucket	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidi	a	
Change 802.3-202x to	802.3-2022 and correct list	of amendments			Comment		Comment Status		
	is an amendment of IEEE St				for ope	eration over D		gure 156-1 has it right: "	PMD FOR DWDM
802.3dd-2022, IEEE S	otd 802.3cs-202x, IEEE Std 8 2x, IEEE Std 802.3cx-202x, a	02.3db-202x, IE	EE Std 802.3ck	-202x,	Suggested	Remedy			
			2.302-2028.		Chang	e "for operatio	on over DWDM systems	" to "for DWDM operation	on"
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				Response		Response Status	С	
FROPUSED ACCEPT	IN FRINCIFLE.				REJE	CT.		-	
	order consistent with the ord descriptions as required. Se				"Stand Amen	lard for Ethern dment: Physic	et	 The approved project ment Parameters for 400 xing) systems". 	·
					The sa	ame language	is used 802.3ct-2021 a	mendment title and abst	ract.
	ed ER/editorial required GR ispatched A/accepted R/reje					l I I/unsatisfier	d Z/withdrawn	C/ FM SC FM	Page 1 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:24 PM

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC FM 9/29/2022 2:32:24 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM	P 3	L 18	# 154	C/ FM	SC FM	P 10	L 44	# 373
Grow, Robert	RMG	Consulting		Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Motors	i	
	rent mandatory front matte	-	<i>bucket</i> egal disclaimers and	Comment 802.30	<i>Type</i> E Id has been ap	Comment Status D		
notices it should b	be current.			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Replace mandato	ry frontmatter with that in th	ne current IEEE SA tem	plates.		e: IEEE Std 8 EE Std 802.3c	02.3dd(TM)-202x dd(TM)-2022		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status CEPT.	W		Proposed PROP	,	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
CI FM SC FM	P 7	L 18	# 155	See re	sponse to com	nment #21.		
Grow, Robert	RMG	Consulting		C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 3	# 368
Comment Type E	Comment Status	D	bucket	Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Motors	i	
	llot group is now inown, and oper presentation.	d can be inserted so pai	ticipants can review	Comment	51	<i>Comment Status</i> D MA is physical medium attachmer	nt ner 802.3-2	bucket
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	•			
lines 5 through 16	the P802.3cw ballot group ((removing the officer na	mes already listed in	Chang	e: Physical Me	edia Attachment (PMA) n Attachment (PMA)		
Proposed Response	Response Status	W		Proposed	-	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACC	EPI.			•	OSED ACCEF	,		
C/ FM SC FM	P 10		# 22	C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 11	L 20	# 156
Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	Caden Comment Status	ice Design Systems	bucket	Grow, Rob	pert	RMG Consulting	g	
Section 9 goes up		0	Buckel	Comment P802.3	51	<i>Comment Status</i> D or designated as Amendment 5.		bucket
through Annex 15 symmetric and as Gb/s channels. C using all four pair associated annex	on Nine—Includes Clause 1 4A. Clause 141 through Cla ymmetric operation of Ethe ause 145 and associated a s in the structured wiring pla es specify Physical Layers	ause 144 and associate ernet passive optical net innexes specify increase ant. Clause 146 through for 10 Mb/s, 2.5 Gb/s, 5	d annexes specify works over multiple 25 ed power delivery Clause 149 and 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s	Ameno Proposed	nber and move dment 5. Reor Response	e to Amendment 6. P802.3de/D3. der and number IEEE Std 802.3d <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	single balanced pair of conc			See re	sponse to com	ment 21		

See response to comment 21

Proposed Response Response Status W

additional 400 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications. Clause 153 and Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM channels. Clause 157 through Clause 160 include 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Physical Layer specifications."

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM Page 2 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:24 PM

C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 21	# 23	C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 32	# 370
Marris, Art	hur	Cadence Des	ign Systems		Wienckow	vski, Natalie	General Mot	ors	
Comment Swap	<i>Type</i> E cx and de and a	<i>Comment Status</i> D add cz			<i>Comment</i> Missir	<i>Type</i> E ng ammendment	Comment Status D 7		bucket
802.3c layer s	802.3de amend z -202x Amend pecifications ar	Iment 5 and 802.3cx amendme Iment 7 - This amendment to I Id management parameters fo In on optical fiber for use in auto	EEE Std 802.3-2 r 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/	022 adds physical ′s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s	Amen Claus	IEEE Std 802.30 dment 7—This a e 166. This ame	z™-202x mendment includes changes ndment adds 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/ cations and management par	s, 10 Gb/s, 25 G	b/s and 50 Gb/s
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			PROF	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
See re	sponse to com	ment 21			See re	esponse to comr	nent 21		
C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 11	L 30	# 369	C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 11	L 33	# 158
Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Moto	rs		Grow, Rol	bert	RMG Consul	ting	
Comment The de	51	Comment Status D doesn't match D3.0 of P802.3d	×.	bucket	<i>Comment</i> I belie	51	Comment Status D s been designated Amendme	ent 8.	bucket
0	e: transmit and	l receive path delays ive path data delays				er based on curr	ent designations from the W	G Chair.	
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEP ⁻	Response Status W T.				POSED ACCEPT			
C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 11	L 32	# 157	See re	esponse to comr	nent 21		
Grow, Rob	ert	RMG Consult	ing		C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 35	# 371
Comment P802.3		Comment Status D esignated Amendment 7.	-	bucket	Comment	vski, Natalie <i>Type</i> E ammendment 8	General Mote Comment Status D	ors	bucket
	self description	from the current P802.3cz dra ptember interim).	ft (D2.3 soon to l	be released, with D3.0	Suggested Chang		nt x		
Proposed I PROP	•	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
See re	sponse to com	ment 21			PROF	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					See re	esponse to comr	nent 21		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ FM	Page 3 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC FM	9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 37	# 411	CI 00	SC O	P 1	L 2	# 34
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Ade	e	Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment		Comment Status D		bucke
for operation over DW CHANNEL OVER A D	DM systems - not. Figure 15 WDM BLACK LINK"	6-1 has it right: "	PMD FOR DWDM	P802. 2022.	3 was approved	l as a revision standard by	the IEEE SA Stand	dards Board on 13 May
SuggestedRemedy Change "for operation This should match the	over DWDM systems" to "for	DWDM operatio	n".	P802. 2022.	3dd was approv	ved as a new standard by t	he IEEE SA Standa	ards Board on 16 June
	1 0			Suggested	dRemedy			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Chan	ge "IEEE Std 80	2.3™-202x" to "IEEE Std	802.3™-2022" in th	e page header.
See response to comr	ment 410			Chang	ge "IEEE Std 80	2.3dd-202x" to "IEEE Std	802.3dd-2022" on I	ine 25.
C/00 SC 0	Р	L	# 582	Apply	in other places	across the document as a	ppropriate, with edi	torial license.
		L	# 302	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			PROF	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Type E 8 could be p = 4, 8, or	Comment Status D 16 as in Figure 120A-8. Or j	ust 4		See re	esponses to cor	nments 1 and 21		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 1	SC 1.4.144	b P 18	L 9	# 412
				Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.					encoding" doesn't represe		
Review supporting pre	esentation, for comment resol	ution group (CRO	G) consideration.			but what is actually used i n and detection. But we w		
	P		,	anywa	ay, whatever co	ding technology it used. T	he definitions for B/	
	-	L	# 372	discus	ss coding, they	adress medium, reach or v	vavelength.	
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Suggested	dRemedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Chang				
802.3 has been appro	ved					-Z: IEEE 802.3 family of Pl -mode optical fiber. (See I		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	-	Response Status W		
Change: IEEE Std 80 To: IEEE Std 802.3-2 throughout the docum	022				EPT IN PRINCIP	'		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			See re	esponse to com	ment 170		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	- F							
See response to comr	ment 1							

C/ 1 SC **1.4.144b**

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b	P 18	L 9	# 413	C/ 1	SC 1.4.144b		P 18	L 9	# 170
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			D'Ambrosi	ia, John		Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary o	f Huawei
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status A		
"family of Physical Layer of based on this draft. Also i word at the time when the	it's unnecessary: any futu			it - the		⁻ urhtermore, v			he only device that uses SE-R PCS, it is not
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestea	lRemedy				
Delete "family of"				Delete	1.4.144b				
Response F	Response Status C			Response		Response S	Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
See response to commen	t 170			Delete	1.4.144b. Repla	ice 400GBASI	E-Z with 400G	BASE-ZR throug	hout draft.
C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b	P 18	L 9	# 347	C/ 1	SC 1.4.144c		P 18	L 12	# 171
Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	ting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma	D'Ambrosi	a, John		Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary o	f Huawei
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status A		
The term 400GBASE-Z se	ama ta anlu anaa in tha a	an a sification and	The survey of a second second second second						-
				The 40	JUGBASE-ZR PF	IY is not enco	ded with the 4	00GBASE-R PC	S.
of the "family" described in	n this definition. Further,	based on where	it is used appears to be			IY is not enco	ded with the 4	00GBASE-R PC	S.
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155-	based on where -2 (page 35) in th	it is used appears to be le sentence "A	Suggested	Remedy	TY is not enco	ded with the 4	00GBASE-R PC	S.
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram c	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show	it is used appears to be e sentence "A n in Figure 155-2".	Suggested Modify	Remedy definition to				
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram o The figure itself calls this t	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS,	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE-	it is used appears to be e sentence "A n in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere	Suggested Modify IEEE 8	<i>Remedy</i> definition to 302.3 Physical Li	ayer specificat	ion for 400 Gb	o/s dense wavele	ngth division
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this t else. Suggest this definiti	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS,	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE-	it is used appears to be e sentence "A n in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere	Suggested Modify IEEE { multipl	<i>Remedy</i> definition to 302.3 Physical Li	ayer specificat	ion for 400 Gb	o/s dense wavele	
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram o The figure itself calls this t else. Suggest this definiti SuggestedRemedy	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from a	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE { multipl quadra modula	<i>Remedy</i> definition to 302.3 Physical La lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI	ayer specificat PHY using 400	ion for 400 Gb IGBASE-ZR ei	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this t else. Suggest this definiti SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.4.144b definition.	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from . Alternatively, add text to	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE 8 multipl quadra modul km. (S	Remedy definition to 302.3 Physical La lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI see IEEE	ayer specificat PHY using 400 /I) modulation,	ion for 400 Gb GBASE-ZR el and coherent	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division Iarization 16-state
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this t else. Suggest this definiti SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.4.144b definition. general family and its mer	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from . Alternatively, add text to nbers	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE 8 multipl quadra modul km. (S Std 80	IRemedy definition to 302.3 Physical Li lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI see IEEE 12.3, Clause 155	ayer specificat PHY using 400 M) modulation and Clause 1	ion for 400 Gb GBASE-ZR er and coherent 56.)	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division Iarization 16-state
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this f else. Suggest this definition SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.4.144b definition general family and its mer Response	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from . Alternatively, add text to	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE & multipl quadra modul km. (S Std 80 Response	Remedy definition to 302.3 Physical Li lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI see IEEE 12.3, Clause 155	ayer specificat PHY using 400 M) modulation and Clause 1 <i>Response</i> S	ion for 400 Gb GBASE-ZR er and coherent 56.)	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division Iarization 16-state
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this t else. Suggest this definiti SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.4.144b definition. general family and its mer	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from . Alternatively, add text to nbers	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE & multipl quadra modul km. (S Std 80 Response	IRemedy definition to 302.3 Physical Li lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI see IEEE 12.3, Clause 155	ayer specificat PHY using 400 M) modulation and Clause 1 <i>Response</i> S	ion for 400 Gb GBASE-ZR er and coherent 56.)	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division Iarization 16-state
of the "family" described in in error. I only find it in co functional block diagram of The figure itself calls this f else. Suggest this definition SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.4.144b definition general family and its mer Response	n this definition. Further, nnection with Figure 155- of the 400GBASE-Z PCS the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, on may be left over from . Alternatively, add text to nbers Response Status C	based on where -2 (page 35) in th sublayer is show and 400GBASE- some earlier thou	it is used appears to be le sentence "A m in Figure 155-2". -ZR is used everywhere ught	Suggestea Modify IEEE 8 multipl quadra modul km. (S Std 80 Response ACCE	Remedy definition to 302.3 Physical Li lexing (DWDM) F ature amplitude ation (DP-16QAI see IEEE 12.3, Clause 155	ayer specificat PHY using 400 M) modulation and Clause 1 <i>Response</i> S	ion for 400 Gb GBASE-ZR er and coherent 56.)	o/s dense wavele ncoding, dual po	ngth division Iarization 16-state

C/ 1 SC **1.4.144c**

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c	P 18	L 13	# 414	C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 18	L 23	# 340
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Zimmerman,	George	CME C	onsulting/APL Group	o, Cisco, Commscope, Ma
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status	र	
Defining this PHY as "usir detection" is highly mislea	ading. The BASE-R enco	ded signal is trar	sported, but what is			in IEEE Std 802.3 and is expansion in the draft.	a well understood t	erm. This is only used in
actually used is GMP, SC detection. Although it is d				SuggestedRe	medy			
there. In a short definition				delete ins	erted abbre	viation		
neither are BASE-R, but v	we don't need the detail.			Response		Response Status	2	
SuggestedRemedy				REJECT.				
Change "using 400GBAS modulation (DP-16QAM) i encoding, GMP, strong Ff (DP-16QAM) modulation,	modulation, and coheren EC , dual polarization 16-	detection" to "us state quadrature	sing 400GBASE-R			ed in the base standard /iation list so consensus		
, ,	Response Status W	.sg		C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 18	L 24	# 415
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
				Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status	र	
See response to commen	it 171			As the ba QAM128	se 802.3 us	es PAM2, PAM4, PAM5	, PAM16, DSQ128, (QAM8, QAM16 and
7 1 SC 1.5	P 18	L 21	# 339					
Zimmerman, George	CME Consu	lting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma	SuggestedRe	-	AM16 and DP-16QAM to		agut
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			0			0	lout
ADC is already used in IE comments about use in th		ell understood ter	m. See later	Response REJECT.		Response Status	5	
SuggestedRemedy delete inserted abbreviation	on			16QAM o technique		I is commonly used in t	ne industry for this o	ptical modulation
Response I	Response Status C			C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 18	L 30	# 149
REJECT.				Lusted, Kent		Intel Co	orporation	
The term "ADC" is used ir	n the base standard as w	ell as this docum	ent but is not in the	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status		
base standard abbreviatio				The term The term	"GMP" is us "GMP" is lo	sed 42 times in the draft osely defined in 155.1.3 155.2.4.3 (p38, line 8) be	item c as "Generic r	napping procedure".
				SuggestedRe	medy			
				Add "GM	D: generic r	mapping procedure" to th	ne entries.	
				Response REJECT.		Response Status	2	
				"GMP" is	included in	1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2	022	
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/dispa					/unsatisfied		C/ 1 SC 1.5	Page 6 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 1.5 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 30 # 148	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 17 # 24
Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems
Comment Type TR Comment Status R The term "SC-FEC" is used 59 times in the draft and is not listed in the abbreviation table. Cl 155.1.2 defines SC-FEC to mean "staircase forward error correction".	Comment Type TR Comment Status A MAU type needs to mention the medium
	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Add "SC-FEC: staircase forward error correction" to the entries.	Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over single-mode fiber PMD with reach up to at le 80 km as specified in Clause 156"
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
REJECT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"SC-FEC" is included in 1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022	As noted in 156.1 the medium is stated as a single-mode fiber-based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers
C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 12 # 196	and is specified using a black link approach (see 156.6).
Huber, Thomas Nokia	Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over a DWDM channel PMD with reach up to at
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket	least 80 km as specified in Clause 156".
The values of aMAUType are alphabetized by rate in 802.3-2022. 400GBASE-ZR should be inserted after 400GBASE-VR4 that 802.3db added.	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 20 L 14 # 374
SuggestedRemedy	Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
Change SR16 to VR4 in the editing instruction	Comment Type E Comment Status D bu
Proposed Response Response Status W	syle
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
Change editing instruction to "Insert 400GBASE-ZR PHY type into the "APPROPRIATE	Add an elipses in the first blank row in Tagle 45-3. Delet the blank row after the row for 1.825 through 1.899.
SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 after 400GBASE-VR4 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db- 202x) as follows"	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9 P 21 L 32 # 159
	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9 P 21 L 32 # 159 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status D but Incorrect subclause number.
	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status D but
	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status D but Incorrect subclause number. SuggestedRemedy

Page 7 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	22.13 P 22	L 1	# 160	C/ 45 SC	C 45.2.1.150.1	P 22	L 11	# 161	
Grow, Robert	RMG Co	onsulting		Grow, Robert		RMG Consu	Ilting		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	Ū	bucket	Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status D	0	k	bucket
Incorrect insert point	t, subclauses are in decrea	sing register bit num	ber order.	The subcla	use title for this subcla	ause number and	the following text	is: Tx optical chan	nel
SuggestedRemedy				index (1.80	0.5:0)				
	e 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1	.22.1b (as inserted b	y IEEE Std 802.3db-	SuggestedRem	edy				
202x) as follows:		·		Correct title	e as in 802.3-2022.				
Renumber subclaus		_		Proposed Resp	onse Respon	se Status W			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSE	D ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			
PROPOSED ACCER	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Change sul	bclause title to "Tx opt	ical channel index	x (1.800.5:0)"		
See response to cor	nment 25				C 45.2.1.150.1	P 22	L 17	# 440	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	22.13 P 22	L 1	# 25		45.2.1.150.1			# 416	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence	e Design Systems		Dawe, Piers	F Comm	Nvidia			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D	5,	bucket	Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status R	ans diffor in more	wave than that one	•
21	modification made by 802.3	3db and change para	agraph number to		hannels than the othe			ways than that one	6
45.2.1.22.1aa				SuggestedRem	edy				
SuggestedRemedy					TEThese two tables	are significantly d	ifferent?		
0 0	ction to: "Insert new subcla	ause 45.2.1.22.1aa a	fter 45.2.1.22.1 and	_					
		00.046.0000) f-ll		Response	Respon	se Status C			
	(as inserted by IEEE Std 8		ows:"	Response REJECT.	Respon	se Status C			
Proposed Response	Response Status W		ows:"	REJECT.					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER	Response Status W		ows:"	REJECT. The referen	Respon		essary to understa	and how they are	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instri	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcl	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different.	, aced tables provide the	e information nece			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instri	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different.		e information nece P 23	L 4	and how they are # 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcla t 802.3db-2022) as follows	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af		REJECT. The referen different. C/ 45 So Law, David	c 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subcla t 802.3db-2022) as follows	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type	C 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A	L 4 kard Enterprise	# 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. weight of the subclust of th	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro	# 221	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. weight of the status uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows 1802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively	C 45.2.1.153.1a	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro uivalent for index v	# 221 ough 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through	63,
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. weight of the status uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows 1802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. C/ 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23).	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx inde	e information nece P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thro uivalent for index v	# 221 ough 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through	63,
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. weight of the status uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows 1802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx inde edy	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. weight of the status uction to "Insert new subclated 802.3db-2022) as follows 1802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af ::" <i>L</i> 15	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5 Proposed Response	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'.	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not values 48 throug	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through Response	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 y.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'. Respon	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Change editing instru- inserted by IEEE Sto Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E typo 154.6 is not a p SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5 Proposed Response	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. uction to "Insert new subclut 802.3db-2022) as follows 1150 P 22 General Comment Status D roper Table number. Response Status W	ause 45.2.1.22.1c af .:" <i>L</i> 15 Motors	ter 45.2.1.22.1b (as # <u>375</u>	REJECT. The referent different. Cl 45 So Law, David Comment Type Subclause that 'Bits 1. respectively 23). SuggestedRem Suggest tha 49 through Response	C 45.2.1.153.1a E Comme 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx inde 804.1 through 1.804.1 Bit 1.804.1 is Tx in edy at the text ' for index 63'.	P 23 P 23 Hewlett Pac ent Status A ex ability 48 throug 5 indicate the equ dex ability 49, not values 48 throug	<i>L</i> 4 kard Enterprise gh 63 (1.804.0 thru uivalent for index Tx index ability 4	# 221 bugh 1.804.15)' sa values 48 through 8 (see page 23, lin	63, 1e

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.153.1a** Page 8 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.153	.1a	P 23	L 31	# 376	C/ 45	SC	45.2.1.153	8.1a	P 23	L 37	# 222
Wienckowsk	ki, Natalie		General Moto	ors		Law, Dav	id			Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment	Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре	E	Comment S	tatus A		
	53.1a is not beir 53a in this spec	0.	nder 45.2.1.153.	1 in the base sp	ec, it should be under	incluc	les the t	text 'For 40	0GBASE-ZR s	ee Table 15	gh 63 (1.804.0 thi 56–4.' at the end c	of the subclause.
SuggestedR	emedy											ster (Register 1.824)' of the subclause. Since
To: 45.2	: 45.2.1.153.1a 2.153a.1 he instructions o	on P22L19.				Tx inc 400G	dex abili BASE-Z	ity 0 througl ZR, as well	h 47 and Rx in	dex ability 0 E-ZR, sugge	through 47 will n	
Proposed Re	esponse	Response	Status W			Suggeste			0			
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I		LE.									e added to the draft.
See resp	ponse to comme	ent 162				'For 1	00GBA		e Table 154–5.			subclauses that reads see Table 154–5, for
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.153	.1a	P 23	L 35	# 198	Response			Response St	atus C		
Huber, Thon Comment Ty			Nokia Status A					PRINCIPLE				
SuggestedRo Change "Bits 1.8 respectiv to	.04.1 through 1.8	304.15 indic	ate the equivale	nt for for index v	alues 48 through 63,	400G 400G is liste 400G	BASE-Z BASE-Z ed in Ta BASE-Z	ZR see Tab ZR the spec able 156–4.' ZR the spec	ble 156–4." In cific optical free " In 45.2.1.15	45.2.1.150. quency corro 4.1 add a ne quency corro	w second to last	entence "For n channel index number
"Bits 1.8 respectiv		304.15 indic	ate the equivale	nt for for index v	alues 49 through 63,	C/ 45	SC	45.2.1.153	a	P 22	L 19	# 197
Response	voly.	Resnonse	Status C			Huber, Th				Nokia		
ACCEPT	Т.	reeponeo				Comment		Е	Comment S	tatus D		bucke
						guide		ubclause ur				nsistent with the style d be numbered as .1
						Suggeste Chan			o 45.2.1.153a.	1		
						Proposed PROF	•		Response St			

See response to comment 162

	# 162 0	C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.157a	P 24	L 19	# 199
row, Robert RMG Consulting		Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
omment Type E Comment Status D Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.153.	bucket 0			the editing instr		<i>buck</i> nsistent with the style ld be numbered as .1
uggestedRemedy Insert 45.2.1.153a and 45.2.1.153.1a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows:		rather than 1a.				
roposed Response Response Status W		SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.15	7.1a to 45.2.1.157a	a.1		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follo		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response S EPT IN PRINCIPL			
new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a.1 after 45.2.1.153a as follows	," 	See response to c	omment 163			
	# 377	CI 78 SC 78		P 26	L 1	# 35
/ienckowski, Natalie General Motors omment Type E Comment Status D	bucket	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
45.2.1.157.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.157.1 in the base spec, it sl 45.2.1.157a in this spec. uggestedRemedy Change: 45.2.1.157.1a	hould be under		in current high-spe	to support EEE eed Ethernet a	oplications is pra	actically non-existent.
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3.		features to new PC never used is a bu	CSs that are added	I for these PHY	s. Having optior	to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W	S	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy	CSs that are added rden for readers an	l for these PHY nd implemente	s. Having optior	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3.	s	features to new PC never used is a bu	CSs that are added rden for readers an	l for these PHY nd implemente	s. Having optior	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W	5	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy	CSs that are added rden for readers ar from this amendn	l for these PHY nd implementer nent.	's. Having optior rs.	r to add LPI specific nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163	# <u>163</u>	features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in	CSs that are added rden for readers an from this amendn the 400GBASE-Z	l for these PHY nd implementer nent. R row for EEE	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5.	to add LPI specific hal features that are S specifications in
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting comment Type E Comment Status D		features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers	CSs that are added rden for readers and from this amendn the 400GBASE-Z and functions rela	I for these PHY nd implemented nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC	nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting omment Type E Comment Status D Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.157.	# 163 bucket	features to new PC never used is a bu SuggestedRemedy Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers clause 155. Implement addition Proposed Response	CSs that are added rden for readers and from this amendin the 400GBASE-Z and functions relation nal changes as new <i>Response</i> S	I for these PHY nd implemented nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I cessary with ed Status W	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC	nal features that are
To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 163 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 row, Robert RMG Consulting comment Type E Comment Status D	# 163 bucket	features to new PC never used is a bu <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Remove clause 78 Remove the "O" in Delete all registers clause 155. Implement addition	CSs that are added rden for readers and from this amendin the 400GBASE-Z and functions related nal changes as new <i>Response S</i> EPT IN PRINCIPL	I for these PHY nd implementer nent. R row for EEE ated to EEE or I cessary with ed <i>Status</i> W E.	's. Having optior rs. in Table 116-5. LPI from the PC litorial license.	nal features that are

CI 78 SC 78

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 26 L 16 # 172	C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 418
D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR Comment Status D EEE Clauses point to the respective PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayers of the PHY. Clause 118 is an extender sublayer but the DTE/ PHY XS sublayers, which are essentially PCS functions. So it may be ok to leave - but this has never been done before. Clause 120 is not part of the 400GBASE-ZR stack. SuggestedRemedy Change entry in Clause field to: 155, 156	Comment Type T Comment Status A All normal BASE-R PHYs use the same Clause 120 PMA, so it has not been mentioned in this table up to now. This one is different. SuggestedRemedy Change "(see Clause 156)" to "(see Clause 155 and Clause 156)" Response Response Status CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.	See response to comment 173 C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 173
D/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 417	D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A As in an earlier comment: just saying "using 400GBASE-R encoding" is highly misleading. This PHY and its coding is very different to normal BASE-R. SuggestedRemedy Either, change "using 400GBASE-R encoding" to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP, strong FEC, dual polarization DP-16QAM, and coherent optical signalling", or delete "using 400GBASE-R encoding". People can follow the link to Clause 156 to find out more. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Notal	The 400GBASE-ZR PHY leverages the 400GBASE-R PCS, but is not really 400GBASE-F encoded. SuggestedRemedy modify description entry of Table 116-2 to: 400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clause 155 and Clause 156) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change description Table 116-2 to
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 173	"400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clauses 155 and 156)"

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3

C/ 116	SC 116.1.3	P 27	L 22	# 419	C/ 116	SC	116.1.4	P 28	L 8	# 4
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Гуре	ER	Comment Status A		
rather, th (then, ba	ey are like 100 sed on SONET	cribed in this draft don't desc BBASE-W. An Ethernet sign r, here, based on OTN).	nal is packed inte	o a telecoms wrapper	400GB	ASE-Z	optical P	the defined margins. It wo HYs. Note that 400GBASE SE-Z as defined in 1.4.144	-ZR is part of the	
		nsy and messy. Starting fro I understand that the ratior			Suggested	Remed	'y			
already tl this sche	nere, and the c me. But that c	sost of a clean design was the autor factor and the ratio result in the second market potential of the market for this.	ought to outweig		with ap type ar	propria nd clau	ate editori se correla	16-5 to "PHY type and clau al instruction and change f ation (400GBASE-Z optical 16-5 in D2.0 with only the n	ormating. Insert ne)" and include the	ew Table 116-x "P row for 400GBAS
SuggestedRe	emedy				Response	i de d		Response Status C		
I can thin	k of three optio	ons:			•	PT IN F	RINCIPL	,		
		ng out GMP and FAW and s an Ethernet PHY;	simplifying the tra	aining sequence and				16-5 to "PHY type and clau rom the draft. With editoria		00GBASE-R optica
	nis project, and maintenance;	encourage those interested	I to feed their lea	arnings into OIF's	include	the ro	w for 400	"PHY type and clause cor GBASE-ZR as provided in	Table 116-5 in D2	
		0GBASE-ZW, which is mor			necess	ary col	umns. S	ee response to comment 1	74.	
ZR" nam be found		any future native Ethernet P	HY, should the b	road market potential	C/ 116	SC	116.1.4	P 28	L 10	# 164
sponse		Response Status U			Grow, Rob	ert		RMG Cons	ulting	
REJECT		,			Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		
No conse	ensus within the	e CRG to change the name	of the 400GBAS	E-ZR PHY		BASE-8	SR4 PMD	: P802.3db/D3.2 inserted is missing). The column i		

Add column for 400GBASE-SR4 PMD under Clause 157 as found in the latest version of P802.3db (or if approved or published IEEE Std 802.3db).

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 10	# 36	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 42	# 174
Ran, Adee	Cisco			D'Ambros	a, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment Type E Table 116-5 has been its two PHYs).	Comment Status A changed in 802.3db to have	one column grou	p for clause 167 (with	120F,	ble notes the fo and 120G. The	Comment Status A Illowing clauses as optional se layers are not directly use the use of the 400GMII Ext	d as part of the 4	
Also, the table ruling s	should be cleaned up.			Suggested	Remedy	-		
	h 802.3db D3.2 and apply forr	natting as require	ed to match the original	Make and 12		ollowing clauses blank: 119, 1	20, 120B, 120C,	120D, 120E, 120F,
table structure.				Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Eor th		R row in Table 116-5 delete "o	o" (optional) in fo	llowing clauses (110
See response to com	ment 4				20B – 120G)			nowing clauses (119,
C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 42	# 175					
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei					
Comment Type TR While the 400GMII Ex PHY, and not within th	Comment Status D tender is optional, it may only ne PHY itself.	be used above t	he 400GBASE-ZR					
SuggestedRemedy								
Add note C to entry fo Note C - The 400GMI PCS.	or Clause 118. I Extender SHALL only be use	ed between the R	S and 400GBASE-ZR					
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z							
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.						

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 43	# 223
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the

PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4 levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing q levels, where p and q are implementation dependant.

This all seems to preclude the physical instantiation of the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA as a 400GAUI. This is because [1] the PMA service interface doesn't support alignment markers and lane numbers allowing multiplexing and de-multiplexing to different widths; [2] the PMA service interface width on the receive path is implementation dependant; and [3] the PMA service interface operates as a synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation, requiring a skew between the bits of less than one 400GBASE-ZR frame DP-16QAM symbol time (~17.3 ps) which I don't believe a 400GAUI would meeting. This seems to be confirmed by the one example given in annexe 120A.6 'Partitioning example supporting 400GBASE-ZR' which only shows a 400GAUI 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and not 'below'.

Based on the above, add footnotes to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 to note the 400GAUI is only supported 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 that reads '400GAUI only supported as a physical instantiation of the 400GMII Extender (see 118.1.3).'.

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.	

See response to comment 174

C/ 116	SC 116.2.3	P 28	L 53	# 5
Brown, Mat	it	Huawei		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b, not 400GBASE-R. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Rather than changing the first paragraph, add the following new paragraph at the end of 116.2.3: "The term 400GBASE-Z refers to a specific family of Physical Layer devices using 400GBASE-R encoding, a combination of phase and amplitude modulation, and coherent detection. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS defined in Clause 155 performs encoding of data from the 400GMII, applies FEC, and transfers the encoded data to the PMA."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete existing text in D2.0 for 116.2.3

Add a new last paragraph to 116.2.3

"The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PCS specified in Clause 155. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS performs encoding of data from the 400GMII to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface."

C/ 116	SC 116.2.3	P 29	L 1	# 176
D'Ambros	sia, John	Fuuturewei,	JS Subsidiary of	f Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.3 recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 116	Page 14 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 116.2.3	9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 116 SC	2 116.2.3	P 29	L 2	# 420	C/ 116	SC 116.2.4	P 29	L 12	# 6
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Brown, Ma	tt	Huawei		
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status A			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
implementat	The term 400GBASE tions based upon the	e 64B/66B coding n	nethod specified	in Clause 119 or			not a 400GBASE-R PMA, the editorial changes in 116.		
	and the PMA specifi nctly different "famil		clause 120 or Cla	ause 155." But these	Suggestea	Remedy			
SuggestedReme	-						structions to modify the cor		
	ext and add a separ	ate paragraph intro	ducing 400GBAS	SE-W			e of the first paragraph a pa agraph with the previous pa		I.
Response		nse Status W	5		Add a	new paragraph	at the end of 116.2.4 as follo	ows:	
-	PRINCIPLE.					00GBASE-ZR F	PMA, which is a 400GBASE	-Z PMA, is defined	d in Clause 155."
/					Response		Response Status C		
See respons	se to comment 5				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
	0 116.2.3	P 29	L 6	# 421	In 116	.2.4 change edit	ing instruction to "Replace ?	16.2.4 with"	
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			With th	ne following text			
Comment Type		nent Status A			"Th - F			for the DCC to a	
155 PCS, w	aph summarizing the hich does clock dom of which is a BASE-	nain translation and			range	of physical med			
SuggestedReme							400GBASE-R PMAs perfo		
Add new ser	•						the PCS and PMA via the F nsmit and receive data streat		
Response	Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			PMD s	ervice interface	. In addition, the PMA perform	rms retiming of the	e received data stream
	PRINCIPLE.				and op	tionally provide	onally provides data loopba s test pattern generation and re specified in Clause 120.		
See respons	se to comment 5								
C/ 116 SC	C 116.2.4	P 29	L 10	# 177	The 40	0GBASE-ZR P	HY uses the PMA specified	in Clause 155"	
D'Ambrosia, Joh	าท	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei	With e	ditorial license			
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status A							
The change 400GBASE-	s to the base text ar R family.	e incorrect as 4000	BASE-ZR is not	a member of					
SuggestedReme	edy								
	d text in 802.3cw D2 ed text will be provid		resentation.						
Response		nse Status C							
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.								
See respons	se to comment 6								
-									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 Page 15 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

		1.40	# 400	0/ 440	00 440 0 5	D 00	1.40	<i>μ</i> –	_
C/ 116 SC 11		L 12	# 422	C/ 116	SC 116.2.5	P 29	L 19	# 7	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Brown, Ma		Huawei			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TR Comment Status A			Comment	• ·	Comment Status A			
"all 400GBASE type R PMA.	R PMAs other than 400GBASE-Z	2R" is making my p	oint that this is not a			a 400GBASE-R PMD, bu litorial changes in 116.2.			
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
	ence to the first paragraph explair ing, no loopback).	ning what the Claus	e 155 PMA does - it's	Add the	e following sentence	tions to modify the conte The 400GBASE-ZR P	MD, which is a 4		nd
Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤					specified in Clause 156.			
ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			Response		esponse Status C			
See response to	o comment 6			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				
C/ 116 SC 11	6.2.4 P 29	L 12	# 200	Delete	existing 116.2.5 D2.	0 text			
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Add as	new last paragraph	1			
Comment Type	E Comment Status A			"The 4	00GBASE-ZR PMD	and its corresponding m	edia is specified	in Clause 156."	
	oducing a second PMA for 400GE n 400GBASE-ZR are specified in			C/ 116	SC 116.4	P 29	L 27	# 8	
	400GBASE-R PMAs besides the			Brown, Ma	tt	Huawei			
SuggestedRemedy				Comment 7		Comment Status D		bı	cket
	sentence to read "The 200GBAS n 400GBASE-ZR are specified in		GBASE-R PMA for	In the e	51	statement "unchanged ro d, not changed.	ws not shown" is	s incorrect since the	
Response	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy				
ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			Chang	e "unchanged rows r	not shown" to "some unc	hanged rows not	t shown".	
See response to	o comment 6			Proposed I	•	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			
C/ 116 SC 11	6.2.5 P 29	L 18	# 178	PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei	i, US Subsidiary of	Huawei	C/ 116	SC 116.4	P 29	L 30	# 179	
Comment Type	TR Comment Status A			D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei, l	JS Subsidiary of	Huawei	
	the base text are incorrect as 400	OGBASE-ZR is not	a member of	Comment	Type TR C	Comment Status D			
400GBASE-R fa	amily.					s not a member of 400G	BASE-R. It is al	so noted that per	
SuggestedRemedy						eciprocal of the bit rate.			
	xt in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.5 ext will be provided in a follow-up	presentation		Suggested					
Response	Response Status C	presentation.			beginning of notes a 0GBASE-R and 4000				
ACCEPT IN PR				Proposed F		esponse Status W			
					OSED ACCEPT IN F	,			
See response to	o comment 7			Poviou	aupporting process	ation for commont read	ution group (CDC	2) consideration	
				Review	v supporting presenta	ation, for comment resol	ution group (CRC	b) consideration.	
TYPE: TR/technical	required ER/editorial required G	R/general required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general		C/ 1 1	6	Page 16 of	28

Page 16 of 128 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 116 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 116.4 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Ran, Ade Closo D'Amtosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status D 4888 pause quanta equita S2400256 bit times, not 2400000, and 6000, 64 ns, not 6000. 56 Definition of pause quanta and whatever time/BT Definition of pause quanta and whatever time/BT The precedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause quanta and whatever time/BT Upon further review it is not clear how 30GBASE_ZR. Suggested/Remedy Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000 84. Definition 10.00GBASE_ZR and these are not the same service interfaces that are defined for 400GBASE_ZR. Also change in 155.6. Proposed Response Response Status W Preposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Ci 116 S C 116.4 P 29 L 25 # 188 P183 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Notia Notia Comment Type TR Comment Status D Notia Comment Type Response Status V PROP	C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 37	C/ 116 SC 116.5	P 30	L 30	# 180
4688 puise guanta equales 2400266 bit times, not 2400000, and 8000.4 ns, not 6000.5 either BT and ns column or pause guanta column should be changed. Upon time review it is not clear how Table 116-8 actually ties into 400GBASE-ZR. The siew variation is liet of 400GBASE-CR. Proposed fragments/ The procedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause guanta and whatever time/BT trait result from it. Upon time review it is not clear how Table 116-8 actually ties into 400GBASE-ZR. Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64. So thange in 155.6. Change navimum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64. Note and the for a relevant to 400GBASE-ZR. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. The server arial to be provided to address topic. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 119 SC 116.4 P 2 9 1.3 ft 183 Marbosia, John Fully review (LS Subsidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. P 30 1 9 1 11 1 11 1 11 SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See groups Status C Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. C 119	in, Adee Cisco	D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
either BT and ns column or pause_quanta column should be changed. The precedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause_quanta and whatever time/BT that result from it. ggestedRemedy Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64. Also change in 155.6. popsed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # [153] Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huavel mment Type Tak Comment Status M PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status W Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. C119 SC 118.5 P 30 L 9 P 31 L 1 # [201] Nubrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huavel Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status M PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P 30 L 1 # [201] Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. SuggestedRemedy Response Status C AccEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C AccEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resp	mment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR C	Comment Status D		
Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64. Presentation to be provided to address topic. Also change in 155.6. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. W Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. The S C 116.4 P 29 L 35 # [183] Ambrosia, John Fulturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 119 S 149 P 31 L 1 # [201] Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 119 S 119 P 31 L 1 # [201] Mudify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 119 S C 119 Nokia Opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Response Status C AccEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 165 Cl 119 S 119 P 31 L 1 # [165] gestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 Grow, Robert R	either BT and ns column or pause_quanta column should be changed. The precedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause_quanta and whatever time/	The skew variation is tied to - Unclear that there are PC BT - Both Fig 1164 and 116-5 a	o 400GBASE-R - 3RD c S lanes in 400GBASE-Z are relevant to 400GBAS	olumn IR SE-ZR and these	
6000.64. Also change in 155.6. posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Montrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. spester Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 1 # [201] Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Ci 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # [201] Huber, Thomas Nokia Nokia Comment Status A The change indicated to be made to the NOTE in 119.2.5.7 has already been made i 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Response Status C Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. E Comment 1790e E Comment 1863 116 S C 116.5 P 30 L 9 # [195] M [195] </td <td>ggestedRemedy</td> <td>SuggestedRemedy</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # [83] Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Imment Type TR Comment Status M AggestedRemedy Nokia Nokia Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. NogestedRemedy sposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # [195] Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Response Status C Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. CI 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # [105] Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Memore clause 119 (and all subclauses) Response Status C Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 165 C/ 119 S 119 P 31 L 1 # [165] C////	6000.64.	Proposed remedy at this tin 1. Delete Table 116-8 in P8	ne - 802.3cw - not relevant.to	400GBASE-ZR	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D Note and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Note and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. spgestedRemedy Modify notes provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Note and b for Table 119.25.7 has already been made i Notes and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Response Response Status M PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 195 See response to comment 165 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type Response Status C AdoGBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - ggestedRemedy See response to comment 165 C 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 165	posed Response Response Status W	3. A skew points diagram fo	or 400GBASE-ZR is nee	eded.	
Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Imment Type TR Comment Status D Mote a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-R. gested/Remedy Nokia Nokia Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Sogested/Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei 195 See response Status C AccEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei 195 See response to comment 165 Cl 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 105 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment 730 Comment 730 Comment 730 P 31 L 1 # 105 Ambrosia, John Futurewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment 730 <td></td> <td>Proposed Response Re</td> <td>esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Proposed Response Re	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-R. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 201 Huber, Thomas Nokia ggestedRemedy Nokia Nokia Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Nokia Comment Status A pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Review supporting presentation, for comment status D L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Funturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Imment Type TR Comment Status D Modify notes to provide definitions for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. The Sc 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Funturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei See response to comment 165 QuOGBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting QuOGBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A The stilkethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 sta			PRINCIPLE.		
116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-R. Nokia ggested/Remedy Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Nokia poposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 119 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Missidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Gerstease Response Status C ggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 See response Negare in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa suggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 Ci 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 165 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Type Comment Type <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>ation, for comment resol</td><td>lution group (CR</td><td>G) consideration.</td></td<>			ation, for comment resol	lution group (CR	G) consideration.
Indicating weil, US Subsidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D Huber, Thomas Nokia Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. SuggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 MoodBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - JagestedRemedy See response to comment 165 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Modify these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		C/ 119 SC 119	P 31	L 1	# 201
Initial Type IK Comment Status D Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective definitions for 400GBASE-R. SectedRemedy Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Comment Type E Comment Status C 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 mment Type TR Comment Status D See response to comment 165 C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 165 row, Robert RMG Consulting row, Robert Response status A The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. M PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. M<	-	Huber Thomas	Nokia		
The change indicated to be made to the NOTE in 119.2.5.7 has already been made in 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. posed Response Response Status Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.5 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. memory Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - ggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 posed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 165 Cl 119 SC 119 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 119 from the draft. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Response Status<		,			
ggestedRemedy 802.3-2022 Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. SuggestedRemedy pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response of the second status C Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Response Response Status C 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 105 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei See response to comment 165 C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 165 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Gorow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa SuggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 119 from the draft. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C		51		19 2 5 7 has alre	adv been made in
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Event (CRG)				10.2.0.7 1100 0110	
poposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Response Response Status C 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 165 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Imment Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting ggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 119 from the draft. Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C	Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR.	SuggestedRemedy			
Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Response Response Response Response Status C 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei See response to comment 165 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D See response to comment 165 A00GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting ggestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa suggestedRemedy Delete Clause 119 from the draft. Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C	oposed Response Response Status W		ll subclauses)		
Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Maximum and Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - gestedRemedy all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 See response Response Status N PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response Status C	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response	esponse Status C		
Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Mmbrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting ggestedRemedy The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standar all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C	Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration				
mment Type TR Comment Status D 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting ggestedRemedy The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C	116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195	See response to comment	165		
400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Grow, Robert RMG Consulting 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Comment Type Comment Status A ggestedRemedy The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C	mbrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei	C/ 119 SC 119	P 31	L 1	# 165
400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes - Comment Type E Comment Status A ggestedRemedy The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C		Grow, Robert	RMG Consul	Iting	
ggestedRemedy The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standa all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy pposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Response Status C	400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes -	,		5	
all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 SuggestedRemedy oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C	ggestedRemedy			shed IEEE Std 80)2.3-2022 standard.
opposed Response Response Status W Delete Clause 119 from the draft. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C	all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156	-			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response C	posed Response Response Status W		e draft		
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	_			
Review supporting presentation for comment resolution droup (CRG) consideration ACCEPT.	Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration				
	N: TD/tacknical required ED/aditatic required CD/general required T/tacknical E/				Daga 17 of

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 119
 Page 17 of 128

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 119
 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 119
 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

CI 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L 8	# 2	C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 3 # 126				
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	munications		Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems				
Comment Type E Text of the editorial inst	Comment Status D ruction should be bolded and	d italics	bucke	Comment Type TR Comment Status D PMA description This is a single clause that covers both the PCS and PMA sublayers. Section 155.1 includes a summary of the PCS functions (in section 155.1.3). For consistency with				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				previous standards I think this section should also include a summary of the PMA functions				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Add a new sub-section after 155.1.3 and before 155.1.4, to include a summary of the PMA functions.				
C/ 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L 30	# 3	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.				
Comment Type E Missing space between	Comment Status D		bucke	C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 10 # 9				
				Brown, Matt Huawei				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Comment Type E Comment Status D bucke PHY name breaks across two rows.				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy In 400GBASE-ZR change hyphen to non-breaking hyphen ([ESC],[-],[h]). Same for "DP-16QAM" on line 18.				
C/ 120A SC 120A.6	P 103	L 43	# 581	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status D			PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
two 400GMII and 400G	AUI-8 interfaces			C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 10 # 125				
SuggestedRemedy				Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems				
Only one 400GAUI-8 int	terface			Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucke				
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR"				
PROPOSED ACCEPT				SuggestedRemedy Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" throughtout document				
Review supporting pres	entation, for comment resolution	ution group (CRC	j) consideration.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

C/ 155 SC	155.1.1	P 32	L 14	# 26	C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 29	# 38
Marris, Arthur		Cadence Des	ign Systems		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
<i>Comment Type</i> Missing spac	E ce	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment 7 Clause	51	Comment Status D d in this amendment.		buck
SuggestedReme Change "cha		e" to "characters. The"			<i>SuggestedI</i> Make "		n active cross reference.		
Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W			Proposed F PROPC	esponse SED ACCEP	Response Status W T.		
C/ 155 SC	155.1.1	P 32	L 14	# 423	C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 39
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		PCS description	Comment 7	уре Е	Comment Status D		bucke
		ranscoded to 256B/257B end			Superfl	uous comma l	pefore "and"		
different.		error correction (FEC)": that's	what true 400G	BASE-R does. This is	Suggested Delete	Re <i>medy</i> the comma			
SuggestedReme	-				Proposed F		Response Status W		
		nslation, addition of a CRC, t rambling, interleaving and a s		orward error correction		SED ACCEP	•		
Response		Response Status W			C/ 155	SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 378
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPL	Ε.			Wienckows		General Mo		
Replace 155	.1.1 with				Comment 7	,	Comment Status D		buck
							ed after "and" when it is a list	of only 2 items.	
" I his clause attachment (Specifies to PMA) suble	he physical coding sublayer (ayer for the physical layer im	PCS) and physic plementation kno	cal medium	Suggested			,	
ZR. The 400	GBASE-ZF	R PCS and 400GBASE-ZR P	MA are sublayer	s of the 400GBASE-			rward error correction (SC-FE	C), and soft dec	ision forward error
		116–2. The term 400GBASE hich uses the PCS and PMA			correcti	on			
							l error correction (SC-FEC) a	nd soft decision f	orward error correction
	155.1.1	P 32	L 17	# 169	Proposed F	•	Response Status W		
Maguire, Valerie		Copperopolis			PROPO	SED ACCEP	T.		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		PCS description					
		ention in the 802.3-2022 doc AM (e.g, 16-QAM). See 45.2							
SuggestedReme	dy								
Globally repl	ace "16QA	M" with "16-QAM" and "DP-1	6QAM" with "DF	P-16-QAM".					
Response		Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 32	L 30	# 186	C/ 155	SC 155.1.3	P 33	L 40	# 127
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Syste	ems	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		references
SC-FEC is used through	out the draft, but is not deta	iled in 1.5		Item d docum		ences to "ITU-T G.709 Ann	ex D". Is this a pu	blically available
SuggestedRemedy								
add abbreviation SD-FE0	C - staircase forward error c	orrection		Suggested	2	for algoritization		
Proposed Response	Response Status W					for clarification.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN				Proposed I	,	Response Status W		
Add to the list of abbrevia SC-FEC staircase forwa	ations in 1.5 and entry for: ard error correction			G.709		IN PRINCIPLE. Ist of normative references It:	at 1.3. The lates	st version, including
C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 33	L 18	# 181					
D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	https://	/www.itu.int/rec/	T-REC-G.709/en		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			C/ 155	SC 155.1.3	P 33	L 42	# 128
	ottom of the stack should in	clude a label tha	at is the PMD.	Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Syste	ems	
Reference Figure 124-1	for a similar diagram.			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Add 400GBASE-ZR und similar diagram.	er the box labeled "MEDIUM	1". Reference F	Figure 124-1 for a	Item e sectior	, ,	n SC-FEC, but there is no de	efiniton of "SC-FE	C" in the definitions
-	Desmanas Otatus IN			Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Add a	definition for "S	C-FEC" into section 1.4 (unl	ess it was added	by a previous project).
FROFOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
C/ 155 SC 155.1.3	P 33	L 36	# 379			IN PRINCIPLE.		
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Motor	rs				ment #186, which adds SC- 2 is a normative reference at		appreviations at 1.5.
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket					
wording					definition at 1.4	: ward error correction using	512 x 510 staircas	so codos os dofinad in
SuggestedRemedy					G.709.2 Annex			
	om 66-bit blocks to (from) 2 it blocks to (from) 257-bit bl							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

	129 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 425
Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
omment Type ER Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
This section is under "overview" and is titled "Inter-sublayer interfaces" . How	
mentions the inter-sublayer interfaces above and below the PCS. Shouldn't the also cover the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces ?	is section SuggestedRemedy
Suggested Remedy	Something like:
Add a description of the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces to this section.	The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal transfer rate rate at the 8-wide PMA service interface of 59.84375 x (28/29) Gtransfers/s +/- 20 ppm for a total of ~462.2414
Proposed Response Response Status W	Gtransfers/s.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered	
7/155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 52 #	182 Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.
D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 41
omment Type E Comment Status D	Ran, Adee Cisco
When using an Extender, the PCS is connecting to the 400GMII in theory. T	his sentence Comment Type E Comment Status D
does not express this -	The letter x should be replaced by the multiplication sign ? (twice)
Optionally the upper interface may connect to a 400GMII Extender, defined in which then	Clause 118, SuggestedRemedy
connects to the Reconciliation Sublayer.	Change per comment, and apply across the draft (search for "x" as a whole word)
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Delete noted sentence.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 42
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consi	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consi	Iteration. Ran, Adee Cisco Image: Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc Image: Ran, Adee Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc Image: Ran, Adee The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered on the support of	Iteration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. PCS desc
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc 424 The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered on the support of	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc 424 The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered of the support of	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc 424 The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. D PCS desc SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes). Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes).
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the comment resolution for the comment resolution and the comment resolution a	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco 424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal rate at the PMA service interface of 8 x 59.84
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) considered and the support of the support o	deration. Ran, Adee Cisco (424 Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS desc (424 The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PC clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Consistency is preferable. D PCS desc SuggestedRemedy Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: Change a nominal rate at the PMA service interface of 8 x 59.84

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 155
 Page 21 of 128

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 155.1.4
 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 155.1.4
 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34	L 2	# 40	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.	2 <i>P</i> 34	L 15	# 184
Ran, Adee Cisco			D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status D The nominal rate is a specific number, and show	ld not include range	PCS description (in ppm).	Comment Type E Missing word "The" at	Comment Status D beginning of first sentence.		bucket
Also in 155.3.2.			SuggestedRemedy add "The" at the begir	ning of the sentence.		
SuggestedRemedy Either delete "+/- 20 ppm" or delete "nominal", ir	both subclauses.		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEP	Г.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.	2 <i>P</i> 34	L 16	# 185
At 155.1.4, delete +/- 20 ppm.			D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
At 155.3.2, delete +/- 20 ppm in two places.			Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		
C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 32	L 15	# 27		ord FEC in this sentence imp rface supports the exchange		
Marris, Arthur Cadence	Design Systems		and PMA sublayer.			
Comment Type E Comment Status D		bucket	There is also the 64B	66B encoding.		
Missing word "The"			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy			delete the word FEC.			
Change to "The PMA service interface"			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			PROPOSED ACCEP Review supporting pre	FIN PRINCIPLE. esentation. For comment res	olution group (CF	२G) consideration.
	1.45	# [000]	C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.	2 P 34	L 17	# 381
C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34	L 15	# 380	Wienckowski, Natalie	General Mot	ors	
Wienckowski, Natalie General M	lotors		Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket
Comment Type E Comment Status D		bucket	grammar, you are talk	ing about 2 sublayers, not 1	sublayer.	
wording			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				PCS and PMA sublayer.		
			To: between the PCS	-		
Change: PMA service interface To: The PMA service interface						
			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 Page 22 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.1.4.2	P 34	L 17	# 187	C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L 3	# 130
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei, U	S Subsidiary of I	Huawei	Nicholl, Gary	,	Cisco Systems	3	
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		cross references	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		Block diagrams
The linl	k for 155.3 does	PMA service interface is defir not go to a PMA service inte		ð.	overview		unctional block diagram of the CS and PMA sub-layers, so I		
Suggested	•				SuggestedRe		613.		
Pointer	r should be to 15	5.3.2.			00	,	55-2 to include the PMA functi	one or add a e	oparato functional
Proposed F PROP(Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					00BASE-ZR PMA.		
C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L 1	# 427			e delete section 155.1.5, and A under sections 155.2 and 1		0
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
Comment 7 This P0		Comment Status D cated for just a "directive" spe	ecification. We n	PCS description eed examples.			IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment resolution	ution group (CF	RG) consideration.
Suaaestedl	•				C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 35	L 13	# 426
	Remedy	. FEC and other blocks befor	e and after codir	. Smallish ones can	Cl 155 Dawe, Piers	SC 155.1.5	P 35 Nvidia	L 13	# 426
Create go in th	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all o	FEC and other blocks befor can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA.	tory that IEEE pr		Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	pe E		L 13	# 426 bucket
go in th	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all o They might nee	can be uploaded to the direc	tory that IEEE pr		Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcoo	pe E de	Nvidia	L 13	
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might nee Response OSED REJECT.	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA.	tory that IEEE pr	ovides for these	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod	pe E de emedy e	Nvidia Comment Status D		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The su	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might nee Response OSED REJECT.	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W	tory that IEEE pr	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the	pe E de e <i>medy</i> e e figures for ca	Nvidia Comment Status D		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The su	Remedy examples of e.g. ne document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> 35	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup Cl 155 Brown, Mat Comment 1	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all of They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W does not propose specific ch	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de e <i>medy</i> e e figures for ca	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup Cl 155 Brown, Mai Comment 1 "400GE Suggested	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E BASE-Z" should the Remedy	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> 35 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		
Create go in th things. Proposed F PROPO The sup C/ 155 Brown, Mai Comment 7 "400GE Suggested	Remedy examples of e.g. the document, all They might need Response OSED REJECT. ggested remedy SC 155.1.5 tt Type E BASE-Z" should the Remedy	can be uploaded to the direc d to cover some of the PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W does not propose specific ch <i>P</i> 35 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A be "400GBASE-ZR".	tory that IEEE pr nanges to the dra	ovides for these ft.	Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Transcod SuggestedRe transcod Scrub the Proposed Re	pe E de emedy e e figures for ca sponse	Nvidia Comment Status D apitals that should not be there Response Status W		

C/ 155 SC 1	55.1.5	P 35	L 25	# 428	C/ 155	SC 155.1.5	P 55	L 3	# 338
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Zimmerma	n, George	CME C	onsulting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma
"SC-FEC adapt			adapt" - it would	help to know that there	400GE	ntence says 40 ASE-ZR PCS s	Comment Status A 0GBASE-Z PCS sublay ublayer (also the "R" ge	er, but the figure is la	
uggestedRemedy					encodi	ng used here.)			
"SC-FEC adapt	t, encoding and i	nterleaving", "SC-F	EC de-interleving	decoding & adapt" ?	Suggested	•			
roposed Respons	e Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			0	e 155.1.5, page	34 line 3, to "400GBAS	5	to agree with the figure
Change text in "SC-FEC adapt	CCEPT IN PRIN transmit directior t & encoding"					PT IN PRINCIP sponse to 170	Response Status C LE.	;	
to "SC-FEC adapt	t, encoding & inte	erleaving"			C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 6	# 43
Change text in "SC-FEC decord	receive direction	from:			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
to	ung a adapt				Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status)	
	terleaving, decod	0 1					CS . can operate in nrom raph. These modes are		
	55.1.5	P 35	L 43	# 429	Suggested	Remedy			
awe, Piers	-	Nvidia					e of the first paragraph t	to a separate paragra	ph before the current
		nent Status D	o direction only is	s not usual (so it looks		aragraph.			
like a leftover fr	rom Clause 119 \	where two widths ar ned until much late	e possible, but fo	r a known and	Proposed I PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status V	V	
uggestedRemedy					C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 7	# 44
Add an informa subclause.	ative NOTE sayin	g why it's m-1 not 7	, and referring to	the appropriate	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
oposed Respons	e Posno	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status)	
PROPOSED A Add a note to F "The PMA serv	CCEPT IN PRIN Figure 155-2: rice interface in th	CIPLE.		dth of "m" where m >	is "trar "chanr	smit channel", a	nsmit and PCS Receive and line 35 "receive cha aded term, it is not defin	nnel".	
				ansmit direction. See	Suggested	Remedy			
155.3.3.8."	-					e "transmit char ve function".	nnel" to "Transmit proce	ss", 3 times. Change	"receive channel" to
					Proposed I	Response	Response Status V	v	
					PROP	OSED ACCEPT	- -		
			,	T/technical E/editorial 0				C/ 155	Page 24 of 128

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 12	# 188	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	l	P 36	L 14	# 430
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Fuuturewei, L	S Subsidiary of	Huawei	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
When	llowing is stated communicating v	with the PMA in the transmit of					Comment St ns of digitally enco		∂QAM symbols" w	e need an explanation
provide	es eight digital la	nes, which the PMA encodes	into two stream	is of 16QAM symbols.	Suggested	Remedy				
What a	are eight digital la	anes? Isn't this just the PMA	Service Interfac	e	Add se	entence explai	ning that m is an i	mplementati	on choice, for SD	-FEC.
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed I	Response	Response St	atus W		
PMA:IS	nit data-units are	e sent to the PMA service inte request primitive. The PMA t		e data into two streams	The pr PMA s	ervice interfaction unecessary t	nse to comment 4 e is m lanes wide	in the receiv	/e direction, and p	2 explaining why the pointing to 155.3.3.8. It m-bits is used in the
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			uocun					
	OSED ACCEPT				C/ 155	SC 155.2.	I	P 36	L 20	# 431
Review	v supporting pres	sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CF	(G) consideration.	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 13	# 202	Comment	51	Comment St			GMP mappe
Huber, The		Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D		PCS description			/ or useful? 100GI I. There is spare s			ring the raw BER, this
directio	is inconsistency on between the F	wording between Figure 155- PMA and PCS), the text in 15	5.2.1 (which indi	m lanes in the receive cates two streams of	Suggested If GMF	•	ider changing 20 r	nearer to 50		
	symbols), and tex Is digitized to m-	kt in 155.2.5.1 and in 155.3 2 bit resolution).	(both of (which	reference DP-16QAM	Proposed	,	Response St	atus W		
Suggested	•					OSED REJEC	uggested remedy	do not propo	ose a specific cha	nge to the draft.
receive to "When	o communicating es two streams o o communicating	with the PMA in the receive of f digitally encoded m-bit 16Q with the PMA in the receive of led m-bit DP-16QAM symbols	AM symbols." direction, the 40							
	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CF	RG) consideration.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 20	# 16	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1		P 36	L 22	# 190
Gorshe, St	eve	Microchip Tee	chnology		D'Ambrosi	a, John		Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment	Type TR	Comme	ent Status D		PCS description
ppm of		to "the +/- 100ppm 257-bit blo emselves. Rather it is the blo erance.			The tra	ansmit data is o	encoded with	codes reversed - n a concatenated r de and an outer H	forward error corr	rection (CFEC) code D-FEC.
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	lRemedy				
		ny other occurances, referen d be changed to "block strea		ency or frequency	The tra	noted sentend ansmit data is o	encoded			
	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			code a	concatenated t and an inner ning code SD-F		r correction (CFE0	C) code consisting	g of an outer SC-FEC
?100 p to	anscoded blocks pm 257-bit block	s are then mapped into a 400 s being mapped into a ?20p	pm timing doma	in."		<i>Response</i> OSED ACCEP le response to	, T IN PRINC			
		have a frequency tolerance with a frequency tolerance of			C/ 155	SC 155.2.1		P 36	L 22	# 433
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 20	# 45	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
		Cisco	20	# 43	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status D		PCS description
Ran, Adee Comment 1 Missing	Гуре Е	Comment Status D "20" and the unit "ppm".		bucket	consis	ting of an inne	SC-FEC co	concatenated forw ode and an outer H se of inner and ou	lamming code SI	on (CFEC) code D-FEC": this is intuitive
Suggested	Remedv				Suggested	Remedy				
Insert a	a space.	D			transm	nit data is enco		oncatenated forwa		
Proposed F	SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Respons	se Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
	OSED ACCELLI					OSED ACCEP				
C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 21	# 432	See th	e response to	comment 20).		
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia								
Comment 7 Marker		Comment Status D		bucket						
S <i>uggestedl</i> marker	,									
Proposed F	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W								

	2 # 20	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 25	# 131
ustlin, Mark Cisco		Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco S	systems	
TR Comment Status D The use of inner and outer FEC codes seems to be backward standards.Two industry books on FEC are: Error control codir and Error Control Coding (Peter Sweeney), both refere to the as the outer, and the 2nd code in a concatenation as the inner	ng (Shu Lin/Daniel Costello) first code in a concatenation	primitiv service	mit data-units a ve." I presume w e interface and r	Comment Status D re sent to the service into then we say "service into the PCS service inter	terface via the PMA:Is erface here" we are re	S_UNITDATA_i.request eferring to the PMA
you look at a diagram of the FEC codes, though it does not m the locaiton of the cods in the concatenation.		Suggested Chang From:	-			
uggestedRemedy Reverse the usage to: "an outer SC-FEC code" and "an inner Hamming code SD-FEC"		"Trans primitiv To:	/e."	re sent to the service int re sent to the PMA servi		S_UNITDATA_i.request
roposed Response Response Status W				request primitive."		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hammin to	-		OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For commen		RG) consideration.
"consisting of an outer SC-FEC code and an inner Hammin	g code SD-FEC."	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 29	# 46
155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 awe, Piers Nvidia omment Type T Comment Status D As interleavers are a significant feature of this scheme	PCS description		Туре Т	Cisco Comment Status D ttern defined in 119.2.4. ferent.		<i>pcs description</i> e as is, because the
uggestedRemedy Mention the interleavers in the transmit direction. (There is or direction.)	ne mention in the receive		new subclause	based on 119.2.4.9 but	•	e, and refer to it instead.
oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Proposed F PROP	,	Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE.	V	
Note the proposed response to comment 20, which is include	d in this proposed response.	A contr	ribution with the	proposed test pattern is	s needed.	
Change:	ror correction (CFEC) code	C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 31	# 435
"The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward er consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming c		Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
"The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward er consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming c to "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward er consisting of an outer SC-FEC code and an inner Hamming c	code SD-FEC." rror correction (CFEC) code code SD-FEC. Between the	Comment	Туре Е	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D t receiver without warnin		<i>bucket</i> Id at first.
"The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward er consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming c to "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward er	code SD-FEC." rror correction (CFEC) code code SD-FEC. Between the	Comment T Sudder Suggested	<i>Type</i> E nly talking abou	Comment Status D t receiver without warnin		

		0		0			5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched	A/accepted R	R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open V	W/written C/closed	U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.2.1	9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause,	page, line						

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 32	# 436	C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 47
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E PCS Synchronizatior	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E "SC-FEC blocks of 510 ? I assume is it the numbe	Comment Status D ? 512" r of bits (otherwise, what is	it?)	bucket
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	, ,	,	
PCS synchronization				Add "bits" after "510 ? 51	12".		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 35	# 28	C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 420
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems			P 36 Nvidia	L 30	# 439
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		pcs description	Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
Should this be "128 b	DIT" ?			SC-FEC blocks			
SuggestedRemedy Consider changing " line 37.	128-symbol" to "128 bit symbol	". Similar issue w	th "119-symbol" on	SuggestedRemedy SC-FEC codewords (as o	on line 39)		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Change: "decodes a stream	of 128-symbol codewords."			C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 438
to " decodes a stream	of 128-bit codewords."			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PCS description
Change: "the resulting 119-s	symbol codewords "			SC-FEC blocks of 510 x	512		
to "the resulting 119-b	-			SuggestedRemedy whats? bits? bytes?			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 35	# 437		Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	L 33	# 457	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	•		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Change:	"		
PCS Receive proces				"blocks of 510 ? 512 ar to	re.		
SuggestedRemedy				"blocks of 510 ? 512 bi	ts are."		
PCS Receive functio	n or PCS receive process						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Change "Receive pro	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. Decess" to "receive process"						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 Page 28 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 40	# 224	C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.1	P 36	L 43	# 48
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
(page 38	ns 'overhead fie	Comment Status D elds' (page 36, line 40) and '0 DH blocks' on the next line, a hangeable.				blocks"		Comment Status D istent with "257-bit blocks" as abbrevations in coding s		is not used to denote
SuggestedR	emedy	-			Similar	ly "66b"	, "120b",	and other instances in this	draft.	
	-	t term, 'overhead field' seem	is to be the most o	common.	Suggested					
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Chang	e "257B	" to "257	-bit" across the draft except	where it is part of	of "256B/257B".
PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					ige "66b" ecessary	to "66-bit" in 155.2.2, "120	o" to "120-bit" in	155.2.4.3, and similar
		55.2.4.3, change: "carry OH		the overhead field"	Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status W		
At the la "details	ist sentence of the encoding	the 3rd paragraph of 155.2.4 of the GMP overhead"	.3, change:		PROP	USED P	CCEPT.			
to	-				C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.4	P 37	L 8	# 132
		of the GMP justification cor overhead field"	itrol bytes that are	carried in the	Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisco Syste	ms	
40000					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		PCS description
"The AN to	2.4.4, change: 1, pad and OH f				frame	(Figure	155-3), 4	n reading the descriptions a 00GBASE-ZR OH frame (F ed and aligned ?		
"The AM	l, pad and over	head fields are"			Suggested	Remedy	/			
C/ 155 Marris, Arthi	SC 155.2.1 ur	P 36 Cadence Des	L 41 sian Systems	# 29				agram to indicate how the vand aligned (if indeed they a		ctures described in the
Comment Ty		Comment Status D	.g. eyetette	pcs description	Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status W		
,		ord to use here?			PROP	OSED A	CCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedR	emedy				A cont	ribution	with the s	suggested diagram and des	cription is neede	ed.
		ch 400GBASE-ZR frame" to ans in this context. Perhaps								
Change "The PC each 40 to "The PC the rece	SED ACCEPT S then remove 0GBASE-ZR fra	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. s the alignment markers and ame and passes the data to s the alignment marker, pad asses the remaining payloa	the GMP de-map	ber." ds from						

C/ 155 S	C 155.2.4	P 37	L 8	# 225	C/ 155	SC 155	2.4.3	P 37	L 29	# 226
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, Davi	ł		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
155.2.4.9 ' PCS recein check and	shall' statement re Frame synchrono ve path (155.2.5) error marking'. M nts and other man	pomment Status D garding the PCS transr us scrambler', similarly is in subclause 155.2.5 andatory PCS transmit idatory requirements ne	the only 'shall' st .3 'Descrambler' a requirements, ma	atement regarding the and 155.2.5.6 'CRC32 andatory PCS receive	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says that 'The GMP mapper inserts the ser stream of 257B blocks into the payload area of a 400GBASE-ZR frame.' and th frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical trar order of left to right, top to bottom.'. This seems to imply that the stream of 257I inserted into one 400GBASE-ZR frame at a time. Subclause 155.2.4.3 however then says that 'The Payload area of a four-frame					me.' and that 'The I logical transmission eam of 257B blocks is
	oonse Re ED ACCEPT IN PI	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. ist where PCS mandato	bry requirements	are described.	is divio word is accore	led into 10 either fille ling to 155.	220 GMP wo d with data (2.4.2)'. Th	ords of $4 \times 257 = 102$ the logically serialize	8 bits.' and that 'E d 257B encoded at the 257B block	Each 1028-bit GMP
C/ 155 S Huber, Thoma Comment Type		P 37 Nokia omment Status D	L 12	# 203	'The s seems	ream of 40	GBASE-ZF	R frames, illustrated i	n Figure 155-3, p	AS) insertion' then says rovide the input' d does not reference
21		2.4.1 jump back and for	th between 66b a	,	Suggested	Remedy				
	ould confuse a rea	ader who is unfamiliar w						-frame, potentially th pped to the SC-FEC		w 257B blocks are
Rewrite the The transm signals rec Figure 119 of each blo 256B/257E bits contai the 400GB	e text as follows: nit PCS generates eeived from the 40 I-14. One 400GMI bock are contained transcoder. tx_cn n the block payloa ASE-ZR PCS bec	oded<1:0> contains the id. The rate matching c cause the mapping of th	the transmit state ed into one 66-bi 5:0>, which is pare sync header and described in 119.2 ne transcoded blo	diagram showni in t block. The contents ssed to the 64B/66B to d the remainder of the 2.4.1 is not required for tock stream into the	A cont 257-bi of the a total	OSED ACC ribution with t blocks from rate different	EPT IN PRI proposed f n the transc nce, between vords are ma	igure is needed. oder are grouped inte	plus between 6 a	GMP words. Because nd 2 stuffing words, for along with the AM,
	E-ZR frame struct	ure performs clock com	pensation betwe	en the two clock	C/ 155	SC 155	2.4.3	P 37	L 29	# 440
400GBASE-ZR frame structure performs clock compensation between the two clock domains. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the text at 155.2.4.1 with: "The transmit PCS generates 66-bit blocks based upon the TXD<63:0> and TXC<7:0> signals received from the 400GMII, as specified in the transmit state diagram shown in Figure 119-14. One 400GMII data transfer is encoded into one 66-bit block. The contents of each block are contained in a vector tx_coded<65:0>, which is passed to the 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder. tx_coded<1:0> contains the sync header and the remainder of the bits contain the block payload. The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the mapping of the transcoded block stream into the 400GBASE-ZR frame structure performs clock compensation between the two clock domains."					Proposed PROP	Type E Remedy t, many pla Response OSED ACC	ces. Compa <i>Resp</i> EPT IN PRI	Nvidia nment Status D are base doc. "256B/ ponse Status W NCIPLE. ghout, except for whe		/257B".

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 155

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 155.2.4.3

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 155.2.4.3
 SC

Page 30 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

CI 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 30	# 49	C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 49	# 442
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D			Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		buck
	s illustrated as a str				16 x 120b m	arkers			
	257B blocks of payl			5140 bits of overhead e 155-3"	SuggestedReme 120-bit	edy			
	ould be clearly defi		just "illustrated" in	a figure.	Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W		
	be made shorter an	nd clearer.				ACCLI I.			
SuggestedReme					C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 1	# 386
	quoted text to: s a structure that co	ntains 5140 bits of	overhead followed	d by 10 220 257-bit	Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
blocks of pay		illustrated in Figure	e 155-3, with trans	mission order from	Comment Type Section 155	E 5.2.4.5 defin	Comment Status D es/describes how the OH wo	rks	buck
Proposed Respo	nse Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			SuggestedReme	edy			
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.				Change "dis	cussed" to '	'described"		
C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 31	# 392	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status W		
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom			PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status D		257b blocks	C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 38	L 1	# 30
We traditional inferred as 25		o blocks as 257-bit	blocks not 257B b	locks (which could be	Marris, Arthur	155.2.4.5	Cadence Desi	-	# [30
SuggestedRemed	dy				Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		buck
Change the s	seven instances of	257B block to 257-l	bit block		Define OH a	cronym as i	t is the first use in the Clause	Э	
Proposed Respo	nse Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			SuggestedReme				
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.				Ū.		overhead (OH) bytes"		
C/ 155 SC	155.2.4.3	P 37	L 44	# 441	Proposed Respo		Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D		bucket					
	e": undefined term r		, rogue capitals						
SuggestedReme	dy	,							
Change to "fr									
Proposed Respor	nse Respo	nse Status W							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Page 31 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 2	# 204	C/ 155	SC 155.2	.4.3	P 38	L 6	# 394
Huber, Thom	nas	Nokia			Slavick, Jeff	:		Broadcom		
1280 bit f Since mu the OH bi	cription of the 20 field (which is la uch of the text ta its rather than b	Comment Status D bit pad says it is inserted a ter described as four chunks alks about 66b blocks or 257 blocks.	s of 320 bits that	are interleaved).		it refes to that begir	the PCS	omment Status D payload beginning at co It Table 155-1 appears to	olumn 5141 whic	
SuggestedRe Change "	-	all zeros is added after the (OH blocks" to "A	20 bit pad of all zeros				w 0 and ending at colum mn 10 279 of row 255".	in 10 280 of row	255" to "column 5140
	after the 1280 (Proposed R		•	sponse Status W		
Proposed Rea	sponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			,	SED ACC				
		D 0 0		# 50	C/ 155	SC 155.2	.4.3	P 38	L 8	# 228
	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 5	# 50	Law, David			Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	
Ran, Adee	_	Cisco			Comment Ty	/pe E	С	omment Status D		
Comment Typ starting		Comment Status D of row 0 and ending at colu	mn 10 280 of rov	GMP mapper w 255, using GMP"				aph of subclause 155.2. I would be better placed		
155 the c	columns denote oad area ends s	er term (and possibly create octets). imply at the end of the fram			be move Proposed Re	ed to be the	first par <i>Re</i>	imate paragraph of subc agraph of subclause 155 sponse Status W		'GMP mapper' should
Change t	the quoted text	o "from bit 5141 to the end	of the frame, usi	ng GMP"	C/ 155	SC 155.2	.4.3	P 38	L 11	# 393
Proposed Re		across this description. Response Status W			Slavick, Jeff <i>Comment T</i> y I could r GMP	/pe TR	-	Broadcom omment Status D .3.2 in ITU-T G.709 but	l did find a 19.4.	<i>references</i> 3.2 that talks about
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 5	# 227	SuggestedR	emedy				
		Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise		Change	9.4.3.2 to	19.4.3.2			
Law, David					Drangad D	esnonse	Re	sponse Status W		
Law, David Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status D		GMP mapper	Proposed R	esponse				
Comment Typ Subclaus	se 155.2.4.3 say				, PROPO	,	EPT IN P	, RINCIPLE.		
Comment Typ Subclaus	se 155.2.4.3 say use of the term	Comment Status D			, PROPO	, SED ACC	EPT IN P	, RINCIPLE.		
Comment Typ Subclaus the only u SuggestedRe Suggest	se 155.2.4.3 say use of the term emedy that the text 'Th	Comment Status D	d' in the draft.	bed' however this is	, PROPO	, SED ACC	EPT IN P	, RINCIPLE.		
Comment Typ Subclaus the only u SuggestedRe Suggest	se 155.2.4.3 say use of the term emedy that the text 'Th GBASE-ZR PC	Comment Status D rs 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS 400GBASE-ZR PCS payloa e 400GBASE-ZR PCS paylo	d' in the draft.	bed' however this is	, PROPO	, SED ACC	EPT IN P	, RINCIPLE.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	8	L 8	# 228
Law, David			Hewl	ett Packar	d Enterprise	
Comment T	уре	Е	Comment Status	D		
			ragraph of subclaus and would be bette			
Suggested	Remea	ly				
			nultimate paragraph paragraph of subcla			'GMP mapper' should
Proposed F PROPC	•	se ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	8	L 11	# 393
Slavick, Je	ff		Broad	dcom		
Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Status	D		reference
l could GMP	not fin	d a Clause	9.4.3.2 in ITU-T G	.709 but I	did find a 19.4	.3.2 that talks about
Suggested	Remea	ly				
Change	9.4.3	.2 to 19.4.3	3.2			
Proposed F	Respon	ise	Response Status	w		
			N PRINCIPLE.			
Seelles	sponse	e to comme	ent 205			

C/ 155

SC 155.2.4.3

Page 32 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC	C 155.2.4.3	P 38	L 11	# 205	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4	.3	⊃ 38	L 12	# 229
Huber, Thomas		Nokia			Law, David	t	H	ewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D references Clause 9.4.3.2 of ITU-T G.709 does not discuss GMP. Since the GMP OH being used aligns with 400ZR, maybe it is better to point to 155.2.4.5.3 (which then points to the OIF 400ZR IA). ITU-T G.709 and G.709.x don't specifically discuss the GMP encoding that is used in 400ZR and 400GBASE-ZR SuggestedRemedy Change The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D, with details of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2. to: The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D.						Comment Type T Comment Status D references Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The principles of the GMP mapper with details of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2.' On review of ITU-T G.709/Y.1331 (06/2020) https://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?lang=en&parent=T-REC-G.709-202006-Is , there doesn't seem to be a subclause 9.4.3.2. Perhaps the reference should have been to subclause 19.4.3.2 'Generic mapping procedure (GMP)' in ITU-T G.709, although that only seems to address the justification overhead bytes. SuggestedRemedy Correct the reference to the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709. Proposed Response Response Status W				
Details of th Proposed Respo PROPOSEI	onse	encoding for 400GBASE-Zf Response Status W	R are in 155.2.4.5	5.3.		OSED ACCEP sponse to com SC 155.2.4		⊃ 38	L 14	# 382
Dawe, Piers Comment Type	E 9 Clause 9.4.	P 38 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D 3.2	L 11	# 443	Comment Payloa Suggested	id should not b	Comment Stat e capitalized.	eneral Mot us D	tors	bucke
SuggestedReme ITU-T G.709	edy 9 Clause 19.4	1.3.2 ?			0	ne payload area		ıs W		
		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. nt 205			PROP	OSED ACCEP	т.			

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.3	P 3	8	L 15	# 150	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.3	P 38	L 17	# 444		
usted, Ker	nt	Intel	Corporation			Dawe, Pie	s		Nvidia				
difficult "stuff" to	st time reader of to follow. It tool o mean non-dat	Comment Status this section, the ter me a while to under a blocks or stuffing to ng improvements to	m "stuff" and erstand what ' blocks. The la	"stuff" was. ast two para	In this case, I interpret agraphs of the sub-		4.1 says "T ed data ca	he rate	Comment Status D matching described in a rate of 401.5625 Gb.	n 119.2.4.1 is not re	<i>GMP mappe</i> equired", so the 257B 401.542892 Gb/s +/- 100		
uggestedF	Remedy					•••	•	5 to 401	1.542892 mention both	I			
In the second to last paragraph, change: "Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced according to 155.2.4.2) or stuff, which is transmitted as zero and ignored on receipt." to							Change 401.5625 to 401.542892 mention both Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The suggested remedy is not clear.						
"Each 1 encode	d stream produc								is before insertion of the fore AM insertion is: (r block. Referring to x 401.5625 = 401.542892		
receipt.		or stuffing blocks, w	nich is transf	nitted as ze	ero and ignored on	Cl 155	SC 155	.2.4.3	P 38	L 18	# 445		
In the le	ast paragraph, c					Dawe, Pie	s		Nvidia				
"While t applicat only five	the GMP mecha tion result in				d tolerances for this nputed."	Comment TypeTComment StatusDGMP mappThe clock rate of the 400GBASE-ZR frame(GMP clock domain) is not given, although155.1.4 gives the PMA service interface rate							
applicat	ion result in	-			d tolerances for this	Suggested Deffine		rate in	the PCS section				
only five compute		g the positions of da	ta blocks and	l stuffing blo	ocks to be pre-	Proposed I PROP	,	CEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.	1			
"GMP s	0	5-1 to: ations in 400GBASE column header fron				presen		e GMP	ble of the line rate of 5 rate requires a table s clock.				
	vord numbers of												
	vord numbers of s"	stuffing block											
		column header fron ocation starting bits"											
	olumn) of stuffir	g block starting loca	ation"										
roposed R PROPC	<i>esponse</i> SED ACCEPT.	Response Status	W										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Page 34 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 446	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 30 # 53
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
~10 214.684 -eh?	The "(row, column)" column seems redundant with the GMP word numbers. Also, "rows" only used for illustration and "column" is not defined.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Wow, this is hard to read! Spaces inside indivsible things such as numbers or variable names are bad!	Consider deleting the third column. Otherwise, change "column" to "bit #".
Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not suggest a change to the draft.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Delete the 3rd column from Table 155-1.
The style manual, section 16.3.2 dictates the space between every 3rd digit for numbers with 5 or more digits.	Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 30 # 52
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 51	Ran, Adee Cisco
Ran, Adee Cisco	Comment Type T Comment Status D GMP map
Comment Type E Comment Status D	It seems that the GMP word numbers start from 1 while the bits and rows start from 0. If the starting index is inconsistent, it should at least be explicit.
The space as thousands separator in numbers with fractional digits is unusual and	SuggestedRemedy
confusing.	Add "(starting from 1)" after "GMP word numbers".
Also the tilde prefix with numbers with three fractional digits seems unnecessary, especially since these numbers are then bounded by integer values.	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the heading of the 2nd column of Table 155-1 from
Change "between ~10 214.684 and ~10 217.136" to "between 10 214 and 10 218".	"GMP word numbers of stuff locations" to "GMP word numbers (starting from 1) of stuffin block locations"
Alternatively keep the fractions and delete the space separators.	
Proposed Response Response Status W	See the response to comment 150.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 42 # 447
Change "between ~10 214.684 and ~10 217.136" to "between 10 214 and 10 218"	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
	Comment Type E Comment Status D but Blank line
	SuggestedRemedy
	Remove

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3

C/ 155

SC 155.2.4.4.1

C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	9	L 6	# 54
Ran, Adee			Cisco	1		
) bit ro		<i>Comment Status</i> - the number is part not helpful in this cas	of a co	mpound noun so	a hyphen should be
SuggestedF Change		•	w aligned".			
Proposed R PROPC	•	ose ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.3	P 3	9	L 7	# 55
Ran, Adee			Cisco			
	V field	,	<i>Comment Status</i> g am_mapped<1919 nd am_mapped<191	9:0> is t		first, i.e.
This						
and red			d (am_mapped has	already	been defined in	the first paragraph)
and red S <i>uggestedF</i> Change	lundar Re <i>med</i> e to "Tl	ıt. <i>Iy</i>	d (am_mapped has ssion order of am_n			
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Respon	it. /y he transmi :1919>".		napped		
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Resport DSED	nt. /y he transmi x1919>". ose	ssion order of am_n	napped W		
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC	lundar Remed to "Tl pped< Respon DSED SC	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". ase ACCEPT.	ssion order of am_n Response Status	napped W	is from am_map	ped<0> to
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R	lundar Remea to "Ti pped< Resport OSED SC mas	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". ase ACCEPT.	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3	w B	is from am_map	ped<0> to
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC Cl 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream	lundar Remede to "Ti pped< Respon OSED SED SC mas ype tt coul-	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". ose ACCEPT. 155.2.4.4 T t be clarifi 7b blocks)	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert	N W B D ing from of the 4	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma	ped<0> to # 206 PCS description
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPC Cl 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream payload	lundar Remea e to "Ti pped< Respor DSED SC mas ype kt could of 25 I block	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". se ACCEPT. 155.2.4.4 T d be clarifi 7b blocks) is are alrea	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert to the clock domain	N W B D ing from of the 4	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma	ped<0> to # 206 PCS descriptio
and red SuggestedF Change am_ma Proposed R PROPO C/ 155 Huber, Tho Comment T This tex (stream payload SuggestedF Rewrite	lundar Remed e to "Tl pped< Respon DSED SC mas ype kt coult of 25 block Remed a st fol s has r	nt. /y he transmi :1919>". <i>se</i> ACCEPT. 155.2.4.4 T d be clarifi 7b blocks) is are alrea /y llows: The	ssion order of am_n Response Status P 3 Nokia Comment Status ed. GMP is convert to the clock domain ady aligned to the pa	w w B D of the 4 ayload c elds are	is from am_map <i>L</i> 46 the clock doma t00GBASE-ZR fi lock. populated after	ped<0> to # 206 PCS description in of the payload rame. Presumably the

Suggested Remo		R" from the section	title of 155.2	.4.4.1 and 155	.2.4.4.2
	OSED ACCEPT	Response Status IN PRINCIPLE. entation. For comm		n group (CRG) consideration.
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.5	P 3	9	L 16	# 56
Ran, Adee		Cisco	D		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		
	00GBASE-ZR ov as shown in Figu	erhead is a 40-byte ure 155-4 "	frame struct	ure that uses a	a four-frame multi-
(espec term). Also, "	ially with "400GE byte" is not strict	s of "frame" in this s ASE-ZR frame" also ly defined in 802.3 a	o being defin	ed; "frame" is	an overly overloade
instea	d.				
	e to "The 400GB	ASE-ZR overhead is in Figure 155-4".	s a 160-octet	block that is c	livided into four 40-
Chang	e "byte" to "octet	" globally.			
-	.2.4.5.1, change	a 256-frame multi-f	rame sequen	ce" to "a 256-	rame sequence".
In 151					
	.2.4.5.3 change "	four-frame multi-frar	ne" to "OH".		
In 155 Chang	2.4.5.3 change " e elsewhere as a nent with editoria	ppropriate.	ne" to "OH".		
In 155 Chang Impler Proposed	e elsewhere as a nent with editoria	ppropriate. I license. <i>Response Status</i>			

P 38

L 50

387

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editoria COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.5	P 39	L 16	# 397	C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.5	.1	P 39	L 40	# 58
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom			Ran, Adee				Cisco		
			0 bits in size. Thi	OH description s intro sentence states	Comment 1 I assun confuse	ne the		Comment S an 8-bit count		55-4 shows only	<i>OH descriptior</i> 2 bits. This can
SuggestedRe	emedy				Suggested	Remed	ly				
	155.2.4.5.4 and upda	te 155.2.4.5 as follov	vs (retaining Figu	re 155-4):						ed each frame" to Frame within the C	"It is an auto-wrapping DH block".
155.2.4.5	o Overhead (OH)				Proposed F	Respon	ise	Response S	Status W		
four 320-	bit structures. The 40	0-byte overhead fram	e described in 15	logically composed of 5.2.4.5.1 is the first			REJECT. ore work f	to explain corr	ectly.		
four 320-)-bit structure. The se bit structures are 10-l 5.1 40-byte overhead	bit interleaved to form				ASE-Z	R frame.			serted into the OF nserted into the n	l field of a first ext 400GBASE-ZR
The 40-b	yte overhead frame is s shown in Figure 155	s a 40-byte frame stru					d remedy BASE-ZF		ough the four	rows are going in	to the same OH field of
The cont	ents of the 40-byte ov ee 155.2.4.5.1.1)				C/ 155	SC	155.2.4.5	.1	P 39	L 41	# 59
155.2.4.	5.1.1 Multi-frame aligr	ment signal (MFAS)			Ran, Adee				Cisco		
The MFA incremer	S is in the first byte o	of the 40-byte overhea	ad frame. It is a w ulti-frame sequen	rapping counter that is ce as defined by ITU-T	Comment 7 ITU-T (G.709. ⁻			ive reference.	It does not appea separate docum	<i>references</i> ar in the list in 1.3 (the ents).
	er 155.2.4.5.2 and 15 ed for those sections.		5.1.2 and 155.2.4.	5.1.3 keeping the text	Suggestedl Add a r		<i>ly</i> ice in 1.3.				
Proposed Re	sponse Resp	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Proposed F	Respon	ise	Response S	Status W		
Include t	SED ACCEPT IN PRI he suggested remedy	and apply editorial li	cense for sub-cla	use numbers and			ACCEPT in 1.3 as f	IN PRINCIPL follows:	E.		
accepted	l wording changes fro	P 38	L 38	# 189	ITU-T F	Recom	mendatio	n G.709.1 - Fl	exible OTN sh	ort-reach interfac	es
D'Ambrosia,	John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei							
<i>Comment Ty</i> MFAS is	pe E Con not listed in abbrevia	nment Status D tions									
SuggestedRe Add to 1 MFAS M	•	signal									
Proposed Re PROPOS	sponse Resp SED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W									
TYPE: TR/te	chnical required ER/e	editorial required GR	/deneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/o	reneral				C/ 1	55	Page 37 of 128

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155	.2.4.5.1	P 39	L 41	# 448	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4	.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 230
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Law, David	d		Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise	
Comment Type T	R Comme	ent Status D		references	Comment	Туре Т	Commen	t Status D		Link status monitoring
G.709.1 is not a r	normative refere	nce								s was detected by the
SuggestedRemedy Remove GMP, de	efine the 256-fra	me multi-frame se	equence here, or	add the reference	mappe		mapped from	the SIGNAL_O		nat the RPF bit is the
Proposed Response	Respons	se Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Suggestea	Remedy				
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.								itive, replace the second
See response to	comment 59.									he bit is set based on SIGNAL.indication
C/ 155 SC 155		P 39	L 32	# 390				OK and "1" if the		
Slavick, Jeff	.2.4.3.2	F 39 Broadcom	L 3 Z	# 390	If the F	RPF bit is not n	napped from th	ne PMA:IS_SIG	NAL.indication p	primitive, please define
Comment Type T	P Comme	ent Status D		Reserved bit	where	it is mapped fr	om, or the cor	ditions for when	it is set and cle	eared.
• •		ld as having 4 diff	erent defined hits		Proposed	Response	Response	Status W		
				it to be a "Reserved"	PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	LE.		
field.					See re	esponse to com	ment 449			
SuggestedRemedy					00010		inont 440.			
Remove the RES	text from Figure	e 155-4 and chang	ge the color of the	e box to be grey				nce, 2nd paragra		
Proposed Response	Respons	se Status W						ecently received		arameter of the alue was
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT.				FAIL."					
					C/ 155	SC 155.2.4	.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 450
					Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
					Comment	Type TR	Commen	t Status D		Link status monitoring
								atus was detect Doesn't Ethern		e 400GBASE-ZR b?
					Suggestea	Remedy				
								PHY should cor d be needed for		it data while its input is peration
					Proposed	Response	Response	Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	LE.		
										ced it from FlexO d if not, we can make it

a reserved bit.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.5.2	P 39	L 48	# 449	C/ 155	SC 155.2.	4.5.2	P 39	L 50	# 232
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia			Law, Davi	d		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment 7	Type T	Comment Status D		Link status monitoring	Comment	Туре т	Comi	ment Status D		Link status monitoring
upstrea 1.4.586 link. Ap which e	am direction". But 6 upstream: In an a oplicable to networ end of a link is clos	ected by the remote 400 see access network, transmis ks where there is a clear ser to a subscriber. aybe based on detecting s	sion away from t indication in eacl	he subscriber end of the	indicat definiti <i>Suggested</i>	e a remote 40 on of a 400G Remedy	0GBASE-Z BASE-ZR F	itus monitoring and IR PHY defect indic PHY defect in the dra ne conditions consid	ation' however th aft.	nere appears to be no
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed	Response	Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
The RF		400GBASE-ZR PHY to in	ndicate to its link	partner the signal fail		OSED ACCE sponse to co				
	at its receive funct				C/ 155	SC 155.2.	4.5.2	P 39	L 51	# 389
Proposed F	OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W			Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
Change		I PRINCIPLE.			Comment	Type TR	Comi	ment Status D		RPF field location
"The R receive		gnal fail status was detec stream direction"	ted by the remot	e 400GBASE-ZR		gure 155-4 the location 1.	e RPF field	is in bit location 0 o	f the Status Octe	ect. But the Text states
	PF bit is used by a at its receive funct	a 400GBASE-ZR PHY to ion"	indicate to its linl	c partner the signal fail	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e "in bit 1" to	"the first bit	.11		
C/ 155 Law. David	SC 155.2.4.5.2	P 39	L 49 kard Enterprise	# 231	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCE	'	onse Status W		
Comment 7		Comment Status D			C/ 155	SC 155.2.	4 5 2	P 40	L 1	# 60
		eceive function in the ups	tream direction	' duplicative as the			4.3.2		LI	# 00
'upstrea	am direction' is the	e receive path. And since	there is only one	400GBASE-ZR receive	Ran, Adee			Cisco		
function	n, it doesn't need t	o be qualified by 'in the u	pstream direction	ז'.	Comment			ment Status D		•
	st that ' 400GBA	SE-ZR receive function ir eceive function ir	n the upstream di	rection and' should	Perha	os "downstrea gnals, are thes	m" should l	nterface signal" and be "link partner"? als received by the 4	0	ean? hich is optional) and
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Suggested	Remedy				
	OSED ACCEPT IN					e rephrase to	clarify.			
See res	sponse to commer	nt 449.			Proposed	•	,	nac Statuc M		
					,	OSED ACCE	'	onse Status W		
								i. For comment res	olution group (Cl	RG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 Page 39 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 5 # 451	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2	P 40	L 10	# 452
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
omment Type E Comment Status D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nent Status D		Link status monitoring
Two sections, both called "Link status monitoring and signaling", say different things about e.g. STAT<6> 155.2.5.7.2 says "in the received STAT<6>", this earlier Tx one doesn't	"the received status byte in the	receive direction": e	əh?	
have the equivalent.	SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy	Change "then the value of RD in received status	n STAT<6> is set to	o the value of LD) in STAT<6> of the
Add extra words to make the context clear. "in the transmitted" would help, but more may be needed	byte in the receive direction" to the value of LD in the received a		RD in the transm	itted STAT<6> is set to
roposed Response	Proposed Response Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.			
In the first sentence of the 4th paragraph of 155.2.4.5.2 change:	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P 40	L 17	# 62
"If there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer then the value of RD in STAT<6> is equal."	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
to:	Comment Type T Comr	nent Status D		references
"If there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer then the value of RD in the transmitted STAT<6> is equal."	"OIF-400ZR-01.0, March 10, 20	20, subclause 8.9"		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 9 # 61	This should be a normative refe	rence document (ir	n addition to the	ITU-T documents). I
Ran, Adee Cisco	found a matching document in l 01.0 reduced2.pdf.	nttps://www.oiforum	.com/wp-conten	t/uploads/OIF-400ZR-
Comment Type E Comment Status D	01.0_leddced2.pdl.			
"If there is not an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer"	Note that there are updates to t	,		,
	https://www.oiforum.com/get/51 Consider whether the reference			
Also in 155.2.5.7.2.	one.			
SuggestedRemedy	Preferably provide a URL to the	spacific document		
Change to "If there is no adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer" (2 places).		specific document	•	
Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy	ar datad ar undatad	lucroion profes	ably with a LIDI
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Add a reference in 1.3 with either		rversion, preiere	EDIY WILL A URL.
Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 9 # 246	Delete the date from the subcla place the full dated reference in		in 155.2.4.6 (if a	dated version is used,
Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Proposed Response Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤		
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.		
Suggest that ' connected to a MAC-RS ' should be changed to read ' connected	Current OIF website has the sa	me version. There	may be an upda	ated version there soon.
directly to a MAC-RS'.	See: https://www.oiforum.com/techni	cal-work/implement	tation-agreemen	its-ias/
SuggestedRemedy See comment.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
	(Den: 10 - 6 100
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accented R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/		C/ 1	55 55 2 4 5 3	Page 40 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl	155
SC	155.2.4.5.3

9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P 40	L 17	# 453	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.3 <i>P</i> 40	L 24	# 57
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	•	Cisco		
Reference to OIF-400ZR-01 subject to active maintenance		bclause 8.9. Not	<i>references</i> e that this document is	l assur) and CnD(t) are me they are def	Comment Status D e used but not defined. ined in an external reference, n there is no need for this text		<i>GMP descritptic</i> . If all control bytes are
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	,		-	
If feasible, write the specific and detailed enough, add a	normative reference. F			Prefera	ably add the de	tailed definitions from the refe entire last paragraph.	erenced docume	nt.
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Add a reference to the lates 8.9.2 "GMP overhead encod	t version of OIF-400ZR	. The correct refe	erence is to subclause	Proposed I PROP	Response	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P 40	L 22	# 396	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.3 <i>P</i> 40	L 25	# 207
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
<i>Comment Type</i> ER <i>Co</i> Everywhere else uses the w	omment Status D ord four not the numbe	r	bucket	Comment [·] The 'nl		Comment Status D ould be subscripted		
SuggestedRemedy Change "4-frame multi-fram	e" to "four-frame multi-l	frame"		<i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e the nD to sub	script.		
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W			Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEP ⁻	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3	P 40	L 24	# 17	C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	5.4 <i>P</i> 40	L 30	# 348
Gorshe, Steve	Microchip Te	chnology		Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
Comment Type E Co	omment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
It seems worthwhile to provi				A figur	e showing the i	nterleaving of the 4 OH instar	nces would help	clarify the OH structure
SCn(t). Although G.709 pro statement somewhat.	ovides the details, it ma	y be worthwhile e	expanding this	Suggested	Remedy			
				Add a	figure showing	the interleaved OH mapping		
SuggestedRemedy	a contonaca ta tha ana	d of this naroaron	by "Note that Cm(t)	Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
I suggest adding the followir indicates the number of 102 multi-frame, with SCnD(t) no plus SCnD(t) values across serial stream rate as the num multi-frame."	8-bit GMP data words to minally indicating the r multiple multi-frames, t	hat will be transn unning remainde he average repre	nitted during the next r. Averaging the Cm(t) sent the incoming	PROP	, OSED ACCEP [.]	Figure 14 of the 400ZR IA.		

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

P 40 Hewlett Packa nment Status D	L 32 rd Enterprise	# 247	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 Ran, Adee	5 <i>P</i> 40 Cisco
nment Status D	rd Enterprise		Ran, Adee	Cisco
leaver isn't specified.		OH mapping	Comment Type E "mapped to 5 success	Comment Status D ive SC-FEC blocks"
				than 10 in general text sh
NCIPLE.			Change "5" to "five". Implement similar char	nges, and write numbers (
P 40 Hewlett Packa	L 37 rd Enterprise	# 248	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W
and multi-block alignm x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 244 664 information bit 5-5). In addition, based	664 bits.', but is s, 32 CRC bits, on figure 155-5	n ['] t an input SC-FEC 6 MBAS bits, and 34	Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T Subclause 155.2.4.6 'C	Hewlett P Comment Status D CRC32 and multi-block ali
	Hewlett Packa nment Status D and multi-block alignme x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 244 664 information bit 5-5). In addition, based	INCIPLE. 8 P 40 L 37 Hewlett Packard Enterprise nment Status D and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isi 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, '	INCIPLE. 8 P 40 L 37 # 248 Hewlett Packard Enterprise nment Status D SC-FEC blocks and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34 5-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause	Donse Status W INCIPLE. 8 P 40 L 37 # 248 Hewlett Packard Enterprise Implement similar chard document as necessard nment Status D SC-FEC blocks and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34 5-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause SuggestedRemedy Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 Law, David Comment Type T

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the information bits for the calculation of SC-FEC input blocks. To conform with the format of the input SC-FEC block, 119 rows from the stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames are mapped to the information bits in 5 successive SC-FEC input blocks. Each SC-FEC input block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 information bits.'.

[2] The text '... cvclic redundancy code is calculated over 244 664 input bits as ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over the 244 664 information bits as ...'.

[3] The term 'SC-FEC block' be changed to read 'SC-FEC input block' in subclause 155.2.4.6.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT

n 10 in general text should be spelled out. s, and write numbers greater than 9 in digits, across the Response Status W P 40 L 42 # 249 Hewlett Packard Enterprise

L 39

63

CRC32 and MBAS Comment Status D

32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says 'The are placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit...', however, it doesn't specify where. In addition, it also says, 'Following the CRC32 a 6-bit MBAS is added.', without specifying the bit order. Finally, the CRC is referred to as a field (page 40, line 44) whereas the MBAS is referred to as overhead.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The text '... the CRC value are placed with ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... the CRC value are placed immediately after the information bits in the SC-FEC input block with ...'.

[2] The first sentence of the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be moved to the end of the paragraph and changed to read 'The 6 bits of the MBAS field are placed immediately after the CRC with the most significant bit as the left-most bit of the MBAS field and the least significant bit as the right-most bit of the MBAS field. The bits of the MBAS are transmitted in the order of most significant bit first. least significant bit last.'.

[3] The two instances of 'MBAS overhead' should be changed to read 'MBAS field'.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 Page 42 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.	6 P 40	L 43	# 64	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6	P 40	L 50	# 455
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E "The 32 bits of the CR	<i>Comment Status</i> D C value are placed with the x	(31 term as the le	ft-most bit of the	Comment Type T between source and sink	Comment Status D k		CRC32 and MBAS
	0 term as the right-most bit o			SuggestedRemedy			
There is no illustration	of the CRC32 block, so "righ	ot" and "left" are n	not really meaningful:	eh? Change to the usua	al terminology		
The subsequent sente	ence defines the transmission			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
redundant.				PROPOSED ACCEPT II	•		
SuggestedRemedy				Delete the words "betwe			
Delete the quoted sen	tence.			C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7	P 41	L 1	# 251
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Law, David		kard Enterprise	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	Г.			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		SC-FEC blocks
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.		L 49	# 250	51	155.2.4.7 be retitled 'SC-F	EC adapt and en	
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	kard Enterprise	h	SuggestedRemedy	0 100 2.		
	Comment Status II		bucket	ouggesteurterneug			
	't specify implementations.		Bucket	See comment.			
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr			bucket	See comment. Proposed Response	Response Status W		
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc		es' should read			Response Status W		
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '.	't specify implementations. ase FEC implementation use	es' should read		Proposed Response	Response Status W	L 11	# 252
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '.	"t specify implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W	es' should read		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	, Р 41	L 11 kard Enterprise	# 252
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	r't specify implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W Γ.		' staircase FEC uses	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E	P 41 Hewlett Pack Comment Status D	kard Enterprise	
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W F. 6 P 40 	es' should read		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '40	P 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC-	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation' s	says ' which are
IEEE Std 802.3 doesn SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W F. 6 P 40 Nvidia 		' staircase FEC uses # 454	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '40 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	P 41 Hewlett Pack Comment Status D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 doesn SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W T. 6 P 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '40 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	P 41 Hewlett Pack Comment Status D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 doesn SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT CI 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W F. 6 P 40 Nvidia 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC SuggestedRemedy	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl c encoded frames'.	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation' s This seems to be e of the reference	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Needs a figure showir MBAS	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W T. 6 P 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- ZR SC-FEC frame as'. frame' is used and the titl encoded frames'.	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be e of the reference FEC adaptation's	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Needs a figure showir MBAS	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W 6 <i>P</i> 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ag the 400GBASE-ZR frame r 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '40 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '40 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. frame' is used and the titl encoded frames'.	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be e of the reference FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 doesr SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Needs a figure showir MBAS SuggestedRemedy	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W 6 <i>P</i> 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ag the 400GBASE-ZR frame r 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl encoded frames'.	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be e of the reference FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 doesn SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Needs a figure showin MBAS SuggestedRemedy Please add a figure pe	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W 6 <i>P</i> 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ag the 400GBASE-ZR frame r er comment. <i>Response Status</i> W 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl c encoded frames'. 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl c encoded frames'. <i>Response Status</i> W	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be e of the reference FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term
IEEE Std 802.3 doesn SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' stairc '. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT CI 155 SC 155.2.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Needs a figure showin MBAS SuggestedRemedy Please add a figure pe Proposed Response	 ase FEC implementations. ase FEC implementation use <i>Response Status</i> W 6 <i>P</i> 40 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ag the 400GBASE-ZR frame r er comment. <i>Response Status</i> W 	L 50	' staircase FEC uses # 454 SC-FEC blocks	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.7 Law, David Comment Type E Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400 added to the 400GBASE '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC	<i>P</i> 41 Hewlett Pack <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl c encoded frames'. 0GBASE-ZR frame to SC- E-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. C frame' is used and the titl c encoded frames'. <i>Response Status</i> W	kard Enterprise FEC adaptation's This seems to be e of the reference FEC adaptation's This seems to be	says ' which are e the only time the term ed figure 155-6 is says ' which are e the only time the term

Page 43 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

				# 253	C/ 155		7	F / 6	L 12	# 400
CI 155 SC	C 155.2.4.7	P 42	L 5	# 233	0/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	./	P 42	L 1 Z	# 400
Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise		Slavick, Jeff			Broadcom		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		SC-FEC blocks	Comment Ty	pe E	Comme	ent Status D		
the 400GBA	ASE-ZR SC-F	of how the 8 parity blocl EC encoded frames.	s are mapped into	bits 10280 to 10970 of		ght edge of a				grey box. Should be the last one isn't part of
SuggestedRem	2				SuggestedR					
		subclause 155.4.7 to sp of the 400GBASE-ZR S				-	e of the grey	boxes that repres	sne the CRC+M	BAS.
Proposed Resp PROPOSEI		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEP	•	se Status W		
This require	es a contributi	ion.			C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.	.7	P 42	L 42	# 388
C/ 155 SC	C 155.2.4.7	P 42	L 11	# 254	Slavick, Jeff			Broadcom		
Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise		Comment Ty	pe TR	Comme	ent Status D		SC FEC fram
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D			Figure 1	55-6 does no	t show the 6	x119b pad		
			narked with an aste	SC-FEC blocks	Ū					
Both instantis meant to	nces of block 7 reference a for nat the CRC32	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app	ly the information b	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re	e <i>medy</i> at the end of	the i+119 rc <i>Respons</i>	·	he CRC+MBAS	labeled 6x119b PAD
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRemo Add a new	nces of block 7 reference a f nat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO: Cl 155	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC 155.2.4 .	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T.	bow to the right of t se Status W P 43	he CRC+MBAS	labeled 6x119b PAD # <u>391</u>
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRemo Add a new	nces of block 7 reference a f hat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to from block 7.	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r footnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC 155.2.4 .	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T. 8	bow to the right of t se <i>Status</i> W <i>P</i> 43 Broadcom		# 391
Both instan- is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRem Add a new MBAS bits t Proposed Resp	aces of block 7 reference a f nat the CRC32 nedy paragraph to from block 7. boonse	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r ootnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp 11 and add a suitable for	ly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping o	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff Comment Ty	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC 155.2.4 .	f the i+119 ro Respons T. .8 Comme	bow to the right of t se Status W P 43 Broadcom ent Status D		
Both instan is meant to included, th discarded. SuggestedRema Add a new MBAS bits f Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Add a new "The block I the MBAS b	aces of block 7 reference a finat the CRC32 and the CRC32 paragraph to from block 7. bonse D ACCEPT IN paragraph to labeled 7.11 i bits and a 34-	7.11 in figure 155-6 are r ootnote that says that or 2 and MBAS bits are app subclause 155.4.7 to sp 11 and add a suitable for <i>Response Status</i> W	aly the information b rended after the par ecify the mapping of otnote to figure 155- an added 72 bits co ation bits of 7.11 are	risk which, I assume, its of block 7.11 are ity bits, and the pad is f the CRC32 and -6. ontaining the CRC32, e a part of the 244 664	SuggestedR Add box Proposed Re PROPO Cl 155 Slavick, Jeff Comment Ty What is SuggestedR	emedy at the end of esponse SED ACCEP SC 155.2.4. pe TR the contents emedy	f the i+119 ro <i>Respons</i> T. 8 <i>Comme</i> of the PAD?	bow to the right of t se Status W P 43 Broadcom ent Status D	L 4	# [391

	P 43	L 9	# 456	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 12	# 461
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E sequence 65 535	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type T is row 1 the first or seco	Comment Status D ond row?		scrambler
SuggestedRemedy sequence length 65 535	5?			SuggestedRemedy ?			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. No suggested remedy.	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 9	# 65	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 12	# 398
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom	- · -	" 550
	Comment Status D scrambler of sequence 65 53 vith sequence length of 6553		scrambler	Comment Type E Extra "."	Comment Status D		bucket
65535 bits of that period	l creates a periodic sequenc dic sequence starting from th		71, so is it the first	SuggestedRemedy Remove the . After the	1 in the equation		
SuggestedRemedy							
				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Rewrite as appropriate.				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	,		
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 43	L 12	# [459
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details.	/ 10	# 460	PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers	P 43 Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE.	L 10	# 460	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 43	L 12	# 459 scrambler
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43	L 10	# 460 scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	P 43 Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 155 <i>SC</i> 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T which end goes first?	P 43 Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed are examples of scramb	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 155 <i>SC</i> 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T which end goes first? <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> <i>Proposed Response</i>	P 43 Nvidia	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 155 <i>SC</i> 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T which end goes first? <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 12	
Rewrite as appropriate. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I A contribution is needed Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR More iformation needed are examples of scramb	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. d with the scrambler details. P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D d. Given the "generating poly	ynomial", what h	scrambler	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T which end goes first? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	P 43 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 12	

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 12	# 458	C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 14	# 31
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems	
Comment Type T x	Comment Status D		scrambler	Comment Type T Is resetting the scrambler	Comment Status D a functional requirement?		scramble
SuggestedRemedy define x				SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "resets	s" to "shall be reset"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
See response to comme	ent 65,			C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 14	# 66
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9	P 43	L 12	# 457	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		scramble
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	The definition of the scran direction, and the point fro			
SuggestedRemedy italic				Scrambler specifications t portion of the sequence for		agram of an LFS	R and sometimes a
	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Add a diagram (similar to initial 16 bits (0xFFFF).	e.g. Figure 49-8) and som	e portion of the s	sequence following the
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie	P 43 General Motors	L 13	# 383	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF).	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	e portion of the s	sequence following the
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E	P 43 General Motors Comment Status D		# 383	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	L 16	# 399
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be	P 43 General Motors Comment Status D		# 383	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. It 65.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy	P 43 General Motors Comment Status D numbered.	5	# 383	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. at 65.		# [399
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P 43 General Motors Comment Status D	5	# <u>383</u>	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. It 65. P 43 Broadcom Comment Status D	L 16	# [<u>399</u> scarmble
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to	P 43 General Motors <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	5	# <u>383</u>	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR The scrambler stops adva	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. It 65. P 43 Broadcom Comment Status D	L 16	# [<u>399</u> scarmble
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P 43 General Motors <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	5	# <u>383</u>	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR The scrambler stops adva 0's or all 1's?	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. at 65. P 43 Broadcom Comment Status D ancing during the PAD bits	L 16 ? So the 714b on the scrambling state	# <u>399</u> scarmble of PAD will be either all ate advances during
Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E The equation should be SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to Proposed Response	P 43 General Motors <i>Comment Status</i> D numbered. o the scrambler equation, e.g.	5	# 383	initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN See response to commen C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR The scrambler stops adva 0's or all 1's? SuggestedRemedy Define the pad to be a ran each bit of the five SC-FE bit"	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. at 65. P 43 Broadcom Comment Status D ancing during the PAD bits	L 16 ? So the 714b on the scrambling state	# <u>399</u> scarmble of PAD will be either all ate advances during

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.10 <i>P</i> 43	L 20	# 255	C/ 155	SC '	155.2.4.10	P 43	L 21	# 68
Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ackard Enterprise		Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status D		convolutional interleave
Suggest that ' SC-6 SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	ncoder' should read ' S <i>Response Status</i> W T.	SC-FEC encoder'.		The tex interlea If it isn' text an Suggested	t in this ver fun t fully d d figure	s subclause action. lefined (def e. y	eaver is described in ITU-T e and figure 155-7 are insuf fined only in an external doo	ficient to une	derstand/implement the n there is no need for this
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.10 <i>P</i> 43	L 21	# 67				ed definitions from the refer ole subclause except for th		
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status W		
Comment Type T ITU-T G.709.3 seem	Comment Status D to be a normative reference	ce.	references						
SuggestedRemedy Add a reference in 1.	3.						tive reference. se except for the first 2 sen	tences.	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			C/ 155 Law, David		155.2.4.10	P 43 Hewlett Packa	L 22 ard Enterpris	# 256
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4 Dawe, Piers	10 P 43 Nvidia	L 21	# 462	Comment T IEEE S		T .3 doesn't s	Comment Status D specify implementations.		convolutional interleave
Comment Type TR G.709.3 is not a norm SuggestedRemedy Add the content loca	Comment Status D native reference		references	convolu paralle read 'T	t, base itional delay he con	ed on the in interleaver lines that a volutional in	a subclause 155.2.4.9 above is described in ITU-T G.709 ire accessed sequentially for nterleaver shall be functiona cribed in ITU-T G.709.3 sub	9.3 subclaus r each block ally equivale	te 15.4.3. It contains 16 (of 119 bits.' is changed to nt to the convolutional
the definition access Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF See response to com		uous		Proposed F PROP	Respon DSED /	se	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.10 <i>P</i> 44	L 30	# 208	C/ 155 SC 155.2	2.4.11 P 44	L 36	# 463
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR The convolutional int figure 155-7 indicates	Comment Status D erleaver and Hamming encode s 10970 rows		convolutional interleaver h 10976 rows, but	Comment Type TR generic operation terms.	Comment Status D in ITU-T G.709.3 Annex D: b	out that contains un	SD-FEC encoder defined symbols and
SuggestedRemedy Change 10970 to 109	976 in Fgiure 155-7.			SuggestedRemedy As it seems it is no	ot very long, write it out cleanly	here	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.11 <i>P</i> 44	L 36	# 32	C/ 155 SC 155.2	2.4.11 <i>P</i> 44	L 37	# 69
Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	sign Systems		Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E 119b	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type T "The generic opera Annex D"	Comment Status D ation of the Hamming SD-FEC	scheme is specified	<i>SD-FEC encoder</i> d in ITU-T G.709.3
SuggestedRemedy Change "119b" to "1	19-bit"			The text in this sub function.	oclause is insufficient to unders	·	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			If it isn't fully define details in the seco	ed (defined only in an external nd paragraph.	document) then the	ere is no need for the
				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4	.11 <i>P</i> 44	L 36	# <u>2</u> 57	5	detailed definitions from the re-	eferenced documen	it.
Law, David		ard Enterprise		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	Comment Status D use the terms '119b', '119-bit gest that '119-bit message' is			, ,	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy							
Suggest that:							
	b outputs of the convolutional nessages output by the convo						
	h of the 10 976 119-bit blocks 119-bit messages as output .		hanged to read ' '				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W						

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.11	P 44	L 40	# 258	C/ 155	SC 1	155.2.4.12	P 45	L 33	# 465	
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Dawe, Pie	ſS		Nvidia			
Comment T The 128		<i>Comment Status</i> D ferenced in subclause 155	.2.4.11 'Hamming	<i>SD-FEC encoder</i> SD-FEC encoder' is	<i>Comment</i> hammi		Е	Comment Status D			bucke
subclau	use 155.3.3.2 (pag	vord' in Figure 155-8, subc e 53, line 36). Suggest the mming SD-FEC encoder'.			Suggested Hamm	-	У				
SuggestedF	Remedy				Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W			
Sugges	st that:				PROP	OSED A	ACCEPT.				
		0 796 128-bit blocks.' be o	hanged to read '	results in 10 796	C/ 155	SC 1	155.2.4.12	P 45	L 50	# 259	
128-DI	SD-FEC codeword	JS			Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise		
	text ' is encoded 3-bit SD-FEC code	to the 128-bit code word	' be changed to	read ' is encoded to	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		Transmit I	oit ordering
FEC co Proposed R	odewords are'.	ode words are' should b Response Status W	e changed to rea	d 'The 128-bit SD-	service update	e interfa d to not IA wher	ce. In add te that the e the Gray	t code word from the SD- ition, the fourth paragrap 128-bit code word is pas / mapping and polarizatio	h of subclause 155 sed across the PM	i.3.3.1 should IA service inte	be
	JSED ACCEPT.				00		•	A service interface be ad	ded to Figure 155-8	8 To do this s	unnest
2/ 155 Dawe, Piers	SC 155.2.4.11	P 44 Nvidia	L 45	# 464	that the	e label '	PMA:IS_L	INITDATA_0.request' be bel 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_	added to the leftm		
Comment T		Comment Status D		SD-FEC encoder				request' staggered above A 7.request' should be a			
This sa	51	155.2.1 says two streams o quest is 7 wide.	of 4-bit data.					gure 119-10 '200GBASE			
SuggestedF	Remedy							paragraph of subclause ssed across the 8 lane P			
The diff	ference may matte	er when we are discussing	Skew limits					ach representing a DP-1			
Proposed R	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉						st group of 8 bits are c12			rough the
Change		PRINCIPLE. are sent as 8-bit symbols			PMA:IS	S_UNIT		bits mapped in order to t equest through the PMA 155-8).'.			ve
to:		re sent as two streams of			to read service	7], is ma I 'Each	apped' i 128-bit co ce as des	'Each 128-bit code word n the fourth paragraph of de word from the SD-FE0 cribed in 155.2.4.11. Eac	subclause 155.3.3 C encoder is passe	3.1 should be o d across the F	hanged MA
					Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W			
								N PRINCIPLE. entation. For comment re	esolution aroun (CE	RG) considera	tion

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12 Page 49 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12	P 45	L 52	# 133	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 12	# 260
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	ns		Law, David	1	Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
Comment Type E C	comment Status D			Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		
The format of the text in Fig constant font for all text in f		place. I know in	802.3df we are using a	use the	e symbols I <subs< td=""><td>erences to the in-phase an script>X, Q<su pt>, and Q<subscript>Y<td>bscript>X<td>ipt>,</td></td></subscript></su </td></subs<>	erences to the in-phase an script>X, Q <su pt>, and Q<subscript>Y<td>bscript>X<td>ipt>,</td></td></subscript></su 	bscript>X <td>ipt>,</td>	ipt>,
SuggestedRemedy Update Figure 155-8 to use	a constant font for all te	ext.		51, line instanc	e 28 and subclau ces where the X a	se 155.3.3, page 52, line 9 and Y are not in subscript,). There, however,	seem to be a few
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			are rev				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Suggested	-			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 Dawe. Piers	P 46 Nvidia	L 11	# 467	I <subs< td=""><td>cript>X<td>they are referencing the s pt>, Q<subscript>X</subscript> ipt> in the following location</td><td>cript>, I<subscript></subscript></td><td></td></td></subs<>	cript>X <td>they are referencing the s pt>, Q<subscript>X</subscript> ipt> in the following location</td> <td>cript>, I<subscript></subscript></td> <td></td>	they are referencing the s pt>, Q <subscript>X</subscript> ipt> in the following location	cript>, I <subscript></subscript>	
,	Comment Status D		SD-FEC decoder	Cubala				
"Logic described generically		ex D" ⁻ generically			use 155.2.5.1, p 155-3, page 55, l			
doesn't address FEC decod				Table 1	155-4, page 56, I	ine 35		
SuggestedRemedy						ine 5 through 16		
Write out what you need to	say, here			Proposed F	Seponse OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				USED ACCEPT.			
PROPOSED REJECT.				C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 14	# 11
There is no suggested remo	edv I need text to put i	the document		Lewis, Jon		Dell Techno	ologies	
			# [100	Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 11	# 466	need a	non-breaking sp	ace between "Annex" and	"D"	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type T C "The Hamming SD-FEC de	<i>Comment Status</i> D	docodor"	SD-FEC decoder	Add no	on-breaking spac	e.		
5		decodel		Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy What requires this? a sensi	tivity / OSNP toloranco	spac2 Plaasa ra	for to whorever the	PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
reason is given.		spec: Tlease le		C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 16	# 468
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
PROPOSED REJECT.				Comment 1	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
This is part of the baseline	architecture adopted by	the task force		interlea	aver			
This is part of the baseline	aronicolure adopted by			Suggested	Remedy			
				Missing	g full stop			
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
				PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
VPE TR/technical required E	R/editorial required GR	/general required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general		CI	155	Page 50 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line SC 155.2.5.1

9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.3	P 46	L 26	# 384	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5	<i>P</i> 46	L 36	# 209
Wienckowsł	ki, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
<i>Comment Ty</i> You sho	<i>pe</i> E uld refer to the e	<i>Comment Status</i> D equation.			<i>Comment</i> Missin	51	Comment Status D second sentence		bucket
	polynomial giv	en in 155.2.4.9. ⁄ Equation (155-1).			<i>Suggested</i> Chang bits."	•	ng block 10976 x 119 bits." to	"Each incoming	block of 10976 x 119
Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5	P 46	L 36	# 70	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5	<i>P</i> 46	L 43	# 210
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		SC-FEC decoder	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
		unction is described in ITU-			Missin	g a subscript in l	Bi_corrected.		
The text function		e is insufficient to understa	nd/implement the	SD-FEC decoder	Suggested	Remedy			
		fined only in an external do	cument) then the	re is no need for the	Make	the i in Bi subscr	ripted.		
details ir	n the first paragr	aph.			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedR	emedy				•	, OSED ACCEPT	,		
	,	led definitions from the refe							
	-	st two paragraphs, retaining	the quoted sent	ence.	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5		L 46	# 71
Proposed Re		Response Status W			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			Comment	51	Comment Status D		
		is 25 pages, it's better to re			degrad	le for use by net	he 400GBASE-ZR PCS prov work equipment" 155.2.5.7.2. No need to writ		nd signaling of link
Delete a	II but the first se	ntence of the first paragrap	n of 155.2.5.5.		Suggested	Remedv			
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.5	P 46	L 36	# 469		the third paragr	aph.		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status D				OSED ACCEPT			
incomine	g block 10								
SuggestedR incoming	e <i>medy</i> g block of 10?)							
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W							

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5	P 46	L 46	# 401	C/ 155	SC 1	55.2.5.5	P 46	L 48	# 408
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
Last paragraph of this sec MDIO mapping provided in SuggestedRemedy Add references to the MD	n the text to indicate it's st IO registers to control and Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	atus bits or coor	trol of thresholds	ratio is exist b rates a Suggested Replac The 40 receiv FEC_0 enable When the PC conse 155.4. are de increa FEC_0 155.5. FEC_0 either	st paragg s used to out refere and FEC <i>IRemedy</i> ce the la 0000GBA ed signa degrade degrade continent 2.1). If the tected by sed by S degrade the degrade fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the sed by S degrade fector of the fector of the fect	o indicate ence 119.3 codeword st paragra SE-ZR P(l. The pro- d_SER_al eassertion egraded_S PCS cour phe SC-FE y the CRC d_SER_ad . At the end d_SER_dd graded_S	Comment Status D as that the link degrade func- this. But in the MDIO mapp 2.5.3 which specifies the thr ds. aph of 155.2.5.5 with the foll CS may optionally provide the esence of this option is indice bility_variable (see 155.4.2.7) in of the FEC_degraded_SER SER_enable is asserted, address the number of bits correct ping SC-FEC frames of FEC C decoder determines that a C32 check (see 155.2.5.6), the When the number of bit err ctivate_threshold (see 155.5.5) and of each interval, if the nume eactivate_threshold, the FEC SER_ability or FEC_degraded the section of the se	ing (Table 155-8 esholds in terms owing: he ability to signa ated by the asse 1). When the op R_enable variabl ditional error mou sted by the SC-F C_degraded_SEF a codeword is ur he number of sy ors exceeds the 5.1), the FEC_de mber of symbol of C_degraded_SE	al degradation of the ertion of the tion is provided it is e (see 155.4.2.1). hitoring is performed by EC decoder in R_interval (see icorrectable or errors mbol errors detected is threshold set in graded_SER bit (see errors is less than R bit is cleared. If
				Bring i Bring i	in 45.2.3 in 45.2.3	6.61.1 and 6.61.3 and	add "155.2.5.5" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to the see add "155.2.5.5" to the see add "155.4.2.1" to th	list list	
				Proposed			Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

L 9	# 471
L 9	# 72
L 14	# 73
1	
.	
picted by the	state diagram in Figure
	L 14 I.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 Page 53 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 403	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 473
Comment Type TR Comment Status D cross reference Reference is to 155.4 which is all the FSM blocks, call out the specific AM lock one. Comment Status D Comment Status Comment Status	Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 seems to be identical to Figure 155-4
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change 155.4 to Figure 155-16	Remove it, refer to 155-4 instead
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 261	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 395
Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D cross referen
Suggest a direct reference to the Alignment marker lock state diagram is provided in	Figure 155-9 is identical to 155-4 and is not referenced
subclause 155.2.5.7.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 472
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 19 # 211	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 19 # 211	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 19 # 211 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status D OH description Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 is an orphan SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it. See another comment.
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 19 # 211 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status D OH description Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 is an orphan SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it. See another comment. Proposed Response Response Status W
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P47 L 19 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status D OH description Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it. SuggestedRemedy	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 is an orphan SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it. See another comment.
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 19 # 211 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status D OH description Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it.	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 is an orphan SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it. See another comment. Proposed Response Response Status W
Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P47 L 19 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Type	Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P47 L 33 # 472 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 155-9 is an orphan SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it. See another comment. Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 5 #	4 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 22 # 476
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Link sta upstream, downstream	remonitoring Comment Type T Comment Status D Link status monitoring framing of frame or multi-frame loss - eh?
SuggestedRemedy Rx, Tx. Compare base doc.	SuggestedRemedy In the case of a loss of 400GBASE-ZR frame sync or multi-frame sync?
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The RPF bit indicates, in the upstream direction, that" to "The RPF to its link partner, that"	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. t indicates See response to comment 212
	CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 23 # 74
Change: "are defined to indicate to the downstream 400GBASEZR PHY the to	ality" Ran, Adee Cisco
"are defined to indicate to the link partner the quality"	Comment Type T Comment Status D Link status monitoring
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 9 #	"LF ordered sets" are not defined in this draft.
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	I assume it is the "Local Fault" RS ordered set.
Comment Type E Comment Status D detailed in 155.2.5.7.2 - but this is 155.2.5.7.2	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Local Fault ordered sets (see 81.3.4)".
SuggestedRemedy	(or another ordered set if so intended)
?	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P 48 L 36 # 19
Replace 155.2.5.7.2 with 155.2.4.5.2.	Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 21 # [2 Comment Type E Comment Status D
Huber, Thomas Nokia	This sentence appears to incorrectly imply that the CRC8 is the sole protection against
Comment Type E Comment Status D	errors in JC1-3. Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this statement somewhat.
It looks like there is an 'of' that should be 'or' - I think the intent is that if the rec	ver can't SuggestedRemedy
frame to the DSP frame, or the 400ZR frame or multiframe, it inserts LF	In conjunction with the change proposed in the previous comment, add the following
SuggestedRemedy	sentence to the end of the paragraph: "The JC1-2 field information is also protected by limits on how the JC1-2 fields can change in successive multi-frames and the coding
Change "In the case of a DSP framing of 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame the case of a DSP framing loss or 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss."	technique for indicating these changes, which combine with the CRC8 in JC3 to provide
Proposed Response Response Status W	error correction capability for bit and burst errors impacting JC1-3."
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W

	P 48	L 36	# 18	C/ 155	SC 155.3.1	P 49	L 3	# 135
orshe, Steve	Microchip Te	echnology		Nicholl, Ga	iry	Cisco Sy	vstems	
omment Type ER	Comment Status D	c		Comment 1		Comment Status D		• · ·
Specifically, it says that t	confuses the location and ne CRC8 is found in JC1-3 nd the CRC4 is located in	3 and the CRC4 i		155.1.	It appears that	ections of 155.3.1appea this overview information ation for the PMA sublay	for the PCS sublay	
ggestedRemedy				Suggestedl	Remedy			
detection coverage for th	e of the paragraph to read: e information in JC1-JC3 a for the associated informa	and the CRC4 va	lue in JC4 provides			ete section 155.1., and p the PCS section (155.2)		
-		alion neids in JC4	-0.	Proposed F		Response Status W		
oposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					IN PRINCIPLE. w of PCS and PMA.		
155 SC 155.2.5.10	P 48	L 53	# 477	Move s	cope of PMA fr	om 155.3.1.1 to end of 1	55.1.1, as modified	by other comments.
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Move p	osition of PMA	in the 400GBASE-ZR su	blayers from 155.3.	1.2 to end of 155.1.2.
<i>mment Type</i> T The PCS receives decod	Comment Status D e blocks		PCS decoder	Move s	summary of fund	ctions from 155.3.1.3 to t	he end of 155.1.3 -	continue list after "h)".
ggestedRemedy The PCS receive functior	n decodes blocks ?					from "Physical Coding hysical Medium Attach S		Physical Coding
oposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.	P 49	L 9	# 262
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Law, David	I	Hewlett	Packard Enterprise	
				Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status X		
				transm ZR PC transm	it and receive full S (specified in itter and receive	e of 156.5 'PMD functior inction, and [2] to paralle 155.2)', suggest that '. er specified in Clause 15 e 400GBASE-ZR PMD (:	I the text 'The PMA media-independer 6.' should be change	allows the 400GBASE- it way to a coherent
				Suggestedl	Remedy			
				See co	mment.			
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
						IN PRINCIPLE. This text will move.		
				Change Clause to		pendent way to a cohere	ent transmitter and r	eceiver specified in

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.1 Page 56 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49	L 11	# 478	C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 4	9	L 23	# 75
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco	D		
omment Type T Comment Status D The interfaces for the inputs of		PMA description	<i>Comment Туµ</i> The term		Comment Status ms to be overloaded		ubclause, so	PMA description pmetimes meaning bit,
uggestedRemedy The interfaces of ?				es an element AM symbol).	of the set {-3, -1, +1	, +3}, and oth	er times a pa	air of such elements
roposed Response Response Status W			This is co	onfusing.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRe	medy				
See proposed response to comment 135.			Define a it across		ogy (e.g. bits, quater	nary symbols,	, DP-16QAM	l symbols) and apply
155 SC 155.3.1.2 P 49	L 16	# 481	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status	w		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			PROPOS	, SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
omment Type E Comment Status D relationship with			Add a ne	w paragraph a	t the start of 155.3.1	:		
uggestedRemedy relationship to Also 156.1 roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			the receiv represent signal in level sign	ve direction the tations of pairs phase, Ix or Iy als are repres	e PMA converts ana of 16QAM symbols , and a four-level sig	log sigals from . Each 16QAl nal with quadi m the set {-3, ·	n the PMD si M symbol is rature phase	two polarizations. In ublayer into digital coded as a four-level a, Qx or Qy. The four- and are represented
Change at page 49 line 16 and also at page 73 line 4	·6:		C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 4	9	L 51	# 344
"with other" to "to other"			Zimmerman,	George	CME	Consulting/Al	PL Group, C	isco, Commscope, Ma
					Comment Status ated from the text wh	_	it, by the int	PMA block diagram ervening description
			SuggestedRe	medy				
					ve the figure 155-10 g a page break befo		3.1.3 and bet	fore 155.3.2 (one way
			Proposed Re PROPOS		Response Status	w		
			Agree on	the need to ke	eep the figure before	e 155.3.2 PMA	service inte	erface.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3

	SC 155.3.1.3	P 51	L 3	# 479
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia		
Comment T		Comment Status D		PMA block diagram
"m is	the number of b	its of resolution of the DP	-16QAM symbols	1
SuggestedF	•			
ls a syn	bol for one pola	risation or both? Is this o	ff by 2?	
Proposed R		Response Status W		
	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE. on of the DP-16QAM syml	bols" to "hits of re	solution of the pair of
		ed on the X and Y polariza		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.1.3	P 51	L 13	# 480
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram
Align Cl	FEC and FAW/T	S symbols (X) remove		
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Align Cl	FEC and remove	FAW/TS symbols (X) ?		
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
PROPC	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
See res	ponse to comme	ent 267		
	SC 155.3.1.3	P 51	L 26	# 345
CI 155	30 133.3.1.3	F 31	L 20	# 345
C/ 155 Zimmormor	Coordo	CME Conor	ulting/ADL Croup	Ciana Commonona Ma
Zimmermar			ulting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma
Zimmermar Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram
Zimmermar Comment T This fig There a	ype TR ure is supposed re no characteris	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec	<i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in	ype TR ure is supposed re no characteris the text is 155.3	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 1	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar	<i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest nd signal drivers.
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in Howeve there ar	ype TR ure is supposed re no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80 re no specific req	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou uirements in 155.3.3.4, so	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D	<i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in Howeve there ar approace	ype TR ure is supposed ire no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80 re no specific req ch to making a fu	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 1 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D	<i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in Howeve there ar approad	ype TR ure is supposed ire no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80. e no specific req ch to making a fu Remedy	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d 3.4 which are called the 1 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou uirements in 155.3.3.4, so inctional block diagram.	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D o deleting the bloc	PMA block diagram blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. PACs and the like, and the seems the right
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in Howeve there ar approad SuggestedF Preferal Alternat	ype TR ure is supposed re no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80. re no specific req ch to making a fu <i>Remedy</i> bly, delete the "D	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 1 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou juirements in 155.3.3.4, so inctional block diagram.	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D o deleting the bloc 155-10 (going strai	PMA block diagram olementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and cks seems the right
Zimmermar Comment T This figu There a thing in Howeve there ar approad SuggestedF Preferal Alternat	ype TR ure is supposed ire no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80. e no specific req ch to making a fu Remedy bly, delete the "D tively, Relabel "11 bu show I&Q path	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 1 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou juirements in 155.3.3.4, so inctional block diagram.	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D o deleting the bloc 155-10 (going strai	PMA block diagram olementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and cks seems the right
Zimmerman Comment T This fig There a thing in Howeve there ar approad SuggestedR Preferal Alternat since yo Proposed R PROPC	ype TR ure is supposed re no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80. er no specific req ch to making a fu Remedy bly, delete the "D tively, Relabel "11 bu show I&Q path response DSED ACCEPT I	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d .3.4 which are called the 1 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou uirements in 155.3.3.4, so inctional block diagram. DAC" blocks from Figure 1 6QAM Encoder and Signa hs) Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D o deleting the bloc 155-10 (going strai al Driver" (probabl	PMA block diagram blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and cks seems the right ight to the output is fine) y drawing as 2 blocks
Zimmermar Comment T This fig There a thing in Howeve there ar approac SuggestedF Preferal Alternat since yc Proposed R PROPC Delete t	ype TR ure is supposed ire no characteris the text is 155.3 er, most other 80. e no specific req ch to making a fu Remedy bly, delete the "D cively, Relabel "11 bu show I&Q path response DSED ACCEPT I the 4 blocks labe	Comment Status D to be a functional block di stics for the DAC blocks d 3.4 which are called the f 2.3 PHY clauses leave ou uirements in 155.3.3.4, so inctional block diagram. DAC" blocks from Figure 1 6QAM Encoder and Signa hs) Response Status W	iagram, not an imp lefined in the spec 16QAM encode ar ut signal drivers, D o deleting the bloc 55-10 (going strai al Driver" (probabl nals under Pilot ins	<i>PMA block diagram</i> blementation diagram. ification. The closest ad signal drivers. ACs and the like, and cks seems the right ight to the output is fine) y drawing as 2 blocks

79	CI 155 SC 155.3	3.2 <i>P</i> 50	L 1	# 263
	Law, David	Hewlett Pac	ckard Enterprise	
lock diagram	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMA service interface
	sent as 8-bit symb PMA:IS_UNITDAT Further, subclause symbols are digitiz 155.3.3.5) and pro	.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encode ols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA A_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITD 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC of ed to an m-bit resolution by the vided to the PCS receive direction ATA m-1.indication inter-sublay	sublayer on the ATA_7 request i decoder' says 'Th PMA sublayer re ion by PMA:IS_U	nter-sublayer signals.'. ne incoming DP-16QAM eceive direction (see INITDATA_0.indication
e pair of 	FEC decoder is a s levels for each of t PMA functional blo	soft decision decoder and so re he signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. ock diagram' says 'm is impleme	quires a higher r Finally, Figure 1	esolution than 2 bits / 4 55-10 '400GBASE-ZR
	Dits of resolution of	f the DP-16QAM symbols.'		
lock diagram	and lane numbers into m lanes, it app the PMA operates symbol during eacl mapper' that says case of the transm representing the 4 Y polarizations. In	ting as n parallel asynchronous that enable the original data to bears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA s as an n-bit synchronous data p h operation. This seems to be o ' 400GBASE-ZR frames are n it path, the DP-16QAM symbols levels for each of the in-phase the case of the receive path, the levels, where p and q are imple	be restored or n service interface ath, transferring confirmed by sub not mapped to 16 s are encoded as and quadrature of e DP-16QAM syn	lanes to be multiplex between the PCS and a single DP-16QAM clause 155.2.4.3 'GMP i PCS lanes'. In the s 8-bit words, 2 bits components of the X and mbols are encoded as p
45 mscope, Ma <i>lock diagram</i> diagram.	through reference doesn't support the the 400GBASE-ZF primitive, with a tx_	't seem correct to define the 40 to the lane-based PMA service e features of a lane-based servi R PMA service interface be defin _symbol and rx_symbol parame path nature of the interface.	interface definition ce interface. Bas ned using a singl	on in 116.3 when it ed on this, suggest that e .request and .indicate
e closest ers.	SuggestedRemedy			
like, and e right		ASE-ZR PMA as a single .requ symbol parameter respectively		primitive, with a
tput is fine) 2 blocks		instances of 'PMA:IS_UNITDA request' in subclause 155.2.1 'F		
	- Change subclaus read as follows:	e 155.1.4.2 'Physical Medium A	Attachment (PMA	() service interface' to

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface provided by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 58 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/	/withdrawn SC 155.3.2	9/29/2022 2:32:25 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is defined in 155.3.2.

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' to read:

The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit encoded DP-16QAM symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer using sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.request messages.

- Change the text '... by PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' to read '... by the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive.' in subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder'.

- Change subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface', adding new subclauses 155.3.2.1 through 155.3.2.2.3, to read:

155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The inter-sublayer 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The inter-sublayer service interface primitives are defined as follows:

PMA_UNITDATA.request PMA_UNITDATA.indication PMA_SIGNAL.indication

The PMA_UNITDATA.request primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA. The PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS. The PMA_SIGNAL.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of signal status from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.1 PMA_UNITDATA.request

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the tx_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA.

155.3.2.1.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA_UNITDATA.request (tx_symbol)

During transmission, the PMA_UNITDATA.request simultaneously conveys 8 bits of a 128bit code word generated by the SD-FEC encoder (see 155.2.4.11) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the PMA. The encoding used for the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarization is defined in subclause 155.3.3.1.

155.3.2.1.2 When generated

The PCS generates sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.request messages for each 128-bit code word from the PCS SD-FEC encoder. The messages convey the least significant octet C<7:0> first, most significant octet C<127:120> last, with code word bits C<n+7:n> mapped to tx_symbol<7:0>. The nominal rate of PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.1.3 Effect of receipt

The PMA continuously forms the tx_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages into 128-bit code words that are passed to the PMA Gray mapping and polarization distribution function (see 155.3.3.1).

155.3.2.2 PMA_UNITDATA.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the rx_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.2.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA_UNITDATA.indication (rx_symbol)

During reception, the PMA_UNITDATA.indication simultaneously conveys m bits of an nbit code word generated by the symbol de-interleaving function (see 155.3.3.8) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS where m is implementation dependent, representing the number of bits of the encoded DP-16QAM symbol, and n = 16 x m.

155.3.2.2.2 When generated

The PMA generates sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages for each n-bit code word generated by the PMA symbol de-interleaving function. The messages convey the least significant m bits of the n-bit code word first. The nominal rate of PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS continuously forms the rx_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages into n-bit code words that are passed to the PCS Hamming SD-FEC decoder function (see 155.2.5.1).

155.3.2.3 PMA_SIGNAL.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of the status of the PMA receive process in the SIGNAL_OK parameter from 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 59 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

155.3.2.3.2 When generated

The PMA generates a PMA_SIGNAL.indication message whenever there is change in the value of the SIGNAL_OK parameter (see 155.3.3.9).

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS Synchronization process monitors the PMA_SIGNAL.indication primitive for a change in the SIGNAL_OK parameter (see 155.2.1).

- Move the last paragraph of the current subclause to a new subclause 155.3.3.9 titled 'Signal Indication Logic (SIL)'.

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.8 'Polarization combining and symbol deinterleaving' to read:

The sixteen encoded DP-16QAM symbols are transferred to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer as m-bit DP-16QAM symbols using sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages.

- Change 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication' to read ' PMA_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-2 'Functional block diagram'.

- Change 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication' to read ' PMA_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155	SC 155.	3.2	P 50	L 3	# 264
Law, Davi	d		Hewlett	Packard Enterpr	ise
		155.3.2 only s	nent Status Dummarizes the		ss reference to where the
Suggested	lRemedy				
					vided' should be change 4.2) is provided'.
Proposed	Response	Respo	nse Status V	1	
		CEPT IN PRIN ZR PMA servio		e 155.1.4.2) is p	rovided'
C/ 155	SC 155.	3.2	P 50	L 11	# 76
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
Comment	Туре Т	Com	nent Status D	1	PMA service interf
			= 0 to 7, and f itized DP-16Q/		here m is the number of b
			minal signaling the receive sid		nately 57.78 Gb/s in the
					finition, the rate of the e transmit direction bit rate
Altern	atively m sh	ould be the nu	umber of bits o	f resolution per b	it of information.
chang	ed e.g. if the	e tx_symbols a		Gray-coded PAN	clause, and may be 14 symbols or SD-FEC
Suggested	Remedy				
		ause as neces as match the n		the meaning of	tx_symbol and rx_symbol
Proposed	Response	Respo	nse Status V	I	
	OSED ACC	EPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.		
PROP					
	ce the paraç	graph with:			

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 60 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 482	C/ 155 SC 15	5.3.2	P 51	L 18	# 266
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR (Comment Status D		PMA service interface	Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status D		
* ~50.212875 Gb/s: ~ too	vague, signaling rate sho	uld be in GBd		There is a recta	ngle to the right o	f the 'Carrier phas	e recovery', 'PMI	D equalizer' and
SuggestedRemedy Specify the rate without ap	proximation			10 '400GBASE-	ZR PMA function	al block diagram' 1	SE-ZR PMA sub hat is unlabelled	layer box in Figure 155
	esponse Status W			SuggestedRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN I	,				rectangle or delet			
See the response to 136.				Proposed Response	1	se Status W		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 136	PROPOSED AC See response to	CCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.		
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Systen	าร		C/ 155 SC 15	5.3.2	P 51	L 19	# 15
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PMA service interface	Bruckman, Leon		Huawei		
Why is the approximate si (128/119) x ~50.212875 G I don't remember seeing th referring to the nominal sig	b/s ?20 ppm" . Isn't the n ne "approximate" sign use	ominal signalli	ng rate known exactly ?	Comment Type Empty box with		ent Status D		
SuggestedRemedy	Ū			SuggestedRemedy	fbox from figure 1	55-10		
This is more of a question	of clarification ?			Proposed Response	Ŭ			
Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN I	Response Status W			PROPOSED AC		se Status W		
Remove the +/- 20 ppm in Since we have an accurate service interface rates as (all zeroes.	• e optical line rate of 59.84							
C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 50	L 16	# 265					
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise						
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PMA service interface					
Subclause 155.3.2 says ' signaling rate of'. Since rather than Hz (see the foll	this is a signalling rate, th							
SuggestedRemedy								
Suggest that ' ~50.21287 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GI								
Proposed Response R	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN I Review supporting present		olution group (C	RG) consideration.					
			d T/technical E/editorial G/o			C/ 1		Page 61 of 12

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 61 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 28	# 267	C/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 31	# 12
aw, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Lewis, Jor	1	Dell T	echnologies	
omment T	ype T	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	
		says that 'All of the coherer			Text a	nd arrow inters	sect.		
		d for the Tx signal. This is b g which signal based on the			Suggestea	Remedy			
seems	that the in-phas	e and quadrature-phase co	mponents of the X	(and Y polarizations	Remov	ve intersection	of text and arrow to ma	ke the figure more le	gible.
listed in	Table 155-7.	eceive PMD service interfa			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEF	Response Status PT.	w	
PMA re	ceive path attai	.3.3.7 'FAW, TS, and PS sy ns alignment lock to the 22-	symbol FAW that	is transmitted on each	C/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 31	# 385
		polarizations on the in-pha arization symbol streams ar			Wienckow	ski, Natalie	Gener	ral Motors	
		155-12, the FAW, TS, and			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	
it seems	s the X and Y p	plarizations identification is	performed by the		lt's hai	rd to see the te	ext with the line through	it.	
		s after the FAW lock function	on.		Suggestea	Remedy			
uggestedF	-			alow the IADOI block	Add a	box around "4	00GBASE-ZR PMA sub	layer" so the line is "	behind" it.
	e 155-10.	els 'IX', 'QX', 'IY' and 'QY' b	e removed from b	DEIOW THE ADC DIOCK	Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
101.0					PROP	OSED ACCEF	РТ.		
[2] Suge 10.	gest that the Pile	ot removal (X) Pilot remova	I (Y) block be rem	oved from Figure 155-	C/ 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 48	# 268
[3] Suad	pest that the lab	el 'Align CFEC and FAW/T	S symbols (X) ren	nove' be changed to	Law, David	d	Hewle	ett Packard Enterprise	9
read:	y		,		Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	
FAW al	ignment e FAW, PS, TS	symbols					ugh a signal indication lo cation logic (SIL) functio		s' should read '
		,			Suggestea	Remedy			
	gest that the lab	el 'Align CFEC and FAW/T	S symbols (Y) ren	nove' be changed to	See co	omment.			
read:					Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
FAW al	ignment e FAW, PS, TS	symbols			PROP	OSED ACCEF	РТ.		
Remove									
Remove roposed R	esponse	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2

Cl 155	SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 49	# 77
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		PMD:IS_SIGNAL

Signal health should not be "based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer" because this indication is always OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL indication as input to the SIL.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL indication completely.

C/ 155 SC	C 155.3.2	P 51	L 49	# 269
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PMA block diagram

Comment Status D Comment Type TR

Subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface' savs that 'The PMA:IS SIGNAL indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.' however subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' and that 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).'. In addition, subclause 155.2.1 says 'The PCS Synchronization process continually monitors PMA:IS SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL OK). When SIGNAL OK indicates OK, then the PCS synchronization process accepts the streams of symbols via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive.'.

Based on the signal indication logic (SIL) contained in the PMA sublayer described in subclause 155.3.2, and subclause 155.2.1 describing only the use of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter in the PCS sublayer, it doesn't seem correct to say in subclause 156.5.4 that a valid signal is determined only by the PCS sublayer. And based on subclause 156.5.4 setting the SIGNAL DETECT parameter of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication to a fixed 'OK' value, it doesn't seem correct to say that the SIL will report signal health based on the PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication primitive since it is fixed.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD: IS SIGNAL indication primitive is disconnected from the SIL box in figure 155-10 and is shown as not used by the PMA sublayer.

[2] In subclause 155.3.2 the text '... reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS SIGNAL indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal ...' be changed to read '... reports signal health based on data being processed successfully by the signal ...'.

[3] In subclause 156.5.4 the text 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).' should be changed to read 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the SIL function in the PMA (see 155.3.2).'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 Page 63 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2	P 51	L 53	# 233	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 5	# 234
Law, David	Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise		Law, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		PMA description
SuggestedRemedy Suggest that ' the SI	meter that is passed by the P GNAL_OK primitive has the v rameter has the value FAIL.'.	alue FAIL.' shoul	·	optionally There, h	/ to provide te owever, doesn	nctions within the PMA' say st signals and loop-back.'. 't appear to be any subclau MA) sublayer, type 400GB/	ses under subclau	ıse 155.3 'Physical
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Review supporting pre	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment reso	lution group (CR0	G) consideration.		2	efining test signals and loop 5.3.3.	p back within the F	PMA or remove this
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 3	# 213	Proposed Re	•	Response Status W		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia					IN PRINCIPLE. sentation. For comment res	solution group (CR	(G) consideration
Comment Type E Awkward grammar in t	Comment Status D he first sentence				SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 5	# 214
SuggestedRemedy				Huber, Thom	as	Nokia		
Change ". adapt betwe	en the PCS layer digital syml PCS layer digital signals to a			Comment Ty In the res		Comment Status D pback is not hyphenated		bucke
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			SuggestedRe Change	e <i>medy</i> oop-back to lo	opback		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 5	# 483	Proposed Re	<i>sponse</i> SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia							
Comment Type T I don't see any loopba	Comment Status D ck here. The only test signal	comes from the F	PMA description PCS.					
SuggestedRemedy Delete "and optionally	to provide test signals and loc	op-back"						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3

C/ 155 S	SC 155.3.3	P 52	L 9	# 235	C/ 155	SC 1	55.3.3.1	P 52	L 20	# 79
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
QX, IY, or Subclause to the in-p	e 155.3.3 'Fun QY,', refer e 155.3.3.1 'G hase (I) comp nts' of a DP-1	Comment Status D actions within the PMA' says ' encing IX, QX, IY, and QY as ray mapping and polarization bonent of the X-polarization o 6QAM symbol.	'elements' of a distribution' say	DP-16QAM symbol. s '- (c8i, c8i+1) maps	Suggestea Per co Proposed	coded si <i>Remedy</i> mment	e	Comment Status D buld be "Gray-coded symbols Response Status W	5 [°] .	bucket
00		ment' or 'component' be used DP-16QAM symbol.	consistently to o	describe IX, QX, IY,	C/ 155	SC 1	55.3.3.1	P 52	L 21	# 484
Proposed Res PROPOSI Change: - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i+2, c - (c8i+4, c - (c8i+6, c to: - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i, c8i+ - (c8i+2, c - (c8i+4, c	ED ACCEPT +1) maps to th +3) maps to 8i+3) maps to 8i+5) maps to 8i+7) maps to th 1) maps to th 8i+3) maps to 8i+5) maps to	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. The in-phase (I) component of the quadrature-phase (Q) co the in-phase (I) component to the quadrature-phase (Q) co the lx element of si the Qx element of si the ly element of si the Qy element of si	omponent of the of the Y-polarization	X-polarization of si tion of si	Dawe, Pie Comment This sa Suggested Remov Proposed PROP	rs Type ays the F <i>Remedy</i> ve lines 2 Respons OSED A	TR PMA does 20-25, add e	Nvidia Comment Status D Gray de-mapping then it say apprpriate material to PCS Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.	rs it doesn't th	PMA description
Ran, Adee Comment Typ It is not clo process - SuggestedRen Consider of	ear how the "(the subseque <i>medy</i> defining the G	P 52 Cisco Comment Status D Gray-coded symbol" defined I nt DP-16QAM mapping is de Gray code mapping as a funct	fined in terms of ion from bit-pairs	bits, not symbols. s to bit-pairs, instead						

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the last sentence of 155.3.3.1 to the beginning, and remove the next two paragraphs.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 Page 65 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P 52	L 27	#	80
Ran, Adee		Cisco			
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status)		Gray mapping

"Note that the receive process mapping of Gray-coded signals is applicable only after the SD-FEC decoder process in the 400GBASE-ZR PCS"

This means that the Gray de-mapping function is not part of the PMA but part of the PCS; indeed, the service interface of the PMA is based on ADC samples, not bits, and the Gray de-mapping does not appear in Figure 155-10, because it cannot be performed until SD-FEC decoding (in the PCS) is completed.

Similarly, the Gray mapping in the Tx direction logically belongs in the PCS, because its output is Gray-coded symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Possibly, move the content of the Gray mapping function to the PCS (retaining the polarization distribution in the PMA).

Or find another way to cleanly separate these functions.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove "gray mapping" from Figure 155-10. Change the title of 155.3.1 to Polarization distribution.

Move the gray mapping description in the first 3 paragraphs of 155.3.3.1 to new subclauses in 155.2.4 (transmit) and 155.2.5 (receive).

digital co bits." Thi standard. suggeste the draft relevant. SuggestedRee Preferabl Alternativ sampled If the m/4 Proposed Re PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	pe TR eived symbol si poverters (ADC) is is a descripti . If some desc ed in the remed (I searched). I Otherwise del emedy ly - delete the invely, change the and quantized 4 bits is used se esponse SED ACCEPT I	Comment Status ignals are digitized o in the PMA sublay ion of an implement ription is needed, o y. Further, it appea f it is used somewh ete the unnecessar ndicated sentence. e indicated sentence. in the PMA sublaye omewhere, provide <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. riting "The received	D into more er and the tation and ne could r ars that the ere, pleas y detail wh e to read er." a reference W symbol si	Gra than 4 discrete le e number of bits f is inappropriate ewrite this more e "m/4 bits" is a c e provide a point hich looks like a "The received sy ce.	Jetail that is unused in ter to where it is specification but isn't.
"The reco digital co bits." Thi standard. suggeste the draft relevant. SuggestedRe Preferabl Alternativ sampled If the m/4 Proposed Re PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	eived symbol si inverters (ADC) is is a descripti . If some desc ed in the remed (I searched). I Otherwise del emedy ly - delete the invely, change the and quantized 4 bits is used so esponse SED ACCEPT I is sentence sta	ignals are digitized) in the PMA sublay ion of an implement ription is needed, o y. Further, it appea f it is used somewh ete the unnecessar ndicated sentence. e indicated sentence in the PMA sublaye omewhere, provide <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. rting "The received	into more er and the tation and ne could r ars that the ere, pleas y detail wh e to read ' er." a reference W symbol si	than 4 discrete le e number of bits f is inappropriate ewrite this more e "m/4 bits" is a c e provide a point hich looks like a "The received sy ce.	evels by the analog to for each signal is m/4 for an interoperability generally, as is detail that is unused in ter to where it is specification but isn't.
digital co bits." Thi standard suggeste the draft relevant. SuggestedRe Preferabl Alternativ sampled If the m/4 Proposed Re PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	onverters (ADC) is is a descripti . If some desc ed in the remed (I searched). I Otherwise del emedy ly - delete the in vely, change the and quantized 4 bits is used so esponse SED ACCEPT I is sentence sta	in the PMA sublay ion of an implement ription is needed, o y. Further, it appea f it is used somewh ete the unnecessar ndicated sentence. e indicated sentence in the PMA sublaye omewhere, provide <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. rting "The received	er and the tation and ne could r ars that the ere, pleas y detail wh e to read ' er." a reference W symbol si	e number of bits f is inappropriate ewrite this more e "m/4 bits" is a c ie provide a point hich looks like a "The received sy ce.	for each signal is m/4 for an interoperability generally, as is detail that is unused in ter to where it is specification but isn't.
Preferabl Alternativ sampled If the m/4 Proposed Re PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	ly - delete the in vely, change the and quantized 4 bits is used so esponse SED ACCEPT I he sentence sta	e indicated sentence in the PMA sublaye omewhere, provide <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. Inting "The received P t	er." a referend W symbol si	ce. gnals are"	-
Preferabl Alternativ sampled If the m/4 Proposed Re PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	ly - delete the in vely, change the and quantized 4 bits is used so esponse SED ACCEPT I he sentence sta	e indicated sentence in the PMA sublaye omewhere, provide <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. Inting "The received P t	er." a referend W symbol si	ce. gnals are"	
PROPOS Delete th C/ 155 Law, David Comment Typ	SED ACCEPT I ne sentence sta	IN PRINCIPLE. Irting "The received	symbol si	-	# 236
Delete th Cl 155 Law, David Comment Typ	e sentence sta	rting "The received		-	# 236
Law, David Comment Tyj	SC 155.3.3.1		52	L 32	# 236
Comment Ty					
		Hew	lett Packa	rd Enterprise	
The term	pe ER	Comment Status	D		
(e.g., pag used inte example, interleave says ' t	ge 52, line 44) a erchangeably in , subclause 155 ed' yet the fo	llowing subclause ? Gray mapped, interle	symbols (155.3.3 'F rleaving' s 155.3.3.3 '	e.g., page 54, lin unctions within tl ays 'The DP-160 'Insert FAW, TS	e 29) seem to be he PMA'. For QAM symbols are time
SuggestedRe	•	nt terminology shou	ild be use	d for DP-16QAM	symbols
Proposed Re		Response Status			oymbolo.
		IN PRINCIPLE.	vv		
Need a c	contribution with	n proposed terminol	ogy.		

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P 52	L 32	# 81
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
		Comment Status D I from the SD-FEC encoder bols (S)"	c = [c0, c1,.,c12	<i>Symbol distribution</i> 27], is mapped to
		be aligned with the SD-FEC		
interface	0	ion in terms of 128-bit code		
lf not, pl arbitrary	,	the 128-bit blocks start poir	nt within the SD	-FEC codeword is

A similar question holds for the Rx direction (based on the text in 155.3.3.8) - is the alignment of SD-FEC defined as a PMA function or a PCS function?

SuggestedRemedy

From 155.3.3.2 it seems that alignment is necessary, so the service interface should be defined with 128-element vectors (instead of lanes), and perhaps use tx_word instead of tx_symbol and rx_word instead of rx_symbol.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Good idea - but this would require a contribution to work out the details of what to change in the draft.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1	P 52	L 32	# 237
--------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-------

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The terms '128-bit code word' (e.g., page 52, line 32), 'FEC codeword' (e.g., page 52, line 44), SD-FEC codewords (e.g., page 53, line 36), 'Hamming code words' (e.g., page 52, line 53), and just 'code word' (page 53, line 32) seem to be used interchangeably to describe the 128-bit code word that is passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the term 'SD-FEC codeword' be used consistently in subclause 155.3.3 to describe the 128-bit code word passed across the PMA service interface.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC	155.3.3.2	P 52	2	L 53	# 238
Law, David		Hewle	ett Packard E	Interprise	
Comment Type	Т	Comment Status	D		PMA description

Doesn't the symbol interleaving operate on groups of sixteen DP-16QAM symbols, mapped from the 128-bit SD-FEC codewords passed across the PMA service interface, as described in subclause 155.3.3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of symbols from Hamming code words ...' be changed to read 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of groups of sixteen symbols mapped from SD-FEC codewords ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.2	P 5	2 L 5	4	# 239
Law, David		Hewle	ett Packard Ente	rprise	
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status	D		PMA description

On page 52, line 54, the symbol number is in normal font whereas it is in subscript font in the remainder of subclause 155.3.3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that, based on page 52, line 54, the symbol number should be in normal rather than subscript font in the rest of the subclause to make it clear the two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word. Alternatively, perhaps it should be stated that two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Page 67 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2	2 P 53	L 33	# 240	C/ 155	SC 15	5.3.3.3		P 54	L 27	# 241
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David				Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMA description	Comment 7	ype T	R	Comme	ent Status D		DSP frame
According to 155.3.3.1 array of DP-16QAM sy code words [S0,,S7	ymbols from eight	mappe	d into the				AM symbol interl f a super-frame.	eaving function is		
	d by Figure 155-11 'Eight-way			Suggested	-					
shows symbols S0,0 t	hrough S7,15 which is 128 sy	mbols.							e PAM symbol int of a super-frame.	erleaving function is
SuggestedRemedy									n a super-manne.	
Suggest the text 'Whe symbol buffer is full	n the 64-symbol buffer is full .	' be changed to	read 'When the 128-	Proposed F PROPO			IN PRINC	se Status WIIPLE.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W									s m<0:175 615> in the
PROPOSED ACCEPT								er, as the comm and the payload		s no information on
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.	2 P 53	L 34	# 215	Паррії	y betwee		lleneaver	and the payload	symbols.	
Huber, Thomas	Nokia								s from the interle	aver are mapped directly
				to the f	rst 128 p	ositions	s of the pa	yload symbols:		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		symbol interleaving							
The intended interleav the second symbol, et	Comment Status D ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11)	ent with that - S(ver outpu b: m<0:12		,0 S1,0 \$	S6,15 S7,15		
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0,	ing is that first symbol of each	ent with that - S(s is transmitted, then	maps to	o: m<0:12	27>.			n<128:255>, etc.	
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist	ent with that - S(s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne	o: m<0:12	27>. erleave			n<128:255>, etc.	
The intended interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11).	ent with that - S(s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne With ec	b: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice	27>. erleave ense.		ymbols map to n		# 242
The interleav the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> W	ent with that - S(s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte	27>. erleave ense.		ymbols map to n P 54	L 31	# 242
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> W	ent with that - S([^]	is is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow	maps to The ne With ec	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC 15 8	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3	er output sy	ymbols map to n P 54		# 242 DSP frame
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> W 2 <i>P</i> 54	ent with that - S(s is transmitted, then	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclai	o: m<0:12 tt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 ype T use 155.3	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3	comme comme	mbols map to n P 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status D TS and PS syn	L 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa	DSP frame
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> W 2 <i>P</i> 54 Nokia	ent with that - S([*]	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined	o: m<0:12 tt 128 inte litorial lice SC 155 ype T use 155.3 as a set	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3	Comme Sert FAW 888 symb	mbols map to n P 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status D , TS and PS syn ols in each of th	L 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz	DSP frame ays 'A super-frame is ations including'.
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 5.3.3 'In of 181 e super-	comme Sert FAW 888 symb	mbols map to n P 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status D TS and PS syn ols in each of th each of the X and	L 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz nd Y polarizations	DSP frame
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). <i>Response Status</i> W 2 <i>P</i> 54 Nokia	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a be 16Q	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 5.3.3 'In of 181 e super-	comme Sert FAW 888 symb	mbols map to n P 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status D , TS and PS syn ols in each of th	L 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz nd Y polarizations	DSP frame ays 'A super-frame is ations including'.
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li 155-11	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subcla defined Since a be 16Q Suggested	o: m<0:12 kt 128 inte litorial lice SC 158 SC 155 use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 5.3.3 'In of 181 e super- pols rath	Comme sert FAW 888 symb frame for her than D	ymbols map to n P 54 Hewlett Pa ant Status D , TS and PS syn ols in each of th each of the X an P-16QAM symb	<i>L</i> 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz nd Y polarizations ols.	DSP frame ays 'A super-frame is ations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to
The intended interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclai defined Since a be 16Q Suggested/ Suggested/ Suggest	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy t that the polariza	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3 'In of 181 e super- ools rath text 'A tions in	Comme sert FAW 888 symb -frame for her than D super-fran cluding 17	mbols map to n <i>P</i> 54 Hewlett Pa <i>I</i> Status D TS and PS syn ols in each of th each of the X an P-16QAM symb me is defined as 75 616 payload s	<i>L</i> 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz nd Y polarizations ols. a set of 181 888 symbols and 627	DSP frame ays 'A super-frame is ations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to symbols in each of the 2 additional symbols.' be
The interleave the second symbol, et S(0,1) rather than S(0, SuggestedRemedy Change S0,2 to S1,1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T There is a horizontal li 155-11 SuggestedRemedy	ing is that first symbol of each c. The example is not consist 2) (as seen in figure 155-11). Response Status W 2 P 54 Nokia Comment Status D ne missing between the secon Response Status W	ent with that - S(* 	s is transmitted, then 1,1) should follow # 216 amming code interleaver	maps to The ne With ec C/ 155 Law, David Comment 7 Subclar defined Since a be 16Q Suggested/ Sugges	x m<0:12 t 128 intended itorial lice SC 158 SC 158 ype T use 155.3 as a set separate AM symb Remedy t that the y polariza d to read	27>. erleave ense. 5.3.3.3 5.3.3 5.3.5 5.3.3 5.3 5	Comme sert FAW 888 symb -frame for her than D super-fran cluding 17 er-frame is	P 54 Hewlett Pa ent Status D TS and PS syn ols in each of th each of the X an P-16QAM symb me is defined as 5 616 payload s	<i>L</i> 31 ckard Enterprise nbols' however sa e X and Y polariz nd Y polarizations ols. a set of 181 888 symbols and 627 et of 181 888 160	DSP frame ays 'A super-frame is ations including'. s, the 'symbols' seem to symbols in each of the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 155 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 155.3.3.3

Page 68 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

Nicholl, Gary	.3 P 54	L 32	# 137	C/ 155 S	SC 155.3.3.	3 P 55	L 4	# 244
NICHUII, Gary	Cisco System	ns		Law, David		Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
made up of 49 sub-fr	Comment Status D " Each super-frame is ames . ". This is unusual termi				nts of the su	Comment Status D b-frame 0 between P4 and defined in Figure 155-12.	P115, and sub-fr	DSP frame ame 1 and 48 between
"super-frame" is used SuggestedRemedy Propose changing "s	frames (and not -sub-frames). d instead of the more usual "m uper-frame" to "multi-frame" ar native would be to use "frame"	nulti-frame" nd "sub-frame" to	"frame" throughout	P115 is 3 ⁻ 3712/32 = symbol fo	L A sub-fran 116 it seem sub-frame	number of symbols shown ir ne is 3712 symbols long, ar is reasonable to assume th 0, but this needs to be spec	nd there are 116 l at there are 31 sy cified.	PS symbols, and since mbols after every PS
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Change: "super-fram	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. e" to "multi-frame" and "sub-fra	ame" to "frame" tł	nroughout 155.3.3.3	31, howev in Figure to make a	er, after P1 ² 55-12 after n assumptio	number of symbols shown in 15 it is 32. Similarly, for sub P0 is 42, after P1 is 31, and n about the number of sym b be specified.	-frame 48, the nu d after P115 it is 3	mber of symbols shown 32. It is therefore difficult
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3	.3 P 54	L 37	# 243	SuggestedRei		be specified.		
Law, David Comment Type TR		kard Enterprise	DSP frame	Specify th	-	f the sub-frame 0 between	P4 and P115, and	d sub-frame 1 and 48
The second paragrap first sub-frame of a s	oh of subclause 155.3.3.3 'Inse uper-frame includes 76 rese specification of what 16QAM s	erved symbols (rsv	/d<0:75>)',	Add a cap	ED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy Define the 16QAM sy	mbol to be transmitted for the	se 76 reserved sy	mbols.	Add a cap	tion betwee	m<16:3456> and 1 pilot syn	e 1: "repeating se	quence of 31 payload
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			,		m<3540:7042> and 1 pilot s ter P115 of sub-frame 1 fro	,	
	ays "These symbols should be Id be selected from 16QAM mo		void strong tones.	Correct th 030:172 0		efore P1 of sub-frame 48 fro	om "m<172 030:1	72 061>" to "m<172
	d to define what the sequence	shall be for these	76 reserved			etween P1 and P2 of sub-fra	ame 48 from "m<	
·	ion is needed.			"m<172 0	51:172 081>			172 062:172 093>" to
For Ethernet we need	ion is needed.				e payload af			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 Page 69 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

TCommentmes 1 and 48 are annon. In addition, it isn't cleiol has four componentstion, the stream of Graysuitable for transmissiction./ythe 3 to 0 annotation fpaning.pseResponse SACCEPT IN PRINCIPL3 to 0 annotation.	otated with 3 and ear what the 3 to s, but subclause y mapped, interle on over' which for sub-frames 1 Status W	0 in P0, sub-fra 0 signifies, perh 155.3.3.3 (page eaved symbols a seems to imply	haps that each DP- e 54, line 29) says 'For are assembled into a ^r a sperate frame for
mes 1 and 48 are anno n. In addition, it isn't cle ol has four components tion, the stream of Gray suitable for transmissic tion. by the the 3 to 0 annotation f eaning. Dise Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	otated with 3 and ear what the 3 to s, but subclause y mapped, interle on over' which for sub-frames 1 Status W	0 signifies, perh 155.3.3.3 (page eaved symbols a seems to imply	ames 0 doesn't have haps that each DP- e 54, line 29) says 'For are assembled into a v a sperate frame for
n. In addition, it isn't cle ool has four components tion, the stream of Gray suitable for transmissic tion. ly e the 3 to 0 annotation f eaning. ose Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	ear what the 3 to s, but subclause y mapped, interle on over' which for sub-frames 1 Status W	0 signifies, perh 155.3.3.3 (page eaved symbols a seems to imply	haps that each DP- e 54, line 29) says 'For are assembled into a ^r a sperate frame for
e the 3 to 0 annotation f eaning. Se <i>Response</i> 3 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Status W	and 48 or add to	o sub-frames 0 and
eaning. ase Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Status W	and 48 or add t	o sub-frames 0 and
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL			
	.E.		
3 to 0 annotation.			
	and sub-frame	."	
155 2 2 2	D 55	/ 25	# 271
155.3.3			# 271
T Comment	Status D		DSP fram
frame and sub-frame	organization and		
he title of Figure 155-12 and bit ordering'. nat the transmission ord	-		
nse Response S	Status W		
t 5 srs c ł ł	T <i>Comment</i> 55.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, T is are shown in Figure 1 n frame and sub-frame stration of a super-fram <i>dy</i> he title of Figure 155-12 and bit ordering'. hat the transmission or ded to the figure.	tion transmission frame and sub-frame 155.3.3.3 <i>P</i> 55 Hewlett Packa T <i>Comment Status</i> D 5 5.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbol is are shown in Figure 155-12.', however in frame and sub-frame organization and stration of a super-frame. <i>dy</i> he title of Figure 155-12 be changed to be and bit ordering'. hat the transmission order of the sub-fra- ded to the figure. <i>mse Response Status</i> W	tion transmission frame and sub-frame" 155.3.3.3 <i>P</i> 55 <i>L</i> 25 Hewlett Packard Enterprise T <i>Comment Status</i> D 5 5.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says 'The sup is are shown in Figure 155-12.', however the title of Figure in frame and sub-frame organization and bit ordering' and stration of a super-frame. <i>dy</i> he title of Figure 155-12 be changed to read 'Super-frame and bit ordering'. hat the transmission order of the sub-frame and sub-frame <i>Bed</i> to the figure. <i>mse Response Status</i> W

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.3.1	P 55	L 40	# 485	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.3	.3 P 57	L 8	# 272
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Law, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment	Type E Com	ment Status D			Comment 7	уре Т	Comment Status D		PS generato
split ta	able (not properly indicate	d). Also Table 155-	6-PS				'Pilot sequence (PS)' says t		
Suggested	lRemedy				,	ub-frame'. Is d value?	n't it the generator that is res	set at the start of e	every sub-frame using
. .					Suggested	Remedy			
PROF Make	OSED ACCEPT IN PRIN sure that tables 155-3 an		o that when they	split across pages, the	' be c frame,	hanged to read so that the sam	he seed is reset at the start 'The generator is initialized e'.		
split is	correctly indicated.				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.3.3	P 57	L 3	# 82	PROPO	DSED ACCEPT			
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco							
Comment	Type T Com	ment Status D		PS generator					
Also it	vo separate PRBS sequei : is unclear how bits are n dRemedy			155-6.					
Rewri	te to clarify.								
Proposed	Response Respo	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤							
Chang to:	POSED ACCEPT IN PRIN ge: "The PS is a fixed PR e are two separate PRBS and Y polarizations."	BS10 sequence ma							

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.3	.3 P 57	L 8	# 273	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 10	# 274
Law, Dav	id	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Law, Davi	d		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PS generator	Comment	Type E	Commer	nt Status D		bucke
From	review of Table 1	n of how the PRBS10 sequ 55-6 it appears that the ger	nerator in Figure 15	55-13 is used to		the abbreviation		sequence' the te	ext ' PS sequen	ce' expands to '
		even bits are mapped to the ed to the quadrature-phase			Suggested	dRemedy				
		d a 1 mapped to a '3'.	component of the	TOQAW Symbol, with			he complete F	PS sequence is	.' be changed to i	read ' the complete
Suggeste	dRemedy				PS is					
Sugg	est that the secon	d paragraph of subclause 1	55.3.3.3.3 be char	nged to read:		Response POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W		
,P1	15] are inserted ir	e start of every sub-frame, s to every sub-frame of the s	ame polarization.	For each polarization	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 12	# 275
X and symb		produces 232 bits PRBS[2	31:0] that are map	ped to 116 16QAM	Law, Davi	d		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
,),,P115]							nt Status D P8, P4 and P3 v	vhere they conne	ct to the XOR logic
where	e for i = 0 to 115,				Suggester					
- PSB	R[2i] maps to the	in-phase (I) component of	the 160AM symbo	l [Pi] for the	00	omment.				
respe - PSB	ctive polarization	he quadrature-phase (Q) c			Proposed PROF	Response POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W		
and w	/here,				C/ 155	SC 155.3.3	.3.3	P 57	L 14	# 486
- 0 m	ans to -3 for the r	espective 16QAM symbol c	omponent		Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
		espective 16QAM symbol c			<i>Comment</i> Missir	<i>Type</i> E arrowheads		nt Status D baths		
	enerator polynomence is shown in T	ial and seed values are list able 155-6.	ed in Table 155-6 a	and the complete PS	Suggested	,				
Seque	Response	Response Status W			Add th					
•					Proposed	Response	,	e Status W		
Proposed	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				OSED ACCEF				

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3	P 57	L 32	# 487	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4	P 5	8 L 32	# 138
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco	o Systems	
Comment Type E Con	nment Status D			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D	signals per polarization
Table 155-6PS SuggestedRemedy Use whole words. Pilot seque	ence			analog QY,	signals per sym	bol: IX, QX, IY, and sound like that they		ymbols is converted to four als per symbol per
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI								ation (the X polarization) ation (the Y polarization).
See response to comment 27	0.			Suggestea	Remedy			
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3	P 57	L 33	# 276					gnals (IX, QX, IY, QY) for
Law, David Comment Type E Con	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		analog) per symbol for the λ		out instead there are two o analog signals (IY, QY)
There appear to be two separa generator polynomial and see SuggestedRemedy				Proposed	•	Response Status	w	
tables renumbered, and its title [2] Suggest that the title of the 'Pilot sequence'. Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	e second Table 155-6 bonse Status W	should be change	d from 'PS' to read	QY, ao to: "On ea symbo	ich polarization, I: IX and QX for	apping in Table 155 the stream of symbo	Is is converted to two nd IY and QY for the	Y polarization. Mapping of
See response to comment 82				C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4	.1 <i>P</i> 5	8 L 38	# 83
Change title of both PS tables	to spell out "pilot see	quence".		Ran, Adee		Cisco)	
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4	P 58	L 30	# 277	Comment		Comment Status	D	symbol mapping
Law, David	Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise					lanes", but in the tex	t it is "coherent signal to
Comment Type T Con	nment Status D	•	PMA description	physic	al lane mapping	5".		
The title of subclause 155.3.3. IEEE P802.3cw specifies a ph see any text related to signal or reference the DAC (see Figure SuggestedRemedy	nysical instantiation o drivers in subclause f	f the PMD service 55.3.3.4. Perhaps	interface, and I don't it would be better to	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	Remedy e "All of the coh	bols to signals is dor erent signal to physic nes". Change Table 1	al lane mappings" to	"All options for symbol y.
Suggest that the title of subcla	auco 155 3 3 4 is cha	nged to read '160	AM encode and DAC'	Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
	oonse Status W	nged to read Total		Chang	OSED ACCEPT e "All of the coh ng to physical la	erent signal to physic	al lane mappings" to	"All options for symbol
				Chang	e title of Table 1	55-7 to "Options for s	symbol mapping to p	hysical lanes".
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e							C/ 155	Page 73 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.3.3.4.1 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.4.1	P 58	L 39	# 191	C/ 155
D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	f Huawei	Zimmerm
Note t essen	entence appears to inc hat interleaving of sign	als by polarization is n		bucket	Comment "The ADC appro optica
,	dRemedy y sentence to hat interleaving of sign:	als by polarization is n	ot allowed.		is use ADC. fine a
Proposed PROP	Response Res POSED ACCEPT.	ponse Status W			<i>Suggeste</i> Chan On lir Chan
Cl 155 Nicholl, G	SC 155.3.3.4.1	P 58 Cisco Syster	L 42	# 139	samp Repla
Comment	,	mment Status D	113		Proposed
	ist sentence states ". w		e inter-sublaver s	ianals	PRO
PMD:I sublay	IS_UNITDATA_0.reque /er signals below the Pl	st". I presume in thi MA (PMD service inte	s case we are ta		For C
	the PMA. (PMA servic	e interface).			C/ 155
			, ,	ng referred to are below ctly.	Zimmerm <i>Commen</i> t
	Response Res POSED ACCEPT IN PR w supporting presentati		olution group (Cł	RG) consideration.	"The ADC appro optica is use

C/ 155	SC 155.3	3.3.5	P 58	L 45	# 341	
Zimmerman	, George		CME Consultin	g/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma	ļ
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Commer	nt Status D		PMA desciption	
"The sig	nals are s	ampled by an AD	DC on each lane at	a sampling ra	ite." "The details of the	
400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

ADC . are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling. On line 50, Delete "by an ADC" Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific." Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For CRG discussion.

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.5	P 58	L 45	# 343
Zimmerman,	George	CME C	onsulting/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status)	PMA description

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC . are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling. On line 50, Delete "by an ADC" Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific." Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5

C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.5	P 58	L 47	# 84	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.6	P 59	L 40	# 86
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee	•	Cisco		
	nals IX/QX/IY/QX ar	<i>comment Status</i> D re just signals (per 155.3 ncy is part of the PMD.	3.3.4 and 156.1),	Received signals and are not "coherent"		phen in "-12" sh	Comment Status D ould be an en-dash (or t	minus sign).	bucket
, SuggestedF		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment			
Change	Four coherent sig	nals" to "Four continuou	s signals".		Proposed		Response Status W	,	
In 155.3	3.3.4.1 and in Table	155-7 change "coheren	t signal" to "symb	ool".	,	OSED ACCEPT.	,		
Proposed R	esponse Re	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.7	P 59	L 41	# 278
PROPC	SED ACCEPT IN F	RINCIPLE.			Law. David			Packard Enterprise	
Change	Four coherent sig	nals" to "Four continuou	s signals".		Comment		Comment Status D		bucket
In 155.3	3.3.4.1 and in Table	155-7 change "coheren	t signal" to "conti	nuous signal".		st that ' frames um interpacket	with minimum interpac .'.	ket' should read '	frames with a
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.6	P 59	L 22	# 85	Suggested	Remedy			
Ran, Adee		Cisco			See co	omment.			
<i>Comment T</i> "The er	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<i>Comment Status</i> D ymbols is based on Tab	le 155-2"	Receive signals	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status 🛛 🛚	I	
		any encoding of input sy	mbols - it defines	mapping of bits tuples	C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.7	P 59	L 42	# 279
το ουτρι	it symbols.				Law, Davi	Ł	Hewlett	Packard Enterprise	
"but wit	h a higher resolutior	n than 4 bits"			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
be more implem	e than two bits (per o entation.	representation of each a dimension). The resoluti e clearly. The suggested	on seems to be l	eft open to	have a minim	i frame loss ratio um interpacket g vhat the addition	eceive signal processir (see 1.4.275) of less th ap when additionally pro- ally processed is in refe	an 1.7 x 10-12 for 64- ocessed according to	octet frames with this clause.'. It's not
may be					Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedF	•						additionally processed a ding to this clause.'.	ccording to this clause	e.' should read '
	coding of 16QAM s	ymbols is based on Tab D-FEC decoder to detect			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	I	
order to	enable the SD-FEC	ould be sampled with m C decoder to correct erro ping in Table 155-2".							
Proposed R	esponse Re	esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉							
PROPC	SED ACCEPT.								
	echnical required E	R/aditarial required CR	apparel required		(2/ 155	Page 75 of 128

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

:26 PM

C/ 155 SC 155.3	B.3.8 P 60	L 4	# 87	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L 26	# 280
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise	
Comment Type T "comprising sixtee than 8 bits"	Comment Status D n symbols encoded as shown in	Table 155-2 but	<i>Pol combining</i> at a higher resolution		Comment Status D boolean variable, suggest this other boolean variables.	should be noted in	<i>pma_align_status</i> the variable
SD-FEC codeword into output symbol	s are by definition 128 bits; and s.	table 155-2 show	vs mapping of bit tuples	SuggestedRemedy Suggest that 'A var	able set by the' should read	d 'A boolean variabl	le set by the'.
Also, according to samples, not code	the next paragraph, the output o words.	of the process is a	a single stream of	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
	specify that the input to the dec		our streams of samples	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L 29	# 281
(combinations of X	/Y and I/Q) with more than two	oits per sample.		Law, David	Hewlett Pa	ickard Enterprise	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		pma_enable_deskew
Rewrite to clarify.				The description of t	he 'pma_enable_deskew' vari	able says 'A boolea	n variable that enables
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			and disables the PI output of the Figure anywhere else.	MA deskew process.'. Is this c 155 15 'PMA deskew state d	orrect as 'pma_ena iagram' that doesn'i	ble_deskew' is an t appear to be used
Change:				SuggestedRemedy			
"The message syn FEC codewords co	nbols from the X and Y polarizat omprising sixteen symbols enco- nan 8 bits in order to aid the SD-	ded as shown in ⁻	Table 155-2 but at a	Suggest the descrip 'A Boolean variable	otion of the 'pma_enable_desk that set to true when deskew ed symbols may be discarded	is enabled and set	to false when deskew
process." to:				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
"The digitized sign	als from the X and Y polarization D-FEC decoder. The codeword	n streams are con	mbined to form an input	C/ 155 SC 155.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 60	L 30	# 282
	Is encoded at a higher resolutio						# 202
to aid the SD-FEC	error detection and correction p	rocess."		Law, David		ickard Enterprise	
C/ 155 SC 155.4 Ran, Adee	I.2 P 60 Cisco	L 22	# 88	Comment Type E Since Boolean is na (and not boolean).	Comment Status D amed after George Boole, I be	lieve that it should	<i>bucket</i> always be Boolean
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			SuggestedRemedy			
51	rarchy below "State variables" is	unnecessary ar	nd includes subclauses		es of 'boolean' to 'Boolean'.		
	state variables (155.4.2.2 through			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED ACCE	,		
		he hierarchy (to h	raccome 55.4.2 through	T NOT OBED ACCE	.1 1.		
SuggestedRemedy	I move its subclauses upper in t	ne merarchy (to t	coome oo.4.2 through				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 76 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

PMA lanes

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L 34	# 140
Nicholl, Ga	ry	Cisco Systems		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Definiton of "pma_alignment _valid" variable. Reading the previous text it is not clear exactly what constitues a PMA lane, and how many PMA lanes there are, and how each PMA lane is assigned a unique lane number ? The definition also refers to "PMA lanes are deskewed". I don't see any mention of PMA lane deskew in the functional block diagram in Figure 155-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Maybe this is all clearly defined earlier in the document. If so then the editors can reject this comment with a reference to the appropriate section of text. If not then the variable description needs to be updated to better refelct thefunctional descriptions earlier in this clause. This comment also applies to other variables defined in 155.4.2.1, that refer to "PMA lanes".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC	5155.4.2.1	P 60	L 40	# 283
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		state variables

The description of the 'reset' variable says that it is 'A boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS and PMA sublayers' and that 'It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO ... and when the MDIO has put the PCS and PMA sublayers into low-power mode.'.

The PMA and PCS are separate MMDs (see Table 45-1). The PMA/PMD reset bit is 1.0.15 and the low power bit is 1.0.11, both found in PMA/PMD control 1 register. The PCS reset bit is 3.0.15 and the low power bit is 3.0.11, both found in the PCS control 1 register. Since these registers are in separate MMDs, and since their state is not communicate across the PMA service interface, the PMA and PCS resets can operate independently.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma_reset'.

[2] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-15 'PMA deskew state diagram' to be 'pma_reset'.

[3] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs_reset'.

[4] Rename the 'reset' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma_reset' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PMA sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PMA sublayer into low-power mode.

[5] Add a definition of the 'pcs_reset' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' with the description 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PCS sublayer into low-power mode.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Review supporting presentation. For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L 44	#	285
Law, David		Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

state variables

Subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' says 'The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on ... symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.'. The SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is, however, used to derive the signal_ok variable (page 60, line 45) which is used as an 'open arrow' entry condition to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of the Figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram.

As a result, it appears that if the SIGNAL_OK parameter is ever set to FAIL, setting 'signal_ok' to FALSE, the figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram will enter the 'LOCK_INIT' state. I assume this will mean that symbols will not be sent to the PCS since the PMA will not have FAW alignment. This in turn will mean the condition 'symbols being sent to the PCS' for the SIL to set the SIGNAL_OK parameter to OK will not be met.

The PMA will then be locked in this condition permanently. The SIL cannot set the SIGNAL_OK parameter to OK until symbols are sent to the PCS. Yet symbols won't be sent to the PCS until the SIGNAL_OK parameter is set to OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Please clarify the operation of the signal indication logic. Suggest, based on Figure 155-10, and the dotted line from the 'Carrier phase recovery block to the SIL, that the 'signal_ok' variable used by the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram should be based on the status of the blocks below the 'Pilot removal' blocks while the SIGNAL_OK parameter sent to the PCS should also use the FAW alignment status.

See also my other comment suggest separate 'pma_signal_ok' and 'pcs_signal_ok' variables.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At 155.3.2, change the 5th paragraph from:

"The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes. When these conditions are met, the SIGNAL_OK parameter sent to the PCS via the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive has the value OK. Otherwise, the SIGNAL_OK primitive has the value FAIL."

to:

"The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated by all of the signal processing below the pilot removal blocks in Figure 155-10 through a signal indication logic (SIL).

Signal health is based on data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions. When these conditions are met, the SIGNAL_OK parameter sent to the PCS via the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive has the value OK. Otherwise, the SIGNAL_OK primitive has the value FAIL."

C/ 155	SC	155.4.2.1	P 60	L 44	# 284
Law, David	b		Hewlett Packa	ard Enterprise	
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		signal_ok
The de	ecrinti	on of the 'si	anal ok' variable savs 'A bo	olean variable t	that is set hased on the

The description of the 'signal_ok' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received value of PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK).' however that is generated by the PMA, see last paragraph of subclause 155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR 'PMA service interface'.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma_signal_ok'.

[2] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs_signal_ok'.

[3] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pcs_signal_ok' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is true if the value was OK and false if the value was FAIL.'.

[4] Add a new variable 'pma_signal_ok' with the description 'A Boolean variable that is set by the signal indication logic (see 155.3.2.). It is true when symbols received from the PMD are being processed successfully by the signal processing, false otherwise.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

C/ 155 S	SC 155.4.2.1	P 60	L 51	# 405
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status D		restart_lock

Definition of restart_lock begins by talking about how it affects all lanes, then states it activates when 15 FAWs fail to match, but doesn't clearly define that's 15 failures in a row on a single PMA lane.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "fail to match" to "fail to match on a given PMA lane"

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 78 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4.2.1	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

mmmnt Type TR Comment Status D faws_lock Definition of variable "faws_lock <x>". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that "receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a faws_lock given lane on the PMA service interface. ". There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface above the PMA subjayer) as the FAW is inserted/removed by the PMA subjayer itself. I think what is meant here is the "PMD service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "PMA service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "PMA service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the "AM service interface" and not the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock<x>" boolean variable when according to section 155.3.3.3 the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock<x>" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization) opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggest that this is also addressed in the description should be changed to readiate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the faw_valid' variable description should be changed to readiate. The sequence is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the faw_valid' variable. Cor</x></x></x>	/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 3	# 141	C/ 155	SC	C 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 11	# 287
Definition of variable "raws, lock-xxx". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that "receive has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface a." There is no "FAW" on the "PMA" service Interface "(i.e. the interface allow the PMA subjayer) as the FAW bit inserted/removed by suggests that there are four service interface." There is no "FAW" on the "PMA" service interface" and not the PMA subjayer itself. Units what is meant here is the "PMD" service interface" and not the PMA service interface. PMA service interface and not the "PMD" service interface and not provide the period states." whereas according to section 155.3.3.3 and Figure 155-10 there is only a single FAW in x bested per polarization, and none FAW for Y polarization and one for MPM service interface, where x = 0.3." proce Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Scale Lang Lang Lang Lang Lang Lang Lang Lang	licholl, Gar	у	Cisco System	s		Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
 "receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface." Increases there is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface acoust interface. There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface acoust interface. There is no "FAW" on the "SAW" on the resist in "PMO service interface." An object interface acoust interface acoust interface acoust interface acoust interface. The sequence is a valid by the service interface. The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits matching the 44 known bits of the FAW partice description of the S3.3.3 and Figure 155-10 there is only a single FAWs inserted per polarization, so one FAW for X polarization and one FAW for Y polarization. gestedRamedy Correct the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are "faws lock-xo" boolean variables when according to section 153.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization) and one for Y polarization and one for Y polarization in the Comment is correct in a given lane on the PMA service interface. Where x = 0.3." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface. Where x = 0.3." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW sequence interface acoust interface. Where x = 0.3." for a given lane on the PMD service interface. Where x = 0.1. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the	comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D		faws_lock	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		faw_valio
correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock-xs" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization) opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where $x = 0.3$." to: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where $x = 0.1$. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. 135 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. 135 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. 135 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. 135 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 Correct cross-references.	"receiv given lar interface the PMA the "PM suggests 155.3.3. FAW for	ver has detecte ne on the PMA e" (i.e. the interf A sublayer itself A service interf as that there are 3 and Figure 1 r X polarization Remedy	d the location of the FAW for service interface .". There is face above the PMA sublayer . I tihnk what is meant here is ace"? Secondly the description four separate FAWs being Io 55-10 there is only a single Fa and one FAW for Y polarization	a no "FAW" on th) as the FAW is the "PMD servon states "who ocked to, where AWs inserted p tion.	he "PMA service s inserted/removed by vice interface" and not ere x=0:3". This as according to section er polarization, so one	listed i match listed i interfav 'faw_vs referer bits ma symbo	n Tab the 4 n Tab ce, ai alid a ://ww ncing atchir atchir lls (w	ble 155-3.' b 44 known bit ble 155-3, ar re both 22 D unalysis' from w.ieee802.o a 'QPSK FA ng the 44 kn hich form th	ut then 'The sequence is co s of the FAW pattern descri nd the candidate sequences IP-16QAM symbols, not 44 n Mike Sluyski rg/3/cw/public/22_0523/sluy AW' value of 44 in the sprea own bits should be to 36 16 e 22 DP-16QAM symbol FA	nsidered to be va bed in 155.3.3.3.1 received over the bits. Based on slid rski_3cw_01a_220 dsheet, I assume QAM symbols ma W sequence), de	lid if at least 36 bits 1.'. The sequence e PMD service de 4 of the contribution 0523.pdf#page=4> the reference to 36 atching the 44 16QAM fined in Table 155-3.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: Suggest that the 'faw_valid' variable description should be changed to read: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3." A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." Get 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 fold, Gary Cisco Systems Garw mement Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. faw_valid Faw_valid	correct) section	correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock <x>" boolean variables when according to ection 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y</x>				candidate 22 symbol block received over the four-lane PMD service interface can be mapped to the four lanes in any of eight ways defined in Table 155-7? If that is the case,					
Change: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3." A Boolean variable that is set to true if the candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence interface is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-7. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is considered to be a valid FAW sequence is least 36 of its compared to the FAW sequence position, and the fAW symbol block is or the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 I 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems Faw_valid Definition of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. Faw_valid Faw_valid Correct cross-references. Correct cross-references. Correct cross-references. W	roposed Re	posed Response Response Status W				Suggested	Rem	edy			
 "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3." to: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMD service interface, where x = 0:1. There are two FAWs, one for the X and one for the Y polarization, as listed in Table 155-3." 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems <i>mment Type</i> ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <i>ggestedRemedy</i> Correct cross-references. 	PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggest that the 'faw_valid' variable description should be changed to read:					
155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142 choll, Gary Cisco Systems Faw_valid PROPOSED ACCEPT. periniton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. faw_valid rggestedRemedy Correct cross-references. Correct cross-references.	Change: "A boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface, where x = 0:3." to: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the				ed the location of the There are two FAWs,	considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-7. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is considered to be a valid FAW sequence if at least 36 of its component 16QAM symbols match, in value, sequence position, and the 44					The candidate 22 DP- Table 155-3, ned in Table 155-7. lid FAW sequence if at e position, and the 44
choll, Gary Cisco Systems mment Type ER Comment Status D faw_valid Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. active cross-references. active cross-references.			• •			•			,		
Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. active cross-references. arggestedRemedy Correct cross-references.	155	SC 155.4.2.1			# 142	PROP	OSE	D ACCEPT.			
Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references. <i>aggestedRemedy</i> Correct cross-references.	icholl, Gar	У	Cisco System	s							
active cross-references. <i>iggestedRemedy</i> Correct cross-references.	omment Ty	ype ER	Comment Status D		faw_valid						
Correct cross-references.		_		5-3" and sectio	n "155.3.3.3.1" are not						
	uggestedR	Remedy									
oposed Response Response Status W	Correct	cross-reference	es.								
	oposed Re	esponse	Response Status W								

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 79 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.	4.2.1	P 61	L 11	# 288	C/ 155	SC 15	5.4.2.1	P 61	L 14	# 13
Law, Dav	/id		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Bruckman	, Leon		Huawei		
Comment Type TR Comment Status D faw_valid The definition of the 'faw_valid' variable says ' set to true if the received 22-symbol block is a valid FAW.'. According to the super-frame format defined in subclause 155.3.3 the 22 FAW symbols are transmitted over a total of 23 symbols, as Pilot Sequence index P1 is inserted between the symbols faw<20> and faw<21> (see figure 155-12). As a result, a valid FAW will never be found in a received 22-symbol block, only in a received 23-symbol block after the 22nd symbol is deleted. SuggestedRemedy If needed, clarify the definition of the 'faw_valid' variable to account for the P1 symbol inserted between the faw<20> and faw <21> symbols.					Clause 155.3.3.3.1 defines FAW as a 22 symbols sequence, "bits" are not mentioned ther SuggestedRemedy For consistency replace: "The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.", with: "The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 18 symbols match the 22 known symbols of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.1." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					at least 36 bits match h: "The sequence is	
, PRO See	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 287. Add a new sentence after the first sentence of the proposed response transmost 297.						SC 15	e to comme 5.4.2.1	P 61	L 18 ard Enterprise	# 289
"The notin	proposed resolution from comment 287. "The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is extracted from a sequence of 23 symbols, noting that there is a pilot symbol, P1, between the 21st and 22nd symbol of the FAW sequence as shown in Figure 155-12." C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 404					as sub-fr payloa	ause 155.3 including 1 ame of a s	.3.3 'Insert 75 616 pay uper-frame (m<0:3487	omment Status D FAW, TS and PS symboload symbols and 6272 includes a 22-symbols >).'. Based on this it se	additional symb FAW (faw<0:21	ols.' and that 'The first I>) and 3488
Slavick,	Jeff		Broadcom			Suggested					
The	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket The reference to 155.3.3.3.1 is not hyperlinked in faw_valid Image: Comment Status D D Image: Comment Status D D D D <td colspan="5">Since the title of subclause 155.3.3.3.1 'Frame alignment word (FAW) sequence', suggest that the four instances of ' FAW payload' (page 61, lines 16, 18, 20 and 23) be changed to read ' FAW sequence'.</td> <td></td>					Since the title of subclause 155.3.3.3.1 'Frame alignment word (FAW) sequence', suggest that the four instances of ' FAW payload' (page 61, lines 16, 18, 20 and 23) be changed to read ' FAW sequence'.					
make Proposed	edRemedy e it a link d Response POSED ACC		esponse Status W			Proposed PROF	Response POSED AC		sponse Status W		

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

current pmal

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 19	# 290	I
Law Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The description of the variable 'current pmal' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.' and the description of the variable 'pma lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Subclause 155.3.3.3.1, nor Table 155-3, provide any lane numbers.

The PMA lane number is not referenced outside the state diagrams, other than in Table 155-9 where pma lane mapping<x> is mapped to register 3.400 through 3.403, which doesn't seem correct as these are PCS lane registers, not PMA lane registers (see my other comment on this). As a result, rather than add PMA lane numbers to subclause 155.3.3.1 and/or Table 155-3, suggest references to 'PMA lane numbers' be changed to 'PMA lane identifiers' with the values 'Ix', 'Qx', 'Iy' and 'Qy'. The state diagram can compare PMA lane identifiers to see if they match and can test for a unique PMA lane identifier for each PMA lane as easily as it can for PMA lane numbers.

In addition, the description of the 'faw valid' variable says 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.'. The description of the variable 'current pmal' however says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.1.'. Similarly, the description of the variable 'pma lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Neither mention the '36 out 44' approach used for the 'faw valid' variable.

The 'current pmal' description could imply a requirement for a full match to a column of Table 155-3, and the 'pma lane' description requires a full match to a column of Table 155-3. Since the entry into states where 'current pmal' is used is based on faw valid = TRUE, doesn't this mean that the use of the '36 out 44' approach, which permits 8 16QAM symbols to not match, needs to be considered when determining 'current pmal' and 'pma_lane'. As a worst-case example, couldn't a faw valid = TRUE result from eight 16QAM symbols not matching due to errors on just one phase of just one of polarization. This would seem to imply that the compare for the values received on a lane with the columns of Table 155-3 also needs to permit eight values not matching.

In the case of 'current pmal' and 'pma lane', as there are only 22 values in a column of Table 155-3, it would seem a match would have to be valid if at least 14 values received on the lane match the 22 known values defined in a column to address the worst-case of all eight errors on one phase of one of polarization. It seems there may, however, be another approach to determine 'current pmal' and 'pma lane'. Doesn't the PMD lane mapping row selected from Table 155-7 to achieve faw valid = TRUE inherently provide the 'current pmal' and 'pma lane' values (see my comment on faw valid)?

Finally, as this variable is used by a state diagram within the PMA, which sits above the PMD, the text '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMA service interface.' should read '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface.'.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the description of the first pmal variable to read as follows (note my other comment to change the coherent signal labels in Table 155-7 would impact this item if accepted):

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the first FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the next FAW payload that is tested. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

Ix: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XI.

Qx: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XQ.

ly: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YI.

Qv: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YQ.

[2] Change the description of the current pmal variable to read as follows:

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the current FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the variable first pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

See first pmal.

[3] Change the description of the pma lane variable to read as follows:

pma lane

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier received on lane x of the PMA service interface when faws lock<x> = TRUE. The PMA lane identifier is determined by matching the received 22-symbol FAW sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 81 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

•	• • •									
See	e first_pmal.				C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	2.1	P 62	L 1	# 349
[4] CI	hange all instances	of ' PMA lane number	' to ' PMA lane	identifier'.	Maniloff, I	Eric		Ciena		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Comment	Туре Т	Commer	t Status D		cw_bad
PRO	POSED ACCEPT.					CW can be de hould be clarifi			rs after FEC dec	oding or by CRC errors.
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 28	# 143	Suggester					
Nicholl, C	Gary	Cisco Syster	ns			•	the definition o	of cw_bad: An ur	ncorrected codew	ord is detected if either
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status D		PMA lanes				or if the CRC32		
numb	pers on the PMA se	a_lane". The defintion stat rvice interface. But if I look e. There are however 4 land	at Figure 155-1) there are 8 lanes on	,	Response POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W		
suspect the editor meant "PMD service interface (i.e. the interface below the PMA sublayer) and not the PMA service interface (the interface above the PMA sublayer).					C/ 155	SC 155.4.2	2.1	P 68	L 26	# 409
Cubic				i i ili i cubia jorj.	Slavick, J	eff		Broadcom		
Also the reference to Table 155-3 is not an active cross reference.					Comment	Type TR	Commer	t Status D		MDIO mapping
Suggeste	edRemedy				FEC ł	high SER is not	t a feature of 4	00GBASE-ZR		
Chan	ige "PMA service in	terface" to "PMD service in	terfce".		Suggestee	dRemedy				
Fix th	ne cross-reference t	o Table 155-3.			Remo	ve the FEC hig	gh SER row fro	mo Table 155-9)	
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response	e Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		
	POSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE. entation. For comment rese	olution group (CF	(G) consideration.	PROF	POSED ACCEF	PT.			
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.1	P 61	L 33	# 291						
Law, Dav	vid	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise							
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status D								
	e are nine instances one term is used co	of 'super-frame' and two in nsistently.	nstances of 'DSF	super-frame'. Suggest						
Suggeste	edRemedy									
		tances of ' DSP super-fra o read ' super-frame'.	ime' (page 61,	line 33 and page 63						
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W								
	POSED ACCEPT II response to comme									

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.2	P 62	L 28	# 292	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 P 60	L 48	# 286	
Law, David		Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David		Hewlett	Packard Enterprise		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		FAW_COMPARE	Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status D		restart_loc	
that 'If c Since fa the FAV the lines	urrent_pmal and aw_valid ' is co V pattern'. I a	AW_COMPARE' function ir d first_pmal both found a mainsidered to be valid if at leasume rather than a 'match' symbols of the current reconstruction.	atch and faw_m st 36 bits match t , this really should	atch is set to true. ['] . he 44 known bits of I say something along	frame al lanes. It restart_l	ignment word is set to TRU ock variable is	'restart_lock' variable sa (FAW) lock process to r E when 15 FAWs in a ro used in the frame align o used in the Alignment	eset the synchronizati w fail to match (15_BA ment word (FAW) lock	on process on all PMA AD state).'. While the process described in	
It howe	ver seems simpl	er to just add faw_valid is T	RIF as a conditio	on to enter the COMP	SuggestedR	emedy				
state, w 'COUNT	hich would becc 2' state to the	me 'faw_counter_done * fav 'INVALID_FAW' state if 'faw ne similar use of the 'FAW	v_valid', and have / counter done *	e a path from the !faw_valid' is FALSE.			es of the 'restart_lock' v) lock state diagram' to b		155-14 'Frame	
'COMP	2ND' state whe	re the condition to transition nter_done * !faw_valid' resu	to the state is 'fay	w_counter_done *	[2] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs_restart_lock'.					
SuggestedR	Remedy				[3] Rename 'restart_lock' variable in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be					
	•	urrent pmal and first pmal b	oth found a matc	h and indicate the	pma_re	start_lock'.				
same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true' in the description of the					[4] Add a	a definition of	the 'pcs_restart_lock' va	riable to subclause 15	5.4.2.1 'Variables'.	
FAW_COMPARE function to read 'If current_pmal and first_pmal indicate the same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true'.				Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status 🛛 🛛	I			
				PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				
Figure 1		n on the transition from the ' lignment word (FAW) lock s v_valid'.			[1] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma_restart_lock'.					
[3] Add 'Frame !faw_va	alignment word	the 'COUNT_2' state to the (FAW) lock state diagram' the tagging of ta	'INVALID_FAW' nat reads 'faw_cou	state in Figure 155-14 unter_done *	[2] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs_restart_lock'.					
Proposed R		Response Status W				me 'restart_lo start_lock'.	ck' variable in subclause	e 155.4.2.1 'Variables'	to be	
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.3	P 62	L 40	# 293	[4] Add a follows:	a definition of	the 'pcs_restart_lock' va	riable to subclause 15	5.4.2.1 'Variables' as	
Law, David	00 133.4.2.3			# 233						
Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status D	ard Enterprise				at is set by the alignmer ss on data from the SC-l			
Subclau	use 155.4.2.3 'Co	ounters' defines the 'cw_bac re else in the draft.	_count' counter, h	nowever this counter			r fail to match (5_BAD st			
SuggestedF	Remedy									
Delete t	he 'cw_bad_cou	nt' counter definition.								
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 Page 83 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L 4	# 14	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 1	# 89
Bruckman	n, Leon	Huawei			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		state diagrams	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
for ea	ch lane, for a tota	ization seems to imply that the of 4 independent FAW sync	hronization proc	esses. Actually there			several blocks in which text ugh room to prevent that.	of assignment :	statements wraps to the
are 2 155.3.	,	tion processes, one per pola	rization (see figu	ire 115.10 and clause	Suggested	Remedy			
	,				Resize	blocks (changin	g layout if required) to preve	ent wrapping line	es.
	ce: "The synchror	nization process operates inc s operates independently on			Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.	•							
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L 7	# 204					
				# 294					
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise						
Suggested Chang	•	service interface. PMA service interface.'. to re <i>Response Status</i> W	ad ' the PMD	service interface.'.					
	POSED ACCEPT.								
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 63	L 12	# 295					
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise						
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		state diagrams					
marke each 4 Figure 'CRC3	er lock process as 400GBASE-ZR fra e 155-2 (page 35, 32 checking' block	tate diagrams' says that 'The shown in Figure 155-16 to ic ame by observing data from line 20) shows the 'AM/OH c and subclause 155.2.5.7 'A 2, MBAS, and pad,'.	lentify the AM set the SC-FEC dec letect & removal	equence at the start of oder output.', however ' block after the					
Suggested									
		by observing data from the data from the data from the CRC32 check							
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 3	# 296

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

20

FAW lock state diagram

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Based on the description of the 'faw_valid' variable, and slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluyski

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22_0523/sluyski_3cw_01a_220523.pdf#page=4> referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44, it seems a valid FAW sequence can only be detected across all four lanes. As a result, it will only be possible to achieve FAW lock on all lanes, or no lanes. There is no case where some lanes can be FAW locked, and others are not. There, therefore, seems no need to have four instances of the Frame alignment word lock state diagram (page 63, line 3). If there were, they wouldn't operate independently on each lane (page 63, line 5), and instead would operate in lock step.

It therefore seems that the four Frame alignment word lock state diagram can be collapsed in to one if the first_pmal and current_pmal variables hold the mapping number found in table 155-7 to achieve faw_valid rather than the lane number. The PMA deskew state diagram can then be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Delete the variables 'pma_alignment_valid', 'all_locked', and PMA_lane_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.

[2] Change the description of the 'faws_lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

faws_lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

[3] Change the description of the faw valid as suggested in my comment about faw valid.

[4] Change the description of the first_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

[6] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane mapping number ...'.

[7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw_counter' description.

[8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.

[9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.

[10] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.

[14] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= TRUE' to the '2_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.

[15] Delete Figure 155-15.

[16] Change the 'Value/Comment' filed of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.

[17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[1] Delete the variables 'pma_alignment_valid', 'all_locked', and PMA_lane_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.

[2] Change the description of the 'faws_lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

faws_lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

[3] Change the description of the faw_valid as per the proposed resolution of comment 287.

[4] Change the description of the first_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 85 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4.2.4	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

[6] Change all instances of '.	. PMA lane number .	' to ' PMA lane mapping number'.
--------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------------

[7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw_counter' description.

[8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.

[9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.

[10] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.

[14] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= TRUE' to the '2_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.

[15] Delete Figure 155-15.

[16] Change the 'Value/Comment' field of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.

[17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 6	4	L 15	# 217				
Huber, The	omas	Nokia	а						
Comment Type TR Comment Status D FAW lock state diagram In the GET_BLOCK state, the variable slip_done should be faw_slip_done Factors Factors Factors									
SuggestedRemedy Change slip_done to faw_slip_done									
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w						

Comment T	51	Comment Status D		faw_slip_
alignm 'faw_sl	ent word (FAW)	assigned to FALSE in the ock state diagram is not d t is set to FALSE before t AW_SLIP state.	efined. Suspect it	should read
Suggested	Remedy			
	e the text 'slip_do ip_done <= FALS	ne <= FALSE' in the GET SE'.	_BLOCK state in F	igure 155-14 to rea
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 19	# 299
Law, David	i	Hewlett Pa	ckard Enterprise	
corresp 'first_pi With th 'GOOD 'faw_m	scription of the 'fi bonds to the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an	Comment Status D rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be Tf	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal	e assignment GOOD_FAW' state _GOOD' and enter these states is and current_pmal
The de corresp 'first_pi With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable	scription of the 'fi ponds to the first mal <= current_p hat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap hatch' is TRUE an es have to be equ	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t nment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal	umber that ie assignment GOOD_FAW' state _GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal
The de corresp 'first_pi With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggested Consid	scription of the 'fi boonds to the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ Remedy	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t nment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62,	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal line 28).
The de corresp 'first_pi With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggested Consid 'GOOD	scription of the 'fi social of the first mal <= current_p hat said, the assig 0_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ <i>Remedy</i> ler removing the a 0_FAW' states. Response	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF ual (see FAW_COMPARE assignment 'first_pmal <= Response Status W	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62,	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal line 28).
The de corresp 'first_pi With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggested 'GOOD Proposed F PROPO	scription of the 'fi socription of the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ <i>Remedy</i> ler removing the a D_FAW' states. Response DSED ACCEPT I	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF ual (see FAW_COMPARE assignment 'first_pmal <= Response Status W	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62, current_pmal' from	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state _GOOD' and _inter these states is and current_pmal line 28).
The de corresp 'first_p With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggested 'GOOD Proposed F PROPO Remov	scription of the 'fi boonds to the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ <i>Remedy</i> ler removing the a D_FAW' states. Response DSED ACCEPT I we the assignmen	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF ual (see FAW_COMPARE assignment 'first_pmal <= <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE.	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62, current_pmal' from nal' from the 'GOO	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state _GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal line 28). In the '2_GOOD' and D_FAW' state.
The de corresp 'first_pl With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggestedu 'GOOD Proposed F PROPO Remov Remov	scription of the 'fi boonds to the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ <i>Remedy</i> ler removing the a D_FAW' states. Response DSED ACCEPT I we the assignmen	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF ual (see FAW_COMPARE assignment 'first_pmal <= <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. t 'first_pmal <= current_pr	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62, current_pmal' from nal' from the 'GOO	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal line 28). In the '2_GOOD' and D_FAW' state.
The de corresp 'first_pl With th 'GOOD 'faw_m variable Suggestedu 'GOOD Proposed F PROPO Remov Remov	scription of the 'fi boonds to the first mal <= current_p lat said, the assig D_FAW' states ap latch' is TRUE an es have to be equ <i>Remedy</i> ler removing the a D_FAW' states. Response DSED ACCEPT I we the assignmen	rst_pmal' variable says it FAW payload' however mal' every cycle through t inment 'first_pmal <= curr pear to be redundant sinc d for 'faw_match' to be TF ual (see FAW_COMPARE assignment 'first_pmal <= <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. t 'first_pmal <= current_pr	, it is updated by th he '2_GOOD' and ' ent_pmal' in the '2_ e the only way to e RUE the first_pmal function, page 62, current_pmal' from nal' from the 'GOO	umber that le assignment GOOD_FAW' state GOOD' and inter these states is and current_pmal line 28). In the '2_GOOD' and D_FAW' state.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 19	# 298	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 P 64	L 24	# 301
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Law, David	ł	Hewlett P	ackard Enterprise	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		state variables	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		state diagrams
There is no definition of th 155-14 'Frame alignment to the 'prev_pmal' variable SuggestedRemedy Delete the assignment ' pr state.	word (FAW) lock state dia e elsewhere in the IEEE P	agram', and there 802.3cw draft.	is no use or reference	the sta OR co will be are ev 21.5.3	te diagram to tr nditions in the 'c executed, but s aluated continuc), on exit the sta	able is set to TRUE on ent ansition to the 'LOCK_INI' open arrow' entry to that si ince 'restart_lock' remains ously whenever any state te diagram will loop back ocked in this loop permane	T' state because 'res tate. The actions in f s set to TRUE, and 'v is evaluating its exit to the 'LOCK_INIT' s	start_lock' is one of the the 'LOCK_INIT' state open arrow' transitions conditions (see
Proposed Response F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						e action 'restart_lock <= F		
C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 22	# 300		restart_lock be _INIT' state.	deleted and a 'UCT' be a	aded from the "15_B	AD' state to the
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		counters			IN PRINCIPLE.		
Subclause 155.4.2.3 'Cou 'Frame alignment word (F.				Add th	e action 'restart	_lock <= FALSE' to the 'Le	OCK_INIT' state.	
SuggestedRemedy	AW) IOCK State diagram d	ises law_bau_co	unt (law vs laws).	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 <i>P</i> 64	L 41	# 302
Suggest that:				Law, David	ł		ackard Enterprise	
				Comment	51	Comment Status D		bucket
[1] The transition from the 'faws bad count = 15'.	"INVALID_FAW" state to	the "15_BAD" stat	te be changed to read	•	ete the line und	er 2_GOOD.		
[2] The transition from the read 'faws_bad_count < 1		the 'COUNT_2' s	tate be changed to	Suggested See co	Remedy omment.			
Proposed Response F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Proposed I	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	- -		
				C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 P 64	L 42	# 303
				Law, David	1	Hewlett P	ackard Enterprise	
				Comment		Comment Status D		
				(FAW)	lock state diagr	ne_mapping' in the 2_GO0 ram should read 'pma_lan page 61, line 34).		
				Suggested	Remedy			
						_lane_mapping <x> <= cur ane_mapping<x> <= curre</x></x>		GOOD state in Figure
				Proposed I	-	Response Status W		

SC 155.4.2.4

Page 87 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 64	L 48	# 304	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 <i>P</i> 66	L 11	# 306
Law, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise		Law, Davi	id	Hewle	tt Packard Enterpr	ise
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D	state diagram
		155-15 is 'PMA deskew sta					S alignment marker loc		
	•	ure 155-14 and PCS to the	lille of Figure 155	-10.					gure 155-14 PMA frame across the PMA service
SuggestedR	•				interfa	ace from the PM	A to the PCS. As a res	ult, it is not availab	le to be used in the figure
Suggest	that:				155-1	6 PCS alignmen	t marker lock state dia	gram.	
(FAW) lo [2] The ti diagram'	 [1] The title of Figure 155-14 should be changed to read 'PMA Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'. [2] The title of Figure 155-16 should be changed to read 'PCS Alignment marker lock state diagram'. Proposed Response Response Status W 			0	SIĞŇ comm SIGN	AL_OK paramet nunicate it across AL_OK paramet	the PMA service interer, is already used as a	IAL.indication prim face. Since 'signal an 'open arrow' ent	ndition to set the itive to OK and therefore _ok', derived from the ry to the 'LOCK_INIT' state 'pma_align_status' can be
•	•	Response Status W					dition from that state.	JCK State diagram,	pina_align_status can be
PROPO	SED ACCEPT.				Suggested	dRemedv			
C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L 8	# 305	[1] Ad	d 'pma_align_st	atus' being 'TRUE' as a	a condition to set th	ne SIGNAL_OK parameter
Law, David		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise			PMA:IS_SIGNA service interface	•	o OK in subclause	155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		state diagrams				us' from the LOCK	_INIT state in figure 155-16.
Alignmei 400GBA	nt marker lock : SE-ZR frames	s of amps_lock and one of a state diagram. Since subcla are not mapped to 16 PCS	ause 155.2.4.3 'G lanes', and sin	MP mapper' says ' ice subclause	Proposed	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status		
	ead 'amps lock	fines amps_lock without an '.	i index, it seems t	nat amps_lock <x></x>	C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	4 P 66	L 18	# 307
SuggestedRo	• –				Law, Davi	id	Hewle	tt Packard Enterpr	ise
	-	<= FALSE' in the LOCK IN	NIT state to read '	'amps lock <= FALSE'.	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	
Proposed Re	• =	Response Status W			Туро,	amps should	be amp based on	counter definition,	see page 62, line 37.
•	SED ACCEPT.				Suggested	dRemedy			
					Chang	ge the action 'arr	ips bad count <= 0' to	read 'amp bad c	ount <= 0' in the

Change the action 'amps_bad_count <= 0' to read 'amp_bad_count <= 0' in the 'GOOD_AM' state of the Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

C/ 155	SC 155.4.2.4	P 66	L 24	# 308	C/ 155	SC '	55.5	P 67	L 3	# 310
Law, David	d	Hewlett Packa	rd Enterprise		Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
Comment The 're state of conditi be exe evalua exit the be lock Suggested Sugge or the	Type T estart_lock' varial diagram to transit ions in the 'open ecuted, but since ated continuously e state diagram v ked in this loop p <i>IRemedy</i> est that either the	Comment Status D ble is set to TRUE on entry to ion to the 'LOCK_INIT' state I arrow' entry to that state. The 'restart_lock' remains set to T whenever any state is evalua vill loop back to the 'LOCK_IN	the '5_BAD' sta because 'restart actions in the 'L 'RUE, and 'open ting its exit conc IIT' state. The st E' be added to th	lock' is one of the OR OCK_INIT' state will arrow' transitions are litions (see 21.5.3), on ate diagram will then he 'LOCK_INIT' state	Comment Strictly protoc Suggested Since sugge read 'T Proposed	Type speaki ol speci Remed the title st that ti he follo Respon OSED	fic 'objec / of subcla ne text 'T wing reg	Comment Status D col agnostic management 'd ts' defined in IEEE Std 802.3 ause 45.2 in IEEE Std 802.3 'he following objects apply' isters apply'. Response Status W	bjects' are defii 3.1 and IEEE S -2022 is 'MDIO	td 802.3.2. Interface registers',
	_ Response	Response Status W			Law, David	ł		Hewlett Pac	kard Enterprise	
	OSED ACCEPT				Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		
C/ 155 ∟aw, David Comment Compl Suggested	<i>Type</i> E lete the line unde	Hewlett Packa Comment Status D	L 39 ard Enterprise	# 309 bucket	'impler <i>Suggested</i> Sugge	nented' <i>Remed</i> st that i IO inter	about th ⁄ n subclai face is p	e 155.5.1 'PCS and PMA ME e MDIO interface. use 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR P rovided' is changed top re	CS and PMA m	anagement' the text 'If
Proposed I	omment. <i>Response</i>	Response Status W			,	, OSED /	ACCEPT	Response Status W		
PROP Cl 155 Dawe, Pie Comment		P 67 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 3	# 488	C/ 155 Dawe, Pie Comment in 45	rs Type	E	P 67 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 9	# 489
	llowing objects a	pply to: objects?			Suggested in Clau			green when line 4 has black	?	
PROP	-					, OSED / should	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. since it's a reference to a c	omplete clause	that is not part of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 Page 89 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

/ 155 SC 1	155.5.1	P 67	L 9	# [22	C/ 155	80	155.5.1	P 67	L 28	# 490
	155.5.1			# 33			155.5.1		L 28	# 490
Aarris, Arthur	_	Cadence Desig	in Systems		Dawe, Pie			Nvidia		
<i>comment Type</i> Insert correct of	_	Comment Status D				egrade		Comment Status D tivate threshold register shou		
uggestedRemed	У							it's for Clause 119 PCS RS(54 in this draft.	44,514) FEC all	
Replace 45 wi	ith a subclus	e number or a cross refere	nce to Clause 4	5	Suggested					
Proposed Respon	se F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			00			graded SER rows		
	external to the	PRINCIPLE. is amendment, so the refer ment document.	ence is highligh	nted in green and does	Proposed PROP	,	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
The only claus	se 45 subcla	uses in 802.3cw are those	with changes fr	om the base standard.	C/ 155	SC	155.5.1	P 67	L 37	# 145
155 SC 1	155.5.1	P 67	L 15	# 144	Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisco System	IS	
	100.0.1			// 144	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		SD FEC error coun
icholl, Gary Cisco Systems <i>omment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>FEC degrade</i> In Table 155-8 there are several MDIO control variables associated with "FEC degraded SER" processing, but I can find no description of FEC degraded SER processing in the draft ? For 400GBASE-R the FEC degrade SER processing is associated with the RS544				R processing in the	Table 155-9 provides FEC coorected and uncorrected codeword counts for the SC-FEC ? Should there be similar monitoring for the SD-FEC ? This is missing in the current draft ? SuggestedRemedy Define FEC monitoring for the SD-FEC.					
FEC and base	ed on monito	ring for RS symbol errors v	ithin a given tir	ne interval (as	Proposed		-			
described in se	ection 119.2	.5.3).	-	·	•		REJECT.	Response Status W		
		g similar for 400GBASE-ZF oring a combination of the			My understanding is that the SD-FEC is not able to count errors but instead outputs the most likely match to one of it's allowed 119-bit codewords. That data is then subject to					
This appears t	to be comple	etely missing from the curre	nt draft.					and correction by the SC-FEC	C decoder, which	n is able to keep a
uggestedRemed	y				count	of corr	ected and	uncorrected errors.		
Define a FEC section 119.2.		nitoring scheme for 400GB BASE-R).	ASE-ZR (simila	ar to what was done in						
roposed Respons PROPOSED A	-	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.								
A contribution	is needed.									

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1

AM lock

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 67	L 37	# 146	
Nicholl, Ga	iry	Cisco Systems			

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 155-9 has a MDIO variable called "SC-FEC AM lock, which referes to a PCS/PMS variable "amps_locked". However when I look in section 155.4.2 (state variables), "amps_lock" is based on locking onto the aignment marker (AM). But then in Figure 155-2 it appears that the "AM detect" block appears after the "SC-FEC decoding" block, so how can "amps_lock" be used to lock onto the SC-FEC frame ? Are the AM frames and the SC-FEC frames aligned, and is the AM used by the SC-FEC decoding block to lock onto the SC-FEC frame .

SuggestedRemedy

This is simply a question for clarification. Depending on the answer changes may or may not be requred in the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The AM field is part of the 400GBASE-ZR frame, which is not aligned with particular SC-FEC blocks, except by the fact that every five SC-FEC blocks become 119 rows in the flow of 400GBASE-ZR frames. This is why we need a PCS AM lock process (Fig 155-16). Other comments pointed out that the PCS AM lock process takes place after the CRC32 error check and marking block, not directly at the output of the SC-FEC decoder.

C/ 155 SC	155.5.1	P 67	L 46	# 406
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		MDIO mapping

The MDIO references for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters only point to the Clause 45 register, which then points you back to Clause 153 for the definition of the counter. In Clause 153 it refers to "fec_align_status" which does not exist in Clause 155.

SuggestedRemedy

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x FEC_corrected_cw_counter

A corrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The FEC_corrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter

An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not completely corrected.

The FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We should make clear that these are SC-FEC codewords.

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x SC-FEC_corrected_cw_counter

A corrected SC-FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The SC-FEC_corrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y SC-FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter

An uncorrected SC-FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including SC-FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 91 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/u	Insatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.5.1	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

completely corrected.

The SC-FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected SC-FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155. Add the required modifications to those clauses in 802.3cw clause 45, with editorial license.

C/ 155 Slavick, J	SC 155.5.1	P 67 Broadcom	L 46	# 407	Proposed Resp PROPOSE
Comment		Comment Status D		MDIO mapping	C/ 155 S
	orrected bit and to use 155 now.	otal bit MDIO registers refer	to Clause 153 or		Nicholl, Gary
Suggester Add ti					Comment Type Table 155-5 in an earlie status varia
See 1	53.2.5.3 for the d	efinition of this counter.			SuggestedRem The descri
	.1.y FEC_correcte 53.2.5.4 for the d	ed_bits_counter			Define a Fi section 119
Bring clause		45.2.1.230 and add approp	priate references	to these new sub-	Proposed Resp PROPOSE
•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			A contributi description
	ne following sub-c .1.x FEC_total_bi				
Pofor	onco 153 2 5 3 fo	r the definition of this count	or.		

Reference 153.2.5.3 for the definition of this counter.

155.5.1.y FEC_corrected_bits_counter

Reference 153.2.5.4 for the definition of this counter.

Bring in 45.2.1.229 and 45.2.1.230 and add appropriate references to these new subclauses, with editorial license.

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 6	7	L 47	# 491
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidi	а		
Comment [·] broken	<i>Type</i> E variable names	Comment Status	D		bucke
<i>Suggested</i> Widen	•	width until they fit			
•	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 6	8	L 1	# 147
Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco	o Syste	ems	
in an e	155-9 mentions t		able "F	0	FEC degrade R", but as pointed out FEC degraded SER"
Suggested	Remedy				
	-	C degraded SER" is	missin	g from the draft.	
	a FEC degrade 119.2.5.3 for 40	0	or 400	GBASE-ZR (simila	ar to what was done in
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
A cont descrip		d. The description w	/ould b	ecome part of the	SC-FEC decoder

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 68	L 27	# 312
Law, Dav	id	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 D
 MDIO mapping

 Register bits
 3.52.3:0 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.25) are PCS lane alignment
 lock status registers, yet they are mapped to PMA lane alignment lock variables
 (faw_lock<3:0>). Similarly, register bit
 3.50.12 is the PCS alignment status, yet it is

 mapped to the PMA alignment status variable (pma align status).
 Status).
 Status).

If there was a 400GBASE-ZR framing issue on a link where the PMA framing was operating correctly, the faws_lock<3:0> bits and the pma_align_status would all be true based on the respective frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagrams, while the PCS would not be aligned based on the alignment marker lock state diagram. In that case, the current register mapping would indicate that all the PCS lanes were aligned, and the overall PCS was aligned, when in fact this is not the case. This would seem to be misleading information to provide in the management registers in such a case.

Further, register 3.400 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.49) through 3.419 are the 'PCS lane mapping registers, lanes 0 through 19' and these registers report the PCS lane number provide by the alignment marker for the respective PMA service interface lane. Table 155-9, however, maps these PCS lane mapping registers to the PAM lane mapping variable 'pma_lane_mapping<x>' output by Figure 155-14, the 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The first 1920 bits of the frame contain alignment markers (AM).' and that 'These are identical to the 16 x 120b markers defined for 400GBASE-R in 119.2.4.4.2.'. Since the 16 different 400GBASE-R PCS lane alignment markers are all placed in a single 400GBASE-ZR alignment marker (see 155.2.4.4.1) it seems that 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes. This seems to be confirmed in subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' which says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes across the PMA service interface, therefore there is no PCS lane alignment lock status nor PCS Lane mapping.

Finally, register bits 3.52.3:0, 3.50.12, and 3.400 through 3.403, which are all PCS register bits defined for MMD 3 (see IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Table 45-1), are mapped to variables found in the PMA. As illustrated in Figure 120A-9 (page 103), MMD 3 does not have access to the PMA (or PMD) as they are in MMD 1.

Based on the above, suggest that two new subclauses are added to say that registers 3.52, 3.53 and 3.400 through 3.403 are not used by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface. Require all PCS lane alignment bits to be set to zero. The content of the PCS lane mapping registers does not need to be defined because their content is only valid when the respective PCS lane alignment bit is set to one. In addition, suggest that the PCS lane alignment status bit be mapped from the 'amps_lock' variable generated by the Figure 155-16, the PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

Suggested changes:

[1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.

[2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

[3] Change the variable 'pma_align_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps_lock'.

[4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.

[5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

[1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.

[2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

[3] Change the variable 'pma_align_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps lock'.

[4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.

[5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 Page 93 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.5.1	P 68	L 30	# 194	C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L 20	# 192
D'Ambros	a, John	Fuuturewei, L	JS Subsidiary of	Huawei	D'Ambros	ia, John	Fuuturewei,	US Subsidiary of	Huawei
400G	there a reference to BASE-ZR PHY	<i>comment Status</i> D a PCS lane alignment s	tatus? There are	<i>MDIO mapping</i> e no PCS lanes in the	These	iated clauses clauses are r	Comment Status A include the 400GBASE-R PCS eferenced via the extender sub		
Suggested					Suggester	-			
		d to be PMA lane alignm	ent status				Clause 119, 120, and all AUI re	elated clauses.	
	OSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ation. For comment resc	olution group (CF	(G) consideration.		PT IN PRINC			
C/ 155	SC 155.7.4.1	P 70	L 24	# 346		ment page 10 //www.ieee802	of 2.org/3/cw/public/22_09/dambrc	osia 3cw 01a 22	209.pdf
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consult	ing/APL Group,	Cisco, Commscope, Ma					
Comment	Type TR C	comment Status D		PICS		ment page 11 //www.ieee802	ot 2.org/3/cw/public/22_09/dambro	osia 3cw 01a 22	209.pdf
this is	where it became app	on the requirements. I a arent. The style of IEEE	SA standards (and IEEE Std 802.3) is		editorial licens			·
		erm "shall". Each PICS /e a PICS. However, 15			C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L 33	# 90
the mo	ost part. Further, look	king at the subclauses, th	ney are largely w	ithout "shalls". Most of	Ran, Ade	е	Cisco		
shall.	They use "is" or othe	descriptive of an implem r descriptive language. of those functional block	The PICS are a	list of the functional	Comment Font s	<i>Type</i> E size mismatch	Comment Status D in "120C"		bucket
particu	Ilar implementation ("	an implementation or, w an implementation shall n carefully considering w	"). What needs t	to happen is that the	Suggestee Redue	5	ch surrounding text, here and e	lsewhere if neces	ssary
interoj job, ar begun	perability, and deleting nd, in my opinion, mea initial working group	g the unnecessary imple ans the draft is not techn ballot. I truly regret havi ple of why we have work	mentation descri nically complete, ng to make a co	iption. This is a big and should not have mment like this, but l		Response POSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Suggested	0	pie of with we have work	ang group ballots	5 11 002.	Corre	ct the font as i	equired with editorial license		
	•	o far from complete that	I cannot propose	e a specific remedy for	C/ 156	SC 156.1	P 73	L 48	# 492
the sy	stematic problem. I c	an suggest that the TF I	ook at each subl	block, determine what	Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
staten Additio	nents in the subclause onally, this will highlig	etermine which parts ma es. Then those shall sta ht where there is implem	tements can be ientation descrip	made as PICS.	<i>Comment</i> Claus	<i>Type</i> E e 116 and the	Comment Status D		bucket
Proposed	Response Re	, restart working group b esponse Status W	allot.		Suggester comm	•			
With e		RINCIPLE. out the suggested reme nem "shall" statements ir		interoperability	,	Response POSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
					Chan	ne "Clause 11	6 and the purpose" to "Clause 1	116. and the purp	oose

Change "Clause 116 and the purpose" to "Clause 116, and the purpose

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156	Page 94 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.1	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 156 SC 156.1	P 92	L 44	# 557	C/ 156	SC 156.1.1	P 74	L 39	# 91
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment T		Comment Status A		
Should be under 156.9	9.10				t error ratio (BE than 1.25 × 10	R) when processed by the 4	00GBASE-ZR P	MA (Clause 155) shall
SuggestedRemedy				Deless	ulali 1.23 ^ 10	-2		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W			BER ca		is not bits but samples that d at this interface before SD gless.		
	o locations outside the same	subclause for as	Iditional information	Maybe	the intent was a	after the SD-FEC decoder (which is in the PC	S)?
see 156.9.3 as an exa		subciause ioi ac		Perhap	s the PMD/PM	A BER should not be specifi	ed for this PHY.	
				SuggestedF				
					-	s requirement and defining o	only the PCS outp	ut frame loss ratio.
				Otherwi	ise, rewrite to c	reate a well-defined require	ment.	
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIPI	-E.		
				Change	e the title of 156	5.1.1 to "Frame loss ratio"		
				Change	e the 1st paragr	aph of 156.1.1 to:		
						FLR), (see 1.4.275) after pro for 64-octet frames with a m		
				Delete t	the 2nd paragra	aph.		
				In claus	se 155 add add	itional language to clarify the	e degrade functior	n and SER target.
				With ed	ditorial license.			
				C/ 156	SC 156.1.1	P 74	L 39	# 493
				Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia		
				Comment T PMA (C	<i>Type</i> E Clause 155)	Comment Status A		
				SuggestedF PMA (1	•			
				Response	Y PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C _E.		
					sponse to comn			
				200100				

9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

SC 156.1.1

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.1.1	P 74	L 41	# 313	C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 3	# 92
aw, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Ran, Adee	Cisco		
omment Type T Subclause '156.1.1 Bit en gap when additionally pro (Clause 155)' seems to in correction (CFEC) code c SD-FEC' to quote subclau	cessed by the CFEC (Cla nply a function but isn't CF onsisting of an inner SC-F	use 155).'. The tex EC ' a concater	kt ' the CFEC nated forward error	Comment Type T (The service interface of thi inputs and outputs are ana SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the text without ref	log signals, not streams	of discrete symb	ols.
SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the text ' fo additionally processed by octet frames with a minim Response	the CFEC (Clause 155).'	should be change	d to read ' ' for 64-	Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN I Review supporting present		lution group (CR0	G) consideration.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 11	# 93
See response to commen	t 91.			Ran, Adee Comment Type E (Cisco Comment Status D		
Comment Type E Suggest that ' frames w minimum interpacket'. SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response / ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to commen	Response Status C	.' should read ' i	rames with a	why "in which case"? SuggestedRemedy change "in which case" to Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN I Review supporting present	esponse Status W PRINCIPLE.	lution group (CR0	G) consideration.
Cl 156 SC 156.2 Law, David Comment Type E Suggest that ' PMA enti	Comment Status D	L 52 ard Enterprise	# 315				
read ' PMA sublayer that SuggestedRemedy							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.2 Page 96 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 13	# 94	C/ 156	SC 156.2	P 75	L 14	# 316
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Law, David	b	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
levels), not "analog strea	MA sends digital symbols of ams" (which is an undefine which contains very similar	d term).	npled) from a set of 4	adapt subcla convei	between the Pouse 155.3.3.4 ted to four ana	unctions within the PMA' says CS layer digital symbols to and '16QAM encode and signal dri log signals' and that 'The at	d from the four ar ivers' says that ' nalog signals are	nalog signals' and . stream of symbols is sent to the
uggestedRemedy						sublayer over the PMD:IS_UN 3.request sublayer signals.'.		
Change "In the transmit PMD" to	direction, the PMA continu	ously sends four	analog streams to the	service		set of analogue signals. Finall		
"In the transmit direction to the PMD". Change "The PMD then	, the PMA continuously se converts these four analog		of quaternary symbols	the tra binary	nsmit direction values of 3, 1,	ysical Medium Dependent (PM , the PMA continuously sends -1, and -3 using the PMD:IS_I binary values'.	four analog strea	ams to the PMD wit
to "The PMD then converts	these streams of symbols	"		Suggestea	Remedy			
	,	•				bclause 156.2 (page 75, line 1		
Apply in 156.5.2, if it is r						3, 1, -1, and -3 using the' sh values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 usin		I to read ' X and Y
oposed Response	Response Status W			polariz			g the	
PROPOSED ACCEPT II Review supporting prese	N PRINCIPLE. entation, for comment reso	ution group (CR	G) consideration.	[2] Suggest that in subclause 156.5.2 (page 77, line 39) the text ' X and Y polarizations with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.' should be changed to read ' X and Y polarizations with the values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.'.				
				Proposed PROP		Response Status W		
				Review	v supporting pr	esentation, for comment resol	ution group (CRC	G) consideration.
				C/ 156	SC 156.2	P 75	L 14	# 95
				Ran, Adee)	Cisco		
				<i>Comment</i> The va	<i>Type</i> T alues listed are	Comment Status D not binary.		
				Also a	pplies in 156.5	.2		
				Suggested Delete	<i>Remedy</i> "binary".			
				Proposed PROP	•	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

Page 97 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 156 SC 156	6.2 P 75	L 14	# 494	C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 22	# 495		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
<i>Comment Type</i> E 3, 1, -1, and -3	Comment Status D			Comment Type E "the variable SIGNAL_DE say not variable	Comment Status D TECT parameter": 156.5	.4 says it's a para	meter, this and that		
SuggestedRemedy Please count for and 156.5.3	wards in the usual way: -3, -1,	1, and 3, and in next	paragraph and 156.5.2	SuggestedRemedy Delete variable					
Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	Response Status W	!		Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.				
Review supportir	ng presentation, for comment i	resolution group (CRC	G) consideration.	See response to commen	t 318				
C/ 156 SC 150	5.2 P 75	L 18	# 96	C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 26	# 97		
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee	Cisco				
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D				
in the PMA). "Analog streams	re the PMD sends analog sign " is an undefined term and is r	ses (previous	The NOTE about signal detect is out of place since the value is always OK. "sufficient light" and "meeting the BER" are irrelevant for this PMD, since signal detect is not a function of light intensity and the PMD does not detect bits.						
instances of this	term have been removed by 8	302.3dc and earlier re	vision projects).	SuggestedRemedy					
Also applies to 1	56.5.3 which contains very sin	nilar text.		Delete the NOTE.					
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤				
	D continuously sends four ana ved from the MDI"	log streams to the PM	/A, corresponding to	PROPOSED REJECT.					
to "the DMD centin	uqualy condo four onclos cism	ale to the DNA corre	nonding to the entired	Same note is in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 clause 154 and was specifically added to clarity					
signal received f	uously sends four analog signation rom the MDI".	ais to the FIVIA, corres	sponding to the optical	C/ 156 SC 156.2	P 75	L 26	# 496		
Proposed Response	Response Status W	1		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
• •	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Comment Type T	Comment Status D				
Review supportir	ng presentation, for comment i	resolution group (CR0	G) consideration.	"poor quality link to provid relevant if the parameter		SNAL_DETECT =	OK": this note isn't		
				SuggestedRemedy					
				Change the note to explai	n the situation				
				Proposed Response F	Response Status W				
				PROPOSED REJECT.					
				FROFOSED REJECT.					

C/ 156 SC 156.3.1	P 75	L 35	# 497	C/ 156 SC 156.3	.2 P 75	L 44	# 193
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			D'Ambrosia, John	Fuuturew	ei, US Subsidiary c	of Huawei
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
2048 bit times					kew constraints need to be re y, but current pointer is to 80		
SuggestedRemedy 8192 bit times				SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			Revisit skew const The diagram refere	aints as needed. nce should be 116-4.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	'LE.			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	n 2048 bit times (4 pause_qua use quanta or 20.48 ns)"	anta or 20.48 ns)	" to "no more than	PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	,		"	Review supporting	presentation, for comment re	esolution group (CF	RG) consideration.
C/ 156 SC 156.3.2		L 41	# 98	C/ 156 SC 156.3	.2 P 75	L 44	# 99
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee	Cisco		
comment Type T	Comment Status D						
Louisnoot that alkowing	visition connet aviat at CD2 (F	NAD convice inter	face) because the	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
PCS and PMA are de separate logic. This m	ariation cannot exist at SP2 (F fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o	k domain, not as	multiple lanes with		to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The	e diagram for skew	points for 400GBASE-I
PCS and PMA are det	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o	k domain, not as	multiple lanes with	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The	-	points for 400GBASE-F
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e Is skew variation (as c	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o	k domain, not as done in other cas	multiple lanes with ses where skew	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5.	-	points for 400GBASE-f
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e Is skew variation (as c output?	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) releva	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig	OGBASE-R PHYs.	
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e Is skew variation (as c output?	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2).	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig	OGBASE-R PHYs.	
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) releva	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W	OGBASE-R PHYs.	
PCS and PMA are det separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy	fined as operating in one cloc nay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) releva	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6
PCS and PMA are det separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that t	fined as operating in one cloc hay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) releva riation between SP2 and SP3 that there is no skew variation reen the PMDs isn't relevant, o	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat n at TP2.	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD tion need not be	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W :PT IN PRINCIPLE.	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6
PCS and PMA are det separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that the If skew variation betwy variation at SP3 and S	fined as operating in one cloc hay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) releva riation between SP2 and SP3 that there is no skew variation reen the PMDs isn't relevant, o	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat n at TP2.	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD tion need not be	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W :PT IN PRINCIPLE.	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6
PCS and PMA are det separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that the If skew variation betwy variation at SP3 and S	fined as operating in one cloc hay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) relevan riation between SP2 and SP3 that there is no skew variation reen the PMDs isn't relevant, of SP4, as in 140.3.2. <i>Response Status</i> W	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat n at TP2.	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD tion need not be	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W :PT IN PRINCIPLE.	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that f If skew variation betwy variation at SP3 and S Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	fined as operating in one cloc hay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) relevan riation between SP2 and SP3 that there is no skew variation reen the PMDs isn't relevant, of SP4, as in 140.3.2. <i>Response Status</i> W	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat n at TP2. change also the t	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD tion need not be	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W :PT IN PRINCIPLE.	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6
PCS and PMA are dei separate logic. This m variation can't exist, e. Is skew variation (as c output? If there is no skew var specified at all. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that f If skew variation betwy variation at SP3 and S Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	fined as operating in one cloc hay be worth mentioning (as o .g. 140.3.2). opposed to static skew) relevan riation between SP2 and SP3 that there is no skew variation reen the PMDs isn't relevant, of SP4, as in 140.3.2. <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE.	k domain, not as done in other cas ant on a single-la then skew variat n at TP2. change also the t	multiple lanes with ses where skew ne, but coherent, PMD tion need not be	Figure 80-8 applies PHYs is in Figure 1 Also, there SP0 an SuggestedRemedy Change "at the poi shown in Figure 11 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The 16–5. d SP7 are not defined for 400 nts SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig 6–5". <i>Response Status</i> W :PT IN PRINCIPLE.	OGBASE-R PHYs. ure 80-8" to "at the	points SP1 to SP6

C/ 156 SC 156.3.2

C/ 156	SC 156.3.2	P 75	L 46	# 317

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Subclause 156.3.2 'Skew constraints' says that 'The Skew (relative delay) between the lanes is kept within limits so that the information on the FEC lanes can be reassembled by the FEC.'. On review of Clause 155, 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to mention FEC lanes anywhere else. Further, subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. As far as I can see, the 8-bit PMA service interface carries an 8-bit word that describes an DP-16QAM symbols based on the mapping defined in Table 155-2. As a result, the only lanes seem to be the PMD service interface which has four lanes which carry four analogue streams representing the in-phase and quadrature-phase component of the two polarizations (page 75, line 13).

Table 156-6 specifies a maximum polarization skew of 5 ps (page 82, line 45) and a maximum quadrature skew is 0.75 ps (page 83, line 6). Subclause 156.3.2, however, says The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than 54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 is limited to 600 ps'. I suspect that the former values are correct. And based on this, assuming no retiming in the PMD, the other values in subclause 156.3.2 don't seem correct either.

SuggestedRemedy

Since 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to support FEC lanes, and says it doesn't support PCS lanes, suggest that subclause 156.3.2 is deleted.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 156	SC 156.3.2	P 75	L 52	# 498
Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		
		/ limits plausible? What doe eeds new numbers.	s the PMA need?	This is a hyb

SuggestedRemedy

Revise to limits that are appropriate to DP-16PAM technology and the channel.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 156	SC 156.4	P 76	L 38	#	318
Law, David		Hewlett Packard I	Enterprise		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There is no description of how the PMD_global_signal_detect variable, defined in subclause 156.4, should be driven. Subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that SIGNAL_DETECT is set to a fixed OK value, hence there is in effect no signal detect to report in the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD_global_signal_detect row in Table 156-3 (page 76, line 38) should be deleted. [2] A change to subclause 45.2.1.9.7 'Global PMD receive signal detect (1.10.0)' be added to the draft that adds 'This bit is not supported by the 400GBASE-ZR PMDs.' to subclause 45.2.1.9.7.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Current wording aligns with IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 154.4 and 802.3db D3.2 subclause 167.4, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

hybrid of

C/ 156 SC 156.4

C/ 156 SC 156.4	P 76 L 40	# 319	C/ 156	SC 156.4	P 79	L 52	# 324
_aw, David	Hewlett Packard Enterprise		Law, David	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucke
	es to describe the use of the variables Tx_inde d Rx_index_ability_0 to Rx_index_ability_63 d				variable 'Rx_optical_frequency cal channel index', see page		on page 81 line 44
in the draft. What happ	ens if a value is selected in Tx optical channel	index or Rx optical	Suggestea		+ + •		
ability 0 to Tx index abi	(page 76, line 25) corresponding to an index v lity 63 or Rx index ability 0 to Rx index ability 6	alue in the TX index		omment.			
respectively, that is fals	se. Is the write to the Tx optical channel index	or Rx optical channel	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	and operation continues on the existing value? Ismission of reception ceases, as the index va			•	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy			Impler	nent suggested	remedies with editorial licens	e	
and the Rx_optical_cha	aragraph of 164.5, that already discusses Tx_ annel_index be update the describe how Tx_o	otical_channel_index	C/ 156	SC 156.4	P 79	L 53	# 326
	annel_index interacts with the Tx_index_ability d Rx_index_ability_0 to Rx_index_ability_63 v		Law, David	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
· _		anables.	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		bucke
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		The re Tx_R)	ference to the 	variable 'Tx_Rx_diff_opt_freq_ _ability', see page 76, line 44.	ability' should be	to
At new sentence at the	end of 45.2.1.150.1 and 45.2.1.154.2		Suggestea	Remedy			
			See co	omment.			
ability registers. A PMA	el indices of the PMA/PMD are advertised in the VPMD may ignore writes to the PMA/PMD chan not advertised in the PMA/PMD channel abilit	annel index bits that	Proposed PROP	,	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
With editorial license.			Impler	nent suggested	remedies with editorial licens	e	
C/ 156 SC 156.4	P 79 L 52	# 325	C/ 156	SC 156.5.1	P 77	L 18	# 320
Law, David	Hewlett Packard Enterprise		Law, David	b	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D	bucket	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
	the variable 'Tx_optical_frequency_index' in th	is subclause should			5.4 'PMD global signal detect		
	nel_index', see page 76, line 22.				shall set the state of the SIGN n correct to show the SIGNAL		
SuggestedRemedy			receive	er' block in Figu	ure 156-2 'Block diagram for 4	00GBASE-ZR tran	nsmit/receive paths'.
See comment.			Suggestea	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status W		Sugge	st that SIGNAL	_DETECT be removed from F	Figure 156-2.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT			Proposed	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
Implement suggested r	remedies with editorial license		PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
			See re	sponse to com	ment 318		
				•			

C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 Page 101 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

156 SC 156.5.1	P 77	L 30	# 499		C/ 156	SC 156.5.2	P 77	L 35	# 321
we, Piers	Nvidia				Law, David			kard Enterprise	
nment Type E blank line(s)	Comment Status D			bucket	Comment	Type E C	<i>comment Status</i> D d by the PMD service in		s, messages are
igestedRemedy Remove posed Response	Response Status W				passed PMD to primitiv subcla	d across the PMD ser o the PMA. In additio ves. In the case of the	rvice interface, either fr n, abstract service inter e inter-sublayer service I by IEEE P802.3cw, th	om the PMA to th rfaces pass data i e interface primitiv	e PMD or from the n the parameters of es defined in
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggested	Remedy			
Remove any blank line	s with editorial license				Sugge	st:			
Similarly for 156.5.3. gestedRemedy					(2) The PMD T the PM PMD II (2) The from th messa accord shall c passed PMD II	PMD service interface S_UNITDATA_3.requered Transmit function shated ID service interface in S_UNITDATA_0.requered text ' The PMD Reconstructure text ' The PMD Reconstructure model into four analoging ges PMD:IS_UNITD/ ing' (page 77, line convert the composited across the PMD service interface interface across the PMD service interface interface interface across the PMD service interface interface interface across the PMD service interface interface interface interface interface across the PMD service interface interf	n the tx_symbol paramo uest to PMD:IS_UNITD	UNITDATA_0.requine 35) should be og streams from the eters of the ATA_3.request provert the composite to the PMD service 10:IS_UNITDATA 10 to read 'The PM 1 from the MDI into MA in the rx_symbol	Jest to changed to read ' The ne PMA passed across imitives into'. optical signal received the interface using the _3.indication, all D Receive function o four analog streams pol parameters of the
					PMD:I subcla passed	S_UNITDATA_0.requ use 155.3.3.4 (page a d across the PMD set	nals are sent to the 400 Jest to PMD:IS_UNITD 58, line 33) is changed rvice interface to the PI Jest to PMD:IS_UNITD	ATA_3.request su to read 'The four MD in the tx_symb	Iblayer signals.' in analog signals are ool parameters of the
					of the 4 PMD:I3 155.3.3 receive rx_sym	400GBASE-ZR PMD S_UNITDATA_0.indio 3.5 (page 58, line 47) ed by the PMD are pa	signals IX, QX, IY, and and input to the 400GE cation to PMD:IS_UNIT is changed to read 'Fc assed across the PMD e PMD:IS_UNITDATA_ cation primitives.	BASE-ZR PMA ov DATA_3.indicatio our coherent signa service interface f	er the n.' in subclause ls IX, QX, IY, and QY
					Proposed I PROP	Response Re OSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.		
							ation, for comment reso	olution group (CR0	G) consideration.

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 156
 Page 102 of 128

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 156.5.2
 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 156.5.2
 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

	P 77	L 39	# 218	C/ 156 SC 156	6.5.2	P 77	L 41	# 322
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Law, David		Hewlett Packar	d Enterprise	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Г	Comment Status D		bucke
"Binary values 3, 1, -1,	-3" doesn't seem to be corre	ect since there are	e four values listed.			transmit function' says 'The		
SuggestedRemedy						ed in Table 155–2.'. Is this co e 128-bit digital code word fro		
Change "binary values"	to "symbol values".					-phase (Q) components of th		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Change referenc	ce if requ	lired.		
Review supporting pres	entation, for comment resolu	ution aroun (CRG) consideration	Proposed Response		Response Status W		
		0 1 (,	PROPOSED AC		N PRINCIPLE.		
CI 156 SC 156.5.2	P 77	L 40	# 219	See response to	comme	nt 219		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			·				
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		bucket	C/ 156 SC 156	6.5.4	P 78	L 3	# 501
Table 155-2 is mapping	the value of a pair of FEC-e	encoded bits to th	e symbol values.	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
SuggestedRemedy				о (т –	-	0		
euggeeteurterneuy				Comment Type E	_	Comment Status D		
Change the last senten	ce of the paragraph to read '	"The mapping of	FEC bits to symbol	No SD!	=	Comment Status D		
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T	able 155-2."	"The mapping of	FEC bits to symbol	21	-	Comment Status D		
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response	able 155-2." Response Status W	"The mapping of	FEC bits to symbol	No SD!	-	Comment Status D		
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T	able 155-2." Response Status W	"The mapping of	FEC bits to symbol	No SD!	_	Response Status W		
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	able 155-2." Response Status W	"The mapping of <i>L</i> 40	FEC bits to symbol # 500	No SD! SuggestedRemedy	-			
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 156 SC 156.5.2	able 155-2." Response Status W		·	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	1	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers	able 155-2." Response Status W P 77		·	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.		1	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	able 155-2." Response Status W P 77 Nvidia	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	i	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The mapping of the ana	able 155-2." Response Status W P 77 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	1	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	able 155-2." Response Status W P 77 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	1	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The mapping of the ana SuggestedRemedy	Table 155-2." <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 77 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D alog values to the symbol and	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	1	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The mapping of the ana	Table 155-2." <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 77 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D alog values to the symbol and <i>Response Status</i> W	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	i	
Change the last senten amplitudes is listed in T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 156 SC 156.5.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The mapping of the ana SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Table 155-2." <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 77 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D alog values to the symbol and <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	L 40	# 500 bucket	No SD! SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	JECT.	Response Status W	I	

C/ 156 SC 156.5.4

C/ 156 SC 156.6	P 78	L 49	# 323	C/ 156	SC 156.6	P 79	L 38	# 503
Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enterprise		Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Subclause 156.6 'The DWD associated with the 400GBA	SE-ZR PMD, over whic	h the PHY opera	ites at a single optical	Comment T blank li	ine	Comment Status D		bucke
frequency'. Dpoesn't the F Tx Rx different optical chanr	PHY to operate over two nel ability is true?	o different optical	frequencies when the	Suggested	Remeay			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
Suggest that the text ' ove subclause 156.6 be changed						T IN PRINCIPLE.		
frequency'.				Remov	e any blank lin	es with editorial license		
Response Re. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C			C/ 156	SC 156.6	P 79	L 48	# 101
ACCEPT IN FRINCIPLE.				Ran, Adee		Cisco		
.				Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		bucke
Change to "over which the I to by its associated wavelen						not be used as abbreviatior d register names, in diagra		
C/ 156 SC 156.6	P 79	L 10	# 328	Suggested	Remedy			
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell		Change	e to "transmitte	r" and "receiver" here and i	n other places as a	appropriate.
51	omment Status R			Proposed F	•	Response Status W		
Comment Type ER Co It would be helpful on figure		_0, TP2_n, TP3_(), and TP3_n		•	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
21	156-3 to also add TP2_	_0, TP2_n, TP3_(), and TP3_n	PROP	OSED ACCEP		"receiver" through	the document. With
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0,	156-3 to also add TP2_	_0, TP2_n, TP3_(D, and TP3_n	PROP	OSED ACCEP ⁻ e "Tx" to "trans	T IN PRINCIPLE.	"receiver" through	
It would be helpful on figure suggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0,	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n	_0, TP2_n, TP3_0	D, and TP3_n	PROPO Change editoria C/ 156	OSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79	-	the document. With # 504
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re REJECT.	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U			PROPO Changu editoria C/ 156 Dawe, Pier	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia	-	# 504
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar	re included in the		PROPO Chang editoria C/ 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T	OSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79	L 52	# 504
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re. REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connec matches same 100ZR figure	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar	re included in the		PROPO Changu editoria C/ 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D _index Tx_optical_frequen	L 52	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re- REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connec matches same 100ZR figure	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar in IEEE Std 802.3-202	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Change editoria C/ 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables	OSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia Comment Status D _index Tx_optical_frequen	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connect matches same 100ZR figure C/ 156 SC 156.6 Dawe, Piers	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria C/ 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_ir	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D _index Tx_optical_frequen	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure suggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, sesponse Resonance REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connect matches same 100ZR figure 5/ 156 SC 156.6 Dawe, Piers	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n sponse Status U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar e in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79 Nvidia	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria CI 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt Proposed F	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_in Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia Comment Status D _index Tx_optical_frequen ndex Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ Response Status W	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure suggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, response Response R	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n sponse Status U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar e in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79 Nvidia	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria CI 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt Proposed F	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_in Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D _index Tx_optical_frequen	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re. REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connect matches same 100ZR figure C/ 156 SC 156.6 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Co	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n sponse Status U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar e in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79 Nvidia	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria CI 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt Proposed F PROPO	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_in Response DSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia Comment Status D _index Tx_optical_frequen ndex Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan_ Response Status W	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re. REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connec matches same 100ZR figure C/ 156 SC 156.6 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Co misuse of TP2 SuggestedRemedy	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n sponse Status U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar e in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79 Nvidia	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria CI 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt Proposed F PROPO	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_in Response DSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia Comment Status D _index Tx_optical_frequen ndex Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability
It would be helpful on figure SuggestedRemedy add TP2_0, TP2_n, TP3_0, Response Re. REJECT. The 0 and n-1 PMDs connec matches same 100ZR figure Cl 156 SC 156.6 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Co misuse of TP2 SuggestedRemedy	156-3 to also add TP2_ and TP3_n <i>sponse Status</i> U cting to TP2 and TP3 ar in IEEE Std 802.3-202 <i>P</i> 79 Nvidia comment Status R	re included in the 2 154.6	diagram. Figure	PROPO Chang editoria CI 156 Dawe, Pier Comment T Rx_opt Suggested Tables Rx_opt Proposed F PROPO	DSED ACCEP e "Tx" to "trans al license. SC 156.6 rs Type E tical_frequency Remedy 156-2, 3 and a tical_channel_in Response DSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. mitter" and change "Rx" to P 79 Nvidia Comment Status D _index Tx_optical_frequen ndex Tx_Rx_diff_opt_chan Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.	L 52 cy_index Tx_Rx_c	# <u>504</u> bucke diff_opt_freq_ability

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 156

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 156.6

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 156.6
 SC
 156.6

Page 1 9/29/20

Page 104 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

Comment Type E Comment Status R Ind defined SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C REJECT. Risdefined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed C Yes: 16 No: 2 C/ C/ 156 S C 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Chase i, Tables 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Chase i, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell SuggestedRemedy Please add reference to 156.9.24 Response Response Status C A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed C Yes: 16 No: 2 C/ 156 S C 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" Aso in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space)	# 334	#	L 22	P 84	SC 156.7	C/ 156		# 505	L 1	P 80	SC 156.6	C/ 156
blank lines 1 to 3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Proposed Response Status W Proposed Response Status W Proposed Response Status W Proposed Response Status V SuggestedRemedy Notia Dawe, Piers Notia SuggestedRemedy P64 Response Response Status SuggestedRemedy Please add reference to 156.9.24 Response Status C		1	antum/Marvell	Ghiasi Quar		Ghiasi, Ali				Nvidia	iers	Dawe, Pie
suggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license Response Response Status W Cl 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 7 # [506] Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status SuggestedRemedy Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Status Ci 156.9	is not clear	d error rate, it	t the requried er	rate 26 dB OSNR and meet	eiver must tolera	The rec	bucket			Comment Status D		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license C/I 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 7 # 506 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Clife SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 3 Comment Type E Comment Status R Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell SuggestedRemedy Seponse Response Status C Response Response Status R REJECT. fits defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154.3 in IEEE Stid 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy Please add reference to 156.9.24 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed T 56.9 P 80 L 28 S07 Ves: 16 No: 2 C/I 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 S07 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Camment Status A Yes: 16 No: 2 C/I 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 S07 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>emedy</td> <td>Suggested</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>edRemedy</td> <td>Suggested</td>					emedy	Suggested					edRemedy	Suggested
Remove any blank lines with editorial license Cl 156 SC 156.5 P 80 L 7 # 506 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Somment Status R Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status R Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Receiver OSNR tolerance is measured without line impairments, see 156.9.2 Cl 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 Chiasi Quantum/Marvell SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Status R REJECT. Response Status C Response Status C Response Status C I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Piess and reference to 156.9.24 Response Status C Yes: 16 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 E07 No: 2 Cl 156 SC 156.7 P 82 L 23 Status PC Cl 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 E07 All specifications in Tables 156-7 S and -9 including Receive OSNR tolerance is neasured with multiple clauses in IEE 2022. Cl 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 E07 All specifications in Tables 156-7 S and -9 including Receive OSNR tolerance is consistent with multiple clauses in IEE						Response				,	•	•
C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 7 # 506 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 C/ SuggestedRemedy f not defined C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 C/ Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 C/ Response Response Status C Response Tis additional frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Sta 802.3-2022 Response Response Response Status C A straw poll was taken: Isupport rejection of comment #506 as proposed Yes: 16 No: 2 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Cason P 82 L 23 S C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Suggested/Remedy Cisoo Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Change to "±20 ppm" Suggested/Remedy Change										es with editorial license	ove any blank line	Remov
Comment Type E Comment Status R f not defined Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Response Response Status C REJECT. fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure Suggested/Remedy A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed C Yes: 16 No: 2 C/1 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 C/1 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Circle Circle Circle Circle Circle More 2 Circle Circle Circle Circle Circle Circle Suggested/Remedy Please add reference to 156.9.24 Response Response Status C A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Circle No: 2 C/1 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 Circle Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Circle Suggested/Remedy Change to "±20 ppm" Suggested/Remedy Chang								# 506	L 7	P 80	SC 156.6	C/ 156
f not defined Ghiasi, All Ghiasi Quantum/marveil SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R Response Response Status C Receive OSNR tolerance is not defined at point till one reads section 156.9. REJECT. fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 C Response Response Status C A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Yes: 16 No: 2 C/ 156 SC 156.5 P 80 L 28 # 507 Z/ 156 SC 156.5 P 80 L 28 # 507 Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A "*-20ppm" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Comment Status C Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A "*-20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to *±20 ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Change to *±20 ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Change to *±20 ppm"	# 333	#	L 24	P 84	SC 156.7	C/ 156				Nvidia	iers	Jawe, Pie
SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C REJECT. fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Yes: 16 Yes: 16 No: 2 Comment Status R 20156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 20156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 2016 Sc 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 2017 SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Comment Status C Receive OSNR tolerance is not defined at point till one reads section 156.9 SuggestedRemedy Please add reference to 156.9.24 Response Status C Response Response Status C Response Status C No: 2 Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 2022. Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 S SuggestedRemedy Source Comment Status A "+- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) Response Response Status C <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>antum/Marvell</td> <td>Ghiasi Quar</td> <td></td> <td>Ghiasi, Ali</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Comment Status R</td> <td></td> <td></td>		I	antum/Marvell	Ghiasi Quar		Ghiasi, Ali				Comment Status R		
Response Response Status C REJECT. fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed C A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Vi 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Also in Table 156-7 Also in Table 156-7 Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R "+/- 20ppm" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) Response Status C	24	section 156.9.	ll one reads sect		1							
fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 A straw poll was taken: I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Yes: 16 No: 2 // 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R square or round brackets SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C REJECT. All specifications in Tables 156-7, -8 and -9 including Receive OSNR tolera in 156.9 which is after the tables but consistent with multiple clauses in IEE 2022. Cl 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				o 156.9.24	-					Response Status C		
Yes: 16 No: 2 Yes: 16 Yes: 16<				, bles 156-7, -8 and -9 includi	fications in Tabl	, REJEC All spec in 156.9	th figure	is consistent w	uencies fi." and	2.3-2022 . n:	3 in IEEE Std 802 aw poll was taker	154-3 A strav
No: 2 Cl 156 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia **/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 Comment Type E Comment Status R square or round brackets **/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy **/- 20 ppm" (symbol and space) **/- 20 ppm" (symbol and space)	[#] 102	#	L 23	P 82	SC 156.7.1	C/ 156				comment #506 as proposed	port rejection of c	I supp
C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 "+/- 20ppm" Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R square or round brackets SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					vpe E	,						
Comment Type E Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy square or round brackets Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status COMMENT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					pm"	"+/- 20		# 507	L 28			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				symbol and space)	•						t Type E	Comment
				•	T IN PRINCIPLE						edRemedy	Suggested
REJECT.				through the document.		Ū.				Response Status C		Response REJE(
Use of [] brakets consistent with Table 154-5 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 With editorial license.					torial license.	With ec)22	EE Std 802.3-20	sistent with Table 154-5 in IEE	of [] brakets cons	Use of
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 156	Page 105 of 128		450			onoral	- /oditori - l					

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.7.1 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 23	# 509	C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 30	# 353
Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia			Maniloff, Er	ic	Ciena		
Comment T Why +/-	<i>ype</i> E -20 ppm?	Comment Status R				Adjacent cha	Comment Status D nnel crosstalk penalty requir		
SuggestedF	Remedy						ensure this, adjustable pow	ver must be specif	ied.
	-				Suggested	-			
Response		Response Status C					ble Range of Tx Output Pov	ver" with Min limite	ed to -13 to -9 dBm
REJEC					Proposed R PROPC		Response Status W I IN PRINCIPLE.		
https://v	www.ieee802.or	pted baseline from page 6 of g/3/cn/public/19_01/lyubomir stification for a change.		19.pdf. There was no	Review	supporting pre	esentation, for comment res	olution group (CR	G) consideration.
- · ·	, ,	0			C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 30	# 354
C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 23	# 508	Maniloff, Er	ic	Ciena		
Dawe, Piers	S	Nvidia			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		
Comment T		Comment Status R			When a	dding the Tx o	output power tuning, its accu	iracy should be de	fined as well
Why 59	9.84375?				Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedF 59.8437					Add an Max = ´		it output power control abso	lute accuracy" wit	h Min = -1.0 dB an
Response REJEC ⁻	т.	Response Status C			Proposed R PROPC	•	Response Status W		
This is a		per adopted baseline from pa			Review	supporting pre	esentation, for comment res	olution group (CR	G) consideration.
	www.ieee802.or	g/3/cn/public/19_01/lyubomir	sky_3cn_01b_01	19.pdf	C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 35	# 511
https://v									
· ·	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 27	# 510	Dawe, Pier	6	Nvidia		
C/ 156		P 82 Nvidia	L 27	# 510	Dawe, Piers Comment T		Nvidia Comment Status A		
Cl 156 Dawe, Piers Comment T	s Type E	Nvidia Comment Status R	L 27	# 510	,	ype E			
Cl 156 Dawe, Piers Comment T	s	Nvidia Comment Status R	L 27	# 510	Comment T	ype E bll-Off			
Cl 156 Dawe, Piers Comment T Average	s <i>Type E</i> e channel outpu	Nvidia Comment Status R	L 27	# 510	Comment T RRC R	ype E bll-Off			
Cl 156 Dawe, Piers Comment T Average SuggestedF Average	s Type E e channel outpu Re <i>medy</i> e launch power	Nvidia Comment Status R			Comment T RRC R Suggestedf ? Response	ype E bil-Off Remedy	Comment Status A		
Cl 156 Dawe, Piers Comment T Average SuggestedF Average	s Type E e channel outpu Re <i>medy</i> e launch power	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> R It power as for single-wavelength dup			Comment T RRC R Suggestedf ? Response	ype E bll-Off	Comment Status A		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gen	C/ 156	Page 106 of 128	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.7.1	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 35	# 329		C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 8	2 L 49	# 512
Ghiasi, Al	i	Ghiasi Qua	intum/Marvell			Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia	a	
<i>Comment</i> RRC i		Comment Status A 1st time in table 156-6 with	not reference			Comment T I-Q (ma	<i>Type</i> E ax instantaneou	<i>Comment Status</i> s), I-Q (mean)	Α	
Suggested Add re	dRemedy eference to 156.	9.4				Suggested ?	Remedy			
Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.				Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status _E.	С	
See re	esponse to com	ment 103				See re	sponses to com	ment 350 and 351		
C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 35	# 103		C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 8	2 L 49	# 350
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco				Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena	a	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α	
"RRC	Roll-Off" is not a	a unit. It is unclear what it m	eans in this contex	t.		I-Q is a	an insufficient na	ame for this spec		
Simila	arly for the (min)	row.				Suggested	Remedy			
-		10 IV 450.0 4 IV				Chang	e spec name to	"I-Q Offset per Polari	zation (Max Instan	itaneous)"
		specified in 156.9.4 - reading the beta parameter values			the	Response	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status	С	
	ad of listing numl to the subclause	pers that are meaningless w	vithout reading the	subclause text, sim	ply	In Tabl	es 156-6 and ta	ble 156-11 change "l	-Q (max instantane	eous)" to "Instantaneous I-Q
Suggested	dRemedv					offset	per polarization	(max)"		
	•	ee 156.9.4" and use em-das	h for "Unit" in both	rows.		With e	ditorial license			
Response)	Response Status C				C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 8	2 L 50	# 351
ACCE	EPT.					Maniloff, E		Ciena		
C/ 156	SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 48	# 337		Comment		Comment Status	А	
Ghiasi, Al			ntum/Marvell	" 001		I-Q is a	an insufficient na	ame for this spec		
Comment		Comment Status R				Suggested	Remedv			
	21	using EVM may need addit	tional constrains ba	used on the data in			•	"I-Q Offset per Polari	zation (Mean)	
		3 and way_3cw_01a_22052				Response	·	Response Status		
Suggested	dRemedy						PT IN PRINCIPI	,	0	
Need	more data to pro	ove that EVM will provide the	e IEEE level of inte	roperability		In Tabl	a 156 6 and tak	la 156 11 abanga "I () (maan)" ta "Maa	n I O offect per pelerization
Response REJE		Response Status U				(max)"	ie 150-0 and tat	ne 156-11 change 1-0	a (mean) to mean	n I-Q offset per polarization
						With e	ditorial license			
No su	ggested remedy	provided								
COMMEN	IT STATUS: D/d	ed ER/editorial required G spatched A/accepted R/re	. .				U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	Page 107 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT OR	DER: Clause, S	ubclause, page, line								

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 82	L 53	# 513	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 8	# 352
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Maniloff, Eric	Ciena		
Comment Type E Con Several things with max and n doesn't define its sign	<i>mment Status</i> A min, others without. D	efinition of 156.9	14 in I-Q phase error	Comment Type E In-band should not be c SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D capitalized		bucket
SuggestedRemedy				change In to in			
Response Res, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ponse Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
ACCEPT IN FILINCIPLE.				C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 8	# 515
In table 156-6 delete "I-Q pha error magnitude (max)" with a		e "I-Q phase erro	r (max)" to "I-Q phase	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
With editorial license				Comment Type E Transmitter In-band OS	Comment Status D SNR		bucket
Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P 82 Nvidia	L 54	# 514	SuggestedRemedy Change In to in			
,	mment Status D		bucket	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy				See response to comm	ent 352		
Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	ponse Status W			Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 83 Ghiasi Quant	L 16 tum/Marvell	# 330
Remove any blank lines with	editorial license			Comment Type TR Transmit output power	Comment Status D stability can't be negative		
C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 83	L 8	# 104	SuggestedRemedy			
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Remove the negative li	ne		
Comment Type T Con dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit. Also in Table 156–7.	mment Status A			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.		
				See responses to comr	nents 353 and 354		
SuggestedRemedy Change to dB and move the ⁻ necessary.	12.5 GHz to the descri	ption or add a foo	otnote to explain if	·			
Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ponse Status C						
Add a space between change	e "dB(12.5 GHz)" to "d	B (12.5 GHz)"					
Same unit in IEEE Std 802.3-	-2022 clause 154 table	e 154.7					
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/	/editorial required GR	aeneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/o	eneral	C/ 15	56	Page 108 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 Page 108 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

				•				
C/ 156 SC 156.7.		L 16	# 331	C/ 156	SC 156.7.2	P 83	L 16	# 105
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Marvell		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status R		
Transmit ouptut pow	er stability max=1 dB does not	define the time ir	nterval			er (max)" does not depend or a receiver specification (as the		
SuggestedRemedy				output.	. So it can't be a	a receiver specification (as the	e lext above the	lable states).
	us, 1 ms, 1 s, or 1 hour. Sugg e optical power is sampled eve			,		verage receive power toleran	()	
esponse	Response Status C			Similar	rly for "Average	receive power (min)" which n	nay be a tolerand	e requirement.
ACCEPT IN PRINCI				Similar value).	,	OSNR (also defined in Table	156-8 for the cha	annel, with the same
Add footnote "Power	stability is measured in time in	ternals of greate	r than 100ms"	Suggested	Remedv			
156 SC 156.7.	I P 83	L 18	# 332		•	mes and/or add explanations	in footnotes.	
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Marvell		0				
omment Type TR	Comment Status R			Consid duplica		meters to the black link chara	acteristics in Tab	e 156-8 or deleting
••	er absolute accuracy has to be	in dBm. Also no	ot clear if this line	•	ales.			
	fferent with power stability?			Response REJEC	NT	Response Status C		
uggestedRemedy				REJEC				
Need discustions on	the intent					er (max)" is a receive charact Table 151-8, Table 154-8 and		
Response	Response Status C				0	•		
REJECT.				C/ 156	SC 156.7.2	P 84	L 24	# <u>5</u> 16
Accuracy is measure	ed in dB not dBm.			Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
156 SC 156.7.	P 83	L 20	# 106	says th	nat receiver OS	NR tolerance "is informative a	and compliance is	s not required"
an, Adee	Cisco			Suggested	Remedy			
comment Type T	Comment Status A			Table i	needs a footnot	e. Example of current wordin	g from 140: Rec	eiver sensitivity
RIN average and RI	N peak are not designated as n	naximum. I asssu	ime they should be.			100GBASE-DR is optional ar		
uggestedRemedy						3.4 dB. 140.7.12.1 Receiver s 100GBASE-DR is optional ar		
Add "(max)" in both	descriptions.			value o	of SECQ up to 3	3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity fo	r 100GBASE-DR	should meet Equation
Response	Response Status C					rated in Figure 140-9. The no	rmative requirem	ent for the 100GBAS
ACCEPT.					eiver is stresse	d receiver sensitivity.		
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		

Add note in Table 156-7 for Receiver OSNR tolerance stating "OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 156	Page 109 of 128
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 156.7.2	9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

	\$ 519
	515
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Comment Type E Comment Status D Average output power at TP3	
SuggestedRemedy each / per channel?	
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 8 #	\$ 355
Maniloff, Eric Ciena	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Text for OSNR should not be present	
SuggestedRemedy Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)"	
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
In Table 156-8 change "Average output power at TP3 (min): for OSNR at TP to "Average output power at TP3 (min)"	P3 (12.5 GHz
C/ 156 SC 156.8 P.85 / 13 #	± 356
C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 13 # Maniloff, Eric Ciena <	\$ 356
Maniloff, EricCienaComment TypeEComment StatusA	¥ <u>356</u>
Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Text for OSNR should not be present	≠ 356
Maniloff, EricCienaComment TypeEComment StatusA	≠ [<u>356</u>
Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Text for OSNR should not be present SuggestedRemedy	≠ [<u>356</u>
Maniloff, Eric Ciena <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> A Text for OSNR should not be present <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)"	≠ <u>356</u>
	each / per channel? Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 8 # Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Text for OSNR should not be present SuggestedRemedy Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 156-8 change "Average output power at TP3 (min): for OSNR at TF

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.8 Page 110 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 22	# 520	C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 35	# 523
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E DGD-max	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Only relevant	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Is there a spec to make	e the Rx tolerate it?			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.		
No consensus to make 156.9.23.	a change. This requiremen	t in the specificat	ions defined in	In footnote d change:		1. 19. 1 1 41	
C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 28	# 521	drop multiplexers pre	plementations of a DWDM bla sent."	ack link with one	or more optical add-
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status A			to			
Adjacent channel isolat				"Applicable to implem multiplexers present."	entations of a DWDM black li	nk with one or m	ore optical add-drop
SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671				C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 44	# 524
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> E why is the table like ti	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D nis, high? isolation at 0 and +/	-75?	
In 156.9.29 delete refer	rence to 11U-1 G671			SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 29	# 522				
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Interferometric crosstal	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D lk at TP3			Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z		
SuggestedRemedy ?				This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z						
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter	er.					

C/ 156 SC 156.8	P 85	L 45	# 107	C/ 156 SC 156.9	.1 <i>P</i> 86	L 42	# 526
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E "+/-"	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E valid 400GBASE-R	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "±" (symbo	l) across the table			SuggestedRemedy 400GBASE-ZW			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP ⁻	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C IPLE.		
Change symbol as su	ggested throughout the docur	nent. With editor	ial license	In table 156-11 cha	nge "400GBASE-R" to "400GB	ASE-ZR". With e	ditorial license.
C/ 156 SC 156.9.1	P 86	L 35	# 525	C/ 156 SC 156.9	.1 P 86	L 42	# 109
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy and not SD-FEC? Response REJECT.	Response Status C			measurement of all "valid 400GBASE-F	R signal" is inadequate here - 40 119 PCS; but ZR is a special c)0GBASE-R usua	ally refers to the data
Lise of CEEC is correc	ct as per 155.2.1 "The transm	it data is encode	d	SuggestedRemedy			
	orward error correction (CFEC			Change pattern to e	either "5" in all rows, or "valid 40	00GBASE-ZR sig	nal" in all rows.
C/ 156 SC 156.9.1	P 86	L 35	# 108	Consider removing specified with test p	the pattern column and just sta pattern 5.	ting in text that al	l parameters are
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	GBASE-R test pattern, which CS has a test pattern mode s		.1.	Review supporting	presentation, for comment reso	lution group (CR0	G) consideration.
SuggestedRemedy							
Change "82.2.11, Cla	use 155" to "155.2.1".						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP ⁻	Response Status W I IN PRINCIPLE.						
		ution maxim (000	2)idti				

Review supporting presentation, for comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.

C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 8	# 357	C/ 156 SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 25	# 528
Maniloff, E	iric	Ciena			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
I-Q is	an insufficient na	me for this spec			Is Average receive power			ot any 400GBASE-ZW
Suggested	Remedy				signal? Same for Ripple?	which is a channel (blac	k link) property	
Chang	e spec name to '	'I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneou	s)"	SuggestedRemedy			
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z		
See re	sponse to comm	ent 350						
C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 87	L 10	# 358	- This comment was WITH	DRAWN by the comment	er.	
Maniloff, E		Ciena			C/ 156 SC 156.9.4	P 87	L 52	# 529
Comment		Comment Status A			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		-
	an insufficient na	me for this spec			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
<i>Suggested</i> Chang	-	'I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean)		Compliant transmitters the spectrum acquired us			d maximum masks to
Response		Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Not			
See re	sponse to comm	ent 351			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W		
C/ 156 Dawe, Pie	SC 156.9.1 rs	<i>P</i> 87 Nvidia	L 13	# 527	No suggested remedy pro	ovided		
Comment		Comment Status A			C/ 156 SC 156.9.4	P 88	L 1	# 110
I-Q ph	ase error (max), I	-Q phase error (min)			Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Suggested	Remedy				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucke
Comb	ne, as for Averag	je receive power			The damping factor is der	noted by the German "Es	zett" symbol ß, it	should be the Greek
Response		Response Status C			"beta" β.			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			SuggestedRemedy	n (One als heate) hears and a		
See re	sponse to comm	ent 513			Replace to the β character		elsewhere as nec	essary.
06616					Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.		
					Change character as sug editorial licesne.	gested. Replace through	the document as	required. With

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 156

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 156.9.4

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 156.9.4
 SC
 156.9.4

Page 113 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.4	4 P 88	L 1	# 530	C/ 156 S	C 156.9.5	P 88	L 1	# 359
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Maniloff, Eric		Ciena		
comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		
As this mask is a no	ormative spec			This clause included.	e defines the	e transmit mask as following	a RRC. The RR	C definition should be
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	edv			
write out the freque	ncy-domain equations for a RR	C response with	a damping factor of 0.4		•	6.9.4 defining the RRC functi	on and Beta use	ed to define the mask.
Response	Response Status C					inition elsewhere in 802.3		,
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			Response		Response Status C		
See response to cor	mment 359			ACCEPT II		LE.		
7 156 SC 156.9.4	4 P 88	L 8	# 531			Roll-Off "Root raised cosine		uare root of the raised
awe, Piers	Nvidia					ated as" (see piecewise-defir g/wiki/raised-cosine filter)	hed function at	
omment Type E	Comment Status A							
set at -9 dB up to the	e -9 dB of an RRC			See 11.3.1	.2.3 for pos	sible RRC formula.		
uggestedRemedy				With editor	ial license			
set at -9 dB up to 30).8 GHz offset for an RRC			C/ 156 S	C 156.9.5	P 88	L 45	# 533
esponse	Response Status C			Dawe. Piers	0 130.3.3	Nvidia	L 45	# 333
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		
Change "is set at –9 30.8 GHz offset and) dB up to the –9 dB of an RRC follows a RRC ß of 0.05 for hig	with ß of 0.05." gher frequencies	to "is set at -9 dB up to ."	within the li SuggestedRem	mits			
156 SC 156.9.4	4 <i>P</i> 88	L 40	# 532	below the li				
)awe, Piers	Nvidia			Response		Response Status C		
<i>comment Type</i> E Blank line	Comment Status D		bucket	ACCEPT I		LE.		
				Delete 156	.9.5.			
uggestedRemedy Remove				In 156.9.4	Change			
roposed Response	Response Status W			"Spectral o	ontent abov	ve 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 o	dB."	
PROPOSED ACCE	,							
	to a contato contra contra de la			to				
Remove any blank li	ines with editorial license			"Spectral c	ontent abov	ve 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 o	dB by the spectr	ral floor."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

2/156 SC 1	56.9.6	P 88	L 48	# 534		C/ 156	SC 156.9.6	P 8	3	L 51	# 535	
awe, Piers		Nvidia				Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia	a			
omment Type frequency nois		nt Status R				Comment T the free	<i>Type</i> E quency of interes	Comment Status st	R			
uggestedRemedy	<i>,</i>					Suggested	Remedy					
Response REJECT.	Respons	e Status C				Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status	С			
No suggested	remedy provided					No sug	gested remedy	provided.				
156 SC 1	56.9.6	P 88	L 50	# 111		C/ 156	SC 156.9.6	P 88	3	L 52	# 112	
an, Adee		Cisco				Ran, Adee		Cisco				
3 1		nt Status A				Comment		Comment Status	Α			
	uency noise mask i and 10^-6 times th			red at a resolution		"fbaud'	' is not defined i	n this clause.				
						Suggested	,					
The mask is no	ot the measured no	ise; it is the speci	fied maximum.			Either	define it (with a r	numberical value) or u	use the nur	merical value he	re.	
	is not phrased in ty ed remedy may be				ext	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	<i>Response Status</i> E.	С			
uggestedRemedy	/					Chang	e "fbaud" to "sig	naling rate"				
0	st paragraph from uency noise mask i	a tha lagar frague		rad at a resolution		C/ 156	SC 156.9.6	 P 8	2	L 52	# 536	
between 10^-1	and 10^-6 times th	e frequency of int	erest. The freque	ncy sweep relative		Dawe. Pier		, G		2 32	# 550	
	r frequency shall be sured frequency no					Comment		Comment Status				
	etween the points list				Dy	fbaud	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		~			
to "The laser from	uency noise mask i	s the maximum a	llowed laser from	ionov poiso and is		Suggested	Remedv					
formed by inte	rpolating between th	ne points listed in	Table 156–12 an	d illustrated in Figu			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	ask frequencies are Measurement resol				00	Response		Response Status	с			
frequency of in	terest. With the exc	eption of spurs, t			,	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	•				
frequency shal	l be below the mas	κ".				See re	sponse to comm	pent 112				
esponse	,	e Status C				00010						
ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.											
	gested but in the s											
"than 100 Hz t	o half the signaling	rate". See respor	ise to comment 1	12								
			· · · · · · · · · · · ·						01 450		D 445 - 544	
	I required ER/edito	•					U/uncatisfied	7/withdrawn	C/ 156 SC 156.9	6	Page 115 of 12 9/29/2022 2:3	

	P 89	L 3	# 537	C/ 156	SC 156.9.6	P 89	L 3	# 166
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Abbott, Jo	hn	Corning Inco	orporated	
Comment Type E Comme 1-sided noise power spectral den	ent Status A nsity [Hz^2/Hz]				BLE 156-12 Eve	Comment Status D erywhere else in the 802.3 st		
SuggestedRemedy but noise power should be in wat	tts, or dBc. Figure	title has "spectra	al power density"	93A-1	, section 93A.1.6	ble 93.8, table 110-11, table 5, table 120D-8.	e 136-18, table 13	37 -6, table 83D-6, table
	nse Status C		. ,	Suggested Spell		"one-sided" IN TABLE 156-	12	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Response	Response Status W	12	
See response to comment 168				•	POSED ACCEPT	,		
C/ 156 SC 156.9.6	P 89	L 3	# 168	C/ 156	SC 156.9.6	P 89	L 20	# 113
Abbott, John	Corning Inco	rporated		Ran, Adee	e	Cisco		
Comment Type T Comme	ent Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
Table 156-12 and figure 156-6. want to check that the power den				Figure	e 156-5 is cluttere	ed.		
the first time a one-side spectral standard, but this is not my area	power density with and I'm just trying	these units show to help. Thank y	ws up in 802.3 /ou!		gure does not ac as the figure is a	ld any information beyond T n illustration).	able 156-12 (whi	ch is normative,
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap			ng the units if indeed	Remo	-	bels (e.g. "X:1 x 10^4, Y: 1 x	(10^9") and chan	nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon			ng the units if indeed	Remo the y a	ve the marker la		< 10^9") and chan	nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap	opear in 802.3 stan		ng the units if indeed	Remo the y a	ve the marker lai axis label. atively, delete the		(10^9") and chan	nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C	dard.		Remo the y a Alterna Response	ve the marker lai axis label. atively, delete the	e figure. Response Status C	(10^9") and char	nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has	dard.		Remo the y a Alterna Response ACCE	ve the marker la axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL	e figure. Response Status C		nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna Response ACCE	ve the marker la axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E.		nge "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in # [<u>167</u>
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre Ensure correct use of "power spec Change "noise power spectral de	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna Response ACCE Retain	ve the marker lat axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL n figure 156-5 and SC 156.9.6	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. d change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in	the y axis label. <i>L</i> 20	
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre Ensure correct use of "power spec	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna <i>Response</i> ACCE Retain <i>C</i> / 156	ve the marker lat axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL n figure 156-5 an SC 156.9.6 ohn	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. d change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in <i>P</i> 89	the y axis label. <i>L</i> 20	
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre Ensure correct use of "power spec Change "noise power spectral de	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna <i>Response</i> ACCE Retain <i>Cl</i> 156 Abbott, Jo <i>Comment</i> FIGUF sided"	ve the marker lat axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL figure 156-5 an SC 156.9.6 ohn <i>Type</i> E RE 156-6 Every	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. d change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in <i>P</i> 89 Corning Inco <i>Comment Status</i> D where else in the 802.3 stan ble 93.8, table 110-11, table	the y axis label. <i>L</i> 20 orporated dard "1-sided" is	# [<u>167</u> bucket spelled out as "one-
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre Ensure correct use of "power spec Change "noise power spectral de	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna <i>Response</i> ACCE Retain <i>Cl</i> 156 Abbott, Jo <i>Comment</i> FIGUF sided"	ve the marker lat axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL figure 156-5 and <i>SC</i> 156.9.6 ohn <i>Type</i> E RE 156-6 Everyo For example ta , section 93A.1.6	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. d change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in <i>P</i> 89 Corning Inco <i>Comment Status</i> D where else in the 802.3 stan ble 93.8, table 110-11, table	the y axis label. <i>L</i> 20 orporated dard "1-sided" is	# [<u>167</u> bucket spelled out as "one-
Check that correct units are Hz^2 this is the first time such units ap <i>Response Respon</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The power spectral density of fre Ensure correct use of "power spec Change "noise power spectral de	opear in 802.3 stan ose Status C equency noise has ectral density".	dard. units of Hz^2 / H	IZ	Remo the y a Alterna Response ACCE Retain C/ 156 Abbott, Jo Comment FIGUF sided" 93A-1 Suggested	ve the marker lat axis label. atively, delete the PT IN PRINCIPL figure 156-5 and <i>SC</i> 156.9.6 ohn <i>Type</i> E RE 156-6 Everyo For example ta , section 93A.1.6 <i>Remedy</i>	e figure. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. d change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in <i>P</i> 89 Corning Inco <i>Comment Status</i> D where else in the 802.3 stan ble 93.8, table 110-11, table	the y axis label. <i>L</i> 20 orporated dard "1-sided" is a 136-18, table 13	# [<u>167</u> bucket spelled out as "one-

C/ 156 SC 156.9.10	P 90	L 13	# 114	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	1	P 90	L 24	# 361
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Maniloff, Er	ic		Ciena		
Comment Type E Com	ment Status A			Comment T	уре Т	Comment S	tatus A		
The abbreviation EVM should b	be introduced before	e it is used.		Add a d	lefinition for I-	Q Offset Measure	ement		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
Insert "(EVM") after the first ins different paragraph, based on a		or magnitude" (wł	iich may be in a	Add the	e following Spe	ecification:			
Response Respo	onse Status C			IQoffset	t(Max) = 10log	g10[(Imean^2 + 0	Qmean^2)/Ps	ignal]	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				with a n	neasurement	interval of 1 us			
Add "EVM: error vector magnit	ude" to 1.5 In the f	irst usage in the b	ody of the document	Response		Response St	atus C		
state "error vector magnitude (l vector magnitude" with "EVM".	EVM)". In all other u	isages in the docu			T IN PRINCI				
C/ 156 SC 156.9.10	P 90	L 20	# 115			'The instantaneoι + Qmean^2)/Psig			s calculated as Iqoffset rval of 1 us. The
Ran, Adee	Cisco			instanta	aneous I-Q off	set per polarization	on is the max		oolarization and shall
Comment Type T Com	ment Status D			be with	in the limits gr	ven in Table 156-	-6."		
The last paragraph defines EV		ified value in Tabl	e 156-6 is for EVM	With ed	litorial license				
(max). It does not seem to be t	he same thing.			C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	1	P 90	L 24	# 360
Should the specification be for	EVMmax (max)?			Maniloff, Er		-	Ciena		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment T		Comment S			
Move the first paragraph (conta EVMmax), and hinge the speci					51	name for this spe			
» 0 1	onse Status W		/ IVI.	SuggestedF	Remedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN				Change	e spec name t	o "I-Q Offset per	Polarization (Max Instantaneo	us)"
THEFOSED ACCEFT IN FRI				Response		Response St	atus C		
For comment resolution group	(CRG) consideration	n.		ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	PLE.			
				Change	e spec name t	o "Instantaneous	I-Q offset per	r polarization"	

C/ 156	SC 156.9.11	P 90	L 26	# 116	C/ 156	SC 156.9.11	P 90	L 28	# 362
Ran, Adee	•	Cisco			Maniloff, Eric		Ciena		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status A		
Font si	ize is inconsister	it in the text, also in 156.9.12	2.		I-Q is an i	nsufficient na	me for this spec		
Suggested	•				SuggestedRe	•		(14)	
	t consistent.				-	pec name to	I-Q Offset per Polarization	(Mean)	
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C <u>=</u> .		
Ensure	e consistent font	in 156.9.11 and 156.9.12. V	/ith editorial lice	nse	"Mean I-G) offset per po	larization"		
C/ 156	SC 156.9.11	P 90	L 26	# 117	C/ 156	SC 156.9.12	P 90	L 28	# 363
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Maniloff, Eric		Ciena		
Comment The de	51	Comment Status A ax instantaneous) is unclear	. "peak value" of	what per polarization?	<i>Comment Typ</i> Add a def		Comment Status A Offset Measurement		
	ak power?	,			SuggestedRe	medv			
Assum	ning it is not the c	lifference between I and Q, t	he current name	is confusing. Should it		ollowing Speci	fication:		
		power per polarization"?		-	IOoffect/N	$I_{\text{opp}} = 10 \log 1$	10[(Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/	Psignall	
Also, h	naving the definiti	on and the "shall" in the san	ne sentence crea	ate poor language.	IQUISEI(I	nearr) – Tolog	io[(iiieair 2 + Qiieair 2)/	Fsignalj	
Suggested	Remedy				Response		Response Status C		
	ler renaming this e the definition to	parameter. make it clear, even if the na	ame is not chanc	red	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Ξ.		
		nent separate from the defini		<u>jou.</u>			ent #362. Change 156.9.1		
Response		Response Status C					0[(Imean ² + Qmean ²)/I value per polarization and		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			156–6. "				
See re	sponse to comm	ents 361			With edito	orial license.			
C/ 156	SC 156.9.11	P 90	L 26	# 538	C/ 156	SC 156.9.12	P 90	L 30	# 364
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Maniloff, Eric		Ciena		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		
I-Q (m	ax instantaneous	5)			≤ 1us me	asurement inte	erval applies to Max, not m	ean	
Suggested ?	Remedy				SuggestedRe Remove r	-	1 us from 156.9.12		
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	•		
See re	sponse to comm	ent 350			See respo	onse to comm	ent 363		
		d ER/editorial required GR	• •	T/technical E/editorial G/g	5	lupoptiofied 7	C/	156	Page 118 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.9.12 9/29/2022 2:32:26 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 PS	0 L 30	# 119	C/ 156 SC 156.9.12	2 <i>P</i> 90	L 30	# 539
Ran, Adee Cisc	D		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type T Comment Status	Α		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
The definition of I-Q (mean) is unclear. "me	an value" of what per	polarization? is it mean	I-Q (mean)			
power?			SuggestedRemedy			
Assuming it is not the difference between I be "mean power per polarization"?	and Q, the current nar	ne is confusing. Should it	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C		
What does "averaged over <=1 us" mean? it perhaps be measured over at least 1 us?	Is averaging over only	1 ps acceptable? Should	See responses to com	nments 351 and 363		
In clause 154 there is a parameter with a d	fferent name, "I-Q offs	et (max)", and its	C/ 156 SC 156.9.13	3 P 90	L 35	# 540
definition refers to ITU-T G.698.2. This may			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Also, having the definition and the "shall" ir	the same sentence cr	reate poor language.	Comment Type E	Comment Status R		
			I-Q amplitude imbalan	ice (mean)		
			·	ice (mean)		
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever		nged.	I-Q amplitude Imbaian SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude	、 <i>,</i>		
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t	ne definition.	inged.	SuggestedRemedy	、 <i>,</i>		
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t Response Response Status	ne definition.	inged.	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude	e difference?		
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ne definition.	inged.	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT.	e difference?	led	
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t esponse Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363	ne definition. C		SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT.	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid	led <i>L</i> 40	# 541
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t Pesponse Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30	inged. # 1 <u>18</u>	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid		# <u>541</u>
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t desponse Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 / 156 SC 156.9.12 P S can, Adee Cisc	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30	# [118	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and Cl 156 SC 156.9.14	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90		# 541
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 (1) 156 SC 156.9.12 P Status Ran, Adee Cisc Romment Type T Comment Status	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30		SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 Dawe, Piers	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A		# 541
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from the seponse Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 Cl 156 SC 156.9.12 P State Ran, Adee Cisc	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30	# [118	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and Cl 156 SC 156.9.14 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E *proportional* phase d	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A		# [<u>541</u>
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t esponse Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 / 156 SC 156.9.12 P S Can, Adee Cisc omment Type T Comment Status "<=" should be a symbol uggestedRemedy	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30	# [118	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A		# <u>541</u>
Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t <i>esponse Response Status</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 / 156 SC 156.9.12 P 9 kan, Adee Cisc <i>omment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> "<="should be a symbol	ne definition. C 0 <i>L</i> 30	# [118	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E *proportional* phase d SuggestedRemedy	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A		# <u>541</u>
Rewrite the definition to make it clear, ever Make the "shall" statement separate from t Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comments 362 and 363 C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 9 Ran, Adee Cisc Comment Type T Comment Status SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	ne definition. C 10 <i>L</i> 30 D	# [118	SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude Response REJECT. Comment unclear and Cl 156 SC 156.9.14 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E *proportional* phase d SuggestedRemedy ?	e difference? <i>Response Status</i> C d no suggested remedy provid 4 <i>P</i> 90 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A difference <i>Response Status</i> C		# <u>541</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 Page 119 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.14	P 90	L 41	# 542	C/ 156	SC 156.9.17	P 91	L 3	# 544
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
omment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		
local oscillator						on this "shall"? Black link,		ble 156-8. 156.8 ha
SuggestedRemedy						Don't write in the passive vo	ICe.	
?				SuggestedRe	emedy			
Response	Response Status C							
REJECT.	,			Proposed Re		Response Status W		
				PROPOS	SED REJECT.			
Comment unclear and	no suggested remedy provid	ed		No suaa	ested remedv	provided. Current language	matches similar	language in IEEE S
X 156 SC 156.9.15	P 90	L 45	# 543		22 154.9.11	55		5 5
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 156	SC 156.9.17	P 91	L 4	# 365
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Maniloff, Eric	;	Ciena		
ditto. why is this separ	rate?			Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Response	Response Status C			refers to		f-band OSNR use the same le signal power, 156.9.19 ref ame.		
REJECT.				SuggestedRe	emedy			
REJECT.				Change	Average to To	tal on line 4		
Comment unclear and	no suggested remedy provid	ed		Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
C/ 156 SC 156.9.17	P 91	L 3	# 545	PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Change	'ratio of the av	erage signal power" to "ratio	of the total sign	al power within the
Comment Type E	Comment Status D					al mask points".	of the total sign	
shall with no PICS				C/ 156	SC 156.9.17	P 91	L 5	# 546
uggestedRemedy				Dawe, Piers	00 100.0.17	Nvidia	20	" 040
				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			-	n spectral exci			
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				•			
			and all the same of	SuggestedRe	undefined			
Add "Optical signal-to-	noise ratio (OSNR)" to 156.13	5.4.4. With edito	orial license					
				Proposed Re	,	Response Status W		
				PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					excursion" to "	e end of the second sentence plus and minus the maximu		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 156

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 156.9.17

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 156.9.17
 SC

Page 120 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

	P 91	L 15	# 547	C/ 156 SC 156.9.24	P 92	L 4	# 552
lawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
omment Type E in-band OSNR	Comment Status D			Comment Type E pre-FEC BER level lowe	Comment Status D or than the CFEC threshold		
uggestedRemedy Define in-band				SuggestedRemedy which is? and the SD-F	EC?		
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
	r Transmitter in-band OSNR Clause 156 adds new paran			0	ng a pre-FEC BER level lov BER as defined in 156.1.1"		
156 SC 156.9.21	P 91	L 36	# 548	C/ 156 SC 156.9.24	P 92	L 5	# 551
lawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
omment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
No verb				has to be met with a wo	rst-case compliant transmit	ter, but it does n	ot have to be met
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
roposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED REJECT.	<i>Response Status</i> W ot have to be met" applies	to the line impai	rments which are listed
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 1 156 SC 156.9.22	provided P 91	L 41	# 549	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n		to the line impai	
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 7 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers	provided P 91 Nvidia	L 41	# 549	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter	, ot have to be met" applies		rments which are listed # <u>550</u>
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy / 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers omment Type E	provided <i>P</i> 91 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D			PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter Cl 156 SC 156.9.24	ot have to be met" applies P 92		
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 7 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers comment Type E The average receive p	provided P 91 Nvidia			PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 Dawe, Piers	ot have to be met" applies P 92 Nvidia Comment Status A		
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 7 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers comment Type E The average receive p uggestedRemedy	provided <i>P</i> 91 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D	s given in Table 1	56-7.	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	ot have to be met" applies P 92 Nvidia Comment Status A		
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 7 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers comment Type E The average receive p uggestedRemedy Average output power	provided <i>P</i> 91 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ower shall be within the limits	s given in Table 1	56-7.	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E see earlier for table foot	ot have to be met" applies <i>P</i> 92 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A note and "optional"		
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 1 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers comment Type E The average receive p uggestedRemedy Average output power be here	provided P 91 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ower shall be within the limits at TP3, Table 156-8? sensiv <i>Response Status</i> W	s given in Table 1	56-7.	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter Cl 156 SC 156.9.24 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E see earlier for table foots SuggestedRemedy	ot have to be met" applies <i>P</i> 92 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A note and "optional" <i>Response Status</i> C		
PROPOSED REJECT No suggested remedy 1 156 SC 156.9.22 Dawe, Piers comment Type E The average receive p uggestedRemedy Average output power be here troposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Same language used f	provided P 91 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ower shall be within the limits at TP3, Table 156-8? sensiv <i>Response Status</i> W	s given in Table 1 vitity and overload	156-7. d? "shall" should not 2022 clause 154.	PROPOSED REJECT. Statement "but it does n and not the transmitter Cl 156 SC 156.9.24 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E see earlier for table foot SuggestedRemedy Response	ot have to be met" applies <i>P</i> 92 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> A note and "optional" <i>Response Status</i> C		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 156
 Page 121 of 128

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 156.9.24
 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 156.9.24
 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 9 # 120	C/ 156 SC 156.9.26 P 92 L 18 # 554
Ran, Adee Cisco	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
omment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
"OSNR tolerance is informative and compliance is not required."	[Optical path OSNR penalty, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, qv]
Informative text should not appear in normative clauses. 802.3dc did the work of removing "informative specifications" or turning them into recommendations.	SuggestedRemedy
This parameter seems to be loosely defined and unmeasurable in a deployed system (pre- FEC BER counters and test patterns are not specified). So maybe it should not even be a	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
recommendation. Also, the "Receiver OSNR" parameter have names that does not suggest their meaning. If	Comment unclear, no suggested remedy provided and reference to ITU-T is consistent with IEEE Std 802.3-2022.
this parameter is retained, the name should be changed, maybe to "Receiver OSNR tolerance without channel impairments"	C/ 156 SC 156.9.29 P 92 L 33 # 555
uqqestedRemedy	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Preferably delete this parameter (subclause text and table).	Comment Type E Comment Status D
	[Adjacent channel isolation, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.671, qv]
Otherwise change the "informative" paragraph to make it a recommendation, and change the parameter name to be more meaningful.	SuggestedRemedy
roposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration. Same informative or optional	PROPOSED REJECT.
approach taken in IEEE Štd 802.3-2022 154.9.16.	Comment unclear, no suggested remedy provided and reference to ITU-T is consistent with IEEE Std 802.3-2022.
/ 156 SC 156.9.25 P 92 L 13 # 553	C/ 156 SC 156.9.30 P 92 L 38 # 556
awe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
insertion loss	Comment Type E Comment Status D
	[Interferometric crosstalk at TP3, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, qv]
iggestedRemedy	
channel response?	SuggestedRemedy
roposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED REJECT.	Proposed Response Response Status W
FROPOSED REJECT.	PROPOSED REJECT.
Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided	

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1	P 92	L 49	# 558	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L 9	# 560
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E Connect the 400 Gb/s	Comment Status D DP-16QAM transmitter to			Comment Type E Comr TX	nent Status D		bucke
SuggestedRemedy The 400GBASE-ZW t	ransmitter is connected to			SuggestedRemedy Tx			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	nse Status W CIPLE.		
Review supporting pre	esentation, for comment resolu	ution group (CRC	G) consideration.	Change "TX" to "Tx"			
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L 8	# 561	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L 9	# 559
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
<i>comment Type</i> E Calibrated Coherent F	Comment Status D Receiver		bucket	Comment Type E Comr It would be helpful to show the	nent Status D batch cord, between	Tx and TP2	
SuggestedRemedy Calibrated coherent re	eceiver and so on, also in othe	er figures		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	nse Status W CIPLE.		
In 156.10 ensure corre	ect capitialization with editoria	license		Add patch cord and MDI point t	o figure 156-6 simila	ar to figure 156-2,	with editorial license
X 156 SC 156.10.1	P 93	L 8	# 562	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.1	P 93	L 44	# 336
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Marvell	
Comment Type E Digital Signal Process SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D ing			Comment Type TR Comm Assuming just 4 bits ENOB from additional penalty than real rece about 4 bits at high frequncy			
A to D and analysis?	156.10.1.2 says it's Offline			SuggestedRemedy			
roposed Response	Response Status W			If there is interest I can bring a	requncy dependent	ENOB mask	
PROPOSED REJECT	Γ.			Proposed Response Respo	nse Status W		
No suggested remedy	r provided			PROPOSED REJECT.			
				No suggested remedy provided			

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2	P 94	L 3	# 563	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P	94 L 45	# 565
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nv	dia	
Comment Type E Com blank line	ment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Statu 3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	onse Status W NCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Statu PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s W	
Remove any blank lines with e	ditorial license			See response to comment 121		
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.2	P 94	L 36	# 564	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P	94 <i>L</i> 45	# 566
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvi	dia	
Comment Type TR Com	ment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Statu	s D	
Need a bigger block size for at	least one of these, t	o go with the jitte	r corner frequency	super Gaussian https://en.wikipedia.org/		ner-
SuggestedRemedy				order_Gaussian_or_super-Gaussian_fund	ction	
				SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Response Response	onse Status 🛛 ₩			Proposed Response Response Statu PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s W	
No suggested remedy provided	b					
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4	P 94	L 44	# 121	See response to comment 121		
Ran, Adee	Cisco				94 <i>L</i> 45	# 567
Comment Type T Com	ment Status D			Dawe, Piers Nv		
"3rd-order super Gaussian filte	r with RRC = 0.2"			Comment Type E Comment Statu RRC	is A	
This is an uncommon way to s	pecify a filter, and it	s unclear.		SuggestedRemedy		
RRC seems to stand for is roo this filter is not "super Gaussia cosine. Or is it a different filter	n" and it's unclear w			Response Response Statu ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s C	
Also, the cutoff frequency is no	ot specified.			0		
SuggestedRemedy				See response to comment 359		
Rewrite to clarify.						
Proposed Response Resp	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.					
Change "3rd-order super Gaus	sian filter with RRC	= 0.2" to "RRC fil	ter with beta = 0.2"			
	ditonial no suring d. OD		The shuized E ledite side Q	/	01 450	Dama 404 of 400
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e				general	C/ 156	Page 124 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 Page 124 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.5	P 94	L 47	# 568	C/ 156 SC 156.10.4	.2.6 <i>P</i> 95	L 3	# 335
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi (Quantum/Marvell	
Comment Type E Comme IQ Offset	nt Status D		bucket	Comment Type TR Improve definition of t	Comment Status D)	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "IQ Offset" to "IQ offset" 2/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6		se L 3	# 569	sum of all taps is equ Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Change the first sente	nce of 156.10.1.2.6 to " ualizer with real taps. T	is allowed to varry fro v The signal is equalize	om tap 1 to tap 8.
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comme FIR filter with 15 real taps	Nvidia nt Status D			<i>Cl</i> 156 <i>SC</i> 156.10 . Ran, Adee	· ·	L 9	# 122
uggestedRemedy Where is the cursor?				<i>Comment Type</i> E I don't see any TBDs.	Comment Status)	bucke
Proposed Response Response Response PROPOSED REJECT.	e Status W			SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's not	е.		
No suggested remedy provided				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status V Г.	N	
Cl 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comme using the signal with additive whit	P 94 Nvidia nt Status D e Gaussian noise	L 4	# 570	Cl 156 SC 156.10. Huber, Thomas Comment Type E	.2.6 P 95 Nokia Comment Status	L 9	# 220
CuggestedRemedy do what? Proposed Response Respons	e Status W			The editor's note about SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's r	ut TBDs is no longer rele ote. Response Status V		
PROPOSED REJECT. No suggested remedy provided				PROPOSED ACCEP See response to com	IN PRINCIPLE.	•	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 Page 125 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

	D.05	1.0	# 000	
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 Maniloff. Eric	P 95	L 9	# 366	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 20 # 571 Dawe. Piers Nvidia
,	Ciena ent Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Editor's Note should be removed			DUCKEI	define k and K
SuggestedRemedy Remove Note				SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Respons PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCI	se Status W IPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment 122				For comment resolution group (CRG) consideration.
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7	P 95	L 17	# 123	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 25 # 573
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comme	ent Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D
The equation label format seems	unusual (hyphen i	instead of en das	n, spaces).	I_delta and Q_delta not norm then norm
Also, the equation labels are not o	on the same line a	s the equation.		SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy				
Use the standard equation style.				Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response	se Status W			PROPOSED REJECT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCI				No suggest remedy provided
Update equation style to match st	yle guide. With e	ditorial license		C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 31 # 574
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7	P 95	L 20	# 572	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment Type E Comment Status D
	ent Status D			Do what with alpha_peak? add equation
It would be better to count from 1		way		SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy		5		
aggeoleaneneay				Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Respons	se Status W			PROPOSED REJECT.
PROPOSED REJECT.				No suggest remedy provided
				the suggest formedy provided
No suggest remedy provided				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 Page 126 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L 45	# 575	C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 96 L 28 # 578
awe, Piers Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
comment TypeEComment StatusDn and eta are the same thing?Why not k?			Comment Type E Comment Status D buck
uggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
No suggest remedy provided			Remove any blank lines with editorial license
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L 49	# 576	C/ 156 SC 156.11.1 P 96 L 35 # 124
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Ran, Adee Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D buck
starting at 0			The text here does not match the common text for the "General safety" subclauses across
uggestedRemedy			the 2022 revision.
			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W			Change the text in this subclause to "Equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements in J.2."
PROPOSED REJECT.			Proposed Response Response Status W
No suggest remedy provided			PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95	L 51	# 577	C/ 156 SC 156.12 P 97 L 41 # 579
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D N vs K vs 1000			Comment Type E Comment Status A (compare 156A)
luggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy
			Make it clear that there is one fibre per direction at the MDI even if there is bidirectional fibre between mux/demuxes
Proposed Response Response Status W			Response Response Status C
PROPOSED REJECT.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
No suggest remedy provided			
			Change "is coupled to the DWDM black link medium at the MDI" to "is coupled to the
			DWDM black link medium via one fiber per direction at the MDI"

C/ 156	SC 156.13.4.2	P 100	L 28	# 580
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia		
Comment T PMD_g	<i>ype</i> E <i>Con</i> lobal_transmit_disable	nment Status D _variable Tx_Rx_	_diff_opt_channe	<i>bucke</i> I_abili ty variable
S <i>uggestedF</i> rogue u	Remedy Inderscore, column wid	lths		
Proposed R PROPC	Response Resp DSED ACCEPT IN PRI	oonse Status W NCIPLE.		
Correct	underscore and colum	nn widths, with editori	al license	
C/ 156	SC 156.A.1	P 104	L 45	# 367
Maniloff, Er	ic	Ciena		
	ype T Con ink examples should be devices that would sate Image: State Image: State			tions for Mux and
SuggestedF	Remedy			
	able to 156.A.1 includir www.ieee802.org/3/cw/			tions. For example see 523.pdf#page=5
Response ACCEP	Resp PT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status C		
Adopt s	lides 4 and 5 from	public/22_09/manilof	f_3cw_01_22092	9.pdf.
https://v	ww.ieccooz.org/o/cw/	public/22_00/maillion		
Adding	-	t the filter characteris	tics in this prese	ntation were derived for ection in one fiber

C/ 156 SC 156.A.1 Page 128 of 128 9/29/2022 2:32:27 PM