

Chief Editor's opening report

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, P802.3cw Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cw Task Force, 27 May 2021
Interim Teleconference Meeting

802.3cw Editorial team

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 119, 156 and Annex 156A

Dave Lewis, Lumentum

- Editor for Clause 155


Status

P802.3cw D1.0 Task Force ballot


- Opened on March 29, 2021, closed on April 27, 2021
- 30 day comment period
- Thanks to all those that commented
- Received comments posted

Description	Clause	T	TR	E	ER	Total
Front Matter	00			1		1
Introduction	1		1			1
Mgmt	30			1		1
Mgmt (MDIO)	45					
EEE	78					0
Introduction 200/400	116	5	3	1		9
PCS	119					0
400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA	155	23	3	16		42
400GBASE-ZR PMD	156	24	19	3		46
400GBASE-ZR Applications	Annex 156A		3			3
Total Comments		52	29	22	0	103

Comment Distribution



Comment History



Clause Comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1	82	1
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116	[26, 27], [84, 85], [87, 88, 89, 90]	8
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155	3, 8, 14, 16, 18, 20, 29, 30, 31, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 58, 59, 60, 91, 94, 97	20
Optical Channels	156	[64, 72, 74, 76], [65, 75, 44], 66, [67, 43], [68, 46], [69, 47], [70, 48], 71, [73, 50, 51, 52], 42, 53, 54, 55, 62, 63, [21, 83, 22], 23, [78, 79, 80], 92, 99, [95, 98, 100], [101, 102, 103], [24, 45]	44
Annexes	156A	56, 93	2

Bucket Comments

C/A	Comment #	Count
FM		
1		
45		
80		
152		
155	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 57, 96	22
156	25, 49, 61, 77, 81, 86	6
Annex 156A		

- No requests were received to remove any comments from the bucket

Meeting Schedule

- May 6th
 - See page 13 for details.
- May 18th
 - See page 14 for details.
 - Any requests to remove comments from the bucket must be submitted by end of day AOE.
- May 27th
 - See page 15 for details.

Comment Resolution Process

- Resolve comments against Draft 1.0
 - Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.
 - TRs and ERs do not require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied
- If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response the comment will be closed as proposed.
- If more than 2 people disagree, a straw poll on the area of concern will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.
 - If the straw poll contains more than 2 choices, the choice with the lowest number of supporters will be removed. This process will continue until there are only 2 choices.

Comment Resolution Process

- The order in which the comments are reviewed on a given call are subject to change
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
- Where a comment number is underlined (e.g., 59) it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments colored blue have associated hyperlinked presentations

Comment Resolution Process (.3ck,.3cu,.3ct,.3cw)

- Baseline Terminology
 - “Open” comments – comments that Task Force have not agreed upon a remedy
 - “Closed” comments – comments that Task Force have agreed upon a remedy
 - “Final” comments – Task Force has approved motion to adopt the responses to the closed comments
- Post updated comment database after each meeting
 - Allow individuals (other than commenter) two business days (AoE) to request on the reflector reconsideration of a “Closed” comment from the prior meeting
 - Individual needs to be present at next meeting to address
 - Normal procedures within the group will apply to determine if comment will be re-opened
- After all comments closed – normal procedures to adopt responses and generate next draft will be followed
 - Comments can not be re-opened after meeting where responses are adopted by TF

Note about Comment Resolutions Going Forward

- Consensus building will be key
 - Rule #1 – Use the Reflector
 - Rule #2 – See Rule #1
- Reference: IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines (<https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>)
 - Multiple reasons possible for rejecting comment given –
 - a statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment;

Comment Resolution – May 6

- Resolved the following comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1	82	1
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116	[26, 27], [84, 85], [87, 88, 89, 90]	8
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155	3, 8, 14, 16, 18, 20, 29, 30, 31, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 58, 59, 60, 91, 94, 97	20
Optical Channels	156	[64, 72, 74, 76], [65, 75, 44], 66, [67, 43], [68, 46], [69, 47], [70, 48], 71, [73, 50, 51, 52], 42, 53, 54, 55, 62, 63, [21, 83, 22], 23, [78, 79, 80], 92, 99, [95, 98, 100], [101, 102, 103], [24, 45]	44
Annexes	156A	56, 93	2

Comment Resolution – May 18

- Resolved the following comments
 - 1 presentation in support of comment 24; lecheminant_3cw_01_210518

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116	[84, 85], [87, 88, 89, 90]	6
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155	3, 8, 14, 16, 18, 20, 29, 30, 31, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 58, 59, 60, 91, 94, 97	20
Optical Channels	156	[24, 45]	2
Annexes	156A		

Comment Resolution – May 27

- Resolve the following comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116		
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155	3, 18, 20, 29, 60	5
Optical Channels	156		
Annexes	156A		

Thanks!